

Effects of Atmospheric NO₂ on *Azolla*–*Anabaena* Symbiosis

JAE-SEOON HUR and ALAN R. WELLBURN*

Division of Biological Sciences, Institute of Environmental and Biological Sciences, Lancaster University,
Lancaster LA1 4YQ, UK

Accepted: 26 July 1993

Cultures of the water fern *Azolla pinnata* R. Br. exposed for 1 week to atmospheric NO₂ (50, 100 or 200 nl l⁻¹) induced additional levels of nitrate reductase (NaR) protein and nitrite reductase (NiR) activity. At low concentrations of NO₂ (50 nl l⁻¹), nitrate derived from NO₂ provides an alternative N source for *Azolla* but does not affect rates of acetylene reduction. However, the symbiotic relationship between *Azolla* and its endosymbiont, *Anabaena azollae* is only affected adversely by high concentrations (100 and 200 nl l⁻¹) of atmospheric NO₂. The resultant decreases in rate of growth, nitrogen fixation, heterocyst formation, and overall nitrogen cycling are probably due to the additional accumulation of N products derived from higher levels of atmospheric NO₂. Parallel increases in levels of polyamines suggest that *Azolla* partially alleviates these harmful effects by incorporating some of the extra NO₂-induced N into polyamines.

Key words: *Azolla*–*Anabaena* symbiosis, nitrogen dioxide pollution, nitrogen metabolism, polyamines.

INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen oxides (NO and NO₂) are major atmospheric pollutants emitted in combustion gases and amounts of both are projected to increase globally despite various national control measures; roughly doubling over present emissions by the year 2025 (MacKenzie and El-Ashry, 1988). Ambient concentrations of NO₂ in air over rural areas of Europe are normally below 50 nl l⁻¹ but well above global background levels of 20 nl l⁻¹ (Robinson and Robbins, 1972). NO₂ reacts with unburnt hydrocarbons in bright sunlight to form phytotoxic O₃ but it itself is also phytotoxic to plants although less so than SO₂, O₃, or NO (Wellburn, 1988, 1990). Visible plant injury does not normally occur at low concentrations of NO₂ but poorer growth and productivity are frequently observed (EPA, 1991).

The ability to reduce and incorporate nitrate into organic compounds is almost universal among higher plants (Runge, 1983). Both reduced and oxidized forms of N are taken up by plant roots, but dissolution of atmospheric NO₂ in the apoplast of mesophyll cells also produces nitrate (Zeevaart, 1976) and this may be an additional source of plant N. Indeed, assimilation of ¹⁵NO₂ into organic N has been demonstrated in both beans (Rogers, Campbell and Volk, 1979) and spinach (Yoneyama and Saskawa, 1979). Furthermore, levels of NaR (EC 1.6.6.2) activity are enhanced after exposure of several plant species to atmospheric NO₂ (Zeevaart, 1974; Wingsle *et al.*, 1987).

Azolla pinnata R.Br. is a small aquatic fern containing a symbiotic N₂-fixing cyanobacterium, *Anabaena azollae* (sp.) which provides the association with its total N requirement (Peters, 1977) and this allows *Azolla* to grow in

relatively low N environments. It has also proved to be an interesting lower plant system for study of the effects of atmospheric pollutants like SO₂ because large amounts of uniform study material are available (Hur and Wellburn, 1993). There are as yet no reports of the effects of atmospheric NO₂ on the symbiosis between *Azolla* and *Anabaena*, but the process of N₂-fixation is already known to be more sensitive to atmospheric SO₂ than, for example, photosynthesis (Hällgen and Huss, 1975; Richardson and Nieboer, 1983). Unfortunately, previous studies of the effects of NO₂ on N₂ fixation conflict with each other. Atmospheric NO₂ is claimed to inhibit nitrogenase activity in *Phaseolus* nodules (Srivastava and Ormrod, 1986) but to increase specific root nodule activity in soya (Gupta and Narayanan, 1992).

