

1 **Do doctors' attachment styles and emotional intelligence influence patients' emotional**
2 **expressions in primary care consultations? An exploratory study using multilevel**
3 **analysis**

4
5 M. Gemma Cherry¹, Ian Fletcher², Damon Berridge³, Helen O'Sullivan⁴

6
7 ¹ Department of Psychological Sciences, University of Liverpool, UK

8 ² Division of Health Research, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

9 ³ Swansea University Medical School, Swansea University, UK

10 ⁴ School of Medicine, University of Liverpool, UK

11

12

13 Correspondence to:

14 M. Gemma Cherry, Department of Psychological Sciences, B212, Block B, Waterhouse
15 Building, University of Liverpool, Dover Street, Liverpool, UK. E: gcherry@liv.ac.uk; T:
16 0151 7955364. F: 0151 7945537

17

18 **Funding**

19 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
20 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

21

22

1 **Abstract**

2 *Objective:* To investigate whether and how doctors' attachment styles and emotional
3 intelligence (EI) might influence patients' emotional expressions in general practice
4 consultations.

5 *Methods:* Video recordings of 26 junior doctors consulting with 173 patients were coded
6 using the Verona Coding Definition of Emotional Sequences (VR-CoDES). Doctors'
7 attachment style was scored across two dimensions, avoidance and anxiety, using the
8 Experiences in Close Relationships: Short Form questionnaire. EI was assessed with the
9 Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test. Multilevel Poisson regressions modelled
10 the probability of patients' expressing emotional distress, considering doctors' attachment
11 styles and EI and demographic and contextual factors.

12 *Results:* Both attachment styles and EI were significantly associated with frequency of
13 patients' cues, with patient- and doctor-level explanatory variables accounting for 42% of the
14 variance in patients' cues. The relative contribution of attachment styles and EI varied
15 depending on whether patients' presenting complaints were physical or psychosocial in
16 nature.

17 *Conclusion:* Doctors' attachment styles and levels of EI are associated with patients'
18 emotional expressions in primary care consultations. Further research is needed to investigate
19 how these two variables interact and influence provider responses and patient outcomes.

20 *Practice Implications:* Understanding how doctors' psychological characteristics influence
21 PPC may help to optimise undergraduate and postgraduate medical education.

22

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Effective patient-provider communication (PPC) is an integral part of high-quality healthcare
3 [1, 2]. In addition to aiding effective diagnosis, treatment, referral and decision-making,
4 effective PPC confers a number of patient benefits, including greater satisfaction with the
5 standard of care, increased understanding of health concerns and treatment options, better
6 recall of information and increased treatment adherence [3-10]. As such, PPC is identified by
7 regulatory bodies as a core component of clinical practice [11, 12], and is an integral part of
8 undergraduate and postgraduate medical education curricula worldwide [1, 13-16].

9 Effective PPC arguably plays a particularly valuable role in primary care, given that, in the
10 United Kingdom, primary care consultations often represent patients' first access to medical
11 or mental health services [17], yet last, on average, only 7 to 10 minutes [18]. However, there
12 remains substantial variation in primary care providers' ability to identify and respond to
13 patients displaying signs of emotional distress, indicating a need for targeted investigation of
14 the factors associated with individual differences in their PPC [19]. Two related
15 psychological theories may provide a theoretical framework for understanding why providers
16 demonstrate different PPC behaviours when faced with the same situational stimuli:
17 attachment theory, and the theory of emotional intelligence (EI) [20-25][26-34].

18 Attachment theory is a theory of psychosocial development, which posits that individuals
19 form enduring patterns of interpersonal behaviour through internalisation of interactions with
20 their primary carer(s) in infancy [35]. These patterns are represented cognitively in the form
21 of an internal working model (IWM) of attachment, which subsequently influences behaviour
22 in close relationships throughout the lifespan, particularly care-giving or care-seeking
23 relationships such as the patient-provider relationship [23, 35]. Two main dimensions of adult
24 attachment have been proposed: attachment anxiety (characterised by habitual preoccupation
25 and over-involvement in close relationships combined with fear of abandonment), and
26 attachment avoidance (characterised by difficulty in trusting others, devaluation of close
27 relationships and avoidance of intimacy) [36]. Emotional intelligence develops in childhood
28 partly as a function of attachment style [37], and can broadly be defined as the ability to
29 understand, perceive, use and manage their own and others' emotions [38]. As such, EI is a
30 multifaceted ability which encompasses skills in not only empathy (the ability to understand
31 and share another's emotions) but also in emotional regulation, management and self-
32 perception [38].

