

Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and spectral concentration for the cubic Schrödinger equation

Gordon Blower^{a*}, Caroline Brett^a and Ian Doust^b

^a*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Lancaster University, Lancaster, England;*

^b*School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia*

(version 1.1 12 August 2013)

The nonlinear Schrödinger equation NLSE(p, β), $-iu_t = -u_{xx} + \beta|u|^{p-2}u = 0$, arises from a Hamiltonian on infinite-dimensional phase space $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. For $p \leq 6$, Bourgain (Comm. Math. Phys. 166 (1994), 1–26) has shown that there exists a Gibbs measure μ_N^β on balls $\Omega_N = \{\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}) : \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq N\}$ in phase space such that the Cauchy problem for NLSE(p, β) is well posed on the support of μ_N^β , and that μ_N^β is invariant under the flow. This paper shows that μ_N^β satisfies a logarithmic Sobolev inequality for the focussing case $\beta < 0$ and $2 \leq p \leq 4$ on Ω_N for all $N > 0$; also μ_N^β satisfies a restricted LSI for $4 \leq p \leq 6$ on compact subsets of Ω_N determined by Hölder norms. Hence for $p = 4$, the spectral data of the periodic Dirac operator in $L^2(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{C}^2)$ with random potential ϕ subject to μ_N^β are concentrated near to their mean values. The paper concludes with a similar result for the spectral data of Hill's equation when the potential is random and subject to the Gibbs measure of KdV.

Keywords: nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Gibbs measure, Hill's equation

AMS Subject Classification: 37L50, 35QK53

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the statistical mechanics of families of solutions for partial differential equations. The periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation studied is

$$\text{NLSE}(p, \beta) : \quad -i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \beta |u(x, t)|^{p-2} u(x, t), \quad (1)$$

where $u : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $p \geq 2$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. The associated Cauchy problem specifies the initial condition $u(x, 0) = \phi(x)$, where ϕ is periodic.

Invariant measures associated with this equation have been studied by several authors, including Lebowitz, Rose and Speer [9], and Bourgain [4, 6]. Bourgain [6] showed that for $p \leq 6$, that there exists a Gibbs measure μ_N^β on balls $\Omega_N = \{\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}) : \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq N\}$ in phase space such that the Cauchy problem for NLSE(p, β) is well posed on the support of μ_N^β , and that μ_N^β is invariant under the flow. This paper shows that μ_N^β satisfies a logarithmic Sobolev inequality for the focussing case $\beta < 0$ and $2 \leq p \leq 4$ on Ω_N for all $N > 0$. In the case of $4 \leq p \leq 6$, we show that μ_N^β satisfies a restricted LSI on compact subsets of Ω_N determined by certain Hölder norms.

*Corresponding author. Email: g.blower@lancaster.ac.uk

A consequence of this result is that the spectral data of the periodic Dirac operator in $L^2(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{C}^2)$ with random potential ϕ subject to μ_N^β for $p = 4$ are concentrated near to their mean values. The equation NLSE(p, β) arises from the Hamiltonian

$$H(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi'(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{2\pi} + \frac{\beta}{p} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi(x)|^p \frac{dx}{2\pi}. \quad (2)$$

There is a sequence of invariants under the motion, including the number operator

$$N = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x, t)|^2 \frac{dx}{2\pi} \quad (3)$$

and the Hamiltonian $H(u(\cdot, t))$ itself. In much of what follows, it will be more convenient to work with the coordinates of elements of $L^2(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{C})$ with respect to the standard Fourier basis. That is, we shall consider the L^2 function $\phi(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_n + ib_n) e^{inx}$ with real Fourier coefficients $((a_j, b_j))_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$ in ℓ^2 . One can then readily verify that, with

$$H(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n^2 (a_n^2 + b_n^2) + \frac{\beta}{p} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_n + ib_n) e^{inx} \right|^p \frac{dx}{2\pi}, \quad (4)$$

the $((a_j, b_j))_{j=0}^{\infty}$ give a system of canonical coordinates. In order to obtain a normalized Gibbs measure, one restricts attention to bounded subsets of $L^2(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{C})$, and forms the modified canonical ensemble with phase space on the ball

$$\Omega_N = \left\{ \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{C}) : \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{2\pi} \leq N \right\} \quad (5)$$

for fixed $N > 0$. We then seek to define the Gibbs measure on Ω_N via

$$\mu_N^\beta = Z(p, \beta, N)^{-1} \exp(-H(\phi)) \mathbf{I}_{\Omega_N}(\phi) \prod_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} d^2\phi(x). \quad (6)$$

To make this more precise, let $(\zeta_j, \zeta'_j)_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be mutually independent $N(0, 1)$ Gaussian random variables, and let Wiener loop \mathcal{W} be the measure that is induced on $L^2(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{C})$ by the random Fourier series

$$\omega \mapsto \phi_\omega(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty; j \neq 0}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_j + i\zeta'_j}{j} e^{ijx}.$$

Thus \mathcal{W} provides an interpretation of $\exp(-\frac{1}{2} \int |\phi'(x)|^2 dx) \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}} d^2\phi(x)$. In terms of the Fourier coefficients this may be written as the measure on ℓ^2 given by the product $\prod_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} j^2 (a_j^2 + b_j^2)) j^2 \frac{da_j db_j}{2\pi}$.

