- 1 Title: Structural basis of PROTAC cooperative recognition for selective protein
- 2 degradation
- 3 **Authors:** Morgan S. Gadd¹, Andrea Testa¹, Xavier Lucas¹, Kwok-Ho Chan, Wenzhang
- 4 Chen, Douglas J. Lamont, Michael Zengerle, Alessio Ciulli*
- 5 **Affiliation:** Division of Biological Chemistry and Drug Discovery, School of Life Sciences,
- 6 University of Dundee, Dow Street, Dundee, DD1 5EH, Scotland, UK.
- ^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: <u>a.ciulli@dundee.ac.uk</u>
- 8 These authors contributed equally to this work

9 Abstract:

- 10 Inducing macromolecular interactions with small molecules to activate cellular signaling is a
- challenging goal. PROTACs (proteolysis-targeting chimaeras) are bifunctional molecules that
- recruit a target protein in proximity to an E3 ubiquitin ligase to trigger protein degradation.
- 13 Structural elucidation of the key ternary species ligase:PROTAC:target and how this impacts
- target degradation selectivity remains elusive. We solved the crystal structure of Brd4-
- degrader MZ1 in complex with human VHL and the Brd4 bromodomain (Brd4^{BD2}). The
- ligand folds into itself to allow formation of specific intermolecular interactions in the ternary
- 17 complex. Isothermal titration calorimetry studies, supported by surface mutagenesis and
- proximity assays, are consistent with pronounced cooperative formation of ternary complexes
- with Brd4^{BD2}. Structure-based designed compound AT1 exhibits highly selective depletion of
- 20 Brd4 in cells. Our results elucidate how PROTAC-induced de novo contacts dictate
- 21 preferential recruitment of a target protein into stable and cooperative complex with an E3
- 22 ligase for selective degradation.

Introduction

25

- 26 Regulating protein function through targeted degradation as opposed to more conventional
- 27 target inhibition has emerged as a new modality of discovery chemistry with attractive
- potential both as tools for target validation and for the development of novel therapeutics 1-4. 28
- 29 PROTACs (proteolysis-targeting chimaeras) are bifunctional molecules that bring a target
- protein into spatial proximity with an E3 ubiquitin ligase to trigger target ubiquitination and subsequent proteasomal degradation ⁵⁻¹¹. Recent developments in the field have led to 30
- 31
- 32
- PROTACs being designed with increasingly "drug-like" molecular properties, and remarkable activities both in cells and *in vivo* ¹⁰. Furthermore, we and others have shown that 33
- target depletion selectivity by PROTACs can significantly exceed the binding selectivity of 34
- their constitutive warhead ligands ^{7,12}. This realization provides proof-of-concept for turning 35
- 36 non-selective or promiscuous ligands into more selective degraders, which can be highly
- 37 desirable for both chemical probes and drug leads. A characteristic feature of PROTACs
- 38 mode of action is their sub-stoichiometric catalytic action that alleviates the requirement for
- target engagement and occupancy of traditional inhibitors ⁹. Effective redirection of a ligase 39
- 40 poly-ubiquitination activity toward a new substrate protein requires formation of a ternary
- 41 complex ligase:PROTAC:target, an intermediate species that is crucial to the cellular activity
- 42 of degrader molecules. However, structural elucidation of such ternary species and how it
- 43 may influence selectivity of target degradation remain elusive since the PROTAC concept
- 44 was first incepted and demonstrated in 2001 (ref. ⁵).
- 45 In 2015 we and others reported the first examples of small-molecule PROTACs that target
- 46 the bromo- and extra-terminal (BET) family proteins for degradation by recruiting substrate-
- 47
- recognition subunits von Hippel–Lindau protein (VHL) ⁷ and cereblon (CRBN) ^{6,8} of the respective cullin RING ligases (CRLs), CRL2^{VHL} and CRL4^{CRBN}. Compound MZ1 (ref. ⁷) 48
- conjugates the pan-BET inhibitor JQ1 (ref. 13) to VH032, a potent and specific VHL ligand 49
- ^{14,15}, via a 3-unit PEG linker (**Fig. 1a**). MZ1 and its analogues, MZ2 and MZ3 50
- 51 (Supplementary Results, Supplementary Fig. 1), induce more effective depletion of a
- single BET member, Brd4 (a validated drug target against cancer and other diseases ¹⁶), over 52
- its family paralogues Brd2 and Brd3 (ref. ⁷). These observations led us to hypothesize a 53
- 54 structural basis for target selectivity, imparted as a result of PROTAC-induced recruitment of
- 55 the ligase and bromodomain together in a ternary complex. To reveal the molecular details of
- 56 complex formation, we pursued the crystal structure of MZ1 in complex with VHL and a
- 57 BET bromodomain. Here we present for the first time a structure of a PROTAC bound to
- 58 both E3 ligase and target protein. The structure reveals MZ1 is "sandwiched" between the
- 59 two proteins, inducing extensive new protein-protein and protein-ligand contacts of both
- 60 hydrophobic and electrostatic nature. Biophysical binding studies in solution allowed
- 61 measurement of full thermodynamics parameters of complex formation, which revealed
- 62 marked isoform-specific cooperativity of ternary complexes. Surface mutagenesis swap and
- 63 proximity binding assays data support the induced PPI contacts drive specificity of the
- 64 cooperative recognition, impacting on the relative population of ternary complexes.
- 65 Furthermore, new PROTAC molecules designed guided by the crystal structure showed
- 66 exquisite selectivity for inducing cellular depletion of Brd4 over its BET family members
- 67 Brd2 and Brd3.

Results

- 69 Ternary complex crystal structure. To elucidate the structural details of PROTAC-induced
- 70 substrate recruitment to an E3 ligase, we solved the crystal structure of MZ1 bound in a

ternary complex with the second bromodomain (BD) of Brd4 (Brd4^{BD2}) and VHL to 2.7 Å 71 72 resolution (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Table 1). The asymmetric unit of the crystal contained two ternary Brd4^{BD2}:MZ1:VCB (VHL, ElonginB and ElonginC) complexes of overall 73 74 identical quaternary architecture (Supplementary Fig. 2a) and only minor deviations at 75 either end when superposed over the central VHL subunit (Supplementary Fig. 2b,c). The 76 first complex (chains A. B. C and D) had lower average B factors (Supplementary Fig. 2a) 77 so we refer to this in all subsequent analyses. The electron density around MZ1 was fully 78 defined (see inset panel in Fig 1a and Supplementary Fig. 2d,e for each protomer in the 79 asymmetric unit). MZ1 is bound within a bowl-shaped interface formed by extensive proteinprotein interactions (PPIs) between Brd4^{BD2} and VHL (**Supplementary Fig. 3a**). The bowl 80 has a hydrophobic "base" which is formed by two key points of contact (Fig. 1b and 81 Supplementary Fig. 3a). Firstly, Trp374 from the characteristic hydrophobic region named 82 "WPF shelf" (ref. ¹⁷) of Brd4^{BD2} interacts with residues Arg69, Pro71 and Tyr112 of VHL 83 (Fig. 1b). Pro71 provides an additional stack to the WPF, forming an extended "PWPF" shelf 84 (Fig. 1b). Secondly, Ala384 and Leu385 from the second helical turn of the ZA loop of 85 Brd4^{BD2} contact the hydrophobic side chains of Arg108, Ile109 and His110 in β4 of VHL 86 (Fig. 1b). Two electrostatic "arms" complete the rim of the bowl. At one end, Asp381 and 87 Glu383 in the ZA loop of Brd4^{BD2} form a tight zipper structure of complementary charges 88 with Arg107 and Arg108 (**Fig. 1c**). At the opposite end Brd4^{BD2} residue Glu438, residing in 89 the BC loop, contacts Arg69 from VHL (Fig. 1d). In the induced interface between the two 90 proteins, Brd4^{BD2} recapitulates some of the interactions made by the HIF-1α CODD segment peptide with VHL ^{18,19}, as the electrostatic zipper structure generated by Asp381 and Glu383 91 92 93 contacts the same VHL residues (Arg107 and Arg108) as Asp569 and Asp571 of HIF-1a 94 (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 3b,c). In contrast, VHL does not contact the surface of Brd4 bromodomain bound to acetyllysine histone H4 peptide ²⁰ (**Supplementary Fig. 3d.e**). 95 In total the PPIs induced in the complex bury a surface area of 688 Å² (Supplementary 96 97 Table 2).

