¹ Flyway-scale analysis reveals that the timing

² of migration in wading birds is becoming

3 later

4 Migration in wading birds is becoming later

- Thomas O. Mondain-Monval^{1*∆}, Matt Amos¹, Jamie-Leigh Chapman², Andrew MacColl³ and Stuart P.
 Sharp¹
- 7 ¹Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YQ, UK
- 8 ²Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh NHS Foundation Trust, UK
- 9 ³Room B111 Life Science, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK
- 10 * Corresponding author: <u>thoval@ceh.ac.uk</u>
- 11 ^ACurrent affiliation: Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, MacLean Bldg, Benson Ln, Crowmarsh
- 12 Gifford, Wallingford OX10 8BB

14 Abstract

- Understanding the implications of climate change for migratory animals is paramount for
 establishing how best to conserve them. A large body of evidence suggests that birds are
 migrating earlier in response to rising temperatures, but many studies focus on single
 populations of model species.
- Migratory patterns at large spatial scales may differ from those occurring in single
 populations, for example because of individuals dispersing outside of study areas.
 Furthermore, understanding phenological trends across species is vital because we need a
 holistic understanding of how climate change affects wildlife, especially as rates of
 temperature change vary globally.
- The life cycles of migratory wading birds cover vast latitudinal gradients, making them
 particularly susceptible to climate change and, therefore, ideal model organisms for
 understanding its effects. Here, we implement a novel application of changepoint detection
 analysis to investigate changes in the timing of migration in waders at a flyway scale using a
 thirteen-year citizen science dataset (eBird) and determine the influence of changes in
 weather conditions on large scale migratory patterns.
- In contrast to most previous research, our results suggest that migration is getting later in
 both spring and autumn. We show that rates of change were faster in spring than autumn in
 both the Afro-Palearctic and Nearctic flyways, but that weather conditions in autumn, not in
 spring, predicted temporal changes in the corresponding season. Birds migrated earlier in
 autumn when temperatures increased rapidly, and later with increasing headwinds.
- 5. One possible explanation for our results is that migration is becoming later due to northward
 range shifts, which mean that a higher proportion of birds travel greater distances and
 therefore take longer to reach their destinations. Our findings underline the importance of
 considering spatial scale when investigating changes in the phenology of migratory bird
 species.
- 40 Keywords: Climate change, continental scale, eBird, phenology, migration, birds, weather, waders

42 Introduction

43 The effects of anthropogenic climate change on migratory bird species have received much attention 44 because there is a clear link to recent population declines, changes in phenology and distribution shifts (Gill et al., 2019; Root et al., 2003; Wilcove & Wikelski, 2008). Migrants travel in vast numbers 45 46 between ecologically distinct geographic regions, thereby providing valuable ecosystem services 47 (Viana et al., 2016; Wilcove & Wikelski, 2008). However, they are particularly susceptible to the 48 effects of climate change because they are prone to different sources of pressure at each stage of 49 their life cycle (Van Gils et al., 2016). Understanding the mechanisms driving migratory bird 50 population trends is therefore extremely challenging. 51 One particularly well-documented impact of climate change is a shift in migratory phenology (Gordo, 52 2007; Lehikoinen et al., 2004; Parmesan & Yohe, 2003). Most studies have shown that the timing of 53 spring migration is becoming earlier, whereas in autumn, trends vary considerably between species 54 (Adamík & Pietruszková, 2008; Gunnarsson & Tómasson, 2011; Lehikoinen et al., 2004; Parmesan & 55 Yohe, 2003). However, many of these studies have focussed on single populations of species, and 56 this may result in larger-scale patterns being missed (Kelly & Horton 2016). For example, most 57 studies are unable to account for individuals leaving study sites, and the assumption that patterns 58 are similar in other populations is undermined by geographic differences in temperature change, 59 migration routes and timing (Chambers et al., 2014; Chmura et al., 2019; Gilroy et al., 2016). 60 Furthermore, phenological responses can vary between species (Mayor et al., 2017; Newton, 2010) 61 and across latitudinal gradients (Chmura et al., 2019). While population- and species-level research 62 is certainly valuable for identifying the drivers of phenological change or the key factors affecting 63 endangered species, macroecological studies are vital to obtain a holistic understanding of the 64 impacts of climate change (Horton et al., 2019; Kelly & Horton 2016). This is important because the 65 services that migratory species provide rely on the total number of birds migrating, and any changes

in these numbers will have significant consequences for ecosystem functioning (Viana et al., 2016;
Wilcove & Wikelski, 2008).

68 Early arrival to breeding regions is thought to be beneficial for breeding success by providing access 69 to better territories, increasing the amount of time for reproduction and improving chick 70 recruitment (Kokko, 1999; Morrison et al., 2019). However, climate change has caused long-term 71 advances in the timing of peak insect abundance which, for many birds, have not been matched by 72 the timing of breeding (Mayor et al., 2017). In some cases, these mismatches have led to reduced 73 reproductive success and significant population declines (Both et al., 2006; Møller et al., 2008). In 74 autumn, there is less consensus about the effects of climate change for the timing of migration than 75 in spring. For example, while the timing of autumn migration has become later for short-distance 76 migrants, that of long-distance migrants has advanced (Adamík & Pietruszková, 2008; Jenni & Kery, 77 2003; Newson et al., 2016). Differences in phenological change between spring and autumn 78 migration are likely to have important implications for productivity and population trends (Halupka 79 & Halupka 2017).

80 Weather patterns have a major influence on the timing of migration. For example, individuals favour 81 tailwinds when departing for migration after stationary periods (Shamoun-Baranes et al., 2010) and 82 have been shown to adjust their flight altitude to exploit the most favourable wind conditions (Senner et al., 2018). The impact of weather is likely to differ between spring and autumn migration 83 84 because individuals are under less time pressure in autumn when there are no constraints 85 associated with the timing of breeding (Conklin et al., 2013; McNamara et al., 1998; Møller et al., 86 2008). Therefore, birds may wait for favourable conditions (Conklin et al., 2013) or spend longer 87 improving body condition prior to migration (Duijns et al., 2017). Moreover, the population-level 88 timing of migration is correlated with weather patterns in different regions throughout the life cycle 89 (Gordo, 2007). This is likely to operate through the knock-on influence of ground conditions on 90 individual body condition (Duijns et al., 2017), the cues they provide to migrating birds regarding

breeding site conditions (Forchhammer et al., 2002) and their effect on the duration of reproduction
(Townsend et al., 2013). However, further research is needed to elucidate the effects of weather
conditions on migration patterns at the flyway scale.

