

Manipulating photorespiration to increase plant productivity: recent advances and perspectives for crop improvement.

Marco Betti^{1,†}, Hermann Bauwe², Florian A. Busch³, Alisdair R. Fernie⁴, Olivier Keech⁵, Myles Levey⁶, Donald R. Ort^{7,8}, Martin A.J. Parry⁹, Rowan Sage¹⁰, Stefan Timm², Berkley Walker^{7,11}, Andreas P.M. Weber¹²

¹Departamento de Bioquímica Vegetal y Biología Molecular, Facultad de Química, 41012 Sevilla, Spain.

²Plant Physiology Department, University of Rostock, D-18051 Rostock, Germany.

³Research School of Biology, The Australian National University, Canberra ACT 2601, Australia

⁴Max-Planck Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology, 14476 Potsdam-Golm, Germany.

⁵Department of Plant Physiology, Umeå Plant Science Centre, Umeå University, S-90187 Umeå, Sweden.

⁶Institute of Plant Molecular and Developmental Biology, Heinrich-Heine-University, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany.

⁷Global Change and Photosynthesis Research Unit, United States Department of Agriculture/Agricultural Research Service, IL 61801 Urbana, United States.

⁸Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois, IL 61801 Urbana, United States.

⁹Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YQ, United Kingdom

¹⁰Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto, 25 Willcocks Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5S 3B2.

¹¹Carl Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois, IL 61801 Urbana, United States.

¹²Institute of Plant Biochemistry, Cluster of Excellence on Plant Science (CEPLAS), Heinrich-Heine-University, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany.

[†]To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: mbetti@us.es

Tel: +34 954556917 Fax: +34 954626853

Date of submission: 9th of February 2016

Tables: 0

Figures: 2

Total word count: 10,197

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 Recycling of the 2-phosphoglycolate generated by the oxygenase reaction of
3 Rubisco requires a complex and energy-consuming set of reactions collectively
4 known as the photorespiratory cycle. Several approaches have been proposed
5 with the aim of producing plants with reduced rates of photorespiratory energy or
6 carbon loss, both by screening for natural variation and by means of genetic
7 engineering. Recent works indicate that plant yield can be substantially improved
8 by the alteration of photorespiratory fluxes or by engineering artificial bypasses
9 to photorespiration. However, there is also evidence indicating that, under certain
10 environmental and/or nutritional conditions, reduced photorespiratory capacity
11 may be detrimental for plant performance. Here, we summarize recent advances
12 obtained in photorespiratory engineering and discuss prospects for these advances
13 to be transferred to major crops to help address the globally increasing demand
14 for food and biomass production.

15

16 **Keywords**

17 Crops, Food production, Genetic engineering, Photorespiration, Rubisco, Yield
18 improvement

19

20 **Highlight**

21 Manipulation of the photorespiratory pathway may greatly increase plant
22 productivity. Here we summarize recent advances in the engineering of
23 photorespiration and discuss how to use these approaches for crop improvement.

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 **Introduction**

36

37 There is an urgent demand for increased crop productivity due to the world's
38 population growth, increasing global affluence, reduction of cultivable soils and
39 higher demand for plant based biofuels. The required increase in agricultural
40 productivity required by 2030 may be in the range of 60 to 120% as compared to
41 the levels of 2005 (Ort *et al.*, 2015). A rapid increase in crop yield, especially for
42 cereals, was obtained in the second half of the 20th century during the so-called
43 “Green Revolution”. Resulting from breeding strategies, this led to the
44 introduction of new crop strains with a greater proportion of biomass partitioned
45 into grains and greater inputs of fertilizer, pesticides and water. However,
46 increases in yield for several major crops such as rice in recent years have been
47 scarce (Zhu *et al.*, 2010), and it is possible that actual crop yield is approaching
48 the ceiling of maximal yield potential (Tilman *et al.*, 2002). Further increases in
49 nitrogen and phosphorous fertilization are unlikely to solve this problem and
50 indeed many countries are currently attempting to reduce the levels of fertilization
51 used in intensive agriculture. For these reasons, attention is being paid to the
52 improvement of photosynthesis, a process that is still far from its theoretical
53 maximum efficiency. Several recent reviews summarise the opportunities that
54 have been so far identified to improve photosynthetic efficiency (Zhu *et al.*, 2010;
55 Raines, 2011; Maurino and Weber, 2013; Long *et al.*, 2015; Ort *et al.*, 2015).

56 Photosynthetic CO₂ fixation starts with the carboxylation of ribulose 1,5-
57 bisphosphate (RuBP), catalysed by ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase-
58 oxygenase (Rubisco), to yield two molecules of 3-phosphoglycerate (3PGA). An
59 unavoidable side reaction of Rubisco is the oxygenation of RuBP to produce one
60 molecule of 3PGA and one molecule of 2-phosphoglycolate (2PG).
61 Photosynthetic organisms evolved a complex pathway to recycle 2PG that involve
62 reactions taking place in chloroplasts, peroxisomes, mitochondria and cytosol,
63 (Bauwe *et al.*, 2010). In this photorespiratory cycle, two molecules of 2PG are
64 transformed into one molecule of 3PGA and one carbon atom is lost as CO₂. The
65 cost of the recycling of one molecule of 2PG is high (12.5 ATP per molecule of
66 2PG produced; Peterhänsel *et al.*, 2010), and for this reason photorespiration has
67 long been viewed as a target for crop improvement due to the seemingly wasteful

68 nature of the cycle and the high energetic cost that it imposes on plant
69 metabolism.

70 The cost of photorespiration is massive at both the leaf and canopy scale.
71 CO₂ is lost from photorespiration under 25°C at about 25% the rate of net CO₂
72 fixation (Sharkey, 1985; Sage *et al.*, 2012). For example, photorespiration results
73 in the loss of ~322 trillion Calories annually in the US Corn Belt alone. Even a
74 5% reduction in photorespiration would be worth almost \$540 million a year in
75 yield gain in this growing region (Walker *et al.*, 2016). This high cost stems in
76 part from the energy used in the reassimilation of the ammonia produced
77 following glycine decarboxylation in the mitochondrion. Moreover, rates of
78 photorespiration increase with temperature and the scarcity of water as these
79 conditions favour increased Rubisco oxygenation (Walker *et al.*, 2016). It is thus
80 not surprising that several groups tried to develop plants with reduced rates of
81 photorespiration with the aim of increasing productivity (Peterhänsel *et al.*,
82 2013a). However, the view of photorespiration as a pathway that only aims at
83 recycling the carbon of 2PG may be simplistic. In addition to photosynthesis,
84 photorespiration interacts with several central metabolic pathways (Foyer *et al.*,
85 2009; Bauwe *et al.*, 2010; Fernie *et al.*, 2013), and both the relevance and the
86 regulatory aspects of these interactions need further investigations. Furthermore,
87 photorespiration may contribute substantially to the production of serine (Benstein
88 *et al.*, 2013; Ros *et al.*, 2013) and has been implicated in the response to certain
89 biotic (Taler *et al.*, 2004) and abiotic stresses (Wingler *et al.*, 2000; Voss *et al.*,
90 2013). It was additionally recently demonstrated that there is a positive correlation
91 between photorespiration and productivity (Aliyev, 2012) and between
92 photorespiration and nitrate assimilation (Bloom *et al.*, 2010). While most efforts
93 are aimed at generating plants with reduced photorespiratory rates, the eventual
94 performance of these plants in the field and thus under stress conditions needs
95 also to be considered. Tantalizing results have been obtained by re-engineering
96 photorespiratory pathway in model plants (Kebeish *et al.*, 2007; Timm *et al.*,
97 2012a), but the transfer of these manipulations to our major crops and
98 demonstration of benefits under field conditions is still lacking. In this article we
99 summarise the different approaches that have been used to manipulate
100 photorespiration and their possible application for crop improvement.

101

102 *Screening for plants with naturally reduced rates of photorespiration*

103

104 Screenings of mutagenized plants that showed an altered phenotype under normal
105 air conditions but not under conditions in which photorespiration is suppressed
106 (CO₂-enriched atmosphere) were carried in several C₃ species, notably barley and
107 *Arabidopsis* (Sommerville and Ogren, 1982; Blackwell *et al.*, 1988; Foyer *et al.*,
108 2009; Peterhänsel *et al.*, 2010). This approach permitted the identification of the
109 genes that encode for the core enzymes of the photorespiratory cycle. However,
110 the mutants obtained generally show poor performance under normal air
111 conditions associated with different stress symptoms (Timm and Bauwe, 2013). In
112 another approach, natural variants with reduced rates of photorespiration
113 associated with higher yields were screened across broad populations. While
114 preliminary trials carried out with tobacco gave promising results (Zelitch and
115 Day, 1973), subsequent studies failed to identify plants with low levels of
116 photorespiration paralleled by high productivity. Zelitch (1989) successfully
117 isolated plants resistant to high levels of O₂ but the trait seemed more related to
118 increased levels of catalase than to reduced rates of photorespiration. Other works
119 of the same author identified tobacco plants with low photorespiratory rates and
120 high catalase activity associated to higher yield, but this increase in yield was not
121 robust across harvests (Brisson *et al.*, 1998; Zelitch, 1992). Similarly, screening of
122 mutagenized tobacco plants identified genotypes with higher yield at low CO₂
123 concentrations but the high yield trait could not be related to reduced
124 photorespiration (Medrano *et al.*, 1995). A more recent study that summarized the
125 data obtained over 40 years of field trials using two major crop species, wheat and
126 soybean, concluded that attempts to find highly productive genotypes with high
127 photosynthetic but low photorespiratory rates are inconsistent instead showing
128 that the highly productive cultivars have high rates of photosynthesis
129 accompanied by high rates of photorespiration (Aliyev, 2012). These results,
130 argue against the use of natural variation as a strategy to alleviate the yield penalty
131 of photorespiration suggesting that genetic engineering might be the only viable
132 route.

