

1 *Short Communication*

2

3 **Avian Sarcoma/Leukosis Virus (RCAS)-mediated Over-expression of IFITM3**

4 **Protects Chicks from Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Virus Subtype H5N1**

5

6 Mohammed A. Rohaim^{1,2}, Emma Louise Gardiner¹, Rania F. El Naggari^{1,3}, Mohammed

7 A. Abdelsabour⁴, Yahia M. Madbouly⁴, Mustafa O. Atasoy¹, Kawkab A. Ahmed⁵, Munir

8 M El-Safty⁶, Muhammad Munir^{1*}

9 ¹Division of Biomedical and Life Sciences, Faculty of Health and Medicine, Lancaster University,
10 Lancaster LA1 4YG, UK

11 ²Department of Virology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Giza 12211, Egypt

12 ³Department of Virology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Sadat City, Sadat 32897, Egypt

13 ⁴Department of Poultry Viral Vaccines, Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute (VSVRI),
14 Agriculture Research Centre (ARC), Cairo 11435, Egypt

15 ⁵Central Laboratory for Evaluation of Veterinary Biologics, Abbasia, Cairo, 11381, Egypt

16 ⁶Department of Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Giza 12211, Egypt

17

18 *Correspondence: muhammad.munir@lancaster.ac.uk

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 **Abstract**

2 Broad-spectrum antiviral activities of interferon-induced transmembrane proteins
3 (IFITMs) are **primarily** attributed to ***in vitro* inhibition** of viral entry. Here, we **used an**
4 **avian sarcoma-leukosis virus (RCAS)-based gene transfer system and successfully**
5 generated chicks that constitutively express chicken IFITM3 (chIFITM3). **The**
6 **chIFITM3-overexpressing** chicks showed significant protection and **disease** tolerance
7 against highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (HPAIV) H5N1 (Clade 2.2.1.2). The
8 chicks, **overexpressing chIFITM3**, also showed delayed onset of clinical symptoms,
9 reduced viral shedding, and alleviated histopathologic alterations compared to control
10 **and** challenged chicks. These findings highlight that **overexpression** of chIFITM3
11 **provide** a substantial defense against zoonotic H5N1 *in vivo*.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Influenza A virus (IAV) is one of the most common viral infections in human and
3 mammalian populations [1]. During IAV entry into the cellular compartments, the viral
4 and cellular cues are delivered in a stepwise manner [2]. Upon entry, host innate
5 immune responses exert **the** first line of defense against IAV infections [3] which are
6 mainly attributed to the induction of interferon (IFN) [4]. There are three types of IFNs
7 and when triggered, they induce numerous molecular changes including cell growth
8 and inflammation [5]. The interaction of IFNs with their cognate receptors on the cell
9 surface results in **the** activation of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) genes through
10 IFN-stimulated response elements (ISRE) and gamma-activated sequence (GAS)
11 promoter elements [6].

12 Interferon-induced transmembrane protein 3 (IFITM3) is a widely expressed ISG that
13 has a known function of inhibiting the replication of pathogenic viruses including IAVs
14 (4). Human IFITM3 was first identified using two genome-wide screens of RNAi and
15 yeast-two-hybrid as a host restriction factor against human IAV [7]. Mechanistically,
16 IFITM3 is believed to block the fusion of IAV virus with target cells at the stage of
17 hemifusion and/or fusion pore formation by reducing membrane fluidity or by
18 increasing the spontaneous positive curvature of the outer membrane [8]. While
19 human IFITM3 has extensively been characterized both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, **chicken**
20 **IFITM3 (chIFITM3)** remained **poorly** characterized especially when chicken and
21 human IFITM3 only share a 42% amino acid identity (23).

