

1 **Woodland characteristics associated with the presence of Willow Tits *Poecile montanus* in a fragmented**
2 **population**

3
4 BENJAMIN M. SECKER^{1,2}, STUART P. SHARP¹

5 benjamin.m.secker@stu.mmu.ac.uk¹

6 s.sharp2@lancaster.ac.uk²

7
8 *¹ Lancaster Environment Centre, Library Avenue, Lancaster, Lancashire LA1 4YQ*

9 *² Manchester Metropolitan University, Chester Street, Manchester M15 6BX*

10
11 **Short title: Woodland characteristics and Willow Tit presence**

12

13 **Summary**
14 This study presents evidence that Willow Tits in a declining UK population were more likely to have
15 persisted in woodlands that were further away from a major road, and in which Great Spotted
16 Woodpeckers were not detected. No difference was found in woodland area, herb layer height,
17 canopy cover, or maximum and mean vegetation height between woodlands where Willow Tits were
18 present and those where they were not. Further investigation is required to see whether these
19 relationships occur on a national scale, and if so, how they might be factored into conservation
20 management plans for this species.

21 **Introduction**

22 Woodland bird species in the UK have suffered major declines since the early 1970s, decreasing in
23 abundance on average by around 35% during this period (DEFRA 2025). Some have undergone
24 particularly marked declines, such as Lesser Spotted Woodpecker *Dryobates minor*, Nightingale
25 *Luscinia megarhynchos*, Hawfinch *Coccothraustes coccothraustes*, and Marsh Tit *Poecile palustris*
26 (Massimino *et al*, 2024). Habitat loss, degradation, and fragmentation have been cited as possible
27 causes of decline for these birds (Bellamy *et al* 1996, Villard *et al* 1999, Brotons & Herrando 2001, Aune
28 *et al* 2005, Dolman *et al* 2007, Benítez-López *et al* 2010, Lawson *et al* 2018), yet conclusive evidence
29 of this is currently lacking. Increasing proximity to human populations may also be contributing to
30 changes in bird communities through habitat modification and provisioning of resources, resulting in
31 direct or indirect impacts on productivity and mortality, and changing competitive advantage (Shutt &
32 Lees 2021, Shutt *et al* 2021, Broughton *et al* 2022). Construction of roads is one common type of
33 anthropogenic habitat alteration which has been shown to have wide-ranging impacts on bird species,
34 for example through noise and chemical pollution (Herrera-Montes *et al* 2011, Ortega 2012, Kekkonen
35 2017), presenting physical barriers to movement (Kocolek *et al* 2011, Ceia-Hasse *et al* 2018) and direct
36 mortality (Jack *et al* 2015, Wiącek *et al* 2015). Further research is needed to better understand the
37 specific drivers of decline in woodland birds, including the potential impact of roads, if conservation
38 strategies are to be implemented.

39 One woodland bird of major conservation concern is the Willow Tit *Poecile montanus*, Britain's
40 fastest declining resident bird species (Burns *et al* 2020). Its abundance fell by 96% between 1967 and
41 2021 (Massimino *et al* 2024), placing it on the UK Birds of Conservation Concern Red List (Stanbury *et*
42 *al* 2021). The Willow Tit is a bird of extensive mixed forest in boreal and alpine regions across much of
43 its range, which extends throughout most of the Western Palearctic and into Northern Asia (Cramp &
44 Perrins 1993, Broughton 2024). However, studies over recent decades indicate the UK subspecies of
45 the Willow Tit (*P. m. kleinschmidtii*) is more likely to inhabit early successional woodland habitats, wet
46 woodland, and scrub (Cramp & Perrins 1993, Lewis *et al* 2009b, Broughton 2024). The exact causes
47 of the decline of the Willow Tit are poorly understood, but many factors are thought to contribute,
48 including fragmentation of habitat (Broughton *et al* 2013, Broughton 2024), nest site competition with
49 more dominant generalist species such as Blue Tit and Great Tit (Lewis *et al* 2009a, Parry and
50 Broughton, 2018), as well as climate change and habitat alteration driven by human activity
51 (Vanhinsbergh *et al* 2003, Lewis *et al* 2007). Nest predation by Great Spotted Woodpecker may also
52 play a role (Lewis *et al* 2007, Parry & Broughton 2018), with this species undergoing a 399% increase
53 in abundance during the same period as the Willow Tit's 96% decline (Massimino *et al*, 2024).