33 Prior research indicates that both attachment style and EI are independently associated with
34 PPC, particularly providers' abilities to acknowledge and respond to patients' cues of

1 emotional distress [20, 22, 39-42]. However, whilst attachment is thought to remain relatively
2 stable throughout the lifespan [43], EI is developmental [44] and can be enhanced throughout
3 medical education using targeted educational interventions [45, 46].
4 Informed by these data, we developed a theoretically-informed model of PPC in which we
5 hypothesised that attachment would indirectly influence providers' PPC by negatively
6 influencing their EI. We tested this model in first- and second-year medical students,
7 communicating in a summative Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCE) [20, 22].
8 In both studies, support for this model was gained, but interestingly, EI had a stronger
9 influence when more global PPC competence was considered [47]. Collectively, these data
10 provide insight into the influence of early-year medical students' attachment styles and EI on
11 their PPC during early undergraduate medical education, and have important educational
12 implications for undergraduate medical curricula. However, the generalisability of these
13 findings to real life clinical practice is unclear, given that medical students' PPC with patients
14 in simulated settings may differ significantly from their PPC with real patients in a clinical
15 setting [48, 49]. The current study aims to build on the findings of Cherry et al. [20, 22] by
16 investigating whether and how doctors' attachment styles and emotional intelligence (EI)
17 influence real patients' emotional expressions in general practice (GP) consultations. By
18 doing so, we will be better able to make theoretically-informed and evidence-based
19 suggestions on how to improve undergraduate and postgraduate training and education.

20 **2. Methods**

21 **2.1 Ethical approval**

22 UK National Health Service (NHS) ethical approval was granted (reference 10/H1005/64).

23 **2.2 Participants and procedure**

24 Junior doctors and their patients were recruited from 20 GP practices within North West
25 England, UK. Doctors were recruited during their GP placement; patients (aged 18 years or
26 over) were recruited in the order that they attended consecutive appointments with
27 participating GPs. Participation was voluntary and informed written consent was sought.
28 Consultations were video-recorded; the camera was only directed at the doctors, no physical
29 examinations were recorded and only the doctor and patient were present during the
30 consultation.

31 **2.3 Measures**

32 Patients completed a demographic questionnaire assessing age range, perceived health status,
33 and whether they had seen the doctor before. Doctors completed a demographic questionnaire
34 (assessing age, gender and ethnicity), a measure of adult attachment and a measure of EI.

1 *Adult attachment* was assessed using the 12-item Experiences in Close Relationships: Short
2 Form (ECR-SF) questionnaire [50]. Participants rate the extent to which each item describes
3 their feelings about close relationships (e.g. “I need a lot of reassurance that I am loved by
4 my partner”) using a 7-point Likert scale. Responses produce two subscale scores, attachment
5 avoidance and attachment anxiety, which correspond to the two-dimensional model of adult
6 attachment [36]. Both subscales range from six to 42, with low scores indicating low levels of
7 attachment avoidance and/or attachment anxiety. The ECR-SF demonstrates acceptable
8 construct validity with the original ECR, and displays good internal consistency and six-
9 month test-retest reliability [50]. We did not estimate the internal consistency of the ECR-SF
10 in this sample because our sample size did not exceed the minimum recommended sample
11 size for calculating Cronbach’s alpha (REF).

12 *EI* was assessed using the Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT)
13 [44], a 141-item ability-based measure of the perception, facilitation, understanding and
14 management of emotions in oneself and others. Responses produce four Branch scores
15 (Figure 1), from which Area and Total EI scores can be calculated. All are computed as
16 empirical percentages positioned on a normal distribution curve (mean = 100; standard
17 deviation = 15). The measure demonstrates high reliability (total EI score of 0.92,
18 experiential EI score of 0.90 and strategic EI score of 0.85 [44]); it was not possible to
19 determine the psychometric properties of the MSCEIT in this study given that scores are
20 computed by the test publisher.

21 **2.4 Coding Cues and Concerns**

22 The Verona Coding Definition of Emotional Sequences (VR-CoDES) [51], a well-validated
23 coding scheme, was used to code patients’ utterances of emotional distress. The VR-CoDES
24 handbook defines a cue as “a verbal or non-verbal hint which suggests an underlying
25 unpleasant emotion and that lacks clarity”, and a concern as “a clear and unambiguous
26 expression of an unpleasant current or recent emotion where the emotion is explicitly
27 verbalised” [51]. MGC was first trained in the use of the VR-CoDES by IF, an expert coder
28 who helped to develop the VR-CoDES. A random sample of 20 practice transcripts were
29 coded to establish inter-rater reliability; Krippendorff’s alpha was .93, indicating the MGC
30 was competent to code data independently. MGC coded all videos directly so as to preserve
31 tone of voice and context. Coding was overseen by IF.

