

Feeding from the Sun – Successes and Prospects in Bioengineering Photosynthesis for Food Security.

Stephen P. Long^{*1,2,3}, Yu Wang⁴, Elizabete Carmo-Silva⁵, Amanda P. Cavanagh⁶, Martin C. Jonikas^{7,8}, Johannes Kromdijk⁹, Benedict M. Long¹⁰, Amy Marshall-Colón³, Diwakar Shukla¹¹, Robert H. Wilson¹², Xin-Guang Zhu¹³, Elizabeth A. Ainsworth^{*1,2,3}

¹Carl R. Woese, Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

²Department of Crop Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

³Department of Plant Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

⁴School of Life Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China

⁵Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK

⁶School of Life Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester, CO4 3SQ, UK

⁷Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Princeton University, NJ 08544, USA.

⁸Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, NJ 08544, USA.

⁹Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 3EA, UK

¹⁰School of Environmental and Life Sciences, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia.

¹¹Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

¹²Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA.

¹³Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology (SIPPE), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Shanghai, China.

Correspondence: slong@illinois.edu; ainswort@illinois.edu

ORCID IDs:

Elizabeth A. Ainsworth 0000-0002-3199-8999

Elizabete Carmo-Silva 0000-0001-6059-9359

Amanda P. Cavanagh 0000-0001-5918-8093

Martin C. Jonikas 0000-0002-9519-6055

Johannes Kromdijk 0000-0003-4423-4100

Benedict M. Long 0000-0002-4616-2967

Stephen P. Long 0000-0002-8501-7164

Amy Marshall-Colón 0000-0001-6752-4982

Diwakar Shukla 0000-0003-4079-5381

Robert H. Wilson 0000-0003-4168-2805

SUMMARY

There is an urgent need for increased crop productivity to reduce food insecurity and improve sustainability. Photosynthesis converts sunlight energy into carbohydrates, providing the source of nearly all of humanity's food. Photosynthesis is a key target for improvement, owing to inherent inefficiencies in the biochemical process. Over the last decade of advancements in bioengineering, strategies to increase the efficiency of photosynthesis were tested with proven enhancements to crop yields in field trials. Simple strategies like increasing the content of photosynthetic proteins have reliably increased photosynthesis and productivity in crops, as have more complex strategies such as bypassing photorespiration. While insertion of carbon-concentrating mechanisms into C₃ plants remains an engineering challenge, modeling suggests that achieving that would have the greatest gain for crop improvement. This review discusses the many successes in improving photosynthesis achieved over the past decade and quantifies the potential for future engineering targets to increase crop productivity.

INTRODUCTION

The last ten years have seen a progressive decline in the availability of food at the global level such that today 8.2% of the global population, ~700,000,000 people, faced hunger in 2024¹. This is driven in part by a continually widening gap between crop production and demand². A meta-analysis of 57 detailed global food scenario quantitative projections predicted that 35%- 56% more crop production will be needed by 2050³. Decreasing demand and waste would lower this increased requirement, however current global trends for these factors continue in the opposite direction and this is not anticipated to change substantially going forward⁴. Crop losses due to increased intensity and frequency of extreme climatic events will add to the gap between production and demand^{4,5}. Despite climate change and new pest and disease challenges, plant breeding, coupled with improved agronomy, continues to result in annual increases in production for several food crops⁶, but new innovations need to be added to this pipeline if projected future demand is to be met.

Photosynthesis converts the energy of the sun with CO₂ and water into the chemical energy for crop growth and yield, and indeed almost all life on the planet. Directly, or indirectly, it is the source of all our food. Despite this fundamental link, only recently has it gained attention as a means to decrease the supply gap in the global food system. Equally, it provides a means to supply feedstocks, including forestry, to meet the increasing demand for non-food bioproducts with less land. Two factors make photosynthesis a potentially important means to increase yield. First, the efficiency of the process even in our best crops falls well below the theoretical maximum, suggesting considerable room for improvement⁷. Secondly, the process is much the same across all food, feed, forestry, herbage and biomass crops, such that a means to improve photosynthesis will likely apply to most crops, with further adjustments needed to maximize benefits in different environments^{7,8}.

The only significant variation in photosynthetic biochemistry across the major crops is C₃ vs. C₄. C₃ refers to crops in which CO₂ is first combined with C₅ ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) to form two molecules of C₃ glyceralate-3P (G3P), catalyzed by RuBP carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco). G3P is recycled to RuBP through the Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle (CBBc). With each turn of the cycle, additional C enters the anabolic pathways forming all organic constituents of the plant. C₃ crops include rice, wheat, barley, potato, legumes and all tree crops. In C₄ crops, CO₂ is first combined with phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) to form the C₄ dicarboxylate, oxaloacetate, catalyzed by PEP carboxylase. This reaction occurs in the mesophyll, which surrounds a photosynthetic bundle sheath where Rubisco is confined. Dicarboxylates diffuse to the bundle sheath

where decarboxylation provides a high CO₂ concentration ([CO₂]) which competitively inhibits the oxygenation activity of Rubisco and resulting losses of assimilate through photorespiration, while promoting carboxylation and onward metabolism in the CBBc. The resulting C₃ product of decarboxylation diffuses back to the mesophyll where it is metabolized to PEP at the cost of 2 ATP completing the C₄ photosynthetic cycle. This in effect serves as an energy-driven CO₂ concentration mechanism. As a result, C₄ crops are generally among the most productive and include maize, sorghum, millets, sugarcane, tropical pasture grasses, and the bioenergy/bioproduct crop Miscanthus. Despite their small number, they constitute a major part of global food and bioproduct supply⁶.

Ten years ago when the predecessor to this article was published, the assessment that photosynthetic efficiency could be improved in crops was an unproven hypothesis based largely on metabolic and biophysical mathematical modeling⁸. The models showed credible means to lessen this gap. Given the varying complexities of what would be involved, we forecast how long it might take to achieve test-of-concept for different bioengineering manipulations⁸. Skepticism that any improvement in crop photosynthetic efficiency could be achieved was a prevailing view then, with the assumption that natural and breeder selection would have already maximized efficiency^{9,10}. Since then, bioengineered improvements to photosynthetic efficiency leading to increased productivity have been shown through at least four different approaches at the level of single site test-of-concept field trials¹¹⁻¹³. Generally, these have been achieved in a shorter timeframe than we forecast⁸. Major strides have also been made toward achievement for manipulations that we anticipated would require a long-time horizon¹⁴⁻¹⁶. What has changed to facilitate this acceleration? Resequencing of large number of crop cultivars and relatives, breakthroughs in transformation and DNA editing in crops, high-throughput phenotyping of photosynthesis and crop development, 3D reconstruction of key photosynthetic proteins from sequence, atomistic simulation of protein function and *in silico* mutation coupled with directed evolution of *Escherichia coli* strains engineered to be dependent on photosynthetic proteins have all contributed to recent success¹⁷⁻²³.

Here we review what has been achieved in genetic improvement of photosynthesis over the last ten years and how new molecular developments have and are accelerating this. We also tabulate what has now been achieved and what looks probable over the near future.

RUBISCO

Nearly all carbon in plants is assimilated through Rubisco. Despite being the most abundant protein in the leaf, and the world²⁴, it is often limiting to light-saturated photosynthesis. It appears that to minimize the costly oxygenase activity leading to photorespiratory losses of carbon and energy, Rubisco in plants has evolved to have a

higher affinity for CO₂ to maximize discrimination against the far more abundant O₂. However, high CO₂ affinity slows catalysis, partially compensated by production of large amounts of Rubisco²⁵. The advent of the FvCB model^{26,27} has shown us that at past atmospheric [CO₂] Rubisco content in C₃ leaves was strongly limiting to light-saturated photosynthesis. As [CO₂] rises, RuBP regeneration co-limits photosynthesis. In C₄ crops the opposite is occurring. As [CO₂] rises, the concentration in the bundle sheath increases and the content of Rubisco is increasingly limiting to C₄ photosynthesis. As Rubisco limits both C₃ and C₄ photosynthesis in leaves under light-saturated conditions, the simple approach of increasing Rubisco content is a strategy for increasing photosynthesis and crop yield²⁸. Recently, transgenic upregulation of Rubisco content has been proven to be effective in increasing productivity of C₃ rice¹² and C₄ sorghum¹³ in field trials. In addition to transgenic approaches to enhance Rubisco content, increased expression of the *BOOSTER* (*BSTR*) gene in poplar enhanced Rubisco expression resulting in greater photosynthesis, plant height and biomass²⁹. *BSTR* was discovered from high-throughput screening of genetic variation in photosynthesis, opening up the possibility for discovery of novel approaches to increase Rubisco. Additionally, gene editing approaches that target promoter regions or upstream open reading frames could potentially enhance Rubisco expression²⁸. However, Rubisco is a major sink for nitrogen, and a more sustainable approach will be to develop more efficient Rubisco, rather than simply more.

Engineering a better Rubisco

Improving Rubisco carboxylation has long been a target for increasing photosynthesis and crop productivity. Despite abundant sequence, structure, and catalytic data, attempts to rationally engineer catalytic improvements to the enzyme have been largely unsuccessful. Instead, random mutagenesis and directed evolution have been the go-to strategies to assess the potential to engineer Rubisco and identify residues influential to catalysis. Screening Rubisco activity has relied heavily on Rubisco-dependent *E. coli* (RDE) strains that enable activity-based selection from random mutant libraries. These strains have been effective in isolating variants exhibiting universal kinetic improvements^{30,31}. Mutations affording these improvements are often distant to the active site and difficult to interpret from a structure-function perspective, explaining earlier rational design failures. More advanced RDE strains feature genetic deletions in central carbon metabolism that can be alleviated by Rubisco activity^{32,33}. Tuning the RDE growth environment during selection can even enable the kinetic properties of library variants to be estimated by growth rate alone³⁴. One of the most attractive features of metabolically-dependent strains is an absence of false positives from off-target mutations that frequently circumvent the selection circuit of earlier RDE platforms.

Directed evolution has focused exclusively on prokaryotic isoforms as recombinant production of plant Rubisco was achieved relatively recently³⁵. Engineering improvements to plant Rubisco is essential to translational improvements in crops. However, directed evolution is complicated by the numerous molecular chaperones needed to support plant enzyme production and maintenance RDE. Most of these chaperones are essential to produce meaningful amounts of the plant isoform in *E. coli* and are poorly compatible with non-cognate Rubisco partners³⁶. For example, monocot enzymes from cereal crops are completely dependent on monocot specific chaperonin (CPN60) complexes³⁷. Directed evolution of Rubisco in the presence of chaperones improves mutational tolerance but restricts functional outcomes³⁸, suggesting a need for coevolution of the entire system to explain the distinct cognate chaperone-Rubisco partnerships observed in plant lineages. The recent finding that plant Rubisco chaperones can alter the catalytic properties of the assembled enzyme in a recombinant system further reinforces their importance in any engineering context³⁹.

Directed evolution campaigns that include the chaperone suite may be challenging but are now accessible thanks to a rapid advancement in mutagenesis technologies that can be paired with high-throughput Rubisco selection platforms. The use of *in vivo* mutagenesis methods allows for much larger libraries of random mutants to be generated and can operate in tandem with RDE selection^{40, 40}. These advancements provide the groundwork for developing a continuous directed evolution platform for Rubisco capable of screening huge libraries of genetic diversity in high throughput.

A more extreme alternative is to replace Rubisco with a different carboxylase. Although all carbon is assimilated through Rubisco in aerobic photosynthetic organisms, other carboxylases lacking lability to O₂ and with a high k_{cat} can be found in some chemoautotrophs. At least five other autotrophic CO₂ assimilatory pathways have been identified⁴¹. A predicted autotrophic pathway using a coenzyme A (CoA)-dependent carboxylase and 16 further enzymes to complete the cycle was assembled and in vitro, shown to be some 5 times more efficient than the Rubisco-based CBBc⁴². However, the CBBc is integral with multiple key anabolic pathways leading to all constituents of the plant, re-engineering these, while not out of the question, would be a far larger task than any of the others bioengineering targets discussed in this article.

Engineering a better Rubisco activase (Rca)

Rubisco only has value if it is active when needed. Despite being a major limitation to photosynthesis, only about 80% of Rubisco is active when photosynthetic rates are maximal⁴³. Further, its slow induction on shade-sun transitions imposes a further major limitation⁴⁴⁻⁴⁷. Achieving greater activation of Rubisco at both steady-state and induction is

therefore another means to increase crop photosynthetic efficiency. Rubisco binds inhibitors and requires its molecular chaperone Rca to change the enzyme's conformation to remove inhibitory sugar-phosphates from catalytic sites. The activity of Rca is regulated in response to irradiance levels, via the energetic balance and redox status of the chloroplast⁴⁸. This regulation is a key determinant of photosynthetic light induction and inefficient regulation of Rubisco activity limits daily photosynthetic productivity in crop leaves that experience variable irradiance with many transitions between shade and full sun^{44,47,49}. Furthermore, Rca is thermolabile and progressively loses its ability to maintain Rubisco activity as temperatures rise above the optimum for a given plant species⁵⁰. Thus, Rca has emerged as another key target to improve the productivity and climate resilience of field crops where leaves are frequently exposed to variable light regimes and increasingly threatened by heatwaves^{43,48,51-54}.

Significant progress has been achieved towards genetic engineering of Rca in crops since overexpression of a thermostable Rca in *Arabidopsis* was shown to increase photosynthesis and biomass under heat stress^{55,56}. In rice, Rca overexpression increased photosynthesis, but only when accompanied by RbcS overexpression to avoid downregulation of Rubisco abundance^{57,58}. Both inter- and intraspecies diversity in the regulatory properties and temperature response of Rca isoforms have been reported⁵⁹⁻⁶⁶. In wheat and cowpea, heat increased expression of more thermotolerant Rca isoforms, but their protein abundance remained low or undetectable^{61,65,66}. While in rice and wheat the more thermotolerant isoforms were slower at activating Rubisco^{60,61,63,65}, cowpea thermotolerant Rca isoforms quickly and effectively activated Rubisco at a broad temperature range providing a route to enhance thermotolerance in crops via genetic engineering⁶⁶.

Significant developments in AI-based protein structure prediction, ML-directed functional protein design⁶⁷⁻⁷⁰, molecular dynamics simulations incorporating docking and subtle local fluctuations in residue contacts⁷¹⁻⁷⁵ and high-throughput directed evolution systems⁷⁶ provide an exciting opportunity to accelerate the rate of progress in engineering of proteins such as Rca. Experimentally determined Rca protein structures (Figure 1) enabled modelling the similar -yet distinct- mechanisms of Rca-Rubisco interaction⁷⁷⁻⁸¹. The structural flexibility and polydisperse nature of Rca have hindered crystal X-ray diffraction and (cryo-)EM structural studies⁸¹) and current predicted Rca structures lack the disordered N- and C-terminals involved in the Rca-Rubisco interaction. Specific amino acid residues have been identified through detailed experimental studies with crop Rca isoforms^{61,65}. Now the greatest promise lies in combining computational tools to develop a plant Rca-Rubisco interaction model and use this in conformational dynamics simulations to design superior Rca variants for experimental testing. A recent large ML-directed screen

of Rca proteins identified thermotolerant synthetic variants with minimal mutations⁸² using a deep generative model to capture key patterns of amino acid mutations coupled with a high-throughput assay to assess the ability of hundreds of Rca variants to hydrolyze ATP after a heat shock. The most resilient proteins had lower rates of activity at optimal temperatures and will need testing for Rubisco reactivation efficiency⁶⁵, yet this is an exciting step towards gene editing of crop Rca thermotolerance.

