Investigation into a suitable scintillator and coded-aperture material for a mixed-field radiation imaging system

Michal J. Cieslak^{*1}, Kelum A.A. Gamage², and Robert Glover³

¹Department of Engineering, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YW, UK ²School of Engineering, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK ³Radiometric Systems Group, Sellafield Ltd, Seascale CA20 1PG, UK

20th November 2017

Abstract

Monte-Carlo modelling (MCNPX) methods have been employed to conduct an investigation into a suitable scintillator and coded-aperture material for a scintillator based mixed-field radiation imaging system. Single stilbene crystal, pure and ⁶Li-loaded plastic scintillators were simulated and their neutron/gamma detection performance compared when exposed to the spontaneous fission spectrum produced by 252 Cf. The most suitable candidate was then incorporated into a scintillator based mixed-field coded-aperture imaging system. Coded-aperture models made of three W and 113 Cd compositions were tested in different neutron/gamma environments with a square W collimator modelled around the aperture. Each simulation involved recording the interactions of neutron events in organic solid scintillator, whose neutron/gamma detection performance was assessed prior to the coded-aperture material investigation. Three coded-aperture material compositions have been tested with the simulated 252 Cf spontaneous fission as well as 241 AmBe neutron sources. Results generally claim very good detection sensitivity and spatial resolution for the radioactive sources located in the centre of the aperture.

1 Introduction

1.1 Scintillator detector

Over the years, organic liquid scintillators have become the preferred choice for neutron detection and imaging instruments [1–4]. Although organic liquid scintillators are sensitive to both neutrons and gamma-ray photons, the difference in the fluorescence decay rate of heavily-ionising particles such as protons (resulting from neutron interactions) and electrons (resulting from gamma-ray photons interactions) can be utilised to infer the origin of the interaction [5]. As such, organic liquid scintillators provide a viable solution for mixed-field characterisation, when pulse-shape discrimination (PSD) methods are employed to reliably separate neutron events from gamma-ray interactions within the organic liquid scintillator [6].

Properties of some organic liquid scintillators, such as low flashpoint and susceptibility to leaks, make them unsuitable for certain industrial applications. However, the same discrimination methods can be exploited to separate particles within less flammable and less hazardous organic solid scintillators [7,8]. Owing to continuous development, organic plastics and crystals have shown a significant improvement in their PSD capabilities in recent years. While plastics currently remain inferior to their liquid counterparts, solution-grown stilbene crystal claims better PSD performance in comparison to one of the most widely used organic liquid scintillator EJ-309 [9,10].

In this study, a scintillation material suitable for mixed-field characterisation in nuclear decommissioning applications was sought. Due to the safety concerns related to the nuclear decommissioning sites low flammability and non-hazardous nature of the sensitive detectors were required. Thus, only

 $^{^{*}}m.cieslak@lancaster.ac.uk$

organic plastic and crystal scintillators were investigated. Pure plastic, ⁶Li-loaded plastic and single stilbene crystal scintillation samples were tested within a simulated spontaneous fission spectrum of heavily shielded ²⁵²Cf. Perfect neutron/gamma PSD was assumed, and the three samples comparison performed based on the neutron/photon flux recorded within the scintillator as well as number of neutron and photon interactions within each scintillator sample based on PTRAC card implementation in MCNPX. MCNPX version 2.7.0 was utilised to perform all the simulations described in this paper [11].

1.2 Coded-aperture material

Many of the mixed-field characterisation systems utilise a sensitive detector in a form of an organic scintillator [6,12–14]. These can be found in collimated single pinhole cameras utilised in many branches of nuclear instrumentation engineering to facilitate radiation imaging requirements. Despite their limitations, primarily related to the interdependence between spatial resolution and signal to noise ratio (SNR), collimator based radiation imaging systems have been successfully implemented in gamma-ray and neutron imaging systems [2, 15–17]. Development of multi-hole cameras with aperture patterns based on uniformly redundant arrays (URAs) and modified URAs (MURAs) allowed the development of high resolution radiation cameras without affecting SNR [18,19]. Consequently, coded-aperture imaging (CAI) was favourably adopted in X- and gamma-ray localisation applications [20,21]. Authors' previous work presents a detailed review of CAI systems [22].

Research into coded-aperture based neutron imaging system has been recently revived due to continuous development of the PSD capable organic scintillators and the advancements in digital signal processing on Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs). The difficulty arises, when PSD is required to be performed simultaneously on multiple channels, which is the case for scintillator based coded aperture neutron imaging systems (CANIS). Moreover, CANIS requires image reconstruction to be performed, once PSD is completed. Reconstruction algorithm does not only increase the computational overhead, but also requires that the incoming particles are effectively prevented, via coded-aperture's opaque elements, from reaching the sensitive detector.