133

134 *Enhancing the amount of photorespiratory CO₂ scavenging*

135

136 The CO₂ released during the decarboxylation step of photorespiration in
137 mitochondria is not completely lost for the plant. On its way out of the cell, the
138 released CO₂ can be refixed while passing through the chloroplasts (Sage and
139 Sage, 2009; Busch *et al.*, 2013). Some plants optimize this mechanism known as
140 photorespiratory CO₂ scavenging by maximizing the likelihood for CO₂ to pass
141 the chloroplasts. Chloroplasts can form a barrier that covers the cell wall space in
142 order to trap photorespiratory CO₂ (Figure 1). A tight association between
143 mitochondria and chloroplasts can enhance this effect (Figure 1, Sage and Sage,
144 2009; Busch *et al.*, 2013). Some plants also enhance the surface of chloroplasts via
145 stromules, connecting them to a net like structure (Sage and Sage, 2009). Rice has
146 such morphological features and it was shown that its CO₂ compensation point is
147 lower than that of other C₃ crops not showing this morphological adaption (Sage
148 and Sage, 2009). Similar to rice, the dicot C₃ plants *Flaveria pringlei* and *Flaveria*
149 *robusta* also associate these organelles and show a reduced CO₂ compensation
150 point compared to other C₃ *Flaveria* species (Sage *et al.*, 2013; Sage *et al.*, 2014).
151 Although the effect of this anatomical adaption is not as big as the one found in C₄
152 or C₂ photosynthesis plants, it still accounts as a considerable improvement (Sage
153 *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, installing this anatomy in a C₃ crop plant might be an
154 alternative approach to optimize the yield. Compared to other approaches, a
155 modification of cell anatomy should have little impact on cell metabolism. To
156 install this anatomy in a plant, a better understanding of organelle movement and
157 partitioning is needed. Natural varieties of rice and other plants showing an
158 enhanced chloroplast surface and tight connecting of the three organelles should
159 be analysed. Additionally a mutant screen of these varieties combined with RNA
160 sequencing might reveal major regulators for the anatomy of cell organelles.
161 Interestingly, in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, it was shown that stromules, which are
162 used to enlarge the chloroplast surface, are established when plants were stressed
163 with heat (Holzinger *et al.*, 2007). It would therefore be of interest to study mutant
164 lines affected in stromule formation such as *arc(s)* (Holzinger *et al.*, 2008), or
165 even lines affected in chloroplast movement such as *chup1* (Oikawa *et al.*, 2008)
166 and compare the rates of CO₂ fixation of these mutants with the wild-type ones.

167

168 *Introducing C₄ metabolism into C₃ species*

169

170 C₄ photosynthesis greatly reduces photorespiration by concentrating CO₂ near
171 Rubisco. With the exception of the so-called single-cell C₄ plants (Sharpe and
172 Offermann, 2014), C₄ plants have adopted different biochemical and anatomical
173 modifications. C₄ leaves have two distinct layers of photosynthetic tissue (the so
174 called “Kranz” leaf anatomy): mesophyll cells that are in contact with atmospheric
175 CO₂ via intercellular air spaces, and bundle sheath cells with cell walls that are
176 less permeable to CO₂. HCO₃⁻ is assimilated into oxaloacetate in the mesophyll
177 cells via phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase, which is then converted to a more
178 stable 4-carbon organic acid, malate or aspartic acid, which diffuse to the bundle
179 sheath cells (Gowik and Westhoff, 2011). Here the C₄ acid is decarboxylated,
180 releasing CO₂ near Rubisco, which is located mainly in this cell type in C₄ plants.
181 Given the higher efficiency of the C₄ photosynthetic mechanism under current
182 atmospheric [CO₂], efforts are underway to install C₄ photosynthesis in C₃ plants
183 such as rice (the International C₄ rice consortium, <http://c4rice.irri.org/>) and other
184 crops (www.3to4.org). While the number of genes necessary for the main
185 enzymatic reactions and transporters involved in C₄ photosynthesis is relatively
186 small, the introduction of C₄ photosynthesis into C₃ crops will also require major
187 changes in leaf anatomy (von Caemmerer *et al.*, 2012). Initial progress toward the
188 identification of the genes responsible for C₄ anatomy has been reported (Feldman
189 *et al.*, 2014; Rizal *et al.*, 2015). On the other hand, terrestrial plants capable of
190 carrying out C₄ photosynthesis within a single cell were discovered about 10 years
191 ago (Sharpe and Offermann, 2014). While these plants lack the typical Kranz
192 features, they possess a subcellular separation that enables a concentrating of CO₂
193 near Rubisco. The genes involved in the development of this peculiar subcellular
194 anatomy are unknown. Considering the scarcity of sequence information for
195 single cell C₄ species, it is difficult to judge if single cell C₄ metabolism can be
196 bio-engineered into C₃ crops.

197

198 *Introduction of CO₂-concentrating mechanisms into chloroplasts*

199

200 Another strategy to reduce oxygenation and thereby photorespiration is to
201 introduce cyanobacterial CO₂-concentrating mechanisms (CCM) into the
202 chloroplasts of land plants (Price *et al.*, 2013). Cyanobacteria suppress the
203 oxygenating reaction of Rubisco by concentrating CO₂ inside a proteinaceous

204 microcompartment called carboxysome. The β -carboxysome is constituted by an
205 outer shell composed of several different proteins that enclose Rubisco and
206 carbonic anhydrase, which maintains high CO₂ inside the microcompartment. The
207 high [CO₂] obtained near the cyanobacterial Rubisco suppresses oxygenation
208 thereby increasing the catalytic efficiency of the carboxylation reaction of the
209 enzyme. Furthermore, the use of CCM paves the way to potentially replace the
210 native Rubisco with the cyanobacterial enzyme that has higher catalytic rate albeit
211 at the expense of a lower affinity for CO₂ and specificity factor (meaning that is
212 more prone to oxygenating RuBP) compared to plant Rubisco (Price and Howitt,
213 2014). A completed cyanobacteria CCM in plants would reduce the amount of
214 Rubisco needed to sustain photosynthesis and permit the allocation of nitrogen for
215 other purposes, thus increasing nitrogen use efficiency (Zhu *et al.*, 2004). The
216 feasibility of introducing carboxysomes into higher plants was boosted by Lin *et al.*
217 *et al.*, (2014a) demonstration that the shell proteins of the β -carboxysome could be
218 assembled in *Nicotiana benthamiana* chloroplasts producing structures suggestive
219 of carboxysome self-assembly. An exciting step towards the engineering of a
220 CCM into chloroplast was made by the same group, which transformed tobacco
221 plants to express a functional cyanobacterial form of Rubisco together with
222 proteins involved in the enzyme's assembly (Lin *et al.*, 2014b). However, the
223 engineered plants were able to survive only at high CO₂ concentration. This
224 indicates that a stand-alone substitution of the endogenous Rubisco with a faster
225 one does not provide advantages without the co-engineering of a CCM (Price and
226 Howitt, 2014). Simpler CCM mechanisms have been also considered for the
227 transformation of C₃ plants. For example, a recent work described the introduction
228 of a cyanobacterial bicarbonate transporter into tobacco chloroplasts (Pengelly *et al.*
229 *et al.*, 2014). The transformed plants expressed ample amount of the foreign
230 transporter but displayed the same CO₂-assimilation rates than the WT, implying
231 that the transporter had little or no *in vivo* activity.

