#### **Dusk-Dawn Asymmetries in SuperDARN Convection** 1 Maps 2

M.-T. Walach<sup>1</sup>, A. Grocott<sup>1</sup>, E. G. Thomas<sup>2</sup>, F. Staples<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YW, UK <sup>2</sup>Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA <sup>3</sup>Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

# Key Points:

3

4 5 6

7

8	•	We study dusk-dawn asymmetries in 7 years of SuperDARN convection maps which
9		are introduced by different solar wind orientations, or the data processing
10	•	Asymmetries due to IMF $B_y$ can occur in the strength and location of the con-
11		vection cells, and the return flow width
12	•	Asymmetries due to the background model are likely to occur in the locations of
13		the convection cells

Corresponding author: Maria-Theresia Walach, m.walach@lancaster.ac.uk

### 14 Abstract

The Super Dual Auroral Radar Network (SuperDARN) is a collection of radars built to 15 study ionospheric convection. We use a 7-year archive of SuperDARN convection maps, 16 processed in 3 different ways, to build a statistical understanding of dusk-dawn asym-17 metries in the convection patterns. We find that the dataset processing alone can intro-18 duce a bias which manifests itself in dusk-dawn asymmetries. We find that the solar wind 19 clock angle affects the balance in the strength of the convection cells. We further find 20 that the location of the positive potential foci is most likely observed at latitudes of  $78^{\circ}$ 21 for long periods (>300 minutes) of southward IMF, as opposed to  $74^{\circ}$  for short periods 22 (<20 minutes) of steady IMF. For long steady dawnward IMF the median is also at 78°. 23 For long steady periods of duskward IMF, the positive potential foci tends to be at lower 24 latitudes than the negative potential and vice versa during dawnward IMF. For long pe-25 riods of steady Northward IMF, the positive and negative cells can swap sides in the con-26 vection pattern. We find that they move from  $\sim$ 0-9 MLT to 15 MLT or  $\sim$ 15-23 MLT 27 to 10 MLT, which reduces asymmetry in the average convection cell locations for North-28 ward IMF. We also investigate the width of the region in which the convection returns 29 to the dayside, the return flow width. Asymmetries in this are not obvious, until we se-30 lect by solar wind conditions, when the return flow region is widest for the negative con-31 vection cell during Southward IMF. 32

## <sup>33</sup> Plain Language Summary

At high latitudes, near the Earth's magnetic pole, the ionosphere moves around in 34 a dual-cell pattern: The convection moves from the dayside, over the magnetic pole to-35 wards the nightside and then flows return back to the dayside at lower latitudes. Both 36 cells tend to be centred away from the pole, one towards the dusk side and one towards 37 the dawn side. The two cells have a tendency to be asymmetric with the dusk cell typ-38 ically larger and stronger. Asymmetries in the two convection cells are often attributed 39 to changes in the solar wind as there is a physical connection between the ionosphere and 40 the solar wind. The mechanisms which describe this interaction are well known but some 41 of the datasets with which we measure ionospheric convection have unquantified uncer-42 tainties associated with them. One of the longest running measurement systems of the 43 ionospheric convection is the Super Dual Auroral Radar Network (SuperDARN). This 44 ground-based system was built specifically to measure ionospheric convection and it is 45 often used to make convection maps of the ionosphere. Over the years, more radars have 46 been added to the network and the software used to process the data has been updated. 47 In this study we use different versions of the convection maps to statistically investigate 48 6 years of ionospheric convection asymmetries and understand which of the asymmetries 49 were introduced by a change in the dataset and which by the solar wind. We look at the 50 location and strength of the cells and the width of the return flow region, which constrains 51 the size of the cells. 52

## 53 1 Introduction

#### 1.1

54

### 1.1 Ionospheric Convection

Ionospheric convection results from the flow of magnetic flux in the magnetosphere. 55 The convection informs on the state of the magnetosphere and accurate measurements 56 of convective electric fields in the ionosphere are important to correctly interpret global 57 magnetospheric dynamics. A common way to remote sense the convection on a global 58 scale, is to use convection maps. Convection maps are large scale maps, showing iono-59 spheric convection around the magnetic poles. Ionospheric convection maps usually show 60 a two-cell convection pattern with the ionospheric plasma flowing from the dayside across 61 the polar region towards the nightside (e.g. Greenwald et al., 1995). From there, the iono-62 spheric plasma moves back to the dayside at lower latitudes. This convection pattern 63

is understood to change according to the solar wind driving of the magnetosphere-ionosphere
system and nightside responses (e.g. S. W. Cowley, 1981a; S. Cowley, 1981b; S. W. H. Cowley, 1982; S. W. H. Cowley et al., 1991; M. Freeman et al., 1991; S. W. H. Cowley & Lockwood, 1992, 1996; S. W. H. Cowley, 2000; Grocott et al., 2002, 2003; M. P. Freeman, 2003;
Lockwood & Morley, 2004; Grocott et al., 2008; Milan et al., 2017; Walach et al., 2017).

Solar wind coupling of the magnetosphere-ionosphere system not only drives ac-69 tivity but also asymmetries. A non-zero IMF  $B_y$  component will impose a torque on the 70 magnetic field flux tubes and affect their transport from the dayside to the nightside (S. W. Cow-71 72 ley, 1981a). This imposes a twist in the open magnetic flux and results in a skewed ionospheric convection pattern (e.g. Ruohoniemi & Greenwald, 2005; Haaland et al., 2007). 73 For example the dawn convection cell is typically smaller than the dusk cell and a pos-74 itive IMF  $B_{y}$  component rotates the convection cell patterns, such that the main flow 75 channel goes across the polar cap, from 10:00 to 21:00 MLT (e.g. Walsh et al., 2014). 76

Even without an IMF  $B_u$  component however, the convection cells are rarely sym-77 metric about the noon-midnight meridian. Whilst much of the ionospheric convection 78 dynamics are attributed to solar wind driving of the magnetosphere, this lack of sym-79 metry about the noon-midnight meridian can be attributed to nonuniformities in iono-80 spheric conductivity (Atkinson & Hutchison, 1978). The strong conductivity gradients 81 in the ionosphere across the day-night terminator squeezes the plasma flow more strongly 82 toward the dawnside of the polar cap, which can be modelled by simulations (Tanaka, 83 2001). The result is a slight clockwise rotation to the convection pattern, which then re-84 sults in the open flux being diverted towards the duskside of the magnetotail. The re-85 connection in the plasma sheet is thus also asymmetric and further introduces asymme-86 tries into the magnetosphere (Smith, 2012). A prevailing IMF  $B_y$  component can intro-87 duce asymmetries which not only dictate substorm onset location but also enhance the 88 asymmetries further (Grocott et al., 2017). Another resulting plasma flow due to asym-89 metries is the Sub-Auroral Polarization Stream (SAPS), which are separate and equa-90 torward of the convection pattern (e.g. Yeh et al., 1991; Foster & Vo, 2002). Whilst SAPS 91 coincide with fast flows in the ionosphere, they are said to be a separate phenomenon 92 from convection but questions around their generation mechanism remain: For exam-93 ple, Sangha et al. (2020) observed SAPS as a direct result of a bifurcation in the Region-94 2 currents, which means they may be, at least initially, directly connected to the con-95 vection cells and thus contribute to asymmetries in the convection pattern or arise from 96 such. 97

98

# 1.2 SuperDARN Convection Maps

Convection maps provide a useful tool in studying ionospheric convection. A wellqq established way to construct these is to combine data from the Super Dual Auroral Radar 100 Network (SuperDARN). This consists of high-frequency coherent scatter radars built to 101 study ionospheric convection by means of Doppler-shifted pulse sequences and has been 102 widely used in space physics and ionospheric research (e.g. Greenwald et al., 1995; Ruo-103 honiemi & Greenwald, 1996; Chisham et al., 2007; Nishitani et al., 2019). SuperDARN 104 data are continuously available from 1993, with the network having expanded over time 105 from one radar (built in 1983) to 23 radars in the Northern hemisphere, 13 in the South-106 ern hemisphere and more under construction. This expansion has allowed for a greater 107 area to be covered by SuperDARN (i.e. down to magnetic latitudes of  $40^{\circ}$ ) with at least 108 16 different look directions for each radar along which different ranges can be sampled. 109 Line-of-sight measurements by this large-scale network of radars can be combined and 110 used to construct a picture of high-latitude ionospheric convection on time scales of 1-111 2 minutes (Ruohoniemi & Baker, 1998). The radars can be grouped into high-latitude 112 radars (the original network), polar-latitude radars (or PolarDARN), and mid-latitude 113 radars (or StormDARN). Nishitani et al. (2019) provides a summary from a historical 114 northern hemisphere perspective: high-latitude radars, at magnetic latitudes of  $50-70^{\circ}$ 115

were first built, starting in 1983 with the Goose Bay radar, followed by the PolarDARN radars (covering 70-90° magnetic latitude), and the expansion to mid-latitudes (~40-50°), starting in 2005 with the Wallops Island radar. Over time new radars have added to the global ionospheric convection mapping increasing the number of measurements and look directions. The SuperDARN data product most commonly used by the space science and ionospheric research community is the convection map.