94 Migratory shorebirds or wading birds (hereafter 'waders') are a group in decline and of high 95 conservation concern (AEWA, 2018). Many waders are migratory, they breed through a wide range 96 of latitudes, and they are reliant on relatively specific and seasonal habitats (Haig et al., 2019; 97 Piersma, 2007), all of which increase their susceptibility to climate change (Both et al., 2009). 98 Furthermore, studies of wader phenology at breeding, wintering and passage sites have shown 99 contrasting trends with both advances and delays to the timing of migration (Adamík & Pietruszková, 100 2008; Meltofte et al., 2018; Murphy-Klassen et al., 2005). Waders are therefore the ideal group in 101 which to study the impact of climatic conditions on the timing of migration. Here, we use over ten 102 years' worth of sightings from eBird, the Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology citizen science database 103 (Sullivan et al., 2014), to investigate changes in the migratory phenology of waders in two major 104 flyways. Specifically, we use a novel application of changepoint detection analysis to determine 105 whether the phenology of migratory birds at a flyway scale has changed over time. Changepoint 106 analysis is used to identify the point at which the statistical properties of a time series change, in this 107 case changes in mean and variance (Killick & Eckley, 2014). We then investigate whether the timing 108 of spring and autumn migration is correlated with changing weather conditions across global 109 breeding and wintering distributions.

111 Materials and methods

112 eBird data

113 Sightings of all wader species classified by Birdlife as being migratory were downloaded from the 114 eBird citizen science database (Sullivan et al., 2014). Analyses were restricted to the four major 115 families Charadriidae, Haematopodidae, Recurvirostridae and Scolopacidae, for which most data 116 were available. The data were filtered to include only observations from 2003 to 2016. While eBird 117 started in 2002, the database contains some historical observations which were not suitable for our 118 analyses. Sightings were split into three major flyways based on longitude: the Nearctic Flyway 119 (classified as 170°W to 24°W); the Afro-Palearctic Flyway (as 26°W to 90°E); and the East-Australian 120 Flyway (as 91°E to 170°E; (Colwell, 2010)). These divisions were chosen following broad-scale 121 continental divides. However, the East-Australian flyway had too few data and so we excluded it 122 from subsequent analyses. Some species occurred in both the Nearctic and Afro-Palearctic flyways; these populations were considered separately in the analyses because there are likely to be different 123 124 selection pressures operating between flyways. We also removed species that do not carry out an 125 intercontinental migration, such as some intra-Africa migrants. Elsewhere in the methodology, 126 'species' is used to mean 'species by flyway'.

127 For each day in each year, we created a mean latitudinal location for each species by averaging the 128 latitudes of all sightings reported. Observer bias may lead to species not being reported at latitudes 129 in which they were present. To account for this, for each day, we determined (1) the number of 130 times a species was seen at each latitude (latitudes were considered as one-degree latitudinal 131 bands) and (2) the total number of sightings of any wading bird species reported at any latitude. 132 Therefore, for each day we had the number of sightings of a species in each latitudinal band and the 133 total numbers of sightings of all species across all latitudinal bands. We then used the number of 134 times a species was reported at each latitude as a proportion of the total number of sightings of any

135 species seen across all latitudes to create a daily, weighted mean latitude for each species. For 136 example, a species for which 100 sightings were reported from 35°N on a day in which 1500 137 sightings of waders of all species were reported across all latitudes, was given a weighting of 138 100/1500 for that latitude on that day. This proportion provided an index of the effort made to 139 observe a species at a given latitude, relative to the total effort made to observe waders across all 140 latitudes. Additionally, we removed any days on which the total number of sightings of all species 141 within a flyway was less than five. This avoided biasing the data due to a relatively small number of 142 observers being out on any given day (Johnston et al., 2019).

143 Changepoint analysis

144 We were interested in identifying changes in both spring and autumn migration. We define 'spring 145 migration' to be the movement of individuals northwards, towards the breeding grounds, with 146 'autumn migration' referring to the movement south towards the non-breeding grounds. In order to 147 determine the timing of these migrations in each year, we identified significant shifts in the mean 148 latitude of each species. We excluded the years of data that contained fewer than three hundred 149 days of observations for each species within each flyway (in the Nearctic, 162 years in total from 38 150 different species were excluded; in the Afro-Palearctic, 151 years from 26 different species), and 151 considered each year individually. We then used changepoint detection analysis to detect these shifts. 152

Suppose that $\{y_t\}_{t=1,...,n}$ represents our daily mean latitudinal observations of a species over a oneyear period, where *n* is the number of observations for that year and *t* is the day of the year. Then, a changepoint in these data, ' τ ', corresponds to a point in time such that the statistical properties of $\{y_t\}_{t=1,...,\tau}$, and $\{y_t\}_{t=\tau+1,...,n}$ differ in some way. A data set could contain multiple changepoints, which divide the data into segments; each of these segments will have some different statistical property. For example, if a data set contained changes in its mean, then each segment would have a different mean. There might be only one statistical property that changes, or there could be multiple properties. Supplementary material Figure 1 gives an example of three types of
changepoint: (a) change in mean, (b) change in variance, and (c) change in both mean and variance.
For an introduction to changepoint detection in an environmental setting, see Andersen et al.
(2009).

