Optimising Terahertz Waveform Selection of a Pharmaceutical Film Coating Process Using Recurrent Network
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Abstract—In-line terahertz pulsed imaging (TPI) has been utilised to measure the film coating thickness of individual tablets during the coating process in a production-scale pan coater. A criteria-based waveform selection algorithm (WSA) was developed to select terahertz signals reflected from the surface of coating tablets and determine the coating thickness. Since the WSA uses many criteria thresholds to select terahertz waveforms of sufficiently high quality, it could reject some potential candidate tablet waveforms that are close but do not reach the threshold boundary. On the premise of the availability of large datasets, we aim to improve the efficiency of WSA with machine learning. This paper presents a recurrent neural network approach to optimise waveform selection. In comparison with the conventional method of WSA, our approach allows more than double the number of waveforms to be selected while maintain great agreement with off-line thickness measurement. Moreover, the processing time of waveform selection decreases so that it can be applied for real-time coating monitoring in the pharmaceutical industry, which leads more advancement on the quality control for the pharmaceutical film coating.

Index Terms—Terahertz pulsed imaging; Machine learning; Neural network; Pharmaceutical film coating thickness; Coating uniformity.

I. INTRODUCTION

PHARMACEUTICAL film coating processes are widely used to ensure color uniformity, light protection and taste masking of the dosage forms. Functional coatings can be used to mask the taste or smell of a product, to protect the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) against the acidic environment of the stomach or the gastric mucosa against an aggressive API, and to prolong API release. Coating thickness and integrity are particularly important for functional coatings where a minimum thickness is required to ensure gastro-resistance of a dosage form or to achieve a targeted release profile/ rate. Active coatings contain an API, the amount of which is directly correlated to coating thickness. Several non-destructive sensing techniques have been demonstrated to quantify film coating thickness such as Raman spectroscopy [1], near-infrared spectroscopy [2] and more recently, optical coherence tomography [3, 4]. Terahertz pulsed imaging (TPI) was introduced approximately fifteen years ago and has attracted interest in the pharmaceutical industry as a fast, non-destructive modality for quantifying film coatings on pharmaceutical tablets. Extensive reviews on the subject matter have been conducted [5-8]. In short, incident terahertz pulses penetrate through the coatings where a portion of the terahertz pulse reflects back to the detector at each coating interface or abrupt change in refractive index. Film coating thickness is then determined from the separation of measured reflection peaks in the processed signal, given by \( d = c \Delta t/(2n) \), where \( d \) is the coating thickness, \( c \) is the speed of light, \( \Delta t \) is the peak separation time and \( n \) is the refractive index of the coating material. In an effort to better understand the pharmaceutical coating process, in-line TPI has been demonstrated to measure tablet coating thickness directly during the coating operation with a fast acquisition rate (up to 120 Hz) thus yielding statistical information on the coating variability of the tablet population inside the coating unit. This has been demonstrated for production [9, 10] and lab-scale [11] process and consolidated against numerical modelling [12, 13]. In processing the saved data stream of raw waveforms, a criteria-based waveform selection algorithm (WSA) was developed previously [9], which can select waveforms that represent reflections from the coated tablets that are both in the focus of the terahertz beam and normally aligned to the terahertz detector. The WSA is dependent on a combination of thresholds determined using off-line data including the amplitude, width and position of the peaks that represent tablet surface and subsequent coating/core interface. Depending on the threshold values, WSA could reject potential candidate tablet waveforms resulting in limited thickness measurements taken i.e. hits. Opportunities therefore loom to increase the number of tablet waveform selected by relaxing the parameters [10] without significantly compromising on the accuracy to off-line thickness measurements. This would then allow for a more complete representation of the process allowing for systematic investigations to be performed leading to greater process understanding [10].