In order to produce SuperDARN convection maps, five key data processing steps 122 have to be undertaken: (1) Data from different radars are median filtered and combined 123 124 onto an equal area polar grid. This allows for (2) the exclusion of data from particular radars or the specification of a range limit for the scatter. For example, slow moving E-125 region scatter can and should be removed by setting the minimum range gate limit to 126 800 km (an empirical suggestion from Forsythe and Makarevich (2017); Thomas and Shep-127 herd (2018)). It has become apparent that far range data beyond 2000 km may also be 128 problematic owing to geolocation uncertainties in the range finding algorithm (Chisham 129 et al., 2008; Thomas & Shepherd, 2022). (3) Once the data have been filtered and com-130 bined, the latitude of the equatorward extent of the convection, or equivalently the lat-131 itude of zero electrostatic potential, is determined. This is done by fitting the data to 132 a Heppner-Maynard Boundary (HMB) (Heppner & Maynard, 1987; Shepherd & Ruo-133 honiemi, 2000). (4) Data from an empirical statistical model, hereafter referred to as the 134 'background model', is then added to the grid. The model is parameterised by a mix of 135 IMF conditions and solar wind velocity depending on the model. Inclusion of this data 136 is necessary to ensure a sufficient spatial distribution of data for the subsequent step. (5) 137 A fitting algorithm is applied which fits an electrostatic potential in terms of spherical 138 harmonic functions to the data (Ruohoniemi & Greenwald, 1996; Ruohoniemi & Baker, 139 1998). To find the optimal solution for the spherical harmonic coefficients, a singular value 140 decomposition (e.g. Press, W. H. and Teukolsky, S. A. and Vetterling W. T. and Flan-141 nery B. P., 2007) is minimised. This method is also known as the 'Map Potential' tech-142 nique. With the expansion of the radar network, as well as data processing software im-143 provements, the resulting data product has undergone several changes. 144

Grocott et al. (2012) studied the dependence of the convection patterns on the IMF 145 using the spherical harmonic coefficients from the convection maps and found IMF  $B_Y$ -146 dependencies on the magnitude of the dawn and dusk electric potentials. Grocott and 147 Milan (2014) studied the time-dependence of the SuperDARN convection cells by com-148 puting the mean of the spherical harmonic coefficients for different solar wind clock an-149 gles and steadiness timescales of the solar wind. They found that the steadiness of the 150 solar wind is important for introducing asymmetries into the convection maps: if the IMF 151 clock angle stays in one sector for longer, asymmetries introduced by the solar wind, such 152 as the dusk-dawn asymmetry in the size of the convection cell become more pronounced. 153 For example, if the IMF is pointing dawnward  $(B_Y-)$ , the dusk cell tends to enhance 154 and the convection throat rotates towards the afternoon sector, whereas when the IMF 155 is pointing duskward  $(B_Y+)$ , the convection throat tends to rotate towards the early morn-156 ing sector. An interesting finding from Grocott and Milan (2014) is that the dawn cell 157 is, on average, always smaller than the dusk cell under all IMF conditions. 158

Studies looking at dusk-dawn convection asymmetries using SuperDARN, such as 159 the one by Grocott and Milan (2014), have often used averaging to draw conclusions, 160 but questions remain on how persistent some of the asymmetry features are? Further-161 more, the SuperDARN data availability and data processing have changed over the years 162 and it is reasonable to assume that these may further affect measured asymmetries: Walach 163 et al. (2022) conducted a large scale analysis of how changes to data availability and new 164 mapping techniques has influenced derived convection maps over the history of Super-165 DARN operations. The authors found that the expansion of the radar network and pro-166 cessing decisions can have a measurable impact on the resulting convection map dataset. 167 It was shown that when the number of backscatter points per map is high (n > 200), 168

the fitting is more reliable, especially when a range limit is applied. Walach et al. (2022)169 also showed that for low n maps, the cross polar cap potential (CPCP) is often relying 170 on the background model. This is particularly apparent when the RG96 (Ruohoniemi 171 & Greenwald, 1996) model is used as the model bins are discrete, whereas more mod-172 ern models such as TS18 (Thomas & Shepherd, 2018) and Cousins and Shepherd (2010) 173 are able to interpolate between model bins and therefore avoid obvious model-bias. The 174 Heppner-Maynard Boundary (HMB) (Heppner & Maynard, 1987), the low-latitude bound-175 ary where the convection speeds approach 0 m/s, also suffers from this model-dependent 176 quantization. This previous study also showed that introducing PolarDARN radars tends 177 to decrease the cross polar cap potential (CPCP), the total electrostatic potential which 178 the cells hold. Adding StormDARN radars to the network on the other hand, tends to 179 increase the CPCP. 180

An aspect that was not covered by Walach et al. (2022) is the effect of the changes in the SuperDARN convection map dataset on the dusk-dawn asymmetries. Asymmetries in the electrostatic potential, as well as the location of the convection cells will affect the map morphologies and can therefore affect scientific conclusions drawn.

In this paper we probe the effects on dusk-dawn asymmetries statistically to systematically isolate the effects of;

- 1. Differing IMF conditions for short and long timescales of IMF steadiness,
- 2. A limited dataset with High-latitude and PolarDARN data only,
  - 3. A more complete dataset with the addition of the StormDARN data,
  - 4. Updating of the background statistical model from RG96 to TS18,
- <sup>191</sup> and the asymmetries introduced by these.

Using the same dataset as in Walach et al. (2022), we study the strength and location of the negative and positive potential cells, as well as the size of the return flow region. This allows us to investigate any large-scale dusk-dawn asymmetries in the convection map dataset.

## 196 2 Methods

197

187

189

190

# 2.1 SuperDARN Data Processing

To provide a meaningful large scale comparison of different versions of the Super-198 DARN dataset, we process Northern hemisphere data to create different versions of the 199 SuperDARN convection maps for the same time period (2012-2018). To make SuperDARN 200 convection maps we process the raw data using the Radar Software Toolkit (RST (SuperDARN 201 Data Analysis Working Group et al., 2018)), which can be broken down into the 5 steps 202 summarized in section 1.2 and described in detail in Walach et al. (2022). For Walach 203 et al. (2022), we created 5 versions of the dataset to compare to each other (D0 to D4), 204 but here we will only use 3 (D1, D3 and D4) as these are found to exhibit the most ap-205 parent differences in dusk-dawn asymmetries. For detailed information on the data pro-206 cessing, we refer the reader to the appendix in Walach et al. (2022). The D1 dataset in-207 cludes the high-latitude radars only with a range limit and the RG96 background model. 208 The basic data processing is the same for all the datasets, except for the following dif-209 ferences (see also Table 1 in Walach et al. (2022)): 210

- D1: High-latitude radars only with range limit and RG96
- D3: High-latitude, PolarDARN and StormDARN radars (all radars) with range limit and RG96
- D4: High-latitude, PolarDARN and StormDARN radars (all radars) with range limit and TS18

Convection maps are calculated for each dataset using the varying combination of map data and background model. Datasets D1 and D3 use the Ruohoniemi and Greenwald (1996) (RG96) background model, whereas dataset D4 uses the more up to date Thomas and Shepherd (2018) (TS18) background model. By including PolarDARN and StormDARN radars in datasets D3 and D4, and using the most up to date background model in D4, we simulate the historical expansion of the SuperDARN dataset and updates to mapping techniques.

Range limits are added to datasets D1-D4 to attempt to reduce all possible E-Region scatter and backscatter with higher uncertainties in projected location (Chisham et al., 2008; Forsythe & Makarevich, 2017; Thomas & Shepherd, 2018). When the range limits are applied, only backscatter data between 800-2000 km is included. This is the best solution on a statistical level, and applying these range limits will remove most E-region scatter (from ranges less than 800 km) and most of the data with higher uncertainty (from ranges greater than 2000 km).

Comparing D1 against D4 allows us to see how the historical version of the dataset 230 compares to the most modern set-up. This means we can clearly distinguish the asym-231 metries created by a limited dataset with fewer radars, compared to a more complete 232 dataset with all the radars. Comparing D3 against D4 on the other hand, allows us to 233 see the direct influence of the background model on the convection maps created with 234 the same radar data. The RG96 model is the oldest background model available and this 235 was built when only radar data from the Goose Bay radar was available using data from 236 1987 to 1993 (Ruohoniemi & Greenwald, 1996), whereas the TS18 background model was 237 built using all the radar data from 23 radars for 2010 to 2016 (inclusive). The data used 238 for these two background models differs not only in extent but also due to different so-239 lar wind conditions brought by the varying solar cycle. Though the sunspot number was 240 higher for the data used for the RG96 model, the number of radars creates more differ-241 ences in the model than the underlying solar cycle (Thomas & Shepherd, 2018). 242

## 2.2 Convection Map Parameters

243

Having established this archive of 2-minute resolution convection map files, we ex-244 tract a set of measured parameters with which to quantify the dusk-dawn asymmetries 245 in the ionospheric convection maps. We extract the strength and location of the nega-246 tive and positive electrostatic potential cells, as well as their latitudinal distance to the 247 HMB, which we will from now on refer to as the the return flow width. The strength of 248 the negative and positive potentials are simply the lowest and highest potentials in the 249 map, respectively, which is a standard output from the map potential technique. The 250 return flow width is the latitudinal distance between the cell centre (i.e. the location of 251 the peak in the negative or positive potential) and the HMB at the same magnetic lo-252 cal time (MLT). The return flow region is a key indicator of geomagnetic activity. For 253 the same potential gradient, a narrow region will mean the voltage is distributed over 254 a smaller width leading to faster flows in the ionosphere, whereas a larger width for the 255 same potential gradient will mean slower convective flows. An asymmetry in the return 256 flow width between dusk and dawn, will mean that one side of the magnetosphere sees 257 increased plasma convection in comparison to the other. Such an asymmetry will be linked 258 to asymmetries in magnetospheric morphologies and it is thus important to character-259 ize. 260

Figure 1 shows an example of four instantaneous convection maps, which we have chosen to illustrate the extracted measurements and the solar wind conditions by which we further sub-sample. We have chosen example maps from time periods when the solar wind has pointed in the same solar wind direction  $(\pm 15^{\circ})$  for more than 300 minutes. Each map is labelled with the relevant solar wind conditions and these are also shown by the red vector in the clock-angle diagram to the top right of each convection map.



**Figure 1.** Four instantaneous convection maps showing the four solar wind conditions by which we will later sub-sample: duskward, northward, dawnward and southward IMF. Key features related to our measurements are highlighted in purple (see main text).