22 **On the other hand, IAVs represent a high level of genetic and functional diversity. The**
23 **clade 2.2.1.2 of the highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (HPAIV) subtype H5N1 is**
24 **globally distributed among wild and domestic birds with a higher evolution rate**
25 **(6.9×10^{-3} substitution/site/year) and noticeable pathogenicity (24). Due to the**

1 continued evolution of the virus, vaccines offer time-limited efficacies. Therefore, there
2 is an unmet need to explore alternative options in establishing host factor-driven
3 protection against viral infections.

4 In the current study, we aim to investigate the roles of chIFITM3 against HPAIV H5N1
5 clade 2.2.1.2 in developing chicks. The findings demonstrate the potential of chIFITM3
6 in not only reducing mortality but also alleviating clinical outcomes of the infection in
7 chicks, highlighting the importance of innate immunity in defence against viral
8 infections.

9

10 **2. Materials and Methods**

11 The open reading frame of chIFITM3 codon-optimized and chemically synthesized
12 (GeneArt, Life Technologies, Germany) in-fusion with flag-tag and sub-cloned to an
13 improved form of RCASBP(A)- Δ F1 using *Cla*I and *Mlu*I restriction sites. This restriction
14 digestion excised the *src* gene and replaced it with chIFITM3 while maintaining the
15 splice acceptor signals. This new vector was designed as RCASBP(A)-chIFITM3. The
16 sequence integrity and orientation were confirmed by Sanger's sequencing. To rescue
17 recombinant RCASBP(A) retroviruses, we followed methods described previously [9].
18 Briefly, DF-1 cells (ATCC ID: CRL-3586) were transfected with each of the plasmids
19 using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies, Germany) in OptiMEM with a pre-
20 determined and optimized ratio of 1:3. DMEM Media (Life Technologies, Germany)
21 were changed 6 h post-transfection and replaced DMEM supplemented with 5% FCS
22 and 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin for 48 h (Life Technologies, USA).

23 Expression of chIFITM3 was confirmed by staining the flag tag. Briefly, DF-1 cells
24 grown on coverslips in 24-well plates were infected with retroviruses for 48 h. Cells
25 were then fixed for 1 h using 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilised using 0.1%

1 Triton-X100 (Thermo Scientific, UK) before incubation with primary antibodies raised
2 against either flag tag (Thermo Scientific, UK). Afterward, cells were incubated with
3 corresponding secondary antibodies (Fisher Scientific, UK) for 2 h at room
4 temperature. Cell nuclei were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)
5 (Abcam, USA), and the images were taken using a Zeiss confocal laser-scanning
6 microscope.

7 Specific pathogen free (SPF) eggs were acquired from a local supplier in co-operation
8 with the Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute (VSVRI), Agriculture
9 Research Centre (ARC), Egypt. Transgene-expressing chick embryos were generated
10 by inoculation of 10^6 RCASBP(A)-chIFITM3 or empty RCASBP(A)-WT infected DF-1
11 cells into SPF chicken eggs (n=30/group) through the intra-yolk sac using 24G needles
12 at day 2 post-embryonation (ED2). Eggs were fixed for 2 h post-inoculation before
13 incubation at 37 °C with 60–80% humidity in a rotating incubator (twice daily). Embryos
14 were allowed to hatch naturally at 21 days of incubation (ED21). Each group of
15 transgene-expressing chicks was housed separately in containment level 3 isolators.
16 Food and water were provided *ad libitum* and animal care was provided by the animal
17 house staff.

18 The virus dosage optimization (clinical and sub-lethal doses) for H5N1 was carried out
19 as outlined in our previous study [10]. Experimentally, a total of 20 chicks expressing
20 RCASBP(A)-chIFITM3, 20 chickens infected with RCASBP(A)-WT, and 15 mock-
21 inoculated chicks (positive control) were challenged intranasally with 10^4 EID₅₀ H5N1
22 (clinical dose) 12 days post-hatching. A total of 10 chicks were kept as a naïve
23 negative control group (non-inoculated-non challenged, inoculated with PBS). All birds
24 were monitored for the following 15 days to assess the appearance of clinical signs,
25 weight gain, and mortalities. The experiment was terminated on day 35 and all

1 remaining chicks were euthanized. Quantification of influenza viruses was performed
2 as we reported earlier [11].

