

The Movement Ecology of Fishes

S.J. Cooke^{1,*}, J.N. Bergman¹, W.M. Twardek¹, M.L. Piczak¹, G.A. Casselberry², K. Lutek³, L.S. Dahlmo^{4,16}, K. Birnie-Gauvin⁵, L.P. Griffin², J.W. Brownscombe⁶, G.D. Raby⁷, E.M. Standen³, A.Z. Horodysky⁸, S. Johnsen⁹, A.J. Danylchuk², N.B. Furey¹⁰, A.J. Gallagher¹¹, E.J.I. Lédée¹², J.D. Midwood⁶, L.F.G. Gutowsky¹³, D.M.P. Jacoby¹⁴, J.K. Matley¹⁵ and R.J. Lennox^{16,17}

¹Fish Ecology and Conservation Physiology Laboratory, Department of Biology and the Institute of Environmental and Interdisciplinary Science, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, K1S 5B6, Canada

²Department of Environmental Conservation, University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, MA, 01003, USA

³University of Ottawa, Department of Biology, Ottawa, ON, K1N 6N5, Canada

⁴University of Bergen, Department of Biological Sciences, Bergen, NO-5020, Norway

⁵Section for Freshwater Fisheries and Ecology, National Institute of Aquatic Resources, Technical University of Denmark, Vejlsovej 39, 8600 Silkeborg, Denmark

⁶Great Lakes Laboratory for Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Burlington, ON, L7S 1A1, Canada

⁷Biology Department, Trent University, Peterborough, ON, K9L 0G2, Canada

⁸Department of Marine and Environmental Science, Hampton University, Hampton, VA, 23668, USA

⁹Biology Department, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27708, USA

¹⁰Department of Biological Sciences, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, 03824, USA

¹¹Beneath the Waves, Herndon, VA, 20172, USA

¹²College of Science and Engineering, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD, 4810, Australia

¹³ Environmental & Life Sciences Program, Trent University, Peterborough, ON, K9J 0G2, Canada

27 ¹⁴Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, United Kingdom

28 ¹⁵Program in Aquatic Resources, St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish, NS, B2G 2W5,

29 Canada

30 ¹⁶Laboratory for Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries (LFI), NORCE Norwegian Research

31 Centre, Bergen, 5008, Norway

32 ¹⁷Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, Høgskoleringen 9, 7034 Trondheim

33

34

35 * Address for correspondence (Tel: 613 867 6711 ; E-mail: stevencooke@cunet.carleton.ca)

36

37

38 **Significance Statement:** Movement is rather ubiquitous for fishes across the globe. This
39 synthesis explores this phenomenon and does so from a mechanistic perspective. Although the
40 evidence base regarding the movement of fishes is vast, there are still a number of important
41 knowledge gaps. Nonetheless, our understanding of fish movement is revolutionizing
42 fundamental and applied ecology of fishes.

43 **Abstract**

44

45

46 *Key words:* Movement ecology, movement ecology paradigm, spatial ecology, fish movement,
 47 dispersal, conservation, fisheries, management

48 **Table of Contents**

49	<i>I. Introduction</i>	5
50	<i>II. The Movement Ecology Framework and its Relevance to Fishes</i>	7
51	<i>III. The Environment</i>	9
52	<i>(1) Internal state dynamics</i>	9
53	(a) Energy acquisition.....	9
54	(b) Endocrine State	11
55	(c) Maintenance of Homeostasis	13
56	<i>(2) External Factor Dynamics</i>	15
57	(a) Biotic Drivers.....	15
58	(b) Abiotic Drivers.....	18
59	<i>IV. The Individual</i>	20
60	<i>(1) Navigation Processes and Capacity</i>	20
61	<i>(2) Motion Processes and Capacity</i>	23
62	<i>(3) Movement Propagation Process</i>	25
63	<i>V. Population Level Processes</i>	28
64	<i>VI. Ecosystem Level Processes</i>	29
65	<i>VII. Movement Ecology Meets the Conservation and Management of Fishes</i>	31
66	<i>VIII. The Future of Fish Ecology- Unknowns and Opportunities</i>	34
67	<i>IX. Conclusion</i>	37
68	<i>X. Acknowledgements</i>	38
69	<i>XI. References</i>	40

70

71 I. INTRODUCTION

72 Fishes are unified in their ecology by a need to swim. From a small fish in a headwater stream to
73 the largest fishes in the ocean, they need to move in order to find food, avoid predators, exchange
74 gametes, and locate suitable habitat and environmental conditions that align with their life-stage-
75 specific physiological tolerances and requirements (Smith, 2012; Secor, 2015). Because aquatic
76 environments are inherently three-dimensional, movements can be in all directions, including
77 vertically in the water column. Quite simply, fish are always on the move and the scale of such
78 movements vary widely (Lucas & Baras, 2001; Secor, 2015). For example, some fish may
79 engage in localized movements around a specific rock or coral head, whereas others may
80 undertake vast transoceanic migrations. Some movements occur in a matter of seconds during a
81 burst feeding event, whereas others may be diurnal, linked to seasonal phenomena, or life history
82 transitions. Even fish that may be regarded as sedentary, such as those that live in burrows, move
83 as they forage. For some fish, such as obligate ram ventilators, continual movement is needed to
84 sustain life (Roberts, 1975). Although all fish move, many engage in migrations that are a
85 phenomenon defined by their cyclical nature and fitness benefits (Dingle & Drake, 2007) such as
86 moving from freshwater to saltwater or vice versa to seek out resources that are naturally
87 dynamic over space and time (i.e., diadromy; McDowall, 1988; 2008). For the purpose of this
88 paper we focus broadly on the movement of fishes (across all scales) of which some of said
89 movements are considered to be migrations.

90 The movement ecology of fishes has long been of interest to ecologists and fisheries managers
91 (e.g., Jones, 1968; Secor, 2015), but the fact that fish live in a watery world that is hostile to
92 humans has made them difficult to study (Ogburn *et al.*, 2017). Early research would involve
93 using some form of visual ID tag to mark fish in one location with the hope of recovery later,
94 thus providing information on movement (Nielsen, 1992). Although these approaches yielded
95 some intriguing clues to the movement ecology of fishes, they were also misleading. In fact, for
96 decades the restricted movement paradigm (Funk, 1957) was embraced by those working on fish
97 in fluvial systems, where mark-recapture data revealed little evidence of movement. Further,
98 those techniques were biased against the detection of larger scale movements. Only after
99 electronic tagging and tracking methods (e.g., biotelemetry and biologging), that revealed larger-
100 scale movements with greater accuracy were embraced, was the restricted movement paradigm

101 largely abandoned (Gowan *et al.*, 1994). Electronic tagging also revealed transoceanic
102 movements by organisms such as bluefin tuna (Block *et al.*, 2005), which was not only
103 remarkable from an ecological perspective, but it also revolutionized their management (Kaplan
104 *et al.*, 2010). The last few decades have seen a dramatic increase in the tools available to study
105 the movement ecology of fishes (e.g., chemical tracers, electronic tags, image capture, associated
106 quantitative analysis, and modelling tools) and consequently a phase shift in our understanding
107 of their movement ecology. This is timely given that fishes in both marine (Crain *et al.*, 2009)
108 and freshwater (Reid *et al.*, 2019) systems face many threats (e.g., fragmentation, climate
109 change, overexploitation), such that it is necessary to understand how fish move throughout their
110 aquatic world to inform management and conservation. Providing additional gravitas to this
111 endeavour is the realization that migratory fishes are among the most threatened organisms on
112 the planet according to the WWF Living Planet Index for the group (Deinet *et al.*, 2020). Given
113 collective interest in biodiversity conservation along with the many ecosystem services generated
114 by fishes (Holmlund & Hammer, 1999), the ecology of fish movement is an important area of
115 study.

116 Coincident with the increase in studies and knowledge about the movement ecology of fishes has
117 been conceptual developments in the broader realm of movement ecology. Conferences focused
118 on movement ecology, as well as the development of a journal by that name (i.e., Movement
119 Ecology; see <https://movementecologyjournal.biomedcentral.com/>; Nathan & Giuggioli, 2013)
120 reveal the level of interest and scholarship on the topic. Indeed, movement ecology is now
121 considered an emerging discipline and is of interest to those working on many taxa including
122 plants, insects, birds, and fish. Beyond the thousands of empirical studies that now exist on
123 movement of various organisms, there have also been important theoretical and conceptual
124 developments. Most notably was the development of a framework for movement ecology
125 (Nathan *et al.*, 2008; see below) that has been widely embraced (and cited over 2,000 times as of
126 2021). The framework provides a general unifying paradigm intended to place movement studies
127 within a common context and advance the development of movement ecology as a discipline. In
128 the words of the authors, the framework “integrates eclectic research on movement into a
129 structured paradigm and aims at providing a basis for hypothesis generation and a vehicle
130 facilitating the understanding of the causes, mechanisms, and spatiotemporal patterns of
131 movement and their role in various ecological and evolutionary processes.”

132 Given the growing body of research on the movement ecology of fishes and both fundamental
133 and applied interest in the topic, we provide a contemporary synthesis of what is known about
134 the movement ecology of fishes. We adopt the well-known Nathan *et al.* (2008) movement
135 ecology framework and consider how different components (e.g., external drivers, internal
136 mechanisms) are relevant to fishes. To do so, we first introduce the framework and offer some
137 refinements before exploring what we know about the different components as it relates to fish
138 (see Fig. 1). We also consider the relevance of movement ecology to the management and
139 conservation of fishes and identify future research needs and opportunities with particular focus
140 on what the study of fish can bring to the emerging discipline of movement ecology (Holyoak *et*
141 *al.*, 2008; Schick *et al.*, 2008). We do not dwell on the technological innovations that have
142 enabled and advanced the study of fish movement as that has been explored elsewhere (e.g.,
143 Trueman *et al.*, 2012; Hussey *et al.*, 2015), but our approach is inclusive covering both
144 freshwater and marine systems. We also consider movement in the broadest context meaning that
145 we draw on examples beyond those fishes that undertake long distance migrations. Moreover,
146 our approach is inherently multidisciplinary spanning ecology, ethology, endocrinology,
147 biomechanics, environmental physiology, reproductive biology, sensory ecology, ecological
148 modelling, resource management and applied science.

149 **II. The Movement Ecology Framework and its Relevance to Fishes**

150 The movement ecology paradigm (i.e., Nathan *et al.*, 2008) provides a framework of the causes
151 and consequences of animal movement. The balance of expending resources to move against
152 acquiring resources to grow and reproduce is fundamental to ecology, and much of the
153 movement ecology framework has been established via the study of terrestrial animals that are
154 easier to track because of the relative accessibility of land and the simple transmission of signals
155 through air (Kays *et al.*, 2015). For fishes, this framework has been widely applied to investigate
156 the proximate and ultimate factors related to fish movement, but not adapted to more specifically
157 address fish ecology (see Fig. 1). Herein we adopt the terminology used by Nathan *et al.* (2008)
158 such as “internal state dynamics” and “external factor dynamics” for consistency but recognize
159 that this terminology may not be uniformly embraced by the fish biology community. Details on
160 the framework and the terminology can be found in the caption for Figure 1. Fishes are a highly
161 diverse group of vertebrates that includes the cartilaginous, bony ray-finned, and bony lobe-

162 finned fishes. Among the world's first highly mobile vertebrates, movement is fundamental to
163 the ecology of all fish clades. Yet, the movement ecology of fishes is quite unique given the high
164 diversity of body forms and habitats exploited by fish under the water. Immense efforts are
165 underway to better understand fish movement, which would benefit from a comprehensive
166 movement ecology framework of fishes.

167 The movement ecology framework points to the movement path, which for fish is a three-
168 dimensional trajectory through space and time. The process that produces a given animal's path
169 is a complex interplay of physiology, cognition, locomotory capacity, and other features captured
170 in the paradigm (Nathan *et al.*, 2008). Paths may be explained by external factors that occur over
171 relatively short time scales such as temperature, water flow, lunar phase, and social context,
172 among others. They may also be explained by internal factors such as endocrine, ontogenetic,
173 genomic, or other factors of individual condition that can be collected when the animal is
174 instrumented. Hypotheses relevant to where animals move (navigation) and how they move with
175 respect to the speed or shape of the path can be inferred via comparisons between experimentally
176 treated groups and controls (Birnie-Gauvin *et al.*, 2020) or in a simpler observational and
177 correlative framework. Electronic tagging has provided an exceptional tool to estimate fish paths
178 in water, despite the many challenges associated with establishing precise positions in water.
179 Serial estimation of positions from telemetry including radio, acoustic, or passive integrated
180 transponders (PIT), as well as geolocators, allow investigators to see where and when fish are
181 moving under the water. From these electronic tag data, investigators can attempt to resolve a
182 path either finely, from triangulated data collected at short intervals (e.g., 2-120 s intervals), or
183 coarsely, from detections once or twice a day (such as light-based geolocators that give sunrise
184 and sunset positions).

185 Fish movement data have been summarised or estimated via numerous approaches such as
186 network analyses (Jacoby *et al.*, 2012; Lea *et al.*, 2016), activity space estimators (Monk *et al.*,
187 2017), selection functions (Griffin *et al.*, 2021), Lévy walks or correlated random walks (Codling
188 *et al.* 2008; Papastamatiou *et al.* 2013), Hidden Markov Models (Bachelier *et al.*, 2019) or novel
189 methods that integrate various modelling approaches (Lamonica *et al.*, 2020). These models can
190 be applied to reveal habitat associations, resource utilizations, and other features of the
191 individual's movement process that unravels the ultimate questions about movement: how it

192 contributes to animal growth, survival, and reproductive output. A canonical example of using
193 the movement ecology framework to relate movement to fitness includes the tagging of
194 salmonids during the spawning migration (e.g. Cooke *et al.*, 2014). Combining tagging with
195 experimental manipulation (Birnie-Gauvin *et al.*, 2020), non-lethal biopsy (Jeffries *et al.*, 2014),
196 or the use of tag sensors such as heart rate (e.g., Twardek *et al.*, 2021) or acceleration (e.g.
197 Burnett *et al.*, 2014), allows direct inference of how movement processes affect animal fitness,
198 albeit at brief timescales. Grasping how features of animal movement interface with animal
199 fitness can then empower the use of movement models for conservation planning, fisheries
200 management, habitat restoration initiatives, and more.

201 **III. The Environment**

202 *(1) Internal State Dynamics*

203 **(a) Energy acquisition**

204 On a fundamental level, fish must acquire more energy than they expend to allocate energy to
205 growth and reproduction and achieve biological fitness (Brett & Groves, 1979). The cumulative
206 capacity of individuals in a population to accomplish this ultimately determines population
207 growth or decline (Tytler & Calow, 1985). Variation in fish size, activity level and efficiency,
208 and life history strategy results in massive variability in energetic needs amongst fishes (Jobling,
209 1995). Aquatic environments often comprise a complex mosaic of potential energetic gains and
210 costs that fish must navigate, through locomotion, to achieve positive net energetics. As such,
211 locomotion is highly dependent on the often-transitory distribution of resources and
212 environmental conditions in a fish's surroundings. Locomotion allows heterotrophs to obtain
213 energy from their prey, and in theory, animals will target prey items that yield the highest
214 foraging efficiency (i.e., the optimal foraging theory; Mittelbach, 1981). In terms of costs,
215 locomotion often comprises a substantial portion of a fish's energy budget (Boisclair & Leggett,
216 1989) and as such, fish will seek to minimize their cost of transport within a landscape (Tucker,
217 1970; Shepard *et al.*, 2013). Cost of transport, and therefore fish movement, is moderated by
218 environmental factors such as water flow, water temperature, and even predator distribution
219 (Clarke & Johnston, 1999; McElroy, Delonay, & Jacobson, 2012; Gallagher *et al.*, 2017a). For

220 instance, cyprinid migration patterns have been shown to closely follow fluctuating trade-offs
221 between predation risk and foraging opportunities (Brönmark *et al.*, 2008). Further, drift feeding
222 fishes in lotic ecosystems or sharks in dynamic ocean currents take advantage of flow refugia to
223 minimize energetic costs in high flow areas (Naman *et al.*, 2019; Papastamatiou *et al.*, 2021).
224 Energy expenditure also scales positively with fish mass, and because fish are primarily
225 ectothermic, it also scales exponentially upward with water temperature (Clarke & Johnston,
226 1999). For example, Pacific salmon will cease their migration and move into areas with cooler
227 water to wait for thermal conditions that minimize energy expenditure (Keefer *et al.*, 2018).
228 Independent of movement, residing in an area with warm temperatures can have major metabolic
229 costs that threaten fish fitness (Lear *et al.*, 2020). Water temperature can also dictate access to
230 certain resources, by excluding fish from nearshore foraging habitats where temperatures exceed
231 a fish's physiological limits (Guzzo, Blanchfield, & Rennie, 2017).

232 Fuelled primarily by aerobic metabolism, the capacity of fishes to mobilize energy for
233 movement, foraging, and digestion is influenced greatly by water temperature due to its impact
234 on aerobic scope (Pörtner, 2010). There is some evidence that fish may selectively forage in
235 locations (and at times) where temperature-driven aerobic scope is near optimal (Brownscombe,
236 Cooke, & Danylchuk, 2017). Aerobic scope is a key factor in the capacity of fish to pass
237 challenging water flows (Burnett *et al.*, 2014) and to successfully complete long-distance
238 migrations to spawning grounds (Eliason *et al.*, 2011). Indeed, metabolic performance is
239 suggested to constrain fish distributions due to temperature and oxygen distributions (Payne *et al.*,
240 2016; Duncan *et al.*, 2020). However, metabolic performance is not a universal predictor of
241 fish behaviour (Clark, Sandblom, & Jutfelt, 2013), and there may be some balance between
242 metabolic capacity to mobilize energy for activity such as moving (i.e., aerobic scope) with
243 minimizing energetic costs (Halsey *et al.*, 2018) or with meal size (Norin and Clark, 2017).

244 The link between environmental factors and fish energetics in determining fish movement and
245 distribution are also supported by modelling exercises. Energetics can form a key mechanistic
246 basis for estimating movement patterns and responses to environmental changes such as
247 warming climate (Malishev & Kramer-Schadt, 2021), and as a performance-based predictor of
248 fish habitat suitability (Del Raye & Weng, 2015). As a key currency of life, energy has a clear
249 connection to fish movement ecology, and serves as a valuable metric for describing fish

250 movement patterns and distributions. There are still some important unknowns about how
251 commonly and in which ecological contexts energy conservation and/or metabolic performance
252 actually dictate fish movement behaviour and fitness, as well as trade-offs with other
253 constraining factors (e.g., predation risk, other measures of physiological performance such as
254 osmotic regulation capacity; Brownscombe *et al.* 2022). that may be resolved with further
255 research on this topic to develop mechanistic models of fish movement.

256

257 **(b) Endocrine State**

258 Determining the proximate and ultimate drivers of movement in fishes is inherently difficult
259 given the panoply of interactions within and among individuals and populations, and their abiotic
260 environment (Drakou *et al.*, 2009; Rasmussen & Belk, 2017). In addition, interactions between
261 external (e.g., environmental cues) and internal (e.g., variables dependent on the condition of the
262 individual) factors can certainly occur and it can be difficult to isolate causality (Clobert *et al.*,
263 2012). In fish, physiological traits that have been linked to movements include behavioural
264 tendencies, body condition and size, sex, and the stage of development (e.g., ontogenetic shifts),
265 as well as endocrine state (Rasmussen & Belk, 2017). Defined as any tissue or cell that releases a
266 hormone directly into the bloodstream, signalling or inducing a physiological response in some
267 target tissue, the endocrine system is essentially a control system that responds to both internal
268 and external signals (Blanton & Specker, 2007). The hypothalamus-pituitary-endocrine gland
269 axis functions by responding to signals from the central nervous system (CNS) and converting
270 them to hormone messengers that act on individual glands like the gonads (i.e., the
271 hypothalamus-pituitary-gonadal axis) or the thyroid (i.e., the hypothalamus-pituitary-thyroid
272 axis; Kloas *et al.*, 2009). When triggered by stimuli from the CNS, the hypothalamus secretes
273 releasing factors that act on the pituitary, resulting in the pituitary releasing tissue-specific
274 hormones (e.g., thyroid-stimulating hormone to the thyroid, luteinizing or follicle-stimulating
275 hormones to the gonads, growth hormones to the liver and gonads, adrenocorticotrophic hormone
276 to interrenal cells) into blood circulation (see Kloas *et al.*, 2009).

277 There are several key examples of laboratory and field approaches to isolating the endocrine
278 system's effects on fish movement. The reproductive process and associated seasonal

279 movements in fishes are cyclical, regulated by environmental factors like photoperiod, water
280 temperature, and water flows (Lucas & Baras, 2001). The fish's brain perceives relevant
281 environmental (and/or sometimes social) cues, and initiates a physiological response whereby the
282 brain activates the pituitary and triggers changes in the gonads to initiate steroidogenesis (i.e.,
283 sex steroids, like testosterone [T], 11-ketotestosterone [11-KT], and estradiol [E2]) and
284 gametogenesis (Servili *et al.*, 2020). Determining if sex steroids trigger spawning movements
285 themselves remains unclear. However, T, 11-KT, and E2 appear to regulate both upstream and
286 downstream migratory behaviours in masu salmon (*Oncorhynchus masou*; Munakata *et al.*,
287 2011). Somatic hormones have also been implicated in fish movements. Ojima & Iwata (2009)
288 documented that growth hormone-releasing hormones triggered downstream movement of chum
289 salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) fry. A surge in thyroid hormones (TH) during the parr-smolt
290 transformation was associated with physiological changes during downstream migration, such as
291 the acquisition of negative rheotaxis (Specker *et al.*, 2000). In a review by Iwata (1995),
292 treatment of the thyroid hormone Triiodothyronine (T3) to chum salmon fry changed their
293 swimming direction from upstream (against flows) to downstream (with flows), and Edeline *et*
294 *al.* (2005) showed TH to be involved in the regulation of glass eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) locomotor
295 activity where thyroxine (T4) and thiourea (TU) treatments increased and decreased locomotor
296 activity, respectively. Edeline *et al.* (2005) suggested that TH likely affect fish activity and
297 locomotion through an activation of cellular metabolic pathways, though they explain that the
298 precise physiological mechanisms that alter locomotion remain unclear. "Hunger" is perhaps one
299 of the clearest examples of a driver of movement that is inherently and distinctly intrinsic.
300 Hunger stimulates a fish's movement in search of food and is primarily regulated by the
301 neuroendocrine system (Fletcher, 1984), and in particular by the peptide hormone ghrelin. There
302 is much evidence that ghrelin is orexigenic (i.e., an appetite stimulant) and has generally been
303 accepted as a "hunger hormone" (Higgins *et al.*, 2007; Jönsson, 2013; though see Jönsson *et al.*,
304 2010 for conflicting results in juvenile rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*). In male smallmouth
305 bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*), ghrelin levels were lowest during the parental period when they
306 cease foraging to defend their nest and brood; plasma ghrelin levels increased near the time when
307 fry achieved free swimming, and males subsequently left to actively forage again (Hanson *et al.*,
308 2009). Similarly, ghrelin appears to increase swimming (foraging) activity in brown trout (*Salmo*
309 *trutta*) as a result of increased feeding motivation (Tinoco *et al.*, 2014).