Dealing with the other term of the Hamiltonian from 4 is more delicate. By results of [6] and [9], the factor $\exp(-\frac{\beta}{p} \int |\phi(x)|^p dx)$ is integrable over Ω_N with respect to Wiener loop for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < N < \infty$ and $2 \leq p < 6$. Here $\beta < 0$ gives the focussing case, where $p = 6$ is marginal for there to exist a normalized Gibbs measure; see [9].

By a cylindrical function, we mean $F : \ell^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form $F(x) = f((x_j)_{j=-m}^m)$ for some $m < \infty$, where $f : \mathbb{R}^{2m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^∞ function of compact support in the sense of calculus.

Definition 1.1: A probability measure ν on a Borel subset Ω of ℓ^2 is said to satisfy a *logarithmic Sobolev inequality* (LSI) with constant $\alpha > 0$ if

$$\text{LSI}(\alpha) : \quad \int_{\Omega} F(x)^2 \log\left(F(x)^2 / \int F^2 d\nu\right) \nu(dx) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \|\nabla F(x)\|_{\ell^2}^2 \nu(dx) \quad (7)$$

for all cylindrical functions F .

Such a logarithmic Sobolev inequality is used to derive concentration inequalities for Lipschitz functions. If $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $|F(x) - F(y)| \leq \|x - y\|_{\ell^2}$ for all $x, y \in \ell^2$, and also $\int_{\Omega} F(x) \nu(dx) = 0$, then $\int_{\Omega} e^{tF(x)} \nu(dx) \leq e^{t^2/(2\alpha)}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$; so F is concentrated close to its mean value. In this respect, ν resembles a Gaussian measure, and the significant examples live on K_{σ} subsets of the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space ℓ^2 . In section 2 of this paper, the measures live on the ball Ω_N in ℓ^2 , and then in sections 3 and 4 we consider random variables which are Lipschitz functions on Ω_N .

In order to state the main technical result of this paper, we need some additional notation. Let $\ell^2 = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{R})$ have the usual inner product. For $\gamma \geq 0$ we introduce the Hölder spaces

$$\text{H}^{\gamma} = \left\{ \phi(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n e^{inx} : \|\phi\|_{\text{H}^{\gamma}}^2 = |\phi_0|^2 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n|^{2\gamma} |\phi_n|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $\Omega_{N,K} = \{\phi \in \text{H}^{\gamma} : \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq N \text{ and } \|\phi\|_{\text{H}^{\gamma}} \leq K\}$ and let $\Omega_{N,\infty} = \cup_{K=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{N,K}$.

Theorem 1.2: *The sets $\Omega_{N,K}$ ($K = 1, 2, \dots$) form an increasing sequence of convex and compact subsets of Ω_N such that:*

- (i) *the Cauchy problem for NLSE(p, β) is well posed for initial datum $\phi \in \Omega_{N,\infty}$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $2 \leq p < 6$;*
- (ii) *$\Omega_{N,\infty}$ is invariant under the flow associated with NLSE(p, β), and $\Omega_{N,\infty}$ supports the Gibbs measure on Ω_N ;*
- (iii) *the Gibbs measure on $\Omega_{N,K}$ satisfies a logarithmic Sobolev inequality with some constant $\alpha(N, K) > 0$.*
- (iv) *For $2 \leq p \leq 4$, the LSI holds on Ω_N itself with $\alpha_N > 0$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.2 occupies most of section 2. A version of (iv) with additional hypotheses on N appeared in [2]. Consequences for the spectral theory of Dirac operators with random potentials appear in section 3, where we show that some spectral data can be described by linear statistics on a suitable space of test functions. The final section 4 gives consequences for the spectrum of Hill's equation, where we present linear statistics that define Lipschitz functions.

Various works concerning concentration of Gibbs measure for nonlinear Schrödinger equations appear in the literature; for instance, McKean and Vaninsky [11] consider the defocussing cubic Schrödinger equation. The authors of [7] consider large deviations relating to an invariant measure for a modified cubic Schrödinger equation in which the nonlinear factor $\beta|u|^2$ in (1) is replaced by the bounded factor $\beta|u|^2/(1 + |u|^2)$.

All the measures that we consider will be Radon, that is inner regular and Borel, and we will be considerate about the compact sets which almost support the measure. Given a bounded and positive measure, we often use Z to stand for the measure of the full space, and we multiply by Z^{-1} to rescale the measure to become a probability.