164 We used the 'changepoint' package (Killick & Eckley, 2014) available in R (R Core Team 2019) to 165 implement changepoint detection. We used the Segment Neighbourhood Search algorithm to detect 166 changepoints (Auger & Lawrence, 1989). This allowed us to restrict the number of changes detected, 167 in each year running January through to December, to be two. These correspond to one latitudinal 168 change for spring migration and one latitudinal change for autumn migration, splitting the data into 169 three segments (Figure 1, Supplementary material Figure 1). We obtained two sets of changepoint 170 locations for each year. The first corresponded to changes in mean and the other in mean and 171 variance combined. To obtain these, we used the 'cpt.mean' and 'cpt.meanvar' functions, 172 respectively. Changes in mean were identified because migration is most logically defined as a 173 latitudinal shift in the mean of a species' distribution over the year. Identifying changes in mean and 174 variance simultaneously was useful because: (1) some species have wider wintering ranges than 175 breeding ranges and, (2) winter sighting distributions were more variable than breeding ground 176 distributions based on visual inspection of the raw data. We did not identify changes in variance 177 only, because, as explained above, wading bird migration is a shift in mean latitude over the year. 178 We then obtained the day of the year on which the changepoints in latitude occurred for each 179 migration. The dates identified by the two changepoint detection methods were compared with one 180 another in order to refine our estimates of the timing of spring and autumn migrations (Figure 1). 181 We did not use the changepoint estimates if the two methods identified dates that were more than 182 fourteen days apart. After inspecting the raw data, two weeks was considered a suitable threshold 183 to use for the removal of years (number of changepoints removed = 68). We also investigated the 184 influence of this threshold on the mean migration day, and found that it had little overall effect 185 (Supplementary material text and Figure 3). In these removed years, the latitudinal data were too

186 variable, particularly in winter, and the analyses could not reliably identify the timing of migration 187 (Supplementary material text and figure 2). In all other cases, we used the mean of the dates 188 identified by the two methods as the migration date in all subsequent analyses, hereafter referred to 189 as the 'migration day'. This made for a better-defined estimate of changes in the latitudinal data for 190 each year and a more reliable estimate of the timing of spring and autumn migration. The migration 191 days identified were plotted against the raw latitudinal data for all species using time series plots, in 192 order to check that they corresponded to actual shifts in latitude. In all cases there was close 193 correspondence throughout the year.





Figure 1 The mean daily latitude of common sandpipers *Actitis hypoleucos* in the Afro-Palearctic
flyway between 2013 and 2017 and a comparison of the migration days identified by the two
changepoint detection methods, mean and mean and variance combined.

199 Using changepoint analysis to identify the beginning and end of each migration, in some instances, 200 proved problematic. This is because the entire population of a bird species does not migrate 201 simultaneously. This manifests as a slope in the mean latitude of a species' distribution as individuals 202 move at different times between their breeding and wintering regions, and not an abrupt shift 203 (Figure 1). Changes in slope are harder to identify (Baranowski, Chen, & Fryzlewicz, 2019). Detecting 204 changes in mean can be thought of as fitting a step function to the data, such that the errors 205 between this step function and the data are minimised. As a result, if there is a slope, and not an 206 abrupt change, the changepoint will often be placed in the centre of this slope. As such, the 207 migration days identified using this method approximate the mid-point of migration.

208 Weather data

209 Identifying breeding and wintering regions

210 In order to obtain relevant weather data for each species, we needed to identify their breeding and 211 wintering ranges. For each species, we took the means of all the migration days identified by the 212 changepoint analysis across all years for spring and autumn migration separately. This gave a mean 213 migration day for spring and autumn migration for each species in the study period. The latitudinal 214 distribution of all the sightings reported between these averaged migration days was therefore an 215 index of the breeding distribution; the latitudinal distribution of those reported before and after the 216 average spring and autumn migration days, respectively, was an index of the wintering distribution. 217 However, because the migration days correspond to the midpoint of migration, these sightings spanned part of the migration period also. Therefore, we excluded all the sightings falling outside of 218 the 10th and 90th percentiles of the latitudinal distribution of sightings for each species. The 219 220 remainder provided indices of the breeding and wintering distribution for each species which were 221 then used to select relevant weather data.

222 Weather data download

In order to investigate the potential for seasonal change in weather to influence migration day, weather conditions were obtained from ERA-INterim Reanalysis models. ERA-INterim provides global datasets of past climate variables at an approximately 80km resolution and various timescales that are unaffected by changes in method and uses up-to-date forecast models (Dee et al., 2011). We obtained weather conditions for the migration days identified by the changepoint analysis in the regions defined as the breeding and wintering areas described above. Data download and processing were carried out in Python V3.8.0 (Sanner, 1999).

230 For spring migration, we extracted weather data from the wintering area; for autumn, we extracted 231 weather data from the breeding area. This allowed us to investigate if weather variables at the 232 departure location correlated with the timing of migration. Because the migration day identified by 233 the changepoint analysis equates to the middle of migration, individuals will migrate in the weeks 234 before and after the day identified. We therefore retrieved weather data for the entire breeding or 235 wintering region at noon for each day over a forty-day time window, centred on the migration day 236 identified for each species (see below). Wader species can migrate either diurnally or nocturnally 237 (Lank, 1989), but day- and night-time weather conditions will be highly correlated in our data 238 because our analyses are at large temporal and spatial scales. The weather variables downloaded 239 were northward and eastward wind, and temperature for air pressures of 1000 hPa, corresponding 240 to sea surface level. The weather variables were averaged over the entire breeding and wintering 241 distribution of each species. We also considered 925, 850 and 750 hPa, corresponding roughly to 242 760, 1500 and 2500 metres above sea level, respectively; all were highly correlated and so only 243 surface-level data were used. Although birds sometimes migrate at high altitudes (Senner et al., 244 2018), they are likely to take cues regarding migration from surface-level weather conditions 245 (Åkesson, Bianco, & Hedenström, 2016). We excluded weather conditions over the oceans by 246 applying a land mask. Although migratory birds often cross oceans on migration, they are most likely to take cues from conditions experienced where they are stationary (Åkesson et al., 2016). 247

248 Weather trends

249 For each weather variable, we fitted a linear least-squares regression over the forty-day window and 250 used the slope of that line in our models. We chose the forty-day period because we were 251 investigating changes in migratory behaviour across large temporal and spatial scales. Furthermore, 252 most of the individuals of a species are likely to migrate within a window of approximately this 253 length (Horton et al., 2019; Newton, 2010). The rate of change in weather is likely to be crucial for 254 the timing of both spring and autumn migration as individuals take cues from generally improving 255 conditions for migration (i.e. the rate of change in weather conditions), rather than a threshold 256 (Åkesson et al., 2016; Shamoun-Baranes et al., 2010). We therefore investigated whether migration was correlated with the change in northward wind, eastward wind and temperature. 257

258 Statistical analyses

We analysed the factors affecting the timing of spring and autumn migration using linear mixed effects models (LMEs). Analyses were carried out in the R environment (R version 3.6.3; R Core Team 2019). Spring and autumn migration days were modelled separately because the influence of life history traits and weather are likely to differ between the two (Conklin et al., 2013; McNamara et al., 1998). We only included species for which at least 10 years' worth of data were available in the models, totalling twenty Nearctic species and ten Afro-Palearctic species in spring, and eighteen Nearctic and six Afro-Palearctic species in autumn (Supplementary material Table 1).

