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Abstract: Technology providers heavily exploit the usage of Edge-Cloud Data Centers (ECDC) to meet user demand while the ECDC are large energy consumers. Concerning the decrease of the energy expenditure of ECDCs, task placement is one of the most prominent solutions for effective allocation and consolidation of such tasks onto physical machine (PM). Such allocation must also consider additional optimizations beyond power and must include other objectives, including network-traffic effectiveness. In this study, we present a multi-objective Virtual Machine (VM) placement scheme (considering VMs as fog tasks) for ECDCs called TRACTOR, which utilizes an Artificial Bee Colony optimization algorithm for power and network-aware assignment of VMs onto PMs. The proposed scheme aims to minimize the network traffic of the interacting VMs and the power dissipation of the data center’s switches and physical machines. To evaluate the proposed VM placement solution, the VL2 (Virtual Layer 2) and three-tier network topologies are modeled and integrated into the CloudSim toolkit to justify the effectiveness of the proposed solution in mitigating the network traffic and power consumption of the ECDC. Results indicate that our proposed method is able to reduce power energy consumption by 3.5% whilst decreasing network traffic and power by 15% and 30%, respectively, without affecting other Quality of Service parameters.
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1. Introduction

Cloud computing provides the ability for omnipresent, accessible, upon request network accessibility to a group of shared adjustable virtualized resources, which include network bandwidth, CPU, storage, and various other services. Such virtualized resources are able to be accessed rapidly and released with a minimum management endeavor or service provider communication [1, 2]. Moreover, these resources are provided to customers as a service on the Internet-based on the pay-for-use pricing design [3, 4]. This provisioning model allows cloud users to reduce operation and maintenance costs [5, 6].

Virtualization is considered as an indispensable specification of cloud computing, provides enhancements to location independence, resource pooling [7], rapid elasticity, and security [8, 9]. By allocating Virtual Machines (VMs) to physical machines, data center administrators are able to assign multiple tasks/users onto the same host for better data center resource utilization [10, 11]. However, to be more effective, fog tasks should be placed on appropriate Physical Machines (PMs) using a process called VM placement [12, 13] shown in Figure 1. Proper placement of VMs is very important in the cloud environment to effectively improve the power effectiveness and resource utilization in the edge-cloud infrastructure [14, 15]. However, inefficient VM placement can increase the number of active PMs, which leads to more energy consumption and network traffic [16, 17]. To prevent these problems, numerous researches have been conducted to find optimal VM placement on data centers’ physical machines [18, 19].
In [3], we have studied VM placement schemes and analyzed their properties, features, and limitations. Nowadays, due to the rising concerns of energy costs in the Edge-Cloud Data Center (ECDC) [53] and emerging bandwidth-intensive applications, power consumption and network traffic of VMs should be taken into account in the VM placement operations [20]. Swarm Intelligence algorithms can be used to design VM placement policy, and it has been reported that the optimization algorithm of Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) is the foremost optimization algorithm among all the others [21] to find an optimum solution for multi-objective optimization and NP-complete problem such as resource scheduling, resource allocation, etc. There is a need for an effective technique for VM placement while reducing network traffic and energy consumption simultaneously [22]. In this paper, a TRAffic-aware and power effiCient VM placement algorithm for edge-cloud data centers called TRACTOR is proposed by using the ABC optimization algorithm to improve the effectiveness of the network traffic and power consumption. ABC algorithm is an optimization algorithm proposed for solving numerical problems [21]. This algorithm is on the basis of the intelligent behavior of the honeybee swarms and replicates their foraging behaviors [23, 24]. The purpose of this research work has been the formulation of the VM placement problem as a multi-objective optimization problem to lower the power consumption of the PMs and data center switches. Moreover, it considers the amount of data transfer between VMs and is aimed to decrease the network traffic between PMs by the assignment of the interacting VMs to the appropriate machines in an efficient manner. Extensive simulations in the CloudSim toolkit indicate the effectiveness of our proposed solution in increasing the performance of the ECDC. The main contributions of the paper are mentioned as below:

- Modeling VM placement as a multi-objective problem aimed to decrease the network traffic and power expenditure of the PMs and network switches.
- Presenting an ABC optimization algorithm-based VM placement policy for ECDCs.
- Extensive simulation of the proposed VM placement algorithm in the CloudSim toolkit based on the VL2 (Virtual Layer 2) and three-tiered network topologies.
- The performance of the proposed VM placement solution and the CloudSim’s VM allocation policy, and the Greedy VM placement algorithms are compared.

The rest of the article is structured as follows: Section 2 displays a brief overview of the state-of-the-art VM placement schemes presented for cloud computing. Section 3 briefly illustrates the algorithm of the artificial bee colony optimization, and section 4 presents our proposed multi-objective VM placement solution. Section 5 demonstrates the performance assessment and the results of the experiment. And at last, in section 6, the concluding remarks and future research works are depicted.

