Contributions of gas flaring to a global air pollution hotspot: spatial and temporal variations, impacts and alleviation

 *Obinna C.D. Anejionu¹, J. Duncan Whyatt¹, G. Alan Blackburn¹ and Catheryn S. Price²
 ¹Lancaster Environment Centre, Gordon Manley Building, Lancaster University, Lancaster. United Kingdom, LA1 4YQ
 ²Cambridge Environmental Research Consultants (CERC) Cambridge, United Kingdom, CB2 1SJ

14 Abstract

15 Studies of environmental impacts of gas flaring in the Niger Delta are hindered by limited 16 access to official flaring emissions records and a paucity of reliable ambient monitoring data. 17 This study uses a combination of geospatial technologies and dispersion modelling 18 techniques to evaluate air pollution impacts of gas flaring on human health and natural 19 ecosystems in the region. Results indicate that gas flaring is a major contributor to air 20 pollution across the region, with concentrations exceeding WHO limits in some locations 21 over certain time periods. Due to the predominant south-westerly wind, concentrations are 22 higher in some states with little flaring activity than in others with significant flaring activity. 23 Twenty million people inhabit areas of high flare-associated air pollution, which include all 24 of the main ecological zones of the region, indicating that flaring poses a substantial threat to 25 human health and the environment. Model scenarios demonstrated that substantial reductions in pollution could be achieved by stopping flaring at a small number of the most active sites 26 27 and by improving overall flaring efficiency.

28

Keywords: Gas Flares, Remote Sensing, Dispersion Modelling, Health Impacts,
Environmental Impacts, Niger Delta

31

32 **1. Introduction**

A large proportion of Nigeria's oil facilities were developed in the early 1960s and 1970s in response to increased international demand for oil (Rotty, 1974). Gas was not a popular energy source at the time and environmental standards were not as stringent as they are today (Kuranga, 2002 cited in Abdulkareem, 2005a; OGP, 2000), consequently most of the excess gas associated with crude oil production was removed through the process of flaring (Figure 1). This combustion process has been going on for almost six decades in Nigeria, hence its global recognition as a prominent flaring nation (Elvidge *et al*, 2009).

40 As there was little local awareness of the environmental impacts of gas flaring in the 41 1960s and because flaring technology was in its infancy, flaring efficiencies were low relative to modern day standards (Leahey et al., 2001; OGP, 2000) with large volumes of gas flared at 42 43 flow stations (where oil from different wells is initially gathered) and in refineries on an almost continuous basis (Marais et al., 2014). Although it is generally assumed that flares 44 45 attain high flaring efficiency, producing only non-toxic carbon dioxide (CO_2) and water, in 46 reality, combustion is incomplete and harmful by-products such as sulphur dioxide (SO₂), 47 nitrogen oxides (NOx), hydrogen sulphide (H₂S), volatile organic compounds (VOC), total hydrocarbons, heavy metals and particulates are released into the environment (Johnson and 48 49 Coderre, 2012; Abdulkareem, 2005a). Generally, flares are thought to operate at an average 50 efficiency of $68\% \pm 7\%$ (Leahey *et al.*, 2001).

51 [Insert] Figure 1. Open ground flare at Rumuekpe, Rivers State, surrounded by farmland (Ezeamalu, 2014).

52

53 The dangers posed by prolonged and continuous flaring have been a source of concern 54 to the inhabitants of the Niger Delta. Reported impacts include increased concentrations of 55 airborne pollutants, reduced agricultural yields, acidification of soils and rainwater, decreased 56 plant flowering and fruiting, corrosion of metal roofs, heat stress, deformities in children, decreased lung function and damage, and skin problems (Ismail and Umukoro, 2012). These
impacts have prompted numerous studies as documented by Anejionu (2014).

Despite documented negative impacts of air pollution in the region (Tawari and Abowei, 2012; Nwachukwu *et al.*, 2012), there is a paucity of information on the magnitude and extent of air pollution associated with such activities due to weak government regulation and enforcement of environmental standards (Ismail and Umukoro, 2012). This necessitates the requirement for modelling studies to assess the air pollution impacts of gas flaring on the surrounding environment.

Atmospheric dispersion models are commonly used to simulate pollution levels and confirm the ambient concentrations. They can also be used to quantify impacts from individual sources and test scenarios (U.S. EPA, 2011). This study incorporates remotelysensed estimates of emissions from gas flaring in a conventional dispersion model in order to assess the likely air pollution impacts across the Niger Delta over an extended time period.