RUBP REGENERATION AND ALTERNATIVE ASSIMILATION PATHWAYS

RuBP regeneration in the Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle

Carboxylation of RuBP catalyzed by Rubisco produces two molecules of 3-phosphoglycerate (3-PGA), which is metabolized through the CBBc to supply the carbon intermediates for many metabolic pathways and to regenerate RuBP. As noted earlier, the widely used and validated FvCB model of steady-state photosynthesis^{26,27} shows that at past atmospheric [CO₂], Rubisco strongly limited light-saturated photosynthesis. As [CO₂] continues to rise, co-limitation by the rate of regeneration of the CO₂ acceptor molecule at Rubisco, RuBP, occurs⁸³. Application of evolutionary algorithms to complete dynamic models of photosynthetic and photorespiratory metabolism indicated several steps with strong metabolic control over RuBP regeneration. The CBBc enzyme Sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase (SBPase) was predicted to have strong limitation, rising with [CO₂]^{84,85}. Transgenic up-regulation of SBPase in tobacco and soybean resulted in higher rates of leaf photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation and yield when grown under open-air elevation of [CO₂] to the levels predicted for mid-century^{86,87}. Inevitably decreasing metabolic control at one point in the regeneration of RuBP increases control elsewhere. Other key control points included Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBPase) and electron transport. Combined transgenic over-expression of SBPase, FBPase and algal Cytochrome C₆, shown previously to accelerate electron transport when introduced into *Arabidopsis*⁸⁸, significantly increased photosynthetic CO₂ uptake rates in tobacco grown in the field at current [CO₂] and resulted in a 39% increase in dry-matter productivity⁸⁹. Given the need for multiple up-regulation of proteins involved in RuBP regeneration, identification of master regulators that could achieve this through a single up-regulation would present an ideal way forward; MYB-related and b-ZIP transcription factors appear prominent among these^{90,91}.

Photorespiratory bypasses

Oxygenation of RuBP by Rubisco produces one molecule of 3-PGA and one molecule of 2-phosphoglycolate (2PG). The plant photorespiratory pathway metabolizes two molecules of 2-PG to 3-PGA, through reactions in the peroxisomes and mitochondria, eventually re-entering the CBBc for RuBP regeneration in the chloroplast. This pathway costs the loss of one molecule of CO₂ in the mitochondria for every two molecules of 2-PG plus 7 ATP and 4

NAD(P)H equivalents⁹². This loss of carbon previously assimilated and the huge energetic cost imposes a major cost on net C₃ crop photosynthesis and productivity, estimated at 36% and 20% for US soybean and wheat⁹³ and considerably more for hot semi-arid regions⁹⁴.

Foundational work in *Arabidopsis* demonstrated that glycolate metabolism could be diverted from photorespiration into alternative metabolic pathways (i.e. bypasses) to regain carbon in the CBBc without the large energy losses imposed by the native photorespiratory pathway. The first such bypass relocated glycolate metabolism to the chloroplast with the expression of three microbial enzymes, and demonstrated enhanced photosynthetic performance and biomass⁹⁵. The second moved photorespiratory glycolate oxidation to the chloroplast, linked to a synthetic malate decarboxylation cycle, again releasing CO₂ at the site of Rubisco⁹⁶. The observed benefits of these pathways have been difficult to reconcile with our current understanding of photorespiration and C₃ photosynthesis^{97,98}. However, more than a decade later, nine unique bypasses have been expressed across seven additional species^{92,99-108}. A systems-level comparison shows that each bypass design confers distinct advantages in carbon export or energetic efficiency depending on environmental conditions, underscoring the importance of moving beyond steady-state interpretations of metabolism¹⁰⁹. Critically, observed benefits have moved beyond controlled conditions into replicated single-location field trials demonstrating yield increases of 20-37% in tobacco^{92,110}, 30% in potato¹¹¹ and 19-37% in rice^{100,102,103,108}. Yield increases from multiyear and multilocation field trials of 13-69% in potato¹⁰⁵ and 17-29% in rice¹⁰³ provide even stronger support for stable yield gains across differing environmental and management conditions.

Over the ~15 years required to translate these targets into commercial crop cultivars, global mean temperatures are expected to reach ~ 1.6-2.0°C above pre-industrial levels, increasing the frequency of extreme heat stress during the growing season⁴. Rates of photorespiration increase with temperature due to declines in Rubisco specificity for CO₂ relative to O₂, increasing the yield penalty to C₃ crops^{93,94}. Consequently, alternative pathways to photorespiration offer a thermoprotective benefit against yield losses, particularly in tropical environments¹¹². One glycolate oxidation bypass engineered into tobacco chloroplasts reduced the yield penalty associated with 5°C of canopy warming by 19%¹¹⁰. When transformed into potato, the same pathway conferred increased photosynthetic capacity and daily carbon assimilation during naturally-occurring heatwaves¹¹¹. Therefore, engineering photorespiration offers an opportunity to increase both yield potential and resilience to global temperature increase. Further optimization may confer greater improvements, as the largest benefits to crop growth under heat stress

conditions are predicted to come from synthetic carbon-fixing pathways such as the tartronyl-CoA pathway, which remains untested in plants^{109,113}.

CARBON-CONCENTRATING MECHANISMS (CCMs)

The Rubisco oxygenase reaction and energy expenditure in the subsequent photorespiratory reactions is a limitation to productivity, especially in environments that support high rates of photorespiration. O₂ competes with CO₂ for Rubisco's active sites. Concentrating C at the site of Rubisco achieves two things. First, it increases [CO₂]/[O₂], suppressing photorespiration and allowing the use of Rubiscos with lower specificity and substantially higher *k*_{cat}. Second, it increases [CO₂] concentration, causing faster carboxylation rates. Together these factors greatly decrease the amount of resource expensive Rubisco required for assimilation⁹⁴. High [CO₂] at Rubisco has been achieved through different evolutionary mechanisms in plants, cyanobacteria, and green algae.

One means to increase [CO₂]/[O₂] in the leaf is to increase mesophyll conductance (g_m). This term describes the ease with which CO₂ may diffuse from the intercellular leaf air spaces to Rubisco. It includes a series of barriers to diffusion including the cell wall, cell membrane, cytoplasm, chloroplast envelope and stroma to eventually reach Rubisco, and is also affected by interconversion with bicarbonate. During photosynthesis these diffusion limitations cause a significant drawdown in [CO₂] at Rubisco to about 70% of that in the intercellular air spaces. This slows the rate of carboxylation and allows increased oxygenation. One seemingly simple solution would be to engineer or select for increased g_m^{114,115}. Since diffusion here is in the liquid pathway it has the added attraction that any gain in photosynthesis would not result in more water loss, hence it would have the benefit of higher water use efficiency¹¹⁶. Despite many attempts at different points in the diffusion pathway this has proved challenging¹¹⁷. Recently however, success was achieved in tobacco by transgenically increasing the porosity and decreasing the width of the cell. This significantly increased leaf g_m, water use efficiency and CO₂ uptake by about 10% in a replicated field trial¹¹⁸.

Engineering CAM, C₂ and C₄ into C₃ crops

Beyond mesophyll conductance, plants have evolved different strategies to achieve much greater increases in [CO₂] at Rubisco. These include: Crassulacean Acid Metabolism (CAM), C₂ and C₄ photosynthesis. Each of these has the attraction that they have already evolved, multiple times from C₃ plant ancestors¹¹⁹, suggesting the plausibility of engineering these into C₃ crops to minimize photorespiration. CAM fixes CO₂ into dicarboxylates at night, which are decarboxylated during the day to provide a high [CO₂] when stomata in these plants are closed so also minimizing water loss¹²⁰. It parallels C₄

photosynthesis using temporal separation of PEP carboxylation and dicarboxylate decarboxylation, rather than spatial separation. Work is proposed to engineer CAM into crops which would have particular benefit for hot semi-arid regions and salinized soils with strong diurnal temperature variation^{121,122}. C2 photosynthesis is a weak carbon-concentrating mechanism (CCM) that has evolved in over 50 species including monocots and dicots to lower photorespiration. The pathway increases net CO₂ assimilation by delivering and re-assimilating CO₂ released by photorespiration back to the chloroplast¹²³. Key innovations for evolving a functional C2 cycle include enhancing the number and activity of chloroplasts and mitochondria in bundle sheath cells and increasing the symplastic connections between the mesophyll and bundle sheath cells. A second step to engineering a C2 shuttle is restriction of glycine decarboxylase (GDC) activity to the bundle sheath cells such that the CO₂ it releases must diffuse back through the photosynthetic mesophyll cells. Constitutive overexpression of the GLK transcription factor in rice achieved some of the anatomical changes needed for the C2 shuttle¹²⁴ and transcription factors and regulatory changes required to restrict GDC to bundle sheath cells have been elucidated¹²⁵⁻¹²⁷, suggesting that engineering C2 photosynthesis is within reach. Although the benefits of operating a C2 shuttle in rice were modeled to improve photosynthetic rates modestly (<10%), the improvements were consistent across a wide range of environmental conditions¹²⁸.

Engineering the C₄ photosynthetic carbon-concentrating mechanism into C₃ species like rice has greater potential to increase photosynthesis in high light and high temperature conditions than C2 photosynthesis¹²⁸. However, engineering C₄ photosynthesis in rice or wheat is a formidable challenge that international consortia have been tackling for over 25 years^{129,130}. These projects have articulated the evolutionary requirements, anatomical and biochemical adjustments required for a functional C₄ cycle. Partially functional C₄ cycles have been inserted into rice^{131,132}, although tissue-specific expression of the decarboxylase and changes in anatomical features like bundle sheath cell size and increased vein density are still needed to fully support C₄ photosynthesis. Exciting recent evidence that bundle-sheath specific expression of C₄ photosynthetic genes is associated with pre-existing DNA sequences that control gene expression (cis-code) found in C₃ leaves, suggests a rational engineering pathway for cell-specific expression of C₄ genes¹³³. Whether the anatomical bottlenecks in engineering C₄ CCMs in species like rice or wheat can be overcome remains to be seen, but appears closer with this discovery.

Engineering carboxysomes into crops

In the aquatic environment the bulk of inorganic carbon available for photosynthesis is the relatively membrane-impermeable bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻). Dominating many aquatic niches

are cyanobacteria, ancient microbes that share a common ancestor with plant chloroplasts¹³⁴. To make use of HCO_3^- as their source of CO_2 for Rubisco, cyanobacteria possess a highly efficient CCM that boosts photosynthesis¹³⁵ (Figure 2). This system is bipartite, requiring membrane-bound HCO_3^- transport proteins, and carboxysomes: proteinaceous icosahedral microcompartments that encapsulate relatively fast Rubisco and carbonic anhydrase (CA) to convert bicarbonate into CO_2 ¹³⁵. Two types exist: α -carboxysomes and β -carboxysomes, each with distinct protein compositions and assembly pathways. By mimicking this CCM in crop chloroplasts, there is enormous potential to enhance CO_2 fixation and reduce photorespiration. A chloroplastic CCM also has potential to improve water and nitrogen use efficiency⁸. Cyanobacterial CCMs, like pyrenoids (discussed below), would appear to bring the benefits of C_4 photosynthesis to C_3 crops, but without the need to engineer dimorphic photosynthetic tissues⁸.

Carboxysomes have been successfully assembled in crop chloroplasts, despite initial concerns this would be more challenging. These complex structures (100 – 600 nm in diameter) require 10 or more genes encoding proteins (depending on their species origin), required in tightly regulated stoichiometries¹³⁶. While generation of β -carboxysomes within chloroplasts has been challenging^{137,138}, α -carboxysomes from two species have been generated in tobacco that are structurally isomeric with those of the source organism, but lack some minor, but likely essential proteins^{15,16,135}. This work has relied mainly on plastid transformation, a logical approach given the complexity of expressing and assembling carboxysome proteins from nuclear genes. However, this highlights a requirement for more widespread capability to transform C_3 crop chloroplasts¹³⁹.

To function, carboxysomes require the concentration of substrate HCO_3^- in the stroma¹⁴⁰⁻¹⁴². In cyanobacteria this is achieved via plasma membrane HCO_3^- pumps and the absence of carbonic anhydrases outside of the carboxysomes. Approaches to mimic this have focused on targeting HCO_3^- transporters to the chloroplast inner envelope membrane (IEM), so that stromal HCO_3^- can be actively accumulated. Transporters have been successfully targeted to the chloroplast IEM¹⁴³⁻¹⁴⁸, but thus far no improvements in plant productivity have been attributed to increased chloroplastic HCO_3^- .

These efforts mark incremental, yet remarkable progress toward HCO_3^- transport into C_3 chloroplasts. Indeed, initial efforts to solve this engineering challenge were focused on protein targeting^{144,146}, but the challenge has shifted to achieving transporter function in plants. Recent work suggests that directed evolution may help to enable HCO_3^- transport in heterologous systems¹⁴¹. Progress toward this element of the CCM strategy has been slower than expected, highlighting the need for better understanding of HCO_3^- transporter biology¹⁴².

Establishing and maintaining a chloroplastic HCO_3^- pool is essential for CCM function¹⁴². To this end, the essential step of eliminating stromal CA enzymes has been achieved in both *Arabidopsis*¹⁴⁹ and tobacco¹⁵⁰, creating foundational plant lines for building and testing CCM components. Engineering carboxysomes into crops remains promising, but key hurdles must be overcome¹⁵¹. These include optimizing HCO_3^- transporter function, ensuring functional carboxysome biogenesis, and integrating the system into tractable crop genomes.

Engineering pyrenoids into crops

Another promising approach for engineering a CCM into crops is based on the pyrenoid, an organelle found in the chloroplasts of nearly all eukaryotic algae¹⁵²⁻¹⁵⁴. The pyrenoid offers several engineering opportunities. As with carboxysomes, it functions at the single-cell level, eliminating the need for engineering leaf morphology and organelle localization associated with C4 and C2 mechanisms¹⁵⁵. Additionally, pyrenoid-based CCMs may not require chloroplast envelope transporters¹⁴, which as noted above have posed challenges in carboxysome-based CCM engineering. Moreover, components of the pyrenoid-based CCM are natively encoded in eukaryotic nuclear genomes and targeted to chloroplasts¹⁴⁷, which is compatible with established crop nuclear genome engineering approaches. This contrasts with carboxysome systems, whose prokaryotic origins preferably require chloroplast genome engineering, which is yet to be achieved in monocots¹⁵⁶, or re-engineering all components for chloroplast targeting from the nucleus.

Despite these opportunities, a challenge of the pyrenoid-based CCM is that it remains relatively poorly understood, especially in comparison to the extensively studied carboxysome-based CCM. Pyrenoid-based CCMs are convergently evolved; thus, while general principles are thought to be similar between lineages, the specific genes that mediate functions in each lineage are different. The best-understood pyrenoid is that of the model green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, benefiting from an extensive parts list¹⁵⁷⁻¹⁵⁹ and molecular characterization of many of the key components. This depth of understanding, together with the evolutionarily closeness of *Chlamydomonas* to land plants, have made this system a focus of current plant-engineering efforts.

Pyrenoids comprise three core sub-structures: a Rubisco-containing matrix, specialized CO_2 -delivering membranes, and CO_2 leakage barriers. The pyrenoid matrix forms by phase separation of Rubisco with a linker protein¹⁶⁰⁻¹⁶². This has been reconstituted in the model plant *Arabidopsis*¹⁶³, a breakthrough step in realizing pyrenoids in higher plant chloroplasts. CO_2 -delivering membranes traverse the matrix, and recent studies identified two key components, enabling partial reconstitution in plants¹⁶⁴. These membranes will need to be functionalized for CO_2 delivery, which is expected to require expression of HCO_3^-

transporters¹⁶⁵ and targeting of a carbonic anhydrase. Finally, modeling indicates that although CO₂ leakage barriers around the pyrenoid—comprised of starch, membranes, and/or proteins—are not essential for function, they will be crucial for maximizing energetic efficiency¹⁴. Encouragingly, starch has been successfully recruited to the matrix in plant cells using Chlamydomonas-derived proteins¹⁶⁶.