2. Related Works
In this section, a review of the existing research work in the area of VM placement for ECDCs is presented. VM placement in ECDCs is an NP-complete problem, and, in the literature, there is the presentation of numerous placement schemes [3]. This section is categorized into three groups based on their aims:

2.1 Power and Energy-efficiency based VM Placement Policies
In [25], Huang et al. provided an energy-efficient VM placement solution on the basis of the model of multi-dimensional space partition. In this scheme, when a new VM placement should be performed, the resource consumption for each feasible physical machine is checked, and then the most appropriate physical machine is selected according to their proposed model. Thus, the data center resource is able to be utilized in an equitable way and create smaller resource fragments, consuming less energy. In [26], Yang et al. presented VM Placement and Traffic Configuration Algorithm (VPTCA). In order to minimize the power consumption in the data center, they use the genetic algorithm. As decisions are being made on VM placement, VPTCA takes the three above mentioned
factors and their relationship into account. VPTCA outperforms the First Fit and Elastic Tree placement algorithms in the light traffic and heavy traffic load in the data center. Also, good network performance having a low average end-to-end delay, a low ratio of the dropped packets, and high transmission throughput can be achieved by VPTCA. In [29], Xu et al. presented a heuristic scheme called PSABC (Power Saving ABC) that applies an improved ABC approach, binary search, and Boltzmann selection policy to provide a reduction of power. In the proposed PSABC algorithm, the Bayes theorem is used to converge rapidly to the optimal of the globe and making the performance of live VM migration better. In comparison with the random technique and other available optimization approaches, this scheme has lowered extra power consumption and breakdown events of the live VM migration to provide green ECDCs.

EAGLE is another energy-efficient online VM placement scheme proposed in [27], which provides balanced resource utilization. A multi-dimensional space partition model is proposed with the aim of designing the resource-balanced utilization. In comparison with the traditional greedy VM placement algorithms, including the first-fit algorithm, placing each VM on any available physical machine, this algorithm creates fewer fragments of cloud resources and uses less energy. In [28], Zhao et al. investigated the equilibrium between reducing PM power and securing VM performance and put a power-aware and performance-guaranteed VMP (PPVMP) forward. At first, they examine the relationship between the consumption power and CPU utilization to make a non-linear power method, which is essential for the VMP. In this paper, a multi-objective plan for VM placement is implemented, whose objective is to minimize PM power consumption and ensure VM performance. In [34], Alharbi et al. have presented a new model of the Ant Colony Scheme (ACS) so that energy usage in cloud data centers is lowered. To examine the efficiency of the proposed algorithm, various simulation-based experiments have been conducted on small, medium, and large scale data centers. They also compared the results with two different ACS methods and the FFD algorithm. After comparing, it is proven that their new approach exhibits acceptable results.

2.2 Network-Awareness based VM Placement Policies
Piao et al. [29] presented a policy in which the VM is placed based on the network I/O and provide a VM migration and placement approach to handle the conditions where the network connection’s condition reduces the application performance. In this scheme, the placement policy improves the data access by placing a VM on a PM where the data will be transferred faster. In the proposed scheme, VM migration is initialized when the period of the data transfer surpasses a certain threshold owing to the unstable network. Afterward, another improved machine is selected considering the present network status, and the VM is migrated to this physical machine for higher efficiency. In terms of the proposed VM allocation policy, the data can be accessed faster by the task, as the hosted VM is placed on the PM that utilizes a better network bandwidth. In [30], Fang et al. proposed VM Planner, which handles a network-wide power that tries to improve the VM placement and traffic flow routing. They consider the power consumption in VM placement and traffic routing. VM placement is designed as a combinatorial optimization problem, and decomposes it into three separate classic NP-complete problems, including power-aware inter-VM traffic flow routing, traffic, and distance aware VM grouping to server-rack mapping.

2.3 Multi-objective based VM Placement Policies
In [12], the VM placement is considered as a multi-objective optimization problem to cut the wastage of the resource and power usage. An adjusted version of the ant colony system algorithm is presented to be dealt with the big solution space in large ECDCs. They have made a comparison between the performance of their proposed approach and a multi-objective genetic algorithm, two single-objective algorithms, and a bin-packing algorithm. In [31], the authors presented a VM placement scheme to boost the data center’s resource utilization and reduce the runtime reconfiguration costs. They applied the vector arithmetic for modeling multi-dimensional resource usage balancing and increasing resource utilization. After that, they provide a two-level runtime reconfiguration method to include local adjustment and VM parallel migration and satisfy the migration of VM and total migration time. In [34], Abdel-Basset et al. concentrated on how to solve the VM placement problem regarding the existing bandwidth being formulated as a variable-sized bin packing problem. Also, a novel bandwidth allocation approach is engendered and combined with an optimized Whale Optimization algorithm variant, which is called an improved levy-based whale optimization algorithm. The results obtained were equivalent to many optimizations.

In [32], Satpathy et al. proposed a comprehensive model including a scheduling structure to arrange and queue a considerable set of VMs and Crow Search based VM Placement, where a multi-objective VM placement algorithm is used to utilize resource allocation and reduce power consumption at ECDCs. Further, three VM migration approaches, including serial, parallel, improved serial as an inseparable part of the cloud computing environment, is considered. In [7], a novel algorithm was proposed for the Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) cloud to achieve two important objectives. The first objective of the proposed approach is minimizing power consumption in cloud data centers by reducing active PMs. The second objective concentrates on resource utilization, and the strategy that they conducted is able to find unbalanced VMs conveniently. They have proposed a multi-objective PSO algorithm in
[33]. It considers physical resource utilization and link failure rate as an optimization target; also, user requests are satisfied efficiently, and results illustrate that link failure and energy consumption are decreased notably.