For each convection map in Fig. 1, the magnetic pole is the centre of the map, dusk 267 is towards the left, dawn towards right, midnight towards the bottom and noon towards 268 the top. Colour-coded vectors show the SuperDARN line-of-sight measurements for each 269 map. Black solid contours show the negative potential cells, which tend to lie on the dusk-270 side of the map and black dashed contours show the positive potential cells, which tend 271 to lie on the dawn-side of the maps. In each map, some key features related to our mea-272 surements are highlighted in purple: The duskward IMF map and consecutive maps high-273 light the two foci of the negative and positive convection cells as purple  $\times$  and +, respec-274 tively. The contours surrounding the foci show the electrostatic potentials, which are equiv-275 alent to the convection cells. The number on the bottom right of each map, also high-276 lighted in purple shows the CPCP. On the northward IMF map in Fig.1, we have labelled 277 the dusk- and dawn sides of the maps and we see that the negative and potential cells 278 have now switched sides across the noon-meridian. This can be a key feature during north-279 ward, dawnward or duskward IMF. Later, we will explore the frequency at which this 280 occurs. On the dawnward IMF convection pattern in Fig.1, we have highlighted the con-281 vection throat, where plasma flows from the dayside into the polar cap. We have not ex-282 plicitly extracted this feature, but it is an important morphological constraint which we 283 will mention again. The map for southward IMF in Fig.1 illustrates the return flow re-284 gions. The purple arrows illustrate the width of the return flow regions of the negative 285 and the positive convection cells. 286

Having extracted the aforementioned parameters as a timeseries from the Super-DARN convection maps, we condense the timeseries data into probability distribution functions (PDFs) for each parameter. First, we will compare the above mentioned parameters from the negative to the positive potential cells for the D4 dataset to each other. This allows us to establish a general baseline of the asymmetries present.

We then further sub-sample the D4 dataset by high  $n \ (n > 200)$  and times when 292 the solar wind clock angle is purely pointing northward  $(0 \pm 15^{\circ})$ , dawnward  $(-90 \pm$ 293  $15^{\circ}$ ), duskward ( $90 \pm 15^{\circ}$ ) or southward ( $180 \pm 15^{\circ}$ ). We look at these data for when 294 these clock angle conditions are fulfilled for a short while ( $\tau < 20$  minutes) and for a 295 long time ( $\tau > 300$  minutes). In either case, these conditions must be fulfilled at least 296 90% of the time, which allows for very short solar wind deviations. This allows us to test 297 for solar wind control of any asymmetries in the location and strength of the convection 298 cells, as well as the importance of solar wind steadiness. Adding a limit for n reduces 299 the reliability on the background model and thus allows us to isolate asymmetries that 300 are a consequence of the solar wind conditions. We produce PDFs for these sub-sampled 301 datasets which allows us to readily compare the different distributions. 302

Using PDFs, we then compare the parameters in datasets D1 and D3 with D4, the most modern set-up, which we use as our control dataset. We compare D1 and D4 to see how the historical dataset compares to the most modern set-up. A comparison between D3 to D4 allows us to see the effects on the convection maps of changing the background model only once all radars have been added. Our approach allows us to further investigate how the expansion of the network has changed the measured parameters by comparing the figures showing D1 versus D4 to D3 versus D4.

### 310 3 Results

Figure 2 a to d shows a summary of the asymmetries seen in the D4 dataset., which 311 represents the modern SuperDARN set-up. Panel a shows the magnitudes of the neg-312 ative against the positive potentials. More data lies below the line of unity (77%), as op-313 posed to above (22%) which means the negative potential cell is more likely to be stronger. 314 Panel b shows the return flow width of the negative and positive potential cells against 315 each other, which show no discernible asymmetry (53%) of data lie below the line of unity 316 and 46% lie above the line of unity). Panel c show the cell foci's latitudes plotted against 317 each other. These show some clear asymmetries. The distribution of data is skewed to-318 wards the top of the plot, which means the positive potential cell is more likely to 319 be located near the geomagnetic pole. Overall, 47% of the data lie above the line of unity 320 (i.e. the positive potential cell focus is closer to the geomagnetic pole), and 42% of data 321 lie below the line of unity (i.e. the negative potential cell focus is closer to the geomag-322 netic pole). The remaining 11% lie on the line of unity. Panel d shows the MLT loca-323 tions of the negative and positive potential cell foci plotted against each other. Here we 324 have defined the the MLT position as  $MLT^*=24$ -MLT for the negative focus, such that 325 the asymmetries are easily spotted. We see that the MLT location of the foci is also skewed: 326 The negative cell focus has more data concentrated at lower MLT values (0 to 10 MLT<sup>\*</sup> 327 has 97% of the x-axis data) than the positive cell focus at higher values (0 to 10 MLT 328 has 93% of y-axis data). In other words the negative cell is most likely to be located in 329 the evening sectors on the nightside, whereas the positive cell is most likely to be located 330 in the early morning sectors (<10 MLT). Instances where both convection foci are lo-331 cated on the dayside (6 < MLT < 18) only comprise 8% of all data. 332

333

### 3.1 Sub-sampling by Solar Wind Conditions

Next, we will look at which asymmetries are controlled by solar wind conditions. 334 For this analysis, we use a sub-sample of the D4 dataset, where n > 200 only, which al-335 lows us to ensure that the influence of the background model is minimised (Walach et 336 al., 2022). This leaves us with 25% of the total data. We further split this data into times 337 when the solar wind had a steady clock angle for up to 20 minutes (short  $\tau$ ) and for more 338 than 300 minutes (long  $\tau$ ). We consider clock angles for southward IMF (clock angle=180°±25°), 339 northward IMF (clock angle= $0^{\circ}\pm 25^{\circ}$ ), dawnward IMF (clock angle= $-90^{\circ}\pm 25^{\circ}$ ) and duskward 340 IMF (clock angle= $90^{\circ}\pm 25^{\circ}$ ). Figure 3 and 4 show these data as PDFs. The left column 341 shows short  $\tau$  and the right column shows long  $\tau$ . Different colours indicate the differ-342 ent solar wind conditions, where dark blue shows southward IMF, light blue shows north-343 ward IMF, green shows dawnward IMF and yellow shows duskward IMF. In each case, 344 the lower (25%) and upper (75%) quartiles are highlighted by the coloured blocks and 345 the vertical lines show the medians. 346

Panels a and b, and c and d in Fig.3 show the negative and positive potential, respectively. Panels a to d show generally that both potential cells are weakest for northward IMF and strongest for southward IMF, followed by duskward IMF for the negative potential and dawnward for the positive potential cell. The IMF  $B_z$  and solar wind velocity distributions for the dawnward and duskward IMF are examined further in Figure SI1, which shows that they can be considered similar for dawnward and duskward



Figure 2. Panels a to d show a summary of asymmetries for D4. Panels a to d show the data from the negative cells against the data from the positive cells for the potential strength, the return flow width, the latitudinal location of the cell foci, and the MLT location of the cell foci (MLT\*=24-MLT), respectively.

IMF in each case. For long  $\tau$  and southward IMF, we see the dark blue medians moved 353 from -29 to -56kV (panels a to b) and 25 to 41kV (panels c to d). For northward IMF 354 the distributions do not change much when the IMF timescale changes from short to long 355  $\tau$ , but the differences between duskward and dawnward distributions become more pro-356 nounced for long  $\tau$ . In all cases, the negative potentials' magnitudes are larger than the 357 positive potentials', which means the negative potential cell holds more of the convec-358 tive flow. Panels e, f, g and h in Fig.3 show the return flow width for the negative and 359 positive potential cells. Panel e shows that all four IMF distributions are similar for the 360 short  $\tau$ . All medians are between 10 and 14°, which is contrasted by the long  $\tau$  distri-361 butions shown in panel f: Now the dark blue distribution for southward IMF has widened 362 and the median is now highest (above  $18^{\circ}$ ). The return flow width for duskward IMF 363 is the second most likely to be wider than in panel e (above  $17^{\circ}$ ), whereas the distribu-364 tions for dawnward and northward IMF barely change from short  $\tau$  to long  $\tau$ . Panel g 365 shows the return flow width for the positive potential cell and short  $\tau$ . The distributions 366 for short  $\tau$  shown here are very similar to panel e above, except for dawnward IMF for 367 which the median is shifted higher by a few degrees (to around  $14^{\circ}$ , as opposed to  $11^{\circ}$ ). 368 Whilst the change for dawnward IMF is fairly minimal, for southward IMF we see a more 369 considerable change. For long  $\tau$  (panel h), the southward IMF distribution has again shifted 370 to the right (median at  $28^{\circ}$ ), which means we are more likely to observe a wider return 371 flow width of the positive potential cell during southward IMF. 372

The analysis which follows in Figure 4 is a continuation of Fig. 3. Fig. 4 panels 373 a to d summarise the latitudinal location of the cell foci and panels e to h summarise 374 the MLT location of the cell foci. Panels a and c show that the latitudinal locations of 375 the cell foci are similar, though duskward IMF drives the negative potential cell focus 376 much closer to the magnetic pole (panel a, yellow distribution) than any of the other dis-377 tributions in panel a. In panel b, the vellow distribution is less further to the right of the 378 plot, which means that for dawnward IMF the negative potential cell focus lies closer 379 to the magnetic pole. The median of the yellow distribution in panel c is at  $77^{\circ}$ , whereas 380 in panel a, it was at  $79^{\circ}$ . For long periods of duskward IMF, this pattern becomes more 381 obvious: the negative potential cell's focus is located nearest to the pole. We see that 382 in panel b all the other distributions have spread out too: the negative potential cell fo-383 cus's latitudinal position for long periods of northward IMF has a median of 79°, for long 384 periods of dawnward IMF the median is 76° and for southward IMF it has moved equa-385 torward from 78° for short  $\tau$  to 74°. In panel c, the distributions are much closer bunched 386 together, such that they are almost indistinguishable. The distribution for the dawnward 387 IMF conditions (in yellow) now has a median of  $78^{\circ}$  as opposed to  $82^{\circ}$  in panel a. Com-388 paring panels c and d, the distributions stay largely the same, except for southward IMF 389 where the cell focus moves closer to the pole as the median moves from 77° for short  $\tau$ 390 to 68° for long  $\tau$ . Overall, both cell foci lie furthest away from the pole for long  $\tau$  dur-391 ing southward IMF. 392