2. Convexity of the potentials

Definition 2.1: Let Ω be a convex subset of H^γ , and $H : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then H is uniformly convex on Ω with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{H^\gamma}^2$ if there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$sH(\phi) + tH(\psi) - H(s\phi + t\psi) \geq \eta st \|\phi - \psi\|_{H^\gamma}^2 \quad (\phi, \psi \in \Omega) \quad (8)$$

for all $0 < s, t$ such that $s + t = 1$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 depends upon the uniform convexity of the Hamiltonian, or perturbations of the Hamiltonian; in turn, this reduces to an elementary computation of the second derivative of the Hamiltonians. We consider first the nonlinear term. Let $\phi(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_n + ib_n)e^{inx}$, $\psi(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_n e^{inx}$ and $\theta(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \eta_n e^{inx}$. Throughout, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product on a complex Hilbert space, linear in the first variable and conjugate linear in the second variable.

Lemma 2.2: Let $V(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi(x)|^p \frac{dx}{2\pi}$. Then V is a convex function of the real variables $((a_j), (b_j))$ with Hessian matrix satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \text{Hess}(V)_\phi \begin{bmatrix} \psi \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \psi \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{p}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi(x)|^{p-2} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \psi(x) + i\theta(x) \\ \psi(-x) + i\theta(-x) \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 \frac{dx}{2\pi} \\ & \quad + \frac{p(p-2)}{4} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi(x)|^{p-4} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \phi(x) \\ \bar{\phi}(x) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\psi}(x) - i\theta(x) \\ \bar{\psi}(-x) + i\theta(-x) \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right|^2 \frac{dx}{2\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Proof: This is an elementary computation of the matrix of second order partial derivatives with respect to a_n and b_n , which we leave to the reader (following perhaps the proof of Theorem 3 of [2]). We are using the real and imaginary Fourier coefficients a_n and b_n of ϕ , which explains the unexpected appearance of $\psi(-x)$ on the right-hand side. \square

Proposition 2.3:

- (i) Let $\beta, \gamma > 0$ and $2 \leq p < 6$. Then H is uniformly convex with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}^2$ on Ω_N .
- (ii) For all $\beta < 0$ and $2 \leq p < 6$, there exists $1/4 < \gamma < 1/2$ such that for all $N, K > 0$ there exists a bounded and continuous $W_K : \Omega_{N,K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $H_K = H + W_K$ is uniformly convex with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{H^\gamma}^2$ for $\eta = 1/4$.

Proof: (i) Here the Hamiltonian, $H = (1/2) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi'(x)|^2 dx/2\pi + (\beta/p)V(\phi)$, is the sum of two convex terms, and the inequality (8) follows from the parallelogram law applied to the term $(1/2) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi'(x)|^2 dx/2\pi$ in H^1 . This deals with the defocussing case.

(ii) In the focussing case, we need to balance the uniform convexity of $(1/2) \int |\phi'|^2$ against the concavity of $(\beta/p)V(\phi)$. First we choose $1/4 < \gamma < 1/2$ and C_γ such that H^γ is contained in L^{p-2} with $\|\phi\|_{L^{p-2}} \leq C_\gamma \|\phi\|_{H^\gamma}$ for all $\phi \in H^\gamma$. Then we choose $1/2 < \delta < 1$ such that H^δ is contained in L^∞ with $\|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_\delta \|\phi\|_{H^\delta}$ for all $\phi \in H^\delta$. Next we choose M to be the smallest integer that is larger than $(1 + |\beta| C_\gamma \kappa_p K^{p-2})^{1/(2(1-\delta))}$, where $\kappa_p > 0$ is to be chosen, and then introduce the

function

$$W_K((a_n, b_n)_{n=-\infty}^\infty) = (1 + |\beta|C_\gamma\kappa_p K^{p-2})M^{2\delta} \sum_{j=-M}^M (a_j^2 + b_j^2), \quad (10)$$

which is continuous on ℓ^2 and satisfies the bounds $0 \leq W_K \leq (1 + |\beta|C_\gamma\kappa_p K^{p-2})M^{2\delta}N$ on Ω_N .