Current efforts focus on assembling a minimal, functional pyrenoid-based CCM in plants using characterized components¹⁵³. This objective is supported by efforts to discover novel components critical to membrane biogenesis and CO₂ delivery and exploring pyrenoids across photosynthetic lineages to identify components that may simplify engineering^{167,168} or improve function^{169,170}. Establishing a pyrenoid in a model plant should facilitate the transfer of this engineered system into diverse crops.

CROP LEAF CANOPIES

Optimizing light utilization is a key target for improving crop photosynthesis and yield. The ancestors of most of our major food crops grew largely as isolated plants in semi-arid and nutrient limited environments, such that they would have evolved as plants in which most or all leaves were exposed to full sunlight and shading was rare. Breeding and agronomic improvements have resulted in dense monotypic stands where leaf area index (LAI), the area of leaf above a unit area of land, can often exceed 5 or 6. In effect, modern agriculture has taken plants adapted to photosynthesizing in full sun, and created a situation where most leaves are shaded. Such high-density stands are a recent construct of modern agriculture, but would have given little time for predominantly sun plants to become more adapted to shade⁴⁷. Despite such stands being a key part of higher yields there are several lines of evidence that modern crop canopies are far from optimized for maximizing photosynthetic carbon gain. In a crop field, high light levels at the top of a canopy progressively decrease to near darkness at the bottom of a dense canopy¹⁷¹. This presents a conundrum as light energy is present in excess for optimal photosynthesis at the top of the canopy and deficient at the bottom of the canopy. While visible light (400 – 700nm) is strongly absorbed, including green light, near-infra-red (NIR, >700 nm) is largely transmitted such that while starved of visible light, the lower crop canopy is bathed in NIR. Some cyanobacteria contain chlorophylls d and f, which can utilize NIR to almost 800 nm in their photosynthesis. Given the structural similarity of these chlorophylls to those of plants and deep knowledge of the biosynthetic pathways, engineering these into crops appears feasible. While this has not been achieved, a simulation that incorporated these into a digital twin of an actual soybean crop throughout its growth period in the field suggested they could result in a 26% increase in crop photosynthetic carbon gain over the growing season¹⁷².

Modifying crop canopy architecture and composition.

Both structural and biochemical approaches for improving light use in crop canopies have seen promising results over the past decade. Shoot architecture, defined by the three-dimensional aerial display of stems, leaves, and reproductive structures, together with pigment composition and concentrations determine the spatial patterns of light interception and carbon gain within the canopy^{11,173,174}. Domestication and selection dramatically shaped shoot architecture in crops. In the Green Revolution, semidwarf, high-yielding varieties were selected for less stem and more seeds also responding favorably to nitrogen fertilizer without lodging. This resulted in a more compact leaf canopy and more intense shading, further exacerbated by subsequent increases in LAI¹⁷⁵. In parallel, many genes that regulate plant height and tiller number have been described and manipulated to mitigate the effects on canopy light distribution¹⁷⁶⁻¹⁷⁸.

Leaf angle, the angle between the vertical stem and the midrib of the leaf blade, is a critical trait to maximize photosynthesis and enable high planting density in cereals^{179,180}. More erect leaf angles at the top of the canopy improve light distribution allowing more light to reach lower canopy leaves, while decreasing the heat load on the upper leaves and lowering the need for dissipation of excess energy as NPQ. Such modifications are associated with greater seed yields in rice¹⁸¹, wheat¹⁸², and maize^{183,184}. There is significant genetic variation in leaf angle in cereals and many genes controlling leaf angle are known, which enables transgenic approaches to optimize leaf angle throughout the canopy^{179,180,185}. Down-regulation of liguleless transcription factors (*lg1* and *lg2*) in sorghum reduced leaf angle, improved canopy light distribution and increased both yield and water use efficiency¹⁸⁶. Recently, the *leaf angle architecture of smart canopy 1* (*lac1*), which encodes a brassinosteroid C-22 hydroxylase, was identified in maize. *lac1* mutants have erect leaves in the upper canopy, less erect leaves in the middle and flat lower canopy leaves owing to an interaction of phytochrome photoreceptors, the transcription factor RAVL1, and *lac1* which together regulate brassinosteroid levels¹⁸⁴. This transition from erect leaves at the top of the canopy to horizontal at the base, is projected to be optimal for maximizing crop photosynthetic efficiency. *lac1* mutants achieve this ideotype of the so-called “smart canopy”. An alternative, or complimentary, approach to enabling a better distribution of light through the canopy is to utilize the deep knowledge of chlorophyll biosynthetic and packaging pathways to reduce visible light absorption, which is typically 80-90%, in the upper leaves^{94,171}.

Erect upper canopy leaves in maize enable higher planting density and LAI. In a test of 146 maize varieties, high yield was associated with high LAI and leaf area duration, a measure of the longevity of the plant canopy over time¹⁸⁷. When the canopy is developing, high LAI

maximizes light interception and competitive advantage against weeds¹⁷⁵. However, there is a trade-off between the size of the canopy and the respiratory burden, so optimal canopies maximize light interception at maturity with as low an LAI as possible for intercepting the available light, thus conserving resources¹⁷⁵. In broadleaf crops like soybean or cowpea, LAI may be too high for optimal photosynthesis and productivity in current and future atmospheric environments^{188,189}. A simple way to modulate LAI may be to reduce leaf area. In broadleaf crops like soybean, lanceolate leaf shape decreases LAI¹⁹⁰ and can improve light distribution throughout the canopy¹⁹¹. As for other architectural traits, the genes controlling leaf shape have been well-described. For example, the *GmJAG1* gene, encoding JAGGED-like transcription factor, determines leaflet shape in soybean. A single nucleotide polymorphism within the EAR motif of *GmJAG1* causes an amino acid substitution which disrupts its repressor function and results in a lanceolate leaf phenotype^{192,193}. When the lanceolate leaf phenotype was introduced into soybean, a significant reduction in LAI was obtained with no penalty to yield¹⁹⁴. This approach of decreasing leaf area without changing the number of nodes where reproductive structures form should potentially enhance photosynthetic, water use, and nitrogen efficiency¹⁹⁴. Canopy architecture is a genetically complex trait and interacts with the environment, so different architectures will almost certainly be needed to optimize yield in different growing regions.

Accelerating adjustment of NPQ to sun-shade transitions.

In full sun the light energy absorbed by leaves is typically in excess of that which can be used in photosynthesis and can result in photooxidative damage and inhibition of photochemical efficiency^{195,196}. To prevent this, light harvesting photosynthetic pigment antennae invoke intricate feedback de-excitation mechanisms, observable as non-photochemical quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence (NPQ). In crops, pronounced asymmetry exists between the rapid induction versus much slower rate of relaxation of NPQ, providing an inbuilt memory to anticipate future stress conditions. However, this memory may be too conservative for the situation in farmer's fields, where nutrients and water are more abundant¹⁹⁷ than in natural ecosystems. Indeed, early modelling work predicted that slow NPQ relaxation could represent a significant loss of canopy CO₂ assimilation of up to 30%^{47,198}. Major progress has been made in the last decade to put this hypothesis to the test¹⁹⁹⁻²⁰³. Initial attempts focused on overexpressing the thylakoid pH sensor Photosystem II subunit S (PsbS), which upon acidification of the lumen promotes induction of energy-dependent quenching (qE), a rapidly relaxing form of NPQ. PsbS overexpression in the crop plant rice manifested a trade-off between the benefits of enhanced protection¹⁹⁹ and disadvantages of competition with CO₂ assimilation²⁰⁰. The latter may go beyond energetic demands, since PsbS overexpression unexpectedly gave rise to dampened stomatal

opening²⁰¹, due to its impact on chloroplast-derived H₂O₂ signals²⁰², providing a new strategy to reduce crop water use²⁰³.

A subsequent strategy targeted both qE and qZ²⁰⁴, a more sustained form of NPQ associated with the abundance of zeaxanthin (Zx), via combined overexpression of violaxanthin de-epoxidase (VDE), zeaxanthin epoxidase (ZEP) and PsbS. Proof of concept of this VPZ strategy was first demonstrated in tobacco, which showed enhanced photochemical efficiency and biomass productivity²⁰⁵ and subsequently in soybean, where benefits also extended to enhanced seed yield²⁰⁶. Whereas both of these studies evaluated phenotypes in field-grown plants, similar VPZ attempts in potato²⁰⁷ and *Arabidopsis*²⁰⁸ grown under greenhouse conditions or artificial light regimes were less successful, replicating some of the impacts on NPQ kinetics but not translating into growth or yield. As noted in the study of potato a challenge is balancing the increases in VDE and ZEP such that relaxation on sun-to-shade transitions is accelerated without raising NPQ in high light which would compete with CO₂ assimilation²⁰⁷. This is difficult to obtain with simple addition of transgenes with promoters and may be more successful with newer technologies allowing tuned gene expression²⁰⁹.

The VPZ results demonstrate that re-epoxidation of Zx is key to accelerate NPQ relaxation²¹⁰, but questions remain with regards to the precise mechanisms underlying Zx-dependent quenching. Significant progress has been made in determining the relative contributions of quenching sites on monomeric and trimeric LHCII²¹¹, but the relative importance of Chl-Zx charge or exciton transfer mechanisms²¹²⁻²¹⁴ versus allosteric effects of Zx to promote aggregation of LHCII trimers²¹⁴ is still subject to debate.

While further understanding could advance targeted NPQ engineering strategies, mining the existing allelic variation in crop germplasm provides an alternative route. In an early study in rice, the strongest QTL was associated with cis-genic variation upstream of PsbS²¹⁵. However, more recent work in maize^{216,217}, sorghum^{29,218-220} and poplar²⁹ uncovered a plethora of novel targets. To facilitate rapid translation, multiplexed editing strategies targeted to cis-genic non-coding regions can create novel non-GMO expression level variants²²⁰ in any crop of choice to enable faster relaxation of NPQ via QTL stacking or genomic prediction strategies.

MAXIMISING AND ACCELERATING GENETIC IMPROVEMENTS IN PHOTOSYNTHESIS

Table 1 and Figure 3 summarize the bioengineered manipulations to improve photosynthetic efficiency achieved or underway and what might be expected going forward. In the ten years since the prior review of the topic⁸, six of the forecast potential improvements have now been realized, four with double digit increases in productivity or yield in at least a single replicated field trial of multiple events. This progress is just the first

step toward placing these improvements in farmers' hands. These strategies must deliver repeatable increases in more years and across different environments and be tuned to maximize their potential in different environments and genetic backgrounds. One manipulation, photorespiratory by-passes, has made the most progress in this respect in that it has been demonstrated in at least 3 crops, including different locations and multi-year trials (see above). Where transgenes are involved, de-regulation must follow and then the manipulation must be bred into elite cultivars adapted to different climate zones and which are acceptable and suited to regional markets.

To achieve a stronger basis for comparison of the proposed photosynthetic manipulations, the potential benefit of each of the 14 suggested improvements was tested using a 3D soybean model that estimates canopy photosynthesis in a clear-sky day^{28,221}. Each of the 14 changes was substituted into the leaves and total photosynthesis for the day was calculated for a single elite soybean cultivar at one point of growth, so clearly results will likely differ for other cultivars, days and species. For example, a 5% improvement in canopy photosynthesis was predicted for manipulation 15 (Table 1) yet for another elite cultivar, using the same manipulation, the improvement was 11.5% ²²², reflecting differences in canopy form. Each manipulation required several assumptions (Supplementary Table 1), which in the case of strategies requiring more discovery (U in Table 1) may not hold up. However, this modeling provides a common framework for comparisons. As such, it indicates that by far the greatest prize would come from integrating any one of the CCMs into C3 crop leaves. By comparison, the predicted gain in daily canopy CO₂ assimilation for the achieved manipulations are largely single digit, with the exception of canopy architecture and photorespiratory bypasses. In most cases, however, the observed increase in productivity or yield exceeds the predicted increase in canopy photosynthesis. The predicted increase is for a critical time point, early seed fill. If photosynthetic efficiency is improved throughout the lifetime of the crop this could result in more reserves and reproductive initials, so gains could be cumulative. Given that annual yield improvements currently achieved in our most intensively bred crops are 1-2%, a 5-10% improvement on top is substantial. Further, the improvements achieved so far involve fewer genes than CCMs, and critically they appear additive such that gene stacking of manipulations 2,3,11,12,13 and 15 of Table 1, could increase photosynthetic carbon gain by 52%.

Increasing photosynthetic efficiency can only have value if the crop is able to utilize the additional photosynthate in the harvested material, i.e. there is sufficient sink to utilize the additional source; in particular, potential to utilize additional photosynthate in the harvested product. Growth of C₃ crops under elevated [CO₂] artificially increases net photosynthesis by decreasing photorespiration and accelerating carboxylation. This

provides a test of whether crops can allocate an increased photosynthate supply to greater productivity and yield. Results of such experiments have shown increased productivity to varying degrees in almost all C₃ crops tested, with the largest increases in root crops²²³. Growth of different cultivars of rice and soybean in the field under Free Air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE) showed that the most recent cultivars are capable of fully utilizing increases in photosynthesis in increased yield up to at least 30%, contrasting with older cultivars²²⁴. This suggests that the intensive breeding of these two crops has largely removed sink limitation. It is uncertain that crops which have received less attention can respond as strongly without making more effort to improve their yield potential.

Manipulations 1 through 11 all concern increasing Rubisco carboxylation rates, either by increasing the amount of active enzyme, making the enzyme more efficient, inhibiting oxygenation or decreasing the energetic costs of photorespiration resulting from oxygenation (Table 1). Except for manipulation 1, the predicted increase in canopy photosynthesis is slightly less at elevated [CO₂] (e = 500 ppm), reflecting increased competitive inhibition of oxygenase activity by rising [CO₂]. The predictions were made for a constant 25 °C. However, with the concomitant rise in temperature, which favors oxygenase activity, gains might be similar or lower than those predicted for current conditions⁹⁴.

While it might be tempting to suggest focus should be on the predicted large prizes that CCMs could deliver, the smaller gains already achieved by other manipulations are much further along the pipeline toward seed for farmers and are potentially additive.

Manipulations 5 thru 8 are not additive, since achieving one CCM would largely nullify the other. However, given the promise and future need, all four should be pursued vigorously given the uncertainty in what may be needed to effectively install these systems. Further it may be that different crops may be more amenable to one or the other of these CCMs. Manipulations 3 thru 11 all potentially increase NUE, since here more carboxylations will be achieved per unit of Rubisco.

Manipulations that have increased biomass productivity or yield in the field have generally resulted considerably earlier than was predicted 10 years ago⁸, reflecting ever improving technologies for genetic transformation, DNA editing, and high-throughput phenotyping. What can we expect going forward? Given the rapid progress in discovering the genes needed, it seems highly likely that with continued commitment at least one of the CCMs (5-8, Table 1) will reach successful test-of-concept stage within 10 years, i.e. be demonstrated in a crop in at least one field replicated trial. Similarly, utilization of longer wavelength chlorophylls to extend the spectrum of solar radiation used by crops might be achieved in this time frame.