70 The objectives are as follows:

i. use remotely-sensed inputs to parameterise a conventional atmospheric dispersion
 model to simulate the flaring process and model the dispersion of pollutants;

- ii. use the model to determine how the magnitude and extent of pollution linked to
 flaring activity have varied over time (including comparisons with established
 environmental standards);
- iii. investigate the contributions from different source sectors (onshore/offshore) and
 states;
- iv. identify candidate sources for emission reduction;

79 2. Study Area

The Niger Delta is at the centre of oil and gas exploration in Nigeria. In addition, it provides the natural habitat for a wide variety of endemic coastal and estuarine fauna and flora, supporting over 60% of the total species in Nigeria (Anejionu *et al.*, 2015b). It is therefore ranked as one of the highest conservation priorities in West Africa (IUCN, 1994). The region
is very humid with average ambient temperatures ranging from 21°C to 35°C. It generally
experiences light south-westerly winds ranging from 1.6m/s to 5.4m/s for most of the year,
due to its proximity to the Atlantic Ocean; although during the few dry months of Harmattan
(late November to early February), some north-easterly winds are recorded (Marais *et al.*,
2014; Odu, 1994).

89 **3. Methodology**

90 The methodology adopted in this study involves the calculation of emission rates from 91 volume flow rates (estimated from flaring sites detected on satellite images), the use of a 92 conventional atmospheric dispersion model to incorporate flare sources (see section 3.3.2) 93 and consequent verification and modelling of the pollutant concentrations for multiple 94 sources and time periods.

95 3.1 Modelling system description

The Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling System (ADMS) comprises a robust group of models 96 97 developed by Cambridge Environmental Research Consultants (CERC) to simulate dispersion of pollutants from industrial, road, domestic and other sources. ADMS-Urban, 98 99 used in this research, models emissions from point, line and area sources over large urban 100 areas (CERC, 2011). It has become an integral part of air quality management in the United Kingdom (Arciszewska and McClatchey, 2001) and has been employed in a number of 101 102 studies including exposure from traffic pollution and more general air quality assessment (Davies and Whyatt, 2014, Abdul-Raheem and Adekola, 2013). It has however not been 103 104 previously used in combination with remotely-sensed information to model the impact of gas 105 flares.

107 3.2 Data

108 *3.2.1 Flare volume flow rate*

109 The volume flow rates used to derive emission rates for each pollutant were obtained from previous research by Anejionu et al. (2015a), who developed techniques to detect active 110 111 flaring sites and estimate the volume of gas flared from each site from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) satellite imagery (Figure 2). The MODIS Flare 112 113 Detection Technique (MODET) was developed to detect active flare sites, while the MODIS 114 Volume Estimation Technique (MOVET) was used to estimate the annual quantity of gas 115 flared from individual flare sites based on radiation detected from such sites. These 116 techniques were used to determine the location of active flare sites and volume flow rates 117 included in the modelled assessment.

- 118
- **[Insert] Figure 2.** The total volume of gas combusted at individual flare sites in the Niger Delta (2000-2014), reproduced from Anejionu *et al.*, (2015a).
- 121 3.2.2. Meteorological data

Historic meteorological data (wind speed, wind direction, ambient temperature and cloud 122 123 cover) were obtained from a weather data archive (Weather Underground, 2013). Given the 124 limited hourly meteorological data for Port Harcourt and other weather stations in the region, 125 hourly data for a neighbouring country (Malabo, Equatorial Guinea) in the Atlantic Ocean (Figure 2), were utilised. The hourly data did not include rainfall amount, hence, mean 126 monthly rainfall values and rainfall days for the Niger Delta (Climate Charts, 2010; 127 128 Norwegian Meteorological Institute, 2014) were used to compute hourly rainfall values for 129 each month.

130 *3.2.3 Emission factors*

131 There are practical challenges in obtaining accurate estimates of emissions associated with132 flaring (OAQPS, 1984; Backshall, 2013). As a result, predetermined emission factors are

typically used to compute emission rates for flares. The emission factors relate the quantity of
a pollutant released with an activity associated with the release of that pollutant (e.g. amount
of gas flared per unit time) (U.S. EPA, 2014a). The emission factors adopted in the study
(Table 1) were established by the UK Department of Energy and Climate Change (EEMS,
2008) and are similar to those recommended by the Exploration and Production Forum (E&P
Forum, 1994).

[Insert] Table 1. Emission factors used in the modelling. The units for the emission factors are 'mass of pollutant per unit mass of flared gas'. (Source: EEMS, 2008; E&P Forum, 1994).

141

```
142 3.3 Methods
```

143 3.3.1 Computing gas flare emission rates

144 The following equation for estimating emission rates taken from OAQPS (1995) and Ontario145 (2007) was used to calculate pollutant emissions:

146
$$E = A * EF * \left(1 - \frac{ER}{100}\right)$$
 (1)

147 Where: E is the emission rate of a pollutant (g/s), A is the activity rate (g/s) which is the 148 mass of gas flared, EF is emission factor of a pollutant, and ER is the overall emission 149 reduction efficiency (flaring efficiency in %). Flaring efficiency of 75% was assumed for 150 flares in the Niger Delta based on findings of earlier studies (Leahey et al., 2001; Sawaragi 151 and Akashi, 1978 cited in Abdulkareem, 2005a). Ismail and Umukoro (2012) stated that "on 152 a casual observation of the flares in the Niger Delta one sees that they are sooty and evidently 153 burn at low efficiency." Flaring efficiency is thus used in this context as a primary measure of 154 the overall performance of the flare systems and not necessarily as the combustion efficiency, which relates to the percentage of the natural gas that is completely oxidized to CO₂ and 155 156 water (US. EPA, 1983).