The experiments described here describe the effects of ambient and above ambient levels of atmospheric NO₂ on the symbiotic relationship between *Azolla* and *Anabaena* in terms of nitrogen metabolism in order to elucidate those mechanisms involved in NO₂ toxicity as it relates to N₂-fixation and, if possible, resolve conflicting reports already in the literature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material and fumigation conditions

Culture and growth conditions for *Azolla* have been described earlier (Hur and Wellburn, 1993). Briefly, *Azolla pinnata* free of contaminating epiphytic microorganism was grown at 23 °C (day) or 18 °C (night) in 250 ml flasks containing 100 ml of Hoagland's solution (minus N plus double phosphate amounts) and added micronutrients in an exposure system previously described by Hur and Wellburn (1993). Filters of either activated charcoal and Purafil™ or

* For correspondence.

'dummy' glass beads of similar porosity were fitted to exposure flasks so that five clean-air control (filtered) and five exposure (fumigated) treatments could stand side-by-side at the same temperature and in the same light flux (230 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, 16 h light, 8 h dark cycle) in the exposure system. Concentrations of NO₂ in the whole chamber were controlled by the use of a fine needle valve on the gas supply and monitored by an NO₂ Analyser (Monitor Labs., USA, Model 8840). Rafts of *Azolla* were exposed to three different concentrations of NO₂ (50, 100 and 200 nl l⁻¹) for 1 week each.

Enzyme and other assays

In vitro levels of NADH–NaR and NiR activities were assayed by the method of Wray and Fido (1990) after grinding of *Azolla* fronds (0.5 g frozen weight) in 5 ml of Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 50 mM Tris, 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, and 10% (v/v) glycerol. Homogenized material was then ultrasonicated at 18 $\mu\text{m s}^{-1}$ for 10 s in ice and centrifuged at 20000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. Levels of glutamine synthetase (GS) activity were assayed in the supernatant by the method of Lea *et al.* (1990) and NADH-dependent glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) by the modified method of Singh and Srivastava (1983).

Levels of NaR proteins were determined semi-quantitatively using ELISA upon extracts prepared by grinding of *Azolla* fronds (0.2 g f. wt) in 1.2 ml of extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl; 0.2 M sucrose; 10 mM NaCl; 0.1% NaN₃; 1 mM PMSF; 14 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, pH 8.0). The modified ELISA method of Whitford, Fido and Notton (1987) was used but the order of the assay was changed with the monoclonal antibody being placed on the plate first.

Determinations of mean relative growth rate, heterocyst frequency, N₂-fixation by ethylene reduction, and protein content were carried out according to the methods already described by Hur and Wellburn (1993).

Polyamine determination

Azolla samples (100 mg frozen weight) were homogenized in 2 ml ice cold solution of 5% perchloric acid (PCA) containing 1.5 mM hexanediamine (internal standard, 50 μl in 10 ml 5% PCA). Homogenized material was sonicated as above, placed in ice for 1 h, and the supernatant after centrifugation at 10000 g for 10 min was dansylated using a modified version of Coghlan and Walters (1990). In this, the supernatant (0.2 ml) was added to a saturated Na₂CO₃ to bring the pH to 10. Samples were then mixed with 0.4 ml dansyl chloride (7.5 mg ml⁻¹ acetone) and incubated in the dark at 60 °C for 1 h. Excess dansyl chloride was converted to dansylproline by a 30 min incubation with 0.1 ml proline (100 mg ml⁻¹ distilled water) after which the dansylated polyamines were extracted in 0.5 ml heptane. Separation of polyamines was carried out by HPLC (Kratos dual T-414 pump system) gradient elution using 30 sec of 60% solvent A (100% methanol) and 40% solvent B (ultrapure-water), rising to 95% A over the next 9.5 min, followed by 97.5%

of solvent A maintained for 1.5 min before recycling. The flow rate in the 230 mm ODS2 column (Anachem, 5 μm , 0.5 mm i.d.) remained at 3 ml min⁻¹ throughout. Fluorescence of the eluted dansylated polyamines was measured by a fluorescence spectrophotometer (Kratos FS 950) with excitation at 338 nm and emission at 455 nm.

Statistical analysis

There were three concentrations of NO₂ (50, 100 and 200 nl l⁻¹, each repeated three times), two treatments (control and fumigation) for each concentration, and five replicate flasks per treatment. Whole *Azolla* fronds in individual flasks were taken as the experimental unit and the data at each concentration were evaluated using ANOVA (Fisher and Yates, 1963).