Several steps following test-of-concept are needed for the achievements made or anticipated to make a difference in food, feed and bioproduct supply. Although transgenic crops are gaining increased acceptance, still in 2023 only 27 of the world's 195 countries planted transgenically modified crops²²⁵. Even in these countries, gaining approval for planting a single crop with one modified trait is a lengthy and expensive process²²⁶. Except for photorespiratory bypasses, all of the achieved improvements in Table 1 could potentially be achieved by altering the expression of the target genes through precise editing of important cis-regulatory elements within the promoter, for example by CRISPR-CAS9²²⁷. Such editing is increasingly, but not universally, accepted as equivalent to conventional breeding and so this would shorten the time and cost of bringing these to market²²⁸. Advantaged traits, whether obtained with transgenes, edits or existing alleles discovered within the germplasm will only have value if bred into regionally appropriate and accepted elite cultivars. This requires a substantial plant breeding effort, and one challenged by current limited public domain capacity⁴. The advents of speed breeding, which reduces generation time, genomic selection and high-throughput phenotyping of photosynthetic traits in the field could considerably accelerate breeding for photosynthetic efficiency²²⁹. These manipulations will be present throughout the life of the plant so initial selection can be made with photosynthetic probes at the seedling stage, greatly reducing the amount of material that will need to be grown out²⁰⁵. Simulation models which use phenology, flowering time, and photosynthetic properties as their major inputs and predict the potential yield under different growth regions can narrow the appropriate manipulations and stacking options²³⁰. All this will depend on adequate numbers of breeders trained in, and equipped with, these technologies and successful integration of breeding and photosynthetic phenotyping.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the project Realizing Increased Photosynthetic Efficiency (RIPE), funded by Gates Agricultural Innovations grant investment 57248, awarded to the University of Illinois, USA. This work was also funded in part by the DOE Center for Advanced Bioenergy and Bioproducts Innovation (U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Biological and Environmental Research Program under Award Number DE-SC0018420). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Department of Energy.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S.P.L. outlined the topics for the review. All authors contributed to text, figure development and editing of the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The University of Illinois, Lancaster University and Princeton University have current and pending patent applications associated with the proposed improvements to photosynthetic efficiency.




Figure 1. Variation in the structure of Rubisco and Rubisco activase (Rca).

A. Three-dimensional protein structure of Rubisco interacting with Rubisco activase (Rca) from *Nostoc* sp. Strain PCC7120⁸⁰. B. Three-dimensional protein structures of Rca hexamers and C. Rca monomers from Green-Type Rcas, Red-Type Rcas, and Rca-like proteins. Structures were experimentally determined using crystal X-ray diffraction and/or (cryo-)electron microscopy²³¹⁻²³⁴.




Figure 2. Incorporation of a cyanobacterial carboxysome into plants.

Panel A: Schematic of a cyanobacterial cell featuring a CO₂-concentrating mechanism. Rubisco is enclosed within the carboxysome, where elevated CO₂ levels are maintained by active bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) uptake via membrane transporters and conversion by a carboxysomal carbonic anhydrase. A specialized NDH-1 complex recaptures CO₂ that leaks from the carboxysome, converting it back into bicarbonate. Panel B: A typical C₃ plant chloroplast, where Rubisco reacts with both CO₂ and O₂, leading to photorespiration. Engineering efforts have independently and successfully introduced bicarbonate pumps and carboxysome genes into C₃ plants. The former to increase CO₂ concentration in the carboxysome; the latter enabling α -carboxysome assembly in plant chloroplasts.




Figure 3. Different bioengineering strategies to increase photosynthesis.

Strategies discussed in the manuscript that could increase canopy photosynthesis are illustrated in the figure. The potential increase in daily carbon gain by incorporating each strategy into soybean is estimated in Table 1.

Table 1. The major ongoing or achieved genetic improvement strategies for improving crop photosynthetic efficiency.

	Manipulation	Type	Predicted canopy daily %gain c/e	Obs. Leaf Psn. %lnc	Avg Obs %gain in biomass prodn (p) or yield (y).	Time scale	Additional benefits
1	Increase BS Rubisco content C ₄	Syn,Ed,B	10/10	So 14¹³	So 15(p)¹³	A	C ₄
2	Increase Rubisco content C ₃	Syn,Ed,B	8/7	R 14¹²	R 6(p)¹² R 8(y)¹²	A	C ₃
3	Increase mesophyll conductance	Syn,Ed,B	5/4	T 8¹⁸	T 4(p)¹¹⁸	A	C ₃ >>WUE; >NUE
4	Add algal CO ₂ /HCO ₃ pumps	Syn	3/1			U	C ₃ >WUE; >NUE
5	Convert C ₃ crops to C ₄	Syn	49/32			U	C ₃ >>WUE; >NUE
6	Convert C ₃ crops to C2	Syn	25/16			U	C ₃ >WUE; >NUE
7	Add cyanobacterial carboxysome system	CSyn	68/49			U	C ₃ >>WUE; >NUE
8	Add algal pyrenoid system	Syn	82/60			U	C ₃ >>WUE; >NUE
9	More efficient Rubisco engineered	CSyn,Syn	11/7			M	C ₃ >WUE; >NUE
10	More rapid induction on shade-sun transition with improved Rca	Syn,Ed,B	13/11			S	C ₃ ,C ₄ ; >WUE; Additive with all.
11	Synthetic photorespiratory by-passes	Syn	9/8	T 17⁹² P 14²³⁵ R 15¹⁰³	T 22(p)⁹² P 33(y)²³⁵ R 29(y)¹⁰³	A	C ₃ >WUE
12	Faster RuBP regeneration	Sys,Ed,B	4/8	T 10⁸⁹	T 38(p)⁸⁹	A	C ₃ ,C ₄ >WUE Additive with all.
13	Optimize canopy architecture.	Sys,Ed,B	21/18		So 27(p)²³⁶ S 7(y)¹⁹⁴	A	C ₃ ,C ₄ >>WUE; >NUE Additive with all.
14	Extend usable spectrum of crop photosynthesis into NIR	Syn	19/19			U	C ₃ ,C ₄ ; >WUE; Additive with all.

15	More rapid relaxation of NPQ on sun-shade transitions	Syn,Ed,B	5/5	S 20²⁰⁶ T 15²⁰⁵	S 16(y)²⁰⁶ T 18(p)²⁰⁵	A	C ₃ ,C ₄ ; >WUE; Additive with all.
----	---	----------	-----	--	--	---	---

Manipulation: Change being undertaken

Type of genetic manipulation: CSyn = synthetic addition of foreign genes to the chloroplast or plastid genome; Syn = synthetic addition to the nuclear genome; Ed = up- or down- regulation by editing the upstream region of existing genes; B = improvement tractable by breeding given adequate molecular markers and variation within germplasm.

Predicted daily canopy photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation at current 2025 [CO₂] c = 420 ppm and for 2050, e = 500 ppm.

Predictions were made by substituting each manipulation into a digital twin of a soybean crop canopy of an elite cultivar (LD11-2170) at early seed fill on a clear sky day at air temperature of 25°C^{28,221}.

Obs. Leaf Psn. are the average observed increases in leaf photosynthetic CO₂ uptake in field trials, where the manipulation has been achieved.

Avg Obs %gain is the observed average increase across different transformation events in terms of commodity product yield (y) or shoot dry biomass (p) from field trials for R= rice; S= soybean; So = sorghum; T = tobacco.

Time scale is an estimate of time to test-of-concept in one cultivar in at least one replicated field trial: A=Achieved; M=5-10 years; S= short-term <5 years; U = uncertain as more discovery will be needed for implementation, but likely within 20 years.

Additional benefits indicated whether the manipulation will benefit C₃ or/and C₄ crops, it is additive to other changes, and will improve water use efficiency (WUE) and/or nitrogen use efficiency (NUE).

Strategies discussed in the manuscript that could increase canopy photosynthesis are illustrated in Figure 3. The potential increase in daily carbon gain by incorporating each strategy into soybean is estimated in Table 1.

References

1. FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP, and WHO (2024). The state of food security and nutrition in the world 2024.
2. Godfray, H.C.J., and Garnett, T. (2014). Food security and sustainable intensification. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 369, 20120273. 10.1098/rstb.2012.0273.
3. van Dijk, M., Morley, T., Rau, M.L., and Saghai, Y. (2021). A meta-analysis of projected global food demand and population at risk of hunger for the period 2010–2050. *Nature Food* 2, 494-501. 10.1038/s43016-021-00322-9.
4. Long, S.P. (2025). Needs and opportunities to future-proof crops and the use of crop systems to mitigate atmospheric change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 380, 20240229. 10.1098/rstb.2024.0229.
5. Hultgren, A., Carleton, T., Delgado, M., Gergel, D.R., Greenstone, M., Houser, T., Hsiang, S., Jina, A., Kopp, R.E., Malevich, S.B., et al. (2025). Impacts of climate change on global agriculture accounting for adaptation. *Nature* 642, 644-652. 10.1038/s41586-025-09085-w.
6. FAOstat (2025). FAOstat. <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data>.
7. Zhu, X.G., Long, S.P., and Ort, D.R. (2010). Improving Photosynthetic Efficiency for Greater Yield. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 61, 235-261. 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042809-112206.
8. Long, S.P., Marshall-Colon, A., and Zhu, X.G. (2015). Meeting the Global Food Demand of the Future by Engineering Crop Photosynthesis and Yield Potential. *Cell* 161, 56-66. 10.1016/j.cell.2015.03.019.
9. Gifford, R.M., and Evans, L.T. (1981). Photosynthesis, carbon partitioning, and yield. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 32, 485-509. 10.1146/annurev.pp.32.060181.002413.
10. Sinclair, T.R., Purcell, L.C., and Sneller, C.H. (2004). Crop transformation and the challenge to increase yield potential. *Trends in Plant Science* 9, 70-75. 10.1016/j.tplants.2003.12.008.
11. Croce, R., Carmo-Silva, E., Cho, Y.B., Ermakova, M., Harbinson, J., Lawson, T., McCormick, A.J., Niyogi, K.K., Ort, D.R., Patel-Tupper, D., et al. (2024). Perspectives on improving photosynthesis to increase crop yield. *The Plant Cell* 36, 3944-3973. 10.1093/plcell/koae132.
12. Yoon, D.K., Ishiyama, K., Suganami, M., Tazoe, Y., Watanabe, M., Imaruoka, S., Ogura, M., Ishida, H., Suzuki, Y., Obara, M., et al. (2020). Transgenic rice overproducing Rubisco exhibits increased yields with improved nitrogen-use efficiency in an experimental paddy field. *Nature Food* 1, 134-139. 10.1038/s43016-020-0033-x.
13. Salesse-Smith, C.E., Adar, N., Kannan, B., Nguyen, T., Wei, W., Guo, M., Ge, Z.X., Altpeter, F., Clemente, T.E., and Long, S.P. (2025). Adapting C4 photosynthesis to atmospheric change and increasing productivity by elevating Rubisco content in

sorghum and sugarcane. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 122, e2419943122. 10.1073/pnas.2419943122.

14. Fei, C., Wilson, A.T., Mangan, N.M., Wingreen, N.S., and Jonikas, M.C. (2022). Modelling the pyrenoid-based CO₂-concentrating mechanism provides insights into its operating principles and a roadmap for its engineering into crops. *Nature Plants* 8, 583-595. 10.1038/s41477-022-01153-7.
15. Chen, T., Hojka, M., Davey, P., Sun, Y., Dykes, G.F., Zhou, F., Lawson, T., Nixon, P.J., Lin, Y., and Liu, L.-N. (2023). Engineering α -carboxysomes into plant chloroplasts to support autotrophic photosynthesis. *Nature Communications* 14, 2118. 10.1038/s41467-023-37490-0.
16. Long, B.M., Hee, W.Y., Sharwood, R.E., Rae, B.D., Kaines, S., Lim, Y.L., Nguyen, N.D., Massey, B., Bala, S., von Caemmerer, S., et al. (2018). Carboxysome encapsulation of the CO₂-fixing enzyme Rubisco in tobacco chloroplasts. *Nature Communications* 9, 3570. 10.1038/s41467-018-06044-0.
17. Chen, F., Chen, L., Yan, Z., Xu, J.Y., Feng, L.L., He, N., Guo, M.L., Zhao, J.X., Chen, Z.J., Chen, H.Q., et al. (2024). Recent advances of CRISPR-based genome editing for enhancing staple crops. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 15, 1478398. 10.3389/fpls.2024.1478398.
18. Song, B., Ning, W., Wei, D., Jiang, M., Zhu, K., Wang, X., Edwards, D., Odeny, D.A., and Cheng, S. (2023). Plant genome resequencing and population genomics: Current status and future prospects. *Molecular Plant* 16, 1252-1268. 10.1016/j.molp.2023.07.009.
19. Kim, T.D., Pretorius, D., Murray, J.W., and Cardona, T. (2025). Exploring the Structural Diversity and Evolution of the D1 Subunit of Photosystem II Using AlphaFold and Foldtree. *Physiologia Plantarum* 177, e70284. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.70284>.
20. Mir, R.R., Reynolds, M., Pinto, F., Khan, M.A., and Bhat, M.A. (2019). High-throughput phenotyping for crop improvement in the genomics era. *Plant Science* 282, 60-72. 10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.01.007.
21. Sheikh, M., Iqra, F., Ambreen, H., Pravin, K.A., Ikra, M., and Chung, Y.S. (2024). Integrating artificial intelligence and high-throughput phenotyping for crop improvement. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 23, 1787-1802. 10.1016/j.jia.2023.10.019.
22. Gionfriddo, M., Rhodes, T., and Whitney, S.M. (2024). Perspectives on improving crop Rubisco by directed evolution. *Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology* 155, 37-47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdcb.2023.04.003>.
23. Kafri, M., Patena, W., Martin, L., Wang, L.Y., Gomer, G., Ergun, S.L., Sirkejyan, A.K., Goh, A., Wilson, A.T., Gavrilenko, S.E., et al. (2023). Systematic identification and characterization of genes in the regulation and biogenesis of photosynthetic machinery. *Cell* 186. 10.1016/j.cell.2023.11.007.
24. Bar-On, Y.M., and Milo, R. (2019). The global mass and average rate of rubisco. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 116, 4738-4743. 10.1073/pnas.1816654116.
25. Tcherkez, G.G., Farquhar, G.D., and Andrews, T.J. (2006). Despite slow catalysis and confused substrate specificity, all ribulose bisphosphate carboxylases may be

nearly perfectly optimized. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103, 7246-7251. 10.1073/pnas.0600605103.

26. Farquhar, G.D., Caemmerer, S.V., and Berry, J.A. (1980). A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C3 species. *Planta* 149, 78-90. 10.1007/bf00386231.

27. Farquhar, G.D., von Caemmerer, S., and Berry, J.A. (2001). Models of photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology* 125, 42-45. 10.1104/pp.125.1.42.

28. Salesse-Smith, C.E., Wang, Y., and Long, S.P. (2025). Increasing Rubisco as a simple means to enhance photosynthesis and productivity now without lowering nitrogen use efficiency. *New Phytologist* 245, 951-965. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.20298>.

29. Feyissa, B.A., de Becker, E.M., Salesse-Smith, C.E., Shu, M.J., Zhang, J., Yates, T.B., Xie, M., De, K., Gotarkar, D., Chen, M.S.S., et al. (2025). An orphan gene BOOSTER enhances photosynthetic efficiency and plant productivity. *Developmental Cell* 60. 10.1016/j.devcel.2024.11.002.

