Effects of phosphate shortage on root growth and hormone content of barley depend on capacity of the roots to accumulate ABA
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Abstract: Although changes in root architecture in response to environment can optimize mineral and water nutrient uptake, mechanisms regulating these changes are not well-understood. We investigated whether effects of P deprivation on root development are mediated by abscisic acid (ABA) and its interactions with other hormones. The ABA-deficient barley mutant Az34 and its wild-type (WT) were grown in P-deprived and P-replete conditions and hormones were measured in whole roots and root tips. Although P deprivation decreased growth in shoot mass similarly in both genotypes, only the WT increased primary root length and number of lateral roots. The effect was accompanied by ABA accumulation in root tips, a response not seen in Az34. Increased ABA in P-deprived WT was accompanied by decreased concentrations of cytokinin, an inhibitor of root extension. Furthermore, P-deficiency in the WT, increased auxin concentration in whole root systems in association with increased root branching. In the ABA-deficient mutant, P-starvation failed to stimulate root elongation or promote branching and there was no decline in cytokinin and no increase in auxin. The results demonstrate ability of ABA to mediate in root growth responses to P starvation in barley, an effect linked to its effects on cytokinin and auxin concentrations.
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1. Introduction

Deficiencies in mineral nutrients reduce plant growth and crop yields. Changes in root architecture are an important adaptation to acquire scarce nutrient resources from the soil solution [1]. Rapid root elongation allows foraging for water and ions in the soil, while active root branching at sites of locally high nutrient concentrations enhances nutrient uptake [2]. Despite sustained interest in the regulation of root architecture (the rate of root elongation and branching), many mechanisms are still not fully understood.

Increased biomass allocation to root growth is another common response to nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) deficits [3, 4], with each element inducing some specific changes in root architecture. While low N primarily stimulates root elongation [5], P deficit increased root branching [6-9]. Effects
of P-starvation on root elongation are rather contradictory. While P starvation decreased the length of Arabidopsis roots [10], longer roots were generated in cereal crops such as maize (Zea mays) [11], barley (Hordeum vulgare) [12] and rice (Oryza sativa) [10]. There is increasing interest by plant breeders in identifying QTLs (Quantitative Trait Locus) regulating the root architectural responses of cereal crops to nutrient deficits [13] with co-location of root architecture & hormone biosynthesis/metabolism QTLs [14].

Environmentally-mediated changes in phytohormone concentrations and sensitivity are suggested to regulate root architecture [15]. N re-supply increases cytokinin (CK) levels [16], with decreased endogenous CK concentrations under nutrient scarcity believed to enhance root growth relative to the shoot [17]. These hormones inhibit root growth by promoting the rate of meristematic cell differentiation and thereby decreasing root-meristem size and the rate of root growth [18]. Cytokinins repress cell division, exhausting the quiescent centre [19]. While nitrates induce root IPT (isopentenyl transferase responsible for de novo CK synthesis) gene expression [16, 20-22], effects of phosphate starvation on CK levels have received little attention.

Although numerous reviews mentioned the importance of CKs for plant adaptation to phosphate starvation [9, 23-28], relatively few experimental studies have been performed with mechanisms regulating shoot and root CK level receiving little attention. Although P deficits decreased tissue CK concentrations [29-31], measurements often used whole seedlings or organs since the detection methods for CKs used then had relatively low sensitivity. Although P-starvation decreased expression of the IPT3 gene in both roots and shoots of Arabidopsis [32], tissue CK concentrations have only recently been measured [33-35].

Decreased root and shoot CK concentrations occurred simultaneously with changes in other plant hormones, suggesting more complex regulation of plant growth.

Typically, several hormones interact to regulate root growth under nutrient deficits. When wheat plants were grown in dilute (1/100th strength) Hoagland’s solution, increased root ABA concentrations activated cytokinin-oxidase thereby decreasing CK concentrations and increasing root-to-shoot ratio [17]. Moreover, all members of the IPS (“induced by phosphate starvation”) gene family are controlled by both CKs and ABA, suggesting considerable crosstalk between these hormones [25]. However effects of ABA on CK levels in P-starved plants have not been studied.