157 The volume of gas flared (in m^3 /year) from each flare site was estimated from remote 158 sensing (Anejionu *et al.*, 2015a). This was converted to a mass flow rate (g/s) assuming that 159 the flared gas has the density of methane (the dominant component of the gas extracted in the

160 Niger Delta (Isichei and Sanford, 1976).

161 *3.3.2 Computation of effective diameter and height*

Gas is typically flared from stacks of fixed height and diameter, however actual combustion
occurs beyond the tip of the flare stacks (Figure 3). As a result, flare stack parameters such as
effective height and diameter are calculated to account for this.

Effective stack height is calculated as the sum of the stack height and the height of the flame above the stack exit point to the flame tip. The effective diameter is derived from the flare buoyancy flux. To compute the effective height (H_{eff}) and effective diameter (D_{eff}) , various factors such as heat release are required to compute flame buoyancy and height increase (see section 3.3.2.1 for details).

170

[Insert] Figure 3. Effective stack height and diameter (Price, 2013).

171

173

172 3.3.2.1. Details of computation of flaring parameters

Heat released was computed using the following expression (San Joaquin Valley APCD,2014).

176 $Q = (W / 3.6) * \sum w_i q_i$ (2)

Where: Q is heat released per hour (kW), W is gas flow rate (kg/hr), w_i is mass fraction
of component i, and q_i is heating value of gas component i (MJ/kg). The methane heating
value of 55.53MJ/kg was used.

180 The heat released was further converted from kW to J/s, and the net heat released in J/s 181 (Q_n) computed by assuming a 25% heat loss (recommended as default) by Ontario Ministry 182 of Environment (2003) using:

183
$$Q_n = (75/100) * Q_i$$
 (3)

184 The height increase (Δh) was computed using the following equation:

185
$$\Delta h = 0.00456 \text{ x} (Q_c)^{0.478}$$
 (Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 2003) (4)
186 Where Qc is the net heat release in units of calories/s.

187 Subsequently, the effective height (H_{eff}) is computed as:

188
$$\mathbf{H}_{eff} = \mathbf{H} + \Delta \mathbf{h} \tag{5}$$

189 Where H is the measured stack height.

190 The flare buoyancy flux (F_b) in turn was computed using the following expression:

191
$$F_{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{g^* \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}}{\pi^* \rho^* T_a * \mathbf{C} p}$$
(6)

Where g is acceleration due to gravity (m/s²), ρ is air density (kg/m³), T_a is air temperature (K) and C_p is the specific heat of dry air (J/(kg K). The flare buoyancy flux was subsequently used to compute the effective diameter (D_{eff}), using the following expression:

$$\mathbf{D}_{eff} = \left(\frac{2\left(F_b\left(\frac{T_f}{\left(T_f - T_a\right)}\right)\right)}{\left(gv\right)^{0.5}}\right)$$
(7)

197

198 Where T_f = flare exit temperature and v = the stack exit velocity. Flare exit temperature of 199 1,273 K (1000° C), which matches those in the region (Abdulkareem, 2005a) and exit 200 velocity of 20m/s (U.S EPA, 1995; Ontario Ministry of Environment, 2003) was used.

201 3.3.3 Pollutant concentration verification

As noted by Marais *et al.* (2014), there is a paucity of monitored air pollution data for the Niger Delta. However, we were able to use a spatially and temporally limited set of ambient monitoring data (Osuji and Avwri, 2005) for the purposes of model verification. The monitoring sites were used as receptors, and modelled concentrations were compared to observed concentrations at each site. The model input and setup were initially verified for a single source (flare) using lower, central and upper volume estimates from the MODIS volume estimation technique (Anejionu, 2015a). The resulting concentration profiles were similar to those reported by Abdulkareem (2005b), with peak concentrations occurring 500m – 600m downwind of the source (Supplementary Figure 1). Subsequently, the verification was extended to other sites within the region.

A binary validation matrix was computed and Factor-2 analysis conducted 213 214 (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). In addition, a semi-quantitative approach using data from 215 the satellite-based Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Cartography 216 (SCIAMACHY) was used to guide the verification process. This semi-quantitative approach, 217 which effectively indicated an upper limit for the modelled estimates was used in conjunction 218 with the Factor-2 matrix to select the most appropriate modelling parameters including 219 volume flow rates (low, medium and high estimates) and background ozone concentration 220 (Vingarzan, 2004). Marais et al., (2014) similarly used satellite (including SCIAMACHY) 221 and aircraft observations of atmospheric and tropospheric column-integrated concentrations 222 to verify atmospheric composition over Nigeria produced by a chemical transport model. 223 Consequently, lower estimates of flaring volumes were used with a background ozone concentration of $10 \mu \text{g/m}^3$ in the modelling (see Supplementary Tables 1 & 2). 224