30. Wilson, R.H., Martin-Avila, E., Conlan, C., and Whitney, S.M. (2018). An improved *Escherichia coli* screen for Rubisco identifies a protein-protein interface that can enhance CO₂-fixation kinetics. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 293, 18-27. 10.1074/jbc.M117.810861.

31. Wilson, R.H., Alonso, H., and Whitney, S.M. (2016). Evolving *Methanococcoides burtonii* archaeal Rubisco for improved photosynthesis and plant growth. *Scientific Reports* 6, 22284. 10.1038/srep22284.

32. Mueller-Cajar, O., Morell, M., and Whitney, S.M. (2007). Directed Evolution of Rubisco in *Escherichia coli* Reveals a Specificity-Determining Hydrogen Bond in the Form II Enzyme. *Biochemistry* 46, 14067-14074. 10.1021/bi700820a.

33. Ben Nissan, R., Milshtein, E., Pahl, V., de Pins, B., Jona, G., Levi, D., Yung, H.D., Nir, N., Ezra, D., Gleizer, S., et al. (2024). Autotrophic growth of *Escherichia coli* is achieved by a small number of genetic changes. *eLife* 12, Rp88793. 10.7554/eLife.88793.

34. Prywes, N., Phillips, N.R., Oltrogge, L.M., Lindner, S., Taylor-Kearney, L.J., Tsai, Y.C.C., de Pins, B., Cowan, A.E., Chang, H.A., Wang, R.Z., et al. (2025). A map of the rubisco biochemical landscape. *Nature* 638. 10.1038/s41586-024-08455-0.

35. Aigner, H., Wilson, R.H., Bracher, A., Calisse, L., Bhat, J.Y., Hartl, F.U., and Hayer-Hartl, M. (2017). Plant RuBisCo assembly in *E. coli* with five chloroplast chaperones including BSD2. *Science* 358, 1272-+. 10.1126/science.aap9221.

36. Buck, S., Rhodes, T., Gionfriddo, M., Skinner, T., Yuan, D., Birch, R., Kapralov, M., and Whitney, S.M. (2023). *Escherichia coli* expressing chloroplast chaperones as a proxy to test heterologous Rubisco production in leaves. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 74, 664-676. 10.1093/jxb/erac435.

37. Archer, J., Kathpalia, M., Lee, B., Li, S.Y., and Wang, T.N. (2025). Effects of chaperone selectivity on the assembly of plant Rubisco orthologs in *E. coli*. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 76, 2809-2820. 10.1093/jxb/eraf140.

38. Durao, P., Aigner, H., Nagy, P., Mueller-Cajar, O., Hartl, F.U., and Hayer-Hartl, M. (2015). Opposing effects of folding and assembly chaperones on evolvability of Rubisco. *Nature Chemical Biology* 11, 148-U194. 10.1038/nchembio.1715.

39. Oh, Z.G., Robison, T.A., Loh, D.H., Ang, W.S.L., Ng, J.Z.Y., Li, F.W., and Gunn, L.H. (2024). Unique biogenesis and kinetics of hornwort Rubiscos revealed by synthetic biology systems. *Molecular Plant* 17, 1833-1849. 10.1016/j.molp.2024.10.013.

40. McDonald, J.L., Shapiro, N.P., Mengiste, A.A., Kaines, S., Whitney, S.M., Wilson, R.H., and Shoulders, M.D. (2025). *In vivo* directed evolution of an ultrafast Rubisco from a semiaerobic environment imparts oxygen resistance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 122 (e2505083122). 10.1073/pnas.2505083122.

41. Erb, T.J. (2011). Carboxylases in natural and synthetic microbial pathways. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 77, 8466-8477. 10.1128/aem.05702-11.

42. Schwander, T., von Borzyskowski, L.S., Burgener, S., Cortina, N.S., and Erb, T.J. (2016). A synthetic pathway for the fixation of carbon dioxide in vitro. *Science* 354, 900-904. 10.1126/science.aah5237.

43. Amaral, J., Lobo, A.K.M., and Carmo-Silva, E. (2024). Regulation of Rubisco activity in crops. *New Phytologist* 241, 35-51. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19369>.

44. Taylor, S.H., and Long, S.P. (2017). Slow induction of photosynthesis on shade to sun transitions in wheat may cost at least 21% of productivity. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 372, 20160543. doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0543.

45. Wang, Y., Chan, K.X., and Long, S.P. (2021). Towards a dynamic photosynthesis model to guide yield improvement in C4 crops. *Plant Journal* 107, 343-359. 10.1111/tpj.15365.

46. Wang, Y., Stutz, S.S., Bernacchi, C.J., Boyd, R.A., Ort, D.R., and Long, S.P. (2022). Increased bundle-sheath leakiness of CO₂ during photosynthetic induction shows a lack of coordination between the C4 and C3 cycles. *New Phytologist* 236, 1661-1675. 10.1111/nph.18485.

47. Long, S.P., Taylor, S.H., Burgess, S.J., Carmo-Silva, E., Lawson, T., De Souza, A.P., Leonelli, L., and Wang, Y. (2022). Into the Shadows and Back into Sunlight: Photosynthesis in Fluctuating Light. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 73, 617-648. 10.1146/annurev-arplant-070221-024745.

48. Carmo-Silva, E., Scales, J.C., Madgwick, P.J., and Parry, M.A.J. (2015). Optimizing Rubisco and its regulation for greater resource use efficiency. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 38, 1817-1832. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12425>.

49. Taylor, S.H., Gonzalez-Escobar, E., Page, R., Parry, M.A.J., Long, S.P., and Carmo-Silva, E. (2022). Faster than expected Rubisco deactivation in shade reduces cowpea photosynthetic potential in variable light conditions. *Nature Plants* 8, 118-124. 10.1038/s41477-021-01068-9.

50. Carmo-Silva, A.E., and Salvucci, M.E. (2011). The activity of Rubisco's molecular chaperone, Rubisco activase, in leaf extracts. *Photosynthesis Research* 108, 143-155. 10.1007/s11120-011-9667-8.

51. Parry, M.A.J., Andralojc, P.J., Scales, J.C., Salvucci, M.E., Carmo-Silva, A.E., Alonso, H., and Whitney, S.M. (2012). Rubisco activity and regulation as targets for crop improvement. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64, 717-730. 10.1093/jxb/ers336.

52. Wijewardene, I., Shen, G., and Zhang, H. (2021). Enhancing crop yield by using Rubisco activase to improve photosynthesis under elevated temperatures. *Stress Biology* 1, 2. 10.1007/s44154-021-00002-5.

53. Qu, Y., Mueller-Cajar, O., and Yamori, W. (2022). Improving plant heat tolerance through modification of Rubisco activase in C3 plants to secure crop yield and food security in a future warming world. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 74, 591-599. 10.1093/jxb/erac340.

54. Sparrow-Muñoz, I., Chen, T.C., and Burgess, S.J. (2023). Recent developments in the engineering of Rubisco activase for enhanced crop yield. *Biochemical Society Transactions* 51, 627-637. 10.1042/bst20221281.

55. Kurek, I., Chang, T.K., Bertain, S.M., Madrigal, A., Liu, L., Lassner, M.W., and Zhu, G. (2007). Enhanced Thermostability of *Arabidopsis* Rubisco Activase Improves Photosynthesis and Growth Rates under Moderate Heat Stress. *The Plant Cell* 19, 3230-3241. 10.1105/tpc.107.054171.

56. Kumar, A., Li, C., and Portis, A.R. (2009). *Arabidopsis thaliana* expressing a thermostable chimeric Rubisco activase exhibits enhanced growth and higher rates of photosynthesis at moderately high temperatures. *Photosynthesis Research* 100, 143-153. 10.1007/s11120-009-9438-y.

57. Suganami, M., Suzuki, Y., Tazoe, Y., Yamori, W., and Makino, A. (2020). Co-overproducing Rubisco and Rubisco activase enhances photosynthesis in the optimal temperature range in rice. *Plant Physiology* 185, 108-119. 10.1093/plphys/kiaa026.

58. Qu, Y., Sakoda, K., Fukayama, H., Kondo, E., Suzuki, Y., Makino, A., Terashima, I., and Yamori, W. (2021). Overexpression of both Rubisco and Rubisco activase rescues rice photosynthesis and biomass under heat stress. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 44, 2308-2320. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.14051>.

59. Carmo-Silva, A.E., and Salvucci, M.E. (2013). The Regulatory Properties of Rubisco Activase Differ among Species and Affect Photosynthetic Induction during Light Transitions. *Plant Physiology* 161, 1645-1655. 10.1104/pp.112.213348.

60. Scafaro, A.P., Gallé, A., Van Rie, J., Carmo-Silva, E., Salvucci, M.E., and Atwell, B.J. (2016). Heat tolerance in a wild *Oryza* species is attributed to maintenance of Rubisco activation by a thermally stable Rubisco activase ortholog. *New Phytologist* 211, 899-911. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13963>.

61. Scafaro, A.P., Bautsoens, N., den Boer, B., Van Rie, J., and Gallé, A. (2019). A Conserved Sequence from Heat-Adapted Species Improves Rubisco Activase Thermostability in Wheat1. *Plant Physiology* 181, 43-54. 10.1104/pp.19.00425.

62. Scafaro, A.P., De Vleesschauwer, D., Bautsoens, N., Hannah, M.A., den Boer, B., Gallé, A., and Van Rie, J. (2019). A single point mutation in the C-terminal extension of wheat Rubisco activase dramatically reduces ADP inhibition via enhanced ATP binding affinity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 294, 17931-17940. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.010684>.

63. Shrivhare, D., and Mueller-Cajar, O. (2017). In Vitro Characterization of Thermostable CAM Rubisco Activase Reveals a Rubisco Interacting Surface Loop. *Plant Physiology* 174, 1505-1516. 10.1104/pp.17.00554.

64. Perdomo, J.A., Degen, G.E., Worrall, D., and Carmo-Silva, E. (2019). Rubisco activation by wheat Rubisco activase isoform 2 β is insensitive to inhibition by ADP. *Biochemical Journal* 476, 2595-2606. 10.1042/bcj20190110.

65. Degen, G.E., Worrall, D., and Carmo-Silva, E. (2020). An isoleucine residue acts as a thermal and regulatory switch in wheat Rubisco activase. *The Plant Journal* 103, 742-751. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14766>.

66. Gjindali, A., Page, R., Ashton, C.J., Robertson, I., Page, M.T., Bloemers, D., Gould, P.D., Worrall, D., Orr, D.J., and Carmo-Silva, E. (2025). Two cowpea Rubisco activase isoforms for crop thermotolerance. *New Phytologist* 247, 1199-1217. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.70271>.

67. Ferruz, N., Schmidt, S., and Höcker, B. (2022). ProtGPT2 is a deep unsupervised language model for protein design. *Nature Communications* 13, 4348. 10.1038/s41467-022-32007-7.

68. Ferruz, N., Heinzinger, M., Akdel, M., Gonçarenc, A., Naef, L., and Dallago, C. (2023). From sequence to function through structure: Deep learning for protein design. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal* 21, 238-250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2022.11.014>.

69. Kouba, P., Kohout, P., Haddadi, F., Bushuiev, A., Samusevich, R., Sedlar, J., Damborsky, J., Pluskal, T., Sivic, J., and Mazurenko, S. (2023). Machine Learning-Guided Protein Engineering. *Acs Catalysis* 13, 13863-13895. 10.1021/acscatal.3c02743.

70. Watson, J.L., Juergens, D., Bennett, N.R., Trippe, B.L., Yim, J., Eisenach, H.E., Ahern, W., Borst, A.J., Ragotte, R.J., Milles, L.F., et al. (2023). De novo design of protein structure and function with RFdiffusion. *Nature* 620, 1089-1100. 10.1038/s41586-023-06415-8.

71. Paggi, J.M., Pandit, A., and Dror, R.O. (2024). The Art and Science of Molecular Docking. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* 93, 389-410. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-030222-120000>.

72. Yehorova, D., Di Geronimo, B., Robinson, M., Kasson, P.M., and Kamerlin, S.C.L. (2024). Using residue interaction networks to understand protein function and evolution and to engineer new proteins. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* 89, 102922. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2024.102922>.

73. del Alamo, D., Sala, D., McHaourab, H.S., and Meiler, J. (2022). Sampling alternative conformational states of transporters and receptors with AlphaFold2. *eLife* 11, e75751. 10.7554/eLife.75751.

74. del Alamo, D., DeSousa, L., Nair, R.M., Rahman, S., Meiler, J., and McHaourab, H.S. (2022). Integrated AlphaFold2 and DEER investigation of the conformational dynamics of a pH-dependent APC antiporter. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 119, e2206129119. 10.1073/pnas.2206129119.

75. Kleiman, D.E., and Shukla, D. (2025). BPS2025 - Sequence-based prediction of protein dynamic contact maps through fine-tuned structure prediction models. *Biophysical Journal* 124, 541a. 10.1016/j.bpj.2024.11.2828.

76. McLure, R.J., Radford, S.E., and Brockwell, D.J. (2022). High-throughput directed evolution: a golden era for protein science. *Trends in Chemistry* 4, 378-391. 10.1016/j.trechm.2022.02.004.

77. Bhat, J.Y., Miličić, G., Thieulin-Pardo, G., Bracher, A., Maxwell, A., Ciniawsky, S., Mueller-Cajar, O., Engen, J.R., Hartl, F.U., Wendler, P., and Hayer-Hartl, M. (2017). Mechanism of Enzyme Repair by the AAA+ Chaperone Rubisco Activase. *Molecular Cell* 67, 744-756.e746. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2017.07.004>.

78. Bhat, J.Y., Thieulin-Pardo, G., Hartl, F.U., and Hayer-Hartl, M. (2017). Rubisco Activases: AAA plus Chaperones Adapted to Enzyme Repair. *Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences* 4, 20. 10.3389/fmolb.2017.00020.

79. Mueller-Cajar, O. (2017). The Diverse AAA plus Machines that Repair Inhibited Rubisco Active Sites. *Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences* 4, 31. 10.3389/fmolb.2017.00031.

80. Flecken, M., Wang, H., Popilka, L., Hartl, F.U., Bracher, A., and Hayer-Hartl, M. (2020). Dual Functions of a Rubisco Activase in Metabolic Repair and Recruitment to Carboxysomes. *Cell* 183, 457-473.e420. 10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.010.

81. Waheeda, K., Kitchel, H., Wang, Q., and Chiu, P.L. (2023). Molecular mechanism of Rubisco activase: Dynamic assembly and Rubisco remodeling. *Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences* 10, 1125922. 10.3389/fmolb.2023.1125922.

82. Dilks, C., LaVine, R., Buchanon, C., Russo, D., and Carmo-Silva, A.E. (2025). Synthetic Manihot esculenta Rubisco activase proteins with increased thermotolerance identified via machine learning. *microPublication Biology* 10.17912/micropub.biology.001773.

83. Long, S.P., Ainsworth, E.A., Rogers, A., and Ort, D.R. (2004). Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide: Plants face the future. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 55, 591-628. 10.1146/annurev.arplant.55.031903.141610.

84. Vijayakumar, S., Wang, Y., Lehretz, G., Taylor, S., Carmo-Silva, E., and Long, S. (2024). Kinetic modeling identifies targets for engineering improved photosynthetic efficiency in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* cv. Solara). *The Plant Journal* 117, 561-572. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.16512>.

85. Zhu, X.G., de Sturler, E., and Long, S.P. (2007). Optimizing the distribution of resources between enzymes of carbon metabolism can dramatically increase photosynthetic rate: A numerical simulation using an evolutionary algorithm. *Plant Physiology* 145, 513-526. 10.1104/pp.107.103713.