98 MZ1 is cupped within the bowl structure in such a way that its two heads recapitulate the 99 binding modes of the respective ligands individually – JQ1 in the acetyllysine-binding pocket of Brd4^{BD2} 13,20, and VH032 in the hydroxyproline-binding site of VHL ¹⁴ (Supplementary 100 Fig. 3f,g). In addition to the expected binary protein-ligand interactions, MZ1 forms 101 additional protein-ligand interactions within the ternary complex. The PEG linker makes van 102 der Waals interactions with the BC loop of Brd4^{BD2} and a hydrogen bond between the ether 103 104 oxygen adjacent to the amide linkage to JQ1 and the BD2-specific residue His437 (Fig. 1d). The same hydrogen bond between His437 and a PEG oxygen is also observed in a recent 105 crystal structure of Brd4^{BD2} bound to MT1, a bivalent BET inhibitor comprised of two JQ1 106 moieties linked by a PEG unit in a similar fashion as in MZ1 (Supplementary Fig. 4) ²¹. 107 VHL and Brd4^{BD2} come together to sandwich MZ1 against their respective binding surfaces, 108 burying otherwise solvent-exposed regions of the JQ1 and VH032 ligands. Specifically, Ala384, Leu385 and Gly386 from the ZA loop of Brd4^{BD2} contact the –CH₂–phenyl portion 109 110 111 of VH032, whilst His110 and Tyr112 of β4 of VHL contact one of the two thiophene methyl groups and the para-chlorophenyl ring of JQ1 (Fig. 1b). In addition, MZ1 folds onto itself in 112 113 such a way that its PEG linker is packed between the tert-butyl group of the VH032 moiety 114 and the para-chlorophenyl ring of JQ1 (Fig. 1a,d). Throughout a 100 ns molecular dynamics simulation, favorable intermolecular contacts were observed from JQ1 atoms to VHL, from 115 VH032 atoms to Brd4^{BD2}, and from the PEG linker atoms to both VHL and Brd4^{BD2}, as well 116 117 as intramolecular contacts within MZ1 involving the PEG linker and the JQ1 and VH032 118 moieties (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 5). The surface area further buried by the ligand folding within the bowl-shaped interface was 1.933 Å², resulting in a total extended buried 119

```
surface area of 2,621 Å<sup>2</sup> for the ternary complex (see Supplementary Table 2 for a
120
```

- comparison with cereblon:phthalimides:target ternary complexes ^{2,3}). 121
- 122 **Isoform-specific cooperativity of ternary complexes.** The extensive new contacts observed
- 123
- in the crystal structures suggested the possibility that isoform-specific PPIs could play a role in the "cooperativity" of the ternary complex equilibria ^{22,23}. To assess the thermodynamics of 124
- 125 PROTAC-induced complex formation with VHL and BET BDs, we employed isothermal
- 126 titration calorimetry (ITC) in solution (**Table 1** and **Supplementary Fig. 6**). To disentangle
- 127 contributions from binary and ternary complex formation equilibria we performed reverse
- 128 titrations i.e. protein in syringe and ligand in cell. This experimental strategy avoids the
- 129 characteristic "hook effect" observed with increasing concentrations of PROTACs, as
- 130 formation of binary complexes competes with and eventually surpasses formation of ternary
- ones ²³. First, we titrated a solution of BET BD against MZ1, ensuring no excess unbound 131
- 132 PROTAC compound would be present at the end of the titration. This was followed by a
- 133 titration of VCB into the saturated MZ1:BD complex, forming the ternary VCB:MZ1:BD
- 134 complex (Fig. 2b, right panel). Titration of VCB into MZ1 alone (Fig. 2b, left panel) was
- 135 then performed and used as reference, allowing potential cooperativity of ternary complex
- 136 formation to be accurately quantified. By definition, a ternary system is considered positively
- cooperative if interactions enhance formation of the ternary complex ($\alpha = \frac{K_d (Binary)}{K_d (Ternary)} > 1$; 137
- $\Delta pK_d = pK_d^T (ternary) pK_d (binary) > 0$). Conversely, a system is termed *negatively* 138
- cooperative when formation of the ternary complex is diminished ($\alpha < 1$; $\Delta p K_d < 0$), for 139
- 140 example because of repulsive interactions or steric hindrance between the two components in
- 141 the ternary complex. Non-cooperative equilibria would instead show unchanged K_d for the
- two steps ($\alpha = 1$; $\Delta p K_d = 0$), suggesting no interactions (**Fig. 2c**). With all BET BDs used, we 142
- observed significant positively cooperative ternary complex formation (see **Table 1**, and plots 143
- of $\Delta p K_d$ in Fig. 2d). Strikingly, the strongest cooperativity was observed for Brd4^{BD2} ($\alpha =$ 144
- 18), followed by Brd3^{BD2} ($\alpha = 11$; **Table 1** and **Fig. 2d**). The large cooperativity observed led 145
- to steep transition of the sigmoidal binding curve (Fig. 2b, right panel), suggesting that the 146
- 147 fitted $K_{\rm d}$ value could potentially be underestimating the real binding affinity of this ternary
- 148
- complex. All BD1s also exhibited positive cooperativity, albeit to a much lesser extent (α between 2 and 3). Brd4^{BD2} and Brd3^{BD2} not only exhibited the greatest cooperativity amongst 149
- 150 all BET BDs, they also formed the most stable ternary complexes overall (ΔG (binary +
- ternary) = -22.2 ± 0.1 and 22.0 ± 0.2 kcal/mol, respectively), ~ 2 kcal/mol more stable than 151
- e.g. Brd2^{BD1} ($\Delta G = -20.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ kcal/mol}$). 152
- To understand the impact of cooperativities of different BET BDs ternary complexes on their 153
- relative population, we applied a mathematical model of ternary equilibrium ²³. We simulated 154
- 155 the fraction of ternary complex formation for VCB, MZ1 and six individual BET BDs, using
- 156 our measured binary K_{ds} and cooperativities α (**Table 1**) and protein concentrations of 40 nM
- (to be around the K_d values and to match the concentrations used later in AlphaLISA). 157
- 158
- Overlay of simulations showed that the relative populations of each ternary complex vary significantly, with Brd4^{BD2} being the most populated, and, as an example, ~2.5 fold greater 159
- than Brd2^{BDÍ} at any given concentration of PROTAC (Fig. 2e). To interrogate this relative 160
- trend experimentally, we employed a proximity AlphaLISA assay that can achieve high 161
- 162 signal amplification in response to formation of ternary complexes over an energy transfer
- distance of up to 200 nm ^{24,25}. At every fixed component concentration, the relative trend 163
- observed in AlphaLISA signal was broadly consistent with the cooperativity trends measured by ITC, with Brd4^{BD2} and Brd3^{BD2} giving greater signal, while Brd2^{BD1} giving the lowest 164
- 165
- response (Fig. 2f). A similar trend was observed with the analogous MZ2 (PEG₄), MZ3 166

- 167 (PEG₃-Phe) and MZ4 (PEG₂) (**Supplementary Fig. 7a–h**). Taken together the data are
- 168 consistent with target-specific cooperativities and stabilities of ternary complexes impacting
- 169 on the relative population of this key intermediate species.
- 170 Specificity of MZ1-induced protein-protein interactions. To evaluate to what extent the
- 171 cooperativity of ternary complex formation is dictated by surface complementarity between
- VHL and the Brd4^{BD2} bromodomain, we mutated semi-conserved or non-conserved 172
- bromodomain residues forming key induced PPI contacts, but not directly involved in 173
- 174 binding of MZ1 (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 5). Inspection of sequence alignments
- 175 (Fig. 3a) and the crystal structure (Fig. 3b) guided us to select residues Glu383 and Ala384 in
- Brd4^{BD2} (the most cooperative of bromodomains), for site directed mutagenesis. These 176
- residues are Val106 and Lys107 in the corresponding positions in Brd2^{BD1}, one of the least 177
- cooperative BET domains. In addition, the MD simulation evidenced extensive movement of 178
- loop 7 of VHL (ref. ²⁶) bringing it in close contact with Lys378 of Brd4^{BD2} (**Supplementary** 179
- Fig. 5b-d), which corresponds to Gln101 in Brd2^{BD1}. Based on these considerations, triple 180
- 181
- mutant Brd4^{BD2} K378Q/E383V/A384K (named QVK for simplicity) was designed. The mutations would make the PPI surface of Brd4^{BD2} closer to that of Brd2^{BD1}, albeit with the 182
- caveat of introducing an extra charge overall. Conversely, a triple mutant of Brd2^{BD1} was 183
- designed in which the corresponding residues are switched to those of Brd4^{BD2} 184
- 185 (Q101K/V106E/K107A, named KEA for simplicity). In ITC, the QVK mutant exhibited
- significantly weakened cooperative complex formation relative to Brd4^{BD2} wild-type (WT) (α 186
- = 4; **Table 1** and $\Delta p K_d = 0.64 \pm 0.04$, see plots in **Fig. 3c**). Conversely, the cooperativity of 187
- the KEA mutant increased relative to Brd2^{BD1} WT ($\alpha = 8$; **Table 1** and **Fig. 3c**). Crucially, 188
- these mutations did not affect the K_d of each domain for MZ1 (**Table 1**), suggesting that the 189
- switch of cooperativity is independent of binary target engagement and instead is dictated by 190
- 191 the induced PPIs. Consistent with the cooperativity switch measured by ITC, QVK reduced
- 192 ternary complex formation in AlphaLISA compared to WT, whereas KEA displayed the
- 193 opposite effect (Fig. 3d with MZ1 and Supplementary Fig. 7i with MZ2). Taken together
- 194 these data validate the ternary complex structure in solution and elucidate how specific PPIs
- 195 influence cooperative recruitment of two target proteins to each other by a bifunctional
- 196 molecule.
- 197 Structure-designed AT1 is highly selective Brd4 degrader. In chemical probe and drug
- 198 development knowledge of ligand-bound structures can guide the design of next-generation
- 199 compounds. We therefore sought to create new PROTACs based on our crystal structure that
- 200 could exhibit enhanced target depletion selectivity in cells toward Brd4. We noted that the
- 201 side chain of the key tert-Leu group of VH032 projected an attractive vector to link directly
- 202 to the JQ1 moiety (Fig. 4a), which we hypothesized could better discriminate against the
- 203 relative binding orientation observed in the crystal. We therefore replaced tert-Leu with
- 204 penicillamine and synthesized 1 (AT1, Fig. 4b) and other analogues 2–6 (AT2–AT6) bearing
- 205 thioether linkages of varying length to JQ1 (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Methods). The
- 206 modified VHL ligand within AT1 retained binding to VHL (K_d 330 nM, **Supplementary**
- Table 3, and Supplementary Fig. 8), a less than two-fold loss of potency relative to VH032 207
- (ref. ¹⁴) but approximately five-fold less compared to MZ1. ITC data comparing binary and 208
- ternary complexes revealed Brd4^{BD2} as the BET bromodomain forming the most cooperative 209
- $(\alpha = 7; \Delta p K_d = 0.84 \pm 0.07)$ and most stable $(\Delta G = -20.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ kcal/mol})$ of all ternary 210
- 211 complexes with AT1 (Fig. 4c, Supplementary Table 3, and Supplementary Fig. 8). The
- 212 same trend of cooperativity observed for MZ1 and the QVK and KEA mutants relative to WT
- 213 was also observed with AT1 (Fig. 4c, Supplementary Table 3), suggesting AT1 recruits
- VHL and Brd4^{BD2} in the same relative orientation as does MZ1. We consistently observed by 214