32 **2.5 Analysis**

33 Cues and concerns were collapsed together (referred to as ‘cues/concerns’ from hereon in).
34 Pearson’s product-moment correlations, independent sample t-tests, Chi-squared tests and

1 one-way ANOVAs were used as appropriate for preliminary data exploration. Relevant
2 patient-level and doctor-level variables were then transformed into dummy variables for
3 analysis. A series of multilevel models investigated the predictive value of both patient-level
4 and doctor-level variables on the outcome measure. As patients (Level 1) were grouped
5 within doctors (Level 2), the general framework of multilevel models was assumed where the
6 dependent variable(s) were assumed to follow a distribution belonging to the exponential
7 family. A two-level random intercept Poisson model was fitted, in which patients were
8 assumed to be random units sampled from the larger patient population. Doctors' unique
9 study numbers were used to account for clustering at the doctor level (equivalent to
10 incorporating a doctor-specific random effect into the modelling framework). Number of cues
11 was first modelled as a function of the characteristics collected for each patient until a final
12 patient-level model was obtained. Backward selection was based on Wald tests and non-
13 significant covariates were removed from the model ($\alpha = .05$). All excluded covariates were
14 evaluated for their potential confounding effect by evaluating their influence on the
15 coefficient of the remaining variables in the model. Doctor-level explanatory variables were
16 then added to the model. Descriptive and exploratory analyses were performed in SPSS
17 20.0.1 [52]. Stata (version 12.0) was used to fit the Poisson models [53].

18 **3. Results**

19 **3.1 Sample characteristics**

20 The final sample comprised 26 doctors consulting with 173 patients. Doctors were primarily
21 White British ($n = 24$; 92.31%) and female ($n = 21$; 80.77%), with a mean age of 26.61 years
22 (SD = 3.32, range 24 to 38). The mean number of video-recorded consultations per doctor was
23 6.65 (SD = 1.92, range 4 to 11); mean consultation length was 17 minutes and 20 seconds
24 (SD = 56.40 seconds). Most patients were female ($n = 99$; 57.23%), aged between 25 and 44
25 years ($n = 65$; 37.57%) and rated their health as good, very good or excellent ($n = 134$;
26 77.45%). Two thirds of patients ($n = 112$; 64.74%) were consulting with the participating
27 doctor for the first time. Participating doctors recorded patients' presenting complaints to be
28 psychosocial in nature for 26 patients (15.03%) and physical for 147 patients (84.97 %).
29 Psychosocial presenting complaints included panic attacks, low mood, dissociation and
30 anxiety. Physical health complaints included chest infections, urinary tract infections, and
31 lower back pain.

1 Table 1 displays doctors' ECR:SF and MSCEIT scores. No significant differences in
2 participating doctors' scores were found according to their gender, age or ethnicity.
3 Significant negative correlations between attachment avoidance and Branch 1 (Perceiving
4 Emotions; $r = -.40, p < .05$), Area 1 (Strategic EI; $r = -.39, p < .05$) and total EI scores ($r = -$
5 $.43, p < .05$) were found. Attachment anxiety was not significantly correlated with any EI
6 score.

7 [INSERT TABLE 1 HERE]

8 **3.2 Number of cues/concerns and responses**

9 The mean number of cues/concerns per consultation was 2.33 ($SD = 3.86$, range 0-24); 79
10 consultations (45.67%) contained no cues. Patients with psychosocial complaints presented
11 significantly higher numbers of cues ($M = 5.02, SD = 4.64$) than those with patients with
12 physical health complaints ($M = 1.15, SD = 2.69$), $t(171) = 6.85, p = .00$. No significant
13 differences in the number of cues/concerns elicited per consultation were found relative to
14 either doctor or patient gender. Table 2 displays examples of cues and concerns presented
15 during consultations.

16 [INSERT TABLE 2 HERE]

17 **3.3 Multilevel modelling**

18 History with the doctor (i.e. whether it was the patient's first visit to the doctor) and type of
19 presenting complaint (i.e. psychosocial or physical) were included in the final patient-level
20 model. Both significantly influenced cue/concern presentation and increased the variation in
21 cue/concern presentation between doctors (Model 1 $\sigma_u = .51$ ($SE = .10$), Model 2 $\sigma_u = .61$
22 ($SE = .11$)), accounting for 31.47% of the variance in cue/concern presentation between
23 patients (calculated using proportionate change in log likelihood). Number of cues/concerns
24 was then modelled as a function of the characteristics collected for each doctor, which were
25 entered collectively into the final patient-level model. Attachment anxiety was the only
26 doctor-level explanatory variable significantly associated with cue presentation, with a
27 decrease of .11 cues/concerns per one unit increase in attachment anxiety ($p = .00$). Neither
28 total EI nor attachment avoidance significantly influenced cue/concern presentation.
29 Consideration of doctor-level explanatory variables further increased the variation in
30 cue/concern presentation between doctors (Model 2 $\sigma_u = .61$ ($SE = .11$), Model 3 $\sigma_u = .78$
31 ($SE = .16$)), accounting for an additional 2.94% of the variance in cue/concern presentation

1 between patients (calculated using proportionate change in log likelihood). To assess the
2 interaction between doctor-level characteristics and patients' presenting complaint, an
3 interaction variable was calculated for attachment avoidance, attachment anxiety and total EI
4 by multiplying each by the 'psychosocial' patient covariate. These interaction variables were
5 then entered collectively into Model 3 (Table 3).