225 3.3.4 Modelling gas flare pollutant concentrations

Having verified the method and determined the relevant model parameters ADMS-Urban was used to model the dispersion of flare-related pollutants (NO₂, SO₂, CO and O₃) across the Niger Delta for the period 2000 to 2013 inclusive. To establish a baseline for the modelling, various scenarios representing typical and possible conditions in the region were simulated. About half of the flares in the region are thought to be ground flares (open and horizontallydirected flares lying about 1.5m above the ground – Figure 1) with the remainder thought to be short stacks (10m above the ground) (Dung *et al.*, 2008; Isichei and Sanford, 1976). As

we have no official information on flare locations and heights, sensitivity to model stack height was tested by assuming all flares were ground-based in one scenario and released from 15m stacks in another. Pollutant concentrations across the region did not vary significantly between scenarios (about $1\mu g/m^3$ difference) hence a height of 10m was adopted for all the flares included in the study.

A variable surface roughness file was implemented to account for onshore and offshore environments with values of 0.3m and 0.001m adopted for land and sea areas accordingly. Chemical reactions between the primary pollutants and the atmosphere were modelled to obtain secondary pollutants NO_2 and O_3 from NO_x and VOC. Wet and dry deposition was also modelled.

243 3.3.5 Spatial modelling and analysis of pollutant concentration

The results of the modelling were incorporated within a Geographical Information System (GIS). This enabled pollution estimates to be integrated with other spatial data for the region including flare locations, state boundaries, ecological zones and population data. The GIS also facilitated the investigation of contributions from onshore and offshore flares, as well as individual states. WHO Air Quality Limits (Table 2) were used to delineate areas of risk.

[Insert] Table 2. Selected Annual Mean Air Quality Standards (U.S EPA, 2014B; WHO 2005; CERC, 2011).
251

253 **4. Results**

252

Figure 4 illustrates the spatial distribution of modelled pollutant concentrations across the Niger Delta region for the representative year 2000. This year was chosen because emissions in 2000 were higher than present day levels but lower than those experienced in 2005 and 2006. Results are presented for NO₂ and O₃ since these are key pollutants that affect human and plant health. Other pollutants showed similar overall patterns of dispersion.

[Insert] Figure 4. Modelled annual mean NO₂ concentrations from flares operating in the Niger Delta in 2000.
 Active flare sites are also shown.

States with few (Imo and Abia) or no (Enugu and Cross River) active flare sites experienced higher levels of pollution than states containing multiple active flare sites (Bayelsa, Rivers and Delta) due to the predominant south-westerly wind in the region. Imo, Akwa Ibom, Abia and some parts of Rivers State experienced the highest levels of pollution overall with annual mean concentrations of NO₂ and O₃ exceeding WHO annual limits (Table 2) in some years (Figure 5). Limits for other pollutants such as CO and SO₂ were not exceeded.

Figure 5 illustrates mean NO_2 concentration across the region for the period 2001-2013. Concentrations (of all pollutants) increase to a peak in 2005/2006 before declining to the present day. This trend is consistent with crude oil production in Nigeria over this period and with increased gas flaring noted by Anejionu *et al.*, (2014, 2015a). These findings are consistent with statements from the oil and gas companies in the region regarding reduced flaring activities (SPDC, 2013).

275 276 277 **[Insert] Figure 5.** Modelled time series of annual mean NO₂ concentrations across the Niger Delta (2000 – 2013). Areas of highest concentration exceed the WHO limit of 40 μ g m⁻³

Separate models containing only flares from onshore and offshore sources were run in order to determine relative and absolute contributions on a state-by-state basis. The results reveal that the majority of air pollution is generated by onshore sources (Figure 6) and that the impact of offshore flares is negligible. However, a significant (>30%) proportion of air pollution received by Akwa Ibom State and to a lesser extent the coastal areas of Bayelsa and Delta States, originates from offshore sources, because a lot of flaring activity takes place just off the coast of Akwa Ibom (Figure 2).

- [Insert] Figure 6. Modelled annual mean NO₂ concentration across the Niger Delta in 2000 revealing specific
 pollution contributions from offshore and onshore source sectors. Note: The sum of source sector contributions
 does not equate to modelled total concentration (all flares) due to non-linearities in the NO₂ chemistry.
- In order to determine inter-state contributions to pollutant concentrations across the region, the model was run 8 times using emissions from each flaring state in turn. The

291 concentrations produced from each run were aggregated for each receiving state and 292 statistically summarised. The resulting 'blame matrix' for NO₂ is shown in Table 3. Analysis 293 of the 'blame matrix' revealed Rivers State to be the largest single contributor to air pollution 294 in many states, exporting more pollution to Abia, Imo and Akwa Ibom, than it generated 295 locally. Other states, which are not officially classed as oil-producing, such as Enugu, 296 Anambra, Cross River and Ebonyi, also experienced high concentrations. Approximately 20 297 million people live in the towns and cities of these densely populated states (NPC, 2010) and 298 are exposed to high levels of pollution from sources beyond their immediate control.