310 Mechanistic links between movement and internal physiological status remains one of the largest
311 knowledge gaps in fish movement ecology (Lennox *et al.*, 2019b). We note that several key
312 hormones (like the sex steroids listed above) have been well studied and provide a template for
313 investigating the role of hormones in movement, although causality can be challenging to ascribe
314 without rigorous experimentation. Moreover, generalizability of hormone function across fish
315 taxa is tenuous without multi-species studies. Many avenues exist to better understand internal
316 drivers of movement, for example blood samples drawn from fish can be analysed for circulating
317 hormones and then linked to movements by video analysis in laboratories or by telemetry in the
318 field, with randomized control treatment experiments with hormone or hormone blocker implants
319 used to establish causal links. Endocrine experiments, such as those listed in the examples above,
320 revealed how the endocrine system can act as the ultimate driver of fish movement and that the
321 delivery of hormones through the fish's organ network directly informs the movement process.
322 In a changing world, fish responses to stimuli may become altered by environmental pollution
323 (Affandi & Ishak, 2019) or maladaptive as novel environments emerge (Lennox *et al.*, 2020). A
324 better understanding of how the endocrine system functions to control fish behaviour is therefore
325 crucial knowledge that can be used to manage the environment and track consequences of
326 macrophysiological trends in fish populations (Jeffrey *et al.*, 2015).

327 **(c) Maintenance of Homeostasis**

328 Homeostasis – the maintenance of a consistent internal state – is a somewhat misleading concept,
329 at least for some aspects of the internal state (physiology) of fishes. Schreck (2010) argued it is
330 more constructive to adopt the concept of allostasis – achieving stability through change. Either
331 way, in these contexts, the hypothalamic-pituitary-interrenal (HPI) axis that regulates stress in
332 fishes is a useful physiological system from which to understand how fishes integrate
333 information from their surroundings and their internal state. Stress, which can be acute or
334 chronic, involves the release of stress hormones that enable the animal to perform in or escape
335 from challenging circumstances (e.g., hypoxia, predation risk, low food availability). There is a
336 body of research about how stress – which is ultimately meant to facilitate a return to or
337 maintenance of homeostasis – can directly affect behaviour and therefore, presumably,
338 movement of wild animals. However, we have no electronic tags yet that allow us to measure
339 stress directly in situ. There is literature on the interplay between stress and behaviour based on

340 laboratory experiments from which one could make predictions about how wild fish might
341 behave; testing those predictions in the wild however, is a challenge. One approach that has been
342 used is to biopsy fish when they are caught for telemetry tagging, to assess their level of stress
343 (defined broadly) and then examine how physiological indicators of ‘stress’ predict subsequent
344 movement and survival (e.g., Cooke *et al.*, 2006; Crossin *et al.*, 2009).

345 Cortisol, the main stress hormone in fish (noting that cortisol also has many other roles), can be
346 measured from a small blood sample, and has been linked to the timing and success of seaward
347 migration in acoustically-tagged sea trout (*Salmo trutta*) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*).
348 Individuals with elevated cortisol levels (high stress) exited fresh water earlier – perhaps to
349 escape stressors (i.e., predation, low energetic resources) – but were less likely to survive their
350 migration (Birnie-Gauvin *et al.*, 2019). Other types of stressors have also been linked to changes
351 in movement. For example, pathogens and disease burden have been found to decrease diel
352 movements in a coral reef fish (French grunt, *Haemulon flavolineatum*; Welicky & Sikkell,
353 2015). In the high seas, trawl surveys and environmental monitoring data have shown that
354 dissolved oxygen greatly affects the distribution of demersal species, likely as these fish attempt
355 to stay within conditions they can tolerate well (Pihl *et al.*, 1991; Sobocinski *et al.*, 2018). Sea
356 lice infections cause salmonids to return to fresh water earlier in an attempt to shed the marine
357 parasite (Halttunen *et al.*, 2018) – it is likely that the HPI axis plays a role in regulating
358 movement in cases like these. In Pacific salmon undergoing spawning migrations, cortisol has
359 been measured in telemetry tracked fish but typically has been found to be associated with
360 survival (i.e., migration failure) rather than differences in behaviour and movement per se (e.g.,
361 Cooke *et al.*, 2006; Crossin *et al.*, 2009; Cook *et al.*, 2014). Fisheries interactions can also
362 activate the stress axis to promote refuge-seeking (Cooke *et al.*, 2014; Brownscombe *et al.*,
363 2014). In essence, fish may move (or not) when homeostasis is disturbed, or to avoid disturbing a
364 state of homeostasis.

365

366 (2) *External Factor Dynamics*

367 (a) **Biotic Drivers**

368 Movement processes of individuals can be influenced by intraspecific and interspecific
369 interactions (reviewed in Shaw, 2020). Across this spectrum, biotic factors including competition
370 for resources (e.g., space, food, and mates), and predator-prey relationships can mediate how an
371 individual fish moves through space and time (Shaw, 2020), as well as how and when an
372 individual switches between movement states (Russell *et al.*, 2017). Individual-level variation in
373 movement patterns can lead to distinct structure of populations through social interactions (Jolles
374 *et al.*, 2020), and be moderated by a range of sensory stimuli via visual, tactile, mechanosensory,
375 auditory, electroreception, and chemical cues (Gammon *et al.*, 2005, Butler & Maruska, 2018).
376 For example, Gammon *et al.*, (2005) showed that inter-sexual and intra-sexual pheromones of
377 reproductive male round goby (*Neogobius melanostomus*) influenced the swimming velocity and
378 directed movement of females. Dominance hierarchies linked to factors such as body size, sex,
379 and condition can also influence the scope and outcome of intraspecific social interactions, and
380 subsequent variation in movement patterns among focal individuals (Freeman & Stouder, 1989).
381 For example, Freeman & Stouder (1989) showed that body size influenced the outcome of
382 intraspecific interactions and subsequent depth distribution in streams for mottled sculpin (*Cottus*
383 *bairdi*).

384 The individual movement of fishes is both a driver for - and consequence of - competition, and
385 thereby can be viewed as an important component of the overall movement ecology of fishes.
386 Foraging decisions are profoundly influenced by competition, where the distance and scale of
387 movement of individuals can be driven by the density and abundance of overlapping consumer
388 species. On coral reefs, where competition is high and fish are site-attached, an increased
389 abundance of one parrotfish species (*Scarus* spp.) was shown to reduce the foraging range of
390 another parrotfish species (Nash *et al.*, 2012). Coastal and estuarine shark species commonly co-
391 exist in competitive aggregations, such that large and small individuals and species may overlap
392 and vie for access to food resources. Under competitive situations, larger shark species exhibited
393 a reduction in activity space and habitat use, whereby smaller individuals increased their activity
394 space and were pushed out to avoid predation (Heupel *et al.*, 2019). Among larger predatory
395 fishes, it has been assumed that subtle changes in individual distribution, as well as dietary

396 specificity, drive resource partitioning that may explain competitive coexistence (Gallagher *et*
397 *al.*, 2017*b*; Papastamatiou *et al.*, 2018*a*). Pulses in ephemeral or opportunistic resources offer
398 large predatory fishes valuable energetic incentives to scavenging, but at the cost of acutely
399 intense competition. Competition for access to prey-rich subsidies on dead whale carcasses, for
400 example, has been demonstrated to profoundly alter the distribution of white sharks
401 (*Carcharodon carcharias*) over short temporal scales, bringing together upwards of 40 sharks at
402 a time (Fallows *et al.*, 2013). The manner in which these large sharks compete for access to the
403 carcass – and whether they are energetically rewarded – will in turn shape their foraging
404 decisions and migrations for periods of weeks to months (Fallows *et al.*, 2013).

405 Competition for space can also drive the success of important life history events tied to
406 movement, such as the homing migrations of anadromous fishes. Swimming behaviour in
407 upward-migrating sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) changes as individuals compete for
408 access to the best river microhabitats, which optimize speeds and reduce transport costs (Hanson
409 *et al.*, 2008). In many freshwater lakes, centrarchid fishes share a similar spatial distribution,
410 such that space for feeding and nesting are at a premium. Competition for these resources results
411 in sympatric species, such as bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*) and pumpkinseed (*Lepomis*
412 *gibbosus*), partitioning their home ranges between littoral and pelagic zones (Mittelbach, 1984).
413 In riverine habitats, competition between these two species resulted in opposing patterns of diel
414 activity, whereby bluegill were more active during the night, and pumpkinseed increased their
415 activity during daylight hours (Klinard *et al.*, 2018). The effects of competition on fish
416 movement clearly vary among and within species, and will also be influenced by internal state
417 and the physical environment, thereby serving as a unifying moderator of fish movement
418 ecology.

419 A considerable amount of attention has been paid to the dynamics of schooling behaviour (i.e.,
420 the coordinated movement of fish, often of the same species) in fishes, where traits such as
421 mutual attraction, unitary orientation, and synchronization among conspecifics influence
422 movement patterns of individuals within a school (reviewed in Pavlov & Kasumyan, 2000). Fish
423 distribution within schools can be dynamic, with the movement of a focal individual being
424 driven by the behavioural response of others in the school, and how related interactions are
425 influenced by sensory stimuli, motivations, and risks (Pavlov & Kasumyan, 2000). Throughout

426 their lives, fish must balance resource acquisition, whether for energy or mates, with the
427 potential risk of predation (Lima & Dill, 1990; Brönmark *et al.*, 2008). Schooling behaviour in
428 response to predation pressure has been studied extensively in guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*), with
429 researchers finding that whereas individuals from low and high predation risk populations did
430 not differ in their number of movements within an experimental school (Ioannou *et al.*, 2017),
431 those from high predation risk populations did school more tightly, were more strongly socially
432 connected with their neighbours in the school, and maintained schools longer than individuals
433 from low predation risk populations (Kelley *et al.*, 2011). Similar to guppies, minnows
434 (*Phoxinus phoxinus*) exposed to northern pike (*Esox lucius*) modify their movements from
435 dispersed to compact schools, but save their most energetically costly evasive movements for
436 when the pike strikes the school (Magurran & Pitcher, 1987).

437 Changes in habitat selection and the spatial extent of habitat use are two other common
438 responses in fish to the presence or perceived presence of a predator. When exposed to potential
439 predators, fish, such as convict cichlids (*Archocentrus nigrofasciatus*), slimy sculpin (*Cottus*
440 *cognatus*), Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), and Atlantic salmon will significantly
441 reduce their movements over time and space or slow down their migrations (Bryer *et al.*, 2001;
442 Brown *et al.*, 2006; Ylönen *et al.*, 2007; Wisenden *et al.*, 2008; Kim *et al.*, 2011; Sabal *et al.*,
443 2020). In contrast, killifish (*Rivulus hartii*) in rivers increase movement in areas where predators
444 are present (Gilliam & Fraser, 2001). Other species will ‘freeze’ (Becker & Gabor, 2012) or seek
445 shelter (Brooker *et al.*, 2013, Gotceitas & Godin, 1991) when presented with predators. In coral
446 reef fish, like the filefish (*Oxymonacanthus longirostris*), the need for refugia access in high
447 branching corals can often lead to selecting against higher quality food patches (Brooker *et al.*,
448 2013). Small juvenile lemon sharks (*Negaprion brevirostris*) have been shown to modify their
449 depth use, selecting for shallow water habitats and moving with the tidal swing to avoid
450 encounters with larger bodied, sub-adult lemon sharks (Wetherbee *et al.*, 2007; Guttridge *et al.*,
451 2012). They may also use mangrove prop root complexity for shelter (Guttridge *et al.*, 2012).
452 Some fishes, particularly those in tropical marine environments with access to complex coral reef
453 and reef adjacent habitats, undergo ontogenetic changes in movement patterns and habitat use, in
454 part to minimize exposure to potential predators (Grol *et al.*, 2014).

455 Another method to minimize predation risk is modifying the timing of movement, with many
456 fishes, spanning from sockeye salmon to blacktip sharks (*Carcharhinus limbatus*), migrating
457 (Keefer *et al.*, 2013; Furey *et al.*, 2016) or expanding activity space and habitat use (Grol *et al.*,
458 2014; Legare *et al.*, 2018; Rooker *et al.*, 2018) during crepuscular periods and at night to
459 minimize detection from visual and diurnal predators. Another strategy, similar to schooling, is
460 to synchronize the timing of migration to effectively swamp the predators, collectively
461 increasing the chance of survival (Furey *et al.*, 2016). Finally, migration on its own can be a
462 strategy to avoid predation. Roach (*Rutilus rutilus*) have been documented to migrate seasonally
463 from lakes with high predation pressure from northern pike and European perch (*Perca*
464 *fluviatilis*), but also abundant food sources, in the summer, to habitats with fewer predators and
465 reduced food availability in winter when growth is inherently slower due to cold temperatures
466 (Brönmark *et al.*, 2008).

467

468 **(b) Abiotic Drivers**

469 External factors play a key role in determining the activity and behaviour of all animals,
470 including fishes (Holyoak *et al.*, 2008). Forces underlying the choice of whether to move or stay
471 can be categorized broadly into proximate and ultimate factors. Proximate abiotic factors drive
472 movements related to physiological optima or constraints and explain why an organism moves
473 for specific short-term payoffs, whereas ultimate factors are selective forces that drive adaptation
474 and specialization. While sometimes difficult to distinguish (Nathan *et al.*, 2008), proximate and
475 ultimate factors can be understood easily if contextualized together. For example, changes in
476 light intensity (proximate factor) triggers diel vertical migration as a means to increase feeding
477 opportunities or to avoid predators, which ultimately increases fitness (Mehner, 2012). If
478 movements are timed incorrectly and fish become decoupled (i.e., mismatch) from critical
479 abiotic conditions, fitness may be jeopardized (Forrest & Miller-Rushing, 2010; Miller-Rushing
480 *et al.*, 2010). As such, and considering the diversity of fish movement strategies (Lowerre-
481 Barbieri *et al.*, 2019), fish use multiple sensory inputs to detect changes in their environment
482 (Huijbers *et al.*, 2012), and may respond to a single factor with considerable influence on
483 physiology (e.g., water temperature) or to combinations of environmental correlates (e.g.,
484 seasonal changes or acute disturbance events). Here, we characterize several key abiotic factors

485 that can influence a fish's motivation and capacity to move, and have direct implications on
486 fitness (e.g., energy acquisition or reproduction).

487 As obligate poikilothermic ectotherms, temperature is the master controlling factor of fish
488 physiology (Brett, 1971; Beitinger & Fitzpatrick, 1979). Except for thermo-conserving tunas and
489 sharks (Bernal *et al.*, 2001), external temperature will affect metabolic rate in the vast majority of
490 species regardless of thermal tolerance, climatic adaptation, ontogeny, and body size (Clarke &
491 Johnston, 1999; Comte & Olden, 2017). Generally, fish seek the appropriate thermal niche,
492 where temperatures are optimal (Beitinger & Fitzpatrick, 1979; Magnuson, Crowder, &
493 Medvick, 1979; Jobling, 1997). Behavioural thermoregulation is theoretically necessary to
494 maximize growth, which has been demonstrated in the field and lab (Jobling, 1997; Haesemeyer,
495 2020). For example, behavioural thermoregulation plays out strikingly where thermal gradients
496 form, and fishes aggregate according to thermal preference (Sogard & Olla, 1998; Humston *et al.*,
497 2000; O'Gorman *et al.*, 2016; Andrzejaczek *et al.*, 2019), or aversive temperatures interrupt
498 migrations (Reynolds, 1977; Goniea *et al.*, 2006). However, temperature cannot always explain
499 acute movements (Vollset, Fiksen, & Folkvord, 2009; Raby *et al.*, 2018). For instance, the lag
500 time to equilibrium between external temperature and deep tissue allows fish to foray into
501 otherwise sub-optimal environmental conditions for the purpose of feeding or predator avoidance
502 (Sogard & Olla, 1998; Mehner, 2012), followed by a return to preferred conditions (Sogard &
503 Olla, 1998; Sims *et al.*, 2006; Papastamatiou *et al.*, 2015). Phenotypic plasticity seems to allow
504 populations to adapt to local thermal conditions (Stitt *et al.*, 2014; Corey *et al.*, 2020),
505 underscoring the genetic component to thermal tolerance in fishes (Meffe *et al.*, 1995).

506 Teasing out the effects of singular variables, such as water temperature, is challenged by strong
507 collinearity with additional variables expected to influence movement (Currey *et al.*, 2015). For
508 example, within the epipelagic area, studies have shown that the interaction between temperature
509 and dissolved oxygen availability likely drives the horizontal and/or vertical space use of large
510 fishes (Carlisle *et al.*, 2017; Coffey *et al.*, 2017; Andrzejaczek *et al.*, 2019; Duncan *et al.*, 2020).
511 In another example, Childs *et al.* (2008) found that spotted grunter (*Pomadasys commersonnii*)
512 were tolerant to a wide range of environmental conditions, yet moved in response to large
513 fluctuations in salinity, temperature, and turbidity. Synergistic effects of environmental variables
514 complicates how movement is associated with changes in water temperature. Glass-phase

515 European eels traverse estuaries using selective tidal stream transport in which orientation and
516 directionality is primarily driven by salinity gradients and olfaction, but temperature contributes
517 to the synchronization of activity with tidal cycles and in the switch from estuarine to riverine
518 migration strategies (Edeline, Dufour, & Elie, 2009). Welsh & Liller (2013) showed that yellow-
519 phase American eel (*Anguilla rostrata*) upstream migration is driven by additive effects of river
520 discharge and water temperature, depending on time period. Even with an acute movement
521 resulting from a distinct disturbance event, like that of an extreme weather event (e.g., from a
522 large storm or hurricanes), it remains challenging to decipher the exact set of abiotic factor(s)
523 (e.g., barometer, wind intensity or direction, temperature change) that trigger refuge-seeking
524 movements (Secor *et al.*, 2019; Massie *et al.*, 2020; Gutowsky *et al.*, 2021). Changes in abiotic
525 conditions can also alter the volume of habitat available and its relative “useability”, which can
526 necessitate fish movement (e.g., searching for alternative habitats; e.g., Dare *et al.*, 2002).
527 Collectively, understanding the specific role of any individual abiotic variable on movement is
528 challenging because the roles and influences of any one factor will vary among taxa, populations,
529 life stages, or environments, and because complex interactions and correlations exist among
530 factors, such as water temperature, dissolved oxygen, salinity, olfactory chemical cues,
531 currents/flows and tides, lunar cycles, photoperiod, and circadian rhythms (Kuparinen, O’hara, &
532 Merilä, 2009; Forsythe *et al.*, 2012; Schlaff, Heupel, & Simpfendorfer, 2014; Stich *et al.*, 2015;
533 Nakayama *et al.*, 2018; Thiem *et al.*, 2018).

534

535 **IV. The Individual**

536 ***(1) Navigation Processes and Capacity***

537 All behavioural decisions of fish, including orientations (which involves the ability to move in a
538 given compass direction), movements, and migrations, are in response to cues detected and
539 processed by neurosensory systems that represent a transfer function between environmental
540 parameters and individual behaviours (Weissburg & Browman, 2005). Navigation in space and
541 time (which is the ability of an organism to locate its position and use that knowledge to inform
542 where it wants to go) requires an individual to sense and respond to information about the
543 spatiotemporal structure and dynamics of the environment, often including information about the

544 behaviour and location of conspecifics or other species (Nathan *et al.*, 2008). A mechanistic
545 understanding of movement ecology considers the following tenets: (i) individuals experience
546 only their local environment as delimited by their various sensory abilities under the current
547 physicochemical conditions, and (ii) individuals can only prefer an environmental variable they
548 can sense and where there is a direct relationship between a sensory receptor and/or afferent
549 nerve activity and the physical variable (Horodysky *et al.*, 2016). The behavioural decisions of
550 each individual to maximize its fitness in response to its internal physiological state thus iterates
551 across individuals to become the ecologies of populations (Horodysky *et al.*, 2015).

552 Fishes migrate throughout the world's oceans, within lakes and rivers, and between these water
553 bodies (Lennox *et al.*, 2019b), suggesting the involvement of a myriad of physiological
554 responses to many sensory stimuli. Collectively, the studies of fish movements, migrations, and
555 navigation processes comprise a broad field that has been fairly well-studied, though many
556 interesting questions remain (Dittman & Quinn, 1996; Kingsford *et al.*, 2002; Hinch *et al.*, 2006;
557 Putman *et al.*, 2014). This section therefore focuses on the narrower topic of the sensory
558 multimodality required to navigate on both large and small scales. Orientational and navigational
559 cues that can be used for long periods of time over travel distances of thousands of kilometres
560 may differ from those that are most useful over limited spatial scales (e.g. a few km) and short
561 time-scales from seconds to hours (Mouritsen, 2018). Fish movements thus most likely involve
562 interpreting multimodal sensory information from magnetosensory (in species possessing this
563 ability), chemosensory, and photosensory systems that may change with distance and duration to
564 the target destination. Questions remain about how several environmental cues are used together
565 during a given phase of movement/migration as well as how neural processing transitions
566 between phases (Mouritsen, 2018).