6 [INSERT TABLE 3 HERE]

7 Attachment anxiety was significantly negatively associated with cue/concern presentation in
8 patients presenting with a physical health problem, with a decrease of .15 cues/concerns per
9 one unit increase in attachment anxiety ($p = .00$). There was no significant difference in effect
10 of attachment anxiety between those presenting with psychosocial health problems and those
11 presenting with physical health problems. Inclusion of the interaction terms to Model 3
12 resulted in a significant positive association between EI and cue/concern presentation, with a
13 decrease of .05 cues/concerns per one unit increase in total EI ($p = .00$) in patients presenting
14 with a physical health problem. There was a significant difference in the effect of total EI
15 between those presenting with psychosocial health problems and those presenting with
16 physical health problems, with an increase of .07 cues/concerns per one unit increase in total
17 EI ($p = .00$) in patients presenting with psychosocial health problems compared with those
18 presenting with physical health problems. Attachment avoidance had no influence on
19 cue/concern presentation in patients presenting with a physical health problem but
20 significantly positively influenced cue/concern presentation in patients presenting with
21 psychosocial health issues, with an increase of .23 cues/concerns per one unit increase in
22 attachment avoidance ($p = .00$) compared with those presenting with physical health
23 problems. Consideration of the interaction terms in addition to the doctor- and patient-level
24 variables in Model 3 reduced the variation in cue/concern presentation between doctors
25 (Model 2 $\sigma_u = .61$ ($SE = .11$), Model 3 $\sigma_u = .80$ ($SE = .16$)) and accounted for an additional
26 10.43% of the variance in cue/concern presentation between patients (calculated using
27 proportionate change in log likelihood).

28 **4. Discussion and Conclusions**

29 **4.1 Discussion**

30 This study investigated whether and how doctors' attachment styles and emotional
31 intelligence (EI) might influence patients' emotional expressions in GP consultations. Both

1 attachment and EI were significantly associated with patients' emotional expressions, with
2 patient- and doctor-level explanatory variables accounting for 41.90% of the variance in
3 patients' cue/concern presentation. Collectively, these data support previous findings and
4 indicate the importance of considering the influence of doctors' psychological characteristics
5 on PPC.

6 After controlling for significant patient-level explanatory variables, doctors' attachment
7 anxiety was significantly associated with patients' cue presentation, with a decrease of .11
8 cues per one unit increase in attachment anxiety. Attachment anxiety is characterised by
9 hyper activation of affect regulation strategies, in which the individual overreacts to negative
10 feelings in order to gain support from others [35]. As such, it is possible that doctors high in
11 attachment anxiety may have elicited fewer cues from patients than those lower in attachment
12 anxiety due to adopting an over-intensive questioning style when initially presented with
13 cues/concerns, thus resulting in less chance of patients re-presenting their cues/concerns [25,
14 41, 54, 55]. Interestingly, no differences were found in the effect of attachment anxiety on
15 cue presentation between patients presenting with psychosocial health problems and those
16 presenting with physical health problems, potentially indicating a standardised approach to
17 cue responding regardless of patients' presenting complaints. However, it must be stressed
18 that the focus of the study was on patients' cue presentation; because we did not consider
19 doctors' responses to patients' cues, this interpretation, although theoretically-informed,
20 should be considered speculative at present.

21 Whilst attachment avoidance had no influence on cue presentation in patients presenting with
22 a physical health problem, it significantly positively influenced cue presentation in patients
23 presenting with psychosocial health issues, with an increase of .23 cues per one unit increase
24 in attachment avoidance when compared to patients with physical health problems. Salmon et
25 al. [25] hypothesise that attachment processes are only activated in consultations
26 characterised by psychosocial discussion, such as those typical of patients presenting with
27 psychosocial health complaints. When presented with cues of emotional distress, doctors high
28 in attachment avoidance may withdraw from the doctor-patient interaction by demonstrating
29 less intensive and more evasive responses to cues, hence resulting in re-presentation of cues
30 from this patient group only. This explanation is in-keeping with the findings of Del Piccolo
31 et al. [54], who suggest that cue frequency may be a result of doctors' attributions of patients'
32 psychosocial distress, rather than an antecedent. However, further sequence analysis is

1 required in order to clarify the relationship between doctors' responses and patients'
2 subsequent cue presentation.