It follows that the coded-aperture material must be carefully chosen, so that the likelihood of misclassification of the particles reaching the elements of the sensitive detector is reduced to minimum. Owing to the characteristics of neutron interactions with matter, detectors tend to be focused on a specific energy range, such as thermal or fast neutron systems. Systems targeting fast neutron detection can utilise either *active* or *passive* coded-aperture system.

Active CAI is performed, when the aperture is built of neutron detectors and double scattering (in the aperture and the sensitive detector) is utilised to infer the time-of-flight and the direction of the incident neutron [23]. Passive CAI relies either on the high neutron absorption cross-section materials, such as polyethylene, or neutron reflecting materials, such as natural W. In contrast to the *active* coded-aperture approach, neutron interaction within the aperture is not directly used to perform localisation [24].

The most advanced example of the application of CAI techniques into neutron imaging and localisation has been presented by Hausladen et al. [25]. This scintillator based CANIS is aimed at fast neutron detection and utilises rank-11 MURA design for the coded-aperture, which was built from high-density polyethylene (HDPE) to modulate the fast neutron field. The most recent implementation of the work reports on the use of an array of sensitive detectors built of the EJ-299-34 plastic scintillators [26]. Each scintillator element has a PMT attached to it, which allows for an easy localisation of the particle interaction. Experiments performed with multiple neutron sources of similar strength present reasonable localisation accuracy, without the need for complicated analysis.

Based on author's preceding work, in this paper, MCNPX code was used to investigate the suitability of three W-¹¹³Cd compositions for a portable scintillator based coded-aperture neutron imaging system. Each material was examined with ²⁵²Cf and ²⁴¹AmBe radiation sources to observe its behaviour in different environments with varied neutron energy spectra. In each case neutron and photon energy spectra were manually defined for the sources specified. As a result, the potential of simultaneous neutron and gamma-ray sources identification was examined. In contrast to the aforementioned CANISs an array of small scintillation detector bars was constructed, whose each detector bar is to be linked to a single pixel of H9500 Hamamatsu MAMPT [27], in order to infer the location of the interaction.

2 Simulation based comparison of solid organic scintillators

2.1 Geometry and Physics

Real-life experimental scenario geometry - as available at Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK - has been used for the simulation based investigation performed in this study. Spontaneous fission source 252 Cf of 2.65 years half-life and average neutron energy spectrum of 2.1 MeV is stored in the centre of a water-filled metal tank. During experiments the source is pneumatically released and moved towards the edge of the side of the tank (for the purposes of this simulation based study the source is retained in the released position). Detectors are normally placed in closed vicinity to the edge of the tank as shown in Fig. 1. There is also a 5 cm thick Pb block placed adjacent to the tank in order to reduce the number of gamma-ray photons reaching the sensitive detector. Similar approach has been previously adopted by Zaitseva et al. [8], where 5.1 cm of Pb reduced the number of gamma-ray photons to the same number as neutrons.

Fast neutrons are most likely to undergo elastic scattering with a proton, as a result of an interaction with nuclei in matter. In a similar way, gamma-ray photons will interact with the organic scintillant through Compton scattering with an electron [28]. These neutron and photon collisions in matter were examined for three different organic scintillation detectors. Mixed-field detector assembly comprising cylindrical scintillator sample and a PMT enclosed in an aluminium housing was placed 15 cm away from the side of the tank, where the radiation source is located during experiments.



Figure 1: Geometry of the water-filled metal tank, where the 252 Cf fission source is kept at Lancaster University. Orange arrows point to the source location, when it is released for experiments, and to the placement of the sensitive detector.

For the purposes of the simulation work carried out in this study, neutron energy spectrum was defined using Watt fission spectrum. Gamma spectrum was defined based on the information presented by Valentine [29] and Gehrke et al. [30]. Energy spectra of both neutron and gamma-ray photons yielded by the unshielded ²⁵²Cf were recorded in MCNPX using a small volume (cylindrical) stilbene detector. These are used for reference, and are contrasted with the flux measurement at the location within the experimental geometry, where the scintillator samples were later placed. Particle flux measurements, as shown in Fig. 2., illustrate the scale of moderation provided by the water tank where the source is normally kept.

Solid plastic scintillator sample was modelled based on the information provided in EJ-299-33/34 data sheet from Eljen Technology [26]. In a similar way, single stilbene crystal was modelled using the information provided by Inrad Optics [31], whereas ⁶Li-loaded plastic scintillator was modelled based on the data obtained from Balmer et al. [32]. Each scintillator sample, placed in a cylindrical Al enclosure, was in turn irradiated with the ²⁵²Cf source. Walls surrounding the water tank were built of concrete



Figure 2: Unshielded and heavily-shielded (by means of water) particle energy spectra of 252 Cf for: a) neutrons and b) gamma-ray photons.

completing the model of the neutron laboratory. The laboratory was filled with air to resemble the factual experimental environment.