567 Most distance-migrating fishes likely use a biphasic navigational strategy. It is well documented
568 that salmonids use chemical cues to identify their natal streams at the end of spawning
569 migrations, with brain–pituitary–thyroid hormones playing important roles in olfactory memory
570 formation in downstream-migrating rheotactic smolts and brain–pituitary–gonadal hormones
571 assisting adults in their retrieval during upriver migration (Hasler & Scholz, 1983; Dittman &
572 Quinn, 1996; Bett & Hinch, 2016; Ueda, 2018). Catadromous anguillid eels (Barbin *et al.*, 1998)
573 and anadromous clupeids (Dodson & Dohse, 1984) also appear to use olfactory cues to direct

574 migratory movements. As a consequence of dilution and currents, however, olfactory cues alone
575 are insufficient to influence migrations that can span upwards of a thousand kilometres from the
576 open ocean to near-coastal waters or vice versa (Lohmann & Lohmann, 2019). Long distance
577 migrations, such as those undertaken by various salmonids, thunnids, as well as anguillid eels,
578 are presumably initiated by geomagnetic sense, as well as environmental cues, and are possibly
579 further enhanced by the use of celestial and visual cues, such as the sun compass and the
580 polarization of light (Hawryshyn, 1992; Parkyn *et al.*, 2003; Naisbett-Jones *et al.*, 2017). In
581 relation to the lifetime of most fish, the Earth's geomagnetic field may serve as a reasonably
582 constant and reliable source of directional and positional information (Formicki *et al.*, 2019), that
583 exists everywhere on Earth, is present day and night, and largely unaffected by weather (Johnsen
584 *et al.*, 2020).

585 Diverse mechanisms have been proposed as the basis for detecting magnetic fields:
586 electromagnetic induction (possible in elasmobranchs via the ampullae of Lorenzini), magnetic-
587 field-dependent chemical reactions (hypothesized in terrestrial vertebrates), and biogenic
588 magnetite crystal-based magnetoreception (hypothesized in fishes in which magnetite crystals
589 have been found, such as salmonids; Johnsen & Lohmann, 2005). However, secular variation of
590 the Earth's magnetic field over time and the small magnitude of magnetic signals relative to
591 thermal and receptor noise would compromise fine-scale navigation required to locate imprinted,
592 high-specificity natal sites in long-lived species (Putman *et al.*, 2013; Johnsen *et al.*, 2020).
593 Therefore, as adult salmon narrow their movements to coastal waters in the vicinity of natal
594 waterways, olfactory chemical gradients, visual landmarks, and soundscapes become
595 increasingly important cues that allow fish a fine-scale resolution to pinpoint their final
596 destinations for spawning migrations (Lohmann & Lohmann, 2019; Mouritsen, 2018). It is
597 perhaps not surprising that many migratory fishes thus move en masse, potentially benefiting not
598 only from their own sensory information, but also from the collective "intelligence" of the
599 group's behaviour, at least in certain circumstances (Couzin, 2009; Berdahl *et al.*, 2013; Berdahl
600 *et al.*, 2016; Berdahl *et al.*, 2018). Collective sensing demonstrates how social interactions,
601 individual state, environmental modification and processes of informational amplification and
602 decay can all tune adaptive responses that affect movements by averaging over error-prone
603 individual directional estimates (Berdahl *et al.*, 2013). For example, in migrating anadromous

604 salmonids, collective navigation may facilitate passage of fish through complex anthropogenic
605 barriers such as fishways and dams en route to their spawning grounds (Okasaki *et al.*, 2020).

606 Navigational cues can also direct recruitment processes and settlement in larvae and juvenile
607 fishes. Specifically, sockeye salmon demonstrate eight migratory phases in their life cycles, five
608 that occur in fresh and brackish waters prior to sexual maturity and appear to be influenced by
609 primarily visual and hydrodynamic cues (reviewed in Hinch *et al.*, 2006). Larvae of many coral
610 reef fishes use an innate celestial and magnetic compass direction to locate the general vicinity of
611 the reef, then olfactory and/or auditory cues to refine the reef's location, and finally vision to
612 locate a suitable microhabitat within the reef (Gerlach *et al.*, 2007; Radford *et al.*, 2011;
613 Mouritsen *et al.*, 2013). Like natal rivers, individual reefs may have distinctive olfactory, visual,
614 and auditory signatures that fish may imprint upon at hatching or during the early stages of larval
615 transport (Atema *et al.*, 2015). Mechanistic studies of the sensory abilities of fishes and the
616 stimuli produced by natural reefs may thus be of more than simple academic interest in the
617 ecological restoration of reefs (Gordon *et al.*, 2019).

618 Collectively, improved understanding of the roles of sensory systems for orientation and
619 navigation of larvae and adults remains an exciting field for future study, providing mechanistic
620 insights into the evolutionary drivers of fish dispersal strategies (see Radinger and Wolter 2014),
621 as well as the physical and physiological bounds of migration potential in the Anthropocene's
622 human-altered ecosystems. Understanding the role of fish sensory biology in movement ecology
623 is also critical for effective fisheries management as it provides tools necessary to: (i) interpret
624 behavioural responses both at the individual and population level, (ii) suggest approaches to
625 modify behaviours (most relevant to directing fish migrations in the presence of anthropogenic
626 structures), and (iii) ultimately predict population-level consequences associated with natural and
627 anthropogenically-induced environmental changes (Madliger, 2012; Blumstein & Berger-Tal,
628 2015; Horodysky *et al.*, 2016).

629 ***(2) Motion Processes and Capacity***

630 Adaptive selection has acted through ecology and environment impacting body form and
631 functional diversity in fishes. Fish are both constrained and enabled by their anatomy and thus
632 have different ways of swimming that influence their success in different habitats. Broadly,

633 steady swimming styles can be categorized as body-caudal fin swimming (body and caudal fin
634 are primary propulsors) or median/paired fin swimming (dorsal, anal, pelvic or pectoral fins are
635 primary propulsors; Breder, 1926; Lindsey, 1978; Webb, 1975). Within these overarching
636 categories, there are unique swimming modes that are particularly suited to each species'
637 ecological niche, life history, and body shape. For example, species that make long distance
638 migrations or are high speed specialists use swimming styles that prioritize thrust production
639 (e.g. salmonids - Webb *et al.*, 1984 and thunnids - Dewar & Graham, 1994), are often
640 streamlined, and may have muscle arrangements that keep muscles at an optimal operating
641 temperature (e.g. tuna red muscle is close to the vertebral column to insulate it from the water;
642 Carey *et al.*, 1966), and/or muscle fibres that are optimized for endurance swimming (e.g. a
643 higher proportion of red fibres laterally and red fibres spread throughout the white muscle in
644 salmonids; Johnston *et al.*, 1975). Conversely, for species where it is more important to be
645 manoeuvrable (e.g. reef fish), body shape enhances manoeuvrability and non-caudal fins are
646 relied on more heavily for regular locomotion to allow the fish to generate de-stabilizing thrusts
647 that facilitate efficient turning for weaving in and out of complex habitat (Webb, 2005).

648 Fish have one of the most unique vertebrate body muscle architectures, including nested cones of
649 white (high force, easily fatigued, fast contracting) muscle and a narrow, laterally positioned
650 strip of red (low force, fatigue resistant, slow contraction) muscle (Shadwick & Gemballa, 2005).
651 This placement of red muscle maximizes mechanical advantage, while helical white fibre
652 trajectories maintain a relatively constant level of bending along the body, and the sheer volume
653 of white muscle make this organization effective at a variety of speeds. The muscles of median
654 and paired fins are a mixture of white, red, and pink (physiological properties intermediate
655 between red and white) fibres (Drucker *et al.*, 2005). Selective activation of muscle fibre subsets
656 allows pectoral fins to be used for a variety of tasks including acceleration, steady swimming and
657 turning. Fish make use of the body and fins selectively depending on the task or environment. A
658 fish swimming slowly only recruits red body muscle and/or median and paired fins, while the
659 white muscle remains largely inactivated. As speed increases (or during acceleration or escape),
660 white muscle is recruited. Navigating complex three-dimensional environments can be
661 accomplished either through slender, flexible bodies, or by paired or median fins that increase
662 manoeuvrability and fine tune roll, pitch, and yaw (Drucker *et al.*, 2005).

663 Independent of body shape, abiotic environmental factors such as temperature, pH, and salinity
664 can influence muscle contraction physiology, affecting the rate of cross-bridge cycling and
665 oxygen availability, and therefore the capacity of a muscle to produce force (see Section 3.2 –
666 External Factors). At cold extremes (especially in larval fish), there may be some influence of
667 the increased viscosity of the water on the ability of fish to produce force for locomotion. On
668 larger spatial scales, any change in the connectivity of a habitat, natural or manmade, will
669 influence the ability of a fish to move freely, whereas pollution, water chemistry, and turbidity
670 changes may influence the ability of the sensory system to access critical information for
671 swimming performance (see Section 4.1 – Navigational Processes and Capacity).

672 Individual fish morphology and behaviour combine to influence the biomechanical performance
673 of an animal in its environment. The interaction of fish with their dynamic and diverse aquatic
674 environments is poorly understood, due to the complex nature of quantifying turbulence or
675 habitat complexity. Studies show that dynamic habitats can be a hindrance (e.g. turbulent flow,
676 Maia *et al.*, 2015) or can be utilized by individuals to minimize energy use (e.g. von Karmen
677 gait; Liao *et al.*, 2003, and schooling; Li *et al.*, 2020). For example, species that inhabit
678 particularly turbulent habitats, such as rivers or tidal zones, have developed behavioural
679 strategies that mitigate the cost by decreasing drag, hiding behind rocks, and seeking refuge in
680 more protected areas (Liao, 2007). Likewise, in the relatively stable open ocean, schooling may
681 offer protection from predation, but likely also helps fish economize swimming costs by utilizing
682 the beneficial hydrodynamic forces to reduce their own energetic swimming costs (Li *et al.*,
683 2020). Fish body form, internal and external anatomy, and behavioural repertoires have been
684 shaped by adaptive selection in a wide variety of habitats, dictating performance and overall
685 ecology.

686 ***(3) Movement Propagation Process***

687 Population-level movement processes are manifested by individuals (Morales *et al.*, 2010). The
688 movement propagation process underlying individual fish movement is therefore scalable and
689 necessary to understand fish behaviour and manage fish populations. Tracking individual fish
690 movement patterns is often summarized using several key metrics such as home range
691 dimensions and fish network characteristics. These metrics are derived from either path data
692 from continuous location sampling from electronic tags or detection data from discrete location

693 sampling. The continuous sampling from satellite tags and triangulation with acoustic tags can
694 result in path data, however, triangulation is often less precise. Acoustic tags, radio tags, and PIT
695 tags transmit signals that have the potential to be detected by receivers at known locations thus
696 generating time-stamped fish positions. It is with these movement data that a fish's movement
697 propagation process can be analysed. In essence, a fish's day consists of short-term behavioural
698 states such as swimming, feeding, and sleeping; at year or lifetime scales, fish engage in
699 dispersal, residency, and/or migration (Dingle & Drake, 2007). As actual or estimated relocations
700 of an individual are added over time, an individual fish's movement trajectory across a
701 significant part of its life may be revealed and provides an opportunity to test hypotheses about
702 movement at the species or population level and investigate the consequences of these movement
703 patterns for competition, predation and disease risk, or conservation.

704 How movement tracks can be categorized and assigned to a movement class can potentially
705 reveal the movement propagation process. We acknowledge both the probabilistic (Paris *et al.*,
706 2013) and deterministic (Faugeras & Maury 2007) processes of movement and how both are
707 important for understanding phases of movement. There is need for the development and
708 incorporation of more sophisticated and realistic models of movement. There are important
709 analytical tools available to analysts working with fish movement data to test hypotheses and
710 calculate metrics that describe fish movement. Packages such as migrateR (Spiz *et al.*, 2017)
711 provide functionality to test hypotheses about the shape of the fish's lifetime movement
712 trajectory. For example, Griffin *et al.* (2018) tested hypotheses about the shape of Atlantic tarpon
713 (*Megalops atlanticus*) movements to reveal their migratory tendencies. Range-restricted
714 movements can be analysed to calculate dimensions of a home range or core area (noting that
715 there is growing interest in using continuous time movement models; Hanks *et al.*, 2015), which
716 can be used to compare how individuals use space or identify overlap with key habitat types or
717 infrastructures. Identifying home range areas can substantiate the importance of marine reserves
718 for fish species such as queen triggerfish (*Balistes vetula*), which was found to have its home
719 range within the marine reserve Buck Island Reef National Monument in St. Croix (Bryan *et al.*,
720 2019). More specifically, tools such as resource selection functions and potentially step selection
721 functions can be used to test hypotheses about how specific habitat types are used to engage in
722 different types of behaviour (Griffin *et al.*, 2021). Both resource selection and step selection
723 functions will become more important tools for analysing fish movement propagation processes,

724 but require continuous path data to be overlaid with reliable habitat maps, both of which can be
725 practically challenging to acquire. At large scales, hidden Markov models (HMM) can be fit to
726 movement paths to identify movement states and transitions between states such as resting,
727 travelling, and foraging-like behaviour. Papastamatiou *et al.* (2018b) identified the diel variation
728 of two movement states (relatively low activity and relatively high activity) in blacktip reef
729 sharks and grey reef sharks (*Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos*) with the use of HMMs, which
730 showed that both species had a higher activity level during night-time but with varying
731 probability. When broader movement patterns are known but actual movement paths are not
732 measured, statistical movement models such as random walks can be used, for example, to
733 estimate fish home range sizes and spatial connectivity (Papastamatiou *et al.* 2013). At finer
734 scales, accelerometry has been valuable for classifying behaviours and activity levels as
735 individuals move through water. For example, Wright *et al.* (2021) assessed the timing and depth
736 of fast starts in yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) with the use of accelerometer sensors, with
737 results suggesting that they attack their prey from below.

738 The fact that fishes live in three dimensions poses an additional challenge for observing
739 movement. Some transmitters provide only two-dimensional positions that can dramatically
740 misestimate habitat selection, distance travelled, speed, and co-occurrence with other tagged
741 animals. Depth sensors in electronic tags can provide information about fish descents/ascents,
742 including whether these movements are v-shaped or u-shaped. Hedger *et al.* (2017) found that
743 Atlantic salmon more often followed a u-shaped pattern during their deeper descents (>200-m)
744 as depth sensors revealed that the salmon remained close to the maximum descended depth
745 rather than ascending soon after reaching the maximum depth. Data in the third dimension can
746 also be used to calculate three-dimensional home ranges (Lunde, 2015), but new developments
747 are needed to better account for depth in various other analyses such as resource selection
748 functions for fish.

749 How changes in position can be interpreted as a movement propagation process is crucial to
750 understanding fish ecology. Fundamental aspects of resource exploitation, competition with con-
751 and hetero-specifics, predation and disease risk, energy budgeting, and vulnerability to stressors
752 all stem from having a grasp of how and why fish move. Fish movement is predictable with
753 models trained by movement data (e.g. Brownscombe *et al.*, 2020; Vollset *et al.*, 2021) and can

754 contribute to spatial planning (Lennox *et al.*, 2019a), either by predicting when fish are
755 present/absent or using automated detection systems to inform agile decision making such as
756 shutting down hydropower plants as migrating fish begin to arrive (Tiechert *et al.*, 2020). Many
757 of the models used for assessing the movement propagation process have been adapted from
758 terrestrial systems where depth is immaterial, so our concepts of how to effectively include the
759 third dimension remains somewhat limited (but see Lee *et al.*, 2017 for discussion). There are
760 also limitations with converting detection data to path data, for example, calculating home ranges
761 from detection data at fixed stations or using position averaging (Simpfendorfer *et al.*, 2002).
762 Approaches such as position averaging are not validated to provide accurate fine-scale
763 descriptions of fish movement. Network analyses are a promising tool for describing fish
764 movement propagation from pure detection data (Whoriskey *et al.*, 2019). However, more work
765 in this space is also needed to integrate information about depth and additional contexts in the
766 data that may be usable for ascertaining positional information of fish.

767 **V. Population Level Processes**

768 Moving as an individual or as a group has costs and benefits that many fish species must
769 consider (Krause & Ruxton, 2002). Individual fish decisions reflect trade-offs in internal state
770 and/or in social state, all under dynamic environmental conditions. Individuals need to balance
771 biological and physiological traits, such as the need to feed or thermoregulate with the increased
772 energetic costs of searching, and social traits, such as schooling or shoaling (not unlike schooling
773 except coordinated movement is not required such that animals stay in one location) with
774 conspecifics to socialise or gain antipredator benefits (Magurran, 1990). The broader context of
775 the local environment weighs heavily on these decisions; factors like topography, salinity,
776 turbidity, depth, light-levels, and water chemistry can either attract or deter fish from certain
777 habitats. Individual- and group-level decision-making processes in fishes are so refined and
778 interlinked, that individuals also rely on the behaviour of others to enhance the accuracy of their
779 own decisions through processes such as quorum decision-making, which can guide collective
780 decisions on where to move (Ward *et al.*, 2008).

781 In instances where individual decisions align with other individuals, schools or shoals can form
782 that either persist in the short, medium, or long term, or constantly divide and reform, leading to

783 fission-fusion dynamics within the population (Couzin & Laidre, 2009). Benefits from moving
784 together range from hydrodynamic savings and protection from predation to optimizing
785 navigation, however, moving together also has costs. Population-level processes can be
786 exaggerated with important implications for species distribution, ecosystem dynamics, habitat
787 availability and species conservation, to name a few. The redistribution of large numbers of
788 individuals in space and *en masse*, can lead to stark shifts or pulses in nutrient supply (Allgeier *et*
789 *al.*, 2017), changing the surrounding environment by increasing abiotic effects, in turn leading to
790 substantial repercussions for ecosystem functioning (Benkwitt *et al.*, 2021). Schooling behaviour
791 can also influence population demographics through the generation of inter- and intraspecific
792 interactions. Consequently, within any given population, moving together can affect
793 reproduction through encounter rates, social interactions through greater opportunities to group
794 with others, and the diffusion of information and/or disease through the physical structuring of
795 individuals in space relative to one another (Pavlov & Kasumyan, 2000; Croft *et al.*, 2009;
796 Hasenjager *et al.*, 2020).

797 Fishes are not bound by cross jurisdictional boundaries and often inhabit/move across multiple
798 different management zones (Lédée *et al.*, 2021, Huveneers *et al.*, 2021). Therefore,
799 understanding the extent and distance of movement in species, the proportion of individuals that
800 undertake migration, and the level of social/collective behaviour within the population has
801 important ramifications for conservation and management (Cooke *et al.*, 2022). Only with a
802 better understanding of all of these complex, interconnected processes, often derived through
803 tracking technologies and spatially and/or socially informed movement models, can predictions
804 be made about the dynamics, demography, distribution, and structure of populations of
805 ecological or conservation interest (Morales *et al.*, 2010).

806 **VI. Ecosystem Level Processes**

807 Throughout aquatic environments, fish movements affect the flow of energy and nutrients both
808 directly and indirectly, and there has been a particular research focus on migratory species.
809 Anadromous migrations of salmonids (*Oncorhynchus* and *Salmo* spp.) transport nutrients from
810 the marine environment to freshwater ecosystems, providing foraging opportunities for a variety
811 of consumers (Gende *et al.*, 2001; Levi *et al.*, 2015; Furey *et al.*, 2016) and aiding riparian plants

812 and communities via carcass deposition (Ben-David *et al.*, 1998; Helfield & Naiman, 2001;
813 Naiman *et al.*, 2002; Quinn *et al.*, 2018). The migrations of iteroparous potamodromous species,
814 such as suckers (*Catostomus* spp), also provide nutrient transfers indirectly (Childress *et al.*,
815 2014) via egg deposition and excretion (Childress & McIntyre, 2014). Similarly, out-migrations
816 of juvenile anadromous fishes from freshwater provide foraging opportunities to consumers in
817 coastal ecosystems. For example, through restoration of western Atlantic river herring (*Alosids*
818 spp), there is potential to benefit the entire marine food web by reducing pressures on other
819 forage fishes and relaxing pressures among competing consumers (Dias, Frisk & Jordaan, 2019).
820 In tropical rivers, seasonal migrations of herbivorous fishes link eutrophic and oligotrophic
821 systems, causing shifts in food web structure and potentially subsidizing predators (Winemiller
822 & Jepsen, 1998). Broadly, migrations act to redistribute energy and feeding opportunities within
823 and among landscapes, affecting consumer behavior and feeding, as well as food web structure,
824 influencing the ecology and evolution of both migrants and predators (Sabal *et al.*, 2021). In fact,
825 migrations of prey fishes can induce large-scale movements of predators, a concept known as
826 migratory coupling that has the potential to affect food web structure and ecosystem function
827 (Furey *et al.*, 2018).

828 Although larger-scale migrations are highly studied, smaller-scale movements by fishes also
829 affect energy flow and food web structure. For example, consistent movements by snook
830 (*Centropomus undecimalis*) led to coupling among marshes, riverine, and estuarine systems,
831 acting as a vector for nutrient transport (Rezek *et al.*, 2020). Even over tidal cycles, the
832 movements of sharks in and out of coral reefs can induce temporary trophic cascades via non-
833 consumptive effects on lower trophic levels (Rasher *et al.*, 2017). In marine systems, spawning
834 aggregations of camouflage grouper (*Epinephelus polyphkadion*) were targeted by sharks,
835 leading to the maintenance of inverted trophic pyramids, with exceptionally high biomass of
836 predators relative to prey on coral reefs (Mourier *et al.*, 2016). Inverted biomass pyramids may
837 be maintained by the movements of consumers (many being fishes), thereby providing energetic
838 subsidies (Trebilco *et al.*, 2016). Broadly, the movements of generalist consumers across habitat
839 types can provide ecosystem stability, particularly in ecosystems characterized by strong
840 temporal variability in resource availability (McCann, 2000; McMeans *et al.*, 2015).