232

233 *Rubisco engineering and screening for natural variation*

234

235 Despite its central role in plant metabolism, Rubisco is a relatively inefficient
236 enzyme (Carmo-Silva *et al.*, 2015). In addition to its oxygenase activity, Rubisco
237 also shows a relatively low k_{cat} value for CO₂ that obliges plants to produce very

238 high amounts of the enzyme in order to sustain adequate photosynthesis,
239 representing a large nitrogen investment (Zhu *et al.*, 2007). Understandably,
240 considerable effort has been made to address these inefficiencies by trying to
241 engineer a more efficient Rubisco. One first challenge for replacing the plant
242 endogenous Rubisco with a more efficient one is that the large subunit of the
243 enzyme is encoded by a single chloroplastic gene and the small one by several
244 nuclear genes. Transformation of both the nuclear and chloroplast genomes of the
245 same plant is thus required in order to substitute the endogenous enzyme with a
246 more efficient one. Given that the active sites of Rubisco are on the chloroplast-
247 encoded large subunit (Andersson, 2008), it may be possible that changing only
248 the large subunit will improve enzyme efficiency, but this would require the
249 transformation of the chloroplast genome, a technique that is currently available
250 only for a small number of species. High-resolution crystallographic structural
251 data are available for several plant Rubiscos and were used in site-directed
252 mutagenesis approaches in order to try to improve Rubisco efficiency. However,
253 this effort was hindered by the propensity of plant Rubisco to form insoluble
254 aggregates when expressed in *E. coli*, probably caused by the lack of the complex
255 network of chaperones needed for the correct folding of the plant enzyme in the
256 bacterial host (Hauser *et al.*, 2015). For this reason, structure-function studies
257 were carried out mainly with the enzymes from cyanobacteria and from the alga
258 *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (Whitney *et al.*, 2011a; Parry *et al.*, 2013 and
259 references therein). Another limitation to rational Rubisco engineering is our poor
260 knowledge of the mechanism of Rubisco-catalysed oxygenation (Tcherkez, 2015).
261 To overcome these technical difficulties, Whitney *et al.* (2011b) used
262 transplastomic tobacco lines that expressed WT and mutated genes encoding the
263 large Rubisco subunit from either C₃ or C₄ plants as well as from C₃-C₄
264 intermediate species. Using this approach, the investigators were able to identify a
265 single amino acid residue responsible for the different catalytic properties of the
266 Rubiscos from C₃ and C₄ plants (low k_{cat} combined with low K_m for CO₂ and high
267 k_{cat} combined with high K_m for CO₂, respectively). Together, these results have
268 opened the door to further possibilities for crop improvement. In fact, the co-
269 engineering of a C₄-type Rubisco with high k_{cat} for CO₂ together with the
270 engineering of a CCM in the chloroplast to compensate for its low affinity for
271 CO₂ may in theory be able to greatly enhance C₃ plant yield. More complex

272 approaches for the optimization of Rubisco via the manipulation of the activation
273 state of the enzyme and its interaction with the various effectors that modulate its
274 activity can also be envisaged (see the review of Carmo-Silva *et al.*, 2015).

275 The enormous natural variability that exists between terrestrial plants can
276 be exploited in order to develop new strategies for reducing photorespiratory
277 losses. Plants have developed several strategies, both anatomical and metabolic, to
278 reduce photorespiration and compensate for its inhibitory effects (Sage, 2013).
279 However, several of these mechanisms such as the regulation of leaf temperature,
280 regulation of stomatal opening, establishment of CCM etc. are generally
281 controlled by large sets of genes, some of which are unknown. On the other hand,
282 Rubisco is encoded by a small set of known genes and the natural variability of
283 this enzyme among different plant species has been taken into consideration in
284 order to look for more efficient forms of the enzyme. The Rubisco specificity
285 factor (i.e. the ratio of carboxylation to oxygenation at any given ratio of [CO₂]
286 and [O₂]) displays some variation among the different C₃ species. For example,
287 species growing in hot and dry environments seem to have Rubiscos with higher
288 specificity factor (Galmés *et al.*, 2005), which may be taken into consideration as
289 a criteria for selection of candidates to use in the substitution of the less efficient
290 endogenous enzymes of different C₃ crops. While the potential of more efficient
291 forms of Rubisco has yet to be exploited, several theoretical models suggest that
292 changing the endogenous Rubisco with an enzyme with a more favourable
293 specificity factor may improve crop yields (Zhu *et al.*, 2004; Parry *et al.*, 2011). It
294 should be also taken into consideration that the Rubisco specificity factor may not
295 necessarily reflect the effectiveness of the enzyme depending on the mechanism
296 of the oxygenation reaction, which is still not completely known (Tcherkez,
297 2015).

298 The natural variability of photorespiration is not only limited to the
299 variation in the characteristics of Rubisco. Species-specific changes in the route
300 are also possible, which implies that the pathway may be different from the basic
301 “textbook” version. For example, it was demonstrated that the conversion of
302 hydroxypyruvate to glycerate can also occur in the cytosol (Timm *et al.*, 2008).
303 Arabidopsis may also show peculiar characteristics in the reassimilation of
304 photorespiratory NH₃. Mutants of plastidic glutamine synthetase (GS₂), the
305 enzyme in charge of the reassimilation of photorespiratory ammonium, have been

306 isolated in barley (Blackwell *et al.*, 1988) and in the model legume *Lotus*
307 *japonicus* (Pérez-Delgado *et al.*, 2013) by screening EMS populations for the
308 typical “photorespiratory” phenotype. However, no GS₂ mutants have been found
309 in *Arabidopsis*. Given that the mutagenesis screen that was carried out in
310 *Arabidopsis* was probably saturating (for example, 58 mutants were found
311 affecting Fd-GOGAT, the other plastidic enzyme involved in NH₃ reassimilation)
312 and that *Arabidopsis* GS₂ is encoded, as in most plants, by a single gene
313 (At5g35630), it is puzzling why GS₂ mutants were not been isolated either in the
314 original screening or by means of transposon insertion. Another example of
315 variation in photorespiratory metabolism related to ammonia reassimilation can be
316 found in conifers, where the plastidic isoform of GS is not present but, unlike
317 other higher plants, a cytosolic GS isoform is expressed in photosynthetic cells,
318 and photorespiratory ammonia is probably reassimilated through a cytosolic
319 GS/GOGAT cycle (Avila *et al.*, 2001).

320

321 *Photorespiratory bypasses*

322

323 Instead of trying to reduce the photorespiratory rates, a different approach is to
324 install alternative and less energetically expensive routes for the recycling of
325 2PG. Three bypasses to the reactions of the photorespiratory pathway were
326 successfully engineered in model plants (Figure 2). In the first approach,
327 glycolate was converted to glycerate directly in the chloroplast by introducing the
328 *Escherichia coli* glycolate catabolic pathway, thus avoiding or at least competing
329 with the peroxisomal and mitochondrial reactions of photorespiration (Kebeish *et al.*
330 *et al.*, 2007). The second approach was to introduce a complete glycolate catabolic
331 cycle that oxidized 2PG to CO₂ in the chloroplast (Maier *et al.*, 2012). However,
332 while the “Kebeish” bypass resulted in an improved energy balance, the “Maier”
333 bypass had higher energetic costs compared to the standard photorespiratory
334 cycle (Peterhänsel *et al.*, 2013b). Moreover, kinetic models of C₃ photosynthesis
335 indicated that the installation of the Maier bypass should theoretically reduce the
336 photosynthetic rate due to the decreased re-supply of RuBP (Xin *et al.*, 2015).
337 Despite this, both bypasses were reported to enhance biomass production by up to
338 30% although only under short-day conditions. In the case of the “Maier” bypass
339 it is speculated that this benefit may be due to the release of CO₂ from 2PG

340 oxidation directly in the chloroplast that might increase the chloroplastic CO₂
341 concentration and reduce the probability of further oxygenating reactions
342 (Peterhänsel *et al.*, 2013b). A third bypass to photorespiration has been
343 engineered by introducing the *E. coli* enzymes glyoxylate carboligase and
344 hydroxypyruvate isomerase into tobacco for the conversion of glyoxylate into
345 hydroxypyruvate directly in the peroxisome (Carvalho *et al.*, 2011). While this
346 alternative pathway may potentially reduce the cost of 2PG recycling
347 (Peterhänsel *et al.*, 2013b), hydroxypyruvate isomerase protein was not detectable
348 in these tobacco lines, so its impact on plant yield remains to be proven. In a
349 recent report the introduction of the “Kebeish” bypass in the oilseed crop
350 *Camelina sativa* greatly increased seed yield, which may be used for the
351 production of biofuels (Dalal *et al.*, 2015). A partial Kebeish bypass was
352 established in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) by expressing the *E. coli* glycolate
353 dehydrogenase polyprotein, resulting in an increase in shoot biomass and tuber
354 yield (Nölke *et al.*, 2014) These results suggested that part of the glyoxylate
355 produced in the chloroplast by the bacterial enzyme may be completely oxidized
356 *in situ* to CO₂, probably by the action of the endogenous pyruvate dehydrogenase
357 (Blume *et al.*, 2013). It is interesting to notice that the beneficial effects of the
358 Maier and Kebeish bypasses were observed only under short day conditions and
359 optimal water and nitrogen supply (Kebeish *et al.*, 2007; Maier *et al.*, 2012),
360 which may may not necessarily reflect the conditions that crops will face in the
361 field. Further testing of these genetically modified plants (GMPs) under different
362 conditions would be needed in order to determine if photorespiratory bypasses
363 may be beneficial also under field conditions.