3 Total RNA was extracted from tracheas (n=5/group) and lungs (n=5/group) using
4 TRIzol reagents (Life Technologies, USA), which were collected from transgene-
5 expressing (RCASBP(A)-chIFITM3) and mock chicks (mock-treated neg. ctrl). The
6 RNA concentrations were measured using NanoDrop® ND-1000 UV-Vis
7 Spectrophotometer and a total of 150 ng of RNA was used in the PCR reactions using
8 SuperScript III Platinum SYBR Green One-Step qRT-PCR (Thermo Fisher Scientific)
9 as described by the manufacturer. The abundance of specific chIFITM3 mRNA
10 (qchIFITM3-F: 5'-ACTGTACGCCAATGTGTG-3', and qchIFITM3-R: 5'-
11 TTGATCAGGTGAGCTGTG-3') was quantified and normalized with house keeping
12 gene of 28S rRNA (qch28S-F: 5'-GGCGAAGCCAGAGGAAACT-3', and qch28S-R: 5'-
13 GACGACCGATTTGCACGTC-3'). The reactions were run using a CFX96 Real-Time
14 PCR machine and the data were analyzed using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method as we reported
15 earlier (9, 10).

16 Oropharyngeal swabs were collected separately, placed in virus transport medium,
17 filtered through a 0.2 um filter, and then aliquoted and stored at -70°C until all samples
18 were collected before analysis using hemagglutination assay and egg infective dose
19 50 (EID50) as we reported earlier (11). Selections of tissues including trachea, lung
20 and spleen were collected and fixed at room temperature for 48 h by immersion in
21 10% neutral buffered formalin followed by paraffin wax embedding. The 5 µm tissue
22 sections were stained using Hematoxylin and Eosin stain (Abcam, USA) before
23 examination under a light microscope for microscopic lesions.

24

25 3. Results

1 In order to determine the *in vivo* antiviral activity of chIFITM3 against highly pathogenic
2 avian influenza virus (HPAIV) subtype H5N1 (clade 2.2.1.2), chicks stably expressing
3 chIFITM3 were generated. For this purpose, avian retroviruses were exploited *via* a
4 vector-based expression system as we have reported earlier [11]. Specifically, the full-
5 length open reading frame of chIFITM3 was cloned into RCASBP(A) vector between
6 two unique restriction sites to efficiently express a capped and poly-adenylated
7 chIFITM3 transcript (referred to as RCASBP(A)-chIFITM3) (Fig. 1A). RCASBP(A)-WT
8 was used as a negative control in the over-expression experiment. The over-
9 expression of chIFITM3 was confirmed in stably RCASBP(A)-chIFITM3 infected
10 chicken embryo fibroblast (DF-1) cells using immunofluorescence assay (Fig. 1B).
11 The expression of chIFITM3 was observed exclusively in the cytoplasm where the
12 protein was detected in concentrated punctate (Fig. 1B). As expected, DF-1 cells
13 stably infected with RCASBP(A)-chIFITM3 showed significant antiviral activities
14 against HPAIV subtype H5N1 compared to wild type DF-1 cells (Figure 1C). These
15 results confirm that RCASBP(A)-mediated expression of chIFITM3 is functionally
16 stable and represents native antiviral function against HPAIV and these infectious cells
17 can be exploited for overexpression of transgene (i.e. IFITM3) in developing chicks.
18 For the generation of chIFITM3-expressing chicks, 2-day-old embryonated eggs (ED2)
19 were inoculated with recombinant RCAS virus (RCASBP(A)-chIFITM3 or RCASBP(A)-
20 WT) infected DF-1 cells (Fig. 2A). The hatched chicks at ED21 were shifted to isolators
21 in groups until challenged with clinical dose (10^4 EID₅₀) of HPAI H5N1 at 15 days post-
22 hatching (PH15). Two independent experiments were performed to confirm that the
23 expression of chIFITM3 had no detrimental effect on the chick's embryonic
24 development and hatchability of RCASBP(A)-chIFITM3 inoculated eggs compared to
25 the mock group (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, it was also noted that all RCASBP(A)-