We fitted the same explanatory variables in the models of changes in the timing of spring and autumn migration. The non-weather variables used were year (fitted as a continuous variable), flyway, the mean breeding and wintering latitude defined using the method described above, and the total number of bird observations reported on the migration day. The latter variable was included to account for the increasing number of observations made over time. The indices of breeding and wintering latitude were included to account for potential differences in the response of species to climate change across latitudinal gradients. The weather conditions included were

273 temperature and northward and eastward wind trends. For each model we included all two-way 274 interactions, except for those involving the number of observations. All continuous variables were 275 centred and scaled prior to analyses to allow direct comparisons between fixed effects in the model 276 outputs (Schielzeth, 2010). The weather variables were not detrended because we were specifically 277 interested in understanding changes in their influence over time. Furthermore, the scaling of 278 predictors allows the direct interpretation of variables over and above other model terms 279 (Schielzeth, 2010). However, in order to verify that this did not influence our interpretation of their effects, we also fitted models with detrended weather variables. These models contained the same 280 281 explanatory variables, but without the two-way interactions between year and weather trends. They 282 were detrended by using the residuals of separate linear models with the weather trend of interest 283 as the response variable and year as the sole explanatory variable. The effect sizes of the three 284 weather variables and their various interactions in these models were very similar to those obtained 285 without detrending (Supplementary material Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5). Species was included as a random 286 effect and the models were fitted with a Gaussian error distribution. The 'Ime4' package was used to 287 fit LMEs (Bates et al., 2015). All possible models were fitted and those within 2 AICc of the best-288 fitting model were averaged for plotting (Burnham & Anderson, 2002). The full averages of the key 289 variables of the best-fitting models are presented in the results section; the entire table of full model 290 averaged coefficients can be found in the Supplementary material text and Table 8. Models were 291 validated by assessing the normality of residuals and the relationship between the residuals and 292 each explanatory variable.

293

294 **Results**

The migration day of waders in both spring and autumn became later over the thirteen-year study
 period (Estimate_{spring} = 6.34, Adjusted SE_{spring} = 1.06, z-value_{spring} = 6.00; Est._{autumn} = 4.21,

297	Adj. $SE_{autumn} = 2.25$, z-value _{autumn} = 1.84), with changes in spring migration in the Afro-Palearctic
298	flyway occurring the most rapidly (at approximately 0.5 days year $^{-1}$ in the Afro-Palearctic and 0.2
299	days year ⁻¹ in the Nearctic; interaction flyway x year, Est. _{spring x Nearctic} = -3.73, Adj. SE = 1.34, z-value =
300	2.78; Figures 2, 3, Supplementary material Table 2, 3). In autumn, there was no influence of flyway
301	over time (interaction flyway x year (Est. _{autumn x Nearctic} = 0.54, Adj. SE = 1.90, z-value = 0.29; Figures 2,
302	3, Supplementary material Table 2, 3), with migration becoming later by approximately 0.3 days
303	year ⁻¹ (Figures 2, 3). Spring migration was about thirty days earlier in the Afro-Palearctic than the
304	Nearctic flyway (Est. _{Nearctic} = 31.73, Adj. SE = 9.34, z-value = 3.40). Breeding latitude was an important
305	predictor in the models of both migrations. Northern breeders migrated later in spring and slightly
306	earlier in autumn than those breeding at more southerly latitudes, consistent with shorter breeding
307	seasons at northerly latitudes (Est. _{spring} = 11.55, Adj. SE = 4.97, z-value = 2.32; Est. _{autumn} = -11.70, Adj.
308	SE _{autumn} = 9.21, z- value _{autumn} = 1.27; Figures 2, 4). The timing of spring migration for both northern
309	and southern breeders became slightly later over time, but changes occurred more rapidly in the
310	former (at approximately 1.47 days year ⁻¹ for northern breeders and 0.92 days year ⁻¹ for southern
311	breeders; interaction breeding latitude x year, Est. _{spring} = 1.08, Adj. SE _{spring} = 0.65, z-value _{spring} = 1.65);
312	in autumn there was no difference in the change in the timing of migration between northern and
313	southern breeders (interaction breeding latitude x year, Est. _{autumn} = 1.67, Adj. SE _{autumn} = 1.46,
314	z- value _{autumn} = 1.15; Figure 4).

315 Effects of weather

Weather variables were important correlates of autumn migration days only; in spring there were no
correlations between migration days and weather (Figure 2). Autumn migration was earlier when
temperatures became warmer more quickly (Est. = -26.97, Adj. SE = 5.63, z-value = 4.79; Figure 5).
Autumn migration also occurred later when headwinds were increasing, and earlier when tailwinds
were increasing (Est. = 7.97, Adj. SE = 1.80, z-value = 4.42; Figure 6). Although weak, the effect of
eastward wind differed between the flyways; stronger eastward winds were correlated with later

322 migration days in the Nearctic but not the Afro-Palearctic flyway (interaction flyway x eastward

wind, Est. = 8.40, Adj. SE = 2.32, z-value = 3.63; Figure 2). The effects of both the temperature and

324 eastward wind trends changed in the same way over time; migration became later over time more

- 325 quickly when temperatures became warmer more quickly (interaction temperature x year,
- 326 Est. = 2.58, Adj. SE = 1.55, z-value = 1.67) and when eastward wind became stronger more quickly
- 327 (interaction eastward wind trends x year, Est. = 2.95, Adj. SE =1.10, z-value = 2.67) than when these
- 328 were getting colder and weaker, respectively (Figure 2).
- 329 Several potential biases in the sightings data could have influenced the results of our models, such as

changes in the timing of sightings within each migration period or changes in the proportion and the

- rate of increase of sightings reported from different latitudes over the study period. We investigated
- these but found that they were unlikely to have driven the results of our models (Supplementary
- 333 Material Text and Figures).