86. Köhler, I.H., Ruiz-Vera, U.M., VanLoocke, A., Thomey, M.L., Clemente, T., Long, S.P., Ort, D.R., and Bernacchi, C.J. (2017). Expression of cyanobacterial FBP/SBPase in soybean prevents yield depression under future climate conditions. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 68, 715-726. 10.1093/jxb/erw435.

87. Rosenthal, D.M., Locke, A.M., Khozaei, M., Raines, C.A., Long, S.P., and Ort, D.R. (2011). Over-expressing the C3 photosynthesis cycle enzyme Sedoheptulose-1-7 Bisphosphatase improves photosynthetic carbon gain and yield under fully open air CO₂ fumigation (FACE). *Bmc Plant Biology* 11, 123. 10.1186/1471-2229-11-123.

88. Chida, H., Nakazawa, A., Akazaki, H., Hirano, T., Suruga, K., Ogawa, M., Satoh, T., Kadokura, K., Yamada, S., Hakamata, W., et al. (2007). Expression of the algal

cytochrome c6 gene in *Arabidopsis* enhances photosynthesis and growth. *Plant Cell Physiol* 48, 948-957. 10.1093/pcp/pcm064.

89. López-Calcagno, P.E., Brown, K.L., Simkin, A.J., Fisk, S.J., Viale-Chabrand, S., Lawson, T., and Raines, C.A. (2020). Stimulating photosynthetic processes increases productivity and water-use efficiency in the field. *Nature Plants* 6, 1054-1063. 10.1038/s41477-020-0740-1.

90. Fragedakis, E., Yelina, N.E., Billakurthi, K., Hua, L., Schreier, T., Dickinson, P.J., Tomaselli, M., Haseloff, J., and Hibberd, J.M. (2024). MYB-related transcription factors control chloroplast biogenesis. *Cell* 187. 10.1016/j.cell.2024.06.039.

91. Perveen, S., Qu, M.N., Chen, F.M., Essemene, J., Khan, N., Lyu, M.J.A., Chang, T.G., Song, Q.F., Chen, G.Y., and Zhu, X.G. (2020). Overexpression of maize transcription factor mEmBP-1 increases photosynthesis, biomass, and yield in rice. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 71, 4944-4957. 10.1093/jxb/eraa248.

92. South, P.F., Cavanagh, A.P., Liu, H.W., and Ort, D.R. (2019). Synthetic glycolate metabolism pathways stimulate crop growth and productivity in the field. *Science* 363, 45-+. eaat9077. 10.1126/science.aat9077.

93. Walker, B.J., VanLoocke, A., Bernacchi, C.J., and Ort, D.R. (2016). The Costs of Photorespiration to Food Production Now and in the Future. In *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, Vol 67, S.S. Merchant, ed. pp. 107-+. 10.1146/annurev-arplant-043015-111709.

94. Bernacchi, C.J., Long, S.P., and Ort, D.R. (2025). Safeguarding crop photosynthesis in a rapidly warming world. *Science* 388, 1153-1160. 10.1126/science.adv5413.

95. Kebeish, R., Niessen, M., Thiruveedhi, K., Bari, R., Hirsch, H.J., Rosenkranz, R., Stäbler, N., Schönfeld, B., Kreuzaler, F., and Peterhänsel, C. (2007). Chloroplastic photorespiratory bypass increases photosynthesis and biomass production in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature Biotechnology* 25, 593-599. 10.1038/nbt1299.

96. Maier, A., Fahnenschich, H., von Caemmerer, S., Engqvist, M.K.M., Weber, A.P.M., Flügge, U.I., and Maurino, V.G. (2012). Transgenic introduction of a glycolate oxidative cycle into *A-thaliana* chloroplasts leads to growth improvement. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 3, 38. 10.3389/fpls.2012.00038.

97. Fernie, A.R., and Bauwe, H. (2020). Wasteful, essential, evolutionary stepping stone? The multiple personalities of the photorespiratory pathway. *Plant Journal* 102, 666-677. 10.1111/tpj.14669.

98. Xin, C.P., Tholen, D., Devloo, V., and Zhu, X.G. (2015). The Benefits of Photorespiratory Bypasses: How Can They Work? *Plant Physiology* 167, 574-585. 10.1104/pp.114.248013.

99. Carvalho, J.D.C., Madgwick, P.J., Powers, S.J., Keys, A.J., Lea, P.J., and Parry, M.A.J. (2011). An engineered pathway for glyoxylate metabolism in tobacco plants aimed to avoid the release of ammonia in photorespiration. *BMC Biotechnol* 11, 111. 10.1186/1472-6750-11-111.

100. Shen, B.R., Wang, L.M., Lin, X.L., Yao, Z., Xu, H.W., Zhu, C.H., Teng, H.Y., Cui, L.L., Liu, E.E., Zhang, J.J., et al. (2019). Engineering a New Chloroplastic Photorespiratory Bypass to Increase Photosynthetic Efficiency and Productivity in Rice. *Molecular Plant* 12, 199-214. 10.1016/j.molp.2018.11.013.

101. Dalal, J., Lopez, H., Vasani, N.B., Hu, Z.H., Swift, J.E., Yalamanchili, R., Dvora, M., Lin, X.L., Xie, D.Y., Qu, R.D., and Sederoff, H.W. (2015). A photorespiratory bypass increases plant growth and seed yield in biofuel crop *Camelina sativa*. *Biotechnology for Biofuels* 8, 175. 10.1186/s13068-015-0357-1.
102. Chen, G.X., Li, Y.N., Jin, K.N., Gao, J.B., Wu, S.T., Cui, X.A., Mao, C.Z., Yin, X.Y., Lu, T.G., and Zhang, Z.G. (2025). Synthetic photorespiratory bypass improves rice productivity by enhancing photosynthesis and nitrogen uptake. *Plant Cell* 37, koaf015. 10.1093/plcell/koaf015.
103. Chen, X., Li, G., He, H., Xie, W., Cui, L., Zhang, Z., Peng, X., and Zhu, G. (2025). A synthetic glycolate metabolism bypass in rice chloroplasts increases photosynthesis and yield. *The Crop Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2025.03.001>.
104. Chen, Z.F., Kang, X.P., Nie, H.M., Zheng, S.W., Zhang, T.L., Zhou, D., Xing, G.M., and Sun, S. (2019). Introduction of Exogenous Glycolate Catabolic Pathway Can Strongly Enhances Photosynthesis and Biomass Yield of Cucumber Grown in a Low-CO₂ Environment. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 10, 702. 10.3389/fpls.2019.00702.
105. Lin, X.L., Long, Y.M., Yao, Z., Shen, B.R., Lin, M., Zhong, X.F., Chen, X.H., Li, X.Y., Zhu, G.H., Zhang, Z.S., and Peng, X.X. (2025). Synthetic photorespiratory bypass more stably increases potato yield per plant by improving photosynthesis. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 23, 2526-2536. 10.1111/pbi.70076.
106. Roell, M.S., von Borzyskowski, L.S., Westhoff, P., Plett, A., Paczia, N., Claus, P., Schlueter, U., Erb, T.J., and Weber, A.P.M. (2021). A synthetic C4 shuttle via the β -hydroxyaspartate cycle in C3 plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 118, e2022307118. 10.1073/pnas.2022307118.
107. Wang, L.M., Shen, B.R., Li, B.D., Zhang, C.L., Lin, M., Tong, P.P., Cui, L.L., Zhang, Z.S., and Peng, X.X. (2020). A Synthetic Photorespiratory Shortcut Enhances Photosynthesis to Boost Biomass and Grain Yield in Rice. *Molecular Plant* 13, 1802-1815. 10.1016/j.molp.2020.10.007.
108. Xu, H.W., Wang, H.H., Zhang, Y.W., Yang, X.Y., Lv, S.F., Hou, D.Y., Mo, C.R., Wassie, M., Yu, B., and Hu, T. (2023). A synthetic light-inducible photorespiratory bypass enhances photosynthesis to improve rice growth and grain yield. *Plant Communications* 4, 100641. 10.1016/j.xplc.2023.100641.
109. Smith, E.N., van Aalst, M., Weber, A.P.M., Ebenhöh, O., and Heinemann, M. (2025). Alternatives to photorespiration: A system-level analysis reveals mechanisms of enhanced plant productivity. *Science Advances* 11, eadt9287. 10.1126/sciadv.adt9287.
110. Cavanagh, A.P., South, P.F., Bernacchi, C.J., and Ort, D.R. (2022). Alternative pathway to photorespiration protects growth and productivity at elevated temperatures in a model crop. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 20, 711-721. 10.1111/pbi.13750.
111. Meacham-Hensold, K., Cavanagh, A.P., Sorensen, P., South, P.F., Fowler, J., Boyd, R., Jeong, J., Burgess, S., Stutz, S., Dilger, R.N., et al. (2024). Shortcutting

Photorespiration Protects Potato Photosynthesis and Tuber Yield Against Heatwave Stress. *Global Change Biology* 30, e17595. 10.1111/gcb.17595.

112. Cavanagh, A.P., and Ort, D.R. (2023). Transgenic strategies to improve the thermotolerance of photosynthesis. *Photosynthesis Research* 158, 109-120. 10.1007/s11120-023-01024-y.
113. Trudeau, D.L., Edlich-Muth, C., Zarzycki, J., Scheffen, M., Goldsmith, M., Khersonsky, O., Avizemer, Z., Fleishman, S.J., Cotton, C.A.R., Erb, T.J., et al. (2018). Design and in vitro realization of carbon-conserving photorespiration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 115, E11455-E11464. 10.1073/pnas.1812605115.
114. Lundgren, M.R., and Fleming, A.J. (2020). Cellular perspectives for improving mesophyll conductance. *Plant Journal* 101, 845-857. 10.1111/tpj.14656.
115. Pelech, E.A., Stutz, S.S., Wang, Y., Lochocki, E.B., and Long, S.P. (2025). Have We Selected for Higher Mesophyll Conductance in Domesticating Soybean? *Plant Cell Environ* 48, 1594-1607. 10.1111/pce.15206.
116. Flexas, J., Díaz-Espejo, A., Conesa, M.A., Coopman, R.E., Douthe, C., Gago, J., Gallé, A., Galmés, J., Medrano, H., Ribas-Carbo, M., et al. (2016). Mesophyll conductance to CO₂ and Rubisco as targets for improving intrinsic water use efficiency in C₃ plants. *Plant Cell and Environment* 39, 965-982. 10.1111/pce.12622.
117. Leverett, A., and Kromdijk, J. (2024). The long and tortuous path towards improving photosynthesis by engineering elevated mesophyll conductance. *Plant Cell and Environment* 47, 3411-3427. 10.1111/pce.14940.
118. Salesse-Smith, C.E., Lochocki, E.B., Doran, L., Haas, B.E., Stutz, S.S., and Long, S.P. (2024). Greater mesophyll conductance and leaf photosynthesis in the field through modified cell wall porosity and thickness via AtCGR3 expression in tobacco. *Plant Biotechnol J* 22, 2504-2517. 10.1111/pbi.14364.
119. Moreno-Villena, J.J., Zhou, H., Gilman, I.S., Tausta, S.L., Cheung, C.Y.M., and Edwards, E.J. (2022). Spatial resolution of an integrated C(4)+CAM photosynthetic metabolism. *Sci Adv* 8, eabn2349. 10.1126/sciadv.abn2349.
120. Wang, Y., Smith, J.A.C., Zhu, X.G., and Long, S.P. (2023). Rethinking the potential productivity of crassulacean acid metabolism by integrating metabolic dynamics with shoot architecture, using the example of *Agave tequilana*. *New Phytologist* 239, 2180-2196. 10.1111/nph.19128.
121. Yuan, G., Hassan, M.D.M., Liu, D., Lim, S.D., Yim, W.C., Cushman, J.C., Markel, K., Shih, P.M., Lu, H., and Yang, Z. (2020). Biosystems Design to Accelerate C₃-to-CAM Progression. *BioDesign Research* 2020, 3686791. 10.34133/2020/3686791.
122. Yang, X., Liu, Y., Yuan, G., Weston, D.J., and Tuskan, G.A. (2024). Engineering Crassulacean Acid Metabolism in C₃ and C₄ Plants. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 16. 10.1101/cshperspect.a041674.
123. Lundgren, M.R. (2020). C(2) photosynthesis: a promising route towards crop improvement? *New Phytol* 228, 1734-1740. 10.1111/nph.16494.
124. Wang, P., Khoshravesh, R., Karki, S., Tapia, R., Balahadia, C.P., Bandyopadhyay, A., Quick, W.P., Furbank, R., Sage, T.L., and Langdale, J.A. (2017). Re-creation of a Key

Step in the Evolutionary Switch from C(3) to C(4) Leaf Anatomy. *Curr Biol* 27, 3278-3287.e3276. 10.1016/j.cub.2017.09.040.

125. Adwy, W., Laxa, M., and Peterhansel, C. (2015). A simple mechanism for the establishment of C2-specific gene expression in Brassicaceae. *Plant Journal* 84, 1231-1238. 10.1111/tpj.13084.

126. Adwy, W., Schlüter, U., Papenbrock, J., Peterhansel, C., and Offermann, S. (2019). Loss of the M-box from the glycine decarboxylase P-subunit promoter in C2 *Moricandia* species. *Plant Gene* 18, 100176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plgene.2019.100176>.

127. Dickinson, P.J., Triesch, S., Schlüter, U., Weber, A.P.M., and Hibberd, J.M. (2025). A transcription factor module mediating C2 photosynthesis in the Brassicaceae. *Embo Reports* 26, 3024-3031. 10.1038/s44319-025-00461-1.

128. Bellasio, C., and Farquhar, G.D. (2019). A leaf-level biochemical model simulating the introduction of C2 and C4 photosynthesis in C3 rice: gains, losses and metabolite fluxes. *New Phytologist* 223, 150-166. 10.1111/nph.15787.

129. Hibberd, J.M., Sheehy, J.E., and Langdale, J.A. (2008). Using C4 photosynthesis to increase the yield of rice-rationale and feasibility. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 11, 228-231. 10.1016/j.pbi.2007.11.002.

130. Schuler, M.L., Mantegazza, O., and Weber, A.P. (2016). Engineering C4 photosynthesis into C3 chassis in the synthetic biology age. *Plant J* 87, 51-65. 10.1111/tpj.13155.

131. Lin, H., Arrivault, S., Coe, R.A., Karki, S., Covshoff, S., Bagunu, E., Lunn, J.E., Stitt, M., Furbank, R.T., Hibberd, J.M., and Quick, W.P. (2020). A Partial C(4) Photosynthetic Biochemical Pathway in Rice. *Front Plant Sci* 11, 564463. 10.3389/fpls.2020.564463.

132. Ermakova, M., Arrivault, S., Giuliani, R., Danila, F., Alonso-Cantabrina, H., Vlad, D., Ishihara, H., Feil, R., Guenther, M., Borghi, G.L., et al. (2021). Installation of C(4) photosynthetic pathway enzymes in rice using a single construct. *Plant Biotechnol J* 19, 575-588. 10.1111/pbi.13487.

133. Swift, J., Luginbuehl, L.H., Hua, L., Schreier, T.B., Donald, R.M., Stanley, S., Wang, N., Lee, T.A., Nery, J.R., Ecker, J.R., and Hibberd, J.M. (2024). Exaptation of ancestral cell-identity networks enables C(4) photosynthesis. *Nature* 636, 143-150. 10.1038/s41586-024-08204-3.

134. Ponce-Toledo, R.I., Deschamps, P., López-García, P., Zivanovic, Y., Benzerara, K., and Moreira, D. (2017). An Early-Branching Freshwater Cyanobacterium at the Origin of Plastids. *Current Biology* 27, 386-391. 10.1016/j.cub.2016.11.056.