RESULTS

Effects of NO₂ on the growth of *Azolla*

Azolla fronds exposed to low levels of NO₂ (50 nl l⁻¹) showed a slight increase in growth rate compared to clean-air controls (Table 1) but exposure to higher concentrations significantly reduced growth of *Azolla* by 7% at 100 nl l⁻¹ and 23% at 200 nl l⁻¹ NO₂.

Effects of NO₂ on N₂ fixation rate (C₂H₂ reduction rate) and numbers of heterocysts in symbiotic *Anabaena*

Low levels of NO₂ (50 nl l⁻¹) had no significant effect on rates of N₂ fixation (Table 1) but *Azolla* exposed to either 100 and 200 nl l⁻¹ NO₂ showed significant reductions in rates of ethylene reduction (15.1 and 37.9%, respectively, compared to controls).

Exposure to 50 nl l⁻¹ NO₂ slightly increased heterocyst frequency (Table 1) but higher concentrations of NO₂ significantly inhibited heterocyst development. The observed frequency of heterocysts in non-polluted *Anabaena* was in the range of 22.2–23.6%, a value which is similar to that previously reported by Peters (1975).

Effects of NO₂ on nitrogen assimilation

Exposure to NO₂ (50 and 100 nl l⁻¹) significantly increased the levels of NiR activity but not those of the later N-assimilation enzymes (Table 2). Although the levels of NaR activity could not be detected in *Azolla* by the usual colorimetric methods for *in vitro* NaR assay, ELISA assays (Table 3) clearly demonstrated that *Azolla* contains considerable levels of NaR proteins and that atmospheric NO₂ significantly increased amounts of these NaR proteins.

Increases in levels of NiR activity were more pronounced at 50 nl l⁻¹ NO₂ (50% increase) than at 100 nl l⁻¹ (14% increase) but changes in ammonium contents were not significant (Table 2). Higher concentrations of NO₂ significantly reduced protein content (14.3% at 100 nl l⁻¹ and 31.7% at 200 nl l⁻¹; Table 1) which indicate that the overall N metabolism of *Azolla* is adversely affected by NO₂.

TABLE 1. Effects of atmospheric NO₂ on mean relative growth rates, C₂H₂ reduction rates (in order to compare N₂ fixation rates), heterocyst frequencies, and total soluble protein contents of Azolla and Anabaena

Parameter	NO ₂ fumigation (nl l ⁻¹)					
	50		100		200	
	Value	%†	Value	%†	Value	%†
Mean relative growth rates (d ⁻¹)	0.098 ± 0.004	103	0.093* ± 0.004	93	0.088*** ± 0.005	77.2
C ₂ H ₂ reduction rates (mmol g ⁻¹ f. wt h ⁻¹)	1.51 ± 0.07	94.4	1.35* ± 0.05	84.9	0.95*** ± 0.06	62.1
Heterocyst frequencies (%)	22.4 ± 0.20	101	22.9* ± 0.20	97	18.8*** ± 0.21	82.8
Protein contents (mg g ⁻¹ f. wt)	4.47 ± 0.19	103	4.08 ± 0.15	85.7	3.10*** ± 0.71	68.3

† Relative to controls.

The data are the means of *n* replicates ± standard errors of the mean, where *n* = 5 (growth rate), 20 (acetylene reduction), 150 (heterocyst frequency) and 15 (protein content). The asterisks indicate significant differences in *P* values between control and fumigation of * < 0.05 and *** < 0.001.

TABLE 2. Effects of NO₂ on levels of enzyme activity associated with nitrogen assimilation and NH₄⁺ content during symbiosis between Azolla and Anabaena

Activity or Product	50 nl l ⁻¹ NO ₂		100 nl l ⁻¹ NO ₂	
	Clean-air controls	Fumigated	Clean-air controls	Fumigated
	NiR†	1.34 ± 0.07	2.01* ± 0.18	1.39 ± 0.03
GS‡	54.4 ± 5.4	59.4 ± 2.5	63.5 ± 2.7	56.0 ± 2.7
GDH§	25.2 ± 0.84	28.1 ± 1.49	21.0 ± 1.09	29.7 ± 3.71
NH ₄ ⁺	6.34 ± 5.4	5.61 ± 0.38	6.00 ± 0.60	6.24 ± 2.7

† μmoles NO₂ reduced mg protein⁻¹ h⁻¹; ‡ nmoles γ-glutamylhydroxamate formed mg protein⁻¹ min⁻¹; § nmoles NADH oxidized mg protein⁻¹ min⁻¹; || μmoles g⁻¹ f. wt. The data are the means of five replicates, ± the standard errors of the means, and the asterisks indicate significant difference in *P* values between filtered and polluted air where *P* < 0.05.

