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Thesis Abstract

This thesis examines the psychological factors involved in distress and wellbeing for people living with dystonia.

Section one reports on a systematic literature review of quantitative studies examining the relationship between psychological factors and anxiety and depression in dystonia. A systematic search using key words relating to dystonia and concepts of psychological distress was conducted on four academic databases. Findings suggest that a number of psychological factors were related to anxiety and depression in dystonia. These included body concept, coping, personality traits, self-esteem, psychosocial domains of quality of life, and somatic complaints. The limited number of papers suggests that psychological factors are an under researched area when understanding the distress experienced in dystonia; nonetheless, the findings support a biopsychosocial model of understanding. This has clinical implications for the psychological management of distress for those living with dystonia and future research should seek to expand on this knowledge.

Section two reports on an empirical study examining the role of coping strategies in the relationship between stigma and psychological wellbeing in people living with cervical dystonia. Individuals with cervical dystonia completed an online survey including measures of stigma, coping strategies, quality of life, psychological distress and wellbeing. A series of parallel mediation models were conducted to explore the relationships between stigma, coping strategies and distress and wellbeing. Findings indicated that maladaptive coping strategies mediated the relationship between stigma and psychological distress and wellbeing. Adaptive coping strategies did not mediate the relationship between stigma and psychological distress and wellbeing. These findings support the need for holistic psychological formulations for individuals with cervical dystonia who are also experiencing psychological distress.
Section three includes the critical appraisal which reflected on the process of conducting this project. It discusses key decision points and critically evaluates some of the decisions made.
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Abstract

Purpose: Individuals with dystonia often experience depression and anxiety at higher rates than the general population. There is debate over whether these are primary symptoms of dystonia or secondary in response to living with a chronic health condition. The aim of this paper was to review psychological correlates of anxiety and depression for those living with dystonia.

Methods: PsycINFO, MEDLINE, CINAHL, and Web of Science databases were systematically searched for eligible studies using keywords related to ‘dystonia’ and ‘anxiety/depression’. Quantitative studies which analysed the relationship between psychological factors and anxiety or depression were included. Quality assessment was conducted on all eligible studies.

Results: 16 studies were included in this review. Psychological correlates of anxiety and depression examined were body concept, coping, personality traits, self-esteem, psychosocial domains of QoL, self-efficacy and somatic complaints. In addition, some factors seemed to interact with each other to influence the overall measures of depression and anxiety. Other factors included in singular studies were locus of control, social support, sexual wellbeing, substance abuse and psychological symptoms as measured by the SCL-90.

Conclusion: The literature reviewed revealed limited research had been done in this area. What had been examined highlighted a number of different factors relating to distress for those living with dystonia. This supports a biopsychosocial understanding of the development of anxiety and depression. This has clinical implications for the psychological management of distress for individuals. Future research should explore the relationships between these factors using longitudinal designs and more complex statistical models.

Keywords: dystonia; anxiety; depression; movement disorders; psychology
Introduction

Dystonia is a movement disorder characterised by involuntary intermittent or sustained contraction of muscles causing abnormal postures, tremors and twisting. These are often initiated or made worse by voluntary action (1). It is the third most prevalent movement disorder after Parkinson’s disease and essential tremor (2) and the presentations of dystonia are wide ranging and varied (3). It has been proposed that dystonia be classified on two axes (4). Axis I specifies clinical characteristics such as age of onset, body distribution (focal, segmental, multifocal, generalised, hemidystonia), temporal pattern (disease course and variability) and associated features. Axis II specifies aetiology, stating whether the dystonia is inherited or acquired, idiopathic and any evidence of central nervous system pathology.

Due to the varied expression of the disease it is difficult to accurately assess current prevalence. Published epidemiological studies have estimated 15-30 cases of primary dystonia per 100,000 of the population (5,6), although this is likely to be an underestimation (1) as dystonia is often misdiagnosed or unrecognised (7). Indeed, a study investigating the prevalence of dystonia in the general community reported that it may be as high as 732 cases per 100,000 of the population, with only a small proportion of those identified having received an official diagnosis prior to the study taking place (8). Adult onset focal dystonias are the most common presentations (1) and within this category the most frequent types are cervical dystonia (CD, affecting the muscles of the neck and shoulders) and blepharospasm (affecting the eyes). Other presentations such as spasmodic dysphonia (SD, affecting the larynx) and writer’s or musician’s cramp (affecting the hand) are rarer (3).