- 215 AlphaLISA preferential recruitment of Brd4^{BD2} over the other BDs by AT1–6 (**Fig. 4d** and
- 216 **Supplementary Fig. 9**). We next tested the activity of the new structure-designed molecules
- 217 to induce degradation of BET proteins in cells, and observed remarkable Brd4-selective
- depletion at all concentrations tested, with depletion of Brd4 after 24 h treatment with 1–3
- 219 µM of AT1 and negligible activity against Brd2 and Brd3 (Fig. 4e, see Supplementary Fig.
- 9 for AT2-6). Specificity for Brd4 degradation was not due to differences in protein synthesis
- rates, as shown by control treatments with cycloheximide, which blocks protein translation
- 222 (Supplementary Fig. 10). To assess whether ubiquitination of lysine residues could play a
- role in the observed selectivity, we combined our EloBC-VHL-MZ1-Brd4^{BD2} complex with
- existing whole CRL structural information into a model of the entire CRL2^{VHL}–MZ1–
- bromodomain assembly (Supplementary Fig. 12a). Several lysine residues are surface
- exposed and accessible to the E2-Ub in this model at distances between 50–60 Å, consistent
- with known CRL substrates ²⁷. Mapping MZ1-induced ubiquitination sites *in vitro* identified
- Lys346 on Brd4^{BD2} and several sites on the other BET-BDs (**Supplementary Fig. 12b-d**).
- 229 Unbiased and quantitative isobaric tagging mass spectrometry proteomics confirmed Brd4 as
- 230 the sole protein markedly depleted (to ~40%) upon treatment with AT1, amongst the 5,674
- detected proteins that passed filtering criteria (**Fig. 4f** and **Supplementary Data Set 1**).
- 232 Crucially, no effect on protein levels of Brd2 and Brd3 was observed with AT1, in contrast
- with MZ1 that exerted a broader and more profound effect across all BET proteins, albeit still
- preferential toward Brd4 (Fig. 4g). Together these data qualify AT1 as a new highly selective
- 235 degrader of Brd4 in cells.

Discussion

- We put forth a model for how cooperative recruitment of a target close to an E3 ligase in a
- 238 ternary complex by a PROTAC molecule can impact on the effectiveness and selectivity of
- target degradation (Fig. 5). Our work shines structural insights into how bifunctional
- 240 molecules can induce target-specific interactions in the 'enzyme-substrate' ternary complex
- species key for PROTAC catalytic activity. These cooperative molecular recognition features
- 242 contribute to how tightly and stably the 'neo-substrate' can be bound to the ligase, impacting
- on the relative population of the complex and consequently on the catalytic efficiency of the
- process. For homologous targets as is the case with BET bromodomains, we show how these
- 245 features add a level of target depletion selectivity independently of binary target engagement.
- We illustrate the relevance of measuring cooperativities of ternary complex formation in
- solution using ITC. One of the main advantages of the assay set-up as described here is that it
- 248 is designed to avoid issues associated with the hook effect. While the assay may be used to
- characterize in full a handful of compounds and systems, it requires large quantities of
- 250 material and notably lacks throughput. Alternative bioassays to quantify formation of ternary
- complexes could circumvent this limitation, and we provide evidence that proximity
- AlphaLISA assay can be used for these purposes. However, AlphaLISA data should be
- interpreted with caution, even when comparing highly conserved domains as done here,
- because in this bead-based technology the multiplicity of binding sites and relative linkage
- and orientation of components immobilized to the beads may influence the measured signal
- 256 ^{24,28}. Moreover, it can be difficult to deconvolute individual binding parameters from dose–
- 257 response curves monitoring ternary complex formation, because these are often bell–shaped
- 258 curves complicated by the hook effect ²³. We anticipate that future assay developments in this
- direction will help prioritize complexes for structural studies and to drive drug development
- 260 programs. The results of our study are of particular relevance because VHL-based BET

degraders similar to the ones described here have proven to be bioavailable and active *in vivo* and could potentially enter clinical trials as early as 2017 (ref. ⁴).

Our study points to the importance that the bifunctional molecule "folds in itself" in such a way that its two heads can recruit the respective targets into productive proximity. The result of this process is the burial of extensive surface area, the formation of new PPIs, contributing to the high stability and cooperativity of ternary complexes. Our work has therefore major implications for future PROTAC drug design, which has so far been somewhat empirical and has largely adopted a combinatorial "plug-and-play" strategy 11. The proposed model suggests that bifunctional molecules should be rationally designed and prioritized based on their ability to induce favorable contacts and allow forming a stable complex between the E3 ligase and the target. While ligand-induced proximity is expected to strengthen potential PPIs because of the reduced entropic cost, we show that the level of surface complementarity between the two proteins in their relative orientation imposed by the bifunctional molecule dictates cooperative complexation. While the exact relative orientation between VHL and Brd4 observed in the crystal may not be the only one that the system can adopt in solution once free from potential constraints of crystal packing, our data suggests that it captures a significant species underlying MZ1 function. Maximizing the diversity of E3s recruited ³⁰. and linking positions and vectors from the E3 and target ligands, will thus be important to achieve target-specific degraders.

 In an example of first layer of this rational design, we show how new PROTACs designed based on our ternary structure can lead to enhanced selectivity of depletion in cells for the crystallized target Brd4. The efficiency and selectivity of cellular protein knockdown will inevitably depend on other factors, including compound permeability and stability, the expression level of the hijacked CRL and its relative activity and flexibility ^{27,31}, as well as target abundance and re-synthesis rates. Differing ubiquitination rates could also in principle influence target degradation selectivity. To this end, in addition to increasing their relative population, cooperative and stable complexes would be expected to exhibit slower dissociation rates and longer half-lives, potentially aiding the efficiency of target ubiquitination by the hijacked ligase. Differing availability and access of surface lysine residues between alternate substrates could also play a role. However, based on our data, the presence of many surface Lys on BET-BDs, and the flexibility and large ubiquitination zone of CRLs ^{2,27}, we view it unlikely that target ubiquitination plays a role in the observed selectivity of Brd4 degradation. Obtaining a more detailed biochemical picture of target ubiquitination in a cellular context will be of clear importance for future investigation.

For targeted protein degradation, converting a pan-selective or promiscuous probe ligand into a more selective degrader probe provides new opportunities to improve target validation and could minimize off-target effects. In addition to dictate selectivity of target degradation, highly cooperative ternary E3:PROTAC:target systems would be anticipated to unlock the possibility to effectively degrade hitherto "undruggable" targets using ligands with inherently weak binary binding affinities. A more general implication of this study is the feasibility to induce *de novo* protein-protein interactions, or stabilize weakened ones, using bifunctional small molecules, a feature previously established with mono-functional 'molecular glues' ³² such as the plant hormones auxin ³³ and jasmonate ³⁴, the phthalimide immunomodulatory drugs (IMiDs) ^{2,3,31,35-38}, and macrocyclic natural products such as rapamycin and cyclosporine ^{39,40}. We envision that extensions of PPI-stabilizing capabilities to hetero- or homo-bifunctional small molecules ^{21,41,42} beyond PROTACs as highlighted here could

307 308	expand the target spectrum accessible to PPI stabilizers, and provide a new paradigm of selective chemical intervention for structural chemical biology and drug discovery.
309	
310	METHODS
311	Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.
312	Accession codes
313	Atomic coordinates and structure factors for hsBrd4 ^{BD2} –MZ1–hsVHL–hsEloC–hsEloB have
314	been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) under accession number 5T35.
315	
316	Data availability
317	Any supplementary information, chemical compound information and source data are
318	available in the online version of the paper. Correspondence and requests for materials should
319	be addressed to A.C. (<u>a.ciulli@dundee.ac.uk</u>).
320	
321	Acknowledgements
322	This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC-2012-StG-311460
323	DrugE3CRLs Starting Grant to A.C.); the UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences
324	Research Council (BBSRC grant BB/J001201/2 to A.C.); the European Commission (H2020-
325	MSCA-IF-2014-655516 Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions Individual Fellowship to K-H.C.,
326	and H2020-MSCA-IF-2015-806323 Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions Individual Fellowship
327	to X.L.); and the Wellcome Trust (Strategic Awards 100476/Z/12/Z for biophysics and drug
328	discovery and 094090/Z/10/Z for structural biology and X-ray crystallography to the Division
329 330	of Biological Chemistry and Drug Discovery). We are thankful to P. Fyfe for support with
331	the in-house X-ray facility; L. Finn for support with tissue culture facility (MRC-PPU); the Ferguson lab for access to LI-COR equipment; T. Cardote for the gift of full-length Cul2-
332	Rbx1 and A. Knebel (MRC-PPU/DSTT) for the gift of E1 and E2 enzymes; the Division of
333	Computational Biology for support with computational cluster; and to Diamond Light Source
334	for beamtime (BAG proposal MX10071) and beamline support at beamline I04-1.
335	101 ocanicine (DAO proposar MATOO/1) and ocaniline support at ocaniline 104-1.
336	Author Contributions
337	A.C. conceived the idea and directed the project.
220	A.C. conceived the face and unceted the project.