3 Coded-aperture optimisation

3.1 Geometry and Physics

Based on the authors' preceding work, coded-aperture model examined in this work, was rank-7 MURA design. Detailed description of the design process and initial feasibility study can be found here [22]. It is worth noticing at this point that there is a correlation between the rank of the aperture and the resolution of the reconstructed image. Generally, the resolution increases with the growing rank of the aperture. However, higher rank apertures can be difficult to manufacture in materials, such as W and ¹¹³Cd, due to fragility of very small elements. Moreover, it is the thickness of the aperture that contributes in a greater way to the performance of the imaging system, as shown by Gmar et al. [33], when the aperture is required to successfully block the incoming particles in the opaque elements.

Due to neutron source localisation issues identified in the initial work, a square collimator was added to the original design, aiming to prevent particles from escaping the geometry around the aperture. The new geometry is presented in Fig. 3. Further, gamma-ray photon spectrum was added in order to reflect the real-life scenario more closely. The dimensions of a single aperture cell, as well as the dimensions of an individual detector cell were adjusted to the dimensions of a single anode of Hamamatsu's H9500 MAPMT.

The coded-aperture model - shown in green in Fig. 3. - was in turn exposed to ²⁵²Cf (spontaneous fission) and ²⁴¹AmBe neutron source. In each case, the radiation source was located 18 cm away from the aperture front. The sensitive detector thickness was set to 15 mm and was placed 50 mm behind the aperture; 10 mm thick square collimator encloses the modelled set-up as presented in Fig. 3. Geometry presented in Fig. 3. is a not to scale representation of the testing environment generated in SuperMC software. Properties such as thickness and materials were then manually adjusted to a specific simulation scenario in MCNPX input files. The presented MCNPX geometry was placed in an air-filled sphere.

Single stilbene crystal showed a very good neutron detection performance, when tested with neutron spectrum of 252 Cf in the previous study [22]. Its neutron/gamma detection performance was further investigated in the first part of this work. It also presents best neutron/gamma sensitivity out the three samples tested as evidenced by the results presented in section 3. of this paper. Thus, single stilbene crystal was used to build the pixelated sensitive detector, as represented in yellow in Fig. 3.

With the various radiation sources placed in turn 18 cm away from the aperture front neutron and gamma-ray photon fluxes, as well as energy deposited in the individual sensitive detector cells were recorded for each coded-aperture material composition and thickness investigated in this study. Three different W and ¹¹³Cd compositions (25% W, 75% ¹¹³Cd; 50% W, 50%¹¹³Cd; 75% W, 25% ¹¹³Cd) were



Figure 3: Geometry modelled in SuperMC was translated to MCNPX particle transport code [34]. Collimator is shown in grey, coded-aperture in green and sensitive detector in yellow.

examined. Based on the authors' initial study the thickness selected for testing was 25.4 mm [22].

4 Results

4.1 Comparison of the three scintillation samples

Three plastic scintillator samples were in turn exposed to the heavily-shielded 252 Cf fission source and their relative neutron and gamma-ray photon fluxes compared. The resulting plots against neutron and photon energy are presented in Fig. 4. (a) and (b), respectively. A single simulation run consisted of 10^9 particle histories generated and particle flux in a cell was recorded for each scintillation sample. Uncertainty for each simulation run was below the confidence level of 0.05%.

Relative neutron and gamma-ray photon energy spectra measured from the unshielded fission spectrum of 252 Cf (Fig. 2(a)) can be compared to the results obtained for individual scintillator cell when the source energy is shielded through the water in the tank (Fig 4(a)). While it can be observed that the measured neutron flux is greatly reduced, the neutron energy spectrum resembles the distribution of the unshielded source for all three samples.

Gamma-ray photon spectrum of the unshielded source (Fig. 2(b)) on the other hand is significantly altered through the metal tank and Pb shielding provided (Fig. 4(b)). Based on the dissimilarity it can be concluded that most of gamma-ray photons produced by spontaneous fission 252 Cf have been successfully shielded by the Pb block located between the tank and the sensitive detector. The resulting peaks between 6-10 MeV are the result of neutron interactions with water in the tank, as well as neutron interactions with air around the detector, which are both accompanied by gamma release. There is also very little fluctuations between the photon flux measurements for different scintillators eliminating the scintillator from being the source of these species.

Additionally, the particle tracking card (PTRAC) was utilised to investigate 10^4 entries to the scintillator cell in order to estimate the highest neutron detection efficiency. Neutron interactions such as elastic scattering, inelastic scattering and capture events were recorded. The ²⁵²Cf spontaneous fission source was defined as a point isotropic source, placed in a small Al capsule, as it is stored in real-life conditions at Lancaster University. The highest number of neutron interactions was recorded in the ⁶Li-loaded plastic scintillator - 9905, which was followed by the single stilbene crystal - 8503 and the pure plastic sample - 8442. The highest neutron efficiency of the ⁶Li-loaded sample is in this case associated with the loading of the scintillator, which allows thermal neutron detection due to high neutron absorption cross-section of the 6 Li. In this case, the plastic scintillator was loaded with 0.14% fractional mass of 6 Li.