364 Completely new bypasses can be also designed by taking advantage of the
365 enormous amount of different enzyme activities that can be found in bacteria,
366 algae and Archeae (see Ort *et al.*, 2015 for some examples). More ambitious
367 approaches would be to design bypasses that involve intermediates that are not
368 present in the plant or to genetically engineer a single enzyme able to degrade
369 2PG to CO₂ directly in the chloroplast. In a recent report, a synthetic pathway that
370 worked both as a photorespiratory bypass and as an additional CO₂-fixing
371 pathway, the hydroxypropionate bi-cycle was successfully engineered in a
372 cyanobacterium (Shih *et al.*, 2014). Simulated energy balance analyses can be

373 performed in order to predict the potential benefits of a bypass to photorespiration
374 (Xin *et al.*, 2015).

375 When designing synthetic routes for the recycling of 2PG, it has to take
376 consideration that alternative routes to the core photorespiratory pathway are
377 already present in nature, although their physiological meaning and the flux that
378 may pass through them is not known. For example, glyoxylate can be oxidatively
379 decarboxylated to formate and CO₂ probably by a non-enzymatic reaction that
380 takes place in the peroxisomes of higher plants in the presence of H₂O₂
381 (Igamberdiev *et al.*, 1999). Cyanobacteria on the other hand are able to
382 enzymatically decarboxylate glyoxylate via oxalate by using an alternative
383 pathway for the recycling of 2PG (Eisenhut *et al.*, 2008). In barley mutants with
384 reduced glycine decarboxylase (GDC) activity, this formate may be used to
385 support the synthesis of serine through a GDC-independent pathway that does not
386 release NH₃, thus greatly reducing the energy cost of the photorespiratory cycle
387 (Wingler *et al.* 1999a). As aforementioned, glyoxylate can be decarboxylated in
388 the chloroplast by the action of the endogenous pyruvate dehydrogenase (Blume
389 *et al.*, 2013), and a cytosolic hydroxypyruvate reductase provides an alternative
390 route to the peroxisomal conversion of hydroxypyruvate to glycerate (Timm *et al.*
391 *et al.*, 2008). Several other possibilities for peroxide-mediated decarboxylations
392 have also been proposed (Grodzinski and Butt 1977; Cousins *et al.* 2008; Keech
393 *et al.* 2012), but the extent to which these reactions would happen under natural
394 conditions still remains unclear. Further work should be carried out in order to
395 assess the impact of these alternative pathways in plant photorespiratory
396 metabolism and their possible interactions with synthetic 2PG recycling routes.

397

398 *Optimization of the levels of photorespiratory enzymes*

399

400 While the overexpression of Rubisco protein in rice does not improve
401 photosynthesis (Suzuki *et al.*, 2007), the analysis of dynamic metabolic models of
402 photosynthetic carbon metabolism suggested that in some plants there may be an
403 underinvestment of resources in the biosynthesis of Rubisco and of the enzymes
404 of the Calvin-Benson cycle, and concomitantly an overinvestment in
405 photorespiratory enzymes. This scenario may be responsible of a less than optimal
406 photosynthetic efficiency leading to reduced crop yields (Zhu *et al.*, 2007).

407 However, this appears rather contradictory to recent studies in which the amount
408 of photorespiratory enzymes has been modulated. For instance, different studies
409 carried out in crops species indicate that antisense reduction of individual
410 photorespiratory enzymes is associated with lower productivity. Potato plants with
411 reduced levels of the GDC-P protein (Heineke *et al.*, 2001) or of serine
412 hydroxymethyltransferase (Schjoerring *et al.*, 2006) as well as rice plants with
413 lower levels of glycolate oxidase (Xu *et al.*, 2009) showed reduced photosynthetic
414 and growth rates. Moreover, a few studies have reported an improved
415 performance of plants with increased levels of photorespiratory enzymes.
416 Overexpression of the GDC-H protein or of the GDC-L protein in Arabidopsis
417 resulted in enhanced net-photosynthesis and plant growth (Timm *et al.*, 2012a;
418 Timm *et al.*, 2015). Increased yields were not observed under elevated CO₂
419 atmosphere, indicating that they were due to a facilitated carbon flow through
420 GDC and the photorespiratory pathway as a whole. It is assumed that increased
421 photorespiratory capacity may reduce negative feedback exerted by
422 photorespiratory metabolites on the Calvin-Benson cycle thus enhancing CO₂
423 assimilation. Recent data suggest that 2PG levels could be of key importance in
424 this coordinated control of photosynthesis and photorespiration (Timm *et al.*,
425 2012b; Haimovich-Dayana *et al.*, 2015). Overexpression of serine
426 hydroxymethyltransferase, the enzyme that acts in conjunction with glycine
427 decarboxylase to produce serine in the mitochondrion, was also able to improve
428 photosynthetic efficiency and plant productivity in rice (Wu *et al.*, 2015). Taken
429 together, these results clearly indicate that the mitochondrial conversion of
430 glycine to serine is a bottleneck of the photorespiratory pathway or is somehow
431 otherwise involved in the regulation of photosynthetic activity. The recent
432 discovery that serine may act as a metabolic signal for the transcriptional
433 regulation of photorespiration (Timm *et al.*, 2013) further supports this idea. In
434 addition to the reactions involved in the glycine to serine conversion, the
435 reassimilation of photorespiratory NH₄⁺ is probably another bottleneck of the
436 photorespiratory pathway. Photorespiratory NH₄⁺ is reassimilated by the action of
437 GS₂, and it has been suggested that this reaction may be the rate-limiting step of
438 the pathway (Wallsgrave *et al.*, 1987, Häusler *et al.*, 1994; Kozaki and Takeba,
439 1996; Hoshida *et al.*, 2000). Plants that overexpress GS₂ showed enhanced growth
440 rate under active photorespiratory conditions (Migge *et al.*, 2000; Zhu *et al.*,

441 2014). Unfortunately, the growth of these GS₂ overexpressors was compared to
442 WT plants under normal air conditions but not under CO₂-enriched atmosphere,
443 so it cannot be ruled out if the increased yield was due to improved nitrogen
444 assimilation rather than to an increased capacity for photorespiration (Migge *et*
445 *al.*, 2000; Zhu *et al.*, 2014). However, the fact that mutants lacking GS₂ show a
446 similar growth rate compared to wild-type plants under photorespiratory-
447 suppressed conditions (Wallsgrave *et al.*, 1987; Betti *et al.*, 2014) indicates that
448 GS₂ is not probably playing an important role in primary nitrogen assimilation.
449 Moreover, overexpression of GS₂ confers resistance under stress conditions like
450 salinity or high light (Kozaki and Takeba, 1996; Hoshida *et al.*, 2000). Taking
451 into consideration the promising results obtained with these overexpressors, it
452 would be also worth to exploit natural variability and look for cultivars that
453 already have higher or lower levels of photorespiratory enzymes.

454 Another important and often neglected parameter lies in the transcriptional
455 and post-translational modifications of photorespiratory genes and enzymes.
456 Different reports suggest that at the transcriptional level photorespiratory genes
457 are regulated in a similar way to the photosynthetic ones (Foyer *et al.*, 2009;
458 Pérez-Delgado *et al.*, 2013). On the other hand, metabolic data analysis of WT
459 and photorespiratory mutants under different CO₂ and O₂ conditions suggest a
460 fine tuning of photorespiratory metabolism (Timm *et al.*, 2012b). Regarding post-
461 translational modifications, it was recently shown that seven enzymes of the
462 photorespiratory cycle could be phosphorylated (Hodges *et al.*, 2013).
463 Furthermore, looking to redox proteome data, it appeared that almost all
464 photorespiratory enzymes could undergo oxidative modifications for some of
465 their cysteine residues, and were therefore identified as potential targets for redox
466 regulations (Keech *et al.*, 2016). Undoubtedly, the next step will be to determine
467 primarily the extent to and the conditions for which the proteins or cysteines are
468 modified, the type of modifications that occur, and secondly whether these
469 modifications positively or negatively regulate enzyme activities, and how they
470 are controlled at the cellular level. Altogether, this clearly indicates that a rational
471 bio-engineering of plants with modified levels of photorespiratory enzymes
472 would also benefit from an increased knowledge of the biochemical regulations
473 inherent to this cycle.

474

475 *Perspectives for crop improvement*

476

477 As summarized in the above sections, several approaches have been used in order
478 to manipulate photorespiration with the aim of increasing plant yield. However,
479 most of these efforts have been carried out using model plants (with some notable
480 exceptions like the consortia working on the transformation of rice in a C₄ plant,
481 see <http://c4rice.irri.org/>). In the light of the results obtained by recent field trials
482 (Aliyev, 2012), it would appear unlikely that crops with improved
483 photorespiratory performance can be obtained by screening for natural genetic
484 variation, but they should be rather generated by means of genetic engineering.
485 Unfortunately, transformation of our major crops is still a difficult and time-
486 consuming process, even though is getting easier and more successful every year
487 (Scharff and Bock, 2014). Moreover, some promising approaches such as the
488 engineering of the large subunit of Rubisco require the transformation of
489 chloroplast DNA, a technique that is available only for a few crop species: notably
490 tobacco, potato, tomato and perhaps soybean, but as yet not cereal species
491 (Scharff and Bock, 2014).