54 Here, we present the results of a small-scale study of a declining Willow Tit population in
55 which we investigate how the continued presence of birds relates to the following woodland
56 characteristics: proximity to a major road, woodland area, herb layer height, canopy cover, maximum
57 and mean vegetation height, and presence of Great Spotted Woodpecker. Our aim was to add to the
58 existing evidence base of this species' requirements and inform conservation. However, with sample
59 sizes being small, the results should be interpreted with caution.

60 **Methods**

61 The study was conducted between 21 July and 25 August 2021 around Barnsley, South Yorkshire
62 (53°33'13"N 1°28'57"W), in and around the former Dearne Valley Green Heart Nature Improvement
63 Area (Natural England 2014), an area of around 1700 ha. The woodlands in this area vary in size and
64 composition, ranging from 8 to 53 ha, and include early successional, wet, coniferous, and mixed
65 woodlands. We surveyed fifteen woodlands where Willow Tits had been recorded in the preceding three
66 years as part of targeted breeding surveys conducted by volunteers (Figure 1).

67 Playback surveys were conducted to determine whether Willow Tits were still present at each
68 site. Use of playback surveys is a well-established method of determining the presence of this species
69 (e.g. Mönkkönen *et al* 1996, Lewis *et al* 2009a, Broughton *et al* 2020, Morris 2021). We used a recording
70 taken from the Rare Breeding Bird Panel National Willow Tit Survey Methods (RBBP 2020), consisting
71 of a two-minute recording of song and calls. Surveys took place between 0600 h and 1000 h over two
72 visits during the survey period, all in good weather conditions with a wind speed lower than 10mph. The
73 recording was played at intervals of 100 m along a randomly selected transect that intersected the entire
74 woodland from one end to the other (max. 1.5 km, min. 0.6 km in length). A response by a Willow Tit to
75 the recording in the form of song, calls, or movement towards the source of the recording revealed
76 presence in a site. A lack of any of these responses was recorded as absence. Due to time constraints,
77 playback surveys were conducted outside of the optimal period for detecting Willow Tits, so we
78 compared the results of our surveys with those from a report using the same methodology in the same
79 population earlier in the year, from February to April (Carr, 2021). In total, we detected the same
80 presence or absence of Willow Tits at ten of the twelve woodlands surveyed in both studies. Our failure
81 to detect Willow Tits at two sites could be due to the timing of our surveys and indicate that there may
82 have still been Willow Tits present at these sites, or alternatively it may reflect a true loss of the birds,
83 which cannot be ruled out given the rapid rate of decline and subsequent extinction of the species in
84 the Dearne Valley following the conclusion of our study (Carr *et al*, 2024). We also surveyed three sites
85 that were not included in the report but were surveyed in previous years. ArcGIS Pro (version 2.8.1)
86 was used to calculate road proximity, defined as the nearest point of a woodland to any major highway
87 (a motorway, A-road, or B-road). ArcGIS Pro was also used to calculate woodland area. Herb layer
88 height was recorded using a tape measure, and canopy cover using an iPhone SE (Apple Ltd.) to
89 photograph the canopy at 2 m from ground level and estimate percentage. Both measurements were
90 taken at each 100 m transect interval and then averaged for each woodland location. LiDAR data from
91 the National LiDAR Programme 2021 at a resolution of 1 m (Environment Agency, 2025) were used to
92 calculate the maximum and mean vegetation heights of the woodlands as an indicator of the maturity
93 of the woodland sites using ArcGIS Pro (version 2.8.1). Finally, we collected evidence of the presence
94 of Great Spotted Woodpecker by identifying active territories at each site, which included nesting holes,
95 calling and visual identification of the bird.