299 300 301

[Insert] Table 3. Blame matrix using mean NO₂ concentration ($\mu g/m^3$) for the year 2000 data to illustrate the pollutant contribution of individual states on neighbours.

302 Figure 7 illustrates the potential impact of air pollution on major population centres in 303 and around the Niger Delta in addition to impacts on vegetation and ecosystems. Major towns 304 and cities such as Enugu, Aba and Calabar all experienced high levels of pollution in 305 2005/06, while others such as Port Harcourt, Benin City and Warri experienced much lower 306 levels in comparison. Elevated ozone levels were found across the Niger Delta, suggesting 307 significant harmful impacts on plants in all ecological zones (Figure 7c and Table 4). The 308 rainforest was found to be the most susceptible to damage with 51% of this zone being 309 exposed to high ozone levels (Table 4). This is important not only for the natural ecosystem, 310 but because the majority of farming in the region is carried out within this zone, implying 311 strong negative impacts on biodiversity and agriculture. The freshwater swamp, mangrove 312 and savannah zones were also heavily impacted by secondary pollutants, with moderate or 313 high ozone levels being experienced over 58%, 33% and 43% of their areas, respectively. 314 [Insert] Table 4. Modelled impacts (km²) of ozone on main ecological zones in the Niger Delta in 2005. 315

[Insert] Figure 7. Modelled impacts of NO₂ and O₃ on human health and natural ecosystems. Maps show: (a) NO₂ concentration (b) NO₂ concentration in relation to underlying population (c) O₃ concentration and (d) O₃ concentration in relation to underlying ecological zones. 2005 results were used in this case to illustrate maximum impact.

320	Figure 8 illustrates the spatial distribution of pollutant deposition. In contrast to the
321	dispersion of gases such as NO ₂ , patterns of wet and dry deposition are much more localised
322	within the main flaring areas.
323 324	[Insert] Figure 8 . Map showing annual rates of total (wet + dry) deposition across the Niger Delta, 2000.
325	To understand the extent to which present day levels of air pollution could be reduced
326	further in the region the model was re-run using 2013 sources with assumed flaring
327	efficiencies of 85% and 95% respectively. The results are summarised in Table 5.
328 329 330 331 332	[Insert] Table 5. Statistical summary of pollution surfaces obtained using different flaring efficiencies tested based on 2013 emissions (μ g/m ³). Percentage improvements were obtained by subtracting the pollutant concentrations for 95% efficiency from the corresponding 75% efficiency, and using the difference to calculate percentage improvement from 75% concentration levels.

333 **5. Discussion**

334 In this study, the gas flaring process was implemented within a conventional dispersion 335 model using emission estimates derived from remotely-sensed imagery. The results suggest 336 that gas flaring remains a major contributor to air pollution across the region with states 337 containing few or no active flare sites often experiencing higher levels of pollution than states 338 actively involved in the flaring process (Figures 4 and 7). This large dispersion range may be 339 explained by the high momentum and buoyancy of the flare plumes. This is important 340 because prior to this finding, it has always been assumed that the effects of gas flaring were 341 highly localised in nature (Ovri and Iroh, 2013; Dung et al., 2008; Isichei and Sanford, 1976). 342 Consequently, fiscal intervention and compensation from the Federal Government of Nigeria 343 to cushion the effects of environmental degradation resulting from oil exploration activities 344 such as gas flaring has not been extended to the non-oil-producing states. The findings from 345 this research provide clear evidence justifying the need for the revision of such compensatory 346 policies.

347 The pollution risk maps (Figure 5) revealed that major towns and cities located some348 distance from major flaring activity have experienced pollutant levels in excess of WHO

349 limits, while towns in prominent flaring states, have experienced much lower levels in comparison. It should, however, be noted that although some major cities (Warri and Benin 350 351 City) were found not to be among the very high risk areas, pollution from other sources 352 (transport and industry) could lead to exceedance of WHO limits. Analysis of the modelled 353 deposition (Figure 8) revealed that Rivers State was at the greatest risk of acid deposition, 354 followed by Akwa Ibom. This corroborates previous studies, where rainwater was found to 355 be acidic around flaring locations (Ovri and Iroh, 2013; Ekpoh and Obia, 2010, Nduka et al., 356 2008).)

Analyses of results obtained from a model scenario of increasing flaring efficiency (Table 5), based on simple treatment of emissions (Equation 1), suggest that significant improvements in air quality could be achieved by increasing the flaring efficiency of sites in the region. Even at 85% flaring efficiency, no part of the region would experience pollution levels exceeding the WHO standards.

The results obtained from modelling a hypothetical scenario in which emissions were suppressed at some of the most active flaring sites in the region revealed that the proportion of pollution generated by these flares was relatively high compared to that generated by the remaining flares in the region (Table 6) with 27% of the pollution in the study area (and much higher proportions in some states) generated by only 14 out of the 103 active flares. This suggests that air quality in the Niger Delta could be improved further through targeted interventions of selected sources.