In [34], Gharehpasha et al. proposed a novel approach using a combination of the Sine–Cosine Algorithm and Swarm Algorithm for virtual machine placement. In the proposed method, reducing power consumption and resource wastage are considered by reducing the number of active physical machines. Tuli et al. [35] offered an A3C real-time scheduler for stochastic Edge-Cloud environments. Also, for capturing a vast number of host and task parameters together with temporal patterns, R2N2 architecture is used to give useful scheduling determinations. The conducted experiment on a real-world data set indicates a notable enhancement in energy expenditure, latency, Service-Level-Agreement comparison with previous works. Azizi et al. [36] proposed a large-scale CDC with heterogeneous and multidimensional resources using a Greedy Randomized VM placement (GRVMP) algorithm. The method is established on two characteristics, including placing VMs on more power-efficient PMs to reduce power consumption and resource utilization. The experiment results illustrate that the technique performs effectively in the mentioned metrics. A framework named FogBus that helps end-to-end IoT-Fog(Edge)-Cloud integration is proposed by Tuli et al. [37]. The introduced model promotes IoT application deployment and resource utilization in comparison with existing frameworks and the influence of different FogBus adjustments on system efficiency. The results indicate that the FogBus is lightweight and responsive in comparison to Fog and Cloud infrastructures and it can be modified based on situation requirements.

In [38], Li et al. introduced a novel approach to minimize the consumption of energy in order to maximize resource utilization in VM placement. There have been used two algorithms on the basis of chemical reaction optimization (CRO), that’s to say CVP (CRO-VMP-Permutation) and CVV (CRO-VMP-Vector). The outcome results are compared with Best Fit Decreasing (BFD), First Fit Decreasing (FFD), Cuckoo Search Optimization (CSO), and Reordered Grouping Genetic Algorithm (RGGA). Consequently, it is proven that their approach can mostly perform better. In [39], Mohammad hosseini et al. presented a VM placement approach, namely a balance-based cultural algorithm for virtual machine placement (BCAVMP) where a different fitness function was applied. This approach considers the balanced utilization of resources through the sum of the balance vector length and fitness function to reduce energy consumption. Outcome results prove that resource utilization and power consumption can be enhanced in cloud data centers. In [40], an Energy-oriented Flower Pollination Algorithm (E-FPA) for VM placement in cloud data centers was introduced by Yau Gital et al. with the purpose of decreasing data center energy consumption and enhancing resource utilization of the available physical resources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheme</th>
<th>Traffic-aware</th>
<th>Energy-aware</th>
<th>Algorithm Type</th>
<th>Multi-objective Optimization Problem</th>
<th>Cloud Computing</th>
<th>Edge Computing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Ant Colony Optimization</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[25]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Heuristic Technique</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[26]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Genetic Algorithm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Heuristic Technique</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[29]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Banker Algorithm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[30]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Heuristic Technique</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Artificial Bee Colony</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Heuristic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[41]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Ant Colony Optimization</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Memetic Algorithm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[43]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Learning Automata</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACTOR (this work)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Artificial Bee Colony</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 presents a comparison of the proposed VM placement investigations. As it is depicted in the Table 1, there are few schemes which take both traffic of the network and power consumption in the VM placement into account. Also, few power-aware placement schemes even consider the network switches and their links to power consumption. Our adjustable multi-objective approach considers the power consumption of servers and network elements and the network traffic. It is feasible to consider each goal separately or consider a balance between them. Moreover, the type of applied algorithm, mostly bio inspirational and metaheuristic algorithms, is specified in each investigation. Among these schemes, it can be seen that our proposed approach is implemented in the edge computing environment while the other research works are studied in the cloud computing environment.
3. Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm

Karaboga [44] et al. compared the performance of the ABC algorithm with other bio-inspired algorithms such as GA, PSO, and DE using 50 different functions. The outcome of this study indicates that the ABC algorithm performs better in more than half of these scenarios. The proposed algorithm is adjusted to enable a Traffic-Aware and Power Efficient approach to heterogeneous VMs on PMs. The framework determines an optimal solution that also establishes equilibrium between the global search exploration and the local search exploitation. Resource constraints, including processor, storage, and memory of PMs, are considered in this framework.

We used the ABC algorithm for virtual machine placement in the following steps: 1) we define a certain number of scout bees to search the environment, each bee proposes a solution by an array with the size of the number of VMs, which is indicated in Figure 2.

\[ \text{Solution} = [V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_n] \]

where \( V_i \) is between the minimum and the maximum index of PM.

For instance: solution \([a \ x \ f \ g \ h]\) represents the VM(3) will be placed on the host (f).

This is the way of representing a placement solution in the algorithm, which should be checked for constraints of PMs' resources. Afterward, the cost of the proposed solution by a function that we will explain in the following sections, a solution with lower cost chosen as better one, solutions with a high rate of cost rejected and replaced by new random one.