96 All statistical analyses were conducted using Microsoft Excel (2017) and SPSS (IBM
97 statistics, version 26). The data collected were not normally distributed, thus a Mann-Whiney U test
98 was used to statistically compare road proximity and habitat characteristics between sites where
99 Willow Tits were present and those where they were not. The difference in presence of Great Spotted
100 Woodpeckers was analysed using a Fisher's Exact Test.

101 **Results and Discussion**

102 Woodlands occupied by Willow Tits were, on average, 295.2m further away from roads than those
103 where the species was absent, a significant difference (Mann-Whitney U Test, $U=10$, $P<0.05$, $N=15$,
104 Figure 2a). This is supported by previous work showing greater proximity to roads has significant
105 negative impacts on the abundance and diversity of bird species within woodland patches, as well as
106 dispersal and mortality (Brotons & Herrando 2001, Bélisle & St Clair 2002, Wiącek *et al* 2015).
107 Sensitivity to anthropogenic infrastructure and related detrimental effects may not be exclusive to
108 highways and may include other forms of development, including housing.

109 There was no significant difference in woodland area ($U=25$, $P=0.814$, $N=15$, Figure 2b), herb
110 layer height ($U=15$, $P=0.289$, $N=15$, Figure 2c), canopy cover ($U=16$, $P=0.195$, $N=15$, Figure 2d),
111 maximum vegetation height ($U=24$, $P=0.776$, $N=15$, Figure 2e), or mean vegetation height ($U=37$,
112 $P=0.272$, $N=15$, Figure 2f) between those woodlands where Willow Tits were present and those where
113 they were not. Whilst the direction of the relationships were as expected, according to the results of
114 similar studies (Lewis *et al* 2007, Lewis *et al* 2009a), the power to detect changes was low due to the
115 small sample size. Willow Tits have been observed to have relatively large territory sizes (Broughton *et*
116 *al* 2020), and as the sample size in our study was relatively small, caution should be applied when
117 interpreting an absence of this effect. Current conservation efforts generally focus on improving habitat
118 for Willow Tit through practices such as coppicing and removing vegetation (Pinder and Carr 2021,
119 Broughton 2024), yet have had little success in preserving Willow Tit populations in Britain (Carr *et al*
120 2024, Broughton 2024). This suggests that habitat management is unlikely to be a limiting factor in their
121 decline. Therefore, expanding interconnectedness of existing habitat patches and protecting the
122 remaining strongholds from development should be a conservation priority.

123 Great Spotted Woodpeckers were significantly more likely to be observed at sites where Willow
124 Tits were absent, compared to sites where they were present (Fisher's Exact Test, $Z=5.402$, $P<0.05$).
125 However, this result should be treated with caution as Great Spotted Woodpeckers are common in
126 Britain, and their absence from some sites may reflect a lower detection probability outside the breeding
127 season rather than a true absence. Nonetheless, the abundance of Great Spotted Woodpeckers has
128 increased steeply in the same period that the Willow Tit has declined (Massimino *et al* 2024), and our
129 results may indicate an effect of the relative abundance of woodpeckers if not presence or absence.
130 Some studies show no significant relationship between predator and competitor population increases
131 and Willow Tit declines (Siriwardena 2004, Lewis *et al* 2007), whereas others indicate that competition
132 and predation can have a pervasive impact on Willow Tit populations (Ludescher 1973, Parry and
133 Broughton 2018). Therefore, there is an urgent need for further work monitoring landscape-scale
134 impacts of predation and nest-site competition throughout the remaining range of the Willow Tit in
135 Britain.