People living with dystonia have been shown to experience high rates of psychological distress including depression, anxiety and social anxiety (1,9), with prevalence rates of between
12%-71% (10–15) compared to between 10%-20% in the general population of the UK (16). A recent study examined if type of dystonia had an impact on distress experienced (17). They found no difference between groups on measures of depression, but those with CD and SD scored higher than other focal types of dystonia on measures of anxiety. Additionally, those with SD scored higher than the other groups on measures of social anxiety.

The pathophysiology of dystonia is still not fully understood (3) and the underlying reason for the rates of psychological distress is under debate. There is evidence that, for some, psychological distress precedes the onset of dystonia motor symptoms (18–20) with this occurring in as many as 69% of participants in one sample (12). There have also been reductions in psychological distress following successful treatment with botulinum toxin injections or deep brain stimulation (21–23), as well as findings suggesting distress is related to disease severity (17,24). Additionally, longitudinal research has highlighted that those who have an improvement in the severity of their dystonia were less depressed compared to those whose dystonia had worsened (25), suggesting that depression and anxiety may be primary symptoms of dystonia with a biological basis. However, other longitudinal research suggests that distress does not improve in line with any improvement in the severity of dystonia (26) and that there is no relationship between dystonia severity and psychological distress experienced (27). Thus, there may be a secondary role for psychological distress, implying a complex spectrum of neurological and psychological symptoms (28).

Theories of dystonia have developed and expanded to include findings related to the neurological, motor and psychological features (29), along with insights from neurophysiological studies (2). As shown, there is conflicting evidence as to whether depression and anxiety are a primary feature of the disease or secondary to the impact of living with a movement disorder.
There is evidence to suggest that it could be a combination of both, with different factors interacting to contribute to the aetiology of depression, including a dysregulation of the metabolism of dopamine and monoamine, a genetic predisposition and adverse life events (31). Therefore, a biopsychosocial approach to understanding an individual’s experience and difficulties would be beneficial. In addition, research in Parkinson’s disease, has suggested that there may be three possible subtypes of depression in this population: those who would have been depressed if they had another chronic condition, those who would have been depressed without any condition, and those for whom the depression is related specifically to the underlying pathophysiology of the disease (32). This may also be the case in dystonia and could explain some of the contradictory findings within the research.

Despite the underlying mechanisms of anxiety and depression in dystonia remaining unclear, both have been shown to reduce the quality of life for those who experience them (9,10,33,34), as well as contributing to overall levels of disability (11,13,15,35). Given that the research on distress in dystonia has tended to focus on the medical model to date, it would be pertinent to give attention to the psychological factors within the biopsychosocial framework of understanding (31). This would act as an impetus for future research as well as gaining an understanding of psychological factors that may be related to anxiety and depression. This understanding would enable formulation-based interventions to help those who were experiencing psychological distress in addition to dystonia. A psychological formulation is a collaboratively constructed hypothesis about a person’s difficulties which takes into account a range of factors including social circumstances, relationships and life events (including the presence of disease) and uses this understanding to plan how to move forward (36).
For the purposes of this review the term ‘psychological factors’ is used to describe factors that are potentially modifiable through psychological intervention, and is in line with how it has been defined in previous comprehensive reviews in other movement disorders (37,38). Therefore, this review of the literature aims to examine:

a) Which psychological factors have been investigated in dystonia?

b) What is the relationship between these factors and anxiety and depression?

Method

The framework adopted for the reporting of this systematic review was the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (39).

Search strategy

A systematic search of four databases was conducted: CINAHL, MEDLINE, PsycINFO and Web of Science. These databases were chosen following guidance from an academic librarian and because they covered both medical and psychological research, thus increasing the chances of finding relevant papers on dystonia. These were also similar databases to those used in a previous review in dystonia (40), with the addition of Web of Science as it is recommended as an optimal database for efficient coverage (41). The final search was performed on November 25th, 2019. Database thesauruses and Medical Search History (MeSH) terms were searched for key words relating to dystonia and anxiety/depression. The search strategies also included free-text searches to capture any articles that may not be indexed correctly. Full details of the search strings are available in table 1-1. Searches were filtered to only include peer-reviewed literature in the English language. Once the search had been conducted, duplicates were removed, and the remaining papers screened for suitability.