The function is based on two-part power and traffic, as discussed below:

The ABC algorithm [45] applies three sorts of bees named employed bees, scouts bees, and onlookers. Onlooker is a bee that stands by the dance area to determine choosing a food source, and the one which goes to the food source visited before being named is an employed bee. The scout bees search randomly to discover new sources. Each food position indicates a solution which is possible to the problem, and the value of food source nectar specifies the fitness value or quality of the solution, which can be computed using equation 1:

\[ \text{Fit}_i = \frac{1}{1+f_i} \quad (1) \]

In the ABC algorithm, the food position indicates a feasible solution, and at first, a fortuitously allotted initial population is created. Also, employed or onlooker bees must be initialized corresponding to the solutions in the mentioned population. In this algorithm, only one employed bee uses each food source, and the fitness of the solution specifies the amount of nectar in a food source. The food resource is selected by an onlooker bee based on the calculated probability value \( P \) related to the food source that is possible to be determined as indicated in equation 2:

\[ P_i = \frac{\text{Fit}_i}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \text{Fit}_n} \quad (2) \]
In this equation, $Fit_i$ is the calculated fitness value of the $ith$ solution, and the food source number is specified by $SN$, which needs to be equal to the number of the onlooker or employed bees [46]. The first positions of the food sources are fortuitously made, in which each employed bee is designated to a random food source. Afterward, each of the employed bees, using equation 3, determines a novel neighboring food source and calculates the amount of the nectar of the novel food source for each of them. If the new food source fitness value is greater than the old one, then the employed bee will start moving to the new food source, or else it will use the previous one.

$$V_{ij} = x_{ij}^* + \theta_j (x_{ij}^* - x_{ij}) \quad (3)$$

In equation (3), $\theta$ stands for an accidental number between [-1 and 1], $v_i$ is a candidate solution, $x_i$ for the existing solution, and $x_k$ for a neighbor solution and $j \in \{1, 2, ..., D\}$ is an accidentally chosen index where $D$ stands for the dimension of the solution vector. In this algorithm, when a position cannot be optimized after the specified number of repetitions, the food source needs to be regarded as discarded, and the employed bee of the same food source gets a scout. Then, in that position, the scout bee, using equation 4, produces a new solution randomly:

$$x'_i = x_{min}^i + rand(0,1)(X_{max}^i - x_{min}^i) \quad (4)$$

Where $x'_i$ is the new position of the scout bee ($i$), $x_{min}^i$ and $X_{max}^i$ are the minimum and maximum values for the dimension ($j$), respectively. $V$ is produced after each candidate source position; then, the artificial bee evaluates it, and its fitness is compared with that of its old one. The new food source substitutes the old one in the memory when it provides equal or better nectar. If not, the old one will be retained in the memory. To put it differently, a voracious selection technique is applied as the selection operation between the former and the nominated one [47]. Figure 3 indicates the artificial bee colony pseudocode.

4. Levy Flight
Levy flight is an accidental model of walk that expresses the anomalous nature diffusion [48]. The Levy distribution is satisfied by its distribution, which has long-tail characteristics. Since similar methods of Levy flight are used by the flying insects in nature, such as bees and fruit flies, they have inspired several scholars to bring in Levy flight in the design of evolution to optimize the achievement of the algorithm to reach good results. As the specific method is located in the bees’ collecting phase of the ABC algorithm, there will be two parts of $SN$; one part will have the job of collecting the region’s optimal information based on the original algorithm, and the other one does Levy flight near the existing best global solution to optimize the capabilities of the global search. And here is its adapted equation as below:

$$x'_{ij} = x_{ij} + c_1 \frac{\varphi u}{V_{1/\theta}} (x_{ij} - x_{best}) \quad (5)$$

where $c_1$ stands for the step adjustment factor, and normally is considered to be as 0.01; $u, V$ are random numbers meeting usual standard distribution; $\theta$ is a constant satisfying $0 < \theta < 2; \varphi = \left[ \frac{\Gamma(1+\theta) \sin(\pi \theta/2)}{\Gamma(1+\theta-0.2) \Gamma(0.8-0.2)} \right]^{1/\theta}; \Gamma$ is the standard Gamma function.

5 Proposed VM Placement Solution
This section presents the proposed multi-objective VM placement approach designed to lower the power consumption of cloud data centers. This scheme is aimed to reduce the energy consumption of the PMs and the network switches by decreasing the number of active switches and active links required for the VMs’ interactions. An ABC-based VM placement algorithm to achieve the mentioned objectives is offered in the present section. In the proposed ABC-based VM placement approach, a cost function is needed to compute the fitness value of each solution and make decisions about the solutions. To achieve the objectives of the proposed solution, this cost function should consist of three parts, which are physical machines’ power consumption; network switches power consumption and network traffic, as discussed below. This cost function is indicated in equation 6:

$$F = \alpha F_1 + \beta F_2 + \pi F_3 \quad (6)$$
In this equation, \( \alpha, \beta \), and \( \pi \) are the coefficients that are used to tune the impact of each objective in the Fitness value. Also, \( F_1 \) is the physical machines’ total power consumption in the data center, and \( F_2 \) is the total network cost, which depicts the data transfer cost between physical machines. Moreover, \( F_3 \) specifies the total power consumption of the switches of the network.

### 5.1 Power Consumption

In the VM placement scheme, which has been put forward, the total electricity consumption of the physical machines can be computed using Equation 7:

\[
F_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i \tag{7}
\]

where \( F_1 \) is the total amount of the physical machines’ power consumption, \( P_i \) is the power consumption of the \( i \)th physical machine, and \( n \) is the number of the physical machines of the data centers. Recent studies indicate a linear relationship existing between the physical machine’s power consumption and utilization of its CPU [12]. By using this, the power consumption of each physical machine will be possible to be computed, as indicated in equation 8:

\[
P_i = \begin{cases} 
(P_i^{\text{max}} - P_i^{\text{idle}}) \cdot U_{i}^c + P_i^{\text{idle}} & \text{if } U_{i}^c > 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \tag{8}
\]