The remaining 100-1500 interactions detected using PTRAC card within the scintillator cell were associated with gamma-ray induced Compton scattering events. Such results would suggest an unrealistic ratio between neutron and gamma-ray events detected. However, further investigation revealed that many thousands of neutron events detected within the scintillator do not originate in the 252 Cf source. In contrast to gamma-ray photons, which are successfully moderated through the Pb block and then further through the concrete walls of the neutron laboratory, neutrons are scattered by H molecules within the surrounding walls and can re-enter the scintillator cell, falsely increasing the number of neutron counts in the scintillator.



Figure 4: Relative particle fluxes for the three scintillator samples simulated and plotted against 100 keV energy bins for a) neutrons and b) gamma-ray photons

4.2 Coded-aperture optimisation

Neutron and photon flux measurements recorded for the individual cells of the sensitive detector have been utilised to investigate neutron blocking properties of the chosen material compositions as described in section 3. Total flux detected, as well as energy deposited, in a single detector cell were read via bespoke Matlab scripts to build a projection of the source, as seen through the coded-aperture. These unprocessed images were expected to closely reflect transparent and opaque elements of the codedaperture pattern. For clarity the coded-aperture pattern exploited in this study is shown in Fig. 5., which is then further compared to the projections obtained.

Results of the relative neutron flux passing through a detector cell in Fig. 6. present a good resemblance of the pattern from Fig. 5. The central opaque horizontal line in Fig. 5. can be identified with a strip of low neutron flux crossing the projections around zero on the y-axis. Furthermore, the transparent elements of the pattern from Fig. 5. can be matched with the areas of the higher flux; the main vertical line crossing the images around zero on the x-axis. Although the three different compositions yield a very similar performance, the images presented in Fig. 6(i) and Fig. 6(j) claim the clearest separation between the areas of high and low neutron flux, which is of vital importance when coded-aperture decoding algorithm is applied in order to obtain the location of the radiation source. Despite the difference in the neutron fluxes measured for the different radiation sources investigated, as evidenced by the difference of the colour intensity in Fig. 6, coded-aperture patterns are distinguishable for both simulated sources.

In a similar way, gamma-ray photon flux was measured in the sensitive detector (Fig. 7.). As expected, the images reconstructed with regards to the gamma-ray flux passing through the sensitive detector show an even greater resemblance to the rank-7 coded-aperture pattern used. It is predominantly due to the very good gamma-ray shielding properties of the high Z element - W. It interacts with gamma-rays of



Figure 5: Rank 7 coded-aperture. Transparent and opaque elements are presented in white and black, respectively. With 84 transparent and 85 opaque elements, the modelled aperture yields 49.7% transparency. A frame of was added around the 13x13 aperture to represent clear boundaries. Taken from [22]



Figure 6: Neutron flux and energy deposition projected on the sensitive detector through the codedaperture of three W-¹¹³Cd compositions. Columns 1 and 2 shown neutron flux and columns 3 and 4 energy deposition for two different sources a) & c) W-25\%, ¹¹³Cd-75\% with ²⁴¹AmBe b) & d) W-25\%, ¹¹³Cd-75\% with ²⁵²Cf e) & g) W-50\%, ¹¹³Cd-50\% with ²⁴¹AmBe f) & (h) W-50\%, ¹¹³Cd-50\% with ²⁵²Cf i) & k) W-75\%, ¹¹³Cd-25\% with ²⁴¹AmBe and j) & l) W-75\%, ¹¹³Cd-25\% with ²⁵²Cf. Intensity scale was normalised for all images to between $1e^{-7}$ and $1e^{-3}$ MeV/cm².

energies up to approximately 1.5 MeV through Compton scattering and photoelectric effect. Hence, the difference between the modulation of the particle fields between 241 AmBe and 252 Cf is not as evident as for neutrons.

In addition to neutron and gamma-ray fluxes passing through a detector cell, energy deposition in a cell was also investigated. Pulse height tally (F8) scores in the detector's cells were mapped on to a square array to reconstruct the projections. In the case of neutron energy deposition (Fig. 6. columns 3 and 4), source reconstruction may be difficult due to unclear pictures, when contrasted with the coded-aperture pattern from Fig. 5. The vertical line of transparent elements crossing through the coded-aperture centre, which was easily identifiable in particle flux figures, can only be discerned with difficulty energy deposition distributions. There is a tendency that can be observed across gamma-ray measurements, where the increase of W content in the composition is proportional to the increase of the projections' quality. Furthermore, there is a clear difference in particle modulation quality between the projections obtained in Fig. 7 (Columns 3 and 4) for ²⁴¹AmBe and ²⁵²Cf. When compared with the corresponding results of gamma-ray flux measurement (Fig. 7. Columns 1 and 2) the quality of the image is significantly lower. It suggests that a large number of gamma-ray photons passes through the detector cells without depositing energy.