[Table 1-1 about here]
**Inclusion criteria**

Papers were deemed suitable if they met the following inclusion criteria:

- Were quantitative empirical research.
- Participants were adults (aged >16 years) who had a diagnosis of primary dystonia.
- Included a validated measure of anxiety or depression, or diagnosis via gold standard diagnostic interview.
- Included a psychological factor (e.g. coping styles, personality traits).
- Reported a relationship between the psychological variable and anxiety or depression.

Studies were included if psychological factors were looked at in relation to anxiety or depression even if this was not the primary aim of the study. The subscales of quality of life measures that met this criterion (i.e. those that pertained to social and emotional role functioning) were included. The ‘validated’ measures of anxiety and depression had been established within research as having reliability and validity. Papers were excluded if dystonia was secondary to another neurological condition or as an extrapyramidal effect of medication.

**Data extraction and quality assessment**

Data extraction was performed by the author. Information extracted from each study included the sample size, age and sex of participants where stated, design and analysis, the country where the study was conducted, the psychological variables examined, and measures used to assess depression and anxiety. Information was also extracted to assess the risk of bias (study quality).
The quality of selected studies was assessed using the Appraisal tool for Cross-Sectional Studies (AXIS tool) (42). This scale is designed for cross-sectional research and consists of 20 questions that measure aspects of study quality including clear aims and objectives, the representativeness of the sample, information regarding non-responders, whether validated measures were used, statistical methodology and any conflicts of interest (see appendix 1-1 for full list of questions). To score the studies, each question was rated on a binary scale with 1 for questions that could be answered ‘yes’ and 0 for questions where the answer was ‘no’ or ‘not determined’. There were two exceptions to this; questions 13 and 19 where the phrasing meant that an answer of ‘yes’ would suggest a higher risk of bias, so these were reverse scored. Following the assessment each study was given a score between 0-20, with higher scores representing a lower risk of bias and higher quality of research. A colleague also performed a quality assessment of a random sample of eight (50%) of the papers to check for bias, followed by a discussion to resolve a few minor discrepancies which were related to the interpretation of a couple of the questions. For example, the question ‘Were the results for the analyses described in the methods, presented?’ was interpreted by one colleague to mean the analyses should be in the methods section. The other colleague gave a score if the analyses were described in the methods or results section. Final scores were agreed on by both parties.

Results

Study selection

The initial literature search returned 2148 articles from the databases CINAHL (n=97), PsycINFO (n=554), Medline (n=262) and Web of science (n=1235). Duplicates were removed leaving 1814 articles for screening. The titles and abstracts of these articles were examined according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria leaving 57 articles for a full text examination.
The reference lists of these articles were also screened for any further studies, but none were identified. Following full text examination, 41 studies were excluded due to not including anxiety/depression as correlates (n=21), not using a validated measure (n=2), a relationship between anxiety depression and other psychological factors not being examined (n=15) and using the same cohort and analysis as another study (n=3). This left 16 studies which were eligible for inclusion in this review. A flow diagram of the selection process can be seen in figure 1-1.

General study characteristics and quality appraisals

Table 1-2 shows a summary of the studies included in the review. All the studies included used a cross-sectional design. Correlational analyses were used in seven papers and five carried out multiple regressions. Three papers used between groups analyses and one used a cluster analysis. Four of the studies were carried out in the UK (24,43–45), four in Germany (27,28,46,47), four in the USA (48–51) and one each in Austria (52), Serbia (53), Italy (54) and The Netherlands (55). Focal dystonia was examined in 14 of the studies (27,28,43,44,46–55) with the remaining two (24,45) using a mixed sample. Two studies also included patients with hemifacial spasm within their participants (24,54), though, as they were a small percentage of a large sample, the decision was made to include the findings in this review.

Recruitment for the studies was primarily via hospitals or clinics (27,28,46,47,49,50,52–55). Three recruited using an existing epidemiological sample (24,45,51), although two of these were from the same epidemiological sample (24,45). One study recruited from a mailing list of people previously involved in research (44) and another study used a subgroup of these participants (43). One study did not specify from where the sample was recruited (48).
The studies included represented a total of 1585 participants, not double counting the studies which used the same pool of participants (24,43–45,49,50). For those studies which included male and female participants and stated the percentage of each gender (n=13), the number of males ranged from 25.4% to 80% with a median of 40.8%. For the studies which indicated ages (n=12) these ranged from 17-91 years with mean ages ranging from 40.9-64 years. For studies that mentioned disease duration (n = 7) this ranged from 6 months to 56.6 years. For those that included mean age of onset (n = 9) this ranged from 34.7 years to 56.3 years.