[Insert] Table 6. The impact of suppressing emissions from the 14 largest flaring sites in the region (2013).
 Note: the sum of concentrations from the 14 largest sites and the other sites does not equate to corresponding modelled concentrations for all flares due to non-linearities in the NO₂ chemistry

Whilst the outcomes of this research cast an interesting light on the environmental impacts of gas flaring in the Niger Delta, it should be acknowledged that the results presented are illustrative as opposed to definitive, with large uncertainty bounds. In this study we had limited access to hourly meteorological data from the region, no reliable information on 377 emission rates, limited information on flare stack heights and limited ambient monitoring Despite these limitations, which may affect the absolute 378 data for verification purposes. 379 accuracy of our results, this study does provide important insights into the impacts of flaring 380 on local and regional air pollution. It has shown the value of combining flaring volume 381 estimates from remotely-sensed data with emission factors to generate credible emission rates that can be employed in a dispersion model. Given the lack of official information on 382 383 pollutant concentrations in the region, these model estimates are invaluable. We expect that 384 our results will serve as a guide to researchers, who now have some empirical basis with 385 which to underpin their observations of flaring impacts. It may also catalyse the release of 386 monitored data by multinational companies operating in the region and prompt further 387 research. The results may also result in policy changes, as state governments in the high risk areas who are not receiving commensurate compensations from the Federal Government 388 389 could base their arguments on the findings of this research. It is also our expectation that 390 techniques developed in the research will play significant role in the future monitoring and 391 management of air quality in the Niger Delta. Based on the modelled time-series, we have 392 shown that pollutant concentrations are now declining across the region as flaring activities 393 are reduced, but we have also demonstrated that further improvements in air quality can be 394 achieved through the adoption of more efficient flaring technologies.

395

396 **6. Conclusion**

This research pioneered the use of emission information derived from remotely-sensed data in estimating air pollution linked to gas flaring in the region. The results indicate the likely magnitude and extent of pollution across the region associated with the gas flaring process. We hope that the results from this study will prompt the release of more flaring information, leading to better source characterisation and further refinement of the modelling process. Despite limitations encountered, we conclude that the process of gas flaring has been, and continues to be, a major influence on air pollution in the region. Since flaring has been practiced for close to 60 years, the environmental and health impacts are likely to have been significant. Looking forwards, future impacts can be minimised with improved flaring efficiency and suppression of flaring activity from some of the larger sites.

407 Acknowledgements

- 408 We wish to acknowledge the Petroleum Technology Development Fund (PTDF Nigeria) who
- 409 sustained the later stages of this research via a scholarship, the Surveyors Council of Nigeria

410 (SURCON), who partly supported the initial stages of this project with a research grant, and

411 the Department of Geoinformatics and Surveying, University of Nigeria Enugu Campus, for

412 the provision of the political map that was used in this research. We also thank Dr David

413 Carruthers (CERC) for his invaluable feedback and acknowledge the free use of tropospheric

414 NO₂ column data from the SCIAMACHY sensor from www.temis.nl.

415

416 **References**

- 417 Abdulkareem, A. S. (2005a). Evaluation of ground level concentration of pollutant due to gas flaring
 418 by computer simulation: A case study of Niger Delta area of Nigeria.
- Abdulkareem, A. S. (2005b). Urban air pollution evaluation by computer simulation: A case study of
 petroleum refining company Nigeria. *Leonardo Journal of Sciences*, 6, 17-28
- 421 Abdul-Raheem, A.M.O. and Adejola, F.A. (2013). Variation of source distribution of total oxidants:
 422 Contributions of oxides of nitrogen, sulphur (IV) oxide emissions and background ozone from
 423 Lagos-Nigeria. *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, 8 (11), 411-420.
- Anejionu, O.C.D. (2014). Remote survey of gas flares and associated environmental and health
 impacts in the Niger Delta. PhD thesis submitted to the Lancaster University.
- Anejionu, C.D.O., Blackburn, G.A., and Whyatt, J.D. (2014). Satellite survey of gas flares:
 development and application of a Landsat-based technique in the Niger Delta. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *35*(5), 1900-1925.
- Anejionu, C.D.O., Blackburn, G.A., and Whyatt, J.D. (2015a). Detecting gas flares and estimating
 flaring volumes at individual flow stations using MODIS data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 158, 81–94.
- Anejionu, O.C.D. Ahiarammunnah, P.A.N., and Nri-ezedi, C.J. (2015b). Hydrocarbon pollution in
 the Niger Delta: Geographies of impacts and appraisal of lapses in extant legal framework. *Resources Policy*, 45, 65–77.
- 435 Arciszewska, C. and McClatchey, J. (2001). The importance of meteorological data for modelling air
 436 pollution using ADMS-Urban. *Meteorological Application Journal*, *8*, 345–350.