ABA is suggested to activate root growth under P starvation, since ABA-treated and P-deprived plants have similar growth patterns such as increased root-to-shoot ratio [24]. Nevertheless, some reports show increased ABA concentrations in P-starved plants [33, 35], while others report a decline [36, 37] or no difference in ABA deposition into leaves of P-deficient and control plants [38].

Expression of the PHR1 (PHOSPHATE STARVATION RESPONSE) gene inducible by phosphate starvation was diminished in the ABA deficient Arabidopsis mutant aba2-4, thereby confirming this hormone is involved in responses to P deficit [39]. However, wild-type and ABA-deficient or ABA-insensitive Arabidopsis plants (aba-1 and aba2-1 respectively) all showed increased root-to-shoot ratio in response to P starvation [40], suggesting that other hormones were involved in this adaptive response. To our knowledge, ABA deficient monocot mutants have not previously been used to study hormone interactions in plants experiencing P deficit.

Cytokinin-auxin interactions are likely important under P deficit. Low P availability mimicked the action of auxin in promoting lateral root development in Arabidopsis [41], suggesting that auxins are involved in the phosphate starvation response. Auxin accumulates in the tips of primary roots in the early stages of the P starvation response [42]. P deficiency increased the transcript levels of auxin responsive genes (AUX1, AXR1 and AXR2) indicating activation of the auxin response pathway in P-starved plants. In the aba2-4 Arabidopsis mutant, the transcript levels of these genes did not increase suggesting that ABA synthesis is to some extent required to induce auxin responsive genes when plants are P-starved [39]. However, whether auxin accumulation in P-starved plants is ABA-dependent is unknown.

Our objective was to determine the role of multiple hormone interactions in regulating root growth responses to P deficit, and consider role of ABA status (bulk root and in root tips) in determining local (root) and long-distance (shoot) responses to P deficit. To study hormone
interactions in plants exposed to P starvation, the ABA-deficient barley mutant *Az34* [43] and its wild-type (WT) were grown in P-deprived and P-replete conditions and endogenous hormone (ABA, the auxin indoleacetic acid (IAA) and zeatin-type cytokinins) concentrations measured in both the bulk roots and root tips along with plant growth responses. Mechanisms regulating endogenous CK (cytokinin oxidase enzyme activity, *HvIPT1* gene expression, whose abundance was highest in the root tips of barley seedlings) were evaluated in root tips. We hypothesized that limited ABA accumulation in the mutant compromised P-adaptive responses in the roots.

2. Results

At the beginning of our research, we compared growth responses to P-starvation in ABA-deficient barley mutant *Az34* and its wild-type (WT) plants.

![Figure 1. Root (a, d) and shoot (b, e) fresh (a, b) and dry (d, e) mass and root/shoot fresh mass ratio (c) of 7-days-old of WT (cv. Steptoe) and Az34 plants grown for 4 days on nutrient solutions with (P+) or without (P-) phosphate. Bars are means ± S.E. of n = 20, with significant (*p* ≤ 0.05) differences between all genotype/treatment combinations marked with different letters (ANOVA, LSD).](image)

There were no genotypic differences in either fresh (Figure 1a) or dry (Figure 1d) root mass under P-replete conditions but both fresh and dry shoot mass of WT (cv. Steptoe) plants was 10% higher than in *Az34* plants (Figure 1b,e). P starvation increased root mass and decreased shoot mass of WT plants (Figure 1a,b) while both root and shoot mass were decreased in *Az34*. As a result, P starvation increased root/shoot mass ratio in WT plants but had no effect on root/shoot mass ratio in *Az34* plants (Figure 1c).

Thus shoot and root responses to P starvation differed between genotypes, with both showing shoot growth inhibition but root growth promotion only occurring in WT plants. Similarity in the
shoot growth response of Az34 and WT plants was supported by insignificance of interaction between
genotype x P level, while difference in root response of the genotypes is indicated by significant
genotype x P level interaction (Table S1).

While there were no genotypic differences in length of primary roots or lateral root number
under P-replete conditions lateral root density (ratio of the number of lateral roots and root length)
was 25% higher in Az34 plants (Figure 2) resulting from division of slightly greater number of laterals
by slightly smaller root length.