TABLE 3. Effects of NO₂ (100 nl l⁻¹ for 1 week) on the formation of nitrate reductase protein in Azolla as measured by ELISA assays using pea NaR antibodies

Titer	Absorbance at 450 nm	
	Clean-air control	Fumigated
No dilution	1.62 ± 0.06	1.68 ± 0.06
1:1 (½ dilution)	1.11 ± 0.05	1.29* ± 0.02
1:3 (¼ dilution)	0.90 ± 0.04	1.18** ± 0.04
1:7 (⅛ dilution)	0.87 ± 0.05	1.15** ± 0.04

Serial dilutions of the crude extracts (0.2 g frozen weight in 1.2 ml extraction buffer) were carried out to find an optimum concentration of NaR protein in extracts from *Azolla*. Data are the means of five replicates ± standard errors of the mean. Asterisks indicate significant difference in *P* value between filtered and polluted air where * < 0.05 and ** < 0.01.

Effects of NO₂ on polyamines of Azolla

Putrescine was significantly increased in *Azolla* exposed to 100 nl l⁻¹ NO₂ (Table 4) whereas spermine content was significantly reduced at 50 nl l⁻¹ NO₂. No significant changes

in levels of spermidine or homospermidine (a polyamine found in *Rhizobium* bacteria and N₂-fixing cyanobacteria) could be detected.

DISCUSSION

Most atmospheric NO₂ enters plants through stomatal apertures and enters the apoplast of mesophyll cells (Mansfield and Freer-Smith, 1981). Reaction of NO₂ with aqueous phases then forms mainly nitric acid which ionizes to nitrate and protons over the normal pH range of the apoplast (pH 5.5–7 according to Hartung, Radin and Hendrix, 1988). All studies of the subsequent cycling, partitioning and removal of NO₂-derived N products have indicated that the deposited N from atmospheric NO₂ is metabolized by the normal N assimilation pathway involving the enzymatic reduction of nitrate to nitrite to NH₃ before incorporation into amino acids using the GS/GOGAT pathway (Wellburn, 1990).

NaR protein is substrate-induced (Beevers and Hageman, 1969) and levels of activity are regulated by fresh enzyme synthesis and breakdown rather than by activation and inactivation of the original protein (Remmler and Campbell, 1986). Zeevaart (1974) first demonstrated induction of NaR

TABLE 4. Effects of fumigation with NO₂ on polyamine content in Azolla

Polyamines (nmoles g ⁻¹ f. wt)	50 nl l ⁻¹ NO ₂		100 nl l ⁻¹ NO ₂	
	Clean-air controls	Fumigated	Clean-air controls	Fumigated
Putrescine	312.6 ± 20.1	320.5 ± 25.9	318.8 ± 22.5	404.3** ± 17.2
Spermine	118.4 ± 28.5	43.7* ± 9.4	251.4 ± 48.2	197.8 ± 11.6
Spermidine	342.5 ± 20.1	327.4 ± 25.9	390.1 ± 16.7	360.2 ± 25.1
Homospermidine	255.3 ± 24.2	271.0 ± 33.0	229.0 ± 10.9	269.8 ± 21.4

The data are the means of five replicates ± the standard errors of the means, and asterisks indicate insignificant difference in *P* values between filtered and polluted air where * < 0.05 and ** < 0.01.

activity by NO₂ in peas grown only on an ammonium-based medium (like *Azolla*) but, apart from the studies of Srivastava and Ormrod (1986) and Gupta and Narayanan (1992) on legumes, there is no other information on the effects of NO₂ on N₂ fixation.