- Backshall, D. (2013). Atmospheric dispersion modelling of discharges to air from the flaring of
 fracturing fluid. *Prepared for Taranaki Regional Council by Air Quality Management Ltd.*Report Number 13-008.
- Boersma, K.F., Eskes, H.J., and Brinksma, E.J. (2004). Error Analysis for Tropospheric NO2
 Retrieval from Space. *Journal of Geophysical Research*. 109 (D04311), 1-20.
- 442 CERC (Cambridge Environmental Research Consultants). (2011). ADMS-Urban: An urban air quality management system. *User Guide -Version 3.1*.
- Climate Charts (2010). Port Harcourt, Nigeria: Climate, Global Warming, and Daylight Charts and
 Data. <u>http://www.climate-charts.com/Locations/n/NI65250.php</u> (accessed 17th December,
 2013).
- 447 Davies, G., and Whyatt, J.D. (2014). A network-based approach for estimating pedestrian journey448 time exposure to air pollution. *Science of the Total Environment* (485–486), 62 70.
- 449 Dung, E.J., Bombom, L.S., Agusomu, T.D. (2008). The effects of gas flaring on crops in the Niger
 450 Delta, Nigeria. *GeoJournal*, 73, 297–305.
- 451 E&P Forum (Exploration and Production Forum). (1994). Methods for estimation of atmospheric
 452 emissions from E&P Operations. The Oil Industry International Exploration and Production
 453 Forum Report No 2.59/197.
- 454 EEMS (Environmental Emissions Monitoring System). (2008). Atmospheric Emissions Calculations.
 455 <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/136461/atmos-</u>
 456 calcs.pdf (accessed 17th December, 2013).
- Ekpoh, I.J. and Obia, A.E. (2010). The role of gas flaring in the rapid corrosion of zinc roofs in the
 Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. *Environmentalist*, 30, 347–352.
- Elvidge, C.D., Ziskin, D., Baugh, K.E., Tuttle, B.T., .Ghosh, T., Pack, D.W., Erwin, E.H & Zhizhin,
 M. (2009). A fifteen year record of global natural gas flaring derived from satellite data. *Energies*, 2., 592-622.
- 462 Ezeamalu, B. (2014). Investigation: How decades of gas flaring is harming Nigerians (Part 1).
 463 *Premium Times Newspaper*. <u>http://www.premiumtimesng.com/news/160899-investigation-</u> 464 <u>decades-gas-flaring-harming-nigerians-part-1.html</u> (accessed 17th May, 2014).
- 465 Isichei, A.O., and Sanford, W.W. (1976). The effects of waste gas flares on the surrounding
 466 vegetation in South- Eastern Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 13 (1), 177-187.
- Ismail, O.S. and Umukoro, G. E. (2012). Global Impact of Gas Flaring. *Energy and Power Engineering*, 4, 290-302.
- 469 IUCN (International Union for the Conservation of Nature). (1994). A Guide to the Convention to the 470 Environmental Policy **Biological** Diversity. and Law Paper, No. 30. 471 https://portals.iucn.org/library/efiles/documents/EPLP-no.030.pdf (Accessed 10th, December, 472 2011).
- Johnson, M.R. and Coderre, A.R. (2012). Compositions and Greenhouse Gas Emission Factors of
 flared and vented Gas in the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin, *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 62(9):992-1002.
- 476 Leahey, D.M. Preston, K., Strosher, M. (2001). Theoretical and Observational Assessments of Flare
 477 Efficiencies. *Journal of the* Air and Waste Management Association, 51, 1610-1616.
- 478 Marais, E.A., Jacob, D.J., Wecht, K., Lerot, C., Zhang, L., Yu, K., Kurosu, T.P., Chance, K., and
 479 Sauvage, B. (2014). Anthropogenic emissions in Nigeria and implications for atmospheric
 480 ozone pollution: A view from space. *Atmospheric Environment*, 99, 32-40.
- 481 Nigeria Population Commission. (2010). 2006 population and housing census: Population distribution
 482 by state, LGA, and senatorial districts.
 483 <u>http://www.ibenaija.org/uploads/1/0/1/2/10128027/vol_03_table_dsx_lgapop_by_sdistrict-</u>
 484 pdf.pdf (accessed 15th June, 2013).
- 485 Norwegian Meteorological Institute (2014). Weather statistics for Port Harcourt, Rivers (Nigeria).
 486 <u>http://www.yr.no/place/Nigeria/Rivers/Port_Harcourt/statistics.html</u> (accessed 17th December, 487 2013).
- 488 Nwachukwu A. N., Chukwuocha E. O., and Igbudu O. (2012). A survey on the effects of air pollution
 489 on diseases of the people of Rivers State, Nigeria. African Journal of Environmental Science
 490 and Technology 6(10), 371-379.
- 491 Nduka, J.K.C., Orisakwe, O.E., Ezenweke, L.O., Ezenwa, T.E., Chendo, M.N., and Ezeabasili, N.G.
 492 (2008). Acid Rain Phenomenon in Niger Delta Region of Nigeria: Economic, Biodiversity and
 493 Public Health Concern. *The Scientific World Journal*, 8, 811–818.