Figure 2. Root characteristics of WT (cv. Steptoe) and Az34 plants grown on nutrient solutions with
(P+) or without (P-) phosphate: meristem size measured in 4-days old plants 1 day after the start of P-
treatment (a), total length of all primary roots (b), number of lateral roots (c), lateral root density (d)
measured in 7-days-old plants 4 days after the start of P-treatment. Bars are means ± S.E. of n = 20,
with significant ($p \leq 0.05$) differences between all genotype/treatment combinations marked with
different letters (ANOVA, LSD).

P starvation accelerated primary root elongation by about 20% and increased root branching by
38% in WT plants, but had no significant effect on primary root length or lateral root number of the
Az34 mutant (Figure 2b,c). The root length correlated with the size of root meristem ($r=0.92$)
suggesting that increased root length detected in P-starved Steptoe plants was due to the increase in
cell division resulting in increased size of the meristem zone (Figure 2a). Lateral root density was not
affected by P treatment in either genotype (Figure 2d). Thus the effect of P level on root mass, length
and branching depended on genotype (significant genotype x P level interactions – Table S1 and
Table S2).

Treatment of Az34 plants with ABA resulted in 16 % increase in root mass of P-starved (from
0.126±0.002 to 0.146±0.002 g) and 18 % increase in their root length (from 26±2 to 31±2 cm) mimicking
the effect of P-starvation on Steptoe plants.

Tissue P concentrations were similar in both genotypes in P-replete conditions, and decreased
by about 10 % in roots and shoots of both genotypes under P deficit showing similar extent of P deficit
in both genotypes (Figure 3). Thus differences in root growth response between genotypes could not
be attributed to differences in tissue nutrient relations.
Figure 3. P concentration (mg/g dry mass) in shoots and roots of 4-days-old WT (cv. Steptoe) and Az34 plants grown for 4 days on nutrient solutions with (P+) or without (P-) phosphate. Means (n = 6), with significant (p ≤ 0.05) differences between all genotype/treatment combinations are marked with different letters (ANOVA, LSD).

Next, we measured hormone concentration in plants trying to relate them to plant growth responses. Shoot ABA concentration of WT and Az34 plants did not differ, and was not responsive to P level (Figure 4, Table S3). Although root ABA concentration of WT plants was approximately double that of Az34 plants, again it did not depend on the phosphate level (Figure 4). These genotypic differences in root ABA concentration didn’t co-occur with differences in root system morphology under P-replete conditions (cf. Figure 1, 2, 4). Nevertheless, they were associated with genotypic differences in root ABA adaptations to P deficit as indicated by significant genotype x P level interaction (Table S3).

Figure 4. ABA concentration (calculated per g fresh mass) in shoots and roots of 4-days-old barley plants (cv. Steptoe and Az34) grown for 1 day on nutrient solutions with (P+) or without (P-) phosphate. Bars are means ± S.E. of n = 9, with significant (p ≤ 0.05) differences between all genotype/treatment combinations marked with different letters (ANOVA, LSD).

Under P-replete conditions (Figure 5), there were no significant genotypic differences in root tip staining for ABA (consistent with the bulk root ABA data and (Table S3). Unlike bulk root ABA concentration, P starvation intensified the immunostaining for ABA in root tips of WT plants, but the opposite response occurred in Az34 (Figure 5), as indicated by significant genotype x P level interaction (Table S3). Thus P starvation resulted in significant genotypic differences in root tip ABA concentration (Figure 5).
Diagram (c) presents the results of semi-quantitative assay of intensity of staining of the roots tips of Steptoe and Az34 plants obtained with the help of ImageJ program [44]. Images were taken from 15 independent sections per genotype or treatment. The intensity of staining was expressed in arbitrary units, with maximal staining taken as 100 % and minimal as 0 with different letters above the bars indicating significant \((p < 0.05)\) differences according ANOVA (LSD).

**Figure 5.** Immunolocalization of ABA in root tips of 4-days-old barley seedlings (cv. Steptoe (a) and Az34 (b)) grown for 1 d on the nutrient solutions with (P+) or without (P-) phosphate. Scale bars 50µm.