Panels e to h show the MLT location of the convection cell foci. Panel e shows that 393 most of the negative potential foci lie between 15 and 21 hrs, irrelevant of solar wind con-394 ditions. Panel f shows that for longer  $\tau$  this is still the case, but we also see a secondary 395 peak in the northward IMF and duskward IMF foci near 10 MLT. This secondary peak 396 is also existent in panel e, but it becomes more obvious in panel f than e, as a larger pro-397 portion of the cell foci sit near 10 MLT. The positive potential cell foci's MLT location 398 is similarly steady under different solar wind conditions: For both panels g and h, the 399 majority of all distributions fall between 3 and 8 hrs. We also see a secondary peak around 400 13 MLT, but only for northward IMF. 401

### 402 3.2 Sub-sampling by Dataset

Figure 5 a to c show the PDFs of the negative potential for D1 and D3 against D4 and D3 where n>200 against D4 where n>200 and panels d to f show the equivalent pos-



Figure 3. Panels a to h show PDFs of D4 where n>200 and the clock angle was steady for a given amount of time, the rows show different parameters (negative potential, positive potential, return flow width of the negative and positive potential cells), and each column shows the sub-sample of the data corresponding to different steadiness timescales: up to 20 minutes (left) and more than 300 minutes (right column). The different coloured PDFs correspond to varying solar wind conditions: southward IMF (-155°  $\geq$ clock angle>155°) in dark blue; northward IMF (-25°  $\leq$ clock angle<25°) in light blue; dawnward IMF (-115°  $\leq$ clock angle>-65°) in green; duskward IMF (65°  $\leq$ clock angle>115°) in yellow. The coloured blocks indicate the majority of the data, bounded by the lower (25%) and upper (75%) quartiles. The vertical lines indicate the medians of each distribution.



**Figure 4.** Panels a to h show PDFs of D4 where n>200 and the clock angle was steady for a given amount of time. The rows show different parameters which describe the cell foci locations (latitude of negative cell foci, latitude of positive cell foci, MLT of negative potential cell foci and MLT of positive potential cell foci), and each column shows the sub-sample of the data corresponding to different steadiness timescales: up to 20 minutes (left) and more than 300 minutes (right column). The different coloured PDFs correspond to varying solar wind conditions: southward IMF (-155° >clock angle>155°) in dark blue; northward IMF (-25° ≤clock angle<25°) in light blue; dawnward IMF (-115° ≤clock angle>-65°) in green; duskward IMF (65° ≤clock angle>115° in yellow. The coloured blocks indicate the majority of the data, bounded by the lower (25%) and upper (75%) quartiles. The vertical lines indicate the medians of each distribution.

itive potential distributions. For D1 (panels a, and d), the negative cell is generally stronger 405 than the positive, which creates an asymmetry in the convection pattern. The magni-406 tude of both potentials primarily fall within the 0 to 40 kV range. For panels a and d, 407 94% and 99% of the D1 data, respectively fall below 40 kV magnitude. When we consider which proportion of the data for D1 and D4 falls within the 0 to 40 kV magnitude 409 range, this becomes a smaller portion of the data, but it is still the overwhelming ma-410 jority with 85% and 98%, respectively. In panels b and e, once the entire radar network 411 is included and we compare D3 to D4, the potential strength increases for the negative 412 potential cell (93% of the D3 dataset are now at magnitudes below 40kV). When we in-413 troduce a backscatter echo threshold of 200 (most righthand column), we expect the con-414 vection maps to rely less on the background model and to thus be more reliable. We see 415 this take an effect when we compare panels a,b, and d and e to panels c, and f, respec-416 tively: The RG96 background model quantizes and we see vertical striations in the elec-417 trostatic potential. This is due to not enough data being available and the data process-418 ing thus relies strongly on the background model. These vertical striations were also de-419 tected by Walach et al. (2022) in the CPCP, who attributed this to the discrete binning 420 in the RG96 model. This can also be seen to some extent in panels b and e here, though 421 the effect is less obvious when all radars are included due to improved data coverage. When 422 we compare panels a and d to panels c and f, the quantization effect disappears entirely. 423 TS18 linearly interpolates between model bins, so the effect is not existent in the hor-424 izontal direction in any of panels a to f. Panels g to i show the PDFs of the return flow 425 width for the negative potential cell and panels i to l show the equivalent for the pos-426 itive potential cell. Generally, the return flow width shows little dependence on the back-427 ground model but data coverage is important. Panels g and j show that the return flow 428 width for both cells is always less than  $30^{\circ}$  for D1 in comparison to D4, which spans the 429 full 40° range. This is due to the limited radar coverage in the D1 dataset, as we observe 430 the return flow width extending for D3 (panels h and k). Panels h and k show a reduced 431 amount of scatter in comparison to g and j, which means the D3 return flow width is more 432 likely to be more similar to D4's. Panels i and I have less scatter, which indicates that 433 when data coverage is high, the return flow width becomes more stable, regardless of the 434 background model used. 435

Figure 6 shows the PDFs for the latitudinal and MLT location of the negative and 436 positive cell locations in the same format as Fig.5. Panels a and d show that the D4 lat-437 itudinal cell location is more variable in the D4 dataset than in D1 due to the data be-438 ing distributed in a fairly narrow band in the x-direction in comparison to the y-direction. 439 Comparing panels a and d it seems that the positive cell is more likely to lie at lower lat-440 itudes than the negative cell as the scatter in the x-direction covers a wider range in panel 441 d. If we consider the amount of convection cell foci which lie below  $75^{\circ}$  we conclude that 442 this the case: In panel d, 18% of the D1 convection cell foci lie below 75°, whereas in panel 443 a this is only 3%. If we consider what percentage of cell foci in D4 and D1 lie below  $75^{\circ}$ , 444 we find that this is 2% and 7% for the negative and positive potential cells, respectively. 445 Panels b and e show the latitudinal location of the negative and positive potential cells 446 for D3 against D4. In contrast to panels a and d, these show the range of the data ex-447 tending to lower latitudes in the x-direction. This is due to the D3 dataset including all 448 radars, which means the improved data coverage allows the cell foci to be located at a 449 wider variety of latitudes. The percentage of negative cell foci (panel b) which lie be-450 low  $75^{\circ}$  in D3 and D4 is at 8% and for positive cell foci (panel e), this is at 12%, so the 451 balance is similar as for panels a and d where the negative cell foci are more likely to be 452 located at a lower latitude. Panels c and f show the subset of these data, where n > 200. 453 These show a reduced version of panels b and e but no clear differences are seen between 454 455 panels c and f and panels b and e, which means the asymmetries in the cell foci's latitudinal location due to the background model are existent whether or not a data thresh-456 old is introduced. There would be no background model influence if all data was distributed 457 on or near the line of unity. Panels g to l show the negative and positive cell foci's MLT 458 location. Panel g shows a vertical stripe between 15 to 20 MLT, where 95% of the cell 459



Figure 5. Panels a to c show the PDFs of the negative potential strength for D1, and D3 against D4, and D3 (n>200) against D4 (n>200). Panels d to f show the PDFs of the positive potential strength for D1, D3 against D4, and D3 (n>200) against D4 (n>200). Panels g to i show the PDFs of the return flow width for the negative potential cell for D1, D3 against D4, and D3 (n>200) against D4 (n>200). Panels j to l show the PDFs of the return flow width for the positive potential cell for D1, D3 against D4, and D3 (n>200) against D4 (n>200). Panels j to l show the PDFs of the return flow width for the positive potential cell for D1, D3 against D4, and D3 (n>200) against D4 (n>200). Panels j to l show the PDFs of the return flow width for the positive potential cell for D1, D3 against D4, and D3 (n>200) against D4 (n>200).

foci are located in the D1 dataset, whilst for D4 only 80% of data falls within this range. 460 This tells us that there is a strong bias in the location with respect to the dataset. In 461 panel h, the vertical stripe is reduced in comparison to panel g, which means introduc-462 ing more data has varied the MLT location of the negative cell foci. Now only 89% of 463 the D3 cell foci's MLT location fall between 15 to 20 MLT. For panel i when a thresh-464 old of n > 200 is introduced, we see that the vertical structure reduces and instead be-465 comes a clear secondary peak at around 10 MLT. Interestingly, we do not see a symmet-466 ric peak in the D3 foci in panel i (i.e. in the top half of the plot), which means that al-467 though we have reduced the background model's influence, this asymmetry is inherent 468 to the background model. Panels j to l show the foci's MLT location for the positive po-469 tential cell. These show different features to panels g to i, owing to the asymmetries shown 470 in Fig. 2. In panel j, 97% of the D4 cell foci are located between 0 and 10 MLT, whereas 471 for D1 this is almost all the data with 99%. We see again a vertical structure extend-472 ing up to 15 MLT, but also a weaker horizontal extension of the main peak at 5 MLT. 473 In panel k, the main peak becomes more defined as 98% of cell foci in D3 are contained 474 between 0 and 10 MLT, yet both the vertical and horizontal extension of the peak re-475 main. Panel l also shows a main peak in the cell foci's location contained between 0 and 476 10 MLT: 96% of the D3 cell foci with n > 200 are located in this range. We also see fur-477 ther peaks between 15 and 20 MLT but these are less pronounced and occur for both 478 D3 and D4. This is different to the secondary peak we saw in panel i, which is primar-479 ily existent in the D4 dataset. This means that sometimes the cell foci change MLT lo-480 cation from the main peak to the other side of the noon-midnight meridian, but this is 481 more likely to occur for D4 than D3, which must be due to a bias in the background model. 482 In Fig. 4 we saw that this predominantly occurs for northward and duskward IMF. 483