- 337 Figure 2 Factors affecting the timing of spring and autumn migration. Positive values of the estimate indicate migration getting later, negative values migration getting earlier. The factors are depicted as 338 339 the averaged estimates of fixed effects from the models within 2AICc of the best-fitting LME. Only 340 variables that were deemed important after model averaging are shown here for clarity; for the full 341 model outputs see Supplementary material Table 2, 3. Horizontal error bars show the standard errors. If a circle and associated error bars do not appear for either spring or autumn migration this 342 343 means that the variable was not present in the best-fitting model list. The intercepts of the models 344 were 75.3 days in spring and 238.8 days in autumn, but were excluded for clarity. Breed lat = Breeding latitude index, Winter lat = Wintering latitude index. 345
- 346



Figure 3 Changes in the timing of spring and autumn migration over time for fifty species of wader,
in the Afro-Palearctic and Nearctic flyways. Boxplots are the distribution of the raw migration day
data, which show the median, interquartile range, 1.5 times the interquartile range and any outliers.
Lines show the model averaged predicted relationship from the models within 2AICc of the bestfitting LMEs. The ribbons show the 95% prediction intervals of the model averaged fixed effects.



Figure 4 Changes in timing of spring and autumn migration over time for species breeding at
northern (58°N) and southern (42°N) latitudes. Boxplots are the distribution of the raw migration
day data, which show the median, interquartile range, 1.5 times the interquartile range and any
outliers. Lines show the model averaged predicted relationship from the models within 2AICc of the
best-fitting LMEs. The ribbons show the 95% prediction intervals of the model averaged fixed
effects.





Figure 5 Relationship between the timing of autumn migration and changes in temperature. The x axis is the slope from the linear least-squares regression. Closed circles show the raw data, the line shows the model averaged predicted relationship from the models within 2AICc of the best-fitting LME. The ribbon shows the 95% prediction intervals of the model averaged fixed effects. Trend in temperature data are the slopes of linear least squares regressions of temperature against day of the year for the twenty-day window surrounding a migration day.



Figure 6 Relationship between the timing of autumn migration and changes in northward winds. The x axis is the slope from the linear least-squares regression. Circles show the raw data, the line is the model averaged predicted relationship from the models within 2AICc of the best-fitting LME. The ribbon shows the 95% prediction interval of the model averaged fixed effects. Trend in northward wind data are the slopes of linear least squares regressions of temperature against day of the year for the twenty-day window surrounding a migration day.

380 **Discussion**

381 We found that both spring and autumn migration in waders are likely to have become later over 382 time in the Nearctic and Afro-Palearctic flyways, which is in contrast with the results from many 383 studies of population-level phenology in migratory species (Gunnarsson & Tómasson, 2011; 384 Lehikoinen et al., 2004). However, patterns in the timing of migration are likely to vary at different spatial scales (Chambers et al., 2014; Kelly & Horton 2016). Furthermore, the responses to climate 385 386 change, and the mechanisms driving these responses, could show significant intraspecific variation. 387 Indeed, our results still allow for the timing of migration to become earlier in a subset of individuals 388 but show that overall timings may be shifting later, perhaps due to changes in species' ranges. 389 Range increases could cause flyway-level migration to become later in two ways: (1) individuals 390 migrating further distances due to the colonisation of new habitats (Howard et al., 2018), and (2) 391 individuals breeding further north migrating later than those breeding at more southerly locations, 392 as we found (see below). Cross-species meta-analyses have revealed northward shifts of bird 393 species' ranges at up to 16.9 km per decade (Chen et al., 2011; Parmesan & Yohe, 2003). 394 Furthermore, contractions at the warm limit of species' ranges occur at a slower rate than 395 expansions at the cold limit (Parmesan et al., 1999; Virkkala & Lehikoinen, 2014), thereby increasing 396 global range size. The flyway-level timing of species' migrations could even become later without 397 overall range shifts, if the proportion of individuals migrating to higher latitudes increases. This 398 would manifest as the migration day becoming later, just as we found in our analysis, because 399 individuals take longer to reach their breeding sites. 400 We found that the flyway-level spring migration of northerly breeders became later over time than 401 that of southerly breeders, which supports the idea that range shifts might be driving the timing of

402 migration becoming later. Range shifts occur more rapidly for northerly breeding species than

403 southerly species because of greater temperature increases at high latitudes, resulting in a higher

404 proportion of individuals travelling to northerly latitudes (Chen et al., 2011; Tingley & Huybers,

405 2013). For species with high adult site-fidelity, it is possible that these expansions may be driven by 406 juveniles colonising new areas (Gill et al., 2019), although site fidelity is likely to vary substantially 407 between species. Additionally, climate change has caused warming and increased climate variability in recent decades, particularly between 20° and 50°N (Cohen et al., 2014). Variability in weather 408 409 could increase the strength of selection on individuals, such that only individuals in the best body 410 condition are able to arrive early. For example, while the fittest (earliest) migrants may advance 411 their migration, relatively more poor-quality individuals may be affected by weather events, thereby 412 causing overall timings to become later (Duijns et al., 2017; Shamoun-Baranes et al., 2010). 413 Furthermore, birds breeding at high latitudes are exposed to weather conditions for a longer period 414 of time during migration, meaning that they may be more susceptible to weather effects than 415 southerly breeders.

416 Our results appear to contradict those of several studies carried out at the population-level 417 (Gunnarsson & Tómasson, 2011; Lehikoinen et al., 2004). The mechanisms driving changes at 418 population and flyway-level scales are likely to differ given that the effects of climate change vary 419 globally (Chmura et al., 2019). This could influence the results from population-level studies, as even 420 those combining data from multiple populations do not account for changes occurring to areas 421 outside of study regions. It is also possible that our results are not directly comparable with many 422 studies carried out at the individual level, as our changepoints analysis identified the midpoint of the 423 migration window. Even with the start or end of the migratory window becoming earlier at an 424 individual level, the central point could still shift later. To our knowledge, the only other study to 425 investigate changes in the timing of migration at a flyway scale found contrasting results to ours 426 (Horton et al., 2019). However, our analysis is restricted to waders and uses sightings of each species rather than radar data of all species combined. Furthermore, our dataset corresponds to only the 427 428 latter half of theirs, during which they found a decrease in the trend of earlier spring migration, and 429 that autumn migration was becoming later.