The functional $F_K(\phi) = (1/2) \int |\phi'|^2 + W_K(\phi)$ therefore satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \text{Hess}(F_K)_\phi \begin{bmatrix} \psi \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \psi \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle &= \sum_{j=-M}^M (1 + |\beta|C_\gamma\kappa_p K^{p-2})M^{2\delta} (|\xi_j|^2 + |\eta_j|^2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} j^2 (|\xi_j|^2 + |\eta_j|^2) \\ &\geq (1 + |\beta|C_\gamma\kappa_p K^{p-2}) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n|^{2\delta} (|\xi_n|^2 + |\eta_n|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This deals with the concavity of $(\beta/p)V(\phi)$, since by Lemma 2.2 there exist constants κ and κ_p such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\beta|}{p} \left\langle \text{Hess}(V)_\phi \begin{bmatrix} \psi \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \psi \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle &\leq \frac{|\beta|\kappa}{p} \|\phi\|_{L^{p-2}}^{p-2} (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2) \\ &\leq \frac{|\beta|\kappa_p}{p} \|\phi\|_{H^\gamma}^{p-2} (\|\psi\|_{H^\delta}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^\delta}^2) \\ &\leq \frac{|\beta|\kappa_p}{p} K^{p-2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n|^{2\delta} (|\xi_n|^2 + |\eta_n|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Hence $H_K = F_K + (\beta/p)V$ is uniformly convex with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{H^\delta}^2$ on $\Omega_{N,K}$, and hence also uniformly convex with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\ell^2}^2$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2: (i) Bourgain [6] showed that the Cauchy problem is well posed on H^γ .

(ii) Bourgain also showed that the solution operator for the Cauchy problem is Lipschitz continuous for the L^2 norm on each Ω_N . There exists $\kappa(N, p) > 0$ such that the solution $u(x, t)$ with initial condition $\phi(x) \in \Omega_N$ and the solution $v(x, t)$ with initial condition $\psi(x) \in \Omega_N$ satisfy $\|u(\cdot, t) - v(\cdot, t)\|_{H^\gamma} \leq \kappa(N, p)^t \|\phi - \psi\|_{H^\gamma}$. Hence $\phi \in \Omega_{N,K}$ implies $u(\cdot, t) \in \Omega_{N, \kappa(N, p)^t K}$, so each $\Omega_{N,K}$ is progressively mapped into another compact and convex subset $\Omega_{N, \kappa(N, p)^t K}$. Hence $\Omega_{N, \infty}$ is invariant under the flow.

(iii) A standard result due to Bakry and Emery [1] states that any uniformly convex potential H on Euclidean space gives rise to a logarithmic Sobolev inequality for $e^{-H(x)} dx$. In our case, we can use Proposition 2.3 to show that the Hamiltonians H_K are uniformly convex, with constant $\eta \geq 1/4$, and then follow the proof in [3] which is based upon the Prékopa–Leindler inequality. Given $LSI(\eta)$ for the modified H_K , we can use the Holley–Stroock Lemma ([8] and [15, Section 9.2]) to recover a logarithmic Sobolev inequality for the original Hamiltonian $H = H_K - W_K$ with constant

$$\alpha \geq \eta \exp(-2\|W_K\|_{L^\infty}) \geq (1/4) \exp(-2(1 + |\beta|C_\gamma\kappa_p K^{p-2})M^{2\delta}N).$$

(iv) The final part follows similar lines to the proof of Proposition 2.3(ii). Observe that, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{|\beta|}{2} \left\langle \text{Hess}(V)_\phi \begin{bmatrix} \psi \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \psi \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \\
& \leq \frac{|\beta|C}{2} \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\beta|C_\delta N |j|^{2\delta} (|\xi_j|^2 + |\eta_j|^2) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (\delta j^2 + (1-\delta)(|\beta|C_\delta N)^{1/(1-\delta)}) (|\xi_j|^2 + |\eta_j|^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where we have used Hölder's inequality at the last step. To deal with the final term, we introduce the functional

$$Y_N(\phi) = (1-\delta)(|\beta|C_\delta N)^{1/(1-\delta)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{2\pi}, \tag{14}$$

which is bounded and continuous on Ω_N and which satisfies the bounds $0 \leq Y_N \leq (1-\delta)(|\beta|C_\delta)^{1/(1-\delta)} N^{(2-\delta)/(1-\delta)}$. The perturbed Hamiltonian

$$G_N(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi'(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{2\pi} + Y_N(\phi) + \frac{\beta}{2} V(\phi) \tag{15}$$

then satisfies the uniform convexity condition

$$\left\langle \text{Hess}(G_N)_\phi \begin{bmatrix} \psi \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \psi \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-\delta) \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} j^2 (|\xi_j|^2 + |\eta_j|^2). \tag{16}$$

Hence $H = G_N - Y_N$ is a bounded perturbation of a uniformly convex potential, so using the Holley–Stroock Lemma as in (iii) above, we deduce that μ_N^β satisfies $LSI(\alpha(N, \beta))$ on Ω_N , for some $\alpha(N, \beta) > 0$. \square

The case $p = 4$ is often referred to as the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, since $|u|^2 u$ appears in the differential equation. In the next section, we investigate the associated Dirac operator with random potential ϕ .