Significant progress have been made on analyzing terahertz measurements of samples with simple geometries using conventional terahertz time-domain spectroscopy in an ideal laboratory condition [14, 15]. Under such scenarios, an understanding of the underlying mechanisms is often needed e.g. Lorentzian profiles for water vapor removal [16] or a double Debye in resolving molecular water states [17]. For analyzing measurements that are convoluted by additional effects, such as shape irregularity, variations in thickness, attenuations, Fabry–Perot oscillations and the combinations...
thereof, experimental data-driven approaches using machine learning algorithms have found success in automatic signal recognition without manual and professional intervention. For example, convolutional neural networks (CNN) [18-21] and various shallow classifiers, like support vector machines [22-27], k-nearest neighbors [23, 28, 29], random forest [30] and other single-layer neural network [31-34] have been used for image/ waveform classification. With the exception of CNN, these common classifiers are usually used in conjunction with feature extraction methods, e.g., principal component analysis. In the context of thickness measurement geometric algebra was also proposed, though for classifying transmission measurements at thicknesses between 0.5-3 mm in an ideal laboratory setting [35]. Given the complexity of the pharmaceutical tablet coating process (spray atomization, thermodynamic drying and tablet mixing) [36] coupled terahertz in-line measurement through perforations of a rotating coating pan travelling at 0.41 m/s, developing an analytical solution is non-trivial. Consequently, mechanistic modelling using discrete element models are used yielding promising results [12, 13] As large volume of experimental dataset can be generated, the challenge is to investigate if a data-driven approach using machine learning could also be used to learn the features for real-life classification, representative of a production scale coating environment.

A. Long-short term memory network

Recurrent neural networks (RNN) form a widely-used class of artificial neural network, which is capable of handling sequential data. Since it was first described in 1982 [37], this class of network has been developed for application in many different fields, such as speech recognition [38] and machine translation [39]. It contains a learning structure where all inputs and outputs are inter-connected [40]. Hence, the input from each unit depends on the previous output. Long-short term memory (LSTM) was developed by [41] to overcome the limitations of RNNs with improved ability to capture long-term dependencies. Unlike standard RNN unit, the memory cell in LSTM unit replace the traditional node in the hidden layer.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. In-line and off-line dataset acquisition

Details of coating experiments have been covered elsewhere [9]. In short, a production-scale perforated pan tablet coater (Premier 200; Oystar Manesty, Merseyside, UK) was used for the coating trials. The diameter of this coater was 1.3 m and the diameter of each circular perforation was 3 mm. TPI (TeraView Ltd., Cambridge, UK) was mounted onto the side of the coater where terahertz signals were focused onto the surface of tablets inside the coating pan. Tubular baffles were additionally fitted into the coater pan to facilitate tablet bed mixing. Individual terahertz waveforms were acquired at a rate of 120 Hz throughout a 300-minute coating process. The rotational speed of the coater was set as 6 rpm. The tablet geometry was bi-convex (10 mm diameter, 370 mg). Two runs under the same process conditions (runs A and B) were performed. The tablet load for these two coating trials was 175 kg. In order to validate the in-line measurement data, several tablets were removed randomly from the coating pan at 60, 120, 180, 240 and 300 minutes for both runs, and were measured using the off-line TPI. It should be noted that even though process conditions were identical, coating variations do exist between runs due to
the inherent process complexities [10]. As a matter of fact, weight gain variations of coatings from runs A and B span from 16 to 20% throughout the process thus affecting product quality. In another separate coating trial (run C), tablets underwent a different coating process altogether compared to runs A and B. In particular, a batch of uncoated tablets of the same load were added into the coating process after 140 minutes of coating [10]. Dataset from run C is used to assess network’s ability to predict on a process completely different to what it was trained with.

B. Design of Neural network

Fig. 2 shows the structure of the BLSTM network. The first layer is a sequence input layer of size 1x69, to accommodate our cropped waveform data. The BLSTM layers learn long-term dependencies between time steps with 50 hidden units. This is followed by a dropout layer with rate 0.5 and a fully connected layer. For the classification output layer, we used the cross entropy error function and the softmax activation function, which is widely used for 1 of K classification [45]. The softmax function normalizes the network outputs to between zero and one. To prevent network from overfitting, a dropout layer has been added into the network, which prevents the units being too consistent with each other during the training process.

The BLSTM network is trained with cross-entropy loss for 20 epochs with the Adam solver, a learning rate of 0.0001 and a mini-batch size of 125.

![Fig. 2. LSTM network used for classification. FCL: fully connected layer; COL: classification output layer.](image)

C. Training dataset preparation

Because the in-line measurement dataset contains waveforms from the tablets, surface of the coating pan, perforation edge and noise waveforms, the training dataset is split into two classes: hit class (reflected from tablet) and miss class (reflected from other places) for network training. The primary training dataset is generated from the off-line measurement of run A at process endpoint. Off-line waveforms from run A are used to represent the hit class, the training data of miss class is generated from an empty coater.