431 The influence of weather on migratory timing

432 Weather conditions in spring are thought to be less important for migratory birds than those in 433 autumn due to the time constraints associated with breeding (McNamara et al., 1998). Individuals in 434 spring are likely to continue migration regardless of large-scale weather trends, instead being 435 affected by short, extreme events, which our trend variables would not identify (Conklin et al., 2013; 436 Loonstra et al., 2019; McNamara et al., 1998). Conversely, autumn migration is likely to be more 437 strongly influenced by trends in weather conditions and the speed at which chicks fledge (Conklin et 438 al., 2013; Shamoun-Baranes et al., 2010). Indeed, we found that warming temperatures over a forty-439 day window were strongly correlated with earlier autumn migrations but found no such patterns in 440 spring. Warmer temperatures during breeding increase insect abundance and will improve 441 conditions for chicks (Townsend et al., 2013). Wading bird species have precocial offspring and 442 increased insect abundance will benefit foraging success (McGowan et al., 2002), likely resulting in 443 faster fledging. The autumn migration of birds became later at a faster rate when temperature 444 trends were more positive, which may be due to high temperatures lasting later into the year 445 allowing more time for replacement clutches (Morrison et al., 2019).

446 Finally, we found that increases in headwinds were negatively correlated with autumn migration. 447 Studies have shown that individuals avoid headwinds during migration and wait for improved flight conditions to maximise flight efficiency (Åkesson & Hedenström, 2000). Crosswinds were only 448 449 important in the Nearctic flyway, perhaps because strong eastward winds would push individuals in 450 Central America out into the Gulf of Mexico, which could be fatal. The effects of wind conditions on 451 migratory birds, and how these are likely to change, are complex. While autumn headwinds are 452 projected to increase (La Sorte et al., 2019), crosswinds may decrease (La Sorte & Fink, 2017). These 453 changes are likely to have important consequences which could differ between individuals and 454 species depending on their size and migratory behaviour (Anderson et al., 2019).

455	Understanding how individual-level mechanisms drive flyway-level responses to climate change is
456	important for migratory bird conservation and for investigating changes in ecosystem services and
457	functioning (Wilcove & Wikelski, 2008). More work incorporating citizen science, weather
458	surveillance radar data and detailed information from individual populations spread across entire
459	geographic ranges should be particularly insightful (Kelly & Horton 2016; Wilcove & Wikelski 2008)
460	

461 Acknowledgements

462 We would like to thank Jenny Gill, Andy Wilby and Ian Hartley for valuable comments on earlier

463 versions of the manuscript, and Alison Johnston for help with the early stages of analyses.

464

465 Author contributions

466 TMM, SPS and AMC conceived the ideas and designed methodology; TMM, MA and JLC analysed the

data; TMM, SPS and AMC led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed critically to the

468 drafts and gave final approval for publication.

469

470 Data accessibility statement

471 All data are freely available from the eBird Citizen Science Database, <u>https://ebird.org/science/use-</u>

472 <u>ebird-data/download-ebird-data-products</u>.

473 **References**

- 474 Adamík, P., & Pietruszková, J. (2008). Advances in spring but variable autumnal trends in timing of
- inland wader migration. *Acta Ornithologica*, 43(2), 119-128.
- 476 AEWA (2018). Report on the conservation status of migratory waterbirds in the agreement area
- 477 Retrieved from Durban, South Africa:
- Åkesson, S., Bianco, G., & Hedenström, A. (2016). Negotiating an ecological barrier: Crossing the
 sahara in relation to winds by common swifts. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 371*(1704), 20150393.
- 481 Åkesson, S., & Hedenström, A. (2000). Wind selectivity of migratory flight departures in birds.

482 Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 47(3), 140-144.

- Andersen, T., Carstensen, J., Hernandez-Garcia, E., & Duarte, C. M. (2009). Ecological thresholds and
 regime shifts: Approaches to identification. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 24*(1), 49-57.
- 485 doi:10.1016/j.tree.2008.07.014
- 486 Anderson, A. M., Duijns, S., Smith, P. A., Friis, C., & Nol, E. (2019). Migration distance and body
- 487 condition influence shorebird migration strategies and stopover decisions during
- 488 southbound migration. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 7*. doi:10.3389/fevo.2019.00251
- 489 Auger, I. E., & Lawrence, C. E. (1989). Algorithms for the optimal identification of segment

490 neighborhoods. Bulletin of Mathematical Biology, 51(1), 39-54. doi:10.1007/bf02458835

- 491 Baranowski, R., Chen, Y., & Fryzlewicz, P. (2019). Narrowest-over-threshold detection of multiple
- 492 change points and change-point-like features. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series*493 *B (Statistical Methodology), 81*(3), 649-672.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using
 Ime4. *Journal of Statistical Software, 67*(1). doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01
- 496 Both, C., Bouwhuis, S., Lessells, C. M., & Visser, M. E. (2006). Climate change and population declines
- 497 in a long-distance migratory bird. *Nature*, 441(7089), 81-83. doi:10.1038/nature04539