136 The increasingly precarious conservation status of the Willow Tit in Britain means that any
137 novel data on this topic are valuable, particularly those which help to improve understanding of the
138 causes of this species' steep national decline. Unfortunately, we were unable to conduct playback
139 surveys during the breeding season of Willow Tits (February to March) when they are known to be
140 effective. Therefore, it is possible that birds may still have been present at some of the sites where
141 they were recorded as absent, as they had been identified using optimally timed surveys at all sites in
142 the preceding three years. This, in addition to the small sample sizes, means that clear inferences
143 from this study are limited, but the results may suggest that habitat patches further away from urban
144 infrastructure somehow buffer persisting Willow Tit populations to pervasive anthropogenic effects.
145 Unfortunately, the Dearne Valley Willow Tit population became extinct in the years following the study
146 (Carr *et al* 2024). Consequently, more data are urgently needed to inform conservation actions for
147 Willow Tits going forward to prevent similar losses elsewhere.

148 **Acknowledgements**

149 Thank you to volunteers involved in the annual Willow Tit breeding survey in the Dearne Valley,
150 particularly Geoff Carr, Jeff Lunn and Dave Waddington. Thanks also to two anonymous reviewers for
151 helping to improve the manuscript.

152

153 **References**

154 **Aune, K., Jonsson, B.G. & Moen, J.** (2005) Isolation and edge effects among woodland key habitats
155 in Sweden: Is forest policy promoting fragmentation? *Biological Conservation* **124**, 89-95.

156 **Bélisle, M. and St. Clair, C.C.** (2002) Cumulative effects of barriers on the movements of forest
157 birds. *Conservation Ecology*, **5**. [<https://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol5/iss2/art9/>, accessed
158 9th April 2025].

159 **Bellamy, P.E., Hinsley, S.A., Newton, I.** (1996) Local extinctions and recolonisations of passerine bird
160 populations in small woods. *Oecologia* **108**, 64-71.

161 **Benítez-López, A., Alkemade, R., Verweij, P.A.** (2010) The impacts of roads and other infrastructure
162 on mammal and bird populations: A meta-analysis. *Biological Conservation* **143**, 1307-1316.

163 **Brotons, L. & Herrando, S.** (2001) Reduced bird occurrence in pine forest fragments associated with
164 road proximity in a Mediterranean agricultural area. *Landscape and Urban Planning* **57**, 77-89.

165 **Broughton, R.K.** (2024) The Marsh Tit and the Willow Tit. Bloomsbury, London, UK.

166 **Broughton, R.K., Hill, R.A. and Hinsley, S.A.** (2013). Relationships between patterns of habitat cover
167 and the historical distribution of the Marsh Tit, Willow Tit and Lesser Spotted Woodpecker in
168 Britain. *Ecological Informatics* **14**, 25-30.

169 **Broughton, R.K., Parry, W. & Maziarz, M.** (2020) Wilding of a post-industrial site provides a habitat
170 refuge for an endangered woodland songbird, the British Willow Tit *Poecile montanus*
171 *kleinschmidti*. *Bird study* **67**, 269-278.

172 **Broughton, R.K., Shutt, J.D. and Lees, A.C.** (2022) Rethinking bird feeding: are we putting extra
173 pressure on some struggling woodland birds?. *British Birds* **115**, 2-6.

174 **Burns, F., Eaton, M.A., Balmer, D.E., Banks, A., Caldow, R., Donelan, J.L., Douse, A., Duigan, C.,
175 Foster, S., Frost, T., Grice, P.V., Hall, C., Hanmer, H.J., Harris, S.J., Johnstone, I., Lindley,
176 P., McCulloch, N., Noble, D.G., Risely, K., Robinson, R.A. & Wotton, S.** (2020) The State
177 of the UK's birds 2020. [online] RSPB, BTO, WWT, DAERA, JNCC, NatureScot, NE, NRW,
178 United Kingdom. [<https://www.bto.org/sites/default/files/publications/state-of-uk-birds-2020-report.pdf>], accessed 12 May 2025].