1 chIFITM3 or RCASBP(A)-WT **infected** chicks **carried** a non-significant body weight
2 reduction (**Fig. 2C**) albeit a subtle drop in weight gain in **chIFITM3-overexpressing**
3 chicks soon after hatching. **However**, these chicks then progressively re-gained their
4 body weight equal to **the body** weights of mock-inoculated group (negative control,
5 inoculated with PBS) on the 15th day post-hatch. To confirm that chIFITM3 was
6 expressed successfully in **developing** chicks, a **transgene** chIFITM3-specific
7 quantitative RT-PCR was carried out. Owing to the expression of codon-optimized
8 chIFITM3 through RCASBP(A), the PCR distinguished the transgene from
9 endogenously expressed chIFITM3. Using this system, a significantly higher level of
10 chIFITM3 was detected in tracheal RNA from chickens **infected with** RCASBP(A)-
11 chIFITM3 compared to control groups (either **transgene-expressing** chicks, or non-
12 **transgene carrying**-chicks) indicating the successful expression of chIFITM3 (**Fig. 2D**).
13 Collectively, these findings highlight that RCASBP(A) virus causes no significant
14 **observable** alterations in chicks and thus can reliability be challenged with HPIAV to
15 demonstrate the antiviral actions of chIFITM3 *in vivo*.
16 There is a direct correlation between infectious virus dose and the severity of the
17 clinical infections [12]. Additionally, the **genetics of the** H5N1 and host determine the
18 clinical outcome of the infection. Therefore, it was critical to determine the inoculum
19 titre of H5N1 virus that was able to induce clinical disease in chickens. Based on our
20 previous studies [12], **the** pre-optimized dose of 10⁴ EID₅₀ (hereafter called clinical
21 dose) of H5N1 strain A/chicken/Egypt_128s_2012 (clade 2.2.1.2) was used as a
22 challenge virus to demonstrate the antiviral potential of chIFITM3 in chicks.
23 **We observed full protection of chIFITM3-expressing** chicks from clinical signs against
24 challenge with the clinical dose of H5N1 virus. **In contrast**, H5N1-challenged chicks
25 showed severe clinical signs from the 3rd day post-virus inoculation compared to

1 chicks in mock and non-challenged (negative control) which remained healthy. In
2 addition, 60% of chicks expressing chIFITM3 were protected from clinical challenge
3 without any apparent clinical disease (Fig. 3A). These results revealed that chicks
4 over-expressing chIFITM3 show disease tolerance which resulted in delayed clinical
5 signs by at least 7 days. Overall, the results show that the over-expression of chIFITM3
6 has a substantial impact on the outcome of the H5N1 infection (disease and mortality).
7 Next, oropharyngeal swabs were collected from all groups (RCASBP(A)-chIFITM3,
8 RCASBP(A)-WT, and mock-treated) before the challenge and after every alternative
9 day post-clinical challenge to evaluate if chIFITM3 can mediate a reduction in virus
10 shedding through oropharyngeal routes. The virus quantification results showed that
11 chicks over-expressing chIFITM3 following clinical challenge showed a significant
12 reduction in virus shedding (Fig. 3B) indicating that chIFITM3 is a key factor in virus
13 replication that contributes to lowering influenza viral shedding.