No signs of NaR activity in *Azolla* symbiosis could be detected by the *in vitro* colorimetric NaR assay of Wray and Fido (1990), which performs normally for cereals, legumes, lettuce, and *Lemna*. This suggests that either *Azolla* maintains very low levels of NaR or it exists naturally as an inactive form, or, more likely, some unidentified inhibitor interferes with the assay. Nevertheless, our ELISA studies clearly show that *Azolla* does contain detectable levels of NaR protein which are significantly increased by NO₂ exposure.

Fumigation with NO₂ also enhances levels of activity of NiR in *Azolla*. This may be a consequence of nitrite accumulation resulting from the increased levels of NaR protein in polluted *Azolla* similar to that in *Pisum sativum* (Gupta and Beevers, 1983). Wodzinski, Labeda and Alexander (1977) have already demonstrated that cyanobacteria are very sensitive to nitrite which is formed by mixtures of NO₂ and NO. However, negative feedback of NH₄⁺ on both transcriptional control of NaR synthesis and overall N assimilation has been reported in bryophytes (Woodin and Lee, 1987; Padidam, Vankateswarlu and Johri, 1991). Therefore, in the long term, accumulation of NH₃ and NH₄⁺ derived from NO₂ probably exceeds the capacity of bryophytes to remove these products.

In the *Azolla*–*Anabaena* system, assimilation of NH₃ by the endosymbiont is regulated by the host and N₂ fixed by *Anabaena* is transferred to *Azolla* where it is subsequently metabolized via the GS/GOGAT pathway (Braun-Howland and Nierzwicki-Bauer, 1990). Therefore, it is vital that any negative feedback effects of extra NO₂-derived NH₃ and NH₄⁺ on N assimilation are minimized if the symbiosis is to remain effective and for N₂ fixation to continue to operate. This is supported by our results concerning NH₃ assimilation, protein content, and N₂ fixation. Levels of ammonium, produced as consequence of NO₂-induced nitrate assimilation, are reduced at low concentrations of atmospheric NO₂ (50 nl l⁻¹) and only accumulate at relatively high concentrations of NO₂ (100 and 200 nl l⁻¹), partially because of reduced rates of NH₄⁺ assimilation through the GS/GOGAT cycle, and this eventually causes significant decreases in total protein content. These effects

of higher levels of atmospheric NO₂ are also linked to the pronounced inhibition of N₂ fixation rates. The importance of NH₄⁺ and glutamine levels in regulating N₂ fixation is already known (Haselkorn, 1986). Consequently, excess NH₄⁺ arising from the higher levels of atmospheric NO₂ in *Azolla* probably acts as a signal to *Anabaena* to cut back N₂ fixation.

The NO₂-induced inhibition of N₂ fixation in *Anabaena* differs markedly from that caused by atmospheric SO₂. Very low levels of atmospheric SO₂ (< 25 nl l⁻¹) lead to free radical mediated destruction of the barrier to O₂ diffusion afforded by the heterocyst envelope which rapidly shuts down nitrogenase activity and nitrogen assimilation (Hur and Wellburn, 1993). On the other hand, it is quite evident that atmospheric NO₂ provides an additional source of N which eventually closes down N₂ fixation only if the NO₂ levels are high enough (i.e. ≥ 100 nl l⁻¹). This, in part, may explain the reported decreases (Srivastava and Ormrod, 1986) and increases (Gupta and Narayanan, 1992) of N₂ fixation in root nodules of legumes when exposed to atmospheric NO₂. However, as the latter authors make no reference to the former contribution, the situation in higher plants remains confused; especially as both nitrate and nitrite are known to interfere with the overall process of N₂ fixation in soya (Streeter, 1982) and symbiotic lichens (Hällbom and Bergman, 1983). Our results do suggest that low levels of NO₂ (≤ 50 nl l⁻¹) may benefit *Azolla* while N₂ fixation still operates in *Anabaena* at these ambient levels of NO₂ (unlike SO₂) so that the extra NO₂-derived N may permit extra growth. Indeed, this ability of *Azolla* to use an alternative source of N derived from low levels of atmospheric NO₂ may be a significant general response for many species which fix N₂; especially those that occur in ecosystems that are naturally N-limited.