- 494 OAQPS (U.S. EPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards). (1984). Evaluation of the 495 efficiency industrial flares. of http://www.tceq.state.tx.us/assets/public/implementation/air/rules/Flare/Resource 2.pdf 496 497 (accessed on 20th March, 2014)
- 498 OAQPS (U.S. EPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards). (1995). Compilation of air 499 pollution emission factors: Volume 1 - stationary points and area sources. AP 42 document. 500 http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/index.html (accessed 19th February, 2014).
- 501 Odu, C. T. I. (1994). Gas flare emissions and their effects on the acidity of rain water in the 502 Ebocha area. https://www.elaw.org/system/files/Ng.GasFlares.AcidRain.Ebocha.doc (accessed 503 9th March, 2013).
- 504 OGP (International Association of Oil and Gas Producers). (2000). Flaring and venting in the oil and 505 gas exploration and production industry: An overview of purpose, quantities, issues, practices 506 and trends. Report No. 2.79/288. http://www.ogp.org.uk/pubs/288.pdf (accessed 14th January, 507 2014).
- 508 Ontario Ministry of the Environment. (2003). Proposed guidance for air dispersion modelling. http://www.weblakes.com/products/aermod/resources/Ontario MOE Proposed Air Dispersio 509 n_Modelling_Guidance.pdf (accessed 19th February, 2014). 510
- Ontario Ministry of the Environment. (2007). Step by step guideline for emission calculation, record 511 512 keeping and reporting for airborne contaminant discharge. (accessed 14th April, 2014).
- 513 Osuji, L.C., and Avwiri GO (2005). Flared Gases and Other Pollutants Associated with Air Ouality in 514 Industrial Areas of Nigeria: An Overview. Chemistry and Biodiversity, 2, 1-8.
- 515 Ovuakporaye, S.I., Aloamaka, C.P., Ojieh, A.E., Ejebe, D.E., and Mordi, J.C. (2012). Effect of gas 516 flaring on lung function among residents in gas flaring community in Delta State, Nigeria. 517 Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences 4(5): 525-528.
- 518 Price, C. (2013). Modelling flares in ADMS 5. Presented at ADMS 5 User Group Meeting at The 519 Assembly Rooms, Newcastle (13th November).
- 520 Rotty R.M. (1974). First estimates of global flaring of natural gas. Atmospheric Environment, 521 8, 681-686.
- 522 San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District. (2014). 523 http://www.valleyair.org/busind/pto/Resources/Modeling/Source%20Parameters/Flare%20Mod 524 eling%20Parameters.xlsx (accessed 19th January, 2014).
- 525 SPDC (Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria). (2013). Shell in Nigeria: Gas Flaring. 526 http://s02.static-shell.com/content/dam/shell-527
 - new/local/country/nga/downloads/pdf/2013bnotes/gas-flaring.pdf (Accessed 9th, March, 2014).
- 528 Tawari, C.C. and Abowei, J.F.N. (2012). Air Pollution in the Niger Delta Area of Nigeria. 529 International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 1(2), 94-117. 530
- 531 U.S. EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). (2011). Dispersion modelling. 532 http://www.epa.gov/air/aqmportal/management/modeling/dispersion.htm (accessed 4th 533 October, 2013).
- 534 U.S. EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). (2014a). Emissions Factors & AP 535 42, Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors. 536 http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/index.html (accessed 21st February, 2014).
- 537 U.S. EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). (2014b) National Ambient Air Quality 538 Standards (NAAQS). http://www.epa.gov/air/criteria.html
- 539 Vingarzan, R. (2004). A review of surface ozone background levels and trends. Atmospheric 540 Environment, 38, 3431-3442.
- 541 Weather Underground. Weather History for Malabo, Equatorial (2013). Guinea. 542 http://www.wunderground.com/history/airport/FGSL (accessed 12th December, 2013).
- WHO (World Health Organisation). (2005). WHO Air quality guidelines for particulate matter, 543 544 ozone, nitrogen dioxide and sulfur dioxide. Global Update. Summary of risk assessment. 545 WHO/SDE/PHE/OEH/06.02.
- 546



549	and the second second	Contraction of the	1		and the second second	
550						
551						
552						
553						
554						
555						
556						
557						
558						
559						
560						
561						
562						

563 Figure 2











606 Figure 5



618 Figure 6







	Source Sectors								
States	Onshore	Offshore µg/m ³	All Flares	Onshore Contribution %	Offshore Contribution				
Abia	27	4	28	87	13				
AK	18	8	23	68	32				
Anambra	23	4	24	85	15				
Bayelsa	8	4	12	67	33				
Benue	15	4	16	79	21				
CR	17	7	21	71	29				
Delta	14	4	16	77	23				
Ebonyi	22	4	23	84	16				
Edo	12	6	14	67	33				
Enugu	21	4	22	83	17				
Imo	29	5	30	86	14				
Kogi	9	3	10	74	26				
Ondo	3	2	4	64	36				
Rivers	21	4	22	86	14				

Note: AK = Akwa Ibom, CR = Cross River