[Table 1-2 about here]

Quality appraisal

Table 1-3 outlines the quality appraisal using the AXIS tool (42). The scores from the quality appraisal ranged from 12 to 20 out of a possible 20. The main issues which contributed to lower scores were not justifying sample sizes and not addressing non-responders. Sample sizes ranged from 18 to 329, with a median of 86. One study justified their sample size with a sample size calculation for a power of 0.85 (55). Participant characteristics were described in all of the studies and 11 addressed non responders (24,27,28,43–45,47,49–51,55). Response rates were stated in six studies and ranged from 68% (44) to 92.4% (27). For the 68% response rate it was reported that there were no differences between the responders and non-responders in terms of clinical and demographic variables (44).

Three studies took steps to account for multiple statistical tests. One utilised the Bonferroni correction (46) and two adjusted their significance level to p<0.001 (43) and p<0.005 (45). Other studies that used a high number of statistical tests without taking steps to mitigate this were more vulnerable to type 1 error (24,28,44,47,48,50,51,53–55).
Outcome measures

The majority of the studies looked at both anxiety and depression (27,28,47–51,53–55). Five looked at only depression (24,43–45,52) and one at only anxiety (46).

A number of different measures were used to assess depression and anxiety. The following measures were used to assess depression: the Beck’s Depression Inventory (BDI) (56) (n=7) (24,43–45,52,54,55), the Beck’s Depression Inventory II (BDI-II) (57) (n=1) (51), the Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS) (58) (n=1) (48), the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) (59) (n=1) (51), and the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) (60) (n=1) (53).

Anxiety was examined using the following measures: the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) (61) (n=2) (48,54), the Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HARS) (62) (n=1) (53), the Competitive Trait Anxiety Inventory (CTAI) (63) (n=1) (46), the Social Phobia Scale (SPS) (64) (n=1) (27), the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) (65) (n=1) (55) and The Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS) (66) (n=1) (51). The following measures looked at both depression and anxiety: the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) (67) (n=3) (49–51) and the anxiety and depression subscales of the Symptom Checklist-90 (SCL-90) (68) (n=1) (47).

Two studies (27,28) assessed for psychiatric comorbidity (including anxiety and depression) using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID) (69).

Prevalence of anxiety and depression in the samples

Current prevalence of anxiety and/or depression was reported in nine of the studies (24,27,43,44,48,50–52,55). The prevalence rates for depression ranged from 2.8% (50) to 53.4% (27). The prevalence rates for anxiety ranged from 11% for state anxiety (48) or 13.4 % for general anxiety (50) to 50% (27). Hu (50) commented on the particularly low rates of anxiety
and depression in their sample compared to the wider literature, suggesting that their participants had been living for a long time with SD (mean duration >10 years) and were established on effective doses of botulinum toxin. In addition, the rates for anxiety in the study conducted by Gundel et al. (27) were higher than has been reported in previous research. This may be because they modified the social phobia diagnosis in the DSM-IV to allow it to be applied to those with a disabling or disfiguring physical condition. Traditionally these participants would not have met the criteria for this diagnosis, therefore increasing the prevalence rates in this study.

Correlates of anxiety and depression

**Body concept**

Body concept (BC) is the conceptual image about one’s own body and was examined in five studies in relation to anxiety and depression (24, 27, 43–45). Four of these (24, 43–45) used the ‘Body Concept Scale’ developed by Jahanshahi and Marsden (25), where a higher score indicates a more negative BC. Two studies also included a measure of ‘disfigurement’ (24, 44), a single item rating scale designed to assess the degree of self-perceived disfigurement. Jahanshahi and Marsden (44) found that BC was significantly correlated with depression in CD with a large effect size, with a more negative BC relating to an increase in depressive symptoms. However, when entered into a hierarchical multiple regression analysis alongside disfigurement, extraversion, neuroticism, and functional disability, BC accounted for less than 1% of the variance of depression. They suggested that the contribution of BC was minimal once the effects of perceived disfigurement had been accounted for, with disfigurement accounting for 13.5% of the variance in depression. Jahanshahi (43) again found that a more negative BC was associated with an increase in depressive symptoms in CD (with a large effect size). However, it was not a significant predictor in a stepwise multiple regression when included alongside self-depreciation,
disability, maladaptive coping, satisfaction with social support, belief in control by powerful others and three ratings of clinical severity. Lewis et al. (24) extended these studies to include participants with focal, generalised or segmental dystonia. They found