3. Concentration for the spectral data of Dirac's equation

Let $\phi = Q + iP$ for $P, Q \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and consider Dirac's equation $(D - \lambda/2)\Psi_\lambda = 0$, or in matrix form

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \begin{bmatrix} P & -Q \\ -Q & -P \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \Psi_\lambda(x) = 0, \tag{17}$$

for 2×2 matrices with initial condition

$$\Psi_\lambda(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let the characteristic function be $\Delta_\phi(\lambda) = \text{trace } \Psi_\lambda(2\pi)$, and introduce the zeros λ_j of $\Delta(\lambda)^2 - 4 = 0$ and the zeros λ'_j of $\Delta'(\lambda) = 0$. The spectral data consist of the λ_j and λ'_j for $\phi(x)$ and the corresponding quantities for the translation $\phi(x+t)$. The spectral data partially, but not completely, determines ϕ . Moser describes the effect on the spectrum of translating the potential in terms of C. Neumann's problem regarding the motion of a particle on a sphere subject to a quadratic potential [12]; in our case, the sphere has infinite dimension. In the classical context of smooth ϕ , the spectral data satisfy special estimates regarding the position of the λ_j . However, for typical ϕ in the support of the Gibbs measure, ϕ is not differentiable, and the classical results are inapplicable. Nevertheless, McKean and Vaninsky [11] developed a spectral theory for $\phi \in L^2$, which integrates NLSE(4, β) in terms of infinitely many action and angle variables, and consider the invariant measure in the defocussing case where $\beta > 0$.

In this section we introduce statistics which describe the spectral data for random ϕ . The statistics define Lipschitz functions on (Ω_N, μ_N^β) , and hence by the concentration of measure phenomenon are tightly concentrated about their mean values.

For $b > 0$, let S_b be the horizontal strip $S_b = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\text{Im } z| \leq b\}$, and introduce the real Banach space, for the supremum norm,

$$\text{RH}^\infty(S_b) = \{g : S_b \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : g \text{ is holomorphic, } g(\bar{z}) = \overline{g(z)} \text{ and } \sup_{z \in S_b} |g(z)| < \infty\}. \quad (18)$$

Lemma 3.1: *For $0 \leq k < \infty$ and all $N < \infty$, the mapping $\phi \mapsto (\Delta_\phi^{(j)}(0))_{j=0}^k$ is a Lipschitz function from Ω_N to ℓ^2 . The function $\phi \mapsto \Delta_\phi(\lambda)$ is continuous from the norm topology to the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.*

Proof: For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda| \leq M$, we solve the integral equation

$$\Psi_\lambda(x) = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda x}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) + \int_0^x \exp\left(\frac{\lambda(x-s)}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \begin{bmatrix} Q(s) & P(s) \\ P(s) & -Q(s) \end{bmatrix} \Psi_\lambda(s) ds \quad (19)$$

where the norm of the exponential matrix is uniformly bounded for $0 \leq x \leq 2\pi$ and all $\lambda \in S_b$. Indeed, for all $b > 0$, the functions $\lambda \mapsto e^{ix\lambda}$ with $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ are uniformly bounded on S_b . First we let $0 < \delta < 1/2(N + M + 1)$ and apply the contraction mapping principle to the right-hand side, as a function of $\Psi_\lambda \in L^2[0, \delta]$; then we use $\Psi_\lambda(\delta)$ as the initial value for the corresponding integral equation on $[\delta, 2\delta]$ after $2\pi/\delta$ steps, we obtain a solution for all $x \in [0, 2\pi]$.

By Morera's theorem, $\lambda \mapsto \Psi_\lambda(x)$ is analytic on \mathbb{C} , and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have a bound

$$\|\Psi_\lambda(x)\|^2 \leq 2e^{2\pi b} + 4e^{2\pi b} \int_0^x |\phi(s)|^2 ds \int_0^x \|\Psi_\lambda(s)\|^2 ds, \quad (20)$$

where $\int_0^x |\phi(s)|^2 ds \leq N$ for all $\phi \in \Omega_N$; similar bounds hold for the λ derivatives. Hence by Gronwall's inequality, the maps $\phi \mapsto (d/d\lambda)^j \Psi_\lambda(x)$ are Lipschitz for $j = 0, \dots, k$, hence $\phi \mapsto \Delta^{(j)}(\lambda)$ is Lipschitz. Hence we can introduce $\alpha_j > 0$ such that $\phi \mapsto (\alpha_j \Delta_\phi^{(j)}(0))$ is Lipschitz from Ω_N to ℓ^2 . By Vitali's convergence theorem, we deduce that $\phi \mapsto \Delta_\phi(\lambda)$ is continuous for the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. \square

Definition 3.2: Let D be the Dirac operator in $L^2(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{C}^2)$, so that D is self-adjoint with eigenvalues λ_j . Then we define $\Lambda : \text{RH}^\infty(S_b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be the bounded

linear functional on the space of test functions given by

$$\Lambda(g) = \sum_{j=-M}^M g(\lambda_j) \quad (g \in \text{RH}^\infty(S_b)), \quad (21)$$

which is associated with D via $(\lambda_j)_{j=-M}^M$. Recalling that D depends upon ϕ , we have a random variable $\phi \mapsto \Lambda_\phi(g)$ on (Ω_N, μ_N^β) , called the *linear statistic*.

