Title: Recovery of mammal diversity in tropical forests: a functional approach to measuring restoration

Running head: Recovering mammal diversity in restored forests Mia A. Derhé^{1,2}, Helen T. Murphy², Noel D. Preece^{3,4,5}, Michael J. Lawes⁵, Rosa Menéndez ¹Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Bailrigg, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK. ²CSIRO, Tropical Forest Research Centre, PO Box 780, Atherton, Qld 4883, Australia ³ Centre for Tropical Environmental & Sustainability Science, College of Marine & Environmental Sciences, James Cook University, Queensland 4811, Australia ⁴ Biome5 Pty Ltd., PO Box 1200, Atherton, Queensland 4883, Australia ⁵*Research Institute for the Environment and Livelihoods, Charles Darwin University,* Darwin, Northern Territory 0909, Australia Corresponding author: Mia A. Derhé Present address: Karisoke Research Centre, The Dian Fossey Gorilla Fund International, NR 4 RD 58, B.P. 105 Ruhengeri/Musanze, Rwanda. Email: mia.derhe@gmail.com Phone: +250 788 319232 Author contributions: MAD, RM conceived and designed the research; MAD performed the fieldwork, analyzed the data; HM, NP, ML contributed tools, permit assistance, expertise in field identification techniques; MAD, RM, HM, NP, ML wrote the manuscript.

28 Abstract

Ecological restoration is increasingly applied in tropical forests to mitigate biodiversity loss 29 and recover ecosystem functions. In restoration ecology, functional richness, rather than 30 31 species richness, often determines community assembly, and measures of functional diversity provide a mechanistic link between diversity and ecological functioning of restored habitat. 32 Vertebrate animals are important for ecosystem functioning. Here we examine the functional 33 diversity of small-to-medium sized mammals to evaluate the diversity and functional 34 recovery of tropical rainforest. We assess how mammal species diversity and composition, 35 36 and functional diversity and composition vary along a restoration chronosequence from degraded pasture to 'old-growth' tropical rainforest in the Wet Tropics of Australia. Species 37 richness, diversity, evenness and abundance did not vary, but total mammal biomass and 38 39 mean species body mass increased with restoration age. Species composition in restoration 40 forests converged on the composition of old-growth rainforest and diverged from pasture with increasing restoration age. Functional metrics provided a clearer pattern of recovery than 41 42 traditional species metrics, with most functional metrics significantly increasing with restoration age when taxonomic-based metrics did not. Functional evenness and dispersion 43 increased significantly with restoration age, suggesting that niche complementarity enhances 44 species' abundances in restored sites. The change in community composition represented a 45 functional shift from invasive, herbivorous, terrestrial habitat generalists and open 46 47 environment specialists in pasture and young restoration sites, to predominantly endemic, folivorous, arboreal and fossorial forest species in older restoration sites. This shift has 48 positive implications for conservation and demonstrates the potential of tropical forest 49 50 restoration to recover rainforest-like, diverse faunal communities.

52 Keywords: Australia, ecological restoration, ecosystem functioning, functional guilds,

53 rainforest, Wet Tropics.

54

55 **Implications for Practice**

Restored tropical rainforests have the capacity to recover functionally diverse, rainforest like mammal communities in a relatively short period of time (10–17 years), which aids
 the recovery of ecosystem functioning and thus ecosystem stability in recovering forests.

Restored tropical rainforests also appear to act as buffers for population declines of
 terrestrial mammals within the 'critical weight range', considered most at risk from
 extinction in Australia.

Traditional species-based metrics of diversity are insufficient to evaluate the efficacy of
 restoration practices, and should be complemented with measures of community
 structure, functional diversity and functional composition.

66 Introduction

Half of the world's mammal species are declining and one-quarter face extinction (Schipper 67 et al. 2008). Australia's highly distinctive and mostly endemic land mammal fauna has 68 69 experienced greater declines, range contractions, and extinctions than any other taxonomic group, with more than 10% of the original 273 endemic mammals having gone extinct, the 70 71 highest in the world in recent times (Woinarski et al. 2015). The drivers of these declines are varied and often cumulative or synergistic, for example habitat loss can cause extinctions 72 directly but can also be indirectly lead to further extinctions by facilitating invasions, 73 74 eliminating prey, altering biophysical conditions and increasing inbreeding depression (Brook et al. 2008). However, habitat alteration and loss are the most widespread drivers (Rands et 75 76 al. 2010).

77 As a means of reversing or mitigating such biodiversity losses, as well as recovering ecosystem processes and services, ecological restoration is being increasingly applied in 78 tropical rainforests worldwide (Holl & Aide 2011). A popular method of ecological 79 80 restoration, particularly in the tropics, is the planting of native tree species on land previously cleared of rainforest (Chazdon 2008), with the aim of recovering the physical structure, 81 biodiversity and ecosystem functions of the ecosystem (Goosem & Tucker 2013). Faunal 82 recolonisation following ecological forest restoration is a major component of ecosystem 83 recovery due to the ecological functions that they mediate. However, knowledge of faunal 84 85 outcomes in ecological forest restoration plantings, and the factors that influence the direction of the restoration pathway, remains uncertain (Catterall et al. 2012). 86

Mammals play an integral role within rainforest ecosystems as consumers, dispersers of seeds and spores, and as predators and prey. Changes in mammalian community structure following ecological forest restoration are therefore likely to have consequences for the integrity and stability of the ecosystem (Goheen et al. 2004). However, most ecological forest 91 restoration has focussed on the recovery of vegetation structure and floral species diversity
92 (Brudvig 2011). Invertebrate and vertebrate animals are important for ecosystem functioning,
93 so full evaluation of restoration success requires a more unified approach that integrates both
94 floral and faunal approaches (McAlpine et al. 2016).