14 Additionally, trachea, lung, and spleen organs were collected from chIFITM3-
15 overexpressing challenged chicks, non-challenged, and non-inoculated (negative
16 control) chicks at PH30 followed by histopathological examination. The mock negative
17 control showed no observable histopathological lesions. Specifically, the trachea of
18 chIFITM3-expressing chicks showed focal necrosis of lamina epithelialis (black arrow),
19 congestion (red arrow) and inflammatory cells infiltration (blue arrow) (Fig. 3C). In
20 contrast, the trachea of mock positive control showed edema in the lamina
21 propria/sub-mucosal layer (arrow). Additionally, lungs of chIFITM3-expressing and
22 mock positive control chicks showed reduced inflammatory cells infiltration in the air
23 capillaries (black arrow) associated with interlobular edema (red arrow) compared to
24 control lungs, indicating alleviation of pathological lesions in the respiratory tract.
25 Correspondingly, spleen of chIFITM3-expressing and mock positive control showed

1 lymphocytic necrosis and depletion (arrow) compared to negative control. Collectively,
2 the histopathological observations indicate that over-expression of chIFITM3 reduced
3 the H5N1-induced pathology in studied organs which resulted in reduced clinical signs
4 in chicks.

5

6 **4. Discussion**

7 Virus-mediated innate immune responses and mechanistic observations vary between
8 avian and mammals, and these cross-species host restriction factors may determine
9 the steps involved in virus pathogenesis. The first line of defense against viral infection
10 is the activation of the innate immune response in almost all vertebrate cells [13, 14].
11 IFITM genes are one of the highly upregulated ISGs and are found in a wide variety
12 of animals including mammals, fish, birds, and amphibians. The chIFITM1 and
13 chIFITM3 have been genetically and functionally characterized [15] and although most
14 studies provide data in *in vitro* only, the results provide key antiviral functions and
15 suggest avenues for further investigations.

16 The IFITMs are widely expressed IFN-inducible proteins that prevent infection by
17 several viruses such as influenza A virus (IAV), West Nile virus (WNV), dengue virus
18 (DENV), severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), vesicular
19 stomatitis virus (VSV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) [4]. According to these studies
20 *Ifitm3*^{-/-} mice had increased vulnerability to respiratory syncytial virus [16], West Nile
21 virus [17], arthritogenic and encephalitic alphaviruses [18], and influenza [19].
22 Although previous research suggested a connection between IFITM3 and the control
23 of antiviral immunity, the direct effect of IFITM3 on viral replication has not been clearly
24 separated from any of IFITM3's immune-regulatory roles. Experimental data have

1 indicated that enhanced viral pathogenicity in hosts with weak or impaired IFITM3
2 activity is a result of impaired restriction of virus entry and replication [4].
3 In order to determine if chIFITM3 affects the pathogenesis of the influenza virus *in*
4 *vivo*, we applied an over-expression approach. While different approaches to generate
5 transgenic chicken have been applied for studying microbial pathogenesis and chicken
6 physiology (22), RCAS vector system offers a simple and convenient tool to study the
7 functions of cellular genes (11). We found that the checkpoint regulator chIFITM3 is a
8 crucial component of influenza-induced immunological dysfunction during *in vivo*
9 infection using the RCAS retrovirus gene transfer system. The chIFITM3 functions as
10 a rheostat of antiviral immunity that controls the pathogenic outcome of influenza virus
11 infection since chIFITM3 doesn't entirely prevent influenza virus replication. Our
12 results showed a non-significant body weight loss in RCAS-mediated transgene-
13 expressing chicks after hatching. In comparison, hatched chicks regained weight
14 efficiently and obtained comparable sizes to non-transgene expressing chicks. In this
15 study, chIFITM3-overexpressing chicks were established to further explore the *in vivo*
16 antiviral function of chIFITM3 against HPAIV subtype H5N1. Our results provide strong
17 evidence that chIFITM3 can significantly protect transgene-expressing chicks (~60%)
18 against the clinical dose of the H5N1 avian influenza virus that causes clinical disease.
19 Due to variable conditions that can influence poultry susceptibility to infections (20-
20 21), further investigation was carried out to understand the impact of chIFITM3 against
21 a pre-determined clinical dose of H5N1. The results revealed that the clinical dose of
22 H5N1 considerably reduced the clinical outcome when used in transgene-
23 overexpressing chicks, which indicates the ability of innate immunity to protect against
24 HPAIV H5N1. We have previously applied a similar approach to investigate the impact
25 of chIFITM1 against the influenza virus and noticed a higher and profound inhibition