492 Before tackling the genetic engineering of crop species, organisms for
493 which transformation is more tractable such as algae and cyanobacteria can be
494 used in order to obtain clues on the metabolic and physiological consequences of
495 a targeted genetic manipulation. A second step may be the use of tobacco; a plant
496 that is especially easy to transform both in the nuclear and plastid genomes and
497 forms canopies in the field that are similar to those of food crops (Long *et al.*,
498 2015). Moreover, promoters and vectors that can permit high expression of
499 transgenes and a correct subcellular localization of the protein product should be
500 available for these species, together with strategies to avoid gene silencing and
501 random insertion in the genome (see Ort *et al.*, 2015 for a more detailed
502 discussion on this topic).

503 It should also be taken into consideration that crops with engineered
504 photorespiratory pathways will be considered as genetically modified plants
505 (GMP), and the potential use of such GMPs will remain limited under the current
506 legislation, which furthermore can vary greatly between countries. For example in
507 the European Union the authorization procedure for placing a GMP on the market
508 is a long, complex and expensive procedure regulated by directives that were

509 approved more than 10 years ago (more details in Hartung and Schiemann, 2014).
510 On the other hand, several millions of hectares of GMPs are growing in countries
511 with less restrictive regulations such as the United States, Canada, Brazil, India
512 and China. That said, several new molecular techniques based on the use of site-
513 directed nucleases like TALENS (transcription activator-like effector nuclease(s))
514 or the CRISPR/Cas9 system, have been developed in the recent years (Araki and
515 Ishii, 2015). The use of these genome editing techniques can lead to the
516 production of plants which cannot be classified as GMPs under current
517 legislations. The European Commission is currently evaluating the use of site-
518 directed nucleases as well as other new breeding techniques in order to determine
519 the extent to which they should lead to genetically modified organisms (Lusser *et*
520 *al.*, 2012).

521

522 *Should we really look for plants with lower rates of photorespiration?*

523

524 Photorespiration has been traditionally considered as a wasteful and unavoidable
525 process that needs to be minimized in order to improve plant yield. However,
526 different lines of evidence suggest that reducing photorespiration may not
527 necessarily always have beneficial effects.

528 1) Plant productivity may be improved by engineering more efficient ways
529 to recycle 2PG (i.e. photorespiratory bypasses) but also by an increased capacity
530 for photorespiratory flux (see section “optimization of the levels of
531 photorespiratory enzymes). A higher photorespiratory capacity would reduce the
532 levels of photorespiratory metabolites that may inhibit the Calvin-Benson cycle as
533 well as increase the rate at which photorespiratory carbon is returned to the
534 chloroplast in form of 3-PGA, thus facilitating CO₂ assimilation (Timm *et al.*,
535 2012b). Therefore, CO₂ assimilation may be improved either by bypassing
536 photorespiration or by the overexpression of bottleneck enzymes of the cycle. The
537 best engineering strategy to use will depend on the crop considered and the
538 environmental conditions at the field level.

539 2) Energetically wasteful and useful are not necessarily antithetic to one
540 another. As mentioned before, under stress conditions such as drought, salinity,
541 cold, high light, heat or a combination of them, an excess of NADPH may be
542 produced that could lead to an increase of reactive oxygen species (ROS)

543 (Peterhänsel *et al.*, 2010). Photorespiration can act as a sink for this excess of
544 reducing power, and this welcome effect can be even more important considering
545 that different stress conditions can increase photorespiratory rates (Kangasjärvi *et al.*
546 *et al.*, 2012). Drought and salinity for example trigger a decrease in stomatal
547 conductance, thus decreasing the CO₂:O₂ ratio and increasing photorespiration
548 (Kangasjärvi *et al.*, 2012). High temperatures also favour Rubisco oxygenation by
549 decreasing Rubisco specificity factor as well as the stromal concentration of CO₂
550 relative to O₂ (von Caemmerer *et al.*, 2000; Kangasjärvi *et al.*, 2012). It is not
551 surprising then that attention has been paid to the role of photorespiration in the
552 response to stress (Wingler *et al.*, 2000; Voss *et al.*, 2013). A direct relationship
553 between the capacity for photorespiratory flux and the tolerance to abiotic stress
554 has been described for different plant species under drought conditions (Wingler
555 *et al.*, 1999b; Li and Hu, 2015), salt stress (Hoshida *et al.*, 2000), photoinhibition
556 caused by high light (Heber and Krause, 1980; Kozaki and Takeba, 1996;
557 Takahashi *et al.*, 2007), chilling and exposure to heavy metals (Voss *et al.*, 2013
558 and references therein). Moreover, several photorespiratory genes are co-
559 expressed with genes involved in the resistance to Al, a stressor that can seriously
560 constrains plant productivity, suggesting a link between Al resistance and
561 photorespiration (Nunes-Nesi *et al.*, 2014).

562 Since abiotic stress is one of the factors that most limits crop productivity
563 worldwide (Mittler, 2006), the performance of plants with reduced capacity for
564 photorespiration should be tested carefully under different stress conditions.
565 Moreover, since most of the high quality soils available are already farmed, the
566 rising demand for food would probably lead to farm crops in marginal lands with
567 poorer soil and adverse climatic conditions (Long *et al.*, 2015). In such a scenario,
568 the use of crops with high resistance to abiotic stress, and not only high yield
569 under optimal conditions, would seem to be desirable.

570 Photorespiration has also been shown to play a significant role in the
571 response to biotic stress, where the H₂O₂ produced by the reaction of glycolate
572 oxidase in the peroxisome plays a central role in the defence from pathogen attack
573 (Taler *et al.*, 2004; Rojas *et al.*, 2012) and is part of the signalling route that leads
574 to programmed cell death (Mateo *et al.*, 2004). Plants with reduced rates of
575 photorespiration or engineered with alternative routes that bypass the peroxisomal

576 part of the pathway may show increased sensitivity to pathogen attacks and should
577 also be tested carefully.

578 3) Conditions that inhibit photorespiration such as elevated atmospheric
579 CO₂ strongly reduce nitrate assimilation in hydroponically grown *Arabidopsis* and
580 wheat (Rachmilevitch *et al.*, 2004; Bloom *et al.*, 2010). This relationship has even
581 been proposed to explain the lower-than-expected growth increases in plants
582 grown under elevated CO₂ and explain why many C₃ crops and trees grow more
583 slowly when fed with nitrate as a sole nitrogen source (Bloom *et al.*, 2011).
584 Recent evidence suggests that these hydroponic-based observations may occur at
585 larger scales when it was shown that wheat grown under free-air CO₂ enrichment
586 had higher nitrate pools and a greater ¹⁵N enrichment of both total nitrogen and
587 nitrate, observations consistent with a decrease in nitrate assimilation (Bloom *et*
588 *al.*, 2014). While different physiological mechanisms may explain the inhibitory
589 effect of elevated CO₂ on NO₃⁻ assimilation, multiple lines of evidence suggest
590 that this may be due to the reduction of photorespiratory rates under elevated CO₂
591 conditions (Bloom, 2015a). In fact, photorespiration stimulates the export of
592 malate from the chloroplast (Bloom, 2015a); this malate generates NADH in the
593 cytosol and this is probably necessary for the reduction of NO₃⁻ to NO₂⁻ by the
594 action of nitrate reductase. C₄ plants on the other hand assimilate NO₃⁻
595 independently of atmospheric CO₂ concentration (Bloom, 2015b). Considering the
596 low photorespiratory flux observed in this kind of plants, the supply of reducing
597 power for nitrate reduction in C₄ plants should probably come from sources other
598 than photorespiration.

599 Nitrate is the most abundant form of N in agricultural soils and is the
600 major N source for most higher plants. This is despite the higher amount of
601 energy that is needed for the assimilation of NO₃⁻ into organic compounds
602 compared to other N sources such as NH₄⁺ or organic forms of nitrogen. Taking
603 this into consideration, it is possible that a reduction of the photorespiratory rates
604 in crops that use mainly NO₃⁻ may lead to nitrogen deprivation. Reliance on NH₄⁺
605 fertilizers may not always be possible in order to circumvent this since many
606 plants show symptoms of toxicity when grown on NH₄⁺ as the sole N source
607 (Britto and Kronzucker, 2002).

608 In conclusion, different lines of evidence have shown that engineering of
609 photorespiration may greatly improve plant CO₂-assimilation and growth. Several

610 recent advances have been made in reducing photorespiratory losses in model
611 organisms as well as in some plants of agricultural relevance. A great challenge
612 will be the transfer of these advances to our major food crops, which are generally
613 more recalcitrant to genetic manipulation. Nonetheless, a rational bio-engineering
614 of plants with altered photorespiration should also take into consideration that this
615 pathway is tightly connected with several other aspects of plant metabolism and a
616 reduction of photorespiration may not always be beneficial, especially for plants
617 growing under adverse environmental conditions. Finally, taking into
618 consideration that NO_3^- assimilation depends on photorespiration, the
619 manipulation of the photorespiratory pathway may also affect the rates of N
620 assimilation and may favour the use of one N source over another.