Fig. 3 shows the examples of measured off-line terahertz time-domain waveform of the coated tablet at different coating timestamps, which shows a main positive peak (at 0.0 mm) corresponding to the air/coating interface and a negative peak for the coating/core interface. To reduce the effect of noise on the network while maintaining the main features of the tablet waveforms, all waveforms were pre-processed. In particular, the front of the main peak and the rear of the negative peak of off-line waveforms were discarded. Furthermore, waveforms whose main peak’s position outside of ± 0.2 mm, i.e. outside the dataset where thickness can be accurately measured, and with an amplitude less than 0.05 were discarded from the dataset. In-line dataset for run B is used for external validation.

D. Training dataset augmentation

As there were significant variations in the number of selected tablet waveforms in cross-validation, thus indicating an unstable training, the training dataset is increased. In particular, we simulated terahertz waveforms using Fresnel’s equations, representative of reflections from a single layer coated tablet acquired with the sample placed at the focus and normal to the terahertz sensor by a robotic arm [5]. Given the incident terahertz field $E_i$ propagating through air, the equation for the reflection assuming plane wave approximation [46] is

$$E_r = \frac{r_{ab} + r_{bc} e^{-i2kd}}{1 + r_{ab} r_{bc} e^{-i2kd}} E_i$$

where $d$ is the coating thickness, $k$ is the wavenumber in coating material, $r_{ab}$ is Fresnel reflection coefficient (0, 1 or 2 in this case corresponds to air, coating and core) that is defined as $r_{ab} = \frac{n_a - n_b}{n_a + n_b}$, where $n_a$ and $n_b$ is the refractive index of material a and b. In order to generate large amount of tablet waveforms, three parameters: $n_a$ (refractive index of coating), $n_b$ (refractive index of core) and $d$ (thickness of tablet coating) are used as variables. The averaged refractive indexes of coating and core materials are obtained from off-line measurements, which are 1.79 and 1.63 respectively. Then we made $n_a$ and $n_b$ vary within ± 2% of the averaged values. According to calculated coating thickness of off-line measurements, the values of $d$ for each tablet waveform are randomly selected from 45-110 µm. Fig. 3 shows the examples of simulated and measured off-line tablet waveforms for 2, 3, 4 and 5 hours where generally good agreement can be observed. There are some differences between simulation and measurements due to the assumption that refractive index is constant where in actual fact, it is frequency dependent [5] and settings used in deconvolution and filtering also affect the waveform profile [46]. Although the front part before the main peak (between -0.15mm and -0.05mm) is different, it will not affect training process as waveform pre-processing excludes this part. It should be noted that standard deconvolution and filtering settings are used here [46] and where these values deviate away the standard settings, high frequency oscillations and interface peaks broadening will be introduced but with minor effect on the subsequent analysis. The distributions of
data numbers used in the training (60%), validation (20%) and test (20%) according to classes are provided in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Number of training data (60%)</th>
<th>Number of validation data (20%)</th>
<th>Number of testing data (20%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hit</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>150000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>150000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>180000</td>
<td>60000</td>
<td>60000</td>
<td>300000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE II**

Number of training and testing samples used in the transfer learning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Number of training data (60%)</th>
<th>Number of validation data (20%)</th>
<th>Number of testing data (20%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hit</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>12000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**E. Model refinement**

The training results using augmented training dataset show that the averaged coating thicknesses increase over coating time, however, compared with off-line measurements, the network tends to identify waveforms with thick profiles as tablets. This highlights that the off-line measurements do not truly represent in-line waveforms. This is in part due to how the measurements are acquired. Such an idealised scenario would be impossible to maintain for measurements taken inside the rotating coating pan. The off-line waveforms therefore serve only as a guide to what the in-line waveforms would look like. To accommodate this, we consider transfer learning, which can be employed to refine a trained model to be effective with a different task or data. This model is further trained as the starting point for another model for a second task [47]. To train the network to learn the differences between off-line and in-line, transfer learning is used to refine the trained network. The training procedure is divided into two distinct steps: 1) the initial model training with simulated off-line data based on run A; 2) retrain the same BLSTM network weights using a small amount of in-line data of the run A selected by WSA at a learning rate of 0.0005 and a mini-batch size of 125 for 15 epochs. Table II shows the dataset distribution for the transfer learning. The training of the first step lasts about 30 minutes and the training time for the second step is in less than 5 min.