In this equation, the maximum power consumption of the \( i \)th physical machine is \( P_i^{\text{max}} \), in its full utilization, \( P_i^{\text{idle}} \) is the power consumption of the \( i \)th physical machine in idle mode, and \( U_{i}^c \) is the CPU utilization of the \( i \)th physical machine. It is worth noting that the CPU rate in the sleep mode is about half of the maximum rate. But, by placing some VMs on a physical machine, its CPU rate increases based on the VMs’ CPU requests. The utilization of each physical machine can be calculated using equation 9:

\[
U_{i}^c = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{m} (x_j \cdot R_j)}{A_i} \tag{9}
\]

In this equation, \( m \) is the number of Virtual machines placed on the \( PM_i \), \( A_i \) is the available CPU for \( PM_i \), \( R_j \) is the requested CPU of the \( VM_j \) and \( x_j \) is a binary value which can be computed by equation 10:

\[
x_j = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if \( VM_j \) is placed on the \( PM_i \)} \\
0 & \text{if \( VM_j \) is not placed on the \( PM_i \)}
\end{cases} \tag{10}
\]

### 5.2 Network Traffic

Because VMs may execute interacting applications such as workflows, they often need to interact with each other. As indicated in Figure 4, the amount of data transmission between VMs can be specified by an upper triangular matrix. In this matrix, \( D_{ij} \) indicates the amount of the data which should be transferred between the \( VM_i \) and \( VM_j \). In Figure 4, the sum of all elements in the matrix represents the total amount of the data that are needed to be exchanged between all VMs. Moreover, in this scheme, another upper triangular matrix is defined to indicate the link capacity between different physical machines. The speed of data transfer between VMs depends on the available bandwidth between the physical machines, which depends on the applied network topology and switches.

![Figure 4: Required data transmission between VMs](image)
Figure 5 indicates the available bandwidth between the physical machines. In this matrix, \( C_{ij} \) is the link capacity between the \( ith \) physical machine(\( PM_i \)) and \( jth \) physical machine(\( PM_j \)) in megabit per second.

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
\hline
 & PM_0 & PM_1 & PM_2 & PM_3 & PM_4 \\
\hline
PM_0 & 0 & C_{01} & C_{02} & C_{03} & C_{04} \\
PM_1 & 0 & 0 & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} \\
PM_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{23} & C_{24} \\
PM_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{34} \\
PM_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Figure 5: Link capacity between PMs

After VMs are placed on the physical machines, they may interact with each other. The matrix \( X \) indicated in Figure 6 shows the amount of the data that must be transferred between the PMs because of their VMs interactions.

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
\hline
 & PM_0 & PM_1 & PM_2 & PM_3 & PM_4 \\
\hline
PM_0 & 0 & X_{01} & X_{02} & X_{03} & X_{04} \\
PM_1 & 0 & 0 & X_{12} & X_{13} & X_{14} \\
PM_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_{23} & X_{24} \\
PM_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_{34} \\
PM_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Figure 6: Required data transfer between the PMs

In this matrix, \( X_{ij} \) indicates the amount of the data that will be transferred between the \( ith \) physical machine(\( PM_i \)) and \( jth \) physical machine(\( PM_j \)) in megabit per second. After a solution is found for VM placement, the total network cost can be calculated by equation 11:

\[
F_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} Cost_{ij} \tag{11}
\]

In this equation, \( F_2 \) is the total network traffic cost, and \( n \) shows the number of physical machines in the data center. Also, \( Cost_{ij} \) indicates the cost of the data transfer between the \( PM_i \) and \( PM_j \) that can be computed by equation 12:

\[
Cost_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{X_{ij}}{C_{ij}} & i \neq j \\
0 & i=j 
\end{cases} \tag{12}
\]

5.3 Network Power Consumption

The third objective of the proposed VM placement scheme is the mitigation of the power consumption of the network switches. In this VM placement scheme, the total amount of the power consumption of the network switches or \( F_3 \) can be computed by equation 13:

\[
F_3 = \sum_{i}^{ns} P_{s_i} \times B_{s_i} + \sum_{j}^{n_l} pl_j \times Bl_j \tag{13}
\]

In this equation, \( ns \) indicates the number of switches.
In the network, $Ps_i$ indicates the power consumption of the $ith$ switch, and $ Bs_i$ is a binary value, which indicates that the $ith$ switch is on or off. Also, $nl$ indicates the total number of links in the switches, and $pl_j$ is a binary value, which indicates that the $jth$ link is active or not. Thus, when the $jth$ switch is in use, $pl_j$ is 1; otherwise, it is zero. Because, for each activated switch link, more power is applied, the second part of this equation checks all of the activated links in topology.

5.4 Pseudocode of the Proposed Algorithm

In this solution, a certain number of employed bees are applied to achieve an optimal solution. Also, the number of employed bees is considered to be the host number, and onlooker bee number can be calculated by equation 14:

$$N_{onlooker}=N_{employed} \times P_r + l$$ (14)