621

622 **Acknowledgements**

623

624 This article was conceived during the discussion session “Round table on future
625 avenues of photorespiration research: crop improvement” held at the meeting
626 “Photorespiration – Key to better crops” in Warnemünde in June 2015. This work
627 was supported by FEDER-Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain,
628 [project AGL2014-54413-R to M.B.].

References

Aliyev JA. 2012. Photosynthesis, photorespiration and productivity of wheat and soybean genotypes. *Physiologia Plantarum* 145, 369-383.

Andersson I. 2008. Catalysis and regulation in Rubisco. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 59, 1555-1568.

Araki M, Ishii T. 2015. Towards social acceptance of plant breeding through genome editing. *Trends in Plant Science* 20, 145-149.

Avila C, Suárez MF, Gómez-Maldonado J, Cánovas FM. 2001. Spatial and temporal expression of two cytosolic glutamine synthetase genes in Scots pine: functional implications on nitrogen metabolism during early stages of conifer development. *The Plant Journal* 25, 93-102.

Bauwe H, Hagemann M, Fernie AR. 2010. Photorespiration: players, partners and origin. *Trends in Plant Science* 15, 330-336.

- Benstein RM, Ludewig K, Wulfert S, Wittek S, Gigolashvili T, Frerigmann H, Gierth M, Flügge U-I, Krueger S.** 2013. *Arabidopsis* phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase1 of the phosphoserine pathway is essential for development and required for ammonium assimilation and tryptophan biosynthesis. *The Plant Cell* 25, 5011-5029.
- Betti M, García-Calderón M, Pérez-Delgado CM, Credali A, Pal'Ove-Balang P, Estivill G, Repčák M, Vega JM, Galván F, Márquez AJ.** 2014. Reassimilation of ammonium in *Lotus japonicus*. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 65, 5557-5566.
- Blackwell RD, Murray AJS, Lea PJ, Kendall AC, Hall NP, Turner JC, Wallsgrove RM.** 1988. The value of mutants unable to carry out photorespiration. *Photosynthesis research* 16, 155-176.
- Bloom AJ, Burger M, Rubio Asensio JS, Cousins AB.** 2010. Carbon dioxide enrichment inhibits nitrate assimilation in wheat and *Arabidopsis*. *Science* 328, 899-903.
- Bloom AJ, Asensio JSR, Randall L, Rachmilevitch S, Cousins AB, Carlisle EA.** 2011 CO₂ enrichment inhibits shoot nitrate assimilation in C3 but not C4 plants and slows growth under nitrate in C3 plants. *Ecology* 93, 355-367.
- Bloom AJ, Burger M, Kimball BA, Pinter JPP.** 2014 Nitrate assimilation is inhibited by elevated CO₂ in field-grown wheat. *Nature Climate Change* 4, 477-480.
- Bloom AJ.** 2015a. Photorespiration and nitrate assimilation: a major intersection between plant carbon and nitrogen. *Photosynthesis Research* 123, 117-128.
- Bloom AJ.** 2015b. The increasing importance of distinguishing among plant nitrogen sources. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 25, 10-16.
- Blume C, Behrens C, Eubel H, Braun H-P, Peterhänsel C.** 2013. A possible role for the chloroplast pyruvate dehydrogenase complex in plant glycolate and glyoxylate metabolism. *Phytochemistry* 95, 168-176.
- Brisson LF, Zelitch I, Havir EA.** 1998. Manipulation of Catalase Levels Produces Altered Photosynthesis in Transgenic Tobacco Plants. *Plant Physiology* 116, 259-269.
- Britto S, Kronzucker HJ.** 2002. NH₄⁺ toxicity in higher plants: a critical review. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 159, 567-584.

Busch FA, Sage TL, Cousins AB, Sage RF. 2013. C3 plants enhance rates of photosynthesis by reassimilating photorespired and respired CO₂. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 36, 200-212.

Carmo-Silva E, Scales JC, Madgwick PJ, Parry MAJ. 2015. Optimizing Rubisco and its regulation for greater resource use efficiency. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 38, 1817-1832.

Carvalho JdFC, Madgwick PJ, Powers SJ, Keys AJ, Lea PJ, Parry MAJ. 2011. An engineered pathway for glyoxylate metabolism in tobacco plants aimed to avoid the release of ammonia in photorespiration. *BMC Biotechnology* 11:111.

Cousins AB, Pracharoenwattana I, Zhou W, Smith SM, Badger MR. 2008. Peroxisomal malate dehydrogenase is not essential for photorespiration in *Arabidopsis* but its absence causes an increase in the stoichiometry of photorespiratory CO₂ release. *Plant Physiology* 148, 786-795.

Dalal J, Lopez H, Vasani NB et al. 2015. A photorespiratory bypass increases plant growth and seed yield in biofuel crop *Camelina sativa*. *Biotechnology for Biofuels* 8:175.

Eisenhut M, Ruth W, Haimovich M, Bauwe H, Kaplan A, Hagemann M. 2008. The photorespiratory glycolate metabolism is essential for cyanobacteria and might have been conveyed endosymbiotically to plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 105, 17199-17204.

Feldman AB, Murchie EH, Leung H, Baraoidan M, Coe R, Yu S-M, Lo S-F, Quick WP. 2014. Increasing leaf vein density by mutagenesis: laying the foundations for C₄ rice. *PLoS ONE* doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094947.

Fernie AR, Bauwe H, Eisenhut M et al. 2013. Perspectives on plant photorespiratory metabolism. *Plant Biology* 15, 748-753.

Foyer CH, Bloom AJ, Queval G, Noctor G. 2009. Photorespiratory metabolism: genes, mutants, energetics, and redox signaling. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 60, 455-484.

Galmés J, Flexas J, Keys AJ, Cifre J, Mitchell RAC, Madgwick PJ, Haslam RP, Medrano H, Parry MAJ. 2005. Rubisco specificity factor tends to be larger in plant species from drier habitats and in species with persistent leaves. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 28, 571-579.

- Gowik U, Westhoff P.** 2011. The path from C₃ to C₄ photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology* 155, 56-63.
- Grodzinski B, Butt VS.** 1977. The effect of temperature on glycollate decarboxylation in leaf peroxisomes. *Planta* 133, 261-266.
- Haimovich-Dayan M, Lieman-Hurwitz J, Orf I, Hagemann M, Kaplan A.** 2015. Does 2-phosphoglycolate serve as an internal signal molecule of inorganic carbon deprivation in the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803? *Environmental Microbiology* 17, 1794-1804.
- Hartung F, Schiemann J.** 2014. Precise plant breeding using new genome editing techniques: opportunities, safety and regulation in the EU. *The Plant Journal* 78, 742-752.
- Hauser T, Popilka L, Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M.** 2015. Role of auxiliary proteins in Rubisco biogenesis and function. *Nature Plants* 1, doi: 10.1038/NPLANTS.2015.65.
- Häusler RE, Blackwell RD, Lea PJ, Leegood RC.** 1994. Control of photosynthesis in barley mutants with reduced levels of glutamine synthetase and glutamate synthase. II control of electron transport and CO₂ assimilation. *Planta* 194, 406-417.
- Heber U, Krause GH.** 1980. What is the physiological role of photorespiration? *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* 5, 32-34.
- Heineke D, Bykova N, Gardeström P, Bauwe H.** 2001. Metabolic response of potato plants to an antisense reduction of the P-protein of glycine decarboxylase. *Planta* 212, 880-887.
- Hodges M, Jossier M, Boex-Fontvieille E, Tcherkez G.** 2013. Protein phosphorylation and photorespiration. *Plant Biology* 15, 694-706.
- Holzinger A, Buchner O, Lütz C, Hanson MR.** 2007. Temperature-sensitive formation of chloroplast protrusions and stromules in mesophyll cells of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Protoplasma* 230, 23-30.
- Holzinger A, Kwoy EY, Hanson MR.** 2008. Effects of *arc3*, *arc5* and *arc6* mutations on plastid morphology and stromule formation in green and nongreen tissues of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Photochemistry and Photobiology* 84, 1324-1335.
- Hoshida H, Tanaka Y, Hibino T, Hayashi Y, Tanaka A, Takabe T, Takabe T.** 2000. Enhanced tolerance to salt stress in transgenic rice that overexpresses chloroplast glutamine synthetase. *Plant Molecular Biology* 43, 103-111.