3 Total EI had a negative influence on cue presentation in patients presenting with a physical
4 health problem, with a decrease of .05 cues per one unit increase in total EI. EI may therefore
5 be positively related to ability to assess appropriateness of response; doctors with high EI
6 may realise when it is appropriate to enquire about emotion and when, instead, to pursue a
7 purely biomedical agenda in line with the patients' needs, thus reducing their cue
8 presentation. This is in keeping with Mayer and Salovey's ability model of EI, which posits
9 that individuals high in EI do not merely demonstrate empathic understanding and response
10 to another's distress, but rather have the ability to adequately recognise, understand, use and
11 manage both another's distress *and* one's own emotions in the most appropriate way [38].
12 Interestingly, total EI significantly positively influenced cue presentation in patients
13 presenting with psychosocial health issues, with an increase of .07 cues per one unit increase
14 in total EI. Doctors with high EI may therefore be better able to identify patients'
15 psychological distress, and thus elicit more cues than their less able counterparts in patients
16 with psychosocial health complaints [56, 57]. They may also be more likely to use facilitative
17 behaviours when interacting with patients showing emotional distress, which have been
18 shown to increase cue presentation in patients with psychological health problems [57]. This
19 is an area that would benefit from further research, given the preliminary nature of the
20 findings.

21 **4.1.1 Methodological Strengths, Considerations and Possible Limitations**

22 The current study is the first to explore the relationships between attachment styles, EI and
23 PPC in a postgraduate doctor sample consulting in a clinical setting. A strength is in the
24 precision of baseline data and the triangulation and further investigation of the findings of
25 Cherry et al. [20, 22]. However, several limitations must be considered. The sample size was
26 somewhat lower than the recommended 30/30 (i.e. 30 at Level 2 each consulting with 30 at
27 Level 1 [58-60]), which may have reduced the robustness of the analyses. The self-selecting
28 nature of the cohort may have limited the generalisability of the findings. Furthermore, it was
29 not possible to examine differences in characteristics or presenting complaints between
30 consenting and non-consenting patients. Fourth, although analyses and interpretation of
31 findings were theoretically-informed, the cross sectional nature of the study means that we
32 are unable to imply causation or directionality from the data. Finally, we were unable to

1 adjust models for consultation time because we did not have accurate information recorded
2 (some doctors turned off the cameras prior to physical examinations). As a recommendation
3 for future research, we would suggest that consultation time is accurately recorded, thereby
4 permitting control for this factor in statistical analyses.

5 **4.2 Conclusions**

6 Although exploratory in nature and limited by the relatively low numbers of doctors, this
7 study provided preliminary data in support of the findings of Cherry et al. [20, 22], namely
8 that providers' attachment styles and EI are related to their PPC. These data add to the
9 growing body of literature suggesting the importance of considering attachment theory and EI
10 with respect to PPC.

11 **4.3 Practice Implications**

12 Further research should focus on investigating how these two variables interact and
13 influence both provider responses and patient outcomes, drawing from larger and more
14 representative patient and doctor populations. In particular, sequence analysis would provide
15 rich data regarding the relationships between attachment, EI, providers' responses and
16 patients' cues, and may allow determination of whether emotional expressions are always
17 desirable and one criteria of a successful consultation, or whether they point to missed
18 opportunities by doctors. Consideration of this initial research recommendation would allow
19 for further confidence in the stability and validity of these data. Providing that these findings
20 are generalisable to other populations and settings, three practice points can be proposed.
21 First, PPC skills should continue to be formally taught and assessed during undergraduate and
22 postgraduate medical education, and should encourage development of the skills involved in
23 identification and responding to patients' cues. Second, educating students about the potential
24 influence of their attachment styles on their PPC may form a valuable contribution to
25 undergraduate and postgraduate medical education curricula. This could help students to
26 understand how their conscious feelings about close relationships may influence their PPC
27 and develop students' awareness of their own attachment styles and how to use them, or
28 compensate for them, effectively. Education may also assist practising doctors to identify
29 situations in which their attachment styles may influence their PPC. Third, EI should be
30 viewed as an attribute that can be nurtured throughout an individual's undergraduate medical
31 education [45]. Curricula should consider integrating teaching designed to improve or
32 develop students' EI into existing PPC skills' teaching at undergraduate level. This teaching

1 should be based on a solid, ability-based conceptual framework, such as Salovey and
2 Meyer's[61] four-branch ability model [61], and should i) emphasise the relationship between
3 attachment and EI and ii) specifically focus on the influence of medical students' emotional
4 reactions on their behaviours, cognitions and subsequent learning experiences [62]. This
5 would allow for students to be aware of the influence of their attachment styles prior to
6 interacting clinically with patients or simulated patients, and also provide students with the
7 maximum opportunity to develop EI-related skills prior to graduation.