- 338 M.S.G., X.L., A.T., K.-H.C. and A.C. designed the experiments and interpreted results
- 339 M.S.G., X.L., A.T., and K.-H.C. performed experiments
- A.T. and M.Z. contributed to compound design and synthesized compounds
- W.C. performed MS proteomics experiments under the supervision of D.J.L.
- 342 M.S.G., X.L. and A.C. wrote the manuscript with input from all other authors.

343344

Competing financial interests

345 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

346

347

References

- Huang, X. & Dixit, V. M. Drugging the undruggables: exploring the ubiquitin system for drug development. *Cell Res* **26**, 484–498 (2016).
- 2. Petzold, G., Fischer, E. S. & Thomä, N. H. Structural basis of lenalidomide-induced

- CK1α degradation by the CRL4(CRBN) ubiquitin ligase. *Nature* **532**, 127–130 (2016).
- 352 3. Matyskiela, M. E. *et al.* A novel cereblon modulator recruits GSPT1 to the
- 353 CRL4(CRBN) ubiquitin ligase. *Nature* **535**, 252–257 (2016).
- 4. Lai, A. C. & Crews, C. M. Induced protein degradation: an emerging drug discovery paradigm. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* (2016). doi:10.1038/nrd.2016.211
- 356 5. Sakamoto, K. M. et al. Protacs: chimeric molecules that target proteins to the Skp1-
- Cullin-F box complex for ubiquitination and degradation. *P Natl Acad Sci Usa* **98,** 8554–8559 (2001).
- Winter, G. E. *et al.* Phthalimide conjugation as a strategy for in vivo target protein degradation. *Science* **348**, 1376–1381 (2015).
- Zengerle, M., Chan, K.-H. & Ciulli, A. Selective Small Molecule Induced Degradation
 of the BET Bromodomain Protein BRD4. ACS Chem Biol 10, 1770–1777 (2015).
- 363 8. Lu, J. *et al.* Hijacking the E3 Ubiquitin Ligase Cereblon to Efficiently Target BRD4. *Chem Biol* **22**, 755–763 (2015).
- 365 9. Bondeson, D. P. *et al.* Catalytic in vivo protein knockdown by small-molecule PROTACs. *Nat Chem Biol* **11**, 611–617 (2015).
- 10. Deshaies, R. J. Protein degradation: Prime time for PROTACs. *Nat Chem Biol* **11**, 634–635 (2015).
- Toure, M. & Crews, C. M. Small-Molecule PROTACS: New Approaches to Protein Degradation. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **55**, 1966–1973 (2016).
- Lai, A. C. *et al.* Modular PROTAC Design for the Degradation of Oncogenic BCR ABL. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 55, 807–810 (2016).
- Filippakopoulos, P. *et al.* Selective inhibition of BET bromodomains. *Nature* **468**, 1067–1073 (2010).
- 375 14. Galdeano, C. et al. Structure-guided design and optimization of small molecules
- targeting the protein-protein interaction between the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) E3 ubiquitin ligase and the hypoxia inducible factor (HIF) alpha subunit with in vitro
- 378 nanomolar affinities. *J Med Chem* **57**, 8657–8663 (2014).
- Frost, J. et al. Potent and selective chemical probe of hypoxic signalling downstream
 of HIF-α hydroxylation via VHL inhibition. Nat Commun 7, 13312 (2016).
- 381 16. Zuber, J. *et al.* RNAi screen identifies Brd4 as a therapeutic target in acute myeloid leukaemia. *Nature* **478**, 524–528 (2011).
- Nicodeme, E. *et al.* Suppression of inflammation by a synthetic histone mimic. *Nature* **468,** 1119–1123 (2010).
- Hon, W.-C. *et al.* Structural basis for the recognition of hydroxyproline in HIF-1 alpha by pVHL. *Nature* **417**, 975–978 (2002).
- 387 19. Min, J.-H. *et al.* Structure of an HIF-1alpha -pVHL complex: hydroxyproline recognition in signaling. *Science* **296**, 1886–1889 (2002).
- Filippakopoulos, P. *et al.* Histone recognition and large-scale structural analysis of the human bromodomain family. *Cell* **149**, 214–231 (2012).
- 391 21. Tanaka, M. *et al.* Design and characterization of bivalent BET inhibitors. *Nat Chem Biol* **12**, 1089–1096 (2016).
- Whitty, A. Cooperativity and biological complexity. *Nat Chem Biol* **4**, 435–439 (2008).
- 395 23. Douglass, E. F., Miller, C. J., Sparer, G., Shapiro, H. & Spiegel, D. A. A
- comprehensive mathematical model for three-body binding equilibria. *J Am Chem Soc* **135**, 6092–6099 (2013).
- Eglen, R. M. *et al.* The use of AlphaScreen technology in HTS: current status. *Curr Chem Genomics* **1,** 2–10 (2008).
- 400 25. Roberts, J. M. & Bradner, J. E. A Bead-Based Proximity Assay for BRD4 Ligand

- 401 Discovery. Curr Protoc Chem Biol 7, 263–278 (2015).
- 402 26. Stebbins, C. E., Kaelin, W. G. & Pavletich, N. P. Structure of the VHL-ElonginC-
- ElonginB complex: implications for VHL tumor suppressor function. *Science* **284**, 404 455–461 (1999).
- 405 27. Duda, D. M. *et al.* Structural insights into NEDD8 activation of cullin-RING ligases: conformational control of conjugation. *Cell* **134**, 995–1006 (2008).
- 28. Zhou, M., Li, Q. & Wang, R. Current Experimental Methods for Characterizing Protein-Protein Interactions. *ChemMedChem* **11**, 738–756 (2016).
- 409 29. Raina, K. *et al.* PROTAC-induced BET protein degradation as a therapy for castration-resistant prostate cancer. *P Natl Acad Sci Usa* **113,** 7124–7129 (2016).
- 411 30. Bulatov, E. & Ciulli, A. Targeting Cullin-RING E3 ubiquitin ligases for drug
- discovery: structure, assembly and small-molecule modulation. *Biochem J* **467**, 365–413 386 (2015).
- Fischer, E. S. *et al.* Structure of the DDB1-CRBN E3 ubiquitin ligase in complex with thalidomide. *Nature* **512**, 49–53 (2014).
- 416 32. Fischer, E. S., Park, E., Eck, M. J. & Thomä, N. H. SPLINTS: small-molecule protein ligand interface stabilizers. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **37**, 115–122 (2016).
- Tan, X. et al. Mechanism of auxin perception by the TIR1 ubiquitin ligase. *Nature* 446, 640–645 (2007).
- 420 34. Sheard, L. B. *et al.* Jasmonate perception by inositol-phosphate-potentiated COI1-JAZ co-receptor. *Nature* **468**, 400–405 (2010).
- 422 35. Ito, T. *et al.* Identification of a primary target of thalidomide teratogenicity. *Science* 423 **327**, 1345–1350 (2010).
- 424 36. Lu, G. *et al.* The myeloma drug lenalidomide promotes the cereblon-dependent destruction of Ikaros proteins. *Science* **343**, 305–309 (2014).
- 426 37. Krönke, J. *et al.* Lenalidomide causes selective degradation of IKZF1 and IKZF3 in multiple myeloma cells. *Science* **343**, 301–305 (2014).
- 428 38. Chamberlain, P. P. et al. Structure of the human Cereblon-DDB1-lenalidomide
- complex reveals basis for responsiveness to thalidomide analogs. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **21,** 803–809 (2014).
- 431 39. Pommier, Y. & Marchand, C. Interfacial inhibitors: targeting macromolecular complexes. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **11**, 25–36 (2012).
- 433 40. Thiel, P., Kaiser, M. & Ottmann, C. Small-Molecule Stabilization of Protein-Protein
 434 Interactions: An Underestimated Concept in Drug Discovery? *Angew Chem Int Ed*435 *Engl* **51**, 2012–2018 (2012).
- 41. Illendula, A. *et al.* A small-molecule inhibitor of the aberrant transcription factor
 437 CBFβ-SMMHC delays leukemia in mice. *Science* 347, 779–784 (2015).
- 438 42. Waring, M. J. *et al.* Potent and selective bivalent inhibitors of BET bromodomains. *Nat Chem Biol* **12**, 1097–1104 (2016).

Figure Legends

- 442 Figure 1. The crystal structure of the Brd4^{BD2}:MZ1:VHL-ElonginC-ElonginB complex. a,
- 443 Overall structure of Brd4^{BD2}:MZ1:VHL-ElonginC-ElonginB in ribbon representation. Top middle,
- 444 chemical structure of bifunctional PROTAC molecule MZ1. Top right, F_0 – F_c omit map generated
- prior to ligand modelling contoured at 3.0σ around bound MZ1. b, Key residues forming the
- hydrophobic "base" of the induced Brd4^{BD2}:VHL interface. The "WPF" shelf of Brd4^{BD2} and extended
- "PWPF" stack are outlined in black. The JQ1 and VH032 elements of MZ1 are labelled in yellow. c,
- Electrostatic potential map showing the charged zipper contacts between Brd4^{BD2} residues D381 and
- E383 with VHL residues R107 and R108. d, Electrostatic potential map showing the interaction

between Brd4^{BD2} residue E438 with VHL residue R69. The hydrogen bond between H437 of Brd4^{BD2} 450

451 and the PEG linker of MZ1 is also shown. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds with shown distance

452 in angstroms (Å).