841 Further opportunities remain to understand and quantify the impacts of fish movements on the
842 flow of nutrients and energy within food webs and among ecosystems. Examples include the
843 value of fishes as seed dispersers (Mulder *et al.*, 2021; Correa *et al.*, 2015), the ability of fishes
844 to transport nutrients from mass mortality events of terrestrial vertebrate migrants (Subalusky *et*
845 *al.*, 2017), the transfer of nutrients vertically within marine systems (via diel vertical migrations;
846 e.g., Martin *et al.*, 2021), and more broadly the value of fish movements in understanding
847 biodiversity (Jeltsch *et al.*, 2013). Integrating technologies that quantify movement (such as
848 telemetry; Cooke *et al.*, 2004; Hussey *et al.*, 2015) as well as other aspects of food webs (diet,
849 stable isotopes, energetics) and communities will likely be needed to improve our understanding.

850 **VII. Movement Ecology Meets the Conservation and Management of Fishes**

851 Understanding a species' movement ecology is fundamental to its effective management and for
852 the development of effective conservation actions and policy measures (Driscoll *et al.*, 2014;
853 Barton *et al.*, 2015; Allen & Singh, 2016). At a basic level, successful fisheries management is
854 linked to knowing where and when fishes reside or migrate (Thorstad *et al.*, 2013), and the
855 integration of movement ecology with management goals supports the conservation and
856 protection of fish habitat and populations (Crossin *et al.*, 2017; Matley *et al.*, 2022). There are
857 numerous elements within the conceptual framework for movement ecology presented herein
858 that can inform effective fisheries management or conservation by linking the focal individual to
859 their environment, notably their movement path, capacity for motion, interaction with their
860 environment, and internal state. All of these factors influence catchability in complex ways
861 (Lennox *et al.*, 2017). Whereas the conceptual framework by Nathan *et al.* (2008) is focused
862 around the individual and how its movements are influenced by internal state and environmental
863 interactions, this individual-based information can be scaled up to help with management of fish
864 populations as a whole (Metcalf *et al.*, 2012).

865 Movement paths are an emergent property of an individual's capacity for motion and how they
866 perceive and navigate through the environment. Understanding these elements is critical for
867 identifying and protecting movement corridors and describing the environmental cues that
868 initiate life history events like migration and allow an individual to return to their natal systems
869 for spawning. This is well illustrated by Pacific salmon where fisheries management

870 organizations plan their stock assessment activities around the movement paths of salmon during
871 their coastal approach and where regulators apply regulations in different zones to manage
872 stocks. For example, adult sockeye salmon returning to the Fraser River of British Columbia
873 have the option of approaching from the south of Vancouver Island through Juan de Fuca Strait
874 or diverting to the north of the island and coming south through Johnstone Strait (McKinnell *et*
875 *al.*, 1999). Failure to account for differences in movement paths would reduce the validity of
876 stock assessment and make it difficult for the development of fisheries management plans (e.g.,
877 when and where to open a fishery). The same can be said for marine fish in open-ocean
878 environments. Going back to early work by Block *et al.* (2005), satellite telemetry has revealed
879 unexpected population structure of bluefin tuna and thus revolutionized the management of these
880 populations. Additional work on tuna in other environments (e.g., Teo *et al.*, 2007) has revealed
881 similar unexpected knowledge on trans-boundary movements that is highly relevant to the
882 governance of migratory fishes.

883 Inherent to an understanding of movement pathways are the biomechanics of an individual's
884 movement that manifest as their capacity for motion. Understanding a species' ability to move
885 dictates the size and/or distribution of habitats they may occupy. Fishes display a wide range of
886 movement patterns from those that are largely resident within small home ranges to those that
887 may roam throughout the world's oceans (Green *et al.*, 2015). Further, migration range and
888 movement speeds not only scale well with the size of the fish, but can be higher than expected in
889 fishes that can retain metabolic heat (e.g., thunnids; Watanabe *et al.*, 2015), reinforcing the
890 connection between the internal state of an individual and their movements. An understanding of
891 the timing and extent of movements (or lack thereof) for focal species is essential for defining
892 the boundaries of conservation zones or reserves (Kramer & Chapman, 1999; Simpfendorfer *et*
893 *al.*, 2010), which when developed with this type of input can promote increased diversity,
894 biomass, and density of focal species within their boundaries (e.g., Halpern, 2003; Lester *et al.*,
895 2009). Indeed, in the Mediterranean Sea, species density was higher in protected areas that were
896 larger than their home range, but only 25% of existing protected areas in this region were large
897 enough to provide adequate protection for the 11 species that were assessed (Di Franco *et al.*,
898 2018). Similarly, fisheries plans the make use of fish aggregative devices (FADs) benefit from
899 knowledge of abilities to transit open water habitats and locate FADs (Girard *et al.*, 2004). An
900 understanding of a species' movement capacity and the resulting area they use can help during

901 the design phase of protected area networks, and can be an important element in facilitating
902 discussions with stakeholders and garnering buy-in for more expansive protected areas (Weeks *et*
903 *al.*, 2017).

904 The movement capacity of a species can also inform the partitioning of fish stocks into
905 management units or zones (Hayden *et al.*, 2017; Kessel *et al.*, 2018) and the scale and extent of
906 a species' metapopulation (Daniels *et al.*, 2008). Inherent to the definition of a fish "stock" is the
907 notion that individuals in the stock are largely spatially or temporally isolated from other
908 conspecifics (Ihseen *et al.*, 1981) and thus movements within and among stocks will dictate the
909 optimal boundaries for their management (Hourston, 1982; Binder *et al.*, 2017). This delineation
910 is further complicated, however, when the range of a stock spans international boundaries since
911 different management measures may be applied in each region. An exploration of movement
912 ranges for three fishes in Lake Tanganyika, an African Great Lake, found evidence for
913 movements by two species outside of Zambian waters and as such international-level
914 management strategies were recommended to ensure fisheries regulations were effective (Phiri &
915 Shirakihara, 1999). Evidence of high spawning site fidelity in walleye (*Sander vitreus*) in
916 another transboundary system (Lake Erie) identified the need for increased focus on individual
917 stocks, despite the fact that there was extensive mixing of stocks outside of their spawning
918 season (Hayden *et al.*, 2017). Tagging of adult Atlantic bluefin tuna off the coast of eastern
919 Canada revealed evidence of a metapopulation requiring more spatially explicit management
920 than the current simple two-stock structure that had been used for some time (Galuardi *et al.*,
921 2010). These types of studies demonstrate how knowledge of the movement capacity of a species
922 throughout their life history is critical for establishing appropriate management zones and
923 facilitating international management collaboration to provide adequate stock protection.

924 There is considerable literature exploring the movement capacity of fishes related to their
925 swimming mechanics and speed (e.g., Webb, 1998; Sfakiotakis *et al.*, 1999; Liao, 2007; Cano-
926 Barbacil *et al.*, 2020). In lotic systems, this capacity for movement will dictate whether an
927 individual is able to move upstream to complete their life history in natural systems or those with
928 modified flow regimes or barriers that can impede connectivity (Williams *et al.*, 2012). This type
929 of information has been used to revise the timing and magnitude of alterations to discharge in
930 regulated rivers, which can help limit impacts on fishes living downstream (Göthe *et al.*, 2018).

931 Additionally, movement capacity has been used to inform the effective design of structures at
932 instream barriers to allow passage to critical foraging or spawning grounds in an effort to
933 maintain or restore connectivity (Castro-Santos & Haro, 2005; Silva *et al.*, 2018). For example,
934 anguillids move upstream to reach productive rearing habitats and passage of barriers must be
935 facilitated. Passage structures with appropriate substrata and suitable slopes are therefore being
936 designed based on the climbing abilities of juvenile (glass) eels (Jellyman *et al.*, 2017; Watz *et*
937 *al.*, 2019). These types of barriers can also pose hazards for fishes as they move downstream
938 (Williams *et al.*, 2012), and another element within the conceptual framework, cognition, can be
939 used to shift a fish's movement path away from hazards and towards areas of safe passage.
940 Various behavioural guidance strategies involving light, carbon dioxide, louvers, bubble curtains
941 and noise have been used with variable success to repel fish from undesirable areas and/or attract
942 them to desirable areas (reviewed in Noatch & Suski, 2012). An understanding of the movement
943 ecology of lotic fishes is clearly essential for limiting impacts from changes in discharge and
944 barriers to both upstream and downstream migration.

945 The internal state of an individual drives movements to support foraging, reproduction, and
946 maintenance of homeostasis. For managers, this is presumed to manifest as movements towards
947 habitat that can meet these internal demands, or the absence of movement (i.e., residence) within
948 suitable habitat. Understanding the key habitat parameters, whether biological (e.g., sufficient
949 prey resources), limnological (e.g., optimal temperatures for maximum growth), or physical
950 (e.g., suitable substrate for spawning) that may push, pull, or retain fishes is key for effective
951 management of habitat. In addition to inclusion of important habitat in protected areas (Green *et*
952 *al.*, 2015), understanding habitat requirements is also critical for effective habitat creation or
953 remediation (Lapointe *et al.*, 2013). When implemented successfully, such activities can promote
954 recovery of fish populations, but when the needs of individual fish are not being met, habitat
955 interventions can at best fail to yield improvements and at worst, result in the creation of
956 population sinks.

957 **VIII. The Future of Fish Movement Ecology- Unknowns and Opportunities**

958 Evidence syntheses (such as what we have provided here) are useful for identifying research
959 gaps. We have done so here but also note that there is an intimate connection between the tools

960 available to study fishes on the move and the questions that we can answer. Here we briefly
961 outline the future of fish movement ecology by addressing both technological innovations (of
962 today and on the horizon) and their role in addressing knowledge gaps. Our goal was not to list
963 every possible research need or opportunity related to fish movement given that has recently
964 been covered by Lennox *et al.* (2019b).

965 Fish movement ecology research will continue to develop in extreme directions - longer lifetime
966 tracking, finer resolution of observations, smaller electronic tags to better understand larval and
967 juvenile fish, and better sensor integration (e.g., environmental sensors, accelerometers to
968 quantify movement behaviour, heart rate sensors to quantify costs of movement) to reveal novel
969 insights into the internal and external drivers of movement (Lennox *et al.*, 2017; Matley *et al.*,
970 2022). Positioning systems are increasingly being used to reveal three-dimensional positions of
971 fish, allowing fine grained matching of positions to resources such as physical habitat (Griffin *et al.*,
972 2021) or classification of behavioural states (Whoriskey *et al.*, In Review). These positioning
973 tools tend to be limited to smaller closed areas such as ponds, lakes, or embayments, but large
974 lakes are increasingly gridded with receivers (e.g., the Laurentian Great Lakes, see
975 <https://glatos.glos.us/>) and may soon have the capacity for high dimensional long-term
976 positioning of fish to reveal drivers of large-scale movements (Lennox *et al.*, 2021a). Laboratory
977 tools for tracking individual fish by video provides new and robust tools for movement
978 experiments and are suitable for larval life stages (e.g. TRex tracking; Walter & Couzin, 2021).
979 Miniaturization of transmitters on high frequency channels is opening new opportunities for field
980 investigations of fish larvae (Martinez *et al.*, 2021) including a tag weighing only 0.08 g in air
981 (Deng *et al.*, 2021). Beyond fine-scale movements, satellite tags continue to improve and provide
982 scientists with the ability to track animals over broad spatial scales (Harcourt *et al.*, 2019;
983 Sequeira *et al.*, 2019). Like other electronic tags, satellite tags continue to shrink in size such
984 that they can be applied to a broader range of species and life stages and provide tracks with
985 greater resolution and accuracy.

986 Larger tags that transmit sensor data in addition to individual ID are increasingly used to reveal
987 more about the ecology of fish movement using telemetry. Depth and temperature sensors are
988 commonly integrated into both transmitting and logging tags to add context to where, when, and
989 why fish move. Conductivity (salinity) sensor tags are also available for externally attached tags

990 and oxygen sensor tags have been tested, although both sensors have short longevity due to
991 biofouling of the sensors. Studies on individual costs and benefits of movement benefit from
992 integrated acceleration, heart rate, or even blood metabolite sensors that log the data or transmit
993 to receivers. Heart rate loggers are increasingly used (e.g. Twardek *et al.*, 2021) whereas radio
994 transmitters equipped with heart rate sensors have been used for decades (Lucas, 1994).
995 Magnetometers have the potential to reveal new insights about fish navigation at finer scales than
996 have ever before been possible using turning angles from path data. Predation sensor tags are
997 also available to resolve the fate of fish and efficiently exclude observations from non-target
998 species (Klinard and Matley, 2020; Lennox *et al.*, 2021*b*). Temperature sensors have creatively
999 been applied to monitor gut heat of tunas to identify foraging areas (Whitlock *et al.*, 2013) and to
1000 reveal predation by endothermic animals (Wahlberg *et al.*, 2014), which could also be used to
1001 identify behavioural fever in response to pathogens or stress (Huntingford *et al.*, 2020). Of
1002 course, it is also possible to measure biomarkers (e.g., omics, isotopic signatures, genetics,
1003 endocrine state) on fish that are tagged and released (or recaptured) to also generate
1004 understanding about the drivers and consequences of behaviours (see Brosset *et al.* 2021 and
1005 Thorstensen *et al.* 2022 for reviews).

1006 There are still challenges modelling the vast data recovered from telemetry systems (Nathan *et*
1007 *al.*, 2022). Programs for synchronizing receiver clocks and calculating three-dimensional
1008 positions such as YAPS (Baktoft *et al.*, 2017) can take months of computing time to parse
1009 through a large dataset. Modelling both detection data and path data (i.e. after triangulation) must
1010 use models that account for the high degree of spatial and temporal autocorrelation in the data,
1011 including home range calculation (Signer & Fieberg, 2021) and generalized linear models
1012 (Whoriskey *et al.*, 2019). Development of efficient model fitting tools is needed if the massive
1013 datasets accumulating from telemetry platforms are to be analysed effectively. Tools for
1014 developing and accessing fine scale environmental data are also needed to relate fish movement
1015 to external drivers (i.e. menotaxis; Togunov *et al.*, 2021). Large parts of the ocean are not
1016 mapped and satellite measures of sea surface temperature, wave height, tidal phase, wind
1017 direction, current velocity, salinity, and chlorophyll - at an increasingly fine-scale resolution -
1018 should be easier to access and match to movement data to develop models of animal range and
1019 resource selection based on occurrence or movement data (Griffin *et al.*, 2021). In fact, there are
1020 an increasing number of studies that use ocean remote sensing to develop models of resource

1021 selection by fish at regional, ocean-basin and global scales thanks to advances in biotelemetry
1022 and environmental monitoring (El Mahrad *et al.*, 2020). Additional contextual information for
1023 tagged animals about the biotic environment are also needed, including details about local
1024 conspecifics, competitors, and predators that are presently difficult to resolve without cameras or
1025 use of VMT devices (e.g., Barkley *et al.*, 2020). However, such contextual information can
1026 obscure some important drivers of movement and can especially complicate investigations of
1027 sociality or symbiosis in fish if they are interacting with both tagged and untagged counterparts.

1028 Novel tools and techniques for magnifying animal movement and generating better, finer
1029 resolution observations of individual locations and paths that will allow more robust testing of
1030 hypotheses about the individual- and group-level internal and external drivers of movement are
1031 emerging (e.g. Monk *et al.*, 2021). Both observation-based and automated classification of
1032 behavioural states from movement data will become easier and more efficiently linked to
1033 habitats to identify activity and behavioural landscapes where fish partition their energy
1034 (Brownscombe *et al.*, 2017), and struggle to survive and reproduce in a challenging and
1035 changing world (Monk *et al.*, 2021). Indeed, fish movement ecology must strive to begin
1036 unravelling how and why fish distributions are changing with climate change and responding to
1037 increasingly intense human exploitation of the ocean, as well as provide insights into the drivers
1038 of fish extinction and extirpation as the biodiversity crisis continues to worsen.

1039 **IX. Conclusion**

1040 Movement is a ubiquitous feature for fishes. Although the scale and reason for such movements
1041 can vary, it is clear that movement is fundamental to the ecology and life-history of fish
1042 populations. Recent technical innovations (e.g., electronic tags, hydroacoustics, chemical tracers)
1043 have enhanced our ability to study the movement ecology of fishes in the wild and in doing so
1044 have revealed immense diversity in how fish move through aquascapes, whether in small
1045 freshwater streams or the high seas. The movement ecology paradigm proposed by Nathan *et al.*
1046 (2008) provides a framework for understanding the basis for the diversity in movements and
1047 understanding environmental- and individual-level drivers. Given the manifold effects of water
1048 temperature on fishes (i.e., being ectotherms; Fry, 1971), the environment has a strong influence
1049 on all aspects of movement (e.g., from controlling muscle enzymes that enable locomotion to

1050 regulating respiration to enabling maturation), which led us to make some minor modifications to
1051 how the framework is conceptualized (see Fig. 1), though it is clear that the conceptual basis for
1052 the framework is sound and highly applicable to fishes. There is existing and emerging research
1053 on all aspects of the framework but we note that the greatest focus to date has been on the effect
1054 of environmental factors on movement – a similar observation made by Joo et al. (2022) in a
1055 review of how the Nathan et al. (2008) framework had been applied across taxa. We further
1056 extended our review of movement ecology to consider higher level processes, such as what
1057 movement means for fish population biology, community interactions, and ecosystem function.
1058 Given the importance of movement for ecology and evolution, we also considered what the
1059 movement ecology of fish means for management and conservation. There are a growing number
1060 of applications that span various domains of the movement ecology framework, which are
1061 providing fisheries managers with new tools and knowledge for protecting, restoring, and
1062 managing fish populations (Cooke *et al.*, 2022). Yet, there remains many unknowns about the
1063 fundamentals of fish movement ecology, including the generality of various physiological
1064 phenomena and how different aspects of movement may be influenced by climate change (see
1065 Lennox et al. 2019a for research agenda). It is not an exaggeration to suggest we are entering the
1066 golden age of fish movement ecology, representing an exciting time to be a fish ecologist.

1067 **X. Acknowledgements**

1068 The authors are grateful to Ran Nathan and his team for developing the movement ecology
1069 paradigm and Chris Holbrook for providing input on our draft manuscript. Cooke, Bergman,
1070 Twardek and Standen are supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council
1071 of Canada. Cooke is a member of the Ocean Tracking Network (supported by the Canada
1072 Foundation for Innovation) and the Great Lakes Acoustic Telemetry Observation System
1073 (supported by the Great Lakes Fishery Commission). Twardek is also supported by a Weston
1074 Family Award. Horodysky is supported by NSF-CAREER #1846004 and NSF-TIP #1911928.
1075 Lennox and Dahlmo are supported by the Norwegian Research Council (grants #320726).
1076 Birnie-Gauvin is supported by Villum Fonden. Casselberry is supported by the NOAA ONMS
1077 Dr. Nancy Foster Scholarship. Jacoby is supported by the Bertarelli Foundation through the
1078 Bertarelli Programme in Marine Science and Natural England.

1079 **XI. Data Availability Statement**

1080 Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the
1081 current study.

1082 **XII. References**

- 1083 AFFANDI, F. A. & ISHAK, M. Y. (2019). Impacts of suspended sediment and metal pollution from
1084 mining activities on riverine fish population—a review. *Environmental Science and Pollution*
1085 *Research* **26**, 16939–16951.
- 1086 ALLEN, A. M. & SINGH, N. J. (2016). Linking movement ecology with wildlife management and
1087 conservation. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* **3**, 155.
- 1088 ALLGEIER, J. E., BURKEPILE, D. E. & LAYMAN, C.A. (2017). Animal pee in the sea: consumer-
1089 mediated nutrient dynamics in the world's changing oceans. *Global Change Biology* **23**(6),
1090 2166–2178.
- 1091 ANDRZEJACZEK, S., GLEISS, A. C., PATTIARATCHI, C. B. & MEEKAN, M. G. (2019). Patterns and
1092 drivers of vertical movements of the large fishes of the epipelagic. *Reviews in Fish Biology and*
1093 *Fisheries* **29**, 335–354.
- 1094 ATEMA, J., GERLACH, G. & PARIS, C.B. (2015). Sensory biology and navigation behavior of reef fish
1095 larvae. In *Ecology of Fishes on Coral Reefs* (eds C. MORA), p. 3–15. Cambridge University
1096 Press, Cambridge, UK.
- 1097 BACHELER, N. M., MICHELOT, T., CHESHIRE, R. T. & SHERTZER, K. W. (2019). Fine-scale movement
1098 patterns and behavioral states of gray triggerfish *Balistes capriscus* determined from acoustic
1099 telemetry and hidden Markov models. *Fisheries Research* **215**, 76–89.
- 1100 BAKTOFT, H., GJELLAND, K. O., ØKLAND, F. & THYGESSEN, U. H. (2017). Positioning of aquatic
1101 animals based on time-of-arrival and random walk models using YAPS (Yet Another Positioning
1102 Solver). *Scientific Reports* **7**(1), 14294.
- 1103 BARBIN, G. P., PARKER, S.J. & MCCLEAVE, J. D. (1998). Olfactory cues play a critical role in the
1104 estuarine migration of silver-phase American eels. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* **53**, 283–
1105 291.
- 1106 BARKLEY, A. N., BROELL, F., PETTITT-WADE, H., WATANABE, Y. Y., MARCOUX, M., &
1107 HUSSEY, N. E. (2020). A framework to estimate the likelihood of species interactions and
1108 behavioural responses using animal-borne acoustic telemetry transceivers and accelerometers.
1109 *Journal of Animal Ecology* **89**(1), 146-160.