- 498 Both, C., Van Turnhout, C. a. M., Bijlsma, R. G., Siepel, H., Van Strien, A. J., & Foppen, R. P. B. (2009).
- 499 Avian population consequences of climate change are most severe for long-distance
- 500 migrants in seasonal habitats. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological* 501 *Sciences.* doi:10.1098/rspb.2009.1525
- 502 Burnham, K., & Anderson, D. (2002). Model selection and multi-model inference '. 2nd edn.
- 503 (springer: New york.).
- Chambers, L. E., Beaumont, L. J., & Hudson, I. L. (2014). Continental scale analysis of bird migration
 timing: Influences of climate and life history traits-a generalized mixture model clustering
 and discriminant approach. *International Journal of Biometeorology, 58*(6), 1147-1162.
- 507 doi:10.1007/s00484-013-0707-2
- 508 Chen, I.-C., Hill, J. K., Ohlemüller, R., Roy, D. B., & Thomas, C. D. (2011). Rapid range shifts of species
 509 associated with high levels of climate warming. *Science*, *333*(6045), 1024-1026.
- 510 Chmura, H. E., Kharouba, H. M., Ashander, J., Ehlman, S. M., Rivest, E. B., & Yang, L. H. (2019). The
- 511 mechanisms of phenology: The patterns and processes of phenological shifts. *Ecological*512 *Monographs*, 89(1), e01337.
- 513 Cohen, J., Screen, J. A., Furtado, J. C., Barlow, M., Whittleston, D., Coumou, D., . . . Jones, J. (2014).
- 514 Recent arctic amplification and extreme mid-latitude weather. *Nature Geoscience*, 7(9), 627515 637. doi:10.1038/ngeo2234
- 516 Colwell, M. A. (2010). *Shorebird ecology, conservation, and management*: Univ of California Press.
- 517 Conklin, J. R., Battley, P. F., & Potter, M. A. (2013). Absolute consistency: Individual versus
- 518 population variation in annual-cycle schedules of a long-distance migrant bird. *PLoS ONE,*
- 519 8(1), e54535. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054535
- 520 Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., . . . Vitart, F. (2011).
- 521 The Era-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system.
- 522 *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 137*(656), 553-597.
- 523 doi:10.1002/qj.828

524	Duijns, S., Niles, L. J., Dey, A., Aubry, Y., Friis, C., Koch, S., Smith, P. A. (2017). Body condition
525	explains migratory performance of a long-distance migrant. Proceedings of the Royal Society
526	of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 284(1866). doi:10.1098/rspb.2017.1374
527	Forchhammer, M. C., Post, E., & Stenseth, N. C. (2002). North atlantic oscillation timing of long-and
528	short-distance migration. Journal of Animal Ecology, 71(6), 1002-1014.
529	Gill, J. A., Alves, J. A., & Gunnarsson, T. G. (2019). Mechanisms driving phenological and range
530	change in migratory species. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B
531	Biological Sciences, 374(1781), 20180047. doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0047
532	Gill, J. A., Alves, J. A., Sutherland, W. J., Appleton, G. F., Potts, P. M., & Gunnarsson, T. G. (2014). Why
533	is timing of bird migration advancing when individuals are not? Proceedings of the Royal
534	Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 281(1774), 20132161.
535	doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.2161
536	Gilroy, J. J., Gill, J. A., Butchart, S. H., Jones, V. R., & Franco, A. M. (2016). Migratory diversity predicts
537	population declines in birds. <i>Ecology Letters, 19</i> (3), 308-317. doi:10.1111/ele.12569
538	Gordo, O. (2007). Why are bird migration dates shifting? A review of weather and climate effects on
539	avian migratory phenology. Climate Research, 35(1-2), 37-58.
540	Gunnarsson, T. G., & Tómasson, G. (2011). Flexibility in spring arrival of migratory birds at northern
541	latitudes under rapid temperature changes. Bird Study, 58(1), 1-12.
542	doi:10.1080/00063657.2010.526999
543	Haig, S. M., Murphy, S. P., Matthews, J. H., Arismendi, I., & Safeeq, M. (2019). Climate-altered
544	wetlands challenge waterbird use and migratory connectivity in arid landscapes. Scientific

- 545 *Reports, 9*(1), 4666. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-41135-y
- 546 Halupka, L. & Halupka, K. (2017). The effect of climate change on the duration of avian breeding
- 547 seasons: a meta-analysis. *Proceesdings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 284*(1867).

548	Horton, K. G., La Sorte, F. A., Sheldon, D., Lin, TY., Winner, K., Bernstein, G., Farnsworth, A.
549	(2019). Phenology of nocturnal avian migration has shifted at the continental scale. Nature
550	<i>Climate Change, 10</i> (1), 63-68. doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0648-9
551	Howard, C., Stephens, P. A., Tobias, J. A., Sheard, C., Butchart, S. H. M., & Willis, S. G. (2018). Flight
552	range, fuel load and the impact of climate change on the journeys of migrant birds.
553	Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 285(1873).
554	doi:10.1098/rspb.2017.2329
555	Jenni, L., & Kery, M. (2003). Timing of autumn bird migration under climate change: Advances in
556	long-distance migrants, delays in short-distance migrants. Proceedings of the Royal Society
557	of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 270(1523), 1467-1471. doi:10.1098/rspb.2003.2394
558	Johnston, A., Hochachka, W., Strimas-Mackey, M., Gutierrez, V. R., Robinson, O., Miller, E., Fink,
559	D. (2019). Best practices for making reliable inferences from citizen science data: Case study
560	using ebird to estimate species distributions. <i>bioRxiv</i> , 574392.
561	Kelly, J. F., & Horton, K. G. (2016). Toward a predictive macrosystems framework for migration
562	ecology. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 25(10): 1159-1165.
563	Killick, R., & Eckley, I. (2014). Changepoint: An r package for changepoint analysis. Journal of
564	statistical software, 58(3), 1-19.
565	Kokko, H. (1999). Competition for early arrival in migratory birds. Journal of Animal Ecology, 68(5),
566	940-950.
567	La Sorte, F. A., & Fink, D. (2017). Projected changes in prevailing winds for transatlantic migratory
568	birds under global warming. Journal of Animal Ecology, 86(2), 273-284. doi:10.1111/1365-
569	2656.12624
570	La Sorte, F. A., Horton, K. G., Nilsson, C., & Dokter, A. M. (2019). Projected changes in wind
571	assistance under climate change for nocturnally migrating bird populations. Global Change
572	<i>Biology, 25</i> (2), 589-601. doi:10.1111/gcb.14531