4.3 Source reconstruction

The results presented in the previous subsection were further processed via deconvolution algorithm implemented in a custom Matlab script. The process involves deconvolution of the input array (raw projections presented in the previous subsection) with the decoding array. It results in an array, whose expected outcome is a single impulse response, marking the location of the source. The decoding array is specific for a coded-aperture design of a set rank. Further details about the decoding process are presented here [22].

Reconstruction plots presented in Fig. 8. - 9. show a good agreement with the results of the 'raw' data - before the decoding algorithm was applied. In the same way as the 'raw' data images, particle flux



Figure 7: Gamma-ray photon flux and energy deposition projected on the sensitive detector through the coded-aperture of three W-¹¹³Cd compositions. Columns 1 and 2 shown neutron flux and columns 3 and 4 energy deposition for two different sources a) & c) W-25\%, ¹¹³Cd-75\% with ²⁴¹AmBe b) & d) W-25\%, ¹¹³Cd-75\% with ²⁵²Cf e) & g) W-50\%, ¹¹³Cd-50\% with ²⁴¹AmBe f) & (h) W-50\%, ¹¹³Cd-50\% with ²⁵²Cf i) & k) W-75\%, ¹¹³Cd-25\% with ²⁴¹AmBe and j) & l) W-75\%, ¹¹³Cd-25\% with ²⁵²Cf. Intensity scale was normalised for all images to between $1e^{-7}$ and $1e^{-3}$ MeV/cm².

measurements (Fig. 6. and Fig. 7. Columns 1 and 2) present the most accurate exemplification of the location of the radiation source. Single hotspots can be clearly distinguished for neutron and gamma-ray images for both sources simulated. Moreover, the hotspot location in the middle of the reconstructed image claims 100% accuracy with the source coordinates specified as (0.0, 0.0, 0.0).

Images in Fig. 9. (Columns 3 and 4) present the source reconstruction based on the measurement of the energy deposition in a cell. A significant drop in the localisation accuracy, caused by the identification of multiple hotspots, is observed in many cases, with W-25%, ¹¹³Cd-75% composition being mostly affected. There is also a general tendency that can be discerned across all the reconstructed images; the localisation accuracy is proportional to the increased W content in the composition. Furthermore, the images in Fig. 9. (Columns 3 and 4) suggest a considerably greater detection accuracy for ²⁵²Cf than for ²⁴¹AmBe.

5 Discussion

Based on the results presented in section 4.1. the most suitable candidate for the mixed-field imaging system for nuclear decommissioning applications would be the single stilbene crystal. Relative neutron energy flux measured was the highest in the crystal out of the three samples tested. The number of PTRAC interactions recorded, which was limited to 10,000 revealed that due to neutron capture events there were more neutron interactions in ⁶Li-loaded plastic scintillator than in pure plastic or crystal. Devices used for mixed-field characterisation necessitate better neutron to gamma detection ratio, if these are to be used to simultaneously identify neutron and gamma-ray sources. Previous experimental study of ⁶Li-loaded plastic scintillator shows good thermal neutron detection performance. However, it also reveals that fast neutrons and gamma-ray photons are difficult to separate using PSD with this scintillator, especially when exposed to 252 Cf field [32].

Another study investigating ⁶Li-loaded plastic scintillators claims even better PSD separation performance [35]. In a similar way to Balmer et al. [32] Cherepy et al. [35] uses figure-of-merit (FOM) for PSD



Figure 8: Neutron source reconstruction results based on particle flux measurements (Columns 1 and 2) and energy deposition (Columns 3 and 4) for W-¹¹³Cd compositions a) & c) W-25\%, ¹¹³Cd-75\% with ²⁴¹AmBe b) & d) W-25\%, ¹¹³Cd-75\% with ²⁵²Cf e) & g) W-50\%, ¹¹³Cd-50\% with ²⁴¹AmBe f) & (h) W-50\%, ¹¹³Cd-50\% with ²⁵²Cf i) & k) W-75\%, ¹¹³Cd-25\% with ²⁴¹AmBe and j) & l) W-75\%, ¹¹³Cd-25\% with ²⁵²Cf. Intensity scale was normalised for all images to between $1e^{-7}$ and $1e^{-3}$ MeV/cm².