Two of the main goals of ecological restoration projects are the recovery of 95 biodiversity and the creation of functioning ecosystems (Montoya et al. 2012), so effective 96 monitoring should incorporate measures of both. The range of functions provided by a 97 community is thought to depend primarily on the diversity of functional characteristics or 98 99 values of key traits (Hooper et al. 2005; Cadotte et al. 2011), rather than species richness or diversity per se. The number or diversity of species as a simple measure of biotic diversity 100 101 has no real explanatory power, since ecosystem processes are affected by the functional traits 102 of organisms involved rather than by taxonomic identity (Hooper et al. 2006). Functional 103 traits operate in a variety of contexts, including competition, facilitation, mutualism, disease, and predation (Hooper et al. 2005). To assess how changes in diversity and composition 104 105 (following restoration) influence ecosystem functions, an understanding of the functional traits of the species involved is required. 106

Functional trait-based metrics capture differences in species' morphology, life-history 107 traits and ecological niches that affect community responses to disturbance and habitat 108 change (Mason et al. 2013) – complexities that traditional taxonomic indices do not capture. 109 110 Functional diversity is one such metric and is defined as the diversity and abundance distribution of traits within a community (Mason et al. 2005). Functional diversity provides a 111 mechanistic link between diversity and ecological processes and has been shown to be a more 112 113 accurate predictor of ecosystem functioning than traditional species-based metrics (Cadotte et al. 2011; Mouillot et al. 2011, 2013; Derhé et al. 2016). Furthermore, functional diversity, 114 115 rather than species richness, determines community assembly as it drives the processes that structure biological communities (Mouchet et al. 2010). Although there have been studies of faunal recovery in restored sites in the Wet Tropics of Australia (e.g. Nakamura et al. 2003; Catterall et al. 2012; Leach et al. 2013; Lawes et al. 2017), including small-medium mammals (Paetkau et al. 2009; Whitehead et al. 2014), these have focussed on species diversity and composition recovery with only one study to date investigating the effect of restoration on functional diversity and faunal-mediated ecosystem functions (Derhé et al. 2016).

The present study builds on previous research on the recovery of small-medium 123 mammal communities in the Wet Tropics by comparing measures of species and functional 124 diversity, and species and functional composition in response to tropical rainforest 125 126 restoration, and discusses the implications for ecological functioning and ecosystem health of 127 restored forests. This study specifically examines whether: (1) small-medium mammal (10g-3kg) species diversity increases with restored forest age; (2) functional diversity increases 128 with restored forest age; and (3) restoration forests converge in species composition and 129 130 functional diversity on the 'old-growth' rainforest condition.

131 Methods

132 STUDY AREA

The study took place on the Atherton Tableland in the Wet Tropics bioregion, a hilly, mid-133 134 elevation (500-1000 m) plateau in north-east Queensland, Australia (approximately 17°-17°30' S, 145°30'-145°45' E). Mean annual rainfall is 1,300-3,000 mm and is distinctly 135 seasonal. The climate is predominantly humid tropical with temperatures of 10.2°C-29°C 136 (Bureau of Meteorology 2016). Original vegetation was predominantly tropical rainforests, 137 mostly complex notophyll to mesophyll vine-forest (Stanton & Stanton 2005; Queensland 138 Herbarium 2009). More than half the rainforests on the Tablelands were cleared for 139 agriculture, beginning in the early 1900s, and by 1983 over 76,000 ha of the original 177,000 140 ha forest had been cleared (Winter et al. 1987). Although many small patches (<1,000 ha) of 141 142 remnant rainforest remain, large (>3,000 ha) tracts of unfragmented rainforest survive only on steeper hillsides (Laurance 1991). In recent decades there has been an increase in 143 rainforest restoration projects, with a high diversity (10-100+ species) of native rainforest 144 trees and shrubs planted at densities ranging from ~1,000 to 6,000 stems/ha, often in small 145 (<5 ha) patches and strips mainly in riparian areas (Goosem & Tucker 2013). The resulting 146 landscape is a mosaic of livestock pasture, croplands, urban settlements, remnant, natural 147 regrowth and planted forest patches. 148

149

150 STUDY DESIGN

Twelve restoration sites of varying ages were selected: 2 years (n=2); 3 years (n=1); 5 years (n=1); 9 years (n=1); 11 years (n=2); 12 years (n=1); 15 years (n=2); 16 years (n=1); 17 years (n=1). These sites were classified as young (1–5 years), mid-age (6–12 years) and old (13–17 years). All sites were previously grazed pasture on cleared rainforest, which had been abandoned for varying amounts of time. Remnant rainforest patches were reference target sites, representing the desired end point of restoration (n = 4) and ungrazed, abandoned (for 3–10 years) pasture on previously cleared rainforest land were adopted as degraded reference sites, representing the starting point of restoration (n = 4).

159 The natural regrowth rate in the Wet Tropics is known to be extremely slow, with some abandoned pastures not exhibiting any natural regrowth of (mesophyll type) forest trees 160 even after 40 years (Florentine & Westbrooke 2004, Rasiah et al. 2004). Furthermore, when 161 162 grazing animals are removed from pastures, aggressive exotic grasses can invade and arrest succession (reviewed in Holl & Cairns 2002), as is the case in the study area. As such, the 163 164 abandoned, ungrazed pasture sites in the study area represented both a pre-planting reference state (pasture), as well as a control state (unassisted regeneration). All degraded pasture sites 165 lacked trees or shrubs and were comprised of dense grass dominated by non-native species 166 167 (principally Urochloa decumbens but also Megathyrsus maximus and Setaria sphacelata).