In statistical mechanics, the term *additive observable* [14] is used for linear statistic. We pause to observe that, if $(1+z^2)g(z) \in \text{RH}^\infty(S_b)$, then the Fourier transform $\hat{g}(t)$ is of exponential decay as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$, so we can write

$$\text{trace } g(D) = \text{trace} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itD} \hat{g}(t) \frac{dt}{2\pi} \quad (22)$$

in the style of the Poisson summation formula, and thus obtain the limit of (21) as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the linear statistic is a generalized trace formula.

Proposition 3.3: *For all $M < \infty$ there exists $N_M > 0$ such that for all $g \in \text{RH}^\infty(S_b)$ there exists $\eta_g > 0$ such that:*

(i) *the linear statistic $\Lambda_\phi(g) = \sum_{j=-M}^M g(\lambda'_j)$, where $\Delta'_\phi(\lambda'_j) = 0$, satisfies*

$$\int_{\Omega_{N_M}} \exp\left(t\Lambda_\phi(g) - t \int \Lambda(g) \frac{d\mu_N^\beta}{Z}\right) \frac{\mu_N^\beta(d\phi)}{Z} \leq \exp(\eta_g t^2) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}); \quad (23)$$

- (ii) *a similar result holds for the principal series of eigenvalues given by $\Delta_\phi(\lambda_{2j}) = 0$,*
 (iii) *and likewise for the complementary series of eigenvalues given by $\Delta_\phi(\lambda_{2j-1}) = 0$.*

Proof: By 1.2(iv), for all $N_M > 0$, the measure μ^β on Ω_{N_M} satisfies $LSI(\alpha(N_M))$ for some $\alpha(N_M) > 0$. Hence by section 9.2 of [15], any K -Lipschitz function $\Phi : \Omega_{N_M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\Phi(\phi) - \Phi(\psi)| \leq K\|\phi - \psi\|_{L^2}$ for all $\phi, \psi \in \Omega_{N_M}$ and $\int_{\Omega_{N_M}} \Phi(\phi) \mu_N^\beta(d\phi)/Z = 0$ satisfies the concentration inequality

$$\int_{\Omega_{N_M}} \exp(t\Phi(\phi)) \frac{\mu_{N_M}^\beta(d\phi)}{Z} \leq \exp(K^2 t^2 / \alpha(N_M)) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

So we need to check that the restricted linear statistics give us Lipschitz functionals.

Consider first the case of $\phi = 0$. Let $0 < r_j < \min\{b, 1/4\}$, and let $C(\lambda'_{j,0}; r_j)$ be the circles with radii r_j that are centred at the zeros of Δ'_0 , let $C = \bigoplus_{j=-M}^M C(\lambda'_{j,0}, r_j)$ be a finite chain of circles. By Lemma 3.1, there exists $N_M > 0$ such that $|\Delta'_\phi(\lambda) - \Delta'_0(\lambda)| < (1/2)|\Delta'_0(\lambda)|$ for all λ on C ; Now $\Delta'_0(\lambda) = 0$ has only simple zeros, and likewise for Δ'_ϕ by Rouché's theorem; hence by the calculus of residues the restricted linear statistic associated with ϕ satisfies

$$\sum_{j=-M}^M g(\lambda'_j) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C g(\lambda) \frac{\Delta''_\phi(\lambda)}{\Delta'_\phi(\lambda)} d\lambda. \quad (24)$$

Hence by Lemma 3.1, the map $\phi \mapsto \sum_{j=-M}^M g(\lambda'_j)$ is Lipschitz in a neighbourhood of $\phi = 0$.

The proofs of (iii) and (iii) are similar. \square

To clarify the connection between the spectral data of Dirac's equation and the NLSE we consider

$$0 = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \begin{bmatrix} Q' - (\beta/2)(P^2 + Q^2) & P' \\ P' & -Q' - (\beta/2)(P^2 + Q^2) \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. - \lambda \begin{bmatrix} -P & Q \\ Q & P \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \right) \Psi_\lambda(x, t) \quad (25)$$

where P' denotes $\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}$. It is [11] observed that, when ϕ satisfies NLSE(4, β), the pair of equations (17) and (25) are compatible.

4. Concentration of the spectral data for Hill's equation

Suppose that $q \in L^2(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{R})$ is π -periodic, and note that Dirac's equation (17) reduces with $P = (1 + q)/2$ and $Q = i(1 - q)/2$, to Hill's equation in the form

$$-f'' + qf = \lambda f. \quad (26)$$

The periodic spectrum of Hill's equation consists of those λ such that a non-trivial periodic solution exists for (26), and it is known that the corresponding eigenvalues are $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 \leq \lambda_4 < \dots$, namely the zeros of $\Delta(\lambda)^2 - 4 = 0$. This data partially, but not completely, determines q ; see [10].