8

1 **References**

- 2 [1] General Medical Council. *Tomorrow's Doctors*. London: General Medical Council; 2009.
- 3 [2] Maguire P, Pitceathly C. Key communication skills and how to acquire them. *Br Med J*.
4 2002;325:697-700.
- 5 [3] Kaplan S, Greenfield S, Ware J. Assessing the effects of physician-patient interactions on
6 the outcomes of chronic disease. *Med Care*. 1989;27 (suppl):110-27.
- 7 [4] Rost K, Carter W, Inui T. Introduction of information during the initial medical visit:
8 consequences for patient follow-through with physician recommendations for medication.
9 *Soc Sci Med*. 1989;28:315-21.
- 10 [5] Roter D, Hall J. *Doctors Talking to Patients/Patients Talking to Doctors*. Westport,
11 Connecticut: Auburn House; 1993.
- 12 [6] Hickson G, Clayton E, Entman S, Miller CS, Githens PB, Whetten-Goldstein K, et al.
13 Obstetricians' prior malpractice experience and patients' satisfaction with care. *Journal of the*
14 *American Medical Association*. 1994;272:1583-7.
- 15 [7] Stewart M. Effective physician-patient communication and health outcomes: a review.
16 *Canadian Medical Journal*. 1995;152:1423-33.
- 17 [8] Levinson W, Chaumeton N. Communication between surgeons and patients in routine
18 office visits. *Surgery*. 1999;125:127-34.
- 19 [9] Adams K, Cimino J, Arnold R, Anderson W. Why should I talk about emotion?
20 Communication patterns associated with physician discussion of patient expressions of
21 negative emotion in hospital admission encounters. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2012;89:44-50.
- 22 [10] Stewart M, Brown J, Boon H, Galajda J, Meredith L, Sangster M. Evidence on patient-
23 doctor communication. *Cancer Prev Control*. 1999;3:25-30.
- 24 [11] Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education. Accreditation Council for
25 Graduate Medical Education Outcome Project. 2005.
- 26 [12] General Medical Council. *Good Medical Practice*. Regent's Place, London: General
27 Medical Council; 2006.
- 28 [13] Frank J. *The CanMEDs 2005 physician competency framework. Better standards. Better*
29 *physicians. Better care*. Ottawa: The Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada;
30 2005.
- 31 [14] Hall W, Violato C, Lewkonja R, Lockyer J, Fidler H, Toews J, et al. Assessment of
32 physician performance in Alberta: the Physician Achievement Review. *Can Med Assoc J*.
33 1999;161:52-7.

- 1 [15] Epstein R, Hundert E. Defining and assessing professional competence. *JAMA*.
2 2002;287:226-35.
- 3 [16] Maudsley R, Wilson D, Neufield V, Hennen B, DeVillier M, Wakefield J. Educating
4 future physicians for Ontario: phase II. *Acad Med*. 2000;75:113-26.
- 5 [17] National Institute of Health and Clinical Excellence. 'Referral advice' recommendation
6 details. 2013.
- 7 [18] Deveugele M, Derese A, van den Brink-Muinen A, Bensing J, De Maeseneer J.
8 Consultation length in general practice: cross sectional study in six European countries. *Br*
9 *Med J*. 2002;352:472.
- 10 [19] Del Piccolo L, Mazzi M, Saltini A, Zimmermann C. Inter and intra individual variations
11 in physicians' verbal behaviour during primary care consultations. *Soc Sci Med*.
12 2002;55:1871-5.
- 13 [20] Cherry M, Fletcher I, O'Sullivan H. Validating relationships among attachment,
14 emotional intelligence and patient-provider communication. *Med Educ*. 2014;48:988-97.
- 15 [21] Cherry M, Fletcher I, O'Sullivan H. The influence of medical students' and doctors'
16 attachment style and emotional intelligence on their patient-provider communication. *Patient*
17 *Educ Couns*. 2013;93:177-87.
- 18 [22] Cherry M, Fletcher I, O'Sullivan H. Exploring the relationships among attachment,
19 emotional intelligence and communication. *Med Educ*. 2013;47:317-25.
- 20 [23] Adshead G. Becoming a caregiver: attachment theory and poorly performing doctors.
21 *Med Educ*. 2010;44:125-31.
- 22 [24] Salmon P, Wissow L, Carroll J, Ring A, Humphris G, Davies J, et al. Doctors' responses
23 to patients with medically unexplained symptoms who seek emotional support: criticism or
24 confrontation? *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2007;29:454-60.
- 25 [25] Salmon P, Wissow L, Carroll J, Ring A, Humphris G, Davies J, et al. Doctors'
26 attachment style and their inclination to propose somatic interventions for medically
27 unexplained symptoms. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2008;30:104-11.
- 28 [26] Webber M. The influence of attachment classification on first year medical students'
29 perceived competency in communicating with terminally ill patients: ETD Collection for
30 Wayne State University; 1999.
- 31 [27] Arora N, Russ S, Petrides K, Sirimanna P, Aggarwal R, Darzi A, et al. Emotional
32 intelligence and stress in medical students performing surgical tasks. *Acad Med*.
33 2011;86:1311-7.