Figure 7 shows the asymmetries in the datasets. The column layout is the same 484 as in Figs. 5 and 6 but each parameter now shows the differences between the positive 485 and negative cells, so we can establish how the asymmetries vary. Panels a to c show the 486 sum of the potentials (i.e. negative potential + positive potential). When this quantity 487 is close to 0, the asymmetry between the negative and positive potentials is small. When 488 this quantity is positive, the positive cell is dominating and when the sum is negative, 489 the negative cell is dominating. Panel a shows that in both D1 and D4 the negative cell 490 is mostly dominant. The large amount of scatter in panel a indicates that the asymme-491 tries are not necessarily correlated between D1 and D4. Panel b shows the potential strength 492 asymmetries for D3 against D4. Here, the asymmetries are largely correlated with each 493 other. The range of the spread is within  $\sim 20$  kV from the line of unity, indicating that 494 the background model accounts for approximately 20 kV in the variation of the asym-495 metry. Panel c shows the same comparison when only high n (>200) maps are selected. 496 Now the scatter has reduced but overall, the PDF is similar to panel b, which means the 497 asymmetry differences between the two background models are not fully removed. 498

Panels d to f show the asymmetries in the return flow width (i.e. negative cell's width 499 - positive cell's width). A negative value in these panels indicates that the positive cell's 500 return flow region is wider than the negative cell's and vice versa. In panel d, 45% of the 501 differences are positive for D1 and D4 and 35% are negative. This means that the neg-502 ative cell's return flow width is 10% more likely to be observed to be wider than the pos-503 itive cell's. This balance becomes slightly more pronounced in panel e, where 47% and 504 36% of the values are positive and negative, respectively. Panel f shows a reduction in 505 scatter in comparison to panel e, but the balance between asymmetries stays approxi-506 mately the same with 47% and 37% of values showing a positive and negative difference, 507 respectively. 508

Panels g to i show the asymmetries in the latitudinal position of the cell foci (i.e. negative cell foci latitude - positive cell foci latitude). In panel g, most of the differences in D1 are clustered within  $0\pm10^{\circ}$ , which means the asymmetries in the foci locations are minimal in comparison to D4. In the y-direction of panel g, the asymmetries span the



Figure 6. The columns are the same as in Fig.5: D3 against D4, and D3 (n>200) against D4 (n>200). Panels a to c show the PDFs of the negative potential latitude location. Panels d to f show the PDFs of the positive potential latitude location. Panels g to i show the PDFs of the negative potential's MLT location and panels j to l show the PDFs of the positive potential's MLT location.

entire  $\pm 30^{\circ}$  range. Panel h shows that once all radars are introduced (D3), the data spreads a wide range in the x-direction also, adding to the asymmetry. In panel h we see that the asymmetries are roughly correlated with each other, but there is a large spread in values also. In panel i, where we have reduced the dataset, this spread is also reduced.

Panels j to l show the asymmetries in the MLT position of the cell foci (i.e. pos-517 itive cell foci MLT<sup>\*</sup> - negative cell foci MLT). A positive value here means the positive 518 cell focus is further away from the noon meridian than the negative cell focus. Panel j 519 shows a strong asymmetry in the cell foci's MLT positions for both D1 and D4, but per-520 521 haps less in the D1 than in the D4. In panel k, we see the asymmetries are more orientated near the line of unity. In panel l, the scatter has reduced but the main data struc-522 tures remain the same as in panel k: a proportion of points are clustered above the line 523 of unity near -5 and 10 hours in D4. This means that the background model is having 524 an effect on the asymmetries, otherwise all points would lie near to the line of unity, es-525 pecially when we select by high n only (panels in final column). 526

## 527 4 Discussion

Our observations have uncovered a number of dusk-dawn asymmetries in the SuperDARN convection maps. Overall, the magnitude of the negative potential cell tends to be stronger than the positive potential cell and the locations of cell foci are not symmetrically distributed. The asymmetries can largely be broken down into two groups: Asymmetries introduced by the background model and asymmetries due to solar wind control. We will now discuss the results in these contexts.

#### 534

## 4.1 Asymmetries due to Solar Wind Control

We have shown that there are clear asymmetries in the negative and positive po-535 tentials when we select by high data threshold: the negative potential is stronger, and 536 tends to lie at lower latitudes. Since this only becomes apparent when we select maps 537 with a high n, it is suggestive of a systematic asymmetry which we attribute to solar wind 538 control of the system. This is not a new observation and there is prior evidence for this: 539 Walach and Grocott (2019) and Walach et al. (2021) showed that during geomagnetic 540 storms for example, when the solar wind driving is particularly strong, the convection 541 pattern moves generally to lower latitudes, and is asymmetric with the dusk cell being 542 stronger, which in the case of a two-cell convection pattern is equivalent to the negative 543 potential being stronger. Kumar et al. (2020) also showed that a strong IMF  $B_u$  com-544 ponent rotates the electrodynamical boundary between the dawn and dusk convection 545 cells because they are linked via the field aligned current system to the ring current. They 546 link this to alterations in the MLT distribution of ring current asymmetry, especially over 547 timescales when the IMF  $B_y$  component is enhanced for ~12 hours or more. Since data 548 for particularly long steady IMF periods, such as the  $\tau \geq 12$  hours used by Kumar et 549 al. (2020), are binned in our study together with shorter  $\tau$  ( $\geq 5$  hours), the cell foci's MLT 550 locations are fairly similar for dawnward and duskward IMF. 551

Murr and Hughes (2007) also studied IMF conditions and their effects on ionospheric 552 convection by examining the coherence between IMF measurements from the GEOTAIL 553 mission and ionospheric equivalent flows derived from magnetometers. Murr and Hughes 554 (2007) found that the coherence is higher for the North-South component and IMF  $B_z$ 555 than the East-West convection component and the IMF  $B_{y}$  component. Overall, they 556 also found that the coherence drops by a factor of three between the periods 32 and 21 557 min. We therefore expect convection responses to the solar wind to be more effective for 558 short  $\tau$ . We find however that the PDFs differ more for long  $\tau$  than short  $\tau$ , indicating 559 that the large scale features in the convection pattern are more affected by longer  $\tau$  IMF 560 direction. Grocott and Milan (2014) also found that the convection asymmetries become 561 more pronounced over longer timescales, but only when the IMF  $B_z$  is northward. Grocott 562



**Figure 7.** Panels a to c show the PDFs of the asymmetry in the potential (the sum of the -ve potential +ve potential, for D1, D3 and D3 where n>200 against D4. Panels d to f show the PDFs of the asymmetry in the return flow width (the difference between the -ve cell width and the +ve cell width) for D1, D3 and D3 where n>200 against D4. Panels g to i show the PDFs of the asymmetry in the the foci's latitudinal positions (the difference between the negative and positive cell foci's latitudinal positions) for D1, D3 and D3 where n>200 against D4 and panels j to 1 show the PDFs of asymmetry in the foci's MLT positions (the difference between the positive cell foci's MLT\* position and the negative foci's MLT position) for D1, D3 and D3 where n>200 against D4.

and Milan (2014) find that the negative potential cell responds similarly to solar wind forcing, but the positive potential cell does not vary much in potential for dawnward IMF. We speculate that this is due to the differing methods used by Grocott and Milan (2014), who calculated average convection patterns. This discrepancy in results is likely due to the fact that Murr and Hughes (2007) only looked at the convection throat and we have studied parametrisations of the convection pattern overall.

When we filter our data further by solar wind conditions, the convection cells are 569 strongest during southward, followed by dawn- or duskward IMF, depending on the cell. 570 571 Our results largely agree with those from Grocott and Milan (2014), as we find that the negative potential cell becomes on average stronger for duskward IMF than dawnward 572 IMF and the positive potential cell becomes on average stronger for dawnward IMF than 573 duskward IMF (see Fig. 3). In this study this is more pronounced during longer inter-574 vals of steady IMF, whereas in Grocott and Milan (2014) only the negative potential cell 575 increases strongly for long  $\tau$  under duskward IMF. We further find that asymmetries in 576 the location of the convection cells become particularly pronounced for northward and 577 duskward IMF. When we filter the data for longer periods ( $\tau > 300$  minutes) of steady 578 IMF, the location of the positive potential tends to be at latitudes of  $73^{\circ}$  for southward 579 IMF, whereas for dawnward IMF the location tends to be nearer to  $82^{\circ}$ . For duskward 580 IMF, the positive potential tends to be at lower latitudes than the negative potential and 581 vice versa during dawnward IMF. These results largely match with the findings of Grocott 582 and Milan (2014), who used SuperDARN data to calculate the average convection pat-583 tern for different clock angles and IMF timescales: Grocott and Milan (2014) also found 584 that for duskward IMF the positive potential tends to lie at lower latitudes than the neg-585 ative potential and vice versa for dawnward IMF. However, Grocott and Milan (2014) 586 did not find that the convection pattern expands to as low latitudes as we did, but we 587 know from Fig 6 (panels a and d) that this is due to the variation in analysis methods 588 and to the fact that they used only data from 2000-2006, when no mid-latitude radars 589 where built in the Northern hemisphere. The results from Grocott and Milan (2014) would 590 be closer to our D1 results, which we have not split by solar wind conditions. Our re-591 sults make it clear that behind every average convection pattern, lies a multitude of pos-592 sibilities. When data is averaged together, the convection maps will most likely tend to 593 favour higher latitudes, where backscatter is more likely to be observed due to better cov-594 erage by the radar network. 595

We find that the return flow width differs for the negative and positive potentials, 596 when we select by solar wind conditions: it is clearly widest for southward IMF. This 597 is not a surprise, as we expect convection to be stronger and span a larger range of lat-598 itudes during southward IMF, especially over longer timescales of steady IMF. Walach 599 et al. (2021) for example showed that during the main phase of a storm in particular, 600 when the IMF is southward, often for several hours, the return flow width becomes wider 601 than usual. We find that the return flow width has little systematic asymmetry associ-602 ated with it and we postulate that this is due to the very symmetric HMB, which is used 603 in the SuperDARN mapping. Whilst the dayside portion of the HMB is rotated slightly 604 clockwise toward earlier local times and is thus slightly asymmetric, but this is accounted 605 for as the convection cell foci are on average closer to the nightside than the dayside (see 606 Fig. 2, panel d). 607