Sites were set up in four blocks within the landscape (Fig. 1), with each block 168 containing one site of each habitat category (i.e. the three restoration classes, and starting and 169 170 reference sites): pasture; young restoration; mid-age restoration; old restoration; and rainforest. Blocks were selected to represent the maximum variation in topographic, climatic 171 and geological parameters in the landscape and all sites within a block were similar in these 172 parameters. Sites were separated by >300 m (mean = 2,513 m) and blocks by >1.5 km (mean 173 174 = 10.9 km). All restoration and degraded pasture sites were of similar size and shape (1–4 ha; 175 mean = 1.6 ha) and were 200–1,000 m (mean = 422 m) from intact rainforest, connected through restored and remnant corridors. All rainforest sites were at least 300 ha (mean = 471176 ha) in size. 177

178

179 MAMMAL TRAPPING

180 To determine the structure of small-medium mammal assemblages at the sites, smallmedium mammals were sampled over a consecutive three day/ three night period (Tasker & 181 Dickman 2002) on four separate occasions at each site, covering both the wet (Feb-Mar 2014 182 183 and Feb-Mar 2015) and dry season (Sept-Oct 2013 and Sept-Oct 2014). Trapping occurred within a 50 \times 10 m transect at the centre of each site, and comprised six cage traps (30 \times 30 \times 184 60 cm; treadle wire-cage type; Mascot Wire Works, Enfield, New South Wales, Australia) 185 and 20 Elliott A traps $(10 \times 10 \times 30 \text{ cm} \text{ aluminium box traps}; \text{Elliott type A, Elliot Scientific,})$ 186 Upwey, Victoria, Australia) baited with a mixture of oats, honey, vanilla essence, peanut 187 188 butter, sardines and apple. Elliott traps were set in two parallel lines, at 5 m intervals along the outside edge of the transect. Wire cage traps were placed along the transect centre line at 189 190 0, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 m. The traps were placed 10 m apart as a compromise between 191 maximising captures and working within the constraints of transect length and site size. Traps 192 were checked in the morning between 0600 and 1000 h. Each animal trapped was identified to species level, weighed, sexed, morphometrics measured and then released at the site of 193 194 capture. All animals were tagged with a passive integrated transponder (PIT) tag (7×1.35) mm; Loligo Systems) to identify recaptures and avoid recounting individuals (Gibbons & 195 196 Andrews 2004). The number of individuals caught at each site was used as an index of relative abundance of each species. Recaptures were not included. Total biomass was 197 198 calculated as the total mass of all mammals captured at a site.

Bush rat (*Rattus fuscipes*) and Cape York rat (*R. leucopus*), two sympatric rat species in the study area, are difficult to distinguish without examining their skulls. Because all individuals could not be positively identified, records of these two species were combined in the analyses, following the protocol of Williams et al. (2002), and are referred to as *R. fuscipes/ leucopus*, although most individuals are likely *R. fuscipes* (Williams et al. 2002). Grassland melomys (*Melomys burtoni*) and fawn-footed melomys (*M. cervinipes*) are broadly sympatric in eastern Australia and are difficult to distinguish on external features. This study
used two hind foot plantar pad measurements suggested by Frost (2009) and Van Dyck et al.
(2013) to differentiate between the two *Melomys* species. All work on mammals was
performed under Charles Darwin University Animal Ethics Permit A13003 and Scientific
Permit WITK12678313.

210

211 DATA ANALYSIS

212 Species were classified into four functional roles that were relevant to regenerating forests: 213 feeding guild (herbivores; omnivores; folivores; insectivores; frugivores), foraging guild 214 (terrestrials; fossorials [digging species]; scansorials [capable of, or adapted for climbing]; 215 arboreals), diel activity (nocturnal or diurnal) (Menkhorst & Knight 2011; Van Dyck et al. 2013) and species mean body mass (Table S1, Appendix S1). All calculations were carried 217 out using R version 3.1.1 (R Core Team 2014). Data from all four trapping rounds were 218 pooled for analysis.

The "FD" package for R was used to calculate four complementary measures of 219 functional diversity that describe a different functional aspect of biological communities: (1) 220 functional richness (FRic), is the range of functional roles in a community quantified by the 221 volume of functional trait space occupied; (2) functional evenness (FEve), which summarises 222 223 how species' abundances are distributed throughout the occupied functional trait space; (3) 224 functional divergence (FDiv), which describes the variation in the distribution of species abundances with respect to the centre of functional trait space (an abundance weighted 225 centroid) (Villéger et al. 2008); and (4) functional dispersion (FDis), which indicates the 226 distribution of abundances in functional trait space relative to an abundance weighted 227 centroid, and the volume of space occupied (Laliberté & Legendre 2010). 228

To estimate species richness in each habitat category and assess whether all mammal 229 species had been sampled, we generated sample-based observed species richness rarefaction 230 curves, with 95% confidence intervals. We also calculated the mean of four commonly used 231 232 abundance based species richness estimators (ACE, CHAO1, JACK1 and Bootstrap), from 999 randomisations of observed species richness, using ESTIMATES v. 9.1.0 (Colwell 233 2013). We measured species diversity using the Shannon-Wiener index and calculated 234 species evenness using Pielou's evenness index. Species richness was rarefied to the 235 minimum number of individuals sampled in a site (n = 9 individuals). 236

237 To test for effects of restoration age and habitat category on mammal species diversity and composition, functional diversity and functional composition, we used generalised linear 238 mixed effects models (glmm) with sampling block as a random effect. Models were run 239 240 separately, with each model containing one fixed effect and one dependent variable. We 241 performed a contrast analysis on the glmms with habitat category as a predictor, by obtaining confidence intervals using parametric bootstrapping, to determine whether the response 242 variables differed between the habitat categories. Appropriate error structures were applied 243 for all models. 244