1 of influenza-induced pathology in chicken (11) compared to chIFITM3 reported in this
2 study. Collectively, our findings revealed that over-expression of chIFITM3 or
3 chIFITM1 reduces not only the clinical disease in H5N1-infected chicks but also virus-
4 induced pathological lesions and virus shedding.

5 To conclude, findings indicate the importance of the innate immune system in
6 establishing an antiviral state against HPAIV. The presented data provide proof of the
7 capacity to generate virus-resistant chickens which can protect food and inhibit the
8 long-term spread of zoonotic viruses to humans. Gaining a further understanding of
9 factors that influence the susceptibility of poultry to avian influenza viruses will help to
10 reduce the risks to animal and human health.

11

12 **Ethical clearance**

13 The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committees of Central Laboratory
14 for Evaluation of Veterinary Biologics (CLEVB), Egypt.

15

16 **Funding**

17 This study was funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research
18 Council (BBSRC) (BB/M008681/1, BB/M008681/2, BB/L026546/1 and
19 BBS/E/I/00001852) and the British Council (172710323 and 332228521).

20

21 **Declaration of competing interest**

22 Authors declare no competing interest.

23

24 **Acknowledgement**

1 Authors are thankful to CLEVB and VSVRI staff for helping through the challenge
2 experiments.

3

4 **References**

5 [1] Bouvier NM and Palese P. The biology of influenza viruses. *Vaccine* 2008 Sep
6 12;26 Suppl 4(Suppl 4):D49-53.

7 [2] Wrensch F, Karsten CB, Gnirß K, Hoffmann M, Lu K, Takada A, Winkler M,
8 Simmons G, Pöhlmann S. Interferon-Induced Transmembrane Protein-Mediated
9 Inhibition of Host Cell Entry of Ebolaviruses. *J Infect Dis.* 2015 Oct 1;212 Suppl
10 2(Suppl 2):S210-8.

11 [3] Olive C. Pattern recognition receptors: sentinels in innate immunity and targets of
12 new vaccine adjuvants. *Expert Rev Vaccines.* 2012 Feb;11(2):237-56.

13 [4] Diamond MS, Farzan M. The broad-spectrum antiviral functions of IFIT and IFITM
14 proteins. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2013 Jan;13(1):46-57.

15 [5] Graber JJ and Dhib-Jalbut S (2014). Interferons. *Encyclopedia of the*
16 *Neurological Sciences*, Elsevier 2014; 718–723. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-385157-
17 4.00182-2.

18 [6] White MR, Doss M, Boland P, Tecle T, Hartshorn KL. Innate immunity to
19 influenza virus: implications for future therapy. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol.* 2008 Jul
20 1;4(4):497-514.

21 [7] Winkler M, Wrensch F, Bosch P, Knoth M, Schindler M, Gärtner S, Pöhlmann S.
22 Analysis of IFITM-IFITM Interactions by a Flow Cytometry-Based FRET Assay. *Int J*
23 *Mol Sci.* 2019 Aug 8;20(16):3859.