We find that for long periods of steady IMF, the negative and positive potentials 608 can swap MLT sector, as they move from  $\sim$ 0-9 MLT to 14 MLT or  $\sim$ 15-20 MLT to 10 609 MLT, which means the asymmetry in how far the average foci locations are from the noon-610 meridian is reduced as the swapping of MLT sectors for the positive and negative cells 611 brings both potential locations to  $\pm 2$  hrs from noon. If the negative potential cell is lo-612 cated near dawn and the positive cell near dusk, the convection cells reverse. During long 613  $\tau$ , we find that the largest asymmetry is now likely to be present under duskward IMF 614 conditions, where the possibility of observing the potential focus location spans a large 615

range of MLT sectors. Unfortunately, it is not possible to establish a comparison between 616 this result and those obtained by Grocott and Milan (2014) due to their study showing 617 an average pattern for each solar wind condition. They do however find that when the 618 IMF has been northward for a longer period of time, a four-cell pattern can establish, 619 where a pair of reverse convection cells appears on the dayside at high latitudes due dual 620 lobe reconnection, which closes open flux by reconnecting open field lines from the north-621 ern and southern hemispheres with each other (Russell, 1972; Burke et al., 1979; Reiff 622 & Burch, 1985; Greenwald et al., 1995; Imber et al., 2007). These reverse convection cells 623 usually appear superposed on top of the existing dual-cell convection pattern. During 624 intervals of northward IMF with a  $B_y$  component, single lobe reconnection on open field 625 lines produces a single convection cell in the polar cap (e.g. Russell, 1972; Jørgensen et 626 al., 1972; S. Cowley, 1981b; Reiff & Burch, 1985; S. W. H. Cowley et al., 1991; Taylor 627 et al., 1998; Imber et al., 2007). Both dual lobe or single lobe reconnection move the peak 628 of the negative potential cell from dusk to dawn and vice versa (e.g. Reiff & Burch, 1985; 629 Imber et al., 2007). We are unable to distinguish between the two mechanisms here, but 630 we do see a clear correlation with the IMF direction. Imber et al. (2007) report: "dual 631 lobe reconnection would be expected to cease when the clock angle exceeds  $\pm 15^{\circ}$ ; at which 632 point single lobe reconnection would be expected to recommence". This explains why 633 we see the negative and positive potentials swap positions not only when the IMF is purely 634 northward, but also when it is pointing dawn- or duskward, though during dawn- or duskward 635 IMF it occurs preferentially for short IMF steadiness intervals. 636

Taylor et al. (1998) used SuperDARN and DMSP data to show that flow recon-637 figurations in the ionosphere associated with northward IMF can start to occur on short 638 timescales ( $\sim 2 \text{ min}$ ). This does however not necessarily mean a swapping of positions 639 of the convection cell foci as these flows can be superposed on existing dual-cell convec-640 tion. Our statistics agree with the timescales shown by Taylor et al. (1998) and we show 641 that the positional swapping of the convection cells can happen on short and long timescales 642 of steady IMF, but is more likely to occur for longer  $\tau$ . What is interesting is that the 643 findings by Grocott and Milan (2014) show that the reverse convection cell only over-644 powers the dual convection cell after  $\sim 240$  minutes. This would appear in our dataset 645 as a positional swapping of the negative and positive cell foci in MLT sector, whereas 646 we find that, statistically this can happen on shorter timescales too. 647

When we sub-sample D4 for n > 200 and solar wind conditions, we find that the 648 two convection cells are most likely to swap sides (i.e. the MLT of the positive poten-649 tial focus is higher than the MLT of the negative potential focus) when the IMF is north-650 ward. When the IMF has been northward for a long interval (>300 minutes), the po-651 sitional swap occurs  $\sim 1.1\%$  of the time, whilst these IMF (long  $\tau$  and northward IMF) 652 and n conditions are fulfilled only 0.05% overall. For the short intervals of northward 653 IMF shown in Fig. 4, this only occurs 4.1% of the time with the IMF conditions being 654 significantly more likely to occur (IMF conditions are fulfilled 0.31% of overall dataset). 655 This means that overall, the positional swap is 23 times more likely to be observed when 656 the IMF is pointing northward for short  $\tau$ . For long periods of duskward IMF, the two 657 convection cells swap MLT sectors less often: this occurs 0.37% of the time, which is re-658 flected by the fact that these solar wind conditions are fulfilled more often (0.15%) of the 659 entire dataset). Short periods of duskward IMF are statistically much more likely to oc-660 cur ( $\sim 0.42\%$  of all data) and yet, the convection cells are still not as likely to swap sides 661 for these conditions as during northward IMF (0.95%) of observable times). 662

This raises the question of how important the timescale of steady IMF is for the development of the reverse convection cell. In the past, different timescales have been reported for this. Imber et al. (2007) for example, observed the IMF clock angle passing gradually from -180° to 0° to 180° over the course of 3 h, but they report that the clock angle has to be  $\pm 15^{\circ}$  of northward IMF for dual lobe reconnection to occur. Similarly, Imber et al. (2006) estimated that the clock angle has to be  $\pm 10^{\circ}$  for dual lobe reconnection to occur, but Imber et al. (2007) shows that lobe reconnection can occur as soon as the IMF clock angle is pointing  $\pm 15^{\circ}$ . Here we have shown that the convection cells can swap sides on short and long timescales, but it preferentially occurs when the IMF has been northward for short periods of time due to the higher possibility of the IMF conditions being fulfilled.

4.2 Asymmetries due to the Background Model

674

Similar to the CPCP investigated by Walach et al. (2022), we see striations in the strength of the potential cells (mainly in D1 and less obviously in D3) for the maps created using the RG96 background model. These disappear when we change the background model to TS18 (D4) or only use maps with a high data threshold (n > 200). As already discussed in Walach et al. (2022) this is due to the RG96 model choosing discrete bins, which the fitting algorithm will rely on when little data is available.

We find that the MLT locations of the negative and positive potentials are not evenly 681 distributed. That is to say, they are not mirrored around the noon meridian and do not 682 cover an equal range of MLT values. Some of this will be due to innate asymmetries in 683 the magnetosphere, as well as solar wind control, as discussed in the previous subsec-684 tion (see also Walsh et al., 2014), but there is also an asymmetry due to the chosen back-685 ground model. In particular, the negative potential's focus tends to be more confined 686 to specific MLTs in D1 and D3, but can cover a large range of MLTs in D4, which man-687 ifests itself as larger asymmetries for D4 than D3 and D1. This means the RG96 model 688 restricts the negative potential cell to a smaller range of MLTs than TS18. This is likely 689 due to the fact that RG96 was developed with data from only one radar, whereas TS18 690 used 23 geographically distributed radars. In the convection pattern, this is likely to man-691 ifest itself as a fairly stable dusk cell with a more mobile dawn cell. We find that the con-692 vection cells swap sides (i.e. lobe-reconnection cells have established themselves) 0.6%693 of the time for D3 and 0.5% of the time for D4, irrespective of solar wind conditions. When 694 we sub-sample D3 and D4 by n > 200, the convection cells swap sides 1.6% of the time 695 for D3 and 1.4% of the time for D4. As the reverse cells only occur under specific solar 696 wind conditions, we conclude that the bias in the convection cell placement manifests 697 itself little for times when the convection cells are strongly dependent on the IMF. It is 698 worth noting that whilst the background model can introduce a bias, it is generally less 699 likely to do so when a large number of datapoints is available for the fitting. Although, 700 indicating that whilst the background model can introduce a bias, it is generally less likely 701 to do so when a large number of datapoints is available for the fitting. This is shown in 702 the location in MLT of the convection cell foci which takes on a more discrete peak in 703 the PDFs (Fig. 6). Figure 7 showed that this is due to a reduction in scatter and asym-704 metries which are brought about by the background model remain. 705

We further saw in Figure 7 that the asymmetries in the electrostatic potential are correlated with each other for D3 and D4 (for n>200), indicating that these are driven by the data. Asymmetries in the positional placement of the foci however, remain when n>200 is introduced, and they are not necessarily correlated for D3 and D4, which means there is an inherent bias in the background model.

In the average maps characterised by solar wind conditions shown by Grocott and 711 Milan (2014), the IMF control shows that even when the IMF clock angle is pointing duskward 712 for a prolonged time, the dusk cell's potential is always higher than the dawn cell's. Whilst 713 we find that the negative (dusk) cell tends to hold a higher potential on average, we find 714 that it is possible for the dawn cell to hold a higher potential than the dusk cell. Inter-715 rogating our dataset, we find that for the dataset using the TS18 background model (D4), 716 the positive potential is stronger than the negative potential  $\sim 23\%$  of the time, whereas 717 in D3 (which uses the RG96 background model), this only occurs in  $\sim 10\%$  of the con-718 vection maps. This shows that there can be considerable asymmetries introduced by the 719

background model and depending which one is chosen, dusk-dawn asymmetries appearto varying degrees.

# 722 5 Summary

In this paper we have shown that there are systemic dusk-dawn asymmetries seen
 in SuperDARN convection maps. We have shown that these are due to a mixture of so lar wind control of the magnetosphere-ionosphere system and biases in the SuperDARN
 background models.