To assess whether restoration sites were progressing towards the reference sites in 245 terms of their species composition, we used a non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) 246 247 ordination analysis using Bray-Curtis pairwise distances based on standardised, square root 248 transformed abundance data (to reduce the influence of the most dominant species). To test for differences in Bray-Curtis similarity to rainforest among habitat categories, we used a 249 permutational multivariate analysis of variance (ADONIS). We used glmms to explore the 250 relationship between restoration age and Bray-Curtis similarity to rainforest and the total 251 number of individuals of four different functional guilds: habitat specialists; geographic range 252 status; feeding guild; and foraging guild (see Table S1 for species classification). 253

255 **Results**

256 SPECIES DIVERSITY AND COMPOSITION

A total of 657 small-medium mammals from 12 species and 9 genera were recorded 257 (excluding recaptures). The most commonly captured species was the house mouse (Mus 258 *musculus*) which was also the smallest species (mean mass = 12 g) and recorded only in 259 pasture and young restoration sites. The largest species was the common brushtail possum 260 (Trichosurus vulpecula), recorded only in restoration (young, medium and old) and rainforest 261 262 sites (mean mass = 2.1 kg). Species accumulation curves revealed that sampling effort was adequate to characterise the local mammal community (Fig. S1). The four common 263 estimators of species richness suggest that between 74% of species in mid-restoration 264 plantings to 99% in young restoration plantings were sampled (Table S2). The community 265 attributes (abundance, total biomass, species richness, FRic, FEve, FDiv, FDis) across the 266 experimental plots were not strongly correlated. 267

Restoration age had no effect on the number of species (observed species richness: χ^2 268 = 0.00, P = 0.997; Fig. 2a), number of individuals ($\chi^2 = 0.038$, P = 0.846; Fig. 2b), Shannon-269 Wiener species diversity ($\chi^2 = 0.20$, P = 0.655; Fig. S2c) or Pielou's species evenness ($\chi^2 =$ 270 1.90, P = 0.168; Fig. S2d). However, increasing restoration age led to an overall increase in 271 total mammal biomass ($\chi^2 = 10.62$, P = 0.001; Fig. 2c), due to a greater mean body mass of 272 the dominant species occupying older restoration forests ($\chi^2 = 12.95$, P <0.001; Fig. 2d), i.e. 273 there was a species shift with increasing age of the restored forest and a concomitant increase 274 in body size of these species. 275

Pasture sites supported the largest number of individuals ($\chi^2_4 = 10.83$, P = 0.029; Fig. 277 2f) but had the lowest total biomass ($\chi^2_4 = 16.16$, P = 0.003; Fig. 2g) and lowest mean body 278 mass of species ($\chi^2_4 = 21.74$, P < 0.001; Fig. 2h). However, species richness (observed species richness: $\chi^2_4 = 1.89$, P = 0.755; Fig. 2e), Shannon-Wiener species diversity ($\chi^2_4 = 3.84$, P = 0.429; Fig. S2g) and Pielou's species evenness ($\chi^2_4 = 0.71$, P = 0.950; Fig. S2h) did not differ among habitat classes.

Species composition differed significantly among habitat categories (ADONIS: r^2 = 0.351, df = 4, *P* = 0.002; Fig. 3). The NMDS ordination represented 85.6% of the assemblage dissimilarity on the first two principle axes, demonstrating that as restoration sites age they become more similar to the rainforest sites (χ^2 = 7.33, *P* = 0.007; Fig. S3b) and deviate from the pasture sites (χ^2 = 10.21, *P* = 0.001; Fig. S3a) in their community composition. Bray-Curtis similarity to rainforest differed by habitat category (χ^2_4 = 10.42, *P* = 0.034; Fig. S3d), with the least similarity to forest composition in pasture.

289

290 FUNCTIONAL DIVERSITY AND COMPOSITION

Increasing restoration age led to an increase in functional evenness ($\chi^2 = 4.91$, P = 0.027; Fig. 291 4b) and dispersion ($\chi^2 = 10.62$, P = 0.001; Fig. 4d), but had no effect on functional richness 292 $(\chi^2 = 1.06, P = 0.303;$ Fig. 4a) or divergence $(\chi^2 = 1.17, P = 0.279;$ Fig. 4c). The lowest 293 functional divergence occurred in mid-restoration, and the highest in old-restoration and 294 rainforest sites (χ^2_4 = 12.71, P = 0.013; Fig. 4g); whilst the highest functional dispersion 295 occurred in old-restoration and rainforest, and the lowest in pasture ($\chi^2_4 = 8.24$, P = 0.083; 296 Fig. 4h). Habitat category had no effect on functional richness ($\chi^2_4 = 1.35$, P = 0.557; Fig. 4e) 297 or evenness ($\chi^2_4 = 6.34$, P = 0.175; Fig. 4f). 298

The abundance of open environment specialists ($\chi^2 = 12.59$, P < 0.001; Fig. 5a), invasive species ($\chi^2 = 18.49$, P < 0.001; Fig. 5d), herbivores ($\chi^2 = 8.85$, P = 0.003; Fig. 6e) and terrestrial foragers ($\chi^2 = 9.37$, P = 0.002; Fig. 6a) declined with restoration age, but the abundance of forest species ($\chi^2 = 7.97$, P = 0.005; Fig. 5c), Australian endemics ($\chi^2 = 11.45$, P< 0.001; Fig. 5f), folivores ($\chi^2 = 6.01$, P = 0.014; Fig. 6g) and arboreal foragers ($\chi^2 = 6.01$, P = 0.014; Fig. 6d) increased. The abundance of habitat generalists ($\chi^2 = 0.00$, P = 0.992; Fig. 5b), Australian natives ($\chi^2 = 0.00$, P = 0.996; Fig. 5e), omnivores ($\chi^2 = 0.14$, P = 0.706; Fig. 6f), scansorial foragers ($\chi^2 = 0.03$, P = 0.852; Fig. 6b) and fossorial foragers ($\chi^2 = 0.34$, P = 0.562; Fig. 6c) did not vary with restoration age.