453

Figure 2. Brd4^{BD2} and VHL form a stable, cooperative complex in the presence of MZ1. a, Novel 454

455 ligand contacts are induced by ternary complex formation. Colour strength (from white to red)

- indicates the mean enthalpic energies of individual MZ1 atoms in contacting Brd4^{BD2} (left) or VHL 456
- 457 (right), as well as intra-ligand contacts within MZ1 (centre) in a 100 ns MD simulation. b. Inverse
- ITC titrations of VCB into MZ1 (left, representative of eight replicates) and VCB into the pre-formed 458
- 459 MZ1:Brd4^{BD2} (right, performed in duplicate) c, Ternary complex equilibria and definition of
- 460 cooperativities. \mathbf{d} , $\Delta p K_d$ measured for VCB with MZ1 and the indicated BET-BDs, reported as
- 461 difference (\pm uncertainty), from p K_d values measured as mean (\pm 1 s.e.m.) as described in Online
- Methods. Statistical significance of pK_d values for ternary titrations compared to the corresponding 462
- binary titrations was assessed by two-tailed t-test assuming equal variances, and is indicated as * (p-463
- 464
- value < 0.05), ** (p-value < 0.01) or *** (p-value < 0.001). **e**, Simulated fraction of ternary complexes based on mathematical model described in ref. ²³. **f**, AlphaLISA intensity values titrating 465
- 466 VCB against BET-BDs with MZ1. AlphaLISA intensities represent mean (± 1 s.d.) of intensity values
- 467 from four technical replicates. The hook effect observed on these curves is due to biotinylated-VCB
- 468 oversaturating the donor beads, resulting in a progressive decrease in signal.

469

Figure 3. The molecular basis of MZ1-induced compact complex formation between Brd4^{BD2} 470

and VHL. a, Sequence alignment of BET bromodomains. Residues of Brd4^{BD2} in contact with MZ1 471

and/or VHL are highlighted. **b**, Structural alignment of Brd2^{BD1} (yellow) superposed on Brd4^{BD2} (dark 472

- 473 green) in the ternary structure with MZ1 and VHL. Key, non-conserved interacting residues are
- 474 shown in sticks. \mathbf{c} , $\Delta p K_d$ measured for VCB with MZ1 and the indicated BET-BDs, reported as
- 475 difference (\pm uncertainty), from p K_d values measured as mean (\pm 1 s.e.m.) as described in Online
- 476 Methods. Statistical significance of pK_d values for ternary titrations compared to the corresponding
- 477 binary titrations (in black) and for ternary titrations of WT compared to the corresponding triple-
- 478 mutant (in red) was assessed by two-tailed t-test assuming equal variances, and is indicated as * (p-
- 479 value < 0.05), ** (p-value < 0.01) or *** (p-value < 0.001). **d**, AlphaLISA intensity values titrating
- Brd2^{BD1}, Brd4^{BD2} and corresponding mutants against VCB with MZ1. AlphaLISA intensities are the 480
- mean (± 1 s.d.) of intensity values from four technical replicates. 481

482

483 Figure 4. Structure-guided design and characterization of Brd4-selective degrader AT1. a, A

484 vector linking VH032 to JQ1 that maintains the relative binding orientation. b, Chemical structure of

485 AT1. c, $\Delta p K_d$ measured for VCB with AT1 and the indicated BET-BDs, reported as difference (\pm

486 uncertainty), from p K_d values measured as mean (± 1 s.e.m.). Statistical significance of p K_d values for

487 ternary titrations compared to corresponding binary (black) and for ternary WT compared to

488 corresponding mutant (red) was assessed by two-tailed t-test assuming equal variances, d. AlphaLISA

489 intensity values titrating VCB against BET-BDs with AT1 (left) and BET-BDs against VCB with

490 AT1 (right). AlphaLISA intensities are the mean (± 1 s.d.) of intensity values from four technical

- 491 replicates. e-g, Highly selective degradation of Brd4 by AT1 in HeLa cells after 24 h. e, Protein levels
- 492 are shown from one representative of three biological replicates, visualized by immunoblot (left) and
- 493 quantified relative to DMSO (right). Intensity values were measured as described in Online Methods.
- 494 Full gels are provided in Supplementary Fig. 11. f, Impact of AT1 (1 μM, 24 h) on the cellular
- 495 proteome. Data plotted as fold change (log₂) of replicate 1 vs replicate 2, for a total of 5,674 proteins
- 496 quantified (see Online Methods), g, Quantified levels of BET proteins shown are mean (± 1 s.e.m.)

497 498 499	from two replicates relative to mean of vehicle. Statistical significance of relative protein abundance compared to DMSO was assessed by two-tailed t -test assuming equal variances. Statistical significance indicated as * $(p$ -value < 0.05), ** $(p$ -value < 0.01) or *** $(p$ -value < 0.001).
500	
501 502 503	Figure 5. Schematic model of selective PROTAC-induced target degradation. A target is preferentially recruited in a stable and positively cooperative ternary complex with the E3 ubiquitin ligase upon folding of the bifunctional probe to induce formation of specific PPIs.
504 505	

Table 1. Thermodynamic parameters of formation of binary and ternary complexes between MZ1, VCB and BET bromodomains measured by isothermal titration calorimetry.

Protein in syringe	Species in cell	K _d (nM)	ΔG (kcal × mol ⁻¹)	$\Delta H \text{ (kcal} \times \text{mol}^{-1}\text{)}$	$-T\Delta S$ (kcal \times mol ⁻¹)	N	α	Δp <i>K</i> _d
Brd2 ^{BD1}	MZ1	62 ± 6	-9.84 ± 0.06	-12.8 ± 0.7	3.0 ± 0.8	1.1 ± 0.1		
Brd2 ^{BD2}		60 ± 3	-9.85 ± 0.03	-9.8 ± 0.3	-0.1 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.1		
Brd3 ^{BD1}		21 ± 5	-10.2 ± 0.1	-14.7 ± 0.8	4.2 ± 0.9	1.1 ± 0.1		
Brd3 ^{BD2}		13 ± 3	-10.8 ± 0.1	-14.0 ± 0.9	3.3 ± 0.7	1.05 ± 0.02		
Brd4 ^{BD1}		39 ± 9	-10.1 ± 0.1	-14.7 ± 0.4	4.6 ± 0.5	0.95 ± 0.03		
Brd4 ^{BD2}		15 ± 1	-10.68 ± 0.04	-10.9 ± 0.4	0.2 ± 0.4	1.08 ± 0.07		
Brd2 ^{BD1}		69 ± 9	-9.78 ± 0.08	-14 ± 1	4 ± 1	0.83 ± 0.08		
KEA								
Brd4 ^{BD2}		22 ± 8	-10.5 ± 0.2	-12.4 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.1		
QVK								
VCB ^a	MZ1 ^a	66 ± 6	-9.81 ± 0.05	-7.7 ± 0.3	-2.1 ± 0.3	0.93 ± 0.04		
	MZ1:Brd2 ^{BD1}	24 ± 8	-10.4 ± 0.2	-7.3 ± 0.2	-3.1 ± 0.4	1.1 ± 0.2	2.9	0.4 ± 0.2
	MZ1:Brd2 ^{BD2}	28 ± 3	-10.3 ± 0.1	-10.5 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.2	1.07 ± 0.02	2.3	0.36 ± 0.06
	MZ1:Brd3 ^{BD1}	19 ± 4	-10.6 ± 0.1	-8.8 ± 0.5	-1.8 ± 0.7	1.01 ± 0.01	3.5	0.5 ± 0.1
VCB	MZ1:Brd3 ^{BD2}	7 ± 2	-11.2 ± 0.2	-6.3 ± 0.1	-4.9 ± 0.3	0.99 ± 0.04	10.7	1.0 ± 0.2
VCD	MZ1:Brd4 ^{BD1}	28 ± 6	-10.3 ± 0.1	-9.1 ± 0.9	–1 ± 1	0.97 ± 0.06	2.3	0.4 ± 0.1
	MZ1:Brd4 ^{BD2}	3.7 ± 0.7	-11.5 ± 0.1	-8.9 ± 0.1	-2.6 ± 0.2	1.02 ± 0.02	17.6	1.24 ± 0.09
	MZ1:Brd2 ^{BD1} KEA	12 ± 7	-10.9 ± 0.4	-5.7 ± 0.2	-5.2 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.1	7.9	0.8 ± 0.3
	MZ1:Brd4 ^{BD2} QVK	14.9 ± 0.1	-10.68 ± 0.03	-6.2 ± 0.3	-4.5 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.1	4.2	0.62 ± 0.04

All ITC titrations were performed at 25 °C. Values reported are the mean ± S.E.M. from two independent measurements, except for VCB titration into MZ1 (line ^a) for which values reported are the mean ± S.E.M. from eight independent measurements.

Online Methods

512 513

545 546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556 557

558

559

514 **Chemical synthesis:** Synthesis of compounds described in this paper and their intermediates 515 are described in the **Supplementary Note**.