135. Nguyen, N.D., Pulsford, S.B., Förster, B., Rottet, S., Rourke, L., Long, B.M., and Price, G.D. (2024). A carboxysome-based CO₂ concentrating mechanism for C3 crop chloroplasts: advances and the road ahead. *Plant Journal* 118, 940-952. 10.1111/tpj.16667.

136. Sun, Y.Q., Harman, V.M., Johnson, J.R., Brownridge, P.J., Chen, T.Y., Dykes, G.F., Lin, Y.J., Beynon, R.J., and Liu, L.N. (2022). Decoding the Absolute Stoichiometric Composition and Structural Plasticity of α -Carboxysomes. *Mbio* 13. 10.1128/mbio.03629-21.

137. Lin, M.T., Occhialini, A., Andralojc, P.J., Parry, M.A., and Hanson, M.R. (2014). A faster Rubisco with potential to increase photosynthesis in crops. *Nature* 513, 547-550. 10.1038/nature13776.

138. Lin, M.T., Occhialini, A., Andralojc, P.J., Devonshire, J., Hines, K.M., Parry, M.A.J., and Hanson, M.R. (2014). β -Carboxysomal proteins assemble into highly organized structures in *Nicotiana* chloroplasts. *Plant Journal* 79, 1-12. 10.1111/tpj.12536.

139. Narra, M., Nakazato, I., Polley, B., Arimura, S.I., Woronuk, G.N., and Bhowmik, P.K. (2025). Recent trends and advances in chloroplast engineering and transformation methods. *Front Plant Sci* 16, 1526578. 10.3389/fpls.2025.1526578.

140. McGrath, J.M., and Long, S.P. (2014). Can the Cyanobacterial Carbon-Concentrating Mechanism Increase Photosynthesis in Crop Species? A Theoretical Analysis. *Plant Physiology* 164, 2247-2261. 10.1104/pp.113.232611.

141. Rottet, S., Rourke, L.M., Pabuayon, I.C.M., Phua, S.Y., Yee, S., Weerasooriya, H.N., Wang, X., Mehra, H.S., Nguyen, N.D., Long, B.M., et al. (2024). Engineering the cyanobacterial ATP-driven BCT1 bicarbonate transporter for functional targeting to C3 plant chloroplasts. *J Exp Bot* 75, 4926-4943. 10.1093/jxb/erae234.

142. Rottet, S., Förster, B., Hee, W.Y., Rourke, L.M., Price, G.D., and Long, B.M. (2021). Engineered Accumulation of Bicarbonate in Plant Chloroplasts: Known Knowns and Known Unknowns. *Front Plant Sci* 12, 727118. 10.3389/fpls.2021.727118.

143. Uehara, S., Adachi, F., Ito-Inaba, Y., and Inaba, T. (2016). Specific and Efficient Targeting of Cyanobacterial Bicarbonate Transporters to the Inner Envelope Membrane of Chloroplasts in *Arabidopsis*. *Front Plant Sci* 7, 16. 10.3389/fpls.2016.00016.

144. Uehara, S., Sei, A., Sada, M., Ito-Inaba, Y., and Inaba, T. (2020). Installation of authentic BicA and SbtA proteins to the chloroplast envelope membrane is achieved by the proteolytic cleavage of chimeric proteins in *Arabidopsis*. *Sci Rep* 10, 2353. 10.1038/s41598-020-59190-1.

145. Pengelly, J.J., Förster, B., von Caemmerer, S., Badger, M.R., Price, G.D., and Whitney, S.M. (2014). Transplastomic integration of a cyanobacterial bicarbonate transporter into tobacco chloroplasts. *J Exp Bot* 65, 3071-3080. 10.1093/jxb/eru156.

146. Rolland, V., Badger, M.R., and Price, G.D. (2016). Redirecting the Cyanobacterial Bicarbonate Transporters BicA and SbtA to the Chloroplast Envelope: Soluble and Membrane Cargos Need Different Chloroplast Targeting Signals in Plants. *Front Plant Sci* 7, 185. 10.3389/fpls.2016.00185.

147. Atkinson, N., Feike, D., Mackinder, L.C.M., Meyer, M.T., Griffiths, H., Jonikas, M.C., Smith, A.M., and McCormick, A.J. (2016). Introducing an algal carbon-concentrating mechanism into higher plants: location and incorporation of key components. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 14, 1302-1315. 10.1111/pbi.12497.

148. Nölke, G., Barsoum, M., Houdelet, M., Arcalís, E., Kreuzaler, F., Fischer, R., and Schillberg, S. (2019). The Integration of Algal Carbon Concentration Mechanism Components into Tobacco Chloroplasts Increases Photosynthetic Efficiency and Biomass. *Biotechnol J* 14, e1800170. 10.1002/biot.201800170.

149. Weerasooriya, H.N., DiMario, R.J., Rosati, V.C., Rai, A.K., LaPlace, L.M., Filloon, V.D., Longstreth, D.J., and Moroney, J.V. (2022). *Arabidopsis* plastid carbonic anhydrase

β CA5 is important for normal plant growth. *Plant Physiol* 190, 2173-2186. 10.1093/plphys/kiac451.

- 150. Hines, K.M., Chaudhari, V., Edgeworth, K.N., Owens, T.G., and Hanson, M.R. (2021). Absence of carbonic anhydrase in chloroplasts affects C3 plant development but not photosynthesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 118, e2107425118. 10.1073/pnas.2107425118.
- 151. Nguyen, N.D., Rourke, L.M., Cleaver, A., Brock, J., Long, B.M., and Price, D.G. (2025). Understanding carboxysomes to enhance carbon fixation in crops. *Biochem Soc Trans* 53, 671-685. 10.1042/bst20253072.
- 152. Barrett, J., Girr, P., and Mackinder, L.C.M. (2021). Pyrenoids: CO₂-fixing phase separated liquid organelles. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Molecular Cell Research* 1868, 118949. 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2021.118949.
- 153. Catherall, E., Musial, S., Atkinson, N., Walker, C.E., Mackinder, L.C.M., and McCormick, A.J. (2025). From algae to plants: understanding pyrenoid-based CO₂-concentrating mechanisms. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* 50, 33-45. 10.1016/j.tibs.2024.10.010.
- 154. He, S., Crans, V.L., and Jonikas, M.C. (2023). The pyrenoid: the eukaryotic CO₂-concentrating organelle. *Plant Cell* 35, 3236-3259. 10.1093/plcell/koad157.
- 155. Furbank, R., Kelly, S., and von Caemmerer, S. (2023). Photosynthesis and food security: the evolving story of C(4) rice. *Photosynth Res* 158, 121-130. 10.1007/s11120-023-01014-0.
- 156. An, Y.Q., Wang, Y., Wang, X.W., and Xiao, J.W. (2022). Development of chloroplast transformation and gene expression regulation technology in land plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 13, 1037038. 10.3389/fpls.2022.1037038.
- 157. Lau, C.S., Dowle, A., Thomas, G.H., Girr, P., and Mackinder, L.C.M. (2023). A phase-separated CO₂-fixing pyrenoid proteome determined by TurbOLD in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant Cell* 35, 3260-3279. 10.1093/plcell/koad131.
- 158. Mackinder, L.C.M., Chen, C., Leib, R.D., Patena, W., Blum, S.R., Rodman, M., Ramundo, S., Adams, C.M., and Jonikas, M.C. (2017). A Spatial Interactome Reveals the Protein Organization of the Algal CO(2)-Concentrating Mechanism. *Cell* 171, 133-147.e114. 10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.044.
- 159. Zhan, Y., Marchand, C.H., Maes, A., Mauries, A., Sun, Y., Dhaliwal, J.S., Uniacke, J., Arragain, S., Jiang, H., Gold, N.D., et al. (2018). Pyrenoid functions revealed by proteomics in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *PLoS One* 13, e0185039. 10.1371/journal.pone.0185039.
- 160. Freeman Rosenzweig, E.S., Xu, B., Kuhn Cuellar, L., Martinez-Sanchez, A., Schaffer, M., Strauss, M., Cartwright, H.N., Ronceray, P., Plitzko, J.M., Förster, F., et al. (2017). The Eukaryotic CO(2)-Concentrating Organelle Is Liquid-like and Exhibits Dynamic Reorganization. *Cell* 171, 148-162.e119. 10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.008.
- 161. Mackinder, L.C.M., Meyer, M.T., Mettler-Altmann, T., Chen, V.K., Mitchell, M.C., Caspari, O., Rosenzweig, E.S.F., Pallesen, L., Reeves, G., Itakura, A., et al. (2016). A repeat protein links Rubisco to form the eukaryotic carbon-concentrating organelle. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 113, 5958-5963. 10.1073/pnas.1522866113.

162. Wunder, T., Cheng, S.L.H., Lai, S.K., Li, H.Y., and Mueller-Cajar, O. (2018). The phase separation underlying the pyrenoid-based microalgal Rubisco supercharger. *Nat Commun* 9, 5076. 10.1038/s41467-018-07624-w.
163. Atkinson, N., Mao, Y.W., Chan, K.X., and McCormick, A.J. (2020). Condensation of Rubisco into a proto-pyrenoid in higher plant chloroplasts. *Nature Communications* 11, 6303. 10.1038/s41467-020-20132-0.
164. Hennacy, J.H., Atkinson, N., Kayser-Browne, A., Ergun, S.L., Franklin, E., Wang, L., Eicke, S., Kazachkova, Y., Kafri, M., Fauser, F., et al. (2024). SAGA1 and MITH1 produce matrix-traversing membranes in the CO₂-fixing pyrenoid. *Nature Plants* 10, 2038-2051. 10.1038/s41477-024-01847-0.
165. Mukherjee, A., Lau, C.S., Walker, C.E., Rai, A.K., Prejean, C.I., Yates, G., Emrich-Mills, T., Lemoine, S.G., Vinyard, D.J., Mackinder, L.C.M., and Moroney, J.V. (2019). Thylakoid localized bestrophin-like proteins are essential for the CO₂ concentrating mechanism of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 116, 16915-16920. 10.1073/pnas.1909706116.
166. Atkinson, N., Stringer, R., Mitchell, S.R., Seung, D., and McCormick, A.J. (2024). SAGA1 and SAGA2 promote starch formation around proto-pyrenoids in *Arabidopsis* chloroplasts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 121, e2311013121. 10.1073/pnas.2311013121.
167. Barrett, J., Naduthodi, M.I.S., Mao, Y.W., Dégut, C., Musial, S., Salter, A., Leake, M.C., Plevin, M.J., McCormick, A.J., Blaza, J.N., and Mackinder, L.C.M. (2024). A promiscuous mechanism to phase separate eukaryotic carbon fixation in the green lineage. *Nature Plants* 10. 10.1038/s41477-024-01812-x.
168. Robison, T.A., Oh, Z.G., Lafferty, D., Xu, X., Villarreal, J.C.A., Gunn, L.H., and Li, F.W. (2025). Hornworts reveal a spatial model for pyrenoid-based CO(2)-concentrating mechanisms in land plants. *Nat Plants* 11, 63-73. 10.1038/s41477-024-01871-0.
169. Shimakawa, G., Demulder, M., Flori, S., Kawamoto, A., Tsuji, Y., Nawaly, H., Tanaka, A., Tohda, R., Ota, T., Matsui, H., et al. (2024). Diatom pyrenoids are encased in a protein shell that enables efficient CO(2) fixation. *Cell* 187, 5919-5934.e5919. 10.1016/j.cell.2024.09.013.
170. Nam, O., Musiał, S., Demulder, M., McKenzie, C., Dowle, A., Dowson, M., Barrett, J., Blaza, J.N., Engel, B.D., and Mackinder, L.C.M. (2024). A protein blueprint of the diatom CO(2)-fixing organelle. *Cell* 187, 5935-5950.e5918. 10.1016/j.cell.2024.09.025.
171. Slattery, R.A., and Ort, D.R. (2021). Perspectives on improving light distribution and light use efficiency in crop canopies. *Plant Physiology* 185, 34-48. 10.1093/plphys/kiaa006.
172. Wang, Y., Oliver, T.J., Croce, R., and Long, S.P. (2025). Addition of longer wavelength absorbing chlorophylls into crops could increase their photosynthetic productivity by 26%. *Nature Communications* accepted.
173. Moreau, D., Allard, V., Gaju, O., Le Gouis, J., Foulkes, M.J., and Martre, P. (2012). Acclimation of Leaf Nitrogen to Vertical Light Gradient at Anthesis in Wheat Is a

Whole-Plant Process That Scales with the Size of the Canopy. *Plant Physiology* 160, 1479-1490. 10.1104/pp.112.199935.

174. Nobel, P.S., Forseth, I.N., and Long, S.P. (1993). Canopy structure and light interception. In *Photosynthesis and Production in a Changing Environment: A Field and Laboratory Manual*, D.O. Hall, J.M.O. Scurlock, H.R. Bolhar-Nordenkampf, R.C. Leegood, and S.P. Long, eds. (Springer), pp. 79-90.

175. Murchie, E.H., and Burgess, A.J. (2022). Casting light on the architecture of crop yield. *Crop and Environment* 1, 74-85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crope.2022.03.009>.

176. Wang, Y.H., and Li, J.Y. (2008). Molecular basis of plant architecture. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 59, 253-279. 10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092902.

177. Zhao, B., Wu, T.T., Ma, S.S., Jiang, D.J., Bie, X.M., Sui, N., Zhang, X.S., and Wang, F. (2020). TaD27-B gene controls the tiller number in hexaploid wheat. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 18, 513-525. 10.1111/pbi.13220.

178. Zhang, Y.L., Dong, G.J., Wu, L.M., Wang, X.W., Chen, F., Xiong, E.H., Xiong, G.S., Zhou, Y.H., Kong, Z.S., Fu, Y., et al. (2023). Formin protein DRT1 affects gross morphology and chloroplast relocation in rice. *Plant Physiology* 191, 280-298. 10.1093/plphys/kiac427.

179. Cao, Y.Y., Zhong, Z.J., Wang, H.Y., and Shen, R.X. (2022). Leaf angle: a target of genetic improvement in cereal crops tailored for high-density planting. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 20, 426-436. 10.1111/pbi.13780.

180. Mantilla-Perez, M.B., and Fernandez, M.G.S. (2017). Differential manipulation of leaf angle throughout the canopy: current status and prospects. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 68, 5699-5717. 10.1093/jxb/erx378.

181. Peng, S.B., Khush, G.S., Virk, P., Tang, Q.Y., and Zou, Y.B. (2008). Progress in ideotype breeding to increase rice yield potential. *Field Crops Research* 108, 32-38. 10.1016/j.fcr.2008.04.001.

182. Richards, R.A., Cavanagh, C.R., and Riffkin, P. (2019). Selection for erect canopy architecture can increase yield and biomass of spring wheat. *Field Crops Research* 244, 107649. 10.1016/j.fcr.2019.107649.

183. Pendleton, J.W., Smith, G.E., Winter, S.R., and Johnston, T.J. (1968). Field investigations of relationships of leaf angle in corn (*Zea mays* L.) to grain yield and apparent photosynthesis. *Agronomy Journal* 60, 422-+. 10.2134/agronj1968.00021962006000040027x.

184. Tian, J., Wang, C.L., Chen, F.Y., Qin, W.C., Yang, H., Zhao, S.H., Xia, J.L., Du, X., Zhu, Y.F., Wu, L.S., et al. (2024). Maize smart-canopy architecture enhances yield at high densities. *Nature* 632, 576-+. 10.1038/s41586-024-07669-6.