308

309 Discussion

The patterns in species and functional diversity recovery reported here demonstrate that 310 restoration plantings can restore functionally diverse, rainforest-like small-medium mammal 311 312 communities in a relatively short period of time, which may potentially enhance the recovery of ecosystem functioning and thus ecosystem viability in recovering tropical rainforests. We 313 also show that traditional species-based metrics of diversity do not reveal the whole picture, 314 315 and that by complementing these with measures of species composition, and functional diversity and composition, we gain a better understanding of the efficacy of restoration 316 practices. 317

318

319 SPECIES DIVERSITY AND COMPOSITION

We found that species richness, number of individuals and species diversity in the restored 320 and reference rainforest sites were similar to or lower than in pasture sites. These results are 321 commensurate with recent studies reporting similar species richness and abundance of small-322 323 medium mammals in restored habitats compared to reference remnant and degraded sites (Golet et al. 2011; Whitehead et al. 2014; Mérő et al. 2015). We found a marked increase in 324 total biomass and mean body mass of mammal species in the restored sites, due to increased 325 abundances of common brushtail possums and giant white-tailed rats (Uromys 326 caudimaculatus) in older restoration and rainforest sites. This indicates that as restoration 327 sites age, they recover sufficient resources to support these larger-bodied mammal species 328

typical of tropical rainforests. Increased total biomass and mean body mass of species in the older restoration sites may be related to higher levels of productivity. Large body size is one of the most important global predictors of extinction risk in mammals (Cardillo et al. 2005; Hoffmann et al. 2011). The increase in total biomass and mean body mass of species with restoration age suggests that restoration sites may act as buffers for population declines of terrestrial mammals within the 'critical weight range' of between 100 g and 5 kg - those considered most at risk from extinction in Australia (Murphy & Davies 2014).

While secondary and recovering forests may harbour a similar number of species as 336 337 mature forests (e.g. Dent & Wright 2009), communities in secondary forests are usually dominated by generalist species (Barlow et al. 2007). Indeed, we found that restoration sites 338 were progressing towards rainforest and deviating from pasture sites in their small-medium 339 340 mammal composition, confirming patterns found by similar studies in the area on mammals (Whitehead et al. 2014), birds (Catterall et al. 2012), ants (Leach et al. 2013; Lawes et al. 341 2017) and dung beetles (Derhé et al. 2016). The shift from pasture-like to more rainforest-like 342 mammal communities began at approximately five years after planting, corresponding with 343 the age at which canopy closure begins to occur (Goosem & Tucker 2013). Indeed, this 344 composition shift may be driven by canopy development, as small-medium mammal 345 assemblage structure is known to be closely related to vegetation structure, particularly 346 347 canopy cover (Williams et al. 2002).

348

349 FUNCTIONAL DIVERSITY AND COMPOSITION

We found an increase in functional evenness (FEve) with restoration age, consistent with previous studies that report declines in FEve with increasing disturbance levels (Mouillot et al. 2013; Magnago et al. 2014). This increase in FEve with restoration age indicates that in older restoration sites, species are evenly distributed along a gradient of ecosystem functions performed by those species and that dominant species differ in their contribution to the ecosystem functions. We also found an increase in functional dispersion with restoration age, indicating a higher degree of niche differentiation, and thus lower resource competition in the older restoration sites, suggesting that niche complementarity enhances species' occurrence probabilities and/or abundances in the restoration sites (Mason et al. 2013).

The functional metrics reveal that overall there is an increase in mammalian 359 360 functional diversity as the restoration sites age; whereas the traditional species metrics failed to show a clear response with restoration age. Species richness measures do not reflect 361 362 functional or ecological differences that determine species-specific response patterns, or the functional implications of species loss and recovery, and can therefore lead to misleading 363 conclusions about trends in biodiversity (Mouillot et al. 2013; Derhé et al. 2016). These 364 365 findings support previous meta-analyses showing that land use intensification and disturbance can reduce the functional diversity of mammal communities beyond changes in species 366 richness alone (Flynn et al. 2009) - potentially further imperilling the provision of ecosystem 367 processes and services. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that functional diversity responds 368 differently to environmental, spatial and temporal processes compared to species abundance 369 370 and biomass (da Silva & Hernández 2015). We recommend that functional diversity measures be used as a complementary tool to investigate faunal species distribution and 371 372 recovery.

Although there were similar levels of functional richness in the restored and reference sites, the identity of the functional groups changed with restoration age. There was a clear shift from communities dominated by small-bodied, invasive, herbivorous, terrestrial openenvironment specialist species in young restoration and pasture sites, to communities dominated by larger bodied, endemic, folivorous, arboreal, rainforest species in the mid-age and old-restoration sites. The invasive house mouse was the dominant species in pasture, whereas the endemic bush rat/ Cape York rat and giant white-tailed rat were dominant in rainforest. The house mouse is one of the world's worst invasive alien mammal species (Lowe et al. 2000) and so this community structural shift will have further positive effects on biodiversity as invasive alien species are one of the key pressures driving biodiversity loss today (Butchart et al. 2010).

The locally endemic musky rat kangaroo (Hypsiprymnodon moschatus), a forest 384 specialist restricted to the tropical forests of north-eastern Queensland, was recorded only in 385 rainforest. Forest mammal species are relatively specialised and intolerant of the surrounding 386 387 landscape matrix, which makes them more prone to extinction (Laurance 1991). The recovery of forest species in the restoration sites therefore has positive conservation implications and 388 also suggests that the habitat of restored sites is becoming structurally more similar to 389 390 rainforest, as vegetation structure and habitat complexity have a strong influence on small-391 mammal community structure (Williams et al. 2002).