Figure 9: Gamma-ray source reconstruction results based on particle flux measurements (Columns 1 and 2) and energy deposition (Columns 3 and 4) for W-¹¹³Cd compositions a) & c) W-25\%, ¹¹³Cd-75\% with ²⁴¹AmBe b) & d) W-25\%, ¹¹³Cd-75\% with ²⁵²Cf e) & g) W-50\%, ¹¹³Cd-50\% with ²⁴¹AmBe f) & (h) W-50\%, ¹¹³Cd-50\% with ²⁵²Cf i) & k) W-75\%, ¹¹³Cd-25\% with ²⁴¹AmBe and j) & l) W-75\%, ¹¹³Cd-25\% with ²⁵²Cf. Intensity scale was normalised for all images to between $1e^{-7}$ and $1e^{-3}$ MeV/cm².

applications to estimate neutron/gamma separation performance of the scintillator [28]. The Cherepy et al. study claims the FOM of 1.4 in the 350 to 450 keVee energy range, which is approximately twice as high as in Balmer et al. The difference between the two studies lies most likely in the amount of ⁶Li doping. Moreover, both of these experiments were performed in a controlled environment, enabling the neutrons from 252 Cf to be sufficiently thermalized for the neutron capture on ⁶Li. However, authors' recent experimental study of the ⁶Li-loaded scintillator shows that it fails to separate fast neutrons and gamma-ray photons from 252 Cf fission source, when the energy spectrum is modulated by means of water (in exactly the same way as simulated in this study) [36].

Furthermore, the absence of sufficient moderation (by means of Bonner Sphere or Polyethylene layer, as in the studies by Balmer et al. and Cherepy et al., respectively) relates to the lack of the peak resulting from ⁶Li neutron capture interaction around 400 keVee in Fig. 4. Neutron energy spectrum from the heavily-shielded ²⁵²Cf at Lancaster University peaks at approximately 0.7-0.9 MeV, as shown in Fig. 2(a). This is further supported by the aforementioned authors' experimental work, where thermal neutron peak was not detected and the ⁶Li-loaded scintillator did not discriminate between neturons and gamma-ray photons at this energy level [36].

Although the single stilbene crystal presents the best neutron/gamma detection performance out of the three samples tested in this study its practical implementation can be prevented by the high manufacturing cost and little performance gain. Pure plastic scintillator sample shows only slightly inferior neutron/gamma detection performance, which relates to the neutron energy detection spectrum. Moreover, crystal scintillators are more susceptible to mechanical damage during transportation. Pure plastic scintillator such as EJ-299-34 does not only claim good PSD performance, even with relatively low neutron energy spectrum of ²⁵²Cf but can also be machined to high precision for small imaging arrays [8, 26].

There is a general trend that can be observed across the results of the second part of the study. In all the cases considered the higher W content in the aperture material composition provides the most effective neutron/gamma field modulation. Thus, the W-75%, ¹¹³Cd-25% composition offers the most accurate reconstruction of the simulated radiation source. However, the modulation performance of each material (and the source localisation as a result) is affected by the energy spectrum of the source.

With the average neutron energy spectrum of ²⁴¹AmBe higher than ²⁵²Cf, the corresponding reconstructed source localisation images in Fig. 8. and Fig. 9. show greater intensity of the former. Despite the difference in the level of intensity, the localisation efficiency is comparable for both sources with only one clear neutron hotspot identified in the mentioned cases. There is a good agreement between the results based on neutron flux and energy deposited, as far as single hotspot identification is considered.

Gamma-ray source localisation on the other hand, is more efficient when particle flux is considered for the reconstruction. Fig. 9. (Columns 1 and 2) presents an ideal source reconstruction with a single hotspot easily-identifiable in the centre of the image. In contrast, the energy deposited based images of ²⁴¹AmBe in Fig. 9. (Column 3) show poor source detection performance. In line with the previously made claim, the performance increases with the increased W content. This is observed due to a very high number of 4.4 MeV gamma-ray photons emitted form ²⁴¹AmBe. Therefore, the higher W content enables greater absorption of these gamma-rays in the aperture which in turn results in higher quality reconstruction. Nonetheless, multiple gamma-ray hotspots identified for ²⁴¹AmBe in Fig. 9. (Column 3) prevent reliable gamma-ray source detection and localisation. As the average gamma-ray spectrum of spontaneous fission ²⁵²Cf source is much lower, even the lowest W content allows for the radiation source to be effectively reconstructed.

Based on the results presented the most suitable composition for the coded-aperture material would be W-75%, ¹¹³Cd-25%. However, the W-50%, ¹¹³Cd-50% composition claims only marginally inferior neutron/gamma field modulation performance. For practical application factors such as machining difficulty and the specific energy spectra would need to be considered. Since this study is aimed at mixed-field detection and characterisation of the radioactive sources with energy spectra similar to ²⁵²Cf, one of the identified compositions could be considered for implementation.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the funding support from EPSRC (grant number EP/M507891/1) via Faculty of Science and Technology, Lancaster University, UK and Sellafield Ltd. We also acknowledge

the help and advice of Dr Matthew Balmer and Mr Mauro Licata at Lancaster University, UK. The authors acknowledge the use of SimpleGeo visualisation program and the Matplotlib package in this research [37] [38].