- 1 [8] Desai TM, Marin M, Chin CR, Savidis G, Brass AL, Melikyan GB. IFITM3 restricts
2 influenza A virus entry by blocking the formation of fusion pores following virus-
3 endosome hemifusion. *PLoS Pathog.* 2014 Apr 3;10(4):e1004048.
- 4 [9] Rohaim MA, Santhakumar D, Naggar RFE, Iqbal M, Hussein HA, Munir M.
5 Chickens Expressing IFIT5 Ameliorate Clinical Outcome and Pathology of Highly
6 Pathogenic Avian Influenza and Velogenic Newcastle Disease Viruses. *Front*
7 *Immunol.* 2018 Sep 14;9:2025.
- 8 [10] Santhakumar D, Rohaim MAMS, Hussein HA, Hawes P, Ferreira HL, Behboudi
9 S, Iqbal M, Nair V, Arns CW, Munir M. Chicken Interferon-induced Protein with
10 Tetratricopeptide Repeats 5 Antagonizes Replication of RNA Viruses. *Sci Rep.* 2018
11 May 1;8(1):6794.
- 12 [11] Rohaim MA, Al-Natour MQ, Abdelsabour MA, El Naggar RF, Madbouly YM,
13 Ahmed KA, Munir M. Transgenic Chicks Expressing Interferon-Inducible
14 Transmembrane Protein 1 (IFITM1) Restrict Highly Pathogenic H5N1 Influenza
15 Viruses. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2021 Aug 6;22(16):8456.
- 16 [12] Kothlow S, Schenk-Weibhauser K, Ratcliffe MJ, Kaspers B. Prolonged effect of
17 BAFF on chicken B cell development revealed by RCAS retroviral gene transfer in
18 vivo. *Mol Immunol.* 2010 Apr;47(7-8):1619-28.
- 19 [13] Muñoz-Moreno R, Cuesta-Geijo MÁ, Martínez-Romero C, Barrado-Gil L,
20 Galindo I, García-Sastre A, Alonso C. Antiviral Role of IFITM Proteins in African
21 Swine Fever Virus Infection. *PLoS One.* 2016 Apr 26;11(4):e0154366.

- 1 [14] Blyth GA, Chan WF, Webster RG, Magor KE. Duck Interferon-Inducible
2 Transmembrane Protein 3 Mediates Restriction of Influenza Viruses. *J Virol.* 2015
3 Oct 14;90(1):103-16.
- 4 [15] Yu J, Li M, Wilkins J, Ding S, Swartz TH, Esposito AM, Zheng YM, Freed EO,
5 Liang C, Chen BK, Liu SL. IFITM Proteins Restrict HIV-1 Infection by Antagonizing
6 the Envelope Glycoprotein. *Cell Rep.* 2015 Oct 6;13(1):145-156.
- 7 [16] Poddar S, Hyde JL, Gorman MJ, Farzan M, Diamond MS. The Interferon-
8 Stimulated Gene IFITM3 Restricts Infection and Pathogenesis of Arthritogenic and
9 Encephalitic Alphaviruses. *J Virol.* 2016 Sep 12;90(19):8780-94.
- 10 [17] Bailey CC, Huang IC, Kam C, Farzan M. Ifitm3 limits the severity of acute
11 influenza in mice. *PLoS Pathog.* 2012 Sep;8(9):e1002909.
- 12 [18] Infusini G, Smith JM, Yuan H, Pizzolla A, Ng WC, Londrigan SL, Haque A,
13 Reading PC, Villadangos JA, Wakim LM. Respiratory DC Use IFITM3 to Avoid Direct
14 Viral Infection and Safeguard Virus-Specific CD8+ T Cell Priming. *PLoS One.* 2015
15 Nov 23;10(11):e0143539.
- 16 [19] Navid, MT, Awais, MM, Anwar, MI, Akhtar, M. Seroprevalence of Avian
17 Influenza Viruses in Asymptomatic Backyard Poultry Birds in District Multan,
18 Pakistan. *Pak. J. Zool.* 2023 February: 55,1, 1-500.
- 19 [20] Qadir, MF, Han, XY, Qiao M, Cheng, QQ, Mangi, RA, Jahejo, RA, Khan, A, Bi,
20 YH, Tian, WX. Profiling of Apoptosis-Related Genes in Erythrocytes of Chickens
21 Infected with Avian Influenza Virus (H9N2 Subtype). 2022. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 54:1,
22 199-206.