Observations in the data due to asymmetries introduced through solar wind con-trol:

729	• When the data is filtered by solar wind conditions, the convection potentials are
730	strongest during southward and dusk- or dawnward IMF. The positive potential
731	cell is strongest during sustained periods of steady dawnward IMF, and the neg-
732	ative potential cell is strongest for sustained periods of steady duskward IMF. Asym-
733	metries in the location of the potential foci become particularly pronounced for
734	dawnward and duskward IMF.
735	• The negative and positive potential foci can swap positions for north-, dusk- and
736	dawnward IMF and both short and long periods of steady IMF, but it is most likely
737	to be observed when the IMF is northward for short periods of time.
738	• When the data is filtered for long periods (at least 300 minutes) of steady IMF.
739	the location of the positive potential can be at latitudes down to $60^{\circ}$ for south-
740	ward IMF, whereas for dawnward IMF the location is contained to above 70°. For
741	duskward IMF, the positive potential tends to be at lower latitudes than the neg-
742	ative potential and vice versa during dawnward IMF.
743	• For long periods of steady IMF, when the reverse cells establish themselves, they
744	move from $\sim 0.9$ MLT to 15 MLT or $\sim 15-23$ MLT to 10 MLT, which means their
745	position with respect to 12 MLT reduces in asymmetry. The largest asymmetry
746	is now likely to be present under duskward IMF conditions, where we still see a
747	large spread away from the line of unity.
748	• The return flow width is similar for both the negative and positive potentials, un-
749	til we select by solar wind conditions, when the return flow region is clearly widest
750	for the negative potential under southward IMF.
751	Observations of asymmetries in the data due to background model:
752	• Clear asymmetries in negative versus positive potential when we select by a data
753	threshold $(n>200)$ : the negative potential is stronger, and tends to lie at lower lat-
754	itudes.
755	• Striations in the strength of the potentials (primarily in the maps using the RG96
756	background model) due to discrete binning of the background model
757	• By comparing different background models and a data threshold $(n>200)$ , we found
758	the background model used biased map potential fittings by influencing the RF
759	width, the location of the foci and strength of convection cell potentials.
760	• We found that introducing a data threshold does not eliminate the bias in the fit-
761	ting which introduces asymmetries in the foci locations.
762	Whilst we have shown general statistical results here, these uncovered asymmetries
762	may affect the conclusions drawn in statistical studies or individual case studies. In par

Whilst we have shown general statistical results here, these uncovered asymmetries may affect the conclusions drawn in statistical studies or individual case studies. In particular, we have shown that the SuperDARN background model affects the asymmetry of the convection maps and this can to some extent be mitigated by sub-sampling the dataset by using a minimal scatter-echo threshold. However, using a threshold does however not eliminate all asymmetries: The positional placement of the cell foci in partic<sup>768</sup> ular exhibits asymmetries that are bias due to the background model. This result means <sup>769</sup> that asymmetries presented in older SuperDARN studies (using the RG96 background <sup>769</sup> model) could have been influenced by the background model.

model) could have been influenced by the background model.

## 771 Open Research

All data used for this study are available open source. The authors acknowledge 772 the use of SuperDARN data. SuperDARN is a collection of radars funded by national 773 scientific funding agencies of Australia, Canada, China, France, Italy, Japan, Norway, 774 South Africa, United Kingdom, and United States of America, and we thank the inter-775 national PI team for providing the data. The authors acknowledge access to the Super-776 DARN database via the British Antarctic Survey (https://www.bas.ac.uk/project/superdarn/#data). 777 Other data mirrors are hosted by the Virginia Tech SuperDARN group (http://vt.superdarn.org/) 778 and the University of Saskatchewan (https://superdarn.ca/data-download). The Radar 779 Software Toolkit (RST) to process the SuperDARN data can be downloaded from Zen-780 odo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1403226 and references). All solar wind data used 781 to process the data were downloaded from NASA's SPDF Coordinated Data Analysis 782 Web (https://cdaweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/index.html/). The processed data used for this pub-783 lication is available under the DOI:10.17635/lancaster/researchdata/571 (Walach, 2022).

## 785 Acknowledgments

The authors thank the SuperDARN PIs for their continued work in making SuperDARN

data available and the SuperDARN Data Analysis Working Group in their ongoing ef-

forts to improve the software quality and accessibility. M.-T. W. and A. G. were sup-

ported by Natural Environments Research Council (NERC), UK, grant nos. NE/P001556/1

and NE/T000937/1. FF.S. was supported by NASA grants 80NSSC20K1402, 80NSSC20K1281,

and NSF grant 2149782. E. G. T. thanks the National Science Foundation (NSF) for sup-

<sup>792</sup> port under grants AGS-1934997. We gratefully acknowledge the use of Lancaster Uni-

versity's High End Computing Cluster, which has facilitated the necessary dataprocess-

<sup>794</sup> ing for this study.

## 795 References

- 796Atkinson, G., & Hutchison, D.(1978).Effect of the day night ionospheric con-<br/>ductivity gradient on polar cap convective flow.Journal of Geophysi-<br/>Journal of Geophysi-<br/>agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JA083iA02p00725800doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/JA083iA02p00725
- Burke, W., Kelley, M., Sagalyn, R., Smiddy, M., & Lai, S. (1979). Polar cap electric field structures with a northward interplanetary magnetic field. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 6(1), 21–24. doi: 10.1029/GL006i001p00021
- Chisham, G., Lester, M., Milan, S. E., Freeman, M. P., Bristow, W. A., Grocott, A.,
  Walker, D. M. (2007). A decade of the Super Dual Auroral Radar Network
  (SuperDARN): Scientific achievements, new techniques and future directions.
  Surveys in Geophysics, 28(1), 33–109. doi: 10.1007/s10712-007-9017-8
- Chisham, G., Yeoman, T. K., & Sofko, G. J. (2008). Mapping ionospheric
  backscatter measured by the superdarn hf radars part 1: A new empirical virtual height model. Annales Geophysicae, 26(4), 823–841. doi:
  10.5194/angeo-26-823-2008
- Cousins, E. D. P., & Shepherd, S. G. (2010). A dynamical model of high-latitude
  convection derived from superdarn plasma drift measurements. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 115 (A12). Retrieved from https://agupubs
  .onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2010JA016017 doi: 10.1029/
  2010JA016017

817 818	Cowley, S. (1981b). Magnetospheric and ionospheric flow and the interplanetary magnetic field. In AGARD The Phys. Basis of the Ionosphere in the Solar-
819 820	Cowley, S. W. (1981a). Magnetospheric asymmetries associated with the y-
821	component of the IMF. Planetary and Space Science, $29(1)$ , 79–96. doi:
822	10.1016/0032-0633(81)90141-0
823	Cowley, S. W. H. (1982). The Causes of Convection in the Earth's Magnetosphere:
824	A Review of Developments During the IMS. Reviews of Geophysics and Space
825	Physics, 20(3), 531–565. doi: 10.1029/RG020i003p00531
826	Cowley, S. W. H. (2000). Magnetosphere-ionosphere interactions: A tutorial review.
827	Magnetospheric Current Systems, Geophys. Monogr. Ser, 118, 91–106. doi: 10
828	.1029/GM118p0091
829	Cowley, S. W. H., & Lockwood, M. (1992). Excitation and decay of solar wind-
830	driven flows in the magnetosphere-ionophere system. Annales geophysicae, 10,
831	103–115.
832	Cowley, S. W. H., & Lockwood, M. (1996). Time-dependent flows in the coupled
833	solar wind-magnetosphere-ionosphere system. Advances in Space Research,
834	18(8), 141–150. doi: 10.1016/0273-1177(95)00972-8
835	Cowley, S. W. H., Morelli, J. P., & Lockwood, M. (1991). Dependence of convective
836	flows and particle precipitation in the high-latitude dayside ionosphere on the
837	x and y components of the interplanetary magnetic field. Journal of Geophysi-
838	cal Research: Space Physics, 96(A4), 5557-5564. doi: 10.1029/90JA02063
839	Forsythe, V. V., & Makarevich, R. A. (2017). Global view of the e region irreg-
840	ularity and convection velocities in the high-latitude southern hemisphere.
841	Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 122(2), 2467-2483. Retrieved
842	<pre>from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/</pre>
843	2016JA023711 doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JA023711
844	Foster, J. C., & Vo, H. B. (2002). Average characteristics and activity dependence
845	of the subauroral polarization stream. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space
846	<i>Physics</i> , $107(A12)$ . doi: $10.1029/2002JA009409$
847	Freeman, M., Ruohoniemi, J., & Greenwald, R. (1991). The determination of time-
848	stationary two-dimensional convection patterns with single-station radars.
849	Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 96(A9), 15735–15749. doi:
850	10.1029/91JA00445
851	Freeman, M. P. (2003). A unified model of the response of ionospheric convection to
852	changes in the interplanetary magnetic field. Journal of Geophysical Research:
853	Space Physics, 108(A1), 1–13. doi: 10.1029/2002JA009385
854	Greenwald, R. A., Baker, K. B., Dudeney, J. R., Pinnock, M., Jones, T. B., Thomas,
855	E. C., Yamagishi, H. (1995). Darn/superdarn. Space Science Reviews,
856	71(1), 761-796. doi: $10.1007/BF00751350$
857	Grocott, A., Cowley, S., Sigwarth, J., Watermann, J., & Yeoman, T. K. (2002).
858	Excitation of twin-vortex flow in the nightside high-latitude ionosphere
859	during an isolated substorm. Annales Geophysicae, 20, 1577–1601. doi:
860	10.5194/angeo-20-1577-2002
861	Grocott, A., Cowley, S. W. H., & Sigwarth, J. B. (2003). Ionospheric flow during
862	extended intervals of northward but By-dominated IMF. Annales Geophysicae,
863	21(2), $509-538$ . doi: $10.5194/angeo-21-509-2003$
864	Grocott, A., Laurens, H. J., & Wild, J. A. (2017). Nightside ionospheric convec-
865	tion asymmetries during the early substorm expansion phase: Relationship
866	Betrieved from https://orupuba.onlinelibrory.viley.com/doi/obs/
867	10 1002/2017CL 075763 doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2017CL 075762
000	Crocott $\Delta$ & Milan S E (2014) The influence of inf clock angle timescales
870	on the morphology of ionospheric convection Lowrad of Coonbusical Research.
07U 971	Snace Physics 119(7) 5861–5876 doi: 10.1002/20141A020136
011	Space 1 hyperes, 112 (1), 5001 5010. doi: 10.1002/201401020100