References

- T. Szczesniak, M. Moszynski, A. Syntfeld-Kazuch, L. Swiderski, D. Wolski, M. Grodzicka, G. Pausch, J. R. Stein, F. Kniest, M. R. Kusner, P. Schotanus, C. Hurlbut, Light pulse shapes in liquid scintillators originating from gamma-rays and neutrons, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 57 (6) (2010) 3846–3852. doi:10.1109/TNS.2010.2068311.
- [2] K. A. A. Gamage, M. J. Joyce, G. C. Taylor, A digital approach to neutron-γ imaging with a narrow tungsten collimator aperture and a fast organic liquid scintillator detector., Applied radiation and isotopes : including data, instrumentation and methods for use in agriculture, industry and medicine 70 (7) (2012) 1223–7. doi:10.1016/j.apradiso.2012.01.021.
- [3] A. Kaplan, M. Flaska, A. Enqvist, J. Dolan, S. Pozzi, EJ-309 pulse shape discrimination performance with a high gamma-ray-to-neutron ratio and low threshold, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 729 (2013) 463–468. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2013.07.081.
- [4] A. Jones, M. Joyce, The angular dependence of pulse shape discrimination and detection sensitivity in cylindrical and cubic EJ-309 organic liquid scintillators, Journal of Instrumentation 12 (01) (2017) T01005-T01005. doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/T01005.
- [5] F. Brooks, A scintillation counter with neutron and gamma-ray discriminators, Nuclear Instruments and Methods 4 (3) (1959) 151 - 163. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0029-554X(59)90067-9.
- [6] K. Gamage, G. Taylor, Neutron gamma fraction imaging: Detection, location and identification of neutron sources, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 788 (2015) 9–12. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2015. 03.072.
- [7] G. Hull, N. P. Zaitseva, N. J. Cherepy, J. R. Newby, W. Stoeffl, S. A. Payne, New organic crystals for pulse shape discrimination, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 56 (3) (2009) 899–903. doi: 10.1109/TNS.2009.2015944.
- [8] N. Zaitseva, B. L. Rupert, I. Pawełczak, A. Glenn, H. P. Martinez, L. Carman, M. Faust, N. Cherepy, S. Payne, Plastic scintillators with efficient neutron/gamma pulse shape discrimination, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 668 (2012) 88–93. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2011.11.071.
- [9] Eljen Technology, Neutron/Gamma PSD Liquid Scintillator EJ-301, EJ-309 (11 2016).
- [10] M. Bourne, S. Clarke, N. Adamowicz, S. Pozzi, N. Zaitseva, L. Carman, Neutron detection in a highgamma field using solution-grown stilbene, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 806 (2016) 348–355. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2015.10.025.
- [11] D. B. Pelowitz, MCNPX USER'S MANUAL Version 2.7.0 (2011).
- [12] M. Gerrit, M. L. Ruch, A. Poitrasson-riviere, A. Sagadevan, S. D. Clarke, S. Pozzi, Organic liquid scintillation detectors for on-the-fly neutron / gamma alarming and radionuclide identification in a pedestrian radiation portal monitor, Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A 789 (2015) 16–27. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2015.03.088.
- [13] A. Poitrasson-rivière, J. K. Polack, M. C. Hamel, D. D. Klemm, K. Ito, A. T. Mcspaden, M. Flaska, S. D. Clarke, S. A. Pozzi, A. Tomanin, P. Peerani, Angular-resolution and material-characterization measurements for a dual-particle imaging system with mixed-oxide fuel, Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A 797 (2015) 278–284. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2015.06.045.