516 Constructs, protein expression and purification. Wild-type and mutant versions of human 517 proteins VHL (UniProt accession number: P40337), ElonginC (Q15369), ElonginB 518 (Q15370), Brd2 (P25440), Brd3 (Q15059) and Brd4 (O60885) were used for all protein 519 expression. For expression of VBC, N-terminally His₆-tagged VHL (54–213), ElonginC (17– 520 112) and ElonginB (1–104) were co-expressed in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) at 24 °C for 521 16 h using 3 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). E. coli cells were lysed 522 using a pressure cell homogenizer (Stansted Fluid Power) and lysate clarified by 523 centrifugation. His6-tagged VCB was purified on a HisTrapFF affinity column (GE 524 Healthcare) by elution with an imidazole gradient. The His₆ tag was removed using TEV 525 protease and the untagged complex dialysed into low concentration imidazole buffer. VCB 526 was then flowed through the HisTrapFF column a second time, allowing impurities to bind as 527 the complex eluted without binding. VCB was then additionally purified by anion exchange 528 and size-exclusion chromatography using MonoQ and Superdex-75 columns (GE Healthcare), respectively. The final purified complex was stored in 20 mM Bis Tris, pH 7, 150 mM sodium chloride and 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). Brd2^{BD1} (71–194), Brd2^{BD2} (344–455), Brd3^{BD1} (24–146), Brd3^{BD2} (306–416), Brd4^{BD1} (44–178) and Brd4^{BD2} (333–460) as 529 530 531 532 well as equivalent mutant constructs were expressed with an N-terminal His₆ tag in E. coli 533 BL21(DE3) at 18 °C for 20 h using 0.2 mM IPTG. His₆-tagged BDs were purified on nickel 534 SepharoseTM 6 fast flow beads (GE Healthcare) by elution with increasing concentrations of 535 imidazole. For crystallography the His₆-tagged BD was cleaved with TEV protease and 536 dialysed into low concentration imidazole buffer. The BD was then flowed over the nickel 537 beads a second time to remove impurities and protease. BDs were then additionally purified 538 by size-exclusion chromatography using a Superdex-75 column. For AlphaLISA, ITC and 539 ubiquitination reactions, following elution of His₆-tagged BDs from the nickel beads, the BDs 540 were purified by size-exclusion chromatography using a Superdex-75 column. The final 541 purified proteins were stored in 20 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid 542 (HEPES), pH 7.5, 150 mM sodium chloride and 1 mM DTT. All chromatography 543 purification steps were performed using Äkta FPLC purification systems (GE Healthcare) or 544 glass econo-columns (Bio-Rad) at room temperature.

Crystallography. VCB, MZ1 and Brd4^{BD2} were mixed as a 1:1:1 stoichiometric ternary complex with a final concentration of 10 mg/mL. Drops of the ternary complex were mixed 1:1 with 13% (w/v) PEG 8000 and 0.1 M sodium citrate (pH 6.3) in the hanging-drop vapour diffusion format. Crystals appeared within minutes and were fully grown after one day. A crystal was dehydrated in a solution containing 18% (w/v) PEG 8000 for a few minutes and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen using 20% 2-methyl-2,4-pentanediol in liquor solution as a cryoprotectant. Diffraction data were collected at Diamond Light Source beamline I04-1 using a Pilatus 6M-F detector at a wavelength of 0.92819 Å. Indexing and integration of reflections was performed using XDS with the XDSGUI interface⁴³, and scaling and merging with AIMLESS⁴⁴ in CCP4i⁴⁵. The Wilson B factor was estimated at 47.2 Å^2 . To solve the phase problem the molecular replacement method was used with the program PHASER⁴⁶ using search models derived from the coordinates of VCB (PDB entry 1VCB²⁶) and Brd4^{BD2} (PDB entry 20UO²⁰). Two instances of the ternary complex were found in the asymmetric unit, indicating a final solvent content of 68% as calculated from the Matthews coefficient.

The initial model was refined iteratively using REFMAC⁴⁷ and COOT⁴⁸. Ligand structures

and restraints were generated using the PRODRG server⁴⁹. The MOLPROBITY server⁵⁰ was

used to validate the geometry and steric clashes in the structures; the distribution of backbone

torsion angles in the Ramachandran plot are 98.3% in the favored region and 1.7% in the

allowed region. The structure has been deposited in the protein data bank (PDB) with

accession code 5T35 and data collection and refinement statistics are presented in

565 **Supplementary Table 1**. Interfaces and contacts observed in the crystal structure were

calculated with PISA⁵¹ and LIGPLOT⁵². All figures were generated using PyMOL.

Molecular dynamics simulations. MD simulations were carried out using the NAMD

program⁵³ and the CHARMM 36 force field⁵⁴. We attempted to derive *ab initio* topology and

parameter files for MZ1 using Jaguar v. 9.0 (Schrödinger Inc., LLC, New York, NY, US).

However, characterization of the minimized structure as a minimum by vibrational analysis

proved unsuccessful (number of imaginary frequencies > 0) using several approaches and

572 initial structures, probably due to the large number of atoms. Therefore topology and

parameter files were generated using the CGenFF server⁵⁵.

To simulate the Brd4^{BD2}:MZ1:VHL ternary complex in solution, the coordinates of the X-ray

575 crystal structure of the complex (chains A and D) were used as starting structure for

simulation. ElonginB and ElonginC, which are sufficiently far from the hydroxyproline

recognition site of VHL (> 20 Å), were excluded to increase computational efficiency

578 throughout the simulation. The model was solvated in a TIP3P water box with a padding of

579 12 Å from the edge of the box to any protein atom. The system charges were neutralized with

sodium or chloride ions as appropriate. The solvated system was minimized for 3,000 steps

with all protein and MZ1 atoms restrained to eliminate residual unfavorable interactions

between each other and the solvent, followed by another 5,000 steps with all atoms free to

move. Heating of the system from 0 to 300 K was achieved in 100 ps (time step of 1 fs), with

fixed protein backbone atoms to allow relaxation of the solvent. The system was

subsequently equilibrated for 600 ps (time step of 2 fs) with all atoms free to move. The NPT

ensemble was used during production simulation of 100 ns (time step of 2 fs). The

temperature was controlled with a Langevin thermostat at 300 K, and the pressure with a

Nose-Hoover Langevin piston barostat at 1 bar. A SHAKE constraint was applied to all

bonds containing hydrogen atoms. Short-range nonbonded interactions were switched at 10 Å

and cut off at 12 Å, and particle mesh Ewald summation was employed for long-range non-

591 bonded interactions.

The trajectory was analysed using VMD v. 1.9.2⁵⁶ and taking snapshots every 10 ps of

simulation, unless otherwise stated. To calculate *root-mean-square deviations (RMSD)*

throughout the simulation, ternary complexes were superposed to the crystallographic

595 complex using an in-house PyMOL script considering only Cα atoms of the VHL protein

within a shell of 10 Å from MZ1. This was implemented in order to diminish the effect of

597 structural rearrangements occurring far from the hydroxyproline recognition site of VHL

598 during the simulation arising from the absence of ElonginB and ElonginC. Radius of gyration

 (R_{σ}) of the ternary complex, *i.e.* the radius of a sphere with equivalent moment of inertia, was

computed using Carma⁵⁷ at each snapshot considering the protein backbone. *Buried surface*

601 area (BSA) upon complex formation, i.e. the difference in surface-accessible surface area

602 (SASA) between the formed complex and the unbound partners in the system, was computed

considering all protein atoms and a spherical probe of radius 1.4 Å. *Intermolecular contacts*,

604 *i.e.* pair-to-pair contacts between an amino acid in Brd4^{BD2} and VHL, were considered

formed if more than five atoms of the amino acid were at a distance closer than 4.0 Å from

the partner protein. Intermolecular contacts were computed using the Timeline plugin v. 2.3

as implemented in VMD. Per-residue and per-atom inter- and intramolecular interaction

energies ($E_{\text{vdW}+\text{electrostatic}}$) were computed using an in-house automated routine of the NAMD

609 Energy plugin v. 1.4 as implemented in VMD. Interaction energies were estimated by adding 610 the pair-wise van der Waals and electrostatic contributions between individual amino acids or 611 atoms and the corresponding partner. In the case of per-atom calculations, the interaction 612 energies of hydrogen atoms were added to their corresponding heavy atom. For 613 intramolecular interactions analysis, MZ1 was divided into three sections (JQ1, PEG linker, 614 and VH032) and pair-wise energetic contributions from the atoms of each section to the rest 615 of the molecule (excluding 1–4 bonded atoms) were calculated. In order to obtain comparable 616 and interpretable results, the following scaling factor and cutoff value were applied to the 617 electrostatic contribution:

$$\begin{cases} if \ |E_{\rm vdW}| \geq 0.1 \colon E_{\rm electrostatic} = 0.07*E_{\rm raw \ electrostatics} \\ if \ |E_{\rm vdW}| < 0.1 \colon E_{\rm electrostatic} = 0 \end{cases}$$