185. Jaikumar, N.S., Stutz, S.S., Fernandes, S.B., Leakey, A.D.B., Bernacchi, C.J., Brown, P.J., and Long, S.P. (2021). Can improved canopy light transmission ameliorate loss of photosynthetic efficiency in the shade? An investigation of natural variation in *Sorghum bicolor*. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 72, 4965-4980. 10.1093/jxb/erab176.

186. Jaikumar, N., Quach, T., Ge, Z.X., Nersesian, N., Sato, S.J., McCoy, S.M., Guo, M., Leakey, A.D.B., Long, S.P., and Clemente, T.E. (2025). Constitutive down-regulation

of liguleless alleles in sorghum drives increased productivity and water use efficiency. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*. 10.1111/pbi.70150.

187. Li, R.F., Zhang, G.Q., Liu, G.Z., Wang, K.R., Xie, R.Z., Hou, P., Ming, B., Wang, Z.G., and Li, S.K. (2021). Improving the yield potential in maize by constructing the ideal plant type and optimizing the maize canopy structure. *Food and Energy Security* 10, e312. 10.1002/fes3.312.

188. Digrado, A., Mitchell, N.G., Montes, C.M., Dirvanskyte, P., and Ainsworth, E.A. (2020). Assessing diversity in canopy architecture, photosynthesis, and water-use efficiency in a cowpea magic population. *Food and Energy Security* 9, e236. 10.1002/fes3.236.

189. Srinivasan, V., Kumar, P., and Long, S.P. (2017). Decreasing, not increasing, leaf area will raise crop yields under global atmospheric change. *Global Change Biology* 23, 1626-1635. 10.1111/gcb.13526.

190. Tamang, B.G., Zhang, Y.Q., Zambrano, M.A., and Ainsworth, E.A. (2023). Anatomical determinants of gas exchange and hydraulics vary with leaf shape in soybean. *Annals of Botany* 131, 909-920. 10.1093/aob/mcac118.

191. Bianchi, J.S., Quijano, A., Gosparini, C.O., and Morandi, E.N. (2020). Changes in leaflet shape and seeds per pod modify crop growth parameters, canopy light environment, and yield components in soybean. *Crop Journal* 8, 351-364. 10.1016/j.cj.2019.09.011.

192. Jeong, N., Suh, S.J., Kim, M.H., Lee, S., Moon, J.K., Kim, H.S., and Jeong, S.C. (2012). *Ln* Is a Key Regulator of Leaflet Shape and Number of Seeds per Pod in Soybean. *Plant Cell* 24, 4807-4818. 10.1105/tpc.112.104968.

193. Huang, M.K., Zhang, L., Zhou, L.M., Wang, M.Z., Yung, W.S., Wang, Z.L., Duan, S.W., Xiao, Z.X., Wang, Q.W., Wang, X., et al. (2021). An expedient survey and characterization of the soybean JAGGED 1 (GmJAG1) transcription factor binding preference in the soybean genome by modified ChIPmentation on soybean protoplasts. *Genomics* 113, 344-355. 10.1016/j.ygeno.2020.12.026.

194. Tamang, B.G., Bernard, G., Bernacchi, C.J., Diers, B.W., and Ainsworth, E.A. (2025). Bigger is not always better: Optimizing leaf area index with narrow leaf shape in soybean. *Plant Physiology under review*.

195. Bassi, R., and Dall'Osto, L. (2021). Dissipation of Light Energy Absorbed in Excess: The Molecular Mechanisms. In *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, Vol 72, 2021, S.S. Merchant, ed. pp. 47-76. 10.1146/annurev-arplant-071720-015522.

196. Long, S.P., Humphries, S., and Falkowski, P.G. (1994). Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in nature. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 45, 633-662. 10.1146/annurev.pp.45.060194.003221.

197. Murchie, E.H., and Niyogi, K.K. (2011). Manipulation of Photoprotection to Improve Plant Photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology* 155, 86-92. 10.1104/pp.110.168831.

198. Zhu, X.G., Ort, D.R., Whitmarsh, J., and Long, S.P. (2004). The slow reversibility of photosystem II thermal energy dissipation on transfer from high to low light may cause large losses in carbon gain by crop canopies: a theoretical analysis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 55, 1167-1175. 10.1093/jxb/erh141.

199. Hubbart, S., Smillie, I.R.A., Heatley, M., Swarup, R., Foo, C.C., Zhao, L., and Murchie, E.H. (2018). Enhanced thylakoid photoprotection can increase yield and canopy radiation use efficiency in rice. *Communications Biology* 1, 22. 10.1038/s42003-018-0026-6.

200. Hubbart, S., Ajigboye, O.O., Horton, P., and Murchie, E.H. (2012). The photoprotective protein PsbS exerts control over CO₂ assimilation rate in fluctuating light in rice. *Plant Journal* 71, 402-412. 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2012.04995.x.

201. Glowacka, K., Kromdijk, J., Kucera, K., Xie, J.Y., Cavanagh, A.P., Leonelli, L., Leakey, A.D.B., Ort, D.R., Niyogi, K.K., and Long, S.P. (2018). Photosystem II Subunit S overexpression increases the efficiency of water use in a field-grown crop. *Nature Communications* 9, 868. 10.1038/s41467-018-03231-x.

202. Taylor, G., Walter, J., and Kromdijk, J. (2025). Chloroplast-derived hydrogen peroxide coordinates photosynthesis with stomatal opening. *BioRxiv*. 10.1101/2025.03.27.645763.

203. Turc, B., Sahay, S., Haupt, J., de Oliveira Santos, T., Bai, G., and Glowacka, K. (2024). Up-regulation of non-photochemical quenching improves water use efficiency and reduces whole-plant water consumption under drought in *Nicotiana tabacum*. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 75, 3959-3972. 10.1093/jxb/erae113.

204. Nilkens, M., Kress, E., Lambrev, P., Miloslavina, Y., Müller, M., Holzwarth, A.R., and Jahns, P. (2010). Identification of a slowly inducible zeaxanthin-dependent component of non-photochemical quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence generated under steady-state conditions in *Arabidopsis*. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics* 1797, 466-475. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabi.2010.01.001>.

205. Kromdijk, J., Glowacka, K., Leonelli, L., Gabilly, S.T., Iwai, M., Niyogi, K.K., and Long, S.P. (2016). Improving photosynthesis and crop productivity by accelerating recovery from photoprotection. *Science* 354, 857-861. 10.1126/science.aai8878.

206. De Souza, A.P., Burgess, S.J., Doran, L., Hansen, J., Manukyan, L., Maryn, N., Gotarkar, D., Leonelli, L., Niyogi, K.K., and Long, S.P. (2022). Soybean photosynthesis and crop yield are improved by accelerating recovery from photoprotection. *Science* 377, 851-. 10.1126/science.adc9831.

207. Lehretz, G.G., Schneider, A., Leister, D., and Sonnewald, U. (2022). High non-photochemical quenching of VPZ transgenic potato plants limits CO₂ assimilation under high light conditions and reduces tuber yield under fluctuating light. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* 64, 1821-1832. 10.1111/jipb.13320.

208. Garcia-Molina, A., and Leister, D. (2020). Accelerated relaxation of photoprotection impairs biomass accumulation in *Arabidopsis*. *Nature Plants* 6, 9-. 10.1038/s41477-019-0572-z.

209. Zhong, V., Archibald, B.N., and Brophy, J.A.N. (2023). Transcriptional and post-transcriptional controls for tuning gene expression in plants. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 71, 102315. 10.1016/j.pbi.2022.102315.

210. Küster, L., Lücke, R., Brabender, C., Bethmann, S., and Jahns, P. (2023). The Amount of Zeaxanthin Epoxidase But Not the Amount of Violaxanthin De-Epoxidase Is a Critical Determinant of Zeaxanthin Accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

and *Nicotiana tabacum*. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 64, 1220-1230. 10.1093/pcp/pcad091.

211. Arshad, R., Saccon, F., Bag, P., Biswas, A., Calvaruso, C., Bhatti, A.F., Grebe, S., Mascoli, V., Mahbub, M., Muzzopappa, F., et al. (2022). A kaleidoscope of photosynthetic antenna proteins and their emerging roles. *Plant Physiology* 189, 1204-1219. 10.1093/plphys/kiac175.

212. Avenson, T.J., Ahn, T.K., Zigmantas, D., Niyogi, K.K., Li, Z., Ballottari, M., Bassi, R., and Fleming, G.R. (2008). Zeaxanthin radical cation formation in minor light-harvesting complexes of higher plant antenna. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 283, 3550-3558. 10.1074/jbc.M705645200.

213. Lee, T.Y., Lam, L., Patel-Tupper, D., Roy, P.P., Ma, S.A., Lam, H.E., DeMott, A.L., Karavolias, N.G., Iwai, M., Niyogi, K.K., and Fleming, G.R. (2024). Chlorophyll to zeaxanthin energy transfer in nonphotochemical quenching: An exciton annihilation- free transient absorption study. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 121, e2411620121. 10.1073/pnas.2411620121.

214. Ruban, A.V., and Johnson, M.P. (2010). Xanthophylls as modulators of membrane protein function. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* 504, 78-85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2010.06.034>.

215. Kasajima, I., Ebana, K., Yamamoto, T., Takahara, K., Yano, M., Kawai-Yamada, M., and Uchimiya, H. (2011). Molecular distinction in genetic regulation of nonphotochemical quenching in rice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108, 13835-13840. 10.1073/pnas.1104809108.

216. Ferguson, J.N., Caproni, L., Walter, J., Shaw, K., Arce-Cubas, L., Baines, A., Thein, M.S., Mager, S., Taylor, G., Cackett, L., et al. (2025). A deficient CP24 allele defines variation for dynamic nonphotochemical quenching and photosystem II efficiency in maize (vol 37, koaf063, 2025). *Plant Cell* 37, koaf158. 10.1093/plcell/koaf158.

217. Sahay, S., Grzybowski, M., Schnable, J.C., and Głowacka, K. (2023). Genetic control of photoprotection and photosystem II operating efficiency in plants. *New Phytologist* 239, 1068-1082. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18980>.

218. Sahay, S., Shrestha, N., Dias, H.M., Mural, R.V., Grzybowski, M., Schnable, J.C., and Głowacka, K. (2024). Nonphotochemical quenching kinetics GWAS in sorghum identifies genes that may play conserved roles in maize and *Arabidopsis thaliana* photoprotection. *Plant J* 119, 3000-3014. 10.1111/tpj.16967.

219. Vath, R.L., Fernandes, S.B., Monier, B., Glowacka, K., Walter, J., Lipka, A.E., Ferguson, J.N., Bernacchi, C.J., Pederson, T., and Kromdijk, J. (2025). High throughput screen of NPQ in sorghum shows highly polygenic architecture of photoprotection. *bioRxiv*. 10.1101/2025.04.18.649521.

220. Patel-Tupper, D., Kelikian, A., Leipertz, A., Maryn, N., Tjahjadi, M., Karavolias, N.G., Cho, M.J., and Niyogi, K.K. (2024). Multiplexed CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis of rice PSBS1 noncoding sequences for transgene-free overexpression. *Science Advances* 10, eadm7452. 10.1126/sciadv.adm7452.

221. Wang, Y., Burgess, S.J., de Becker, E.M., and Long, S.H.P. (2020). Photosynthesis in the fleeting shadows: an overlooked opportunity for increasing crop productivity? *Plant Journal* 101, 874-884. 10.1111/tpj.14663.

222. Wang, Y., Burgess, S.J., de Becker, E.M., and Long, S.P. (2020). Photosynthesis in the fleeting shadows: an overlooked opportunity for increasing crop productivity? *The Plant Journal* 101, 874-884. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14663>.

223. Kimball, B.A. (1983). Carbon Dioxide and Agricultural Yield: An Assemblage and Analysis of 430 Prior Observations. *Agronomy Journal* 75, 779-788. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1983.00021962007500050014x>.

224. Ainsworth, E.A., and Long, S.P. (2021). 30 years of free-air carbon dioxide enrichment (FACE): What have we learned about future crop productivity and its potential for adaptation? *Global Change Biology* 27, 27-49. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15375>.

225. Cheng, X., Li, H., Tang, Q., Zhang, H., Liu, T., and Wang, Y. (2024). Trends in the global commercialization of genetically modified crops in 2023. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 23, 3943-3952. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jia.2024.09.012>.

226. Phillips, P.W.B. (2021). Economic consequences of regulations of GM crops. Genetic Literacy Project. <https://geneticliteracyproject.org/2021/11/19/economic-consequences-of-regulations-of-gm-crops/>

227. Tena, G. (2024). No transgene needed. *Nature Plants* 10, 1058-1058. 10.1038/s41477-024-01761-5.

228. Ríos, D.F., Quintana, S.A., Paniagua, P.G., Arrúa, A.A., Brozón, G.R., Hicar, M.S.B., Alegria, A.C., and Goberna, M.F. (2025). Regulatory challenges and global trade implications of genome editing in agriculture. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology* 13, 1609110. 10.3389/fbioe.2025.1609110.

229. Varshney, R.K., Bohra, A., Roorkiwal, M., Barmukh, R., Cowling, W.A., Chitikineni, A., Lam, H.-M., Hickey, L.T., Croser, J.S., Bayer, P.E., et al. (2021). Fast-forward breeding for a food-secure world. *Trends in Genetics* 37, 1124-1136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2021.08.002>.

230. Wu, A. (2023). Modelling plants across scales of biological organisation for guiding crop improvement. *Functional Plant Biology* 50, 435-454. <https://doi.org/10.1071/FP23010>.

231. Stotz, M., Mueller-Cajar, O., Ciniawsky, S., Wendler, P., Hartl, F.U., Bracher, A., and Hayer-Hartl, M. (2011). Structure of green-type Rubisco activase from tobacco. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* 18, 1366-1370. 10.1038/nsmb.2171.

232. Henderson, J.N., Kuriata, A.M., Fromme, R., Salvucci, M.E., and Wachter, R.M. (2011). Atomic Resolution X-ray Structure of the Substrate Recognition Domain of Higher Plant Ribulose-bisphosphate Carboxylase/Oxygenase (Rubisco) Activase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 286, 35683-35688. 10.1074/jbc.C111.289595.

233. Mueller-Cajar, O., Stotz, M., Wendler, P., Hartl, F.U., Bracher, A., and Hayer-Hartl, M. (2011). Structure and function of the AAA+ protein CbbX, a red-type Rubisco activase. *Nature* 479, 194-199. 10.1038/nature10568.

234. Tsai, Y.C.C., Ye, F.Z., Liew, L., Liu, D., Bhushan, S., Gao, Y.G., and Mueller-Cajar, O. (2020). Insights into the mechanism and regulation of the CbbQO-type Rubisco

activase, a MoxR AAA plus ATPase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 117, 381-387. 10.1073/pnas.1911123117.

235. Lin, X., Long, Y., Yao, Z., Shen, B., Lin, M., Zhong, X., Chen, X., Li, X., Zhu, G., Zhang, Z., and Peng, X. (2025). Synthetic photorespiratory bypass more stably increases potato yield per plant by improving photosynthesis. *Plant Biotechnol J* 23, 2526-2536. 10.1111/pbi.70076.

236. Jaikumar, N., Quach, T., Ge, Z., Nersesian, N., Sato, S.J., McCoy, S.M., Guo, M., Leakey, A.D.B., Long, S.P., and Clemente, T.E. (2025). Constitutive down-regulation of liguleless alleles in sorghum drives increased productivity and water use efficiency. *Plant Biotechnol J*. 10.1111/pbi.70150.