- 1 [28] Austin E, Evans P, Goldwater P, Potter V. A preliminary study of emotional
2 intelligence, empathy and exam performance in first year medical students. *Personality and*
3 *Individual Differences*. 2005;39:1395-405.
- 4 [29] Austin E, Evans P, Magnus B, O'Hanlon K. A preliminary study of empathy, emotional
5 intelligence and examination performance in MbCbH students. *Med Educ*. 2007;41:684-9.
- 6 [30] Wagner P, Moseley G, Grant M, Gore J, Owens C. Physicians' emotional intelligence
7 and patient satisfaction. *Fam Med*. 2002;34:750-4.
- 8 [31] Weng H. Does the physician's emotional intelligence matter? Impacts of the physician's
9 emotional intelligence on the trust, patient-physician relationship, and satisfaction. *Health*
10 *Care Manage Rev*. 2008;33:280-8.
- 11 [32] Weng H, Chen H, Chen H, Lu K, Hung S. Doctors' emotional intelligence and the
12 patient-doctor relationship. *Med Educ*. 2008;42:703-11.
- 13 [33] Weng H, Hung C, Liu Y, Cheng Y, Yen C, Chang C, et al. Associations between
14 emotional intelligence and doctor burnout, job satisfaction and patient satisfaction. *Med*
15 *Educ*. 2011;45:835-42.
- 16 [34] Weng H, Steed J, Yu S, Liu Y, Hsu C, Yu T, et al. The effect of surgeon empathy and
17 emotional intelligence on patient satisfaction. *Advances in Health Science Education: Theory*
18 *and Practice*. 2011;16:591-600.
- 19 [35] Bowlby J. *Attachment and Loss: Volume 1. Attachment*. New York: Basic Books; 1969.
- 20 [36] Bartholomew K, Horowitz L. Attachment styles among young adults: A test of a four-
21 category model. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1991;61:226-44.
- 22 [37] Kafetsios K. Attachment and emotional intelligence abilities across the life course.
23 *Personality and Individual Differences*. 2004;37 129-45.
- 24 [38] Mayer J, Salovey P. What is emotional intelligence? In: Salovey P, Sluyter D, editors.
25 *Emotional development and emotional intelligence: Educational implications*. New York:
26 Basic Books; 1997. p. 3-31.
- 27 [39] Fenton M. *The role of cues in medical consultations: how to GPs respond to patients'*
28 *cues? Doctorate in Clinical Psychology, University of Liverpool*. 2008.
- 29 [40] Berry K, Shah R, Cook A, Geater E, Barrowclough C, Wearden A. Staff attachment
30 styles: A Pilot study investigating the influence of adult attachment styles on staff
31 psychological mindedness and therapeutic relationships. *J Clin Psychol*. 2008;64:355-63.
- 32 [41] Dozier M, Cue K, Barnett L. Clinicians as caregivers: Role of attachment organization in
33 treatment. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1994;62:793-800.

- 1 [42] Dozier M, Tyrell C. The role of attachment in therapeutic relationships. In: Simpson J,
2 Rholes W, editors. Attachment theory and close relationships New York, NY: Guildford
3 Press; 1998. p. 221-48.
- 4 [43] Fraley R. Attachment Stability From Infancy to Adulthood: Meta-Analysis and Dynamic
5 Modeling of Developmental Mechanisms. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*.
6 2002;6:123-51.
- 7 [44] Mayer J, Salovey P, Caruso D. Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test
8 (MSCEIT). Version 2.0. Toronto, Canada: Multi-Health Systems; 2002.
- 9 [45] Cherry M, Fletcher I, O'Sullivan H, Shaw N. What impact do structured educational
10 sessions to increase emotional intelligence have on medical students? BEME Guide No. 17.
11 *Med Teach*. 2012;34:11-9.
- 12 [46] Sattersfield J, Hughes E. Emotion skills training for medical students: a systematic
13 review. *Med Educ*. 2007;41:935-41.
- 14 [47] Silverman J, Kurtz S, Draper J. Skills for communicating with patients. Oxford, San
15 Francisco: Radcliffe Publishing; 2005.
- 16 [48] Hanna M, Fins J. Viewpoint: power and communication: why simulation training ought
17 to be complemented by experiential and humanist learning. *Acad Med*. 2006;81:265-70.
- 18 [49] Ram P, van der Vleuten C, Rethans J, Grol R, Aretz K. Assessment of practicing family
19 physicians: comparison of observation in a multiple-station examination using standardized
20 patients with observation of consultations in daily practice. *Acad Med*. 1999;74:62-9.
- 21 [50] Wei M, Russell D, Mallinckrodt B, Vogel D. The Experiences in Close Relationship
22 Scale (ECR)-Short Form: Reliability, Validity, and Factor Structure. *J Pers Assess*.
23 2007;88:187-204.
- 24 [51] Del Piccolo L, Finset A, Zimmermann C. Verona coding definitions of emotional
25 sequences (VR-CoDES). Cues and concerns manual. 2009.
- 26 [52] IBM Corp. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows. Version 210. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp;
27 Released 2012.
- 28 [53] StataCorp. Statistical Software: Release 12.0. College Station, TX: Stata Corporation;
29 2011.
- 30 [54] Del Piccolo L, Saltini A, Zimmermann C, Dunn G. Differences in verbal behaviours of
31 patients with and without emotional distress during primary care consultations. *Psychol Med*.
32 2000;30:629-43.