- Kangasjärvi S, Neukermans J, Li S, Aro E-M, Noctor G.** 2012. Photosynthesis, photorespiration, and light signalling in defence responses. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 63, 1619-1636.
- Kebeish R, Niessen M, Thiruveedhi K et al.** 2007. Chloroplastic photorespiratory bypass increases photosynthesis and biomass production in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature Biotechnology* 25, 593-599.
- Keech O, Zhou W, Fenske R, Colas des Francs-Small C, Bussell JD, Badger MR, Smith SM.** 2012. The genetic dissection of a short term response to low CO₂ supports the possibility for peroxide-mediated decarboxylation of photorespiratory intermediates in the peroxisome. *Molecular plant* 5, 1413-1416.
- Keech O, Gardeström P, Kleczkowski LA, Rouhier N.** 2016. The redox control of photorespiration: from biochemical and physiological aspects to biotechnological considerations. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, doi: 10.1111/pce.12713.
- Kozaki A, Takeba G.** 1996. Photorespiration protects C₃ plants from photooxidation. *Nature* 384, 557-560.
- Igamberdiev AU, Bykova NV, Kleczkowski LA.** 1999. Origins and metabolism of formate in higher plants. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 37, 503-513.
- Lin MT, Occhialini A, Andralojc PJ, Devonshire J, Hines KM, Parry MAJ, Hanson MR.** 2014a. β -Carboxysomal proteins assemble into highly organized structures in *Nicotiana* chloroplasts. *The Plant Journal* 79, 1-12.
- Lin MT, Occhialini A, Andralojc PJ, Parry MAJ, Hanson MR.** 2014b. A faster Rubisco with potential to increase photosynthesis in crops. *Nature* 513, 547-550.
- Li J, Hu J.** 2015. Using co-expression analysis and stress-based screens to uncover *Arabidopsis* peroxisomal proteins involved in drought response. *PLoS ONE* 10, e0137762.
- Long SP, Marshall-Colon A, Zhu X-G.** 2015. Meeting the global food demand of the future by engineering crop photosynthesis and yield potential. *Cell* 161, 56-66.
- Lusser M, Parisi C, Plan D, Rodríguez-Cerezo E.** 2012. Deployment of new biotechnologies in plant breeding. *Nature Biotechnology* 30, 231-239.
- Maier A, Fahnenstich H, von Caemmer S, Engqvist MKM, Weber APM, Flüge U-I, Maurino VG.** 2012. Transgenic introduction of a glycolate oxidative

cycle into *A. thaliana* chloroplasts leads to growth improvement. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 3, 1-12.

Mateo A, Mühlenbock P, Rustèrucci C, Chang CCC, Miszalski Z, Karpinska B, Parker JE, Mullineaux PM, Karpinski S. 2004. *LESION SIMULATING DISEASE 1* is required for acclimation to conditions that promote excess excitation energy. *Plant Physiology* 136, 2818-2830.

Maurino VG, Weber APM. 2013. Engineering photosynthesis in plants and synthetic microorganisms. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64, 743-751.

Medrano H, Keys AJ, Lawlor DW, Parry MAJ, Azcon-Bieto J, Delgado E. 1995. Improving plant production by selection for survival at low CO₂ concentrations. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 46, 1389-1396.

Migge A, Carrayol E, Hirel B, Becker TW. 2000. Leaf-specific overexpression of plastidic glutamine synthetase stimulates the growth of transgenic tobacco seedlings. *Planta* 210, 252-260.

Mittler R. 2006. Abiotic stress, the field environment and stress combination. *Trends in Plant Science* 11, 15-19.

Nölke G, Houdelet M, Kreuzaler F, Peterhänsel C, Schillberg S. 2014. The expression of a recombinant glycolate dehydrogenase polyprotein in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) plastids strongly enhances photosynthesis and tuber yield. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 12, 734-742.

Nunes-Nesi A, Santos Brito D, Inostroza-Blacheteau C, Fernie AR, Araújo W. 2014. The complex role of mitochondrial metabolism in plant aluminum tolerance. *Trends in Plant Science* 19, 399-407.

Oikawa K, Yamasato A, Kong S-G, Kasahara M, Nakai M, Takahashi F, Ogura Y, Kagawa T, Wada M. 2008. Chloroplast outer envelope protein CHUP1 is essential for chloroplast anchorage to the plasma membrane and chloroplast movement. *Plant Physiology* 148, 829-842.

Ort DR, Merchant SS, Alric J, et al. 2015. Redesigning photosynthesis to sustainability meet global food and energy demand. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112, 8529-8536.

Parry MAJ, Reynolds M, Salvucci ME, Raines C, Andralojc PJ, Zhu X-G, Price GD, Condon AG, Furbank RT. 2011. Raising yield potential of wheat. ii. Increasing photosynthetic capacity and efficiency. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 62, 453-467.

Parry MAJ, Andralojc PJ, Scales JC, Salvucci ME, Carmo-Silva E, Alonso H, Whitney SM. 2013. Rubisco activity and regulation as targets for crop improvement. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64, 717-730.

Pengelly JLL, Förster B, von Caemmerer S, Badger MR, Whitney SM. 2014. Transplastomic integration of a cyanobacterial bicarbonate transporter into tobacco chloroplasts. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 65, 3071-3080.

Pérez-Delgado CM, García-Calderón M, Sánchez DH, Udvardi M, Kopka J, Márquez AJ, Betti M. 2013. Transcriptomic and metabolic changes associated with photorespiratory ammonium accumulation in the model legume *Lotus japonicus*. *Plant Physiology* 162, 1834-1848.

Peterhänsel C, Horst I, Niessen M, Blume C, Kebeish R, Kürkcüoglu, Kreuzaler F. 2010. Photorespiration. *The Arabidopsis Book* 8, e0130.

Peterhänsel C, Krause K, Braun H-P, Espie GS, Fernie AR, Hanson DT, Keech O, Maurino VG, Mielewczik, Sage RF. 2013a. Engineering photorespiration: current state and future possibilities. *Plant Biology* 15, 754-758.

Peterhänsel C, Blume C, Offermann S. 2013b. Photorespiratory bypasses: how can they work? *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64: 709-715.

Price GD, Pengelly JLL, Forster B, Du J, Whitney SM, von Caemmerer S, Badger MR, Howitt SM, Evans JR. 2013. The cyanobacterial CCM as a source of genes for improving photosynthetic CO₂ fixation in crop species. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64, 753-768.

Price GD, Howitt SM. 2014. Towards turbocharged photosynthesis. *Nature* 513, 497-498.

Rachmilevitch S, Cousins AB, Bloom AJ. 2004. Nitrate assimilation in plant shoots depends on photorespiration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 101, 11506-11510.

Raines CA. 2011. Increasing photosynthetic carbon assimilation in C₃ plants to improve crop yield: current and future strategies. *Plant Physiology* 155, 36-42.

Rizal G, Thakur V, Dionora J et al. 2015. Two forward genetic screens for vein density mutants in sorghum converge on a cytochrome p450 gene in the brassinosteroid pathway. *The Plant Journal* 84, 257-266.

Rojas CM, Senthil-Kumar M, Wang K, Ryu C-M, Kaundal A, Mysore KS. 2012. Glycolate oxidase modulates reactive oxygen species-mediated signal

transduction during nonhost resistance in *Nicotiana benthamiana* and *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell* 24, 336-352.

Ros R, Cascales-Miñana B, Segura J, Anoman AD, Toujani W, Flores-Tornero M, Rosa-Tellez S, Muñoz-Bartolomeu J. 2013. Serine biosynthesis by photorespiratory and non-photorespiratory pathways: an interesting interplay with unknown regulatory networks. *Plant Biology* 15, 707-712.

Sage TL, Sage RF. 2009. The functional anatomy of rice leaves: implications for refixation of photorespiratory CO₂ and efforts to engineer C₄ photosynthesis into rice. *Plant & Cell Physiology* 50:756-772.

Sage TL, Busch FA, Johnson DC, Friesen PC, Stinson CR, Stata M, Sultmanis S, Rahman BA, Rawsthorne S, Sage RF. 2013. Initial Events during the Evolution of C₄ Photosynthesis in C₃ Species of Flaveria. *Plant Physiology* 163, 1266-1276.

Sage RF, Sage TL, Kocacinar F. 2012. Photorespiration and the evolution of C₄ photosynthesis. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 63, 19-47.

Sage RF. 2013. Photorespiratory compensation: a driver for biological diversity. *Plant Biology* 15, 624-638.

Sage RF, Khoshravesh R, Sage TL. 2014. From proto-Kranz to C₄ Kranz: building the bridge to C₄ photosynthesis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 65, 3341-3356.

Sharkey T. 1985. Photosynthesis in intact leaves of C₃ plants: Physics, physiology and rate limitations. *The Botanical Review* 51, 53-105.

Scharff LB, Bock R. 2014. Synthetic biology in plastids. *The Plant Journal* 78, 783-798.

Sharpe RM, Offermann S. 2014. One decade after the discovery of single-cell C₄ species in terrestrial plants: what did we learn about the minimal requirement of C₄ photosynthesis? *Photosynthesis research* 119, 169-180.

Schjoerring JK, Mäck G, Nielsen KH, Husted S, Suzuki A, Driscoll S, Boldt R, Bauwe H. 2006. Antisense reduction of serine hydroxymethyltransferase results in diurnal displacement of NH₄⁺ assimilation in leaves of *Solanum tuberosum*. *The Plant Journal* 45, 71-82.