- 1110 BARTON, P. S., LENTINI, P. E., ALACS, E., BAU, S., BUCKLEY, Y. M., BURNS, E. L., DRISCOLL, D. A.,
1111 GUJA, L. K., KUJALA, H., LAHOZ-MONTFORT, J. J., MORTELLITI, NATHAN, R., ROWE, R. & SMITH,
1112 A. L. (2015). Guidelines for Using Movement Science to Inform Biodiversity Policy.
1113 *Environmental Management* **56**, 791–801.
- 1114 BECKER, L. J. S. & GABOR, C. R. (2012). Effects of turbidity and visual vs. chemical cues on anti-
1115 predator response in the endangered fountain darter (*Etheostoma fonticola*). *Ethology* **118**(10),
1116 994–1000.
- 1117 BEITINGER, T. L. & FITZPATRICK, L. C. (1979). Physiological and ecological correlates of preferred
1118 temperature in fish. *American Zoologist* **19**(1), 319–329.
- 1119 BEN-DAVID, M., HANLEY, T. A. & SCHELL, D. M. (1998). Fertilization of Terrestrial Vegetation by
1120 Spawning Pacific Salmon: The Role of Flooding and Predator Activity. *Oikos* **83**, 47–55.
- 1121 BENKWITT, C. E., TAYLOR, B. M., MEEKAN, M. G. & GRAHAM, N. A. J. (2021). Natural nutrient
1122 subsidies alter demographic rates in a functionally important coral-reef fish. *Scientific Reports*
1123 **11**(1), 1–13.
- 1124 BERDAHL, A. M., KAO, A. B., FLACK, A., WESTLEY, P. A. H., CODLING, E. A., COUZIN, I. D., DELL,
1125 A. I. & BIRO, D. (2018) Collective animal navigation and migratory culture: from theoretical
1126 models to empirical evidence. *Philosophical Transaction of the Royal Society B* **373**(1746),
1127 20170009.
- 1128 BERDAHL, A., WESTLEY P. A. H., LEVIN, S., COUZIN, I. D. & QUINN, T. P. (2016). A collective
1129 navigation hypothesis for homeward migration in anadromous salmonids. *Fish and Fisheries*
1130 **17**(2), 525–542.
- 1131 BERDAHL, A., TORNEY, C.J., IOANNOU, C.C., FARIA, J. J. & COUZIN, I. D. (2013). Emergent sensing
1132 of complex environments by mobile animal groups. *Science* **339**(6119), 574–576.
- 1133 BERNAL, D., DICKSON, K. A., SHADWICK, R. E. & GRAHAM, J. B. (2001). Review: Analysis of the
1134 evolutionary convergence for high performance swimming in lamnid sharks and tunas.
1135 *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* **129**(2–
1136 3), 695–726.

- 1137 BETT, N. N. & HINCH, S. G. (2016). Olfactory navigation during spawning migrations: a review and
1138 introduction of the hierarchical navigation hypothesis. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge*
1139 *Philosophical Society* **91**(3), 728–759.
- 1140 BINDER, T. R., MARSDEN, J. E., RILEY, S. C., JOHNSON, J. E., JOHNSON, N. S., HE, J., EBENER, M.,
1141 HOLBROOK, C. M., BERGSTEDT, R. A., BRONTE, C. R., HAYDEN, T. A. & KRUEGER, C. C. (2017).
1142 Movement patterns and spatial segregation of two populations of lake trout *Salvelinus*
1143 *namaycush* in Lake Huron. *Journal of Great Lakes Research* **43**(3), 108–118.
- 1144 BIRNIE-GAUVIN, K., FLÁVIO, H., KRISTENSEN, M. L., WALTON-RABIDEAU, S., COOKE, S. J.,
1145 WILLMORE, W. G., KOED, A. & AARESTRUP, K. (2019). Cortisol predicts migration timing and
1146 success in both Atlantic salmon and sea trout kelts. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 2422.
- 1147 BIRNIE-GAUVIN, K., LENNOX, R. J., GUGLIELMO, C. G., TEFFER, A. K., CROSSIN, G. T., NORRIS, D.
1148 R., AARESTRUP, K. & COOKE, S. J. (2020). The Value of Experimental Approaches in Migration
1149 Biology. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* **93**(3), 210–226.
- 1150 BLANTON M. L. & SPECKER J. L. (2007). The hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid (HPT) axis in fish and
1151 its role in fish development and reproduction. *Critical Reviews in Toxicology* **37**(1–2), 97–115.
- 1152 BLOCK, B. A., TEO, S. L., WALLI, A., BOUSTANY, A., STOKESBURY, M. J., FARWELL, C. J., WENG, K.
1153 C., DEWAR, H. & WILLIAMS, T. D. (2005). Electronic tagging and population structure of Atlantic
1154 bluefin tuna. *Nature* **434**(7037), 1121–1127.
- 1155 BLUMSTEIN, D. T. & BERGER-TAL, O. (2015). Understanding sensory mechanisms to develop
1156 effective conservation and management tools. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences* **6**, 13–18.
- 1157 BOISCLAIR, D. & LEGGETT, W. C. (1989). The Importance of Activity in Bioenergetics Models
1158 Applied to Actively Foraging Fishes. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*
1159 **46**(11), 1859–1867.
- 1160 BREDER, C. M. (1926). The locomotion of fishes. *Zoologica* **4**, 159–291.
- 1161 BRETT, J. R. (1971). Energetic responses of salmon to temperature. A study of some thermal
1162 relations in the physiology and freshwater ecology of sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*).
1163 *American Zoologist* **11**(1), 99–113.

- 1164 BRETT, J. R. & GROVES, T. D. D. (1979). Physiological energetics. *Fish Physiology* **8**, 279–352.
- 1165 BRÖNMARK, C., SKOV, C., BRODERSEN, J., NILSSON, P. A. & HANSSON, L. A. (2008). Seasonal
1166 Migration Determined by a Trade-Off between Predator Avoidance and Growth. *PLoS One* **3**,
1167 e1957.
- 1168 BROOKER, R. M., MUNDAY, P. L., MCLEOD, I. M. & JONES, G. P. (2013). Habitat preferences of
1169 corallivorous reef fish: predation risk versus food quality. *Coral Reefs* **32**, 613–622.
- 1170 BROSSET, P., S.J. COOKE, Q. SCHULL, V.M. TRENKEL, P. SOUDANT & C. LEBIGRE. (2021).
1171 Physiological biomarkers and fisheries management. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*. 31,
1172 797-819.
- 1173 BROWN, G. E., RIVE, A. C., FERRARI M. C. O. & CHIVERS, D. P. (2006). The dynamic nature of
1174 antipredator behavior: prey fish integrate threat-sensitive antipredator responses within
1175 background levels of predation risk. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **61**(1), 9–16.
- 1176 BROWNSCOMBE, J. W., COOKE, S. J. & DANYLCHUK, A. J. (2017). Spatiotemporal drivers of energy
1177 expenditure in a coastal marine fish. *Oecologia* **183**(3), 689–699.
- 1178 BROWNSCOMBE, J. W., GRIFFIN, L. P., MORLEY, D., ACOSTA, A., HUNT, J., LOWERRE-BARBIERI, S.
1179 K., ADAMS, A. J., DANYLCHUK, A. J. & COOKE, S. J. (2020). Application of machine learning
1180 algorithms to identify cryptic reproductive habitats using diverse information sources. *Oecologia*
1181 **194**(1), 283–298.
- 1182 BROWNSCOMBE, J. W., NOWELL, L., SAMSON, E., DANYLCHUK, A. J. & COOKE, S. J. (2014). Fishing-
1183 related stressors inhibit refuge-seeking behavior in released subadult Great Barracuda.
1184 *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* **143**(3), 613–617.
- 1185 BROWNSCOMBE, J. W., RABY, G. D., MURCHIE, K. J., DANYLCHUK, A. J., & COOKE, S. J. (2022). An
1186 energetics-performance framework for wild fishes. *Journal of Fish Biology*.
1187 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.15066>
- 1188 BRYAN, D. R., FEELEY, M. W., NEMETH, R. S., POLLOCK, C. & AULT, J. S. (2019). Home range and
1189 spawning migration patterns of queen triggerfish *Balistes vetula* in St. Croix, US Virgin Islands.
1190 *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **616**, 123–139.

- 1191 BRYER, P. J., MIRZA, R.S. & CHIVERS, D. P. (2001). Chemosensory assessment of predation risk by
1192 slimy sculpins (*Cottus cognatus*): Responses to alarm, disturbance, and predator cues. *Journal of*
1193 *Chemical Ecology* **27**, 533–546.
- 1194 BURNETT, N. J., HINCH, S. G., BRAUN, D. C., CASSELMAN, M. T., MIDDLETON, C. T., WILSON, S. M.
1195 & COOKE, S. J. (2014). Burst swimming in areas of high flow: delayed consequences of
1196 anaerobiosis in wild adult sockeye salmon. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* **87**(5), 587–
1197 598.
- 1198 BUTLER, J. M. & MARUSKA, K. P. (2018). Mechanosensory signalling as a potential mode of
1199 communication during social interactions in fishes. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **219**:2781–
1200 2789.
- 1201 CANO-BARBACIL, C., RADINGER, J., ARGUDO, M., RUBIO-GRACIA, F., VILA-GISPERS, A. & GARCÍA-
1202 BERTHOU, E. (2020). Key factors explaining critical swimming speed in freshwater fish: a review
1203 and statistical analysis for Iberian species. *Scientific Reports* **10**(1), 1–12.
- 1204 CAREY, F. G. & TEAL, J. M. (1966). Heat conservation in tuna fish muscle. *Proceedings of the*
1205 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **56**(5), 1464–1469.
- 1206 CARLISLE, A. B., KOCHIEVAR, R. E., AROSTEGUI, M. C., GANONG, J. E., CASTLETON, M.,
1207 SCHRATWIESER, J. & BLOCK, B. A. (2017). Influence of temperature and oxygen on the
1208 distribution of blue marlin (*Makaira nigricans*) in the Central Pacific. *Fisheries Oceanography*
1209 **26**(1), 34–48.
- 1210 CASTRO-SANTOS, T. & HARO, A. (2005). Biomechanics and fisheries conservation. *Fish Physiology*
1211 **23**, 469–523.
- 1212 CHILDRESS, E. S. & MCINTYRE, P. B. (2015). Multiple nutrient subsidy pathways from a spawning
1213 migration of iteroparous fish. *Freshwater Biology* **60**(3), 490–499.
- 1214 CHILDRESS, E. S., ALLAN, J. D. & MCINTYRE, P. B. (2014). Nutrient Subsidies from Iteroparous Fish
1215 Migrations Can Enhance Stream Productivity. *Ecosystems* **17**(3), 522–534.
- 1216 Childs, A. R., Cowley, P. D., Naesje, T. F., Boothe, A. J., Potts, W. M., Thorstad, E. B. & Økland,
1217 F. (2008). Do environmental factors influence the movement of estuarine fish? A case study
1218 using acoustic telemetry. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* **78**(1), 227–236.

- 1219 CLARK, T. D., SANDBLOM, E. & JUTFELT, F. (2013) Aerobic scope measurements of fishes in an era
1220 of climate change: respirometry, relevance and recommendations. *Journal of Experimental*
1221 *Biology* **216**(15), 2771–2782.
- 1222 CLARKE, A. & JOHNSTON, N. M. (1999). Scaling of metabolic rate with body mass and temperature
1223 in teleost fish. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **68**(5), 893–905.
- 1224 CLOBERT, J., M. BAGUETTE, T. G. BENTON, AND BULLOCK, J. M. (2012). *Dispersal Ecology and*
1225 *Evolution*. Oxford University Press. Oxford, UK.
- 1226 CODLING, E. A., PLANK, M. J., & BENHAMOU, S. (2008). Random walk models in biology. *Journal*
1227 *of the Royal Society Interface*, **5**(25), 813–834.
- 1228 COFFEY, D. M., CARLISLE, A. B., HAZEN, E. L. & BLOCK, B. A. (2017). Oceanographic drivers of
1229 the vertical distribution of a highly migratory, endothermic shark. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 10434.
- 1230 COMTE, L. & OLDEN, J. D. (2017). Evolutionary and environmental determinants of freshwater fish
1231 thermal tolerance and plasticity. *Global Change Biology* **23**(2), 728–736.
- 1232 COOK, K. V., CROSSIN, G. T., PATTERSON, D. A., HINCH, S. G., GILMOUR, K. M. & COOKE, S. J.
1233 (2014). The stress response predicts migration failure but not migration rate in a semelparous
1234 fish. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **202**, 44–49.
- 1235 COOKE, S. J., HINCH, S. G., CROSSIN, G. T., PATTERSON, D. A., ENGLISH, K. K., HEALEY, M. C.,
1236 SHRIMPTON, J. M., VAN DER KRAAK, G. & FARRELL, A. P. (2006). Mechanistic basis of
1237 individual mortality in Pacific salmon during spawning migrations. *Ecology* **87**(6), 1575–1586.
- 1238 COOKE, S. J., HINCH, S. G., WIKELSKI, M., ANDREWS, R. D., KUCHEL, L. J., WOLCOTT, T. G. &
1239 BUTLER, P. J. (2004). Biotelemetry: a mechanistic approach to ecology. *Trends in Ecology &*
1240 *Evolution* **19**(6), 334–343.
- 1241 COOKE, S. J., MESSMER, V., TOBIN, A. J., PRATCHETT, M. S. & CLARK, T. D. (2014). Refuge-seeking
1242 impairments mirror metabolic recovery following fisheries-related stressors in the Spanish flag
1243 snapper (*Lutjanus carponotatus*) on the Great Barrier Reef. *Physiological and Biochemical*
1244 *Zoology* **87**(1), 136–147.

- 1245 COOKE, S. J., AULD, H. L., BIRNIE-GAUVIN, K., ELVIDGE, C. K., PICZAK, M. L., TWARDEK, W. M., ET
1246 AL. (2022). On the relevance of animal behavior to the management and conservation of fishes
1247 and fisheries. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*,
1248 <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10641-022-01255-3>
- 1249 COREY, E., LINNANSAARI, T., DUGDALE, S. J., BERGERON, N., GENDRON, J., LAPOINTE, M., &
1250 CUNJAK, R. A. (2020). Comparing the behavioural thermoregulation response to heat stress by
1251 Atlantic salmon parr (*Salmo salar*) in two rivers. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish* **29**(1), 50–62.
- 1252 CORREA, S. B., COSTA-PEREIRA, R., FLEMING, T., GOULDING, M. & ANDERSON, J. T. (2015).
1253 Neotropical fish–fruit interactions: eco-evolutionary dynamics and conservation. *Biological*
1254 *Reviews* **90**(4), 1263–1278.
- 1255 COUZIN, I. D. (2009). Collective cognition in animal groups. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **13**(1),
1256 36–43.
- 1257 COUZIN, I. D. & LAIDRE, M. E. (2009). Fission-fusion populations. *Current Biology* **19**(15), 633–
1258 635.
- 1259 CRAIN, C. M., HALPERN, B. S., BECK, M. W. & KAPPEL, C. V. (2009). Understanding and managing
1260 human threats to the coastal marine environment. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*
1261 **1162**(1), 39–62.
- 1262 CROFT, D. P., KRAUSE, J., DARDEN, S. K., RAMNARINE, I. W., FARIA, J. J. & JAMES, R. (2009).
1263 Behavioural trait assortment in a social network: patterns and implications. *Behavioral Ecology*
1264 *and Sociobiology* **63**(10), 1495–1503.
- 1265 CROSSIN, G. T., HINCH, S. G., COOKE, S. J., COOPERMAN, M. S., PATTERSON, D. A., WELCH, D. W.,
1266 HANSON, K. C., OLSSON, I., ENGLISH, K. K. & FARRELL, A. P. (2009). Mechanisms influencing
1267 the timing and success of reproductive migration in a capital breeding semelparous fish species,
1268 the sockeye salmon. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* **82**(6), 635–652.
- 1269 Currey, L. M., Heupel, M. R., Simpfendorger, C. A. & Williams, A. J. (2015). Assessing
1270 environmental correlates of fish movement on a coral reef. *Coral Reefs* **34**(4), 1267–1277.
- 1271 DANIELS, R. A., MORSE, R. S., SUTHERLAND, J. W., BOMBARD, R. T. & BOYLEN, C. W. (2008). Fish
1272 Movement Among Lakes: Are Lakes Isolated. *Northeastern Naturalist* **15**(4), 577–588.

- 1273 DARE, M. R., HUBERT, W. A., & GEROW, K. G. (2002). Changes in habitat availability and habitat
1274 use and movements by two trout species in response to declining discharge in a regulated river
1275 during winter. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management*, **22**(3), 917-928.
- 1276 DEINET, S., SCOTT-GATTY, K., ROTTON, H., TWARDEK, W. M., MARCONI, V., MCRAE, L.,
1277 BAUMGARTNER, L. J., BRINK, K., CLAUSSEN, J. E., COOKE, S. J., DARWALL, W., ERIKSSON, B. K.,
1278 GARCIA DE LEANIZ, C., HOGAN, AZ., ROYTE, J. et al. (2020). The Living Planet Index (LPI) for
1279 Migratory Freshwater Fish- Technical Report. World Fish Migration Foundation, The
1280 Netherlands.
- 1281 DEL RAYE, G. & WENG, K. C. (2015). An aerobic scope-based habitat suitability index for
1282 predicting the effects of multi-dimensional climate change stressors on marine teleosts. *Deep-Sea*
1283 *Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* **113**, 280–290.
- 1284 DENG, Z. D., LI, H., LU, J., XIAO, J., MYJAK, M. J., MARTINEZ, J. J., WANG, Y. & ZHANG, J. (2021).
1285 An acoustic micro-transmitter enabling tracking of sensitive aquatic species in riverine
- 1286 DEWAR, H. & GRAHAM, J. B. (1994). Studies of tropical tuna swimming performance in a large
1287 water tunnel III. Kinematics. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **192**(1), 45–59.
- 1288 DI FRANCO, A., PLASS-JOHNSON, J. G., DI LORENZO, M., MEOLA, B., CLAUDET, J., GAINES, S. D.,
1289 GARCÍA-CHARTON, J. A., GIAKOUMI, S., GRORUD-COLVERT, K., HACKRADT, C. W. & MICHELI, F.
1290 (2018). Linking home ranges to protected area size: The case study of the Mediterranean Sea.
1291 *Biological Conservation* **221**, 175–181.
- 1292 DIAS, B. S., FRISK, M. G. & JORDAAN, A. (2019). Opening the tap: Increased riverine connectivity
1293 strengthens marine food web pathways. *PLoS One* **14**, e0217008.
- 1294 DINGLE, H. & DRAKE, V. A. (2007). What is migration?. *Bioscience* **57**(2), 113–121.
- 1295 DITTMAN, A. & QUINN, T. (1996). Homing in Pacific salmon: mechanisms and ecological basis.
1296 *Journal of Experimental Biology* **199**(1), 83–91.
- 1297 DODSON, J. J. & DOHSE, L. A. (1984). A model of olfactory-mediated conditioning of directional
1298 bias in fish migrating in reversing tidal currents based on the homing migration of American
1299 shad (*Alosa sapidissima*). *Mechanisms of Migration in Fishes*, 263–281.

- 1300 DRAKOU, E. G., BOBORI, D. C., KALLIMANIS, A. S., MAZARIS, A. D., SGARDELIS, S. P. & PANTIS, J.
1301 D. (2009). Freshwater fish community structured more by dispersal limitation than by
1302 environmental heterogeneity. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish* **18**(3), 369–379.
- 1303 DRISCOLL, D. A., BANKS, S. C., BARTON, P. S., IKIN, K., LENTINI, P., LINDENMAYER, D. B., SMITH,
1304 A. L., BERRY, L. E., BURNS, E. L., EDWORTHY, A., EVANS, M.J., GIBSON, R., HEINSOHN, R.,
1305 HOWLAND, B., KAY, G. et al. (2014). The trajectory of dispersal research in conservation biology.
1306 Systematic review. *PLoS One* **9**(4), e95053.
- 1307 DRUCKER, E. G., WALKER, J. A. & WESTNEAT, M. W. (2005). Mechanics of Pectoral Fin Swimming
1308 in Fishes. *Fish Physiology* **23**, 369–423.
- 1309 DUNCAN, M. I., JAMES, N. C., POTTS, W. M. & BATES, A. E. (2020). Different drivers, common
1310 mechanism; the distribution of a reef fish is restricted by local-scale oxygen and temperature
1311 constraints on aerobic metabolism. *Conservation Physiology* **8**(1), coaa090.
- 1312 EDELINE, E., BARDONNET, A., BOLLIET, V., DUFOUR, S. & PIERRE ELIE, P. (2005). Endocrine control
1313 of *Anguilla anguilla* glass eel dispersal: Effect of thyroid hormones on locomotor activity and
1314 rheotactic behavior, *Hormones and Behavior* **48**(1), 53–63.
- 1315 EDELINE, E., DUFOUR, S. & ELIE, P. (2009). Proximate and ultimate control of eel continental
1316 dispersal. In *Spawning Migration of the European* (eds G. VAN DEN THILLART, S. RANKIN AND J.
1317 CLIFF), pp 433–461. Springer, Netherlands.
- 1318 EL MAHRAD, B., NEWTON, A., ICELY, J. D., KACIMI, I., ABALANSA, S., & SNOUSSI, M. (2020).
1319 Contribution of remote sensing technologies to a holistic coastal and marine environmental
1320 management framework: A review. *Remote Sensing*, **12**(14), 2313.
- 1321 ELIASON, E. J., CLARK, T. D., HANSON, M. J., GALLAGHER, Z. S., JEFFRIES, K. M., GALE, M. K.,
1322 PATTERSON, D. A., HINCH, S. G. & FARRELL, A. P. (2011). Differences in thermal tolerance
1323 among sockeye salmon population. *Science* **332**, 109–112.
- 1324 FALLOWS, C., GALLAGHER, A. J. & HAMMERSCHLAG, N. (2013). White sharks (*Carcharodon*
1325 *carcharias*) scavenging on whales and its potential role in further shaping the ecology of an apex
1326 predator. *PLoS One* **8**(4), e60797.