- [14] J. S. Beaumont, B. A. Shippen, M. P. Mellor, M. J. Joyce, Imaging of fast neutrons and gamma rays from environment Cf in a heavily shielded, Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A 847 (November 2016) (2017) 77–85. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2016.11.043.
- [15] P. Besson, J. Faure, P. Goret, J. Clemens, B. Ille, J. Peigneux, O. Gal, C. Izac, F. Lainé, A. Nguyen, CARTOGAM: a portable gamma camera, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 387 (1) (1997) 297– 303. doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(96)01013-3.
- [16] S. V. Guru, Z. He, D. K. Wehe, G. F. Knoll, R. H. Redus, M. Squillante, Portable high energy gamma ray imagers, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A 378 (3) (1996) 612—619. doi:10.1016/0168-9002(96)00265-3.
- [17] J. Beaumont, B. Colling, M. P. Mellor, M. J. Joyce, On the design and test of a neutron collimator for real-time neutron imaging in the mev energy range, in: 2013 3rd International Conference on Advancements in Nuclear Instrumentation, Measurement Methods and their Applications (ANIMMA), 2013, pp. 1–8. doi:10.1109/ANIMA.2013.6728019.
- [18] E. E. Fenimore, T. M. Cannon, Coded aperture imaging with uniformly redundant arrays., Applied optics 17 (3) (1978) 337–347. doi:10.1364/A0.17.000337.
- [19] S. R. Gottesman, E. E. Fenimore, New family of binary arrays for coded aperture imaging., Applied optics 28 (20) (1989) 4344-4352. doi:10.1364/A0.28.004344.
- [20] E. Del Monte, E. Costa, G. Di Persio, I. Donnarumma, Y. Evangelista, M. Feroci, M. Frutti, I. Lapshov, F. Lazzarotto, M. Mastropietro, E. Morelli, L. Pacciani, G. Porrovecchio, M. Rapisarda, A. Rubini, P. Soffitta, M. Tavani, A. Argan, An X-ray imager based on silicon microstrip detector and coded mask, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 576 (1) (2007) 191–193. doi:10.1016/j.nima. 2007.01.150.
- [21] R. Accorsi, R. C. Lanza, Near-field artifact reduction in planar coded aperture imaging, Applied Optics 40 (26) (2001) 4697–4705. doi:10.1364/A0.40.004697.
- [22] M. J. Cieślak, K. A. Gamage, R. Glover, Coded-aperture imaging systems: Past, present and future development – A review, Radiation Measurements 92 (2016) 59–71. doi:10.1016/j.radmeas.2016. 08.002.
- [23] P. Marleau, J. Brennan, E. Brubaker, J. Steele, Results from the coded aperture neutron imaging system, IEEE Nuclear Science Symposuim & Medical Imaging Conference (2010) 1640–1646doi: 10.1109/NSSMIC.2010.5874054.
- [24] R. S. Woolf, B. F. Phlips, A. L. Hutcheson, E. A. Wulf, Fast-neutron, coded-aperture imager, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 784 (2015) 398–404. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2015.01.084.
- [25] P. Hausladen, J. Newby, F. Liang, M. Blackston, The Deployable Fast-Neutron Coded- Aperture Imager : Demonstration of Locating One or More Sources in Three Dimensions Prepared by.
- [26] Eljen Technology, Neutron/Gamma PSD Liquid Scintillator EJ-299-33A, EJ-299-34 (11 2016).
- [27] Hamamatsu Photonics, Flat Panel Type Multianode Photomultiplier Tube Assembly H9500, H9500-03 (04 2015).
- [28] G. F. Knoll, Radiation Detection and Measurement, 4th Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, 2010.
- [29] T. E. Valentine, EVALUATION OF PROMPT FISSION GAMMA RAYS FOR USE IN SIMULAT-ING NUCLEAR SAFEGUARD MEASUREMENTS (October).

- [30] R. Gehrke, R. Aryaeinejad, J. Hartwell, W. Yoon, E. Reber, J. Davidson, The γ-ray spectrum of 252Cf and the information contained within it, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms 213 (2004) 10–21. doi:10.1016/ S0168-583X(03)01526-X.
- [31] Inrad Optics, Stilbene Single Crystals, Data sheet.
- [32] M. J. Balmer, K. A. Gamage, G. C. Taylor, Comparative analysis of pulse shape discrimination methods in a 6Li-loaded plastic scintillator, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 788 (2015) 146–153. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2015.03.089.
- [33] M. Gmar, M. Agelou, F. Carrel, V. Shoepff, GAMPIX: A new generation of gamma camera, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 652 (2011) 638–640. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2010.09.003.
- [34] Y. Wu, J. Song, H. Zheng, G. Sun, L. Hao, P. Long, L. Hu, CAD-based Monte Carlo program for integrated simulation of nuclear system SuperMC, Annals of Nuclear Energy 82 (2015) 161–168. doi:10.1016/j.anucene.2014.08.058.
- [35] N. J. Cherepy, R. D. Sanner, P. R. Beck, E. L. Swanberg, T. M. Tillotson, S. A. Payne, C. R. Hurlbut, Bismuth- and lithium-loaded plastic scintillators for gamma and neutron detection, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 778 (2015) 126–132. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2015.01.008.
- [36] M. J. Cieślak, K. A. A. Gamage, R. Glover, Pulse shape discrimination characteristics of stilbene crystal, pure and 6Li loaded plastic scintillators for a high resolution coded-aperture neutron imager, Journal of Instrumentation 12 (2017). doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/07/P07023.
- [37] C. Theis, K. H. Buchegger, M. Brugger, D. Forkel-Wirth, S. Roesler, H. Vincke Interactive threedimensional visualization and creation of geometries for Monte Carlo calculations, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 562 (2006) 827–829. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2006.02.125.
- [38] John D. Hunter, Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment, Computing in Science & Engineering, 9, 2007, 90-95. doi:10.1109/MCSE.2007.55.