- Grocott, A., Milan, S. E., Imber, S. M., Lester, M., & Yeoman, T. K. (2012).А 872 quantitative deconstruction of the morphology of high-latitude ionospheric con-873 vection. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 117(A5). Retrieved 874 from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/ 875 2012JA017580 doi: 10.1029/2012JA017580 876
- Grocott, A., Milan, S. E., & Yeoman, T. K. (2008). Interplanetary magnetic field 877 control of fast azimuthal flows in the nightside high-latitude ionosphere. Geo-878 physical Research Letters, 35(L08102). doi: 10.1029/2008GL033545 879
- Haaland, S. E., Paschmann, G., Förster, M., Quinn, J. M., Torbert, R. B., McIlwain, 880 C. E., ... Kletzing, C. A. (2007). High-latitude plasma convection from cluster 881 edi measurements: method and imf-dependence. Annales Geophysicae, 25(1), 882 239-253. Retrieved from https://angeo.copernicus.org/articles/25/239/ 883 2007/ doi: 10.5194/angeo-25-239-2007 884
- Heppner, J. P., & Maynard, N. C. Empirical high-latitude electric field (1987).885 models. Journal of Geophysical Research, 92(A5), 4467–4489. doi: 10.1029/ 886 JA092iA05p04467 887
- Imber, S. M., Milan, S. E., & Hubert, B. (2006). The auroral and ionospheric flow 888 signatures of dual lobe reconnection. Annales Geophysicae, 24(11), 3115–3129. 889 Retrieved from https://angeo.copernicus.org/articles/24/3115/2006/ 890 doi: 10.5194/angeo-24-3115-2006 891
  - Imber, S. M., Milan, S. E., & Hubert, B. (2007). Observations of significant flux closure by dual lobe reconnection. Annales Geophysicae, 25(7), 1617–1627. doi: 10.5194/angeo-25-1617-2007
- Jørgensen, T. S., Friis-Christensen, E., & Wilhjelm, J. (1972).Interplanetary 895 magnetic-field direction and high-latitude ionospheric currents. Journal of 896 Geophysical Research, 77(10), 1976–1977. doi: 10.1029/JA077i010p01976 897
- Kumar, S., Veenadhari, B., Chakrabarty, D., Tulasi Ram, S., Kikuchi, T., & 898 Miyoshi, Y. (2020). Effects of imf by on ring current asymmetry under south-899 ward imf bz conditions observed at ground magnetic stations: Case studies. 900 Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 125(10), e2019JA027493. 901 902
  - Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/ 10.1029/2019JA027493 (e2019JA027493 2019JA027493) doi: https://doi.org/
  - 10.1029/2019JA027493

892

893

894

903

904

- Lockwood, M., & Morley, S. K. (2004). A numerical model of the ionospheric sig-905 natures of time-varying magnetic reconnection : I. ionospheric convection. An-906 nales Geophysicae, 22, 73–91. doi: 10.5194/angeo-22-73-2004 907
- Milan, S. E., Clausen, L. B. N., Coxon, J. C., Carter, J. A., Walach, M.-T., Laundal, 908 K., ... Anderson, B. J. (2017).Overview of solar wind-magnetosphere-909 ionosphere-atmosphere coupling and the generation of magnetospheric cur-910 Space Science Reviews, 206(1), 547–573. Retrieved from https:// rents. 911 doi.org/10.1007/s11214-017-0333-0 doi: 10.1007/s11214-017-0333-0 912
- Murr, D., & Hughes, W. (2007). The coherence between the imf and high-latitude 913 ionospheric flows: The dayside magnetosphere low-pass filter. 914 Re-
- Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 69(3), 223-233. 915
- trieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ 916 S1364682606002628 (Global Aspects of Magnetosphere-Ionosphere Coupling) 917 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2006.07.019 918
- Nishitani, N., Ruohoniemi, J. M., Lester, M., Baker, J. B. H., Koustov, A. V., Shep-919 herd, S. G., ... Kikuchi, T. (2019). Review of the accomplishments of mid-920 921 latitude super dual auroral radar network (superdarn) hf radars. Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 6(1), 27. doi: 10.1186/s40645-019-0270-5 922
- Press, W. H. and Teukolsky, S. A. and Vetterling W. T. and Flannery B. P. (2007). 923 Numerical recipes: The art of scientific computing. Cambridge University 924 Press. 925
- Reiff, P. H., & Burch, J. (1985).Imf by-dependent plasma flow and birkeland 926

927	currents in the dayside magnetosphere: 2. a global model for northward and
928	southward imf. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, $90(A2)$ ,
929	1595–1609. doi: 10.1029/JA090iA02p01595
930	Ruohoniemi, J. M., & Baker, K. B. (1998). Large-scale imaging of high-latitude con-
931	vection with Super Dual Auroral Radar Network HF radar observations. Jour-
932	nal of Geophysical Research, 103(A9), 20797. doi: 10.1029/98JA01288
933	Ruohoniemi, J. M., & Greenwald, R. A. (1996). Statistical patterns of high-latitude
934	convection obtained from Goose Bay HF radar observations. Journal of Geo-
935	10, 1000 /06 M01594 doi: 10, 1020 /06 M01584
936	10.1029/96JA01564 doi: $10.1029/96JA01564Puchanismi I M & Creanwold P A (2005) Dependencies of high latitude$
937	plasma convection: Consideration of interplanetary magnetic field seasonal
938	and universal time factors in statistical patterns Iournal of Geophysical Re-
939	search: Space Physics, 110(A09204). doi: 10.1029/2004JA010815
941	Russell, C. T. (1972). The configuration of the magnetosphere. In <i>Critical problems</i>
942	of magnetospheric physics (p. 1).
943	Sangha, H., Milan, S. E., Carter, J. A., Fogg, A. R., Anderson, B. J., Korth, H.,
944	& Paxton, L. J. (2020). Bifurcated region 2 field-aligned currents asso-
945	ciated with substorms. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics,
946	125(1), e2019JA027041. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary
947	.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JA027041 (e2019JA027041
948	10.1029/2019JA027041) doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JA027041
949	Shepherd, S. G., & Ruohoniemi, J. M. (2000). Electrostatic potential patterns in
950	the high-latitude ionosphere constrained by superdarn measurements. Journal
951	of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 105(A10), 23005-23014. Retrieved
952	from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/
953	2000JA0001/1  doi: 10.1029/2000JA0001/1
954	ionosphere coupling ProQuest Dissortations and Theses 107 Betrioved
955	from http://proquest_umi_com/login/athens(Copyright_Database
950	convright ProQuest LLC: ProQuest does not claim convright in the individual
958	underlying works: Last updated - 2022-01-05)
959	SuperDARN Data Analysis Working Group, P. m., Thomas, E. G., Ponomarenko,
960	P. V., Bland, E. C., Burrell, A. G., Kotyk, K., Walach, MT. (2018,
961	January). Superdarn radar software toolkit (rst) 4.1. Retrieved from
962	https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1143675 doi: 10.5281/zenodo.1143675
963	Tanaka, T. (2001). Interplanetary magnetic field b y and auroral conductance ef-
964	fects on high-latitude ionospheric convection patterns. Journal of Geophysical
965	Research: Space Physics, 106(A11), 24505-24516. Retrieved from https://
966	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JA900061 doi:
967	https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JA900061
968	Taylor, J. R., Cowley, S. W. H., Yeoman, T. K., Lester, M., Jones, T. B., Green-
969	wald, R. A., Hairston, M. R. (1998). Superdarn studies of the ionospheric
970	convection response to a northward turning of the interplanetary magnetic field $A_{\rm response}$ (defined from https://defined.
971	10, 1007/200585-008-0540-0, doi: 10,1007/200585,008,0540,0
972	Themas F C k Shephard S C (2018 apr) Statistical Patterns of Loncenhoria
973	Convection Derived From Mid-latitude High-Latitude and Polar Super-
975	DARN HF Radar Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research. Snace
976	Physics, 123(4), 3196-3216. Retrieved from http://doi.wilev.com/10.1002/
977	2018JA025280 doi: 10.1002/2018JA025280
978	Thomas, E. G., & Shepherd, S. G. (2022). Virtual height characteristics of
979	ionospheric and ground scatter observed by mid-latitude superdarn hf
980	radars. Radio Science, 57(6), e2022RS007429. Retrieved from https://
981	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022RS007429

982	(e2022RS007429 2022RS007429) doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2022RS007429
983	Walach, MT. (2022, October). [dataset]. Lancaster University PURE. Re-
984	trieved from https://doi.org/10.17635/lancaster/researchdata/571 doi:
985	10.17635/lancaster/researchdata/571
986	Walach, MT., & Grocott, A. (2019). Superdarn observations during geomagnetic
987	storms, geomagnetically active times, and enhanced solar wind driving. Jour-
988	nal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 124(7), 5828-5847. Retrieved
989	from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/
990	2019JA026816 doi: 10.1029/2019JA026816
991	Walach, MT., Grocott, A., & Milan, S. E. (2021). Average ionospheric electric field
992	morphologies during geomagnetic storm phases. Journal of Geophysical Re-
993	search: Space Physics, 126(4), e2020JA028512. Retrieved from https://
994	<pre>agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020JA028512</pre>
995	(e2020JA028512 2020JA028512) doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JA028512
996	Walach, MT., Grocott, A., Staples, F., & Thomas, E. G. (2022). Super dual au-
997	roral radar network expansion and its influence on the derived ionospheric
998	convection pattern. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, $127(2)$ ,
999	$e^{2021}JA029559$ . doi: $10.1029/2021JA029559$
1000	Walach, MT., Milan, S. E., Yeoman, T. K., Hubert, B. A., & Hairston, M. R.
1001	(2017). Testing nowcasts of the ionospheric convection from the expand-
1002	ing and contracting polar cap model. Space Weather, $15(4)$ , $623-636$ . doi:
1003	10.1002/2017 SW001615
1004	Walsh, A. P., Haaland, S., Forsyth, C., Keesee, A. M., Kissinger, J., Li, K.,
1005	Taylor, M. G. G. T. (2014). Dawn-dusk asymmetries in the coupled solar
1006	wind-magnetosphere-ionosphere system: a review. Annales Geophysicae, $32(7)$ ,
1007	705-737. Retrieved from https://angeo.copernicus.org/articles/32/705/
1008	<b>2014</b> / doi: 10.5194/angeo-32-705-2014
1009	Yeh, HC., Foster, J., Rich, F. J., & Swider, W. (1991). Storm time elec-
1010	tric field penetration observed at mid-latitude. Journal of Geophysical
1011	Research: Space Physics, 96(A4), 5707-5721. Retrieved from https://
1012	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/90JA02751 doi:
1013	https://doi.org/10.1029/90JA02751