Mammals are mediators of key ecosystem functions important to forest dynamics, 392 393 including nutrient cycling through dung deposition (Bardgett et al. 1998), and soil bioturbation (Fleming et al. 2014). These functions are particularly important for previously 394 395 cleared forests that are known to have altered soil properties (Sahani & Behera 2001), which can strongly affect growth of tree seedlings, especially in their early stages (Tilman 1986). 396 397 Mammals also contribute to nutrient recycling by returning organic matter and nutrients to 398 the soil in relatively labile forms as dung and urine, which improves plant access to essential soil elements (Loreau 1995) and may stimulate soil activity (Bardgett et al. 1998). The 399 increased total biomass of mammals in older restored sites suggests that larger amounts of 400 401 dung will be deposited in those sites, which may increase productivity (Williams & Haynes 1995) and have positive effects on seedling recruitment and forest regeneration. 402

Mammals also influence biological processes by the ingestion and movement of seeds and fungal spores (Williams et al. 2000). Seed-caching behaviour occurs in Australian native rodents and marsupials (Elmouttie & Mather 2012), playing a critical role in dispersing plant seeds and influencing germination rates (Midgley et al. 2002). Most mammals recorded in older restoration sites are species which are known to cache seeds and have been shown to increase germination rates, including the giant white tailed rat (Theimer 2001), bush rat and fawn footed melomys (*Melomys cervinipes*) (Elmouttie & Mather 2012).

410

411 Acknowledgements

412 We gratefully thank all the landowners who allowed us unlimited access to their properties, without which this study would not have been possible. We would like to dedicate this work 413 to the memory of I. Freeman. We also thank Trees for Evelyn and Atherton Tablelands 414 (TREAT), Tablelands Regional Council (TRC) nursery and QPWS Lake Eacham nursery. 415 We are very grateful to D. Jones for invaluable training and support in the field, as well as to 416 417 M. Miller, R. Hart, S. Hart, F. Loughran and J. Tait for fieldwork assistance. We also thank Dr P. van Oosterzee for her support, assistance and her instrumental role in establishing the 418 ARC project. A large section of this study has been published as a requirement of a PhD 419 award completed in 2016 at Lancaster University (U.K.) in collaboration with CSIRO 420 (Australia). This work was funded by a studentship awarded to M. D. by the National 421 Environment Research Council (U.K.), and supported partly by and contributes to Australian 422 Research Council Linkage project (LP0989161). 423

424	Literature cited
425	
426	Bardgett R, Keiller S, Cook R, Gilburn A (1998) Dynamic interactions between soil animals
427	and microorganisms in upland grassland soils amended with sheep dung: a microcosm
428	experiment. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 30:531-539
429	Barlow J, Gardner TA, Araujo IS, Ávila-Pires TC, Bonaldo AB, Costa JE, Esposito MC,
430	Ferreira LV, Hawes J, Hernandez MI (2007) Quantifying the biodiversity value of
431	tropical primary, secondary, and plantation forests. Proceedings of the National
432	Academy of Sciences 104:18555–18560
433	Brook, BW, Sodhi, NS and Bradshaw, CJ (2008) Synergies among extinction drivers under
434	global change. Trends in ecology & evolution, 23:453-460
435	Brudvig LA (2011) The restoration of biodiversity: Where has research been and where does
436	it need to go? American Journal of Botany 98:549-558
437	Bureau of Meteorology (2016) Kairi Weather Station #031034. Commonwealth of Australia,
438	Bureau of Meteorology
439	Butchart SH, Walpole M, Collen B, Van Strien A, Scharlemann JP, Almond RE, Baillie JE,
440	Bomhard B, Brown C, Bruno J (2010) Global biodiversity: indicators of recent
441	declines. Science 328:1164–1168
442	Cadotte MW, Carscadden K, Mirotchnick N (2011) Beyond species: functional diversity and
443	the maintenance of ecological processes and services. Journal of applied ecology
444	48:1079–1087
445	Cardillo M, Mace GM, Jones KE, Bielby J, Bininda-Emonds OR, Sechrest W, Purvis A
446	(2005) Multiple causes of high extinction risk in large mammal species. Science
447	309:1239–1241

- Catterall CP, Freeman AND, Kanowski J, Freebody K (2012) Can active restoration of
 tropical rainforest rescue biodiversity? A case with bird community indicators.
 Biological Conservation 146:53–61
- 451 Chazdon RL (2008) Beyond deforestation: restoring forests and ecosystem services on
 452 degraded lands. science 320:1458–1460
- 453 Colwell RK (2013) EstimateS: Statistical estimation of species richness and shared species
 454 from samples
- da Silva PG, Hernández M (2015) Scale-dependence of processes structuring dung beetle
 metacommunities using functional diversity and community deconstruction
 approaches. PloS one 10:e0123030
- 458 Derhé MA, Murphy H, Monteith G, Menéndez R (2016) Measuring the success of
 459 reforestation for restoring biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. Journal of Applied
 460 Ecology 53: 1714–1724
- 461 Elmouttie D, Mather PB (2012) Can rodents enhance germination rates in rainforest seeds?
 462 Ecological Management & Restoration 13:203–207
- 463 Fleming PA, Anderson H, Prendergast AS, Bretz MR, Valentine LE, Hardy GES (2014) Is
- the loss of Australian digging mammals contributing to a deterioration in ecosystem
 function? Mammal Review 44:94–108
- Florentine SK, Westbrooke ME (2004) Restoration on abandoned tropical pasturelands—do
 we know enough?. Journal for Nature Conservation 12:85–94
- 468 Flynn DFB, Gogol-Prokurat T, Molinari N, Lin BB, Simpson N, Mayfield MM, DeClerck F
- 469 (2009) Loss of functional diversity under land use intensification across multiple taxa.
 470 Ecology Letters 12:22–33
- 471 Frost A (2009) A field-based method for distinguishing Melomys burtoni from M. cervinipes
 472 (Rodentia: Muridae) in Queensland. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 52:123–126