The intensity of staining on all sections was evaluated in the area marked with a colored line in Fig. 5a.

Shoot and root IAA concentrations of WT and Az34 plants did not significantly differ irrespective of P level. While P starvation increased root IAA concentration by 40% in WT roots, root IAA concentration of Az34 was not influenced by P availability. Root IAA concentrations of Az34 were intermediate between the low values of WT plants grown in P-replete conditions, and the higher values of WT plants grown in P-starved conditions (Figure 6). The difference in the changes in root IAA concentration induced by P level in WT and Az34 plants is indicated by a significant genotype x P level interaction (Table S4).

**Figure 6.** IAA concentration (calculated per g fresh mass) in shoots and roots of 4-days-old barley plants (cv. Steptoe and Az34) grown for 1 day on nutrient solutions with \((P+)\) or without \((P-)\)
phosphate. Bars are means ± S.E. of n = 9, with significant (p ≤ 0.05) differences between all genotype/treatment combinations marked with different letters (ANOVA, LSD).

Under P-replete conditions, Az34 had higher root tip staining for IAA (Figure 7) (inconsistent with the bulk root IAA data which showed no genotypic differences (Figure 6)). Again, P starvation resulted in different responses between the genotypes, with immunostaining for IAA increasing in root tips of WT plants, but decreasing in Az34 (Figure 7), as indicated by significant genotype x P level interaction (Table S4). Thus P starvation tended to minimize genotypic differences in root tip IAA concentration.

Diagram (c) presents the results of semi-quantitative assay of intensity of staining of the roots tips of Steptoe and Az34 plants obtained with the help of ImageJ program [44]. Images were taken from 15 independent sections per genotype or treatment. The intensity of staining was expressed in arbitrary units, with maximal staining taken as 100% and minimal as 0 with different letters above the bars indicating significant (p < 0.05) differences according ANOVA (LSD).

Figure 7. Immunolocalization of IAA in root tips of 4-days-old barley seedlings (cv. Steptoe (a) and Az34 (b)) grown for 1 day on the nutrient solutions with (P+) or without (P-) phosphate. Scale bars 50 µm. The intensity of staining was evaluated in the area shown for Fig. 5a.

Zeatin was the most abundant of the different cytokinin forms measured, and its concentrations were summed along with ZR and ZN to calculate total CK concentrations (Figure 8). No significant difference was found between Az34 and Steptoe in the CK concentration of the shoot or root at any of the P levels. P starvation approximately halved shoot CK concentrations in WT plants, the effect being most pronounced in the case of free zeatin (Figure 8), while the ABA-deficient Az34 mutant showed no change in shoot CK concentration (Figure 8). The effect of P level on shoot CK concentrations depended on the genotype supported by two-way ANOVA (significant genotype x P level interaction - Table S5). In contrast, bulk root CK concentration did not change in both genotypes (Figure 8). The changes in each of zeatin derivates followed regularities detected for the sum of
cytokinins. The trend of the increase in zeatin and decline in its riboside induced by P-starvation in Steptoe was not statistically significant.

Figure 8. Sum of cytokinins (zeatin (Z), its riboside (ZR) and nucleotide (ZN)) concentration (calculated per g fresh mass) in shoots and roots of 4-days-old barley plants (cv. Steptoe and Az34) grown for 1 day on nutrient solutions with (P+) or without (P-) phosphate. Bars are means ± S.E. of n = 9 for the sum of zeatin derivatives, with significant (p ≤ 0.05) differences between all genotype/treatment combinations marked with different letters (ANOVA, LSD).

Under P-replete conditions, there were no significant genotypic differences in root tip staining for zeatin. P starvation decreased staining for CK in WT root tips but had no significant effect in Az34 (Figure 9). Dependence of P effect on genotype was supported by a significant genotype x P level interaction (Table S5). Thus immunolocalization revealed genotypic differences under P starvation that were not observed in (bulk root) CK concentrations.