In this equation, each onlooker bee represents a solution where indicates the placement of each VM on a PM. Equation 15 provides a more elaborate version of equation 6, in which $F_1$, $F_2$, and $F_3$ parameters are replaced by equations 7, 11, and 13, respectively. Also, equation 16 indicates a more elaborate version of equation 1, where the $F$ parameter is replaced by equation 15. On the other hand, equations 15 and 16 can better indicate which parameters are considered in our scheme for VM placement. Also, the probability value for each solution can be calculated by equation 17. Generally, there is a direct relation between the fitness value and this probability value. The result of this equation

$$F= \alpha (\sum_{i=1}^{n} pi) + \beta (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} Cost_{ij}) + \pi (\sum_{i}^{n} Ps_i * Bs_i + \sum_{j=1}^{nl} pl_j * Pl_{ij})$$ (15)

$$Fitness= \frac{1}{1+\alpha (\sum_{i=1}^{n} pi)+\beta (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} Cost_{ij})+\pi (\sum_{i}^{n} Ps_i * Bs_i + \sum_{j=1}^{nl} pl_j * Pl_{ij})}$$ (16)

$$P= \frac{\alpha (\sum_{i=1}^{n} pi)+\beta (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} Cost_{ij})+\pi (\sum_{i}^{n} Ps_i * Bs_i + \sum_{j=1}^{nl} pl_j * Pl_{ij})}{1+\sum_{n=1}^{SN} \alpha (\sum_{i=1}^{n} pi)+\beta (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} Cost_{ij})+\pi (\sum_{i}^{n} Ps_i * Bs_i + \sum_{j=1}^{nl} pl_j * Pl_{ij})}$$ (17)

for each solution is compared with a random value between this [0,1]. If the probability value is larger, then a solution is chosen in that iteration.

Figure 7 provides the pseudocode of the proposed multi-objective ABC algorithm. This algorithm works as follows: In the first loop (Line 2), the ABC parameters and list of the physical machines and VMs are initialized. Then for each bee, a new solution is produced, and the cost and the fitness are calculated by Equations 15 and 16. In the second loop, the probability value for each employed bee is calculated by Equation 17, and based on this value, and the proposed algorithm makes a decision about employed bees to search their neighborhood or abandon them. This algorithm generates a random value each time and compares it with the probability values. When for each employed bee, the probability value is less than the random value, the solution will be changed completely and replaced with the new one by scout bee, and if it is more than the random value, onlooker bees will be specified based on line 13. The neighborhood of the accepted bees will be searched by the onlooker bees to do this. Each onlooker bee changes the place of some VMs randomly and calculates the cost and fitness. If the cost of the onlooker bee is less than the cost of the employed bee, the algorithm will replace the old, employed bee with the new onlooker bee. The best answer of each iteration will be saved in the last lines, and after finishing the second loop, this algorithm will search all the best answers of each step of the second loop to choose the best answer, which has the lowest cost. Table 2 indicates the variables applied in equations (15),(16), and (17).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>Coefficient of $f1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>Coefficient of $f2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi$</td>
<td>Coefficient of $f3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$pi$</td>
<td>Power consumption of $i$th PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>Number of PMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Cost_{ij}$</td>
<td>The cost of transferred data between PM and PM$_j$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps$_i$</td>
<td>Power consumption of $i$th switch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bs$_i$</td>
<td>binary decision variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If $Bs_i=0$ switch is disabled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If $Bs_i=1$ switch is enabled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l_i$</td>
<td>Power consumption of $i$th link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Bl_i$</td>
<td>binary decision variable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If $Bl_i = 0$, link is disabled.
If $Bl_i = 1$, link is enabled.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ns</th>
<th>Total number of switches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nl</td>
<td>Total number of links</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>The cost function for each bee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Fitness$</td>
<td>Fitness function for each bee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>The calculated probability for each bee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Algorithm 2: Artificial Bee Colony-based VM Placement

**Input:** Load VM-list, Host-list, VM-relations [ ], SN = hostlist.size, Max-iteration

**Output:** Best[] // a proposed solution

1. Generate initial population employed bee = 1….SN
2. For $i = 1$ to SN
   3. Generate randomly solution for employed bee $[i]$
   4. While (solution is safe for employed bee $[i]$)
   5. Calculate cost for employed bee $[i]$ from equation (16)
   6. Evaluate $fit_i$ for employed bee $[i]$ from equation (17)
   7. End For
3. For $j = 1$ to Max-iteration
   4. For $k = 1$ to SN
      5. Rand = new random() // generate a random value between 0 and 1
      6. Calculate the probability value $p_j$ for the solutions in this iteration from equation (2)
      7. If ($p_j >$ Rand) // onlooker bee phase
         8. Onlooker_number = $[fit_i * SN] + 1$
         9. Onlooker bee $[i] =$ new bee [Onlooker_number]
      10. For $l = 1$ to Onlooker_number {
            11. Produce new solution from employed bee $[k]$ using levyflight for each onlooker bee
               12. Do
               13. Generate randomly solution for employed bee $[i]$
               14. While (the solution is safe for employed bee $[i]$)
               15. If (cost onlookerbee < cost employed bee $[i]$)
               16. employed bee $[i] =$ onlooker bee
               17. Calculate the value $f_i$
               18. End If
               19. End Do
            20. Else If ($p_j < $ Rand) // scout bee phase
               21. Bee scout bee;
               22. Generate randomly solution for scout bee
               23. Do
               24. Generate randomly solution for scout bee
               25. While (the solution is safe for scout bee)
               26. Calculate cost for scout bee from equation (15)
               27. Evaluate $f_i$ for scout bee from equation (16)
               28. End Do
   12. End For
5. Best = FindLowestCost(BestsoFar$[]$)

---

**Figure 7:** Pseudocode of the Artificial Bee Colony-based VM placement solution

### 6. Performance Evaluation

To evaluate the proposed VM placement scheme, extensive simulations are conducted in the CloudSim toolkit, which is an extendable simulation framework to enable simulation and modeling of the cloud computing infrastructures and services. CloudSim toolkit offers features to support simulating the framework of large scale cloud computing, which includes the data centers on a single physical computing node and a self-contained platform for modeling the data centers, scheduling, allocations policies, and service brokers. Moreover, CloudSim provides a virtualization engine for managing multiple, co-hosted virtualized services, flexibility to change between space- and time-shared processing cores allocation to the services virtualized [49].
In our solution, the consumption of the network switches’ power is considered in the placement decisions. For this purpose, it is necessary to consider some topology for the data center’s network. As indicated in Figure 8, it is assumed that the data centers use Three-Tier or VL2 [50] network topologies, and the switches' power consumption is computed in both cases. In our simulation, the number of elements in each topology is indicated in Table 3. In these scenarios, the power consumption of network components is considered as follow:

- 1 Gigabit Switch=200 Watt
- 10 Gigabit Switch=30 Watt
- 1 Gigabit Link=6 Watt
- 10 Gigabit Link=0.4 Watt

This section presents the simulation results achieved by considering VL2 and Three-Tiered topologies for the data center’s network. In these simulations, our proposed VM placement solution and the CloudSim’s simple VM Allocation Policy, and the Greedy VM placement algorithms are compared with each other. In these comparisons, mainly the following factors are evaluated in the simulation scenarios:

- Physical machines power consumption
- Network switches power consumption
- Number of the activated links in network switches
- Number of the activated switches in the data center network
- Percentage of CPU rate.

In all of these simulations, 16 physical machines have been considered in the data center’s network. Also, two kinds of physical machines are used, 8 of which have the following characteristics:

- CPU= 117160 MIPS
- \( P_{max} = 210 \text{ Watt} \) and \( P_{idle} = P_{max} / 2 \text{ Watt} \)

The specifications of the other 8 physical machines are as follows:

Figure 8-Network Topology

Table 3: Number of Network elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topology</th>
<th>VL2</th>
<th>Three-Tier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Gigabit Switch</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Gigabit Switch</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Gigabit Link</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Gigabit Link</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our solution, the consumption of the network switches’ power is considered in the placement decisions. For this purpose, it is necessary to consider some topology for the data center’s network. As indicated in Figure 8, it is assumed that the data centers use Three-Tier or VL2 [50] network topologies, and the switches' power consumption is computed in both cases. In our simulation, the number of elements in each topology is indicated in Table 3. In these scenarios, the power consumption of network components is considered as follow:

- 1 Gigabit Switch=200 Watt
- 10 Gigabit Switch=30 Watt
- 1 Gigabit Link=6 Watt
- 10 Gigabit Link=0.4 Watt

This section presents the simulation results achieved by considering VL2 and Three-Tiered topologies for the data center’s network. In these simulations, our proposed VM placement solution and the CloudSim’s simple VM Allocation Policy, and the Greedy VM placement algorithms are compared with each other. In these comparisons, mainly the following factors are evaluated in the simulation scenarios:

- Physical machines power consumption
- Network switches power consumption
- Number of the activated links in network switches
- Number of the activated switches in the data center network
- Percentage of CPU rate.

In all of these simulations, 16 physical machines have been considered in the data center’s network. Also, two kinds of physical machines are used, 8 of which have the following characteristics:

- CPU= 117160 MIPS
- \( P_{max} = 210 \text{ Watt} \) and \( P_{idle} = P_{max} / 2 \text{ Watt} \)

The specifications of the other 8 physical machines are as follows:
• CPU=97125 MIPS
• $P_{\text{max}}=129$ watt and $P_{\text{idle}}=P_{\text{max}}/2$ watt

Moreover, each simulation is conducted for 16VMs, 32VMs, 48VMs, and 64VMs, and in each scenario, two types of VMs with the following characteristics are considered:

• CPU = 10000 MIPS
• CPU = 8000 MIPS

Here, the second VM type corresponds to an edge device and the former as a cloud node. Figure 9 and Figure 10 present the comparison of physical machines’ power consumption (watt) in the center of the data. As outlined before, the power consumption of each PM directly depends on its CPU load, and this load increases when more VMs are applied in the network. Thus, as shown in these figures, the power that the physical machines consume goes up as the number of VMs goes up. As indicated in these figures, based on the different values for coefficients of the cost function, the proposed scheme can effectively mitigate the power consumption of the physical machines during the time of the simulation. In our approach, the server power consumption is reduced by 3.5%.

Figure 11 and Figure 12 indicate the percentage of the CPU rate in the physical machines after all VMs are placed on them. As indicated in these figures, with the increase of VMs number, the percentage of CPU rate in the physical machines increases. In these figures, the proposed solution is simulated with four different sets of values for coefficients of the cost function. The results of all these executions indicate that the proposed VM placement solution is better than the other two VM placement methods.

![Figure 9: Comparison of the physical machines power consumption (W) in VL2 topology](image)

![Figure 10: Comparison of the physical machines power consumption (Watt) in Three-Tier topology](image)
The number of activated 1 gigabit and 10-gigabit switches in each scenario for the evaluated algorithms is shown in Figure 13 and Figure 14. To be noted, the number of activated switches depends on the amount of the data transferred between physical machines and the VM placement algorithm, not the number of the applied VMs. In these figures, the proposed solution results of the simulation are indicated in four different cases where different values are applied for the cost function coefficients. As shown in these figures, in most scenarios, the proposed ABC-based VM placement algorithm presents better results than the other two VM placement methods.

Figure 15 and Figure 16 indicate the number of the activated links in the 1 gigabit and 10-gigabit network switches applied in four cases with different numbers of VMs. It can be concluded from these figures that as the number of VMs and their transmitted data increase, the number of active links in the network switches increases accordingly. As shown in these figures, our scheme can greatly reduce the number of active links better than the other two schemes.
Figure 13: Number of the activated switches in the VL2 topology

Figure 14: Number of the activated switches in the Three-Tier topology
Figure 15: Number of the active links in the VL2 topology

Figure 16: Number of the active links in the Three-Tier topology

Figure 17 and Figure 18 present the comparison of the consumption of the power of the network components in the 4 cases where different numbers of the VMs are placed on the 16 PMs. Again, the same as the previous simulation results, the results of the proposed solution are provided in 4 different cases where different values are applied for the coefficients of the cost function. As outlined before, these coefficients tune the effect of the network traffic and power consumption of the PMs and network switches. As indicated in this figure, except in the last scenario, our proposed solution consumes multiple times less power for all network switches. These simulations are conducted in 4 cases where 16 VMs, 32 VMs, 48 VMs, and 64 VMs are considered for placement on the 16 physical machines in the ECDC. The amount of energy consumption of the network has a direct relation to the number of VMs. For 16 and 32 VMs in both figures 17 and 18, the energy consumption is reduced by nearly 70%. In the case of 48 VMs, our proposed approach consumes 20% less energy compared to VM allocation simple and CPU Greedy algorithm.
In the last scenario, since the number of VMs is high, most of the network elements are active, and the results for all approaches are approximately the same.

Figure 19 and Figure 20 indicate the total time period when network switches are activated in each scenario. As outlined in the previous sections, network switches provide a means for the required interactions between VMs placed on different physical machines. Again, the results of these simulations are shown for 16 VMs, 32 VMs, 48 VMs, and 64 VMs, and our solution, in most cases, provides better results and applies network switches for less time. In the case of 16 VMs, the total activation time of network elements, our approach reduced by 4 and 2 seconds in comparison with CPU greedy and VM allocation simple for VL2 and three-tier, respectively. This rate in 32 and 48 consumes 50% less time in our solution. Finally, for 64 VMs, our approach saves more than 20 seconds.
7. Conclusions and Future Scope
Cloud data centers consist of various power-consuming devices such as physical machines and network switches. But, the high power consumption of these devices increases the data centers' maintenance costs and also may cause serious problems because of the excessive heat. Thus reducing the power consumption of the ECDCs is of high importance in Green cloud computing. To solve these problems, this study offers an Artificial Bee Colony (ABC)-based VM placement scheme for cloud computing data centers called TRACTOR, which tries to lower the consumption of the power of the various data center’s elements such as physical machines and network switches. In addition, this VM placement solution considers the network traffic between VMs and tries to reduce the network traffic by placing interacting VMs close to each other efficiently. This reduces the power consumption of switches and their links and increases the throughput of the data centers. Finally, the proposed ABC-based VM placement solution is compared with the Greedy VM placement and VM placement simple algorithms in the Three-Tier and VL2 network topologies. Experimental results indicate that TRACTOR is able to reduce power energy consumption by 3.5% whilst decreasing Network traffic and power by 15% and 30%, respectively, without affecting other Quality of Service parameters. The simulation results indicate the effectiveness of our scheme in mitigating the consumption of the physical machines' power, network switches, and the time period when switches are activated in both network topologies.

7.1 Future Research Directions
Although the proposed approach is a comprehensive VM placement and concerns different objectives, it can be enhanced in a larger scope under the following aspects:

1. **Thermal-aware Management:** In the future, the TRACTOR can be extended by incorporating temperature as an optimization parameter along with energy consumption [52], which further helps the temperature of the ECDC to be maintained.

2. **Security:** Considering the ever-increasing security attacks such as a sprawling attack, Economic Denial of Sustainability (EDoS) attacks, and various other DoS attacks on virtualization, in future researches and studies, we will take into account the security issues in conducting VM placement. Security of the data can be optimized using Blockchain based framework called FogBus [37]. This technology ensures the reliability of the systems. In the future, this technology can be applied for handling data in edge computing.

3. **Container based Deployment:** In the future, virtualization technology, which is used in our approach, can be substituted with containers. Container is a lightweight technology that is used to virtualize applications [51]. Using containers can enhance CPU performance and improve energy consumption.

4. **Internet of Things (IoT):** IoT is the network of objects, devices, buildings, and other cases, including electronic sensors, network connectivity, and this brings the ability to gather and interchanging data between objects. Since IoT and technology applications are developing, cloud and edge would be an effective infrastructure to manage data effectively [51]. Cloud computing can fill the gap of IoT, such as limited storage and applications over the Internet. Also, the main problem of cloud computing, limited scope can be solved through IoT.
5. Artificial intelligence (AI): AI can be used to make fog nodes aware of the workload environment and continuously adapt to provide better QoS. In addition, it reduces power consumption and total infrastructure cost. This method provides optimum VM placement and migration effectively [54].

6. Serverless Edge Computing: Further, TRACTOR can be implemented using Serverless computing or Function as a Service (FaaS) to improve salability and reduce cost because there is no need of server configuration while deploying an application [55]. With the help of Serverless computing, application can be easy to scale.
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