- 1327 FAUGERAS, B., & MAURY, O. (2007). Modeling fish population movements: from an individual-
1328 based representation to an advection–diffusion equation. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **247**(4),
1329 837-848.
- 1330 FLETCHER, D. J. (1984). The physiological control of appetite in fish. *Comparative Biochemistry*
1331 *and Physiology Part A: Physiology* **78**(4), 617-628.
- 1332 FORMICKI, K., KORZELECKA-ORKISZ, A. & TANSKI, A. (2019). Magnetoreception in fish. *Journal of*
1333 *Fish Biology* **95**(1), 73–91.
- 1334 FORREST, H. & MILLER-RUSHING, A. J. (2010). Toward a synthetic understanding of the role of
1335 phenology in ecology and evolution. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*
1336 **365**(1555), 3101–3112.
- 1337 FORSYTHE, P. S., SCRIBNER, K. T., CROSSMAN, J. A., RAGAVENDRAN, A., BAKER, E. A., DAVIS, C. &
1338 SMITH, K. K. (2012). Environmental and lunar cues are predictive of the timing of river entry and
1339 spawning-site arrival in lake sturgeon *Acipenser fulvescens*. *Journal of Fish Biology* **81**(1), 35–
1340 53.
- 1341 FREEMAN, M. C. & STOUDE, D.J. (1989). Intraspecific interactions influence size specific depth
1342 distributions in *Cottus bairdi*. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* **24**(3), 231–236.
- 1343 FRY, F. E. J. (1971). The effect of environmental factors on the physiology of fish. In *Fish*
1344 *Physiology. Vol. VI: Environmental Relations and Behaviour* (eds W. S. HOAR AND D. J.
1345 RANDALL), pp. 1–99. Academic Press, London/New York.
- 1346 FUNK, J. L. (1957). Movement of stream fishes in Missouri. *Transactions of the American Fisheries*
1347 *Society* **85**(1), 39–57.
- 1348 FUREY, N.B., ARMSTRONG, J.B., BEAUCHAMP, D.A. & HINCH, S. G. (2018). Migratory coupling
1349 between predators and prey. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **2**, 1846–1853.
- 1350 FUREY, N.B., HINCH, S.G., BASS, A.L., MIDDLETON, C. T., MINKE-MARTIN, V. & LOTTO, A.G.
1351 (2016). Predator swamping reduces predation risk during nocturnal migration of juvenile salmon
1352 in a high-mortality landscape. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **85**(4), 948–959.

- 1353 GALLAGHER, A. J., CREEL, S., WILSON, R. P. & COOKE, S. J. (2017a). Energy Landscapes and the
1354 Landscape of Fear. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **32**(2), 88–96.
- 1355 GALLAGHER, A. J., SHIFFMAN, D. S., BYRNES, E. E., HAMMERSCHLAG-PEYER, C. M. &
1356 HAMMERSCHLAG, N. (2017b). Patterns of resource use and isotopic niche overlap among three
1357 species of sharks occurring within a protected subtropical estuary. *Aquatic Ecology* **51**(3), 435–
1358 448.
- 1359 GALUARDI, B., ROYER, F., GOLET, W., LOGAN, J., NEILSON, J. & LUTCAVAGE, M. (2010). Complex
1360 migration routes of Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) question current population
1361 structure paradigm. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **67**(6), 966–976.
- 1362 GAMMON, D.B., LI, W., SCOTT, A.P., ZIELINSKI, B.S. & CORKUM, L.D. (2005). Behavioural
1363 responses of female *Neoboius melanostomus* to odours of conspecifics. *Journal of Fish Biology*
1364 **67**(3), 615-626.
- 1365 GENDE, S. M., QUINN, T. P. & WILLSON, M. F. (2001). Consumption choice by bears feeding on
1366 salmon. *Oecologia* **127**, 372–382.
- 1367 GERLACH, G., ATEMA, J., KINGSFORD, M. J., BLACK, K. P. & MILLER-SIMS, V. (2007). Smelling
1368 home can prevent dispersal of reef fish larvae. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
1369 *of the United States of America* **104**(3), 858–863.
- 1370 GILLIAM, J.F. & FRASER, D.R. (2001). Movement in corridors: Enhancement by predation, threat,
1371 disturbance, and habitat structure. *Ecology* **82**(1), 258–273
- 1372 GONIEA, T. M., KEEFER, M. L., BJORN, T. C., PEERY, C. A., BENNETT, D. H. & STUEHRENBERG, L.
1373 C. (2006). Behavioral thermoregulation and slowed migration by adult fall Chinook salmon in
1374 response to high Columbia River water temperatures. *Transactions of the American Fisheries*
1375 *Society* **135**(2), 408–419.
- 1376 GORDON, T. A. C., RADFORD, A.N., DAVIDSON, I. K., BARNES, K., MCCLOSKEY, K., NEDELEC, S. L.,
1377 MEEKAN, M. G., MCCORMICK, M. I. & SIMPSON, S. D. (2019). Acoustic enrichment can enhance
1378 fish community development on degraded coral reef habitat. *Nature Communications* **10**, 5414.

- 1379 GOTCEITAS, V. & GODIN, J.-G. J. (1991). Foraging under the risk of predation in juvenile Atlantic
1380 salmon (*Salmo salar* L.): Effects of social status and hunger. *Behavioral Ecology and*
1381 *Sociobiology* **29**, 255–261.
- 1382 GÖTHE, E., DEGERMAN, E., SANDIN, L., SEGERSTEN, J., TAMARIO, C. & MCKIE, B. G. (2019). Flow
1383 restoration and the impacts of multiple stressors on fish communities in regulated rivers. *Journal*
1384 *of Applied Ecology* **56**(7), 1687–1702.
- 1385 GOWAN, C., YOUNG, M. K., FAUSCH, K. D. & RILEY, S. C. (1994). Restricted movement in resident
1386 stream salmonids: a paradigm lost?. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **51**(11),
1387 2626–2637.
- 1388 GREEN, A. L., MAYPA, A. P., ALMANY, G. R., RHODES, K. L., WEEKS, R., ABESAMIS, R. A.,
1389 GLEASON, M. G., MUMBY, P. J. & WHITE, A. T. (2015) Larval dispersal and movement patterns
1390 of coral reef fishes, and implications for marine reserve network design. *Biological Reviews*
1391 **90**(4), 1215–1247.
- 1392 GRIFFIN, L. P., BROWNSCOMBE, J. W., ADAMS, A. J., BOUCEK, R. E., FINN, J. T., HEITHAUS, M. R.,
1393 REHAGE, J. S., COOKE, S. J. & DANYLCHUK, A. J. (2018). Keeping up with the Silver King: Using
1394 cooperative acoustic telemetry networks to quantify the movements of Atlantic tarpon (*Megalops*
1395 *atlanticus*) in the coastal waters of the southeastern United States, Fisheries Research, Volume
1396 205, 2018, Pages 65-76,
- 1397 GRIFFIN, L. P., CASSELBERRY, G. A., HART, K. M., JORDAAN, A., BECKER, S. L., NOVAK, A. J.,
1398 DEANGELIS, B. M., POLLOCK, C. G., LUNDGREN, I., HILLIS-STARR, Z., DANYLCHUK, A. J. &
1399 SKOMAL, G. B. (2021). A novel framework to predict relative habitat selection in aquatic
1400 systems: applying machine learning and resource selection functions to acoustic telemetry data
1401 from multiple shark species. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **8**, 631262.
- 1402 GROU, M. G. G., RYPEL, A. L. & NAGELKERKEN, I. (2014). Growth potential and predation risk drive
1403 ontogenetic shifts among nursery habitats in a coral reef fish. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*
1404 **502**, 229–244.

- 1405 GUTOWSKY, L. F. G., RIDER, M. J., ROEMER, R. P., GALLAGHER, A. J., HEITHAUS, M. R., COOKE, S. J.
1406 & HAMMERSCHLAD, N. (2021). Large sharks exhibit varying behavioral responses to major
1407 hurricanes. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* **256**, 107373.
- 1408 GUTTRIDGE, T. L., GRUBER, S. H., FRANKS, B. R., KESSEL, S. T., GLEDHILL, K. S., UPHILL, J.,
1409 KRAUSE, J. & SIMS, D.W. (2012). Deep danger: intra-specific predation risk influences habitat
1410 use and aggregation formation of juvenile lemon sharks *Negaprion brevirostris*. *Marine Ecology*
1411 *Progress Series* **445**, 279–291
- 1412 GUZZO, M. M., BLANCHFIELD, P. J. & RENNIE, M. D. (2017). Behavioral responses to annual
1413 temperature variation alter the dominant energy pathway, growth, and condition of a cold-water
1414 predator. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*
1415 **114**(37), 9912–9917.
- 1416 HAESMEYER, M. (2020). Thermoregulation in fish. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **518**(8),
1417 110986.
- 1418 HALPERN, B. S. (2003). The impact of marine reserves: do reserves work and does reserve size
1419 matter? *Ecological Applications* **13**, 117–137.
- 1420 HALSEY, L. G., KILLEN, S. S., CLARK, T. D. & NORIN, T. (2018). Exploring key issues of aerobic
1421 scope interpretation in ectotherms: absolute versus factorial. *Reviews in Fish Biology and*
1422 *Fisheries* **28**, 405–415.
- 1423 HALTTUNEN, E., GJELLAND, K. Ø., HAMEL, S., SERRA-LLINARES, R. M., NILSEN, R., ARECHAVALA-
1424 LOPEZ, P. SKAROHAMAR, J., JOHNSEN, I. A., ASPLIN, L., KARLSEN, O., BJORN, P-A. & FINSTAD, B.
1425 (2018). Sea trout adapt their migratory behaviour in response to high salmon lice concentrations.
1426 *Journal of Fish Diseases* **41**(6), 953–967.
- 1427 HANKS, E. M., HOOTEN, M. B. & ALLDREDGE, M. W. (2015). Continuous-time discrete-space
1428 models for animal movement. *Annals of Applied Statistics* **9**, 145–165.
- 1429 HANSON, K. C., ABIZAID, A. & COOKE, S. J. (2009). Causes and consequences of voluntary anorexia
1430 during the parental care period of wild male smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*).
1431 *Hormones and Behavior* **56**(5), 503–509.

- 1432 HANSON, K. C., COOKE, S. J., HINCH, S. G., CROSSIN, G. T., PATTERSON, D. A., ENGLISH, K. K.,
1433 DONALDSON, M. R., SHRIMPTON, J. M., VAN DER KRAAK, G. & FARRELL, A. P. (2008). Individual
1434 variation in migration speed of upriver-migrating sockeye salmon in the Fraser River in relation
1435 to their physiological and energetic status at marine approach. *Physiological and Biochemical*
1436 *Zoology* **81**(3), 255–268.
- 1437 HARCOURT, R., SEQUEIRA, A. M., ZHANG, X., ROQUET, F., KOMATSU, K., HEUPEL, M., et al. (2019).
1438 Animal-borne telemetry: an integral component of the ocean observing toolkit. *Frontiers in*
1439 *Marine Science*, **6**, 326.
- 1440 HARRIS, P. & KUNIO S. (1999). Distribution and seasonal movement of pelagic fish in southern Lake
1441 Tanganyika. *Fisheries Research* **41**(1), 63–71.
- 1442 HASENJAGER, M. J., HOPPITT, W. & DUGATKIN, L. A. (2020). Personality composition determines
1443 social learning pathways within shoaling fish: Group personality and social learning.
1444 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **287**, 20201871.
- 1445 HASLER, A. D. & SCHOLZ, A. T. (1983). Olfactory Imprinting and Homing in Salmon. *American*
1446 *Scientist* **66**(3), 347–355.
- 1447 HAWRYSHYN, C. W. (1992). Polarization vision in fish. *American Scientist* **80**(2), 164–175.
- 1448 HEDGER, R. D., RIKARSEN, A. H., STRØM, J. F., RIGHTON, D. A., THORSTAD, E. B. & NÆSJE, T. F.
1449 (2017). Diving behaviour of Atlantic salmon at sea: effects of light regimes and temperature
1450 stratification. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **574**, 127–140.
- 1451 HELFIELD, J. M. & NAIMAN, R. J. (2001). Effects of Salmon-Derived Nitrogen on Riparian Forest
1452 Growth and Implications for Stream Productivity. *Ecology* **82**(9), 2403–2409.
- 1453 HEUPEL, M. R., MUNROE, S. E., LÉDÉE, E. J., CHIN, A. & SIMPFENDORFER, C. A. (2019). Interspecific
1454 interactions, movement patterns and habitat use in a diverse coastal shark assemblage. *Marine*
1455 *Biology* **166**(6), 68.
- 1456 HIGGINS, S. C., GUEORGUIEV, M. & KORBONITS, M. (2007). Ghrelin, the peripheral hunger hormone.
1457 *Annals of Medicine* **39**(2), 116–136.
- 1458 HINCH, S. G., COOKE, S. J., HEALEY, M. C. & FARRELL, A. P. (2006) Behavioural physiology of fish
1459 migrations: salmon as a model approach. In *Behaviour and Physiology of Fish* (eds K. A.

- 1460 SLOMAN, R. W. WILSON AND S. BALSHINE), pp 239–295. Academic Press, Burlington, United
1461 States.
- 1462 HOLMLUND, C. M. & HAMMER, M. (1999). Ecosystem services generated by fish populations.
1463 *Ecological Economics* **29**(2), 253–268.
- 1464 HOLYOAK, M., CASAGRANDE, R., NATHAN, R., REVILLA, E. & SPIEGEL, O. (2008). Trends and
1465 missing parts in the study of movement ecology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
1466 *Sciences* **105**(49), 19060–19065.
- 1467 HORODYSKY, A. Z., COOKE, S. J. & BRILL, R. W. (2015). Physiology in the service of fisheries
1468 science: why thinking mechanistically matters. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* **25**, 425–
1469 447.
- 1470 HORODYSKY, A. Z., COOKE, S. J., GRAVES, J. E. & BRILL, R. W. (2016). Fisheries conservation on
1471 the high seas: linking conservation physiology and fisheries ecology for the management of large
1472 pelagic fishes. *Conservation Physiology* **4**(1), cov059.
- 1473 HOURSTON, A. S. (1982). Homing by Canada's west coast herring to management units and
1474 divisions as indicated by tag recoveries. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*
1475 **39**(10), 1414–1422.
- 1476 HUIJBERS, C. M., NAGELKERKEN, I., LOSSBROEK, P. A. C., SIEGENTHALER, A., HOLDEFRIED, M. W.
1477 & SIMPSON, S. D. (2012). A test of the senses: fish select novel habitats by responding to
1478 multiple cues. *Ecology* **93**(1), 46–55.
- 1479 HUMSTON, R., AULT, J. S., LUTCAVAGE, M. & OLSON, D. B. (2000) Schooling and migration of large
1480 pelagic fishes relative to environmental cues. *Fisheries Oceanography* **9**(2), 136–146.
- 1481 HUNTINGFORD, F., REY, S. & QUAGGIOTTO, M. M. (2020). Behavioural fever, fish welfare and what
1482 farmers and fishers know. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **231**, 105090.
- 1483 HUSSEY, N. E., KESSEL, S. T., AARESTRUP, K., COOKE, S. J., COWLEY, P. D., FISK, A. T., HARCOURT,
1484 R. G., HOLLAND, K. N., IVERSON, S. J., KOCIK, J. F., FLEMMING, J. E. M. & WHORISKEY, F. G.
1485 (2015) Aquatic animal telemetry: A panoramic window into the underwater world. *Science*
1486 **348**(6240), 1221.

- 1487 HUVENEERS, C., NIELLA, Y., DREW, M., MCAULEY, R., BUTCHER, P., PEDDEMORS, V., WALTRICK,
1488 D., DOWLING, C., MOUNTFORD, S, KEAY, I. & BRACCINI, M. (2021). Continental-scale network
1489 reveals cross-jurisdictional movements of sympatric sharks with implications for assessment and
1490 management. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **8**, 697175.
- 1491 IHSEN, P. E., EVANS, D. O., CHRISTIE, W. J., RECKAHM, J. E. & DESJARDINE, R. L. (1981). Life
1492 history, morphology, and electrophoretic characteristics of five allopatric stocks of lake whitefish
1493 (*Coregonus clupeaformis*) in the Great Lakes region. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic*
1494 *Sciences* **38**(12), 1790–1807.
- 1495 IOANNOU, C. C., RAMNARINE, I. W. & TORNEY, C. J. (2017). High-predation habitats affect the
1496 social dynamics of collective exploration in a shoaling fish. *Science Advances* **3**, e1602682
- 1497 IWATA, M. (1995). Downstream migratory behavior of salmonids and its relationship with cortisol
1498 and thyroid hormones: A review. *Aquaculture* **135**(1–3), 131–139.
- 1499 JACOBY, D. M. P., BROOKS, E. J., CROFT, D. P. & SIMS, D. W. (2012). Developing a deeper
1500 understanding of animal movements and spatial dynamics through novel application of network
1501 analyses. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3**(3), 574–583.
- 1502 JEFFRIES, K. M., HINCH, S. G., GALE, M. K., CLARK, T. D., LOTTO, A. G., CASSELMAN, M. T., LI, S.,
1503 RECHISKY, E. L., PORTER, A. D., WELCH, D. W. & MILLER, K. M. (2014). Immune response
1504 genes and pathogen presence predict migration survival in wild salmon smolts. *Molecular*
1505 *Ecology* **23**(23), 5803–5815.
- 1506 JELLYMAN, P. G., BAULD, J. T. & CROW, S. K. (2016). The effect of ramp slope and surface type on
1507 the climbing success of shortfin eel (*Anguilla australis*) elvers. *Marine and Freshwater*
1508 *Research*, **68**(7), 1317–1324.
- 1509 JELTSCH, F., BONTE, D., PE'ER, G., REINEKING, B., LEIMGRUBER, P., BALKENHOL, N., SCHRÖDER, B.,
1510 BUCHMANN, C.M., MUELLER, T., BLAUM, N., ZURELL, D., BÖHNING-GAESE, K., WIEGAND, T.,
1511 ECCARD, J.A., HOFER, H. et al. (2013) Integrating movement ecology with biodiversity research -
1512 exploring new avenues to address spatiotemporal biodiversity dynamics. *Movement Ecology* **1**, 6.

- 1513 JENNIFER D. J., CALEB T. H., JACQUELINE M. C., COOKE S. J. & SUSKI, C. D. (2015). Linking
1514 Landscape-Scale Disturbances to Stress and Condition of Fish: Implications for Restoration and
1515 Conservation. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 55(4), 618–630.
- 1516 JOBLING, M. (1995) Fish bioenergetics. Springer, Netherlands.
- 1517 JOBLING, M. (1997). Temperature and growth: modulation of growth rate via temperature change. In
1518 *Global Warming, Implications for Freshwater and Marine Fish* (eds C. M. WOOD AND D. G.
1519 McDONALDS), pp 225–254. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- 1520 JOHNSEN, S. & LOHMAN, K. J. (2005). The physics and neurobiology of magnetoreception. *Nature*
1521 *Reviews* 6, 703–712.
- 1522 JOHNSEN, S., LOHMANN, K. J. & WARRANT, E. J. (2020). Animal navigation: a noisy magnetic
1523 compass? *Journal of Experimental Biology* 223, 164921.
- 1524 JOHNSTON, I. A., WARD, P. S. & GOLDSPINK, G. (1975). Studies on the swimming musculature of the
1525 rainbow trout I. Fibre types. *Journal of Fish Biology* 7, 451–458.
- 1526 JOLLES, J. W., WEIMAR, N., LANDGRAF, T., ROMANCZUK, P., KRAUSE, J. & BIERBACH, D. (2020).
1527 Group-level patterns emerge from individual speed as revealed by an extremely social robotic
1528 fish. *Biology Letters* 16(9), 20200436.
- 1529 JOLLES, J.W., MAZUÉ, G.P.F., DAVIDSON, J., BEHRMANN-GODEL, J. & COUZIN, I. D. (2020).
1530 Schistocephalus parasite infection alters sticklebacks' movement ability and thereby shapes
1531 social interactions. *Scientific Reports* 10, 12282
- 1532 JONES, F. H. (1968). Fish migration. Edward Arnold, London, UK.
- 1533 JÖNSSON, E. (2013). The role of ghrelin in energy balance regulation in fish. *General and*
1534 *Comparative Endocrinology* 187, 79–85.
- 1535 JÖNSSON, E., KAIYA, H. & BJÖRNSSON, B.T. (2010). Ghrelin decreases food intake in juvenile
1536 rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) through the central anorexigenic corticotropin-releasing
1537 factor system. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 166(1), 39–46.

- 1538 JOO, R., PICARDI, S., BOONE, M. E., CLAY, T. A., PATRICK, S. C., ROMERO-ROMERO, V. S., &
1539 BASILLE, M. (2022). Recent trends in movement ecology of animals and human mobility.
1540 *Movement Ecology*, 10(1), 26.
- 1541 KAPLAN, D. M., PLANES, S., FAUVELO, C., BROCHIER, T., LETT, C., BODIN, N., LE LOC'H, F.,
1542 TREMBLAY, Y. & GEORGES, J. Y. (2010). New tools for the spatial management of living marine
1543 resources. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 2(1–2), 88–93.
- 1544 KAYS, R., CROFOOT, M. C., JETZ, W. & WIKELSKI, M. (2015). Terrestrial animal tracking as an eye
1545 on life and planet. *Science* 348(6240), aaa2478.
- 1546 KEEFER, M. L., CAUDILL, C. C., PEERY, C. A. & MOSER, M. L. (2013). Context-dependent diel
1547 behaviour of upstream-migrating anadromous fishes. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 96, 691–
1548 700.
- 1549 KEEFER, M. L., CLABOUGH, T. S., JEPSON, M. A., JOHNSON, E. L., PEERY, C. A. & CAUDILL, C. C.
1550 (2018). Thermal exposure of adult Chinook salmon and steelhead: Diverse behavioral strategies
1551 in a large and warming river system. *PLoS One* 13(9), e0204274.
- 1552 KELLEY, J. L., MORRELL, L. J., INSKIP, C., KRAUSE, J. & CROFT, D. P. (2011). Predation risk shapes
1553 social networks in fission-fusion populations. *PLoS One* 6(8), e24280
- 1554 KESSEL, S. T., HONDORP, D. W., HOLBROOK, C. M., BOASE, J. C., CHIOTTI, J. A., THOMAS, M. V.,
1555 WILLS, T. C., ROSEMAN, E. F., DROUIN, R. & KRUEGER, C. C. (2018). Divergent migration within
1556 lake sturgeon (*Acipenser fulvescens*) populations: Multiple distinct patterns exist across an
1557 unrestricted migration corridor. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 87(1), 259–273.
- 1558 KIM, J. W., WOOD, J. L. A., GRANT, J. W. A. & BROWN, G. E. (2011). Acute and chronic increases in
1559 predation risk affect the territorial behaviour of juvenile Atlantic salmon in the wild. *Animal*
1560 *Behaviour* 81(1), 93–99.
- 1561 KINGSFORD M. J., LEIS J. M., SHANKS A., LINDEMAN K. C., MORGAN S. G. & PINEDA J. (2002).
1562 Sensory environments, larval abilities and local self-recruitment. *Bulletin of Marine Science*
1563 70(1), 309–340.