Additional polyamine formation by plants has been suggested as a possible means of absorbing additional N from atmospheric NO₂ (Wellburn, 1990). Although polyamine levels change in response to many environmental stresses including atmospheric O₃ and SO₂ (Smith, 1985; Rowland-Bamford *et al.*, 1989; Langebartels *et al.*, 1991), there are no reports of NO₂-induced changes in polyamine levels in lower (or higher) plants. Our results clearly show that NO₂ (100 nl l⁻¹) increases levels of putrescine, a precursor for spermine and spermidine, which is already known to be affected by both N source and content (Preibe, Klein and Jäger, 1978; Smith, 1985).

Consequently, this study has several implications. Firstly, extra NO₂-derived N does not normally increase NH₄⁺ levels which would otherwise close down N₂ fixation and *Azolla* may even limit NH₄⁺ levels so that the symbiosis continues to operate at ambient levels of atmospheric NO₂. Secondly, any N arising from NO₂ at such levels is additional to that fixed and may also be used for growth and, finally, additional polyamine formation only appears when N throughput is exceeded at high levels of atmospheric NO₂.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Prof. R. L. Heath (UC, Riverside) for advice on polyamine analysis and Miss C. Hufton (Lancaster) for practical assistance with the ELISA assays. J-S. Hur was supported financially by the South Korean Government.