Diagram (c) presents the results of semi-quantitative assay of intensity of staining of the roots tips of Steptoe and Az34 plants obtained with the help of ImageJ program [44]. Images were taken from 15 independent sections per genotype or treatment. The intensity of staining was expressed in arbitrary units, with maximal staining taken as 100 % and minimal as 0 with different letters above the bars indicating significant (p < 0.05) differences according ANOVA (LSD).
Figure 9. Immunolocalization of free zeatin in root tips of 4-days-old barley seedlings (cv. Steptoe (a) and Az34 (b)) grown for 1 day on the nutrient solutions with (P+) or without (P-) phosphate. Scale bars 50 µm. The intensity of staining was evaluated in the area shown for Fig. 5a.

P starvation could alter the levels of root tip CKs by either modifying cytokinin synthesis or cytokinin catabolism. To evaluate the impact of cytokinin metabolism on their level, root tip cytokinin oxidase activity was measured, but no significant difference was detected between genotypes irrespective of P level (Figure 10a). Since cytokinin content was decreased by P-starvation, we expected an increase in activity of cytokinin oxidase, which could be the cause of cytokinin decline. Contrary to expectations, P starvation decreased root tip cytokinin oxidase activity by about 25% in both genotypes (Figure 10a). Similarity in responses of both genotypes to P level was supported by insignificant genotype x P level interaction (Table S6). Thus there were no genotypic differences in root tip cytokinin oxidase activity or response to P starvation.

Since changes in cytokinin catabolism could not account for root tip responses to P starvation, expression of the HvIPT1 gene (responsible for de novo cytokinin synthesis) was analyzed. This gene of IPT family was chosen since its abundance was highest in the root tips of barley seedlings. In P-replete conditions, HvIPT1 gene expression was 30% higher in root tips of WT than in Az34 plants (Figure 10b). P starvation significantly decreased the level of the HvIPT1 transcript in WT plants, but induced no response in Az34 plants. The difference in response of Az34 and Steptoe to P level was supported by a significant genotype x P interaction (Table S6). Applying exogenous ABA to WT plants also lowered the transcript level of this gene (Figure10 b). While HvIPT1 expression increased with bulk root ABA concentration in P-replete conditions (when comparing the two genotypes), additional root tip ABA accumulation decreased HvIPT1 expression.

3. Discussion

P starvation decreased shoot mass similarly in both WT and ABA deficient mutant plants (Figure 1), indicating that ABA was not involved in regulating shoot growth responses to P starvation. Alternatively, previous experiments with other barley cultivars attributed shoot growth inhibition of P-starved plants to decreased shoot CK concentrations [33], as these hormones maintain shoot growth [45] by stimulating cell division [46] and elongation [47]. Although shoot CK concentrations decreased in P-starved WT plants (Figure 8), they did not change in Az34, while P starvation
decreased shoot growth of both genotypes. Thus inhibition of shoot growth cannot be attributed to decreased CK concentrations. Shortage of phosphorus necessary to maintain shoot growth (tissue concentrations declined by 10% in both genotypes) may account for shoot growth inhibition.

Since P starvation affected root growth of each genotype differently (significant genotype x P level interactions – Table S1) in spite of similar root P concentrations, alternative (hormone interaction) explanations were sought. WT plants increased their root mass and the total length of all primary roots following P-starvation (Figure 1 and 2), whereas root mass decreased and root length did not change in the ABA-deficient Az34. These results showed that capacity for ABA accumulation in the root tips (characteristic of WT, but absent in Az34) is required for root growth adaptation to P starvation manifested in relative activation of root growth (increased root-to-shoot ratio detected in P-starved WT, but not in Az34). In contrast, root-to-shoot ratio of both WT and ABA-deficient Arabidopsis mutants increased under phosphorus-deficient conditions [40], possibly since sucrose (present in the Arabidopsis growth medium) modifies root growth responses to P starvation [48].