- 1564 KINGSFORD, M. J., LEIS, J. M., SHANKS, A., LINDEMAN K. C., MORGAN S. G. & PINEDA J. (2002).
1565 Sensory environments, larval abilities and local self-recruitment. *Bulletin of Marine Science*
1566 **70**(1), 309 – 340.
- 1567 KLINARD, N. V. & MATLEY, J. K. (2020). Living until proven dead: addressing mortality in acoustic
1568 telemetry research. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* **30**, 485–499.
- 1569 KLINARD, N. V., FISK, A. T., KESSEL, S. T., HALFYARD, E. A., & COLBORNE, S. F. (2018). Habitat use
1570 and small-scale residence patterns of sympatric sunfish species in a large temperate river.
1571 *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **75**(7), 1059–1069.
- 1572 KLOAS, W., URBATZKA, R., OPITZ, R., WUERTZ, S., BEHRENDTS, T., HERMELINK, B., HOFMANN, F.,
1573 JAGNYTSCH, O., KROUPOVA, H., LORENZ, C., NEUMANN, N., PIETSCH, C., TRUBIROHA, R., VAN
1574 BALLEGOOY, C., WIEDMANN, I. & LUTZ, I. (2009). Endocrine disruption in aquatic vertebrates.
1575 *Trends in Comparative Endocrinology and Neurobiology* **1163**(1), 187–200.
- 1576 KRAMER, D. L. & CHAPMAN, M. R. (1999). Implications of fish home range size and relocation for
1577 marine reserve function. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* **55**, 65–79.
- 1578 KRAUSE, J. & RUXTON, G. D. (2002). *Living in Groups*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- 1579 KUPARINEN, A., O'HARA, R. B. & MERILA, J. (2009). Lunar periodicity and the timing of river entry
1580 in Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*. *Journal of Fish Biology* **74**(1), 2401–2408.
- 1581 LAMONICA, D., DROUINEAU, H., CAPRA, H., PELLA, H., & MAIRE, A. (2020). A framework for pre-
1582 processing individual location telemetry data for freshwater fish in a river section. *Ecological*
1583 *Modelling*, **431**, 109190.
- 1584 LAPOINTE, N. W., THIEM, J. D., DOKA, S. E., & COOKE, S. J. (2013). Opportunities for improving
1585 aquatic restoration science and monitoring through the use of animal electronic-tagging
1586 technology. *BioScience* **63**(5), 390–396.
- 1587 LEA, J. S., HUMPHRIES, N. E., VON BRANDIS, R. G., CLARKE, C. R., & SIMS, D. W. (2016). Acoustic
1588 telemetry and network analysis reveal the space use of multiple reef predators and enhance
1589 marine protected area design. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*
1590 **283**(1834), 20160717.

- 1591 LEAR, K. O., MORGAN, D. L., WHITTY, J. M., WHITNEY, N. M., BYRNES, E. E., BEATTY, S. J. &
1592 GLEISS, A. C. (2020). Divergent field metabolic rates highlight the challenges of increasing
1593 temperatures and energy limitation in aquatic ectotherms. *Oecologia* **193**, 311–323.
- 1594 LÉDÉE, E. J. I., HEUPEL, M. R., TAYLOR, M. D., HARCOURT, R. G., JAINE, F. R. A., HUVENEERS, C.,
1595 UDYAWER, V., CAMPBELL, H. A., BABCOCK, R. C., HOENNER, X., BARNETT, A., BRACCINI, M.,
1596 BRODIE, S., BUTCHER, P. A., CADIOU, G. et al. (2021). Continental-scale acoustic telemetry and
1597 network analysis reveal new insights into stock structure. *Fish and Fisheries* **22**(5), 987–1005.
- 1598 LEE, K. A., HUVENEERS, C., DUONG, T., & HARCOURT, R. G. (2017). The ocean has depth: two-
1599 versus three-dimensional space use estimators in a demersal reef fish. *Marine Ecology Progress*
1600 *Series*, **572**, 223-241.
- 1601 LEGARE, B. G., SKOMAL, G. & DEANGELIS, B. (2018). Diel movements of the blacktip shark
1602 (*Carcharhinus limbatus*) in a Caribbean nursery. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* **101**, 1011–
1603 1023.
- 1604 LENNOX, R. J., ALÓS, J., ARLINGHAUS, R., HORODYSKY, A., KLEFOTH, T., MONK, C. T. & COOKE, S.
1605 J. (2017). What makes fish vulnerable to capture by hooks? A conceptual framework and a
1606 review of key determinants. *Fish and Fisheries* **18**(5), 986–1010.
- 1607 LENNOX, R. J., ENGLER-PALMA, C., KOWARSKI, K., FILOUS, A., WHITLOCK, R., COOKE, S. J. &
1608 AUGER-MÉTHÉ, M. (2019a). Optimizing marine spatial plans with animal tracking data.
1609 *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **76**(3), 497–509.
- 1610 Lennox, R. J., Brownscombe, J.W., Elvidge, C.K., Harrison, P., Peiman, K., Raby, G.D., Cooke,
1611 S.J. (2020). Behaviour including fish migration. in *Climate change and non-infectious fish*
1612 *disorders*, pages 125-135.
- 1613 LENNOX, R. J., PAUKERT, C. P., AARESTRUP, K., AUGER-MÉTHÉ, M., BAUMGARTNER, L., BIRNIE-
1614 GAUVIN, K., BØE, K., BRINK, K., BROWNSCOMBE, J. W., CHEN, Y., DAVIDSEN, J. G., ELIASON, E.
1615 J., FILOUS, A., GILLANDERS, B. M., HELLAND, I. P. et al. (2019b). One hundred pressing questions
1616 on the future of global fish migration science, conservation, and policy. *Frontiers in Ecology and*
1617 *Evolution* **7**, 286.

- 1618 LESTER, S. E., HALPERN, B. S., GRORUD-COLVERT, K., LUBCHENCO, J., RUTTENBERG, B. I., GAINES,
1619 S. D., AIRAME, S. & WARNER, R. R. (2009). Biological effects within no-take marine reserves: a
1620 global synthesis. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **384**, 33–46.
- 1621 LEVI, T., WHEAT, R. E., ALLEN, J. M. & WILMERS, C. C. (2015). Differential use of salmon by
1622 vertebrate consumers: implications for conservation. *PeerJ* **3**, e1157.
- 1623 LI, L., NAGY, M., GRAVING, J. M., BAK-COLEMAN, J., XIE, G. & COUZIN, I. D. (2020). Vortex phase
1624 matching as a strategy for schooling in robots and in fish. *Nature Communications* **11**, 5408.
- 1625 LIAO, J. C. (2007). A review of fish swimming mechanics and behaviour in altered flows.
1626 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **362**(1487), 1973–1993.
- 1627 LIAO, J. C., BEAL, D. N., LAUDER, G. V. & TRIANTAFYLLOU, M. S. (2003). Fish exploiting vortices
1628 decrease muscle activity. *Science* **302**(5650), 1566–1569.
- 1629 LIMA, S. L. & DILL, L. M. (1990). Behavioral decisions made under the risk of predation: a review
1630 and prospectus. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **68**, 619–640.
- 1631 LINDSEY, C. C. (1978). Form, Function, and Locomotory Habits in Fish. *Fish Physiology* **7**, 1–100.
- 1632 LOHMANN, K. J. & LOHMANN, C. M. F. (2019). There and back again: natal homing by magnetic
1633 navigation in sea turtles and salmon. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **222**, jeb184077.
- 1634 LOWERRE-BARBIERI, S. K., CATALAN, I. A., FRUGARD, O. A. & JORGENSEN, C. (2019). Preparing for
1635 the future: Integrating spatial ecology into ecosystem-based management. *ICES Journal of*
1636 *Marine Science* **76**(2), 467–476.
- 1637 LUCAS, M. C. (1994). Heart rate as an indicator of metabolic rate and activity in adult Atlantic
1638 salmon, *Salmo salar*. *Journal of Fish Biology* **44**(5), 889–903.
- 1639 LUCAS, M. C. & BARAS, E. (2001). *Migration of Freshwater Fishes*. Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK.
- 1640 LUNDE, R. (2015). Lake-habitat use of post-juvenile sea trout over time and space-An acoustic
1641 telemetry study in a regulated river. Master's Thesis, Norwegian University of Life Sciences.
- 1642 MADLIGER, C. L. (2012). Toward improved conservation management: a consideration of sensory
1643 ecology. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **21**(13), 3277–3286.

- 1644 MAGNUSON, J. J., CROWDER, L. B. & MEDVICK, P. A. (1979). Temperature as an ecological resource.
1645 *American Zoologist* **19**(1), 331–343.
- 1646 MAGURRAN, A. E. (1990). The adaptive significance of schooling as an anti-predator defence in
1647 fish. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* **27**(2), 51–66.
- 1648 MAGURRAN, A. E. & PITCHER, T. J. (1987). Provenance, shoal size and the sociobiology of
1649 predator-evasion behaviour in minnow shoals. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London*
1650 *Series B, Biological Sciences* **229**(1257), 439–465
- 1651 MAIA, A., SHELTZER, A. P. & TYTELL, E. D. (2015). Streamwise vortices destabilize swimming
1652 bluegill sunfish (*Lepomis macrochirus*). *Journal of Experimental Biology* **218**(5), 786–792.
- 1653 MALISHEV, M. & KRAMER-SCHADT, S. (2021). Movement, models , and metabolism : Individual-
1654 based energy budget models as next-generation extensions for predicting animal movement
1655 outcomes across scales. *Ecological Modelling* **441**, 109413.
- 1656 MARTIN, A. H., PEARSON, H. C., SABA, G. K., & OLSEN, E. M. (2021). Integral functions of marine
1657 vertebrates in the ocean carbon cycle and climate change mitigation. *One Earth*, **4**(5), 680-693.
- 1658 MARTINEZ, J., FU, T., LI, X., HOU, H., WANG, J., EPPARD, M. B. & DENG, Z. D. (2021). A large
1659 dataset of detection and submeter-accurate 3-D trajectories of juvenile Chinook salmon.
1660 *Scientific Data* **8**(1), 1–13.
- 1661 MASSIE, J. A., STRICKLAND, B. A., SANTOS, R. O., HERNANDEZ, J., VIADERO, N., BOUCEK, R. E.,
1662 WILLOUGHBY H., HEITHAUS, M. R. & REHAGE, J. S. (2020). Going Downriver: Patterns and cues
1663 in hurricane-driven movements of common snook in a subtropical coastal river. *Estuaries and*
1664 *Coasts* **43**, 1158–1173.
- 1665 MATLEY, J. K., KLIARD, N. V., MARTINS, A. P. B., AARESTRUP, K., ASPILLAGA, E., COOKE, S. J.,
1666 COWLEY, P. D., HEUPEL, M. R., LOWE, C. G., LOWERRE-BARBIERI, MITAMURA, H., MOORE, J.,
1667 SIMPFENDORFER, C. A., STOKESBURY, M. J. W., TAYLOR, M. D., THORSTAD, E. B.,
1668 VANDERGROOT, C. S. & FISK, A. T. (2022). Global trends in aquatic animal tracking with
1669 acoustic telemetry. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **37**, 79-94.
- 1670 McCANN, K. S. (2000). The diversity–stability debate. *Nature* **405**(6783), 228-233.

- 1671 McDOWALL, R. M. (1988). Diadromy in fishes: migrations between freshwater and marine
1672 environments. Croom Helm, London, UK.
- 1673 McDOWALL, R. M. (2008). Diadromy, history and ecology: a question of scale. In *Fish and*
1674 *Diadromy in Europe (Ecology, Management, Conservation)* (eds S. DUFOUR, E. PREVOST, E.
1675 ROCHARD AND P. WILLIOT), pp. 5–14. Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- 1676 MCELROY, B., DELONAY, A. & JACOBSON, R. (2012). Optimum swimming pathways of fish
1677 spawning migrations in rivers. *Ecology* **93**(1), 29–34.
- 1678 MCKINNELL, S., FREELAND, H. J. & GROULX, S. D. (1999). Assessing the northern diversion of
1679 sockeye salmon returning to the Fraser River, BC. *Fisheries Oceanography* **8**(2), 104–114.
- 1680 MCMEANS, B. C., MCCANN, K. S., HUMPHRIES, M., ROONEY, N. & FISK, A. T. (2015) Food Web
1681 Structure in Temporally-Forced Ecosystems. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **30**(11), 662–672.
- 1682 MEEFE, G. K., WEEKS, S. C., MULVEY, M. & KANDL, K. L. (1995). Genetic differences in thermal
1683 tolerance of eastern mosquitofish (*Gambusia holbrooki*; Poeciliidae) from ambient and thermal
1684 ponds. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **52**(12), 2704–2711.
- 1685 MEHNER, T. (2012). Diel vertical migration of freshwater fishes—proximate triggers, ultimate causes
1686 and research perspectives. *Freshwater Biology* **57**(7), 1342–1359.
- 1687 METCALFE, J. D., LE QUESNE, W. J. F., CHEUNG, W. W. L. & RIGHTON, D. A. (2012). Conservation
1688 physiology for applied management of marine fish: an overview with perspectives on the role
1689 and value of telemetry. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*
1690 **367**(1596), 1746–1756.
- 1691 MILLER-RUSHING, A. J., HOYE, T. T., INOUYE, D. W. & POST, E. (2010). The effects of phenological
1692 mismatches on demography. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological*
1693 *Sciences* **365**(1555), 3177–3186.
- 1694 MITTELBACH, G. G. (1981). Foraging Efficiency and Body Size: A Study of Optimal Diet and
1695 Habitat Use by Bluegills. *Ecology* **62**(5), 1370–1386.
- 1696 MITTELBACH, G. G. (1984). Predation and resource partitioning in two sunfishes (Centrarchidae).
1697 *Ecology* **65**(2), 499–513.

- 1698 MONK, C. T. & ARLINGHAUS, R. (2017). Eurasian perch, *Perca fluviatilis*, spatial behaviour
1699 determines vulnerability independent of angler skill in a whole-lake reality mining experiment.
1700 *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **75**(3), 417–428.
- 1701 MONK, C. T., BEKKEVOLD, D., KLEFOTH, T., PAGEL, T., PALMER, M. & ARLINGHAUS, R. (2021). The
1702 battle between harvest and natural selection creates small and shy fish. *Proceedings of the*
1703 *National Academy of Sciences* **118**(9), e2009451118.
- 1704 MORALES, J. M., MOORCROFT, P. R., MATTHIOPOULOS, J., FRAIR, J. L., KIE, J. G., POWELL, R. A.,
1705 MERRILL, E. H. & HAYDON, D. T. (2010). Building the bridge between animal movement and
1706 population dynamics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B,*
1707 *Biological Sciences* **365**(1550), 2289–2301.
- 1708 MOURIER, J., MAYNARD, J., PARRAVICINI, V., BALLESTA, L., CLUA, E., DOMEIER, M. L. & PLANES,
1709 S. (2016). Extreme Inverted Trophic Pyramid of Reef Sharks Supported by Spawning Groupers.
1710 *Current Biology* **26**(15), 2011–2016.
- 1711 MOURITSEN, H. (2018). Long-distance navigation and magnetoreception in migratory animals.
1712 *Nature* **558**, 50–59.
- 1713 MOURITSEN, H., ATEMA, J., KINGSFORD, M. J. & GERLACH, G. (2013). Sun compass orientation
1714 helps coral reef fish larvae return to their natal reef. *PLoS One* **8**, e66039.
- 1715 MULDER, A. J. E., AALDEREN VAN, R. & LEEUWEN VAN, C. H. A. (2021). Tracking temperate fish
1716 reveals their relevance for plant seed dispersal. *Functional Ecology* **35**(5), 1134–1144.
- 1717 MUNAKATA, A., AMANO, M., IKUTA, K., KITAMURA, S. & AIDA, K. (2001). The involvement of sex
1718 steroid hormones in downstream and upstream migratory behavior of masu salmon. *Comparative*
1719 *Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **129**(2–3), 661–669.
- 1720 NAIMAN, R. J., BILBY, R. E., SCHINDLER, D. E. & HELFIELD, J. M. (2002). Pacific Salmon, Nutrients,
1721 and the Dynamics of Freshwater and Riparian Ecosystems. *Ecosystems* **5**, 399–417.
- 1722 NAISBETT-JONES, L. C., PUTMAN, N. F., STEPHENSON, J. F., LADAK, S. & YOUNG, K. A. (2017). A
1723 magnetic map leads juvenile European eels to the Gulf Stream. *Current Biology* **27**(8), 1236–
1724 1240.

- 1725 NAKAYAMA, D., DOERING-ARJES, P., LINZMAIER, S., BRIEGE, J., KLEFOTH, T., PIETEREK, T. &
1726 ARLINGHAUS, R. (2018). Fine-scale movement ecology of a freshwater top predator, Eurasian
1727 perch (*Perca fluviatilis*), in response to the abiotic environment over the course of a year.
1728 *Ecology of Freshwater Fish* **27**(3), 798–812.
- 1729 NAMAN, S. M., ROSENFELD, J. S., NEUSWANGER, J. R., ENDERS, E. C. & EATON, B. C. (2019).
1730 Comparing correlative and bioenergetics-based habitat suitability models for drift-feeding fishes.
1731 *Freshwater Biology* **64**(8), 1613–1626.
- 1732 NASH, K. L., GRAHAM, N. A., JANUCHOWSKI-HARTLEY, F. A. & BELLWOOD, D. R. (2012). Influence
1733 of habitat condition and competition on foraging behaviour of parrotfishes. *Marine Ecology*
1734 *Progress Series* **457**, 113–124.
- 1735 NATHAN, R. & GIUGGIOLI, L. (2013). A milestone for movement ecology research. *Movement*
1736 *Ecology* **1**(1), 1–3.
- 1737 NATHAN, R., GETZ, W. M., REVILLA, E., HOLYOAK, M., KADMON, R., SALTZ, D. & SMOUSE, P. E.
1738 (2008). A movement ecology paradigm for unifying organismal movement research.
1739 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**(49), 19052–19059.
- 1740 NATHAN, R., MONK, C. T., ARLINGHAUS, R., ADAM, T., ALÓS, J., ASSAF, M., ET AL. (2022). Big-data
1741 approaches lead to an increased understanding of the ecology of animal movement. *Science*,
1742 **375**(6582), eabg1780.
- 1743 NIELSEN, L. A. (1992). Methods of marking fish and shellfish. American Fisheries Society Special
1744 Publication 23. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland, USA.
- 1745 NOATCH, M. R. & SUSKI, C. D. (2012). Non-physical barriers to deter fish movements.
1746 *Environmental Reviews* **20**(1), 71–82.
- 1747 NORIN, T. & CLARK, T. D. (2017). Fish face a trade-off between ‘eating big’ for growth efficiency
1748 and ‘eating small’ to retain aerobic capacity. *Biology Letters* **13**(9), 20170298.
- 1749 O’GORMAN, E. J., OLAFSSON, O. P., DEMARS, B. O. L., FRIBERG, N., GUDBERGSSON, G.,
1750 HANNESDOTTIR, E. R., JACKSON, M. C., JOHANSSON, L. S., McLAUGHLIN, O. B. & OLAFSSON, J.
1751 S. (2016). Temperature effects on fish production across a natural thermal gradient. *Global*
1752 *Change Biology* **22**(9), 3206–3220.

- 1753 OGBURN, M. B., HARRISON, A. L., WHORISKEY, F. G., COOKE, S. J., MILLS FLEMMING, J. E. &
1754 TORRES, L. G. (2017). Addressing challenges in the application of animal movement ecology to
1755 aquatic conservation and management. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **4**, 70.
- 1756 OJIMA, D. & IWATA, M. (2009). Central administration of growth hormone-releasing hormone
1757 triggers downstream movement and schooling behavior of chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*)
1758 fry in an artificial stream. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular &*
1759 *Integrative Physiology* **152**(3), 293–298.
- 1760 OKASAKI, C., KEEFER, M. L., WESTLEY, P. A. H. & BERDAHL, A. M. (2020). Collective navigation
1761 can facilitate passage through human-made barriers by homeward migrating Pacific salmon.
1762 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **287**(1937), 20202137.
- 1763 OKASAKI, C., KEEFER, M. L., WESTLEY, P. A. H. & BERDAHL, A. M. (2020). Collective
1764 *Oncorhynchus* spp. *Journal of Fish Biology* **95**, 293–303.
- 1765 PAPASTAMATIOU, Y. P., BODEY, T. W., FRIEDLANDER, A. M., LOWE, C. G., BRADLEY, D., WENG, K.,
1766 PRIESTLEY, V. & CASELLE, J. E. (2018*b*). Spatial separation without territoriality in shark
1767 communities. *Oikos* **127**(6), 1–13.
- 1768 PAPASTAMATIOU, Y. P., MEYER, C. G., CARVALHO, F., DALE, J. J., HUTCHINSON, M. R., & HOLLAND,
1769 K. N. (2013). Telemetry and random-walk models reveal complex patterns of partial migration in
1770 a large marine predator. *Ecology*, **94**(11), 2595-2606.
- 1771
- 1772 PAPASTAMATIOU, Y. P., IOSILEVSKII, G., DI SANTO, V., HUVENEERS, C., HATTAB, T., PLANES, S.,
1773 BALLESTA, L. & MOURIER, J. (2021). Sharks surf the slope: Current updrafts reduce energy
1774 expenditure for aggregating marine predators. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **90**(10), 2303–2314.
- 1775 PAPASTAMATIOU, Y. P., WATANABE, Y. Y., BRADLEY, D., DEE, L. E., WENG, K., LOWE, C. G. &
1776 CASTELLE, J. E. (2015). Drivers of daily routines in an ectothermic marine predator: hunt warm,
1777 rest warmer? *PLoS One* **10**, e0127807.
- 1778 PAPASTAMATIOU, Y. P., WATANABE, Y. Y., DEMŠAR, U., LEOS-BARAJAS, V., BRADLEY, D.,
1779 LANGROCK, R., WENG, K., LOWE, C. G., FRIEDLANDER, A. M. & CASELLE, J. E. (2018*a*). Activity

- 1780 seascapes highlight central place foraging strategies in marine predators that never stop
1781 swimming. *Movement Ecology* **6**, 9.
- 1782 PARKYN, D. C., AUSTIN, J. D. & HAWRYSHYN, C. W. (2003). Acquisition of polarized light
1783 orientation in salmonids under laboratory considerations. *Animal Behavior* **65**(5), 893–904.
- 1784 PAVLOV, D. S. & KASUMYAN, A. O. (2000). Patterns and mechanisms of schooling behaviour in
1785 fish: A review. *Journal of Ichthyology* **40**(2), 163–231.
- 1786 PAYNE, N. L., SMITH, J. A., VAN DER MEULEN, D. E., TAYLOR, M. D., WATANABE, Y. Y.,
1787 TAKAHASHI, A., MARZULLO, T. A., GRAY, C. A., CADIOU & SUTHERS, I. M. (2016). Temperature
1788 dependence of fish performance in the wild: Links with species biogeography and physiological
1789 thermal tolerance. *Functional Ecology* **30**(6), 903–912.
- 1790 PIHL, L., BADEN, S. P. & DIAZ, R. J. (1991). Effects of periodic hypoxia on distribution of demersal
1791 fish and crustaceans. *Marine Biology* **108**(3), 349–360.
- 1792 PÖRTNER, H. O. (2010). Oxygen- And capacity-limitation of thermal tolerance: A matrix for
1793 integrating climate-related stressor effects in marine ecosystems. *Journal of Experimental*
1794 *Biology* **213**(6), 881–893.
- 1795 PUTMAN, N.F., LOHMANN, K. J., PUTMAN, E. M., QUINN, T. P., KLIMLEY, A. P., D.L.G. & NOAKES,
1796 D. L. G. (2013). Evidence for geomagnetic imprinting as a homing mechanism in Pacific salmon.
1797 *Current Biology* **23**(4), 312–316.
- 1798 QUINN, T. P., HELFIELD, J. M., AUSTIN, C. S., HOVEL, R. A. & BUNN, A. G. (2018). A multidecade
1799 experiment shows that fertilization by salmon carcasses enhanced tree growth in the riparian
1800 zone. *Ecology* **99**(11), 2433–2441.
- 1801 RABY, G. D., VANDERGOOT, C. S., HAYDEN, T. A., FAUST, M. D., KRAUS, R. T., DETTMERS, J. M.,
1802 COOKE, S. J., ZHAO, Y., FISK, A. T. & KRUEGER, C. C. (2018). Does behavioural
1803 thermoregulation underlie seasonal movements in Lake Erie walleye? *Canadian Journal of*
1804 *Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **75**(3), 488–496.
- 1805 RADINGER, J., & WOLTER, C. (2014). Patterns and predictors of fish dispersal in rivers. *Fish and*
1806 *Fisheries*, **15**(3), 456-473.