179 **Carr, G.** (2021) Willow Tit Survey 2021 Dearne Nature Improvement Area, Barnsley: A Back from the
180 Brink Project. Barnsley, UK.

181 **Carr, G., Lunn, J. & Pinder, S.** (2024) The Rapid Extinction of Willow Tits in a post-industrial landscape.
182 *British Birds* **117**, 195-202.

183 **Ceia-Hasse, A., Navarro, L.M., Borda-de-Água, L. and Pereira, H.M.** (2018) Population persistence
184 in landscapes fragmented by roads: Disentangling isolation, mortality, and the effect of
185 dispersal. *Ecological modelling* **375**, 45-53.

186 **Cramp, S. & Perrins, C.M.** (eds) (1993) The Birds of the Western Palearctic, **7**. Oxford University
187 Press, Oxford, UK.

188 **Department for Environment and Rural Affairs** (2025) Wild bird populations in the UK and England,
189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 1970 to 2024 [<https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/wild-bird-populations-in-the-uk/wild-bird-populations-in-the-uk-and-england-1970-to-2024>], accessed 2nd October
2025].

Dolman, P.M., Hinsley, S.A., Bellamy, P.E. & Watts, K. (2007) Woodland birds in patchy landscapes:
the evidence base for strategic networks. *Ibis* **149**, 146-160.

Environment Agency. (2025). National LiDAR Program. [<https://www.data.gov.uk/dataset/f0db0249-f17b-4036-9e65-309148c97ce4/national-lidar-programme>, accessed 14th October 2021].

Herrera-Montes, M.I. & Aide, T.M. (2011) Impacts of traffic noise on anuran and bird
communities. *Urban Ecosystems* **14**, 415-427.

Jack, J., Rytwinski, T., Fahrig, L. & Francis, C.M. (2015) Influence of traffic mortality on forest bird
abundance. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **24**, 1507-1529.

Kekkonen, J. (2017) Pollutants in urbanized areas: Direct and indirect effects on bird
populations. *Ecology and conservation of birds in urban environments*, 227-250.

Kociolek, A.V., Clevenger, A.P., St. Clair, C.C. and Proppe, D.S. (2011) Effects of road networks on
bird populations. *Conservation Biology* **25**, 241-249.

Lawson, B., Robinson, R., Toms, M., Risely, K., MacDonald, S. & Cunningham, A. (2018) Health
hazards to wild birds and risk factors associated with anthropogenic food provisioning.
Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **373**, 20170091.

Lewis, A.J., Amar, A., Daniells, L., Charman, E.C., Grice, P. & Smith, K. (2009a). Factors influencing
patch occupancy and within-patch habitat use in an apparently stable population of Willow Tits
Poecile montanus kleinschmidti in Britain. *Bird Study* **56**, 326-337.

211 **Lewis, A.J.G., Amar, A., Charman, E.C. & Stewart, F.R.P.** (2009b) The decline of the willow tit in
 212 Britain. *British Birds* **102**, 386-393.

213 **Lewis, A.J.G., Amar, A., Cordi-Piec, D. & Thewlis, R.M.** (2007) Factors influencing Willow Tit *Poecile*
 214 *montanus* site occupancy: a comparison of abandoned and occupied woods. *Ibis* **149**, 205-
 215 213.

216 **Ludescher, VFB.** (1973). Marsh tit (*Parus p. palustris* L.) and willow tit (*P. montanus salicarius* Br.) as
 217 sympatric twin species. *Journal of Ornithology*, **114**, 3-56.

218 **Massimino, D., Woodward, I.D., Barber, L., Barimore, C., Harris, S.J., Leech, D.I., Noble, D.G.,**
 219 **Walker, R.H., Baillie, S.R. & Robinson, R.A.** (2024) BirdTrends 2023. British Trust for
 220 Ornithology, Thetford, Norfolk.