ABA-dependent differences in the root growth response of barley to P starvation (Figure 1, 2) occurred even though bulk root ABA concentration did not change in either genotype in response to P starvation (Figure 4). Nevertheless, root tip ABA concentration increased in WT plants and declined in Az34 plants (Figure 5). This could accelerate root growth of WT plants since root elongation occurs in the root tips. Similarly, dilution of mineral nutrients increased ABA concentrations in root tips but not in whole roots in WT plants [49]. Root apical ABA accumulation maintains root growth in plants under water [50] and mineral nutrient [49] deficits and osmotic stress [51]. Thus ABA regulates root growth responses to different stresses, including P deficit. Alongside possible direct effects of ABA, it can also regulate root growth by affecting root CK levels, as these hormones inhibit root growth [47]. Importance of ABA for the control of cytokinin level in the root tips of P-starved plants is supported by the absence of cytokinin response in ABA deficient Az34. Although bulk root CK concentration did not change in either genotype in response to P starvation (Figure 8), root tip CK concentrations (detected with immunostaining, Figure 9) decreased in WT plants, but there was no significant effect in Az34 roots. The decreases in root tip concentration in WT plants were likely to be responsible for the increase in size of root zone meristem (Figure 2a). Our results are in accordance with other reports showing increased size of meristem zone in roots of ipt mutant plants of Arabidopsis, in which the endogenous CK level was lower than in wild-type roots (Cytokinins regulate root growth through its action on meristic cell proliferation but not on the transition to differentiation [52]. Correlation of root meristem size with the root length detected in the present experiments is in accordance with other reports [53, 54].

Decline in shoot cytokinin concentration was attributed to activation of (CKX) in shoots, when wheat plants were exposed to nutrient dilution [17]. However, P starvation decreased root CKX activity of both genotypes (Figure 10a) and alternative mechanisms must account for decreased CK concentrations. Our results show that the decline in root tip cytokinins of P-starved WT plants is likely to be due to decreased expression of isopentenyltransferase gene. Importance of isopentenyltransferase (IPT) is due to the fact that it is responsible for the rate limiting step of cytokinin biosynthesis [55]. Accumulation of CKs was greatly attenuated in an ipt mutant of Arabidopsis [16]. Dependence of the decline in the gene expression on ABA is supported by our observations showing that P starvation down-regulated root tip HvIPT1 gene expression in WT plants but had no significant effect in ABA deficient Az34 (Figure 10b). Importance of ABA accumulation for down-regulation of HvIPT1 gene expression is confirmed by our data showing that exogenous ABA down-regulated root tip HvIPT1 gene expression in P-replete WT plants (Figure 10b). P starvation of rice (Oryza sativa) seedlings downregulated genes for CK signaling components (OsRR6 and OsRR9/10), suggesting that P starvation affects both CK levels and downstream signalling [56].

Genotypic differences in root hormone concentration were also associated with differences in lateral root development, as P starvation increased lateral root number of WT plants but had no effect in Az34 (Figure 2). P starvation increased both bulk root and root tip auxin concentrations in WT plants, but had no effect (bulk root) or decreased (root tip) auxin concentration in Az34. Increased
auxin concentration detected by us in the roots of WT plants is in accordance with reports, where Pi deficiency increased the transcript levels of auxin responsive genes (AUX1, AXR1 and AXR2) [57], which may serve as indirect indication of an increase in auxin concentration in response to P deficiency. Since auxins stimulate root branching [58], increased bulk root IAA concentrations may be related to the increased number of lateral roots in WT plants. P starvation usually increases root branching in Arabidopsis [59] thereby enhancing root capacity for phosphate uptake [9] although decreased root branching occurred in wheat [60]. Since Az34 roots failed to accumulate both ABA and auxin following P starvation (independent of whether measurements were made in the bulk roots or root tips - Figures 4-7), our results confirm impotence of interaction of these two hormones in regulating root branching, which is in accordance with other reports [61-63].

ABA has been shown to influence root branching in opposite ways depending on the stage of lateral root formation [64, 65] ABA promotes the formation of new lateral root primordia by stimulating their initiation in the root tips, while elongation of the lateral root and lateral root emergence are repressed by ABA distantly from the root tip. In accordance with this information, accumulation of ABA in the root tips, but not in the whole roots detected in the present experiments is likely to promote root branching. ABA’s effects on lateral root development are highly dependent on the growth medium, with the ABA-deficient tomato mutants notabilis and flacca showing increased lateral root development when grown in vitro [66], but fewer lateral roots when grown in soil [notabilis – [67]. This discrepancy in ABA action may be due to its opposing effects on auxin level: ABA can either decrease auxin content by activating IAA conjugation [68] or enable its accumulation by activating IAA synthesis [69]. The latter mechanism obviously operates in our experiments, since root tips of P-starved WT plants accumulated both ABA and IAA in parallel, while P-starved Az34 plants showed decreases in both ABA and IAA (cf. Figures 5, 7). Since auxin-induced initiation of root primordia starts with anticlinal divisions in the pericycle occurring in the root tips [70], parallel accumulation of IAA and ABA in the root tips of WT plants (Figure 5, 7) and the opposite response in Az34 confirms that ABA and auxin interact to stimulate root branching under P starvation. Bulk root IAA accumulation in P-starved WT plants (Figure 6) provides an additional stimulus for emergence of lateral roots.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Growth Conditions and Treatments