- 1 [55] Zandbelt L, Smets E, Oort F, Godfried M, de Haes H. Patient participation in the
2 medical specialist encounter: does physicians' patient-centred communication matter? *Patient*
3 *Educ Couns.* 2007;65:396-406.
- 4 [56] Davenport S, Goldberg D, Millar T. How psychiatric disorders are missed during
5 medical consultations. *The Lancet.* 1987;22:439-41.
- 6 [57] Goldberg D, Jenkins L, Millar T, Faragher E. The ability of trainee general practitioners
7 to identify psychological distress among their patients. *Psychol Med.* 1993;23:185-93.
- 8 [58] Hox J. Multilevel modeling: When and why. In: Balderjahn I, Mathar R, Schader M,
9 editors. *Classification, data analysis, and data highways.* New York: Springer Verlag; 1998.
10 p. 147-54.
- 11 [59] Maas C, Hox J. Robustness issues in multilevel regression analysis. *Statistica*
12 *Neerlandica.* 2004;58:127-37.
- 13 [60] Hox J. *Multilevel analysis: techniques and applications.* Mahwah Lawrence Erlbaum
14 Associates; 2002.
- 15 [61] Salovey P, Mayer J. Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality.*
16 1990;9:185-211.
- 17 [62] Zeidner M, Roberts R, Matthews G. Can emotional intelligence be schooled? A critical
18 review. *Educational Psychologist.* 2002;37:215-31.
19
20

1 Table 2: Examples of cues and concerns presented during consultations

Emotional expression	Definition	Examples
<p>CONCERN <i>Clear verbalisation of an unpleasant emotional state</i></p>	<p>Emotion is current or recent and issue of importance is not stated.</p>	<p><i>P: I think I'm down a little bit</i></p> <p><i>P: I'm worried about my health</i></p>
	<p>Issue of recent or current importance is stated (life events, social problems, symptoms, other issues).</p>	<p><i>D: Do you think there are any worries that keep you up? P: Yes, my job does worry me, I have to say, and I do lay awake at night thinking 'what if?'</i></p> <p><i>P: This [medical complaint] won't go away and I'm getting quite worried about it now</i></p>
<p>CUE <i>Expression in which the emotion is not clearly verbalized or might be present</i> <i>The criteria of currency/recentness is not applicable</i></p>	<p>a. Words or phrases in which the patient uses vague or unspecified words to describe his/her emotions.</p>	<p><i>D: How are you doing? P: Not very good...</i></p> <p><i>D: How are you? P: I'm getting there...</i></p>
	<p>b. Verbal hints to hidden concerns (emphasizing, unusual words, unusual description of symptoms, profanities, metaphors, ambiguous words, double negatives, exclamations, expressions of uncertainties and of hope regarding stated problems).</p>	<p><i>P: I've got the whirlies a little bit, in my head</i></p> <p><i>D: How do you feel? P: I still feel like I'm about to burst</i></p> <p><i>P: I feel like I'm getting electric shocks all in my leg</i></p>

	<p>c. Words or phrases which emphasize (verbally or non-verbally) physiological or cognitive correlates (regarding sleep, appetite, physical energy, concentration, excitement or motor slowing down, sexual desire) of unpleasant emotional states</p>	<p><i>P: I can't sleep at night, I'm up and down</i></p> <p><i>P: I am knackered [tired] all the time... I am knackered</i></p>
	<p>d. Neutral words or phrases that mention issues of potential emotional importance which stand out from the narrative background and refer to stressful life events and conditions.</p>	<p><i>P: I'm finishing my PhD off at the moment</i></p> <p><i>P: My father died of a heart attack</i></p>
	<p>e. A patient-elicited repetition of a previous neutral expression (repetitions of a neutral expression within the same turn are not included).</p>	<p>None identified in the videoed consultations</p>
	<p>f. Non-verbal expressions of emotion</p>	<p><i>Crying</i></p> <p><i>Sighing</i></p> <p><i>Sobbing</i></p>
	<p>g. Clear expression of an unpleasant emotion, which occurred in the past (more than 1 month ago) or is without time frame</p>	<p><i>P: I've had anxiety in the past</i></p> <p><i>P: We didn't talk for the first six weeks of the new year. It affected me a lot. I was very depressed.</i></p> <p><i>P: My mood was really erratic for about six months.</i></p>