- 1 [21] Shahzad, R, Irshad, S, Amin, F. Phylogenetic and Mutational Analysis of
2 Neuraminidase of Avian Influenza A (H9N2) Virus of B2- Sub Lineage, Isolated from
3 Commercial Poultry Pakistan. 2022. Pakistan J. Zool., Vol. 54, Iss. 2, pp. 729-736
- 4 [22] Bednarczyk M, Kozłowska I, Łakota P, Szczerba A, Stadnicka K, Kuwana T.
5 Generation of transgenic chickens by the non-viral, cell-based method: effectiveness
6 of some elements of this strategy. J Appl Genet. 2018 Feb;59(1):81-89.
- 7 [23] Smith SE, Gibson MS, Wash RS, Ferrara F, Wright E, Temperton N, Kellam P,
8 Fife M. Chicken interferon-inducible transmembrane protein 3 restricts influenza
9 viruses and lyssaviruses in vitro. J Virol. 2013 Dec;87(23):12957-66.
- 10 [24] Samir M, Hamed M, Abdallah F, Kinh Nguyen V, Hernandez-Vargas EA,
11 Seehusen F, Baumgärtner W, Hussein A, Ali AAH, Pessler F. An Egyptian HPAI
12 H5N1 isolate from clade 2.2.1.2 is highly pathogenic in an experimentally infected
13 domestic duck breed (Sudani duck). Transbound Emerg Dis. 2018 Jun;65(3):859-
14 873.
- 15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

1 **Figure captions:**

2 **Fig. 1.** Construction and confirmation of RCASBP(A) expression system for
3 chIFITM3. (A) schema for the generation of recombinant RCASBP viruses in which
4 *src* gene was replaced with chIFITM3. (B) Confirmation of RCASBP(A)-mediated
5 stable expression of chIFITM3 using immunofluorescence assay. Stably-infected
6 cells expressing chIFITM3 were fixed, permeabilized and stained using anti-flag
7 antibodies targeting the N-terminal flag fused with the chIFITM3 (C) Stable cells
8 expressing chIFITM3 or wild type cells were infected with HPAIV for 24 hours with an
9 moi of 1.0. Cell supernatant was used to quantify the secreted virus using plaque
10 assay. The data represent experiments conducted in triplicate. **** indicates the
11 level of significance at p value < 0.0001.

12 **Fig. 2.** Experimental layout for transgene-overexpression and impact of chIFITM3 on
13 hatchability and weight gain of hatched chicks. (A) Experimental layout to generate
14 chicks expressing chIFITM3. ED represents embryonation day and PH represents
15 post hatching days. (B) Comparison of hatchability percentage for eggs post-
16 chIFITM3 or mock inoculation. (C) Average body weight gain in percentage in chicks
17 post hatching compared to mock chicks. (D) Expression of chIFITM3 in RCASBP(A)-
18 chIFITM3 infected and H5N1 challenged chicks compared to chicks infected with
19 (RCASBP(A)-WT) and mock inoculated (neg. ctrl). Asterisks (****) indicates level of
20 significant differences (p=0.0001).

21 **Fig. 3.** Clinical outcome of transgene-expressing chicks compared to wild type
22 chicks challenged with HPAIV. (A) Percentage survival rates of RCASBP(A)-
23 chIFITM3 and RCASBP(A)-WT infected and challenged chicks with clinical doses of
24 H5N1 compared to mock inoculated chicks (negative and positive control groups).

1 (B) Evaluation of viral shedding from oropharyngeal swabs of virus challenged and
2 RCASBP(A)-chIFITM3 and RCASBP(A)-WT infected chicks compared to mock
3 inoculated chicks (negative and positive control groups). (C) Photomicrographs
4 representing H&E-stained sections of tracheas, lungs and spleen collected from
5 RCASBP(A)-chIFITM3 infected and H5N1 challenged chicks compared to mock
6 inoculated chicks (negative and positive groups) at post hatching day 30. (scale bar
7 = 25um). **** indicates the level of significance at p value < 0.0001.