618 **Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)** Titrations were performed on an ITC200 micro-619 calorimeter (GE Healthcare). The titrations were all performed as reverse mode (protein in 620 syringe, ligand in cell) and consisted of 19 injections of 2 µL protein solution (20 mM Bis-621 tris propane, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), 0.02 % DMSO, 622 pH 7.4) at a rate of 2 sec/μL at 120 s time intervals. An initial injection of protein (0.4 μL) 623 was made and discarded during data analysis. All experiments were performed at 25 °C, 624 whilst stirring at 600 rpm. PROTACs (MZ1 or AT1) were diluted from a 10 mM DMSO 625 stock solution to 20 µM in buffer containing 20 mM Bis-tris propane, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM 626 tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), pH 7.4. The final DMSO concentration was 0.01 or 627 0.02 %. Bromodomain (100 or 200 µM, in the syringe) was titrated into the PROTAC (10 or 628 20 μM, in the cell). At the end of the titration, the excess of solution was removed from the 629 cell, the syringe was washed and dried, VCB complex (84 or 168 μM, in the same buffer) 630 was loaded in the syringe and titrated into the complex PROTAC:bromodomain. The 631 concentration of the complex in the cell (C) after the first titration (8.4 or 16.8 μ M), was 632 calculated as follow:

$$C = \frac{C_0 \cdot V_{cell}}{V_{cell} + V_{inj}}$$

633 where: C_0 is the initial concentration of the PROTAC in the cell (20 μ M), V_{cell} is the volume 634 of the sample cell (200.12 μ L) and V_{inj} is the volume of titrant injected during the first 635 titration (38.4 µL). Titrations for the binary complex PROTAC:VCB were performed as 636 follow: to the solution of PROTAC (10 or 20 µM, in the cell), buffer (38.4 µL) was added by means of a single ITC injection. The excess of solution was removed from the cell, the 637 638 syringe was washed and dried, VCB complex (84 or 168 µM, in the same buffer) was loaded 639 in the syringe and titrated into the diluted PROTAC solution. The data were fitted to a single binding site model to obtain the stoichiometry n, the dissociation constant K_d and the 640 641 enthalpy of binding ΔH using the Microcal LLC ITC200 Origin software provided by the 642 manufacturer. The reported values are the mean ± s.e.m. from independent measurements 643 (eight for VCB into MZ1; seven for VCB into AT1; two for each BD into VCB:PROTAC).

Simulations of ternary complex fractions. Fractions of ternary complexes were calculated by applying the ternary equilibria model in the excel spreadsheet provided in ref. ²³. Input parameters were $[VHL]_t = [BD]_t = 40$ nM; K_d (VHL) = 66 nM; K_d and K_d (BD) were as measured by ITC (**Table 1**).

644

645

- **Biotinylation of VCB.** To biotinylate VCB the complex was mixed in a 1:1 stoichiometry
- with EZ-Link NHS-PEG₄-Biotin (Thermo Scientific) and incubated at room temperature for
- 1 h. To remove any unreacted NHS-biotin the sample was run over a PD-10 desalting column
- 651 (GE Healthcare) into 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM sodium chloride and 1 mM DTT.
- 652 **AlphaLISA assays.** All assays were performed at room temperature and plates sealed with
- transparent film between addition of reagents. All reagents were diluted in 50 mM HEPES,
- 654 pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% (w/v) bovine serum albumin and 0.02% (w/v) 3-[(3-
- cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPS) and each solution was
- prepared as a 5× stock and mixed into a final volume of 25 µL per well. Each protein sample
- 657 (biotinylated VCB and His₆-BD) and PROTAC were mixed and incubated for 1 h. Ni-coated
- acceptor beads were added and plates incubated another 1 h. Streptavidin-coated donor beads
- were added and plates incubated for a final 1 h. Plates were read on a PHERAstar FS (BMG
- Labtech) using an optic module with an excitation wavelength of 680 nm and emission
- wavelength of 615 nm. Within each read there was a settling time of 0.1 s, an excitation time
- of 0.3 s and an integration time of 0.6 s. For BET-BD titration experiments, VCB and
- PROTACs or Biotin-JQ1 alone were kept constant at a final concentration of 20 nM and
- His₆-BD was serially diluted three-in-five from 200 nM. For VCB/Biotin-JQ1 titration
- experiments, His₆-BDs and PROTACs were kept constant at a final concentration of 40 nM
- and VCB or Biotin-JQ1 was serially diluted one-in-two from 200 nM. The intensity values
- were plotted with concentration values on a log_{10} scale.
- Tissue culture. Human HeLa cell lines were obtained from ATCC and were kept in DMEM
- medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco), L-glutamate (Gibco), penicillin and
- streptomycin. Cells were kept in incubator at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. All cell lines were tested for
- 671 mycoplasma contamination every month using MycoAlertTMMycoplasma detection kit
- 672 (Lonza).
- 673 **Cell treatment and lysis.** HeLa cells were seeded at 2.5×10^5 per well on a standard six-well
- 674 plate. After a day, cells were treated with test compounds for the desired period of time. Cells
- were washed with PBS twice before lysis. Lysis was achieved by applying RIPA buffer
- 676 (Sigma), supplemented with 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), Benzonase (Merck) and
- 677 0.5 mM MgCl₂ to the cells on ice. Lysate was briefly sonicated and then centrifuged at
- $678 20.000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C. Supernatant was collected as cell extract and protein
- 679 concentration was measured by BCA assay. The extract was snap frozen in liquid nitrogen
- 680 for storage before being used for Western blot analysis. Cycloheximide (C7698, Sigma
- Aldrich) was used at 100 µg/mL for the indicated times.
- Western blot. Blots were probed with antibodies for Brd4 (AbCam ab128874, 1:1,000
- 683 dilution), Brd3 (AbCam ab50818, 1:500 dilution), Brd2 (AbCam ab139690, 1:2,000
- 684 dilution), β-actin (AbCam ab8227, 1:2,000 dilution) and cMyc (AbCam ab32072, 1:1,000
- dilution) antibodies. Blots were developed with anti-Mouse or anti-Rabbit IRDye® 800CW
- secondary antibody from Licor (1:10,000 dilution) and bands visualized using Licor Odyssey
- Sa imaging system. Image processing and band intensity quantification were done using
- 688 Licor Image Studio software Version 5.2.5. Ubiquitination blots were probed with anti-6×His
- antibody (AbCam ab18184, 1:2,000 dilution) and then with Anti-mouse IgG, HRP-linked
- Antibody (Cell Signaling technology #7076, 1:2,000 dilution). Probed blots were visualised
- with ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Pierce #32106) on film.

- **Sample preparation for MS proteomics.** HeLa cells were seeded at 2×10^6 on a 100mm 692
- 693 plate 24 h before treatment. To treat the cells, culture medium was replaced with 12 mL of
- 694 medium containing the test compound. After 24 h, medium was removed and cells were
- 695 washed with 12 mL of cold PBS twice. Samples were kept on ice from this point onwards.
- 696 Cells were lysed in 0.5 mL of 100 mM Tris pH 8.0, 4% (w/v) SDS supplemented with
- 697 protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). The lysate was pulse sonicated briefly and then
- 698 centrifuged at $17,000 \times g$ for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatant fraction of cell extract was
- 699 snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in -80 °C freezer before further processing.
- 700 Sample preparation for MS proteomics. Samples were quantified using a micro BCA
- 701 protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 200µg of each sample was processed and
- digested using the Filter Aided Sample Preparation (FASP) method ⁵⁸. The samples were then desalted using a 7 mm, 3 ml C18 SPE cartridge column (EmporeTM, 3M) and labelled with 702
- 703
- 704 TMT ⁵⁹ 10plex TM Isobaric Label Reagent Set (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as per manufacturers
- 705 instructions. After labelling, the peptides from the 10 samples were pooled together in equal
- 706 proportion. The pooled sample was fractionated into 20 discrete fractions using high pH
- reverse phase chromatography ⁶⁰ on a XBridge peptide BEH column (130 Å, 3.5 µm 2.1 X 707
- 708 150 mm, Waters) using an Ultimate 3000 HPLC system (Thermo Scientific/Dionex). Column
- 709 temperature was set to 20 °C. The peptides were separated using a mix of buffers A (10 mM)
- 710 ammonium formate in water, pH 10) and B (10 mM ammonium formate in 90% CH₃CN, pH
- 711 10). The peptides were eluted from the column using a flow rate of 200 μl/min and a linear
- 712 gradient from 5% to 60% buffer B over 60 min. The peptides eluted from the column were
- 713 separated into 40 fractions prior to concatenation into 20 fractions based on the UV signal of
- 714 each fraction. All the fractions were dried in a speedvac concentrator and resuspended in 10
- 715 ul 5% formic acid, then diluted to 1% prior to MS analysis.
- **nLC-MS/MS analysis.** The fractions were analysed sequentially on a Q ExactiveTM HF 716
- Hybrid Quadrupole-OrbitrapTM Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) coupled to an 717
- 718 Ultimate 3000 RSLC nano UHPLC system (Thermo Scientific) and Easyspray column (75
- 719 μm × 50 cm, PepMap RSLC C18 column, 2 μm, 100 Å, Thermo Scientific). The peptides
- 720 from each fraction were separated using a mix of buffer A (0.1% formic acid in MS grade
- 721 water) and B (0.08% formic acid in 80% MS grade CH₃CN). The peptides from each fraction
- 722 were eluted from the column using a flow rate of 300 nl/min and a linear gradient from 5% to
- 40% buffer B over 122 min. The column temperature was set at 50 °C. The Q Exactive TM HF 723
- Hybrid Quadrupole-OrbitrapTM Mass Spectrometer was operated in data dependent mode 724
- 725 with a single MS survey scan followed by 10 sequential m/z dependant MS2 scans. The 10
- 726 most intense precursor ions were sequencially fragmented by Higher energy Collision
- 727 Dissociation (HCD). The MS1 isolation window was set to 0.4 Da and the resolution set
- 728 120,000. MS2 resolution was set as 60,000. The maximum ion injection time for MS1 and
- 729 MS2 were set at 50 msec and 200 msec, respectively.
- 730 **Peptide and protein identification.** The raw ms data files for all 20 fractions were merged
- 731 and searched against the Sprot database with taxonomy set to Homo sapiens by Proteome
- 732 Discoverer Version 1.4 (Thermo Scientific) using the Mascot v.2.4.1 (Matrix Science) search
- 733 engine for protein identification and TMT reporter ion quantitation. The identification was
- 734 based on the following database search criteria: enzyme used Trypsin/P; maximum number of
- 735 missed cleavages equal to 2; precursor mass tolerance equal to 10 ppm; fragment mass
- 736 tolerance equal to 0.06 Da; dynamic modifications: Oxidation (M), Dioxidation (M), Acetyl
- 737 (N-term), Gln->pyro-Glu (N-term Q), Pro->Hyp (P), Deamidation(N,Q); static modifications:

- 738 Carbamidomethyl (C), TMT10plex (K), TMT10plex (N-term). For protein identification the
- 739 mascot ion score threshold was set at 30 and a minimum of 2 peptides was required.
- 740 **Peptide and protein quantitation.** The ratios of TMT reporter ion abundances in MS/MS
- 741 spectra generated by HCD from raw data sets were used for TMT quantification. Isotopic
- 742 correction factors were applied for the batch of TMT reagents used in this experiment as per
- 743 manufacturers recommendation. A minimum of two unique peptides was used for
- 744 quantitation and the resultant ratios were normalized based on protein median. Quantified
- 745 proteins were filtered if the absolute fold change difference between the two DMSO
- 746 replicates was ≥ 1.3 .
- Model construction of the multisubunit CRL2^{VHL}-MZ1-Brd4 complex. A structural 747
- model of the CRL2^{VHL} (VHL-EloC-EloB-Cul2-Rbx1) with bound MZ1-Brd4^{BD2} at one end 748
- and E2-Ubiquitin at the other end was constructed in PyMOL by aligning our Brd4^{BD2}-749
- MZ1-VHL-EloC-EloB on to the quaternary structure VHL-EloC-EloB-Cul2^{NTD} (PDB 750
- entry 4WQO). Cul2^{NTD} and Cul2^{CTD} were modelled based on the structures of Cul5^{NTD} (PDB 751
- entry 2WZK) and Cul1^{CTD}–Rbx1 (PDB entry 3RTR) and superposed onto full-length Cul1 752
- 753 from PDB entry 1LDK. Finally, the Rbx1–E2–Ub arm was modelled based on the crystal
- 754 structure of Rbx1-Ubc12~NEDD8-Cul1-Dcn1 (PDB entry 4P5O) superposed via the cullin
- 755 subunit.
- 756 Recombinant ubiquitination experiments and ubiquitination site identification. His-
- 757 tagged BET-BDs (2 µM) were ubiquitinated in the presence of E1 Ube1 (19 nM), E2 Ube2d1
- 758 (145 nM), ubiquitin (Ubiquigent, 1 mg/mL), recombinant VHL-ElonginC-ElonginB-Cullin2-
- 759 Rbx1 complex (330 nM) and MZ1 (2 μM) standing for 24 h at room temperature in a buffer
- 760 of 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM ATP, 0.1 mg/mL BSA and 1
- 761 mM TCEP. Reactions were terminated by the addition of 1× NuPAGE LDS sample buffer
- 762 (Invitrogen).
- 763 **Sample preparation for MS.** Samples were run 1–2 cm into a pre-cast 4–12% Bis-Tris
- 764 NuPAGE gel and the entire protein content of each lane excised, washed and dried. Proteins
- 765 were reduced with 10 mM DTT and 20 mM ammonium carbonate at 56 °C for 60 min and
- 766 then alkylated with 50 mM N-ethylmaleimide and 20 mM ammonium carbonate at room
- 767 temperature for 30 min. Proteins were trypsinized overnight at 30 °C and the resulting
- 768 peptides extracted and dried down.
- 769 **nLC-MS/MS** analysis. Each peptide sample was reconstituted in 10 µl 5% formic acid then
- 770 diluted to 1% prior to MS analysis. Peptide samples were injected onto a C18 PepMap 100
- 771 (300 µm x 5 mm, Thermo Scientific) trap column with buffer A (0.1% formic acid in MS
- 772 grade water) using an Ultimate 3000 RSLC nano UHPLC system. After a 5 min wash at 5
- 773 μl/min the sample was then eluted onto an EasySpray PepMap RSLC C18 column (75 μm x
- 774 50 cm, Thermo Scientific) into a LTQ Orbitrap Velos Pro via an EasySpray ion source. The
- 775 peptides were eluted from the column using a flow rate of 300 nl/min and a linear gradient
- 776 from 2% to 40% buffer B (0.08% formic acid in 80% MS grade CH₃CN) over 124 min. The
- 777
- column temperature was set at 50 °C. The Orbitrap Velos Pro ms system was operated in
- 778 data dependant acquisition mode using a Top 15 method with Lockmass = 445.120024. A 779
- MS1 survey scan with a range of 335–1800 m/z and a resolution of 60,000 was followed by
- 780 15 sequential MS2 scans at the normal scan rate using the LTQ Velos ion trap. The FTMS
- and ITMS AGC targets were set to 1e⁶ ions and 5e³ ions respectively. The FTMS and ITMS 781
- 782 maximum fill times were set to 500 msec and 100 msec respectively. ITMS isolation width

- was set at 2 Da with a normalised collision energy of 35, a default charge state of 2, an
- Activation Q of 0.250 and Activation Time of 10 msec.
- 785 **Peptide and protein identification.** The resultant raw data was searched against the Sprot
- database with a taxonomy filter set to *H. sapiens* using the Mascot v. 2.4.1 (Matrix Science)
- 787 search engine to identify peptides containing Lysines with εN-linked di-glycine
- 788 modifications. Peptide mass tolerance was set to 10 ppm and the fragment mass tolerance set
- to 0.6 Da. The number of maximum miss-cleavages was set to 2. The enzyme was set to
- 790 Trypsin/P and the following variable modifications were considered: Acetyl (N-term),
- 791 Deamidated (NQ), Dioxidation (M), Gln->pyro-Glu (N-term Q), GlyGly (K), Oxidation (M).
- 792 A fixed modification for Cysteine was set to N-ethylmaleimide. A mascot ion score threshold
- was set at 37 to filter non-significant peptide identifications.
- 794 **Statistical methods.** No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size. The
- experiments were not randomized, and the investigators were not blinded to allocation during
- 796 experiments and outcome assessment. For all experiments, number of replicates (n), mean
- value, error value and P value cutoffs are described in the respective figure legends. Error
- bars are shown for all data points with replicates as a measure of variation with the group. All
- 799 *t*-tests performed were two-tailed *t*-tests assuming equal variances.

- 801 43. Kabsch, W. XDS. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66, 125–132 (2010).
- Evans, P. R. & Murshudov, G. N. How good are my data and what is the resolution?

 Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 69, 1204–1214 (2013).
- Winn, M. D. *et al.* Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **67**, 235–242 (2011).
- 806 46. McCoy, A. J. *et al.* Phaser crystallographic software. *J Appl Crystallogr* **40**, 658–674 (2007).
- Murshudov, G. N. *et al.* REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **67,** 355–367 (2011).
- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 486–501 (2010).
- Schüttelkopf, A. W. & van Aalten, D. M. F. PRODRG: a tool for high-throughput crystallography of protein-ligand complexes. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **60**, 1355–1363 (2004).
- 815 50. Chen, V. B. *et al.* MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66,** 12–21 (2010).
- 817 51. Krissinel, E. & Henrick, K. Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. *J Mol Biol* **372**, 774–797 (2007).
- Laskowski, R. A. & Swindells, M. B. LigPlot+: multiple ligand-protein interaction diagrams for drug discovery. *J Chem Inf Model* **51**, 2778–2786 (2011).
- 821 53. Phillips, J. C. *et al.* Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD. *J. Comput. Chem.* 26, 1781–1802 (2005).
- 823 54. Brooks, B. R. et al. CHARMM: the biomolecular simulation program.
- 824 *J.Comput.Chem.* **30,** 1545–1614 (2009).
- 825 55. Vanommeslaeghe, K. & Mackerell, A. D. Automation of the CHARMM General
- Force Field (CGenFF) I: bond perception and atom typing. *J Chem Inf Model* **52**, 3144–3154 (2012).
- 828 56. Humphrey, W., Dalke, A. & Schulten, K. VMD: visual molecular dynamics.

- 829 *J.Mol.Graphics* **14,** 33–8– 27–8 (1996).
- 630 57. Glykos, N. M. Software news and updates. Carma: a molecular dynamics analysis program. *J. Comput. Chem.* **27**, 1765–1768 (2006).
- Manza, L. L., Stamer, S. L., Ham, A.-J. L., Codreanu, S. G. & Liebler, D. C. Sample preparation and digestion for proteomic analyses using spin filters. *Proteomics* 5, 1742–1745 (2005).
- Thompson, A. *et al.* Tandem mass tags: a novel quantification strategy for comparative analysis of complex protein mixtures by MS/MS. *Anal.Chem.* **75**, 1895–1904 (2003).
- 60. Gilar, M., Olivova, P., Daly, A. E. & Gebler, J. C. Orthogonality of separation in twodimensional liquid chromatography. *Anal. Chem.* **77**, 6426–6434 (2005).