Experiments used wild-type (WT) barley plants (Hordeum vulgare L. cv. Steptoe) and its ABA deficient mutant Az34. Seeds were allowed to germinate in darkness, floating in water in sealed and tied together glass tubes for 3 days at 24°C. Three-days old seedlings were transferred to modified 0.1 strength Hoagland–Arnon nutrient medium (0.5 mM KNO₃, 0.5 mM Ca(NO₃)₂, 0.1 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.1 mM MgSO₄, 0.5 mM CaSO₄), where KH₂PO₄ was either omitted (P-) or substituted with NaH₂PO₄, (P+) and seedlings were grown at a 14-h photoperiod and an irradiance of 400 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ from mercury-arc and sodium vapor lamps. Preliminary experiments showed that substituting KH₂PO₄ for NaH₂PO₄ did not influence plant growth [33]. Simultaneously with the start of the P-treatment, ABA was added to nutrient solution of some plants to yield a final concentration of 2 µM. One day after imposing the P- treatment, shoots and roots of 4-days-old plants were sampled for hormone, phosphate and PCR analyses and root sections taken for immunolocalization studies and measurement of meristem length. Four days after imposing the treatments, shoot and root fresh and dry mass, root length and number of lateral roots were measured in 20 7-days-old plants per genotype and treatments. For measuring dry mass, shoot and roots were weighed after their drying at 70°C until they reached constant mass. Experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

4.2. Hormone analyses and immunolocalization

Shoots and roots of 4 plants were sampled for hormone extraction (number of replicates, n=9). Hormones were extracted from homogenized shoots and roots of barley plants with 80 % ethanol overnight at 4°C. Cytokinins and acidic hormones (ABA and IAA) were extracted in different ways
from aliquots of aqueous residue as described by Vysotskaya et al. [17]. In short, CKs were concentrated on a C18 column, washed with water, eluted with 80% ethanol and separated using thin-layer chromatography on silica gel plates in a mixture of 2-butan-ol, ammonium and water (6:1:2 v/v). Eluates from the zones corresponding to the position of cytokinin standards were immunoassayed with the help of an antiserum raised against zeatin riboside (ZR), shown to have high specificity to zeatin derivatives [71]. Cross reactivity of anti-ZR serum to derivatives of other cytokinin bases (dihydrozeatin and isopentenyladenine) is low. This method has proven to be reliable by testing its results against physico-chemical assay [72]. ABA and the auxin IAA were partitioned with diethyl ether from the aqueous residue, after diluting with distilled water and acidification with HCl to pH 2.5. Then, the hormones were transferred from the organic phase into a solution of NaHCO₃, re-extracted from the acidified aqueous phase with diethyl ether, and immunoassayed after methylation using antibodies to ABA and IAA [49]. Reducing the amount of extractant at each stage and re-extraction increased the selectivity of hormone recovery [73].