LITERATURE CITED

- Beevers L, Hageman RH. 1969. Nitrate reduction in higher plants. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology* 20: 495–522.
- Braun-Howland EB, Nierzwicki-Bauer SA. 1990. *Azolla-Anabaena* symbiosis: Biochemistry, physiology, ultrastructure and molecular biology. In: Rai AN, ed. *CRC Handbook of Symbiotic Cyanobacteria*. Florida: CRC Press, 65–118.
- Coghlan Se, Walters DR. 1990. Polyamine metabolism in 'green-islands' on powdery mildew-infected barley leaves: possible interactions with senescence. *New Phytologist* 116: 417–424.
- EPA, US Environment Protection Agency. 1991. *Air quality criteria for oxides of nitrogen*. EPA/600/8-91/049a-cA. Washington: US Environmental Protection Agency.
- Fisher RA, Yates F. 1963. *Statistical tables*. (6th edn). Edinburgh: Oliver & Boyd Ltd.
- Gupta G, Narayanan R. 1992. Nitrogen fixation in soybean treated with nitrogen dioxide and molybdenum. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 21: 46–49.
- Gupta SC, Beevers L. 1983. Environmental influences on nitrite reductase activity in *Pisum sativum* L. seedlings. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 34: 1455–1462.
- Hällbom L, Bergman B. 1983. Effects of nitrogen on C₂H₂ reduction and CO₂ exchange in the *Peltigera praetextata-Nostoc* and *Peltigera aphosa-Coccomyxa-Nostoc* symbiosis. *Planta* 157: 441–445.
- Hällgen JE, Huss K. 1975. Effects of SO₂ on photosynthesis and nitrogen fixation. *Physiologia Plantarum* 34: 171–176.
- Hartung W, Radin JW, Hendrix DL. 1988. Abscisic acid movement into the apoplastic solution of water-stressed cotton leaves: Role of apoplastic pH. *Plant Physiology* 86: 908–913.
- Haselkorn R. 1986. Organization of the genes for nitrogen fixation in photosynthetic bacteria and cyanobacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 40: 525–555.
- Hur J-S, Wellburn AR. 1993. Effects of atmospheric SO₂ on *Azolla-Anabaena* symbiosis. *Physiologia Plantarum* 88: 65–72.
- Langebartels C, Kerner K, Leonardi S, Schraudner M, Trost M, Heller W, Sandermann H. 1991. Biochemical plant responses to ozone. I. Differential induction of polyamine and ethylene biosynthesis in tobacco. *Plant Physiology* 95: 882–889.
- Lea PJ, Blackwell RD, Chen F-L, Hecht U. 1990. Enzymes of ammonia assimilation. In: Lea PJ, ed. *Methods in plant biochemistry*, Vol 3. London: Academic Press, 257–276.
- MacKenzie JJ, El-Ashry MT. 1988. *Ill winds—Airborn pollution's toll on trees and crops*. Washington DC: World Resources Institute, 53–55.
- Mansfield TA, Freer-Smith PH. 1981. Effects of urban air pollution on plant growth. *Biological Reviews* 56: 343–368.
- Padidam M, Vankateswarlu K, Johri MM. 1991. Ammonium represses NADPH-nitrate reductase in the moss *Funaria hygrometrica*. *Plant Science* 75: 185–194.
- Peters GA. 1975. The *Azolla-Anabaena azollae* relationship. III. Studies on metabolic capacities and a further characterization of the symbiosis. *Archives of Microbiology* 103: 113–122.
- Peters GA. 1977. The *Azolla-Anabaena azollae* symbiosis. In: Hollaender A, ed. *Genetic engineering for nitrogen fixation*. London: Plenum Press, 231–258.
- Priebe A, Klein H, Jäger HJ. 1978. Role of polyamines in SO₂-polluted pea plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 29: 1045–1050.
- Remmler JL, Campbell WH. 1986. Regulation of corn leaf nitrate reductase. II. Synthesis and turnover of the enzyme's activity and protein. *Plant Physiology* 80: 442–447.
- Richardson DHS, Nieboer E. 1983. Ecophysiological responses of lichens to sulphur dioxide. *Journal of Hattori Botanical Laboratory* 54: 331–351.
- Robinson E, Robbins RC. 1972. Emissions, concentrations and fate of gaseous atmospheric pollutants. In: Strauss W, ed. *Air pollution control*, Part II. New York: Wiley Interscience.
- Rogers HH, Campbell JC, Volk RJ. 1979. Nitrogen-15 dioxide uptake and incorporation by *Phaseolus vulgaris* (L.). *Science* 206: 333–335.
- Rowland-Bamford AJ, Borland AM, Lea PJ, Mansfield TA. 1989. The role of arginine decarboxylase in modulating the sensitivity of barley to ozone. *Environmental Pollution* 61: 95–106.
- Runge M. 1983. Physiology and ecology of nutrition. In: Lange OL, Nobel PS, Osmond CB, Ziegler H, eds. *Encyclopedia of plant physiology*, New York Series. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 163–200.
- Singh RP, Srivastava HS. 1983. Regulation of glutamate dehydrogenase activity by amino acids in maize seedlings. *Physiologia Plantarum* 57: 549–554.
- Smith TA. 1985. Polyamines. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology* 36: 117–143.
- Srivastava HS, Ormrod DP. 1986. Effects of nitrogen dioxide and nitrate nutrition on nodulation, nitrogenase activity, growth and nitrogen content of bean plants. *Plant Physiology* 81: 737–741.
- Streeter JG. 1982. Synthesis and accumulation of nitrate in soybean nodules supplied with nitrate. *Plant Physiology* 69: 1429–1434.
- Wellburn AR. 1988. *Air pollution and acid rain: The biological impact*. Essex: Longman Scientific and Technical, 60–99.
- Wellburn AR. 1990. Why are atmospheric oxides of nitrogen usually phytotoxic and not alternative fertilizers? *New Phytologist* 115: 395–429.
- Whitford PN, Fido RJ, Notton BA. 1987. An enzyme linked immunosorbent assay for nitrate reductase using monoclonal antibodies. *Phytochemistry* 26: 2467–2470.
- Wingsle G, Näsholm T, Lundmark T, Ericsson A. 1987. Introduction of nitrate reductase in needles of Scots pine seedlings by NO_x and NO₃⁻. *Physiologia Plantarum* 70: 399–403.
- Woodin SJ, Lee JA. 1987. The effects of nitrate, ammonium and temperature on nitrate reductase activity in *Sphagnum* species. *New Phytologist* 105: 103–115.
- Wodzinski RS, Labeda DP, Alexander M. 1977. Toxicity of SO₂ and NO_x: Selective inhibition of blue-green algae by bisulphite and nitrite. *Journal of the Air Pollution Control Association* 27: 891–893.
- Wray JL, Fido RJ. 1990. Nitrate reductase and nitrite reductase. In: Lea PJ, ed. *Methods in plant biochemistry*, Vol 3. London: Academic Press, 241–256.
- Yoneyama T, Saskawa H. 1979. Transformation of atmospheric NO₂ absorbed in spinach leaves. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 20: 263–266.
- Zeevaart AJ. 1974. Introduction of nitrate reductase by NO₂. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica* 23: 345–346.
- Zeevaart AJ. 1976. Some effects of fumigating plants for short periods with NO₂. *Environmental Pollution* 11: 97–108.