- Gibbons WJ, Andrews KM (2004) PIT tagging: simple technology at its best. Bioscience
 54:447–454
- Goheen JR, Keesing F, Allan BF, Ogada D, Ostfeld RS (2004) Net effects of large mammals
 on Acacia seedling survival in an African savanna. Ecology 85:1555–1561
- Golet GH, Gardali T, Hunt JW, Koenig DA, Williams NM (2011) Temporal and taxonomic
 variability in response of fauna to riparian restoration. Restoration Ecology 19:126–
 135
- 480 Goosem S, Tucker NIJ (2013) Repairing the Rainforest (second edition). Wet Tropics
 481 Management Authority and Biotropica Australia Pty. Ltd., Cairns
- Hoffmann M, Belant JL, Chanson JS, Cox NA, Lamoreux J, Rodrigues ASL, Schipper J,
 Stuart SN (2011) The changing fates of the world's mammals. Philosophical
 Transactions of the Royal Society B 366:2598–2610
- 485 Holl KD, Aide TM (2011) When and where to actively restore ecosystems? Forest Ecology
 486 and Management 261:1558–1563
- 487 Holl KD, Cairns J (2002) Monitoring and appraisal. Handbook of ecological restoration
 488 1:411-432
- Hooper DU, Chapin Iii F, Ewel J, Hector A, Inchausti P, Lavorel S, Lawton J, Lodge D,
 Loreau M, Naeem S (2005) Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a
 consensus of current knowledge. Ecological monographs 75:3–35
- 492 Laliberté E, Legendre P (2010) A distance-based framework for measuring functional
 493 diversity from multiple traits. Ecology 91:299–305
- 494 Larsen TH, Williams NM, Kremen C (2005) Extinction order and altered community
 495 structure rapidly disrupt ecosystem functioning. Ecology Letters 8:538–547
- 496 Laurance WF (1991) Ecological correlates of extinction proneness in Australian tropical rain
- 497 forest mammals. Conservation Biology 5:79–89

498	Lawes MJ, Moore AM, Andersen AN, Preece ND, Franklin DC (2017) Ants as ecological
499	indicators of rainforest restoration: community convergence and the development of
500	an Ant Forest Indicator Index in the Australian wet tropics. Ecology and Evolution
501	8:in press

- Leach E, Nakamura A, Turco F, Burwell CJ, Catterall CP, Kitching RL (2013) Potential of
 ants and beetles as indicators of rainforest restoration: characterising pasture and
 rainforest remnants as reference habitats. Ecological Management & Restoration
 14:202–209
- Loreau M (1995) Consumers as maximizers of matter and energy flow in ecosystems. The
 American Naturalist 145:22–42
- Lowe S, Browne M, Boudjelas S, De Poorter M (2000) 100 of the world's worst invasive
 alien species: a selection from the global invasive species database. Invasive Species
 Specialist Group Auckland
- Magnago LFS, Edwards DP, Edwards FA, Magrach A, Martins SV, Laurance WF (2014)
 Functional attributes change but functional richness is unchanged after fragmentation
 of Brazilian Atlantic forests. Journal of ecology 102:475–485
- Mason NW, Bello F, Mouillot D, Pavoine S, Dray S (2013) A guide for using functional
 diversity indices to reveal changes in assembly processes along ecological gradients.
 Journal of Vegetation Science 24:794–806
- 517 Mason NWH, Mouillot D, Lee WG, Wilson JB (2005) Functional richness, functional
 518 evenness and functional divergence: the primary components of functional diversity.
 519 Oikos 111:112–118
- McAlpine C, Catterall CP, Nally RM, Lindenmayer D, Reid JL, Holl KD, Bennett AF,
 Runting RK, Wilson K, Hobbs RJ (2016) Integrating plant-and animal-based

- perspectives for more effective restoration of biodiversity. Frontiers in Ecology and
 the Environment 14:37–45
- 524 Menkhorst PW, Knight F (2011) A field guide to the mammals of Australia. Oxford
 525 University Press, South Melbourne, Victoria
- Mérő TO, Bocz R, Polyák L, Horváth G, Lengyel S (2015) Local habitat management and
 landscape-scale restoration influence small-mammal communities in grasslands.
 Animal Conservation 18:442–450
- Midgley J, Anderson B, Bok A, Fleming T (2002) Scatter-hoarding of Cape Proteaceae nuts
 by rodents. Evolutionary ecology research 4:623–626
- Montoya D, Rogers L, Memmott J (2012) Emerging perspectives in the restoration of
 biodiversity-based ecosystem services. Trends in ecology & evolution 27:666–672
- Mouchet MA, Villeger S, Mason NW, Mouillot D (2010) Functional diversity measures: an
 overview of their redundancy and their ability to discriminate community assembly
 rules. Functional Ecology 24:867–876
- Mouillot D, Graham NA, Villéger S, Mason NW, Bellwood DR (2013) A functional
 approach reveals community responses to disturbances. Trends in Ecology &
 Evolution 28:167–177
- Mouillot D, Villeger S, Scherer-Lorenzen M, Mason NWH (2011) Functional structure of
 biological communities predicts ecosystem multifunctionality. PLoS ONE 6:e17476
- Murphy BP, Davies HF (2014) There is a critical weight range for Australia's declining
 tropical mammals. Global Ecological Biogeography 23:1058–1061
- Nakamura A, Proctor H, Catterall CP (2003) Using soil and litter arthropods to assess the
 state of rainforest restoration. Ecological Management & Restoration 4:S20–S28