The intervals $(\lambda_{2j-1}, \lambda_{2j})$ are known as the spectral gaps, or intervals of instability, and Erdelyi showed as in (9.55) of [5] that the sequence of gap lengths $(\lambda_{2j} - \lambda_{2j-1})_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell^2$; this estimate cannot be much improved for typical $q \in L^2$. We now consider the midpoints $(\lambda_{2n-1} + \lambda_{2n})/2$ of these gaps, and form the sequence

$$t_n = \sqrt{(\lambda_{2n-1} + \lambda_{2n})/2} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots); \\ t_0 = 0; \\ t_n = -\sqrt{(\lambda_{-(2n+1)} + \lambda_{-2n})/2} \quad (n = -1, -2, \dots). \quad (27)$$

The space of potentials that have a given periodic spectrum can be parameterized by the real torus \mathbb{T}^∞ , which arises from a spectral curve [10]. The KdV equation gives rise to an evolution which preserves the periodic spectrum of Hill's equation. The Hamiltonian

$$H(q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (q'(x))^2 \frac{dx}{2\pi} - \frac{\beta}{6} \int_{\mathbb{T}} q(x)^3 \frac{dx}{2\pi} \quad (28)$$

has canonical equations of motion which give the periodic KdV equation $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} + \beta u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0$ and as above, we take the phase space to be $\Omega_N = \{q \in L^2(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{R}) : \int_{\mathbb{T}} q(x)^2 / 2\pi \leq N\}$. Bourgain [5] introduced a Gibbs measure ν_N^β on Ω_N , and showed that the Cauchy problem is well posed on the support of ν_N^β . In this section, we consider the periodic spectral data for u in the support of the Gibbs measure. The

definition of ν_N^β is analogous to the construction of μ_N^β in the introduction, and is presented in more detail in [6] and [2].

For $b > 0$, we recall the Paley–Wiener space $\text{PW}(b)$ of entire functions g of exponential type such that $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ and

$$\limsup_{y \rightarrow \mp\infty} \frac{\log |g(iy)|}{|y|} \leq b, \quad (29)$$

so that $\text{PW}(b)$ is a complex Hilbert space for the usual inner product on $L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, with a real linear subspace $\text{RPW}(b) = \{g \in \text{PW}(b) : \bar{g}(z) = g(\bar{z}) \forall z \in \mathbb{C}\}$.

Proposition 4.1:

(i) Suppose that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} q(x) dx / 2\pi = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} |q(x)| dx / 2\pi < 1/2$. Then there exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that

$$A \|g\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |g(t_n)|^2 \leq B \|g\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (g \in \text{PW}(2)), \quad (30)$$

and $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (g(t_j) - g(j))$ is absolutely convergent for all $g \in \text{RPW}(2)$.

(ii) There exists $N > 0$ such that, for all $g \in \text{RPW}(2)$, the linear statistics $\Lambda_q(g) = \sum_{n=-M}^M g(t_n)$ satisfy the concentration of measure condition as in (23) with respect to Gibbs measure ν_N^β on Ω_N .

Proof: (i) To recover a function in $\text{PW}(2)$ from its values at a sequence of equally spaced real points, the optimal sampling rate is the Nyquist rate $\pi/2$. By sampling more frequently, we can accommodate the irregularity of the points (t_n) . From Borg’s estimates, one can show that the sequence satisfies $|t_n - n| < 1/4$ for all integers n ; hence, the sequence (t_n) is uniformly discrete and satisfies the sampling conditions $t_n \rightarrow \pm\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \pm\infty$, $t_{n+1} - t_n < 3/2$ and $t_n - t_m > 1/2$ for all $n > m$. Then one can invoke the sampling theorem in Corollary 7.3.7 of [13] which gives the stated result (30).

Each $g \in \text{RPW}(2)$ is band limited, in the sense that the Fourier transform is supported on $[-2, 2]$, and likewise g' is band limited. By the mean value theorem, for each j , there exists t'_j between j and t_j such that $g(t_j) - g(j) = g'(t'_j)(t_j - j)$, and the sequence (t'_j) is likewise uniformly discrete and sampling. Since $g' \in \text{RPW}(2)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=-\infty; j \neq 0}^{\infty} |g(t_j) - g(j)| &\leq \left(\sum_{j=-\infty; j \neq 0}^{\infty} |g'(t'_j)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=-\infty; j \neq 0}^{\infty} \frac{(t_j^2 - j^2)^2}{(t_j + j)^2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{B} \|g\|_{L^2} \left(\sum_{j=-\infty; j \neq 0}^{\infty} \frac{C^2}{j^2} \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

since by (9.55) of [5], there exists C such that $|t_j^2 - j^2| \leq C$ for all j .