**F. Post-processing and implementation**

The off-line training takes 30-40 minutes and the training of transfer learning is much faster that is 5 minutes. After applying the trained network to the entire in-line dataset of run B, the coating thickness of the selected tablet waveforms are calculated. Since the minimum resolution of TPI is 30-40 µm [9], the tablet waveforms with coating thickness less than 30 µm are manually removed from the hit class. All the analysis and algorithms developed in this study are implemented in Matlab (MathWorks, USA).
Fig. 4 shows the coating thicknesses of the selected tablet waveforms at 30s average for comparison against off-line measurements where generally a good agreement against the off-line TPI, weight gain measurements and WSA is observed. It should be noted that the waveforms selected by WSA and BLSTM are processed in the exact manner to determine the coating thickness [9]. As expected, a steady increase in coating thickness is observed in-line with coating time [9]. Thicknesses from WSA and BLSTM network before 80 minute are not considered due to the fact coating thicknesses are below the minimum TPI resolution of 30 µm [9]. At the ending stage of the coating process (250-300 minutes), selected thicknesses deviate in slope compared with the WSA, but is still consistent with off-line measurement. Fig 5 shows coating thickness distribution from 60 to 300 minutes, which loosely follows Gaussian distribution with the mean increasing steadily over coating time. The inter-tablet coating uniformity, expressed as the coefficient of variation or the relative standard deviation of coating thickness is compared in Fig 6 where there is a good agreement [10]. Although BLSTM is slightly lower in the early part of the process, Figs 5 and 6 indicate that the coating uniformity evaluated by two methods are consistent. Compared to the WSA [10], BLSTM is able to select twice the number of tablet waveforms without compromising on the accuracy when compared against the off-line measurement. This work therefore shows promise for in-line waveform selection.

To examine the selected waveforms further, Fig 7 shows some examples of true and false positives. By comparing the amplitude of the second negative peak (coating/core interface) between two true positives and false positives, it can be seen that the waveforms with large second negative peak are selected as tablet by both WSA and BLSTM network. However, WSA overlooks waveforms with second peak amplitude falling below certain threshold while BLSTM network is less rigid and can discriminate based on additional features learnt from the dataset. Table IV summaries the performance between WSA with stringent thresholds, WSA with relaxed thresholds [10] and BLSTM. R² here indicates the correlation of coating thickness between off-line terahertz measurements made on coated tablets removed at regular intervals during the coating process and two selection methods. The data shows that BLSTM network can select the same amounts of tablet waveforms, while still in good agreement with off-line thickness measurement. To further assess the applicability of the algorithm for real-time processing, we examine the computational time of the algorithms. In particular, a single waveform takes 1 ms to be processed in WSA while BLSTM takes 0.1 ms, an order of magnitude faster. This therefore suggests that BLSTM network could potentially be deployed for selecting terahertz waveform in real-time during the coating process.
The close agreement between coating thicknesses of BLSTM selected waveforms and off-line measurements suggest BLSTM network has learnt variations between coating processes with identical conditions. To further examine the ability to generalise in addition to process variations, we presented the trained network with dataset from run C [10], acquired from a process with conditions entirely artificial and vastly different to that of run A. This in turn resulted in very low classification accuracy (Sensitivity = 44.31%) especially for the already coated tablets shown in Fig 8. Therefore, whilst the network can operate on similar processes i.e. run B, network cannot generalise to processes with a complete change in process conditions. This can be improved if the network can be trained using data from coating runs with different process conditions supplemented by additional data of an empty production scale coater to better represent the miss class.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this study, we have demonstrated a BLSTM network for terahertz waveform selection as part of a pharmaceutical tablet coating process. Compared with conventional WSA, BLSTM network is able to select the tablet waveforms whose features are less apparent and without compromising on accuracy when compared against the off-line thickness data. The processing time is largely improved as well so that it can potentially be used for real-time monitoring of the pharmaceutical film coating process for both quality control and process investigation. However, the network has to be refined by transfer learning due to the lack of training data from empty production-scale coater. This therefore restricts the generalisation ability of the network to processes similar to what it has been trained with.
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