- 573 Lank, D. B. (1989). Why fly by night? Inferences from tidally-induced migratory departures of
- 574 sandpipers (¿por qué volar de noche? Inferencias sobre salidas de playeros inducidas por
 575 mareas). *Journal of Field Ornithology*, 154-161.
- 576 Lehikoinen, E., Sparks, T. H., & Zalakevicius, M. (2004). Arrival and departure dates. *Advances in* 577 *Ecological Research*, *35*, 1-31.
- 578 Loonstra, A. H. J., Verhoeven, M. A., Senner, N. R., Both, C. & Piersma, T. (2019) Adverse wind
- 579 condition during northward Sahara crossings increase the in-flight mortality of Black-tailed
 580 Godwits. *Ecology Letters.* 22(12), 2060-2066. doi: 10.1111/ele.13387
- 581 Mayor, S. J., Guralnick, R. P., Tingley, M. W., Otegui, J., Withey, J. C., Elmendorf, S. C., . . . Schneider,
- 582 D. C. (2017). Increasing phenological asynchrony between spring green-up and arrival of 583 migratory birds. *Scientific Reports, 7*(1), 1902. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-02045-z
- McGowan, A., Cresswell, W., & Ruxton, G. D. (2002). The effects of daily weather variation on
 foraging and responsiveness to disturbance in overwintering red knot *calidris canutus*.
- 586 *Ardea, 90*(2), 229-237.
- 587 McNamara, J. M., Welham, R. K., & Houston, A. I. (1998). The timing of migration within the context
 588 of an annual routine. *Journal of Avian Biology*, 416-423.
- 589 Meltofte, H., Amstrup, O., Petersen, T. L., Rigét, F., & Tøttrup, A. P. (2018). Trends in breeding
- 590 phenology across ten decades show varying adjustments to environmental changes in four

 591
 wader species. Bird Study, 65(1), 44-51. doi:10.1080/00063657.2018.1444014

- Møller, A. P., Rubolini, D., & Lehikoinen, E. (2008). Populations of migratory bird species that did not
 show a phenological response to climate change are declining. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105*(42), 16195-16200.
- 595 Morrison, C. A., Alves, J. A., Gunnarsson, T. G., Thornorisson, B., & Gill, J. A. (2019). Why do earlier-
- 596 arriving migratory birds have better breeding success? *Ecology and Evolution*, *9*(15), 8856-
- 597 8864. doi:10.1002/ece3.5441

- 598 Murphy-Klassen, H. M., Underwood, T. J., Sealy, S. G., & Czyrnyj, A. A. (2005). Long-term trends in
- spring arrival dates of migrant birds at delta marsh, manitoba, in relation to climate change. *The Auk, 122*(4). doi:10.1642/0004-8038
- 601 Newson, S. E., Moran, N. J., Musgrove, A. J., Pearce-Higgins, J. W., Gillings, S., Atkinson, P. W., ...
- 602 Baillie, S. R. (2016). Long-term changes in the migration phenology of UK breeding birds
- 603 detected by large-scale citizen science recording schemes. *Ibis, 158*(3), 481-495.
- 604 Newton, I. (2010). *The migration ecology of birds*: Academic Press.
- Parmesan, C., Ryrholm, N., Stefanescu, C., Hill, J. K., Thomas, C. D., Descimon, H., . . . Tammaru, T.
- 606 (1999). Poleward shifts in geographical ranges of butterfly species associated with regional
 607 warming. *Nature, 399*(6736), 579-583.
- Parmesan, C., & Yohe, G. (2003). A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across
 natural systems. *Nature*, *421*(6918), 37-42.
- Piersma, T. (2007). Using the power of comparison to explain habitat use and migration strategies of
 shorebirds worldwide. *Journal of Ornithology*, *148*(S1), 45-59. doi:10.1007/s10336-007-
- 612 0240-3
- Root, T. L., Price, J. T., Hall, K. R., Schneider, S. H., Rosenzweig, C., & Pounds, J. A. (2003). Fingerprints
 of global warming on wild animals and plants. *Nature*, 421(6918), 57-60.
- Sanner, M. F. (1999). Python: A programming language for software integration and development. *Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling*, 17(1), 57-61.
- 617 Schielzeth, H. (2010). Simple means to improve the interpretability of regression coefficients.
- 618 *Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 1*(2), 103-113. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2010.00012.x
- 619 Senner, N. R., Stager, M., Verhoeven, M. A., Cheviron, Z. A., Piersma, T., & Bouten, W. (2018). High-
- 620 altitude shorebird migration in the absence of topographical barriers: Avoiding high air
- 621 temperatures and searching for profitable winds. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of*
- 622 London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 285(1881). doi:10.1098/rspb.2018.0569

623	Shamoun-Baranes, J., Bouten, W., & Van Loon, E. E. (2010). Integrating meteorology into research on
624	migration. Integrative and Comparative Biology, 50(3), 280-292. doi:10.1093/icb/icq011
625	Shamoun-Baranes, J., Leyrer, J., Van Loon, E., Bocher, P., Robin, F., Meunier, F., & Piersma, T. (2010).
626	Stochastic atmospheric assistance and the use of emergency staging sites by migrants.
627	Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 277(1687), 1505-
628	1511. doi:10.1098/rspb.2009.2112
629	Sullivan, B. L., Aycrigg, J. L., Barry, J. H., Bonney, R. E., Bruns, N., Cooper, C. B., Kelling, S. (2014).
630	The ebird enterprise: An integrated approach to development and application of citizen
631	science. Biological Conservation, 169, 31-40. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2013.11.003
632	Tingley, M. P., & Huybers, P. (2013). Recent temperature extremes at high northern latitudes
633	unprecedented in the past 600 years. Nature, 496(7444), 201-205.
634	Townsend, A. K., Sillett, T. S., Lany, N. K., Kaiser, S. A., Rodenhouse, N. L., Webster, M. S., & Holmes,
635	R. T. (2013). Warm springs, early lay dates, and double brooding in a north american
636	migratory songbird, the black-throated blue warbler. PLoS ONE, 8(4), e59467.
637	Van Gils, J. A., Lisovski, S., Lok, T., Meissner, W., Ożarowska, A., De Fouw, J., Klaassen, M. (2016).
638	Body shrinkage due to arctic warming reduces red knot fitness in tropical wintering range.
639	Science, 352(6287), 819-821.
640	Viana, D. S., Gangoso, L., Bouten, W., & Figuerola, J. (2016). Overseas seed dispersal by migratory
641	birds. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 283(1822).
642	doi:10.1098/rspb.2015.2406
643	Virkkala, R., & Lehikoinen, A. (2014). Patterns of climate-induced density shifts of species: Poleward
644	shifts faster in northern boreal birds than in southern birds. Global Change Biology, 20(10),
645	2995-3003. doi:10.1111/gcb.12573
646	Wilcove, D. S., & Wikelski, M. (2008). Going, going, gone: Is animal migration disappearing. PLoS

Biology, 6(7), e188. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060188