(ii) Let Δ be the characteristic function of Hill’s equation, which is defined as for Dirac’s equation, and hence is entire. The periodic spectrum is given by the zeros of $\Delta(\lambda)^2 - 4 = 0$, so for each $g \in \text{RPW}(2)$ we can use Cauchy’s integral formula

$$t_{2n}^2 = \int_{C(4n^2; 1/4)} \frac{\lambda \Delta'(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda) - 2} \frac{d\lambda}{4\pi i} \quad (32)$$

to express the functionals from the principal series, and a corresponding formula for the t_{2n-1}^2 from the complementary series. Each functional $\phi \mapsto \Delta_\phi(\lambda)$ is Lipschitz, uniformly on the circle of integration, as in Lemma 3.1, and hence $\phi \mapsto g(t_j)$ is also Lipschitz for each j and $g \in \text{RPW}(2)$. In Corollary 2 of [2], we proved that ν_N satisfies a logarithmic Sobolev inequality, and hence a concentration of measure inequality for real Lipschitz functions on Ω_N for $N > 0$ suitably small. \square

The spectral curve of Hill's equation is the transcendental curve

$$\mathcal{E}_\phi = \{(w, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : w^2 = 4 - \Delta_\phi(z)^2\} \quad (33)$$

with branch points at the λ_j . In our case the branch points are random, although they remain close to their mean values with high probability, as shown by the linear statistics in 4.1. To interpret this geometrically, we consider the linear isomorphism between the spaces

$$\left\{ h \in L^2([-b, b]; \mathbb{R}) : h(t) = h(-t) \quad \forall t \in [-b, b]; \int_{-b}^b h(t) dt = 0 \right\} \quad (34)$$

and

$$\left\{ g \in \text{RPW}(b) : g(z) = g(-z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}; \quad x^2 g(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \right\} \quad (35)$$

given by the Fourier transform $g(z) = \int_{-b}^b \frac{1 - \cos zt}{z^2} h(t) dt$. Take such a g with $b = 2$; then $f(z) = g(\sqrt{z}/2)$ is an entire function of order $1/2$ and type 1, hence belongs to the space $I^{3/2}$ as in [10]. McKean and Trubowitz consider complex sequences (x_j) such that $\sum_{j=1}^\infty x_j f(\lambda_{2j})$ converges, and interpret such an expression in terms of the Jacobi map on \mathcal{E}_ϕ into the infinite real torus \mathbb{T}^∞ .

References

- [1] D. Bakry and M. Émery, Diffusions hypercontractives, in Séminaire de Probabilités XIX 1983/84, J. Azéma and M. Yor, eds., Vol. 1123, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, pp. 177–206.
- [2] G. Blower, A logarithmic Sobolev inequality for the invariant measure of the periodic Korteweg–de Vries equation, *Stochastics* **84** (2012), 533–542.
- [3] S.G. Bobkov and M. Ledoux, From Brunn–Minkowski to Brascamp–Lieb and to logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **10** (2000), 1028–1052.
- [4] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. I. Schrödinger equations, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **3** (1993), 107–156.
- [5] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. II. The KdV equation. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **3** (1993), 209–262.
- [6] J. Bourgain, Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equations and invariant measures, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **166** (1994), 1–26.
- [7] R.S. Ellis, R. Jordan, P. Otto and B. Turkington, A statistical approach to the asymptotic behavior of a class of generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **244** (2004), 187–208.
- [8] R. Holley and D.W. Stroock, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and stochastic Ising models, *J. Statist. Phys.* **46** (1987), 1159–1194.
- [9] J.L. Lebowitz, H.A. Rose and E.R. Speer, Statistical mechanics of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Statist. Phys.* **50** (1988), 657–687.
- [10] H.P. McKean and H. Trubowitz, Hill's operator and hyperelliptic function theory in the presence of infinitely many branch points, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **29** (1976), 143–226.
- [11] H.P. McKean and L. Vaninsky, Action-angle variables for the cubic Schrödinger equation, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **50** (1997), 489–562.
- [12] J. Moser, Various aspects of integrable Hamiltonian systems, J. Guckenheimer, J. Moser and S.E. Newhouse, *Dynamical Systems CIME Lectures*, (Birkhauser, Boston 1980) pp. 233–289.
- [13] J.R. Partington, *Interpolation, identification and sampling*, (Oxford University Press, 1997).
- [14] L. Pastur and M. Shcherbina, *Eigenvalue distribution of large random matrices*, (American Mathematical Society, Providence R.I. 2011).
- [15] C. Villani, *Topics in Optimal Transportation*, (American Mathematical Society, Providence R.I. 2003).