221 **Mönkkönen, M., Forsman, J.T. and Helle, P.** (1996). Mixed-species foraging aggregations and
 222 heterospecific attraction in boreal bird communities. *Oikos*, 127-136.

223 **Morris, I.** (2021). The population status of the Willow Tit in Ceredigion. *Milvus: The Journal of the Welsh*
 224 *Ornithological Society*, **18**, 31-35.

225 **Natural England.** (2014) Dearne Valley NIA. [<https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/>, accessed
 226 10th December 2024].

227 **Ortega, C.P.** (2012) Chapter 2: Effects of noise pollution on birds: A brief review of our
 228 knowledge. *Ornithological monographs* **74**, 6-22.

229 **Parry, W. & Broughton, R.K.** (2018) Nesting behaviour and breeding success of Willow Tits *Poecile*
 230 *montanus* in north-west England. *Ringing & Migration* **33**, 75-85.

231 **Pinder, S & Carr, G.** (2021) Willow Tit Conservation Handbook. Back From the Brink.
 232 [<https://naturebftb.co.uk>, accessed 15 April 2025].

233 **Rare Breeding Bird Panel.** (2020) *Willow Tit Survey Methods 2020*. RBBP, UK.
 234 [<http://www.rbbp.org.uk/wp-content/>, accessed 19 March 2025].

235 **Shutt, J.D. & Lees, A.C.** (2021) Killing with kindness: Does widespread generalised provisioning of
 236 wildlife help or hinder biodiversity conservation efforts? *Biological Conservation* **261**, 109295.

237 **Shutt, J.D., Trivedi, U.H. & Nicholls, J.A.** (2021) Faecal metabarcoding reveals pervasive long-
 238 distance impacts of garden bird feeding. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
 239 *Sciences* **288**, 20210480.

240 **Siriwardena, G.M.** (2004) Possible roles of habitat, competition and avian nest predation in the decline
 241 of the Willow Tit *Parus montanus* in Britain. *Bird Study* **51**, 193-202.

242 **Stanbury, A., Eaton, M., Aebsicher, N., Balmer, D., Brown, A., Douse, A., Lindley, P., McCulloch,**
 243 **N., Noble, D., & Win I.** (2021) The status of our bird populations: the fifth Birds of Conservation
 244 Concern in the United Kingdom, Channel Islands and Isle of Man and second IUCN Red List
 245 assessment of extinction risk for Great Britain. *British Birds* **114**, 723-747.

246 **Vanhinsbergh, D., Fuller, R.J. and Noble, D.** (2003) A review of possible causes of recent changes
 247 in populations of woodland birds in Britain. *BTO Research Report*.
 248 [https://www.bto.org/sites/default/files/shared_documents/publications/research-reports/2003/rr245.pdf, accessed 24 April 2025].

249 **Villard, M.A., Trzcinski, M. & Merriam, G.** (1999) Fragmentation Effects on Forest Birds: Relative
 250 Influence of Woodland Cover and Configuration on Landscape Occupancy. *Conservation*
 251 *Biology* **13**, 774-783.

252 **Wiącek, J., Polak, M., Kucharczyk, M. & Bohatkiewicz, J.** (2015) The influence of road traffic on birds
 253 during autumn period: Implications for planning and management of road network. *Landscape*
 254 *and Urban Planning* **134**, 76-82.

255

256

257 **Legends to Figures**

258 **Figure 1.** Locations of the woodlands where playback surveys were completed in the former Dearne
259 Valley NIA, Barnsley, South Yorkshire. Red points indicate individual woodland patches.

260

261 **Figure 2.** Mean values of a) road proximity, b) woodland area, c) herb layer height, d) canopy cover,
262 e) maximum vegetation height, and f) mean vegetation height between woodland sites where Willow
263 Tits were present and those where they were absent. Error bars represent standard error.

264

Figures



265