Shih PM, Zarzycki J, Niyogi KK, Kerfeld CA. 2014. Introduction of a synthetic CO₂-fixing photorespiratory bypass into a cyanobacterium. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 289, 9493-9500.

- Sommerville CR, Ogren WL.** 1982. Genetic modification of photorespiration. *Trends in Biochemical Science* 7, 171-174.
- Suzuki Y, Ohkubo M, Hatakeyama H, Ohashi K, Yoshizawa R, Kojima S, Hayakawa T, Yamaya T, Mae T, Makino A.** 2007. Increased Rubisco content in transgenic rice transformed with the “Sense” *rbcS* gene. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 48, 626-637.
- Takahashi S, Bauwe H, Badger M.** 2007. Impairment of the photorespiratory pathway accelerates photoinhibition of photosystem II by suppression of repair but not acceleration of damage processes in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiology* 144, 487-494.
- Taler D, Galperin M, Benjamin I, Cohen Y, Kenigsbuch.** 2004. Plant *eR* genes that encode photorespiratory enzymes confer resistance against disease. *The Plant Cell* 16, 172-184.
- Tcherkez G.** 2015. The mechanism of Rubisco-catalysed oxygenation. *Plant, Cell and Environment* doi: 10.1111/pce.12629.
- Tilman D, Cassman KG, Matson PA, Naylor R, Polasky S.** 2002. Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. *Nature* 418, 671-677.
- Timm S, Nunes-Nesi A, Pärnik T, Morgenthal K, Wienkoop S, Keerberg O, Weckwerth W, Kleczkowski LA, Fernie AR, Bauwe H.** 2008. A cytosolic pathway for the conversion of hydroxypyruvate to glycerate during photorespiration in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 20, 2848-2859.
- Timm S, Florian A, Arrivault S, Stitt M, Fernie AR, Bauwe H.** 2012a. Glycine decarboxylase controls photosynthesis and plant growth. *FEBS Letters* 586, 3692-3697.
- Timm S, Mielewczik M, Florian A, Frankenbach S, Dreissen A, Hocken N, Fernie AR, Walter A, Bauwe H.** 2012b. High-to-low CO₂ acclimation reveals plasticity of the photorespiratory pathway and indicates regulatory links to cellular metabolism of *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS ONE* 7, e42809.
- Timm S, Bauwe H.** 2013. The variety of photorespiratory phenotypes – employing the current status for future research directions on photorespiration. *Plant Biology* 15, 737-747.
- Timm S, Florian A, Wittmiß M, Jahnke K, Hagemann M, Fernie AR, Bauwe H.** 2013. Serine acts as a metabolic signal for the transcriptional control of photorespiration-related genes in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiology* 162, 379-389.

- Timm S, Wittmiß M, Gamlien S, Ewald R, Florian A, Frank M, Wirtz M, Hell R, Fernie AR, Bauwe H.** 2015. Mitochondrial dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase activity shapes photosynthesis and photorespiration of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Cell* 27, 1968-1984.
- Voss I, Sunil B, Scheibe R, Raghavendra AS.** 2013. Emerging concept for the role of photorespiration as an important part of abiotic stress response. *Plant Biology* 15, 713-722.
- von Caemmerer S.** 2000. Biochemical models of leaf photosynthesis. Collingwood, Australia: CSIRO Publishing.
- von Caemmerer S, Quick WP, Furbank RT.** 2012. The development of C₄ rice: current progress and future challenges. *Science* 336, 1671-1672.
- Walker BJ, Van Loocke A, Bernacchi CJ, Ort DR.** 2016. The cost of photorespiration to food production now and in the future. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 67, doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-043015-111709.
- Wallsgrave RM, Turner JC, Hall NP, Kendall AC, Bright SW.** 1987. Barley mutants lacking chloroplast glutamine synthetase – biochemical and genetic analysis. *Plant Physiology* 83, 155-158.
- Whitney SM, Houtz R, Alonso H.** 2011a. Advancing our understanding and capacity to engineer nature's CO₂-sequestering enzyme, Rubisco. *Plant Physiology* 155, 27-35.
- Whitney SM, Sharwood RE, Orr D, White SJ, Alonso H, Galmés J.** 2011b. Isoleucine 309 acts as a C₄ catalytic switch that increases ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (rubisco) carboxylation rate in *Flaveria*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108, 14688-14693.
- Wingler A, Lea PJ, Leegood RC.** 1999a. Photorespiratory metabolism of glyoxylate and formate in glycine-accumulating mutants of barley and *Amaranthus edulis*. *Planta* 207, 518-526.
- Wingler A, Quick WP, Bungard RA, Bailey KJ, Lea PJ, Leegood RC.** 1999b. The role of photorespiration during drought stress: an analysis utilizing barley mutants with reduced activities of photorespiratory enzymes. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 22, 361-373.

- Wingler A, Lea PJ, Quick P, Leegood RC.** 2000. Photorespiration: metabolic pathways and their role in stress protection. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences* 355, 1517-1529.
- Wu J, Zhang Z, Zhang Q, Han X, Hu X, Lu T.** 2015. The molecular cloning and clarification of a photorespiratory mutant, *oscdm1*, using enhancer trapping. *Frontiers in Genetics* doi: 10.3389/fgene.2015.00226.
- Xin C-P, Tholen D, Devloo V, Zhu X-G.** 2015. The benefits of photorespiratory bypasses: how can they work? *Plant Physiology* 167, 574-585.
- Xu H, Zhang J, Zeng J, Jiang L, Liu E, Peng C, He Z, Peng X.** 2009. Inducible antisense suppression of glycolate oxidase reveals its strong regulation over photosynthesis in rice. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60, 1799-1809.
- Zelitch I, Day PR.** 1973. The effect on net photosynthesis of pedigree selection for low and high rates of photorespiration in tobacco. *Plant Physiology* 52, 33-37.
- Zelitch I.** 1989. Selection and characterization of tobacco plants with novel O₂-resistant photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology* 90, 1457-1464.
- Zelitch I.** 1992. Control of plant productivity by regulation of photorespiration. *Bioscience* 42, 510-516.
- Zhu C, Fan Q, Wang W, Shen C, Meng X, Tang Y, Mei B, Xu Z, Song R.** 2014. Characterization of a glutamine synthetase gene *DvGS2* from *Dunaliella viridis* and biochemical identification of *DvGS2*-transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Gene* 536, 407-415.
- Zhu X-G, Portis AR, Long SP.** 2004. Would transformation of C₃ crop plants with foreign RUBISCO increase productivity? A computational analysis extrapolating from kinetic properties to canopy photosynthesis. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 27, 155-165.
- Zhu X-G, de Sturler E, Long SP.** 2007. Optimizing the distribution of resources between enzymes of carbon metabolism can dramatically increase photosynthetic rate: a numeric simulation using an evolutionary algorithm. *Plant Physiology* 145, 513-526.
- Zhu X-G, Long SP, Ort DR.** 2010. Improving photosynthetic efficiency for greater yield. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 61, 235-261.

FIGURE LEGENDS.

Figure 1. The effect of cover and positioning on photorespiratory CO₂ scavenging. (A) When chloroplasts (c) cover a large portion of the cell wall space adjacent to the intercellular air space (IAS) they provide a barrier for the photorespiratory CO₂ released by the mitochondria (m), which can then be reassimilated in the chloroplast. Tight associations between mitochondria and chloroplasts add to this effect. In addition, a high chloroplast cover reduces the resistance for CO₂ entering the chloroplast from the outside of the cell. Both processes increase the CO₂ concentration in the chloroplast and thereby reduce photorespiration. (B) Conversely, low chloroplast cover and/or mitochondria that are not in close contact with the chloroplasts result in a lower capacity to scavenge photorespiratory CO₂.

Figure 2. Reported engineering strategies for the introduction of bypasses into the photorespiratory pathway. Pathways for the native photorespiratory cycle and for the photorespiratory bypasses are indicated. In black an abbreviated summary of the photorespiratory cycle and the Calvin-Benson cycle (dashed lines, shaded green, see Raines 2011 for more details). Shown in blue is the Kebeish bypass (Kebeish *et al.*, 2007), in orange the Carvalho bypass (Carvalho *et al.*, 2011) and in red the Maier bypass (Maier *et al.*, 2012). The abbreviations used for the metabolites are: 2PG, 2-phosphoglycolate; 3PGA, 3-phosphoglycerate; Ac-CoA, acetyl coenzyme A; GA, glycerate; GL, glycolate; GX, glyoxylate; HP, hydroxypyruvate; MAL, malate; PYR, pyruvate; RuBP, ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate; TSA, tartronic semialdehyde. Abbreviations for the enzymes as follows: CAT, catalase; GCL, glyoxylate carboligase; GDH, glycolate dehydrogenase; GOX, glycolate oxidase; HYI, hydroxypyruvate isomerase; ME, malic enzyme; MS, malate synthase; PDH, pyruvate dehydrogenase; TSR, tartronic semialdehyde reductase.