- 1807 RADFORD, C. A., STANLEY, J. A., SIMPSON, S. D. & JEFFS, A. G. (2011) Juvenile coral reef fish use
1808 sound to locate habitats. *Coral Reefs* **30**, 295–305.
- 1809 RASHER, D. B., HOEY, A. S. & HAY, M. E. (2017). Cascading predator effects in a Fijian coral reef
1810 ecosystem. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 15684.
- 1811 RASMUSSEN, J. E. & BELK, M. C. (2017). Individual movement of stream fishes: linking ecological
1812 drivers with evolutionary processes. *Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture*, **25**(1), 70–83.
- 1813 REID, A. J., CARLSON, A. K., CREED, I. F., ELIASON, E. J., GELL, P. A., PIETER, T. J., KIDD, K. A.,
1814 MACCORMACK, T. J., OLDEN, J. D., ORMEROD, S. J., SMOL, J. P. TAYLOR, W. W., TOCKNER, K.,
1815 VERMAIRE, J. C., DUDGEON, D. & COOKE, S. J. (2019). Emerging threats and persistent conservation
1816 challenges for freshwater biodiversity. *Biological Reviews* **94**(3), 849–873.
- 1817 REYNOLDS, W. W. (1977). Temperature as a proximate factor in orientation behavior. *Journal of the*
1818 *Fisheries Board of Canada* **34**, 734–739.
- 1819 REZEK, R. J., MASSIE, J. A., NELSON, J. A., SANTOS, R. O., VIADERO, N. M., BOUCEK, R. E. &
1820 REHAGE, J. S. (2020). Individual consumer movement mediates food web coupling across a
1821 coastal ecosystem. *Ecosphere* **11**, e03305.
- 1822 ROBERTS, J. L. (1975). Active branchial and ram gill ventilation in fishes. *The Biological Bulletin*
1823 **148**(1), 85-105.
- 1824 ROOKER, J. R., DANCE, M. A., WELLS, R. J. D., QUIGG, A., HILL, R. L., APPELDOORN, R. S.,
1825 FERREIRA, B. P., BOSWELL, K. M., SANCHEZ, P. J., MOULTON, D. L., KITCHENS, L. L., ROOKER, G.
1826 J. & ASCHENBRENNER, A. (2018). Seascape connectivity and the influence of predation risk on
1827 the movement of fishes inhabiting a back-reef ecosystem. *Ecosphere* **9**(4), e02200
- 1828 RUSSELL, J. C., HANKS, E. M., MODLMEIER, A. P. & HUGHS, D. P. (2017). Modelling collective
1829 animal movement through interactions in behavioral states. *Journal of Agricultural, Biological,*
1830 *and Environmental Statistics* **22**, 313–334.
- 1831 SABAL, M. C., BOYCE, M. S., CHARPENTIER, C. L., FUREY, N. B., LUHRING, T. M., MARTIN, H. W.,
1832 MELNYCHUK, M. C., SRYGLEY, R. B., WAGNER, C. M., WIRSING, A. J., YDENBERG, R. C. &
1833 PALKOVACS, E. P. (2021). Predation landscapes influence migratory prey ecology and evolution.
1834 *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **36**(8), 737–749.

- 1835 SABAL, M. C., MERZ, J. E., ALONZO, S. H. & PALKOVACS, E. P. (2020). An escape theory model for
1836 directionally moving prey and an experimental test in juvenile Chinook salmon. *Journal of*
1837 *Animal Ecology* **89**(8), 1824–1836.
- 1838 SCHICK, R. S., LOARIE, S. R., COLCHERO, F., BEST, B. D., BOUSTANY, A., CONDE, D. A., HALPIN, P.
1839 N., JOPPA, L. N., MCCLELLAN, C. M. & CLARK, J. S. (2008). Understanding movement data and
1840 movement processes: current and emerging directions. *Ecology Letters* **11**(12), 1338–1350.
- 1841 SCHLAFF, A. M., HEUPEL, M. R. & SIMPFENDORFER, C. A. (2014). Influence of environmental
1842 factors on shark and ray movement, behaviour and habitat use: a review. *Reviews in Fish Biology*
1843 *and Fisheries* **24**, 1089–1103.
- 1844 SCHRECK, C. B. (2010). Stress and fish reproduction: The roles of allostasis and hormesis. *General*
1845 *and Comparative Endocrinology* **165**(3), 549–556.
- 1846 SECOR, D. H. (2015). Migration ecology of marine fishes. JHU Press, Baltimore, USA.
- 1847 SECOR, D. H. Zhang, F., O'Brien, M. H. P. & Li, M. (2019). Ocean destratification and fish
1848 evacuation caused by a Mid-Atlantic tropical storm. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **76**(2), 573–
1849 584.
- 1850 SEQUEIRA, A. M. M., HAYS, G. C., SIMS, D. W., EGUÍLUZ, V. M., RODRÍGUEZ, J. P., HEUPEL, M. R., ...
1851 & DUARTE, C. M. (2019). Overhauling ocean spatial planning to improve marine megafauna
1852 conservation. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, **6**, 639.
- 1853 SERVILI, A., CANARIO, A. V., MOUCHEL, O. & MUÑOZ-CUETO, J. A. (2020). Climate change impacts
1854 on fish reproduction are mediated at multiple levels of the brain-pituitary-gonad axis. *General*
1855 *and Comparative Endocrinology* **291**, 113439.
- 1856 SFAKIOTAKIS, M., LANE, D. M. & DAVIES, J. B. C. (1999). Review of fish swimming modes for
1857 aquatic locomotion. *IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering* **24**(2), 237–252.
- 1858 SHADWICK, R. E. & GEMBALLA, S. (2005). Structure, Kinematics, And Muscle Dynamics. In *Fish*
1859 *Biomechanics* (EDS D. J. RANELLE AND A. P. FARRELL), pp. 241–280. Elsevier Academic Press,
1860 San Diego, USA.

- 1861 SHAW, A. K. (2020). Causes and consequences of individual variation in animal movement.
1862 *Movement Ecology* **8**, 12.
- 1863 SHEPARD, E. L. C., WILSON, R. P., GRUNDY, E., LAMBERTUCCI, S. A. & VOSPER, S. B. (2013).
1864 Energy landscapes shape animal movement ecology. *The American Naturalist* **182**(3), 298–312.
- 1865 SIGNER, J. & FIEBERG, J. R. (2021). A fresh look at an old concept: Home-range estimation in a tidy
1866 world. *PeerJ* **9**, e11031.
- 1867 SILVA, A. T., LUCAS, M. C., CASTRO-SANTOS, T., KATOPODIS, C., BAUMGARTNER, L. J., THIEM, J.
1868 D., AARESTRUP, K., POMPEU, P. S., O'BRIEN, G. C., BRAUN, D. C., BURNETT, N. J., ZHU, D. Z.,
1869 FJELDSTAD, H., FORSETH, T., RAJARATNAM, N., WILLIAMS, J. G. & COOKE, S. J. (2018). The
1870 future of fish passage science, engineering, and practice. *Fish and Fisheries* **19**(2), 340–362.
- 1871 SIMPFENDORFER, C. A., HEUPEL, M. R. & HUETER, R. E. (2002). Estimation of short-term centers of
1872 activity from an array of omnidirectional hydrophones and its use in studying animal
1873 movements. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **59**(1), 23–32.
- 1874 SIMS, D. W., WEARMOUTH, V. J., SOUTHALL, E. J., HILL, J. M., MOORE, P., RAWLINSON, K.,
1875 HUTCHINSON, N., BUDD, G. C., RIGHTON, D. & METCALFE, J. D. (2006). Hunt warm, rest cool:
1876 bioenergetic strategy underlying diel vertical migration of a benthic shark. *Journal of Animal*
1877 *Ecology* **75**(1), 176–190.
- 1878 SMITH, R. J. F. (2012). The control of fish migration (Vol. 17). Springer Science & Business
1879 Media. New York.
- 1880 SOBOCINSKI, K. L., CIANNELLI, L., WAKEFIELD, W. W., YERGEY, M. E. & JOHNSON-COLEGROVE, A.
1881 (2018). Distribution and abundance of juvenile demersal fishes in relation to summer hypoxia
1882 and other environmental variables in coastal Oregon, USA. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*
1883 **205**, 75-90.
- 1884 SOGARD, S. M. & OLLA, B. L. (1998). Behavior of juvenile sablefish, *Anoplopoma fimbria* (Pallas),
1885 in a thermal gradient: balancing food and temperature requirements. *Journal of Experimental*
1886 *Marine Biology and Ecology* **222**(1–2), 43–58.

- 1887 SPECKER, J. L., EALES, J. G., TAGAWA M. & TYLER, III, W. A. (2000). Parr-smolt transformation in
1888 Atlantic salmon: thyroid hormone deiodination in liver and brain and endocrine correlates of
1889 change in rheotactic behavior. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **78**(5), 696–705.
- 1890 SPITZ, D.B., HEBBLEWHITE, M. & STEPHENSON, T. R. (2017). ‘MigrateR’: extending model-driven
1891 methods for classifying and quantifying animal movement behavior. *Ecography* **40**, 788–799.
- 1892 STICH, D. S., KINNISON, M. T., KOCIK, J. F. & ZYDLEWSKI, J. D. (2015). Initiation of migration and
1893 movement rates of Atlantic salmon smolts in fresh water. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and*
1894 *Aquatic Sciences* **72**(9), 1339–1351.
- 1895 STITT, B. C., BURNES, G., BURGOMASTER, K. A., CURRIE, S., MCDERMID, J. L. & WILSON, C. C.
1896 (2014). Intraspecific variation in thermal tolerance and acclimation capacity in brook trout
1897 (*Salvelinus fontinalis*): physiological implications for climate change. *Physiological and*
1898 *Biochemical Zoology* **87**(1), 15–29.
- 1899 SUBALUSKY, A. L., DUTTON, C. L., ROSI, E. J. & POST, D. M. (2017). Annual mass drownings of the
1900 Serengeti wildebeest migration influence nutrient cycling and storage in the Mara River.
1901 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**(29), 7647–7652.
- 1902 TEO, S. L., BOUSTANY, A., DEWAR, H., STOKESBURY, M. J., WENG, K. C., BEEMER, S., et al. (2007).
1903 Annual migrations, diving behavior, and thermal biology of Atlantic bluefin tuna, *Thunnus*
1904 *thynnus*, on their Gulf of Mexico breeding grounds. *Marine Biology*, **151**(1), 1-18.
- 1905 THIEM, J. D., WOODEN, I. J., BAUMGARTNER, L. J., BUTLER, G. L., FORBES, J., TAYLOR, M. D. &
1906 WATTS, R. J. (2018). Abiotic drivers of activity in a large, free-ranging, freshwater teleost,
1907 Murray cod (*Maccullochella peelii*). *PLoS One* **13**, e0198972.
- 1908 THORSTAD, E. B., RIKARSEN, A. H., ALP, A. & ØKLAND, F. (2013). The Use of Electronic Tags in
1909 Fish Research - An Overview of Fish Telemetry Methods. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and*
1910 *Aquatic Sciences* **13**, 881–896.
- 1911 THORSTENSEN, M. J., VANDERVELDE, C. A., BUGG, W. S., MICHALESKI, S., VO, L., MACKAY, T. E., ...
1912 & JEFFRIES, K. M. (2022). Non-Lethal Sampling Supports Integrative Movement Research in
1913 Freshwater Fish. *Frontiers in Genetics*, **13**, 795355-795355.

- 1914 TEICHERT, N., TÉTARD, S., TRANCART, T., FEUNTEUN, E., ACOU, A., & DE OLIVEIRA, E. (2020).
1915 Resolving the trade-off between silver eel escapement and hydropower generation with simple
1916 decision rules for turbine shutdown. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **261**, 110212.
- 1917 TINOCO, A. B., NÄSLUND, J., DELGADO, M. J., DE PEDRO, N., JOHNSON, J. I. & JÖNSSON, E. (2014).
1918 Ghrelin increases food intake, swimming activity and growth in juvenile brown trout (*Salmo*
1919 *trutta*). *Physiology & Behavior* **124**, 15–22.
- 1920 TOGUNOV, R. R., DEROCHE, A. E., LUNN, N. J. & AUGER-MÉTHÉ, M. (2021). Characterising
1921 menotactic behaviours in movement data using hidden Markov models. *Methods in Ecology and*
1922 *Evolution* **12**(10), 1984–1998.
- 1923 TREBILCO, R., DULVY, N. K., ANDERSON, S. C. & SALOMON, A. K. (2016). The paradox of inverted
1924 biomass pyramids in kelp forest fish communities. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*
1925 *Biological Sciences* **283**(1833), 20160816.
- 1926 TRUEMAN, C. N., MACKENZIE, K. M. & PALMER, M. R. (2012). Identifying migrations in marine
1927 fishes through stable-isotope analysis. *Journal of Fish Biology* **81**(2), 826-847.
- 1928 TUCKER, V. A. (1970). Energetic cost of locomotion in animals. *Comparative Biochemistry and*
1929 *Physiology* **34**, 841–846.
- 1930 TWARDEK, W. M., EKSTRÖM, A., ELIASON, E. J., LENNOX, R. J., TUONONEN, E., ABRAMS, A. E. I.,
1931 JEANSON, A. L. & COOKE, S. J. (2021). Field assessments of heart rate dynamics during spawning
1932 migration of wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
1933 *Society B* **376**(1830), 20200214.
- 1934 TYTLER, P. & CALOW, P. (1985). Fish energetics: new perspectives. Croom Helm Ltd, Sydney,
1935 Australia.
- 1936 UEDA, H. (2018). Sensory mechanisms of natal stream imprinting and homing in *Oncorhynchus*
1937 spp. *Journal of Fish Biology* **95**(1), 293–303.
- 1938 VOLLSET, K. W., FIKSEN, O. & FOLKVORD, A. (2009). Vertical distribution of larval cod (*Gadus*
1939 *morhua*) in experimental temperature gradients. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and*
1940 *Ecology* **379**, 16–22.

- 1941 VOLLSET, K. W., LENNOX, R. J., LAMBERG, A., SKAALA, Ø., SANDVIK, A. D., SÆGROV, H.,
1942 KVINGEDAL, E., KRISTENSEN, T., JENSEN, A. J., HARALDSTAD, T., BARLAUP, B. T. & UGEDAL, O.
1943 (2021). Predicting the nationwide outmigration timing of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) smolts
1944 along 12 degrees of latitude in Norway. *Diversity and Distributions* **27**(8), 1383–1392.
- 1945 WAHLBERG, M., WESTERBERG, H., AARESTRUP, K., FEUNTEUN, E., GARGAN, P. & RIGHTON, D.
1946 (2014). Evidence of marine mammal predation of the European eel (*Anguilla anguilla* L.) on its
1947 marine migration. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* **86**, 32–38.
- 1948 WALTER, T., & COUZIN, I. D. (2021). TRex, a fast multi-animal tracking system with markerless
1949 identification, and 2D estimation of posture and visual fields. *Elife* **10**, e64000.
- 1950 WARD, A. J. W., SUMPTER, D. J. T., COUZIN, I. D., HART, P. J. B. & KRAUSE, J. (2008). Quorum
1951 decision-making facilitates information transfer in fish shoals. *Proceedings of the National*
1952 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **105**(19), 6948–6953.
- 1953 WATZ, J., NILSSON, P.A., DEGERMAN, E., TAMARIO, C. & CALLES, O. (2019). Climbing the ladder:
1954 an evaluation of three different anguillid eel climbing substrata and placement of upstream
1955 passage solutions at migration barriers. *Animal Conservation* **22**(5), 452–462.
- 1956 WEBB, P. W. (1975). Hydrodynamics and energetics of fish propulsion. *Bulletin of the Fisheries*
1957 *Research Board of Canada* **190**, 1–159.
- 1958 WEBB, P. W. (2005). Stability and maneuverability. In *Fish Biomechanics* (EDS D. J. RANELL AND
1959 A. P. FARRELL), pp. 281–332. Elsevier Academic Press, San Diego, USA.
- 1960 WEBB, P. W., KOSTECKI, P. T. & STEVENS, E. D. (1984). The effect of size and swimming speed on
1961 locomotor kinematics of rainbow trout. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **109**(1), 77–95.
- 1962 WEEKS, R., GREEN, A. L., JOSEPH, E., PETERSON, N. & TERK, E. (2017). Using reef fish movement to
1963 inform marine reserve design. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **54**(1), 145–152.
- 1964 WEISSBURG, M. J. & BROWMAN, H. I. (2005). Sensory biology: linking the internal and external
1965 ecologies of marine organisms. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **287**, 263–265.
- 1966 WELICKY, R. L. & SIKKEL, P. C. (2015). Decreased movement related to parasite infection in a diel
1967 migratory coral reef fish. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **69**(9), 1437–1446.

- 1968 WELSCH, S. A. & LILLER, H. L. (2013) Environmental correlates of upstream migration of yellow-
1969 phase American eels in the Potomac River drainage. *Transactions of the American Fisheries*
1970 *Society* **142**, 483–491.
- 1971 WETHERBEE, B.M., GRUBER, S. H. & ROSA R. S. (2007). Movement patterns of juvenile lemon
1972 sharks *Negaprion brevirostris* within Atol das Rocas, Brazil: a nursery characterized by tidal
1973 extremes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **343**, 283–293
- 1974 WHITLOCK, R. E., WALLI, A., CERMEÑO, P., RODRIGUEZ, L. E., FARWELL, C. & BLOCK, B. A. (2013).
1975 Quantifying energy intake in Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) using the heat increment
1976 of feeding. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **216**(21), 4109–4123.
- 1977 WHORISKEY, K., MARTINS, E. G., AUGER-MÉTHÉ, M., GUTOWSKY, L. F., LENNOX, R. J., COOKE, S.
1978 J., POWER, M. & MILLS FLEMMING, J. (2019). Current and emerging statistical techniques for
1979 aquatic telemetry data: A guide to analysing spatially discrete animal detections. *Methods in*
1980 *Ecology and Evolution* **10**(7), 935–948.
- 1981 WILLIAMS, J. G., ARMSTRONG, G., KATOPODIS, C., LARINIER, M., & TRAVADE, F. (2012). Thinking
1982 like a fish: a key ingredient for development of effective fish passage facilities at river
1983 obstructions. *River Research and Applications* **28**(4), 407-417.
- 1984 WINEMILLER, K. O. & JEPSEN, D. B. (1998). Effects of seasonality and fish movement on tropical
1985 river food webs. *Journal of Fish Biology* **53**, 267–296.
- 1986 WISENDEN, B. D., MILLER, J. K. J., MILLER, S. & FUSELIER, L. (2008). Anti-predator behaviour in
1987 response to conspecific chemical alarm cues in an esociform fish, *Umbra limi* (Kirtland 1840).
1988 *Environmental Biology of Fishes* **82**(1), 85–92
- 1989 WRIGHT, S. R., RIGHTON, D., NAULAERTS, J., SCHALLERT, R. J., GRIFFITHS, C. A., CHAPPLE, T.,
1990 MADIGAN, D., LAPTIKHOVSKY, V., BENDALL, V., HOBBS, R., BEARE, D., CLINGHAM, E., BLOCK,
1991 B. & COLLINS, M. A. (2021). Yellowfin Tuna Behavioural Ecology and Catchability in the South
1992 Atlantic: The Right Place at the Right Time (and Depth). *Frontiers in Marine Science* **8**, 664593.
- 1993 Ylönen, H., Kortet, R., Myntti, J. & Vainikka, A. (2007). Predator odor recognition and
1994 antipredatory response in fish: does the prey know the predator diel rhythm? *Acta Oecologica*
1995 **31**(1), 1–7.