- Paetkau D, Vázquez-Domínguez E, Tucker NIJ, Moritz C (2009) Monitoring movement into
 and through a newly planted rainforest corridor using genetic analysis of natal origin.
 Ecological Management & Restoration 10:210–216
- Queensland Herbarium (2009) Survey and Mapping of Vegetation Communities and
 Regional Ecosystems of Queensland. Brisbane
- R Core Team (2014) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria
- 552 Rands MR, Adams WM, Bennun L, Butchart SH, Clements A, Coomes D, Entwistle A,
- Hodge I, Kapos V, Scharlemann JP (2010) Biodiversity conservation: challenges
 beyond 2010. Science 329:1298–1303
- Rasiah V, Florentine, SK, Williams BL, Westbrooke ME (2004) The impact of deforestation
 and pasture abandonment on soil properties in the wet tropics of Australia. Geoderma
 120:35–45
- Sahani U, Behera N (2001) Impact of deforestation on soil physicochemical characteristics,
 microbial biomass and microbial activity of tropical soil. Land Degradation &
 Development 12:93–105
- Schipper J, Chanson JS, Chiozza F, Cox NA, Hoffmann M, Katariya V, Lamoreux J,
 Rodrigues AS, Stuart SN, Temple HJ (2008) The status of the world's land and
 marine mammals: diversity, threat, and knowledge. Science 322:225–230
- Stanton JP, Stanton D (2005) Vegetation of the Wet Tropics of Queensland bioregion. Wet
 Tropics Management Authority
- Tasker EM, Dickman CR (2002) A review of Elliott trapping methods for small mammals in
 Australia. Australian Mammalogy 23:77–87
- Theimer TC (2001) Seed scatterhoarding by white-tailed rats: consequences for seedling
 recruitment by an Australian rain forest tree. Journal of Tropical Ecology 17:177–189

- 570 Tilman D (1986) Nitrogen-limited growth in plants from different successional stages.
 571 Ecology 67:555–563
- 572 Van Dyck S, Gynther I, Baker A (2013) Field Companion to Mammals of Australia. New
 573 Holland, Queensland University of Technology, Sydney
- 574 Villéger S, Mason NW, Mouillot D (2008) New multidimensional functional diversity
 575 indices for a multifaceted framework in functional ecology. Ecology 89:2290–2301
- 576 Whitehead T, Goosem M, Preece ND (2014) Use by small mammals of a chronosequence of
 577 tropical rainforest revegetation. Wildlife Research 41:233–242
- Williams P, Haynes R (1995) Effect of sheep, deer and cattle dung on herbage production
 and soil nutrient content. Grass and Forage Science 50:263–271
- 580 Williams PA, Karl BJ, Bannister P, Lee WG (2000) Small mammals as potential seed
 581 dispersers in New Zealand. Austral Ecology 25:523–532
- 582 Williams SE, Marsh H, Winter J (2002) Spatial scale, species diversity, and habitat structure:
 583 small mammals in Australian tropical rain forest. Ecology 83:1317–1329
- 584 Winter J, Bell F, Pahl L, Atherton R (1987) Rainforest clearfelling in northeastern Australia.
- 585Proceedings of the Royal Society of Queensland 98:41–57
- 586 Woinarski JC, Burbidge AA, Harrison PL (2015) Ongoing unraveling of a continental fauna:
- 587 Decline and extinction of Australian mammals since European settlement.
- 588 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112:4531–4540



590 Fig. 1 Map of the study area, showing the 20 study sites and areas of cleared forest, Eucalypt forest and

rainforest. One 50m transect line was set up in each site comprising 20 Elliott traps and 6 wire cage traps.





Fig. 2 Relationship between restoration age and observed species richness, number of individuals, total biomass and mean body mass of species (a–d), showing model-predicted mean (black line) \pm SE (grey shade) where relationships were significant (p < 0.05). Mean \pm SE observed species richness, number of individuals, total biomass and mean body mass of species in the different habitat categories (e–h). P = pasture; YR = young restoration; MR = mid-age restoration; OR = old restoration; RF = rainforest. Unlike letters indicate significant differences (P <0.05).



Fig. 3 Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordination of community assemblages between the different habitat categories (pasture; young restoration; mid-age restoration; old restoration; and rainforest) at the site

604 scale, based on square-root transformed, standardised abundance data ($r^2 = 0.86$).

605



606

Fig. 4 Relationship between restoration age and functional richness, functional evenness, functional divergence and functional dispersion (a–d), showing model-predicted mean (black line) \pm SE (grey shade) where relationships were significant (p < 0.05). Mean \pm SE functional richness, functional evenness, functional divergence and functional dispersion in the different habitat categories (e–h). P = pasture; YR = young restoration; MR = mid-age restoration; OR = old restoration; RF = rainforest. Unlike letters indicate significant differences (P <0.05).





Fig. 5 Relationship between restoration age and the total abundance of different functional guilds. Habitat specialisms: open environment specialists (a), habitat generalists (b) and forest species (c). Geographic range status: invasives (d), natives (e) and endemics (f), showing model-predicted mean (black line) \pm SE (grey shade) where relationships were significant (p < 0.05).



622Fig. 6 Relationship between restoration age and the total abundance of different foraging guilds: Foraging guild:623terrestrial foragers (a), scansorial foragers (b), fossorial foragers (c) and arboreal foragers (d). Feeding guild:624herbivores (e), omnivores (f), and folivores (g), showing model-predicted mean (black line) \pm SE (grey shade)625where relationships were significant (p < 0.05).</td>