For immunolocalization of hormones, they were conjugated to proteins of the cytoplasm to prevent them washing out during the dehydration process. Specifically, free cytokinin bases in tissues were fixed in a mixture 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.1% glutaradehyde, while ABA was fixed in carbodiimide, as described by Kudoyarova et al. [72] and Sharipova et al. [44], respectively. After washing with 0.1 M phosphate buffer, tissues were dehydrated in a series of ethanol dilutions. After this, the tissues were embedded in methylacrylate resin JB-4 (Electron Microscopy Sciences [Hatfield, PA, USA]). Immunolocalization of hormones was carried out using antisera against either ABA [41] or zeatin riboside (ZR) [72], depending on the type of fixed hormone. In short, diluted rabbit anti-ABA or anti-ZR sera were placed on the sections. Gelatin (0.2%) was added to the solution to prevent non-specific binding. After the sections were incubated in a humid chamber for 2 h and then washed with phosphate buffer containing Tween 20, they were treated with goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulins labeled with colloidal gold. After incubation and washing, the sections were fixed in glutaraldehyde and incubated with silver enhancer. Antibodies raised against ZR recognized not only ZR but also free zeatin. Since the procedure of tissue fixation enabled conjugation of free bases and not their ribosides [74], immunostaining with anti-ZR serum is interpreted as visualization of zeatin. Earlier specificity and reliability of immunostaining was confirmed in experiments, where increased immunostaining was detected in the plants treated with exogenous hormones [44, 72] or in transgenic plants with induced expression of ipt gene controlling cytokinin synthesis [75] (positive control). Non-immune rabbit serum was used as a control, and the absence of immunostaining when anti-ZR serum or anti-ABA serum were substituted with the non-immune serum confirmed the reliability of the technique. Images for immunolocalization of each hormone were taken from 15 independent sections per genotype or treatment. Figures present images of the meristem zone where no significant increase in cells length was detected. Thus immunolocalization revealed hormone content mostly in meristem zone. For meristem length measurements, the border between meristem and elongation zone was defined by the first elongated cortex cell.

Cytokinin oxidase activity was determined as described previously [17, 76]. In short, imidazole-buffer homogenate of root tips 3-5 mm long was centrifuged. Saturated solution of (NH₄)₂SO₄ was added to the supernatant, centrifuged, and the pellet re-suspended. Synthetic iPA (N₆-isopentenyladenosine) was added to the suspension as a substrate and the mixture incubated for 2 h. An immunoassay, using antibodies raised against iPA, determined the amount of iPA lost due to degradation.

4.3. RNA extraction and analysis of abundance of HvIPT1 mRNA

Total RNA was isolated from the root tips 3-5 mm long with Trizol reagent. The first strand of cDNA was created using oligo(dT) primer and M-MuLV-reverse transcriptase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). The following primers were used for quantitative analysis of HvIPT1 (AK250176.1) barley gene expression (shown in preliminary experiments to be highly abundant in the root tips of barley seedlings: 5′- GCAGGCCATTTGGGTTCTGTA-3′ and 5′- CTCGCCTTGGCTTGTGTTCC-3′ (size of amplicon is 479 bp). Real time PCR was performed in
the presence of SYBR Green I intercalating dye in Rotor-Gene™ 6000 thermocycler (Corbett Research, Australia). The PCR was performed with 30 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 57°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min. mRNA of actin protein was used as standard for calculations, its expression level taken as 100% [77]. RT-PCR of the actin gene of barley (MK034133) was performed using primer pair 5'-TGGTACGTTGCCCCTTGATTGA-3' and 5'-GCCACCAGTACGACAGTGGTTT3' (size of amplicon is 259 bp).

4.4. Determination of phosphorus in roots and leaves of barley plants

Total P content was determined in dry roots and shoots after digestion with H2SO4 and KClO4. Determination of phosphates in root digest was carried out with the molybdenum-blue method using stannous chloride as the reducing agent as described [78].

4.5. Statistical Analysis

Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) determined the effects of genotype, P treatment and their interaction. One way ANOVA was applied across different genotype/treatment combinations, with a least significance difference (LSD) test to discriminate means.

5. Conclusions

In summary, P starvation increased root elongation of WT barley plants by stimulating root tip ABA accumulation and decreasing root tip cytokinin concentrations. Decreased root tip cytokinin concentrations in P-starved WT plants were not due to the changes in root CKX activity but down-regulation of the HvIPT1 gene. Furthermore, increased root branching was related to bulk root IAA accumulation. Both hormonal and root growth responses were not detected in the A34 mutant with low capacity for ABA accumulation. The absence of these effects in ABA deficient A34 mutant demonstrates that capacity for ABA accumulation is important in regulating root branching and elongation following P starvation by affecting concentrations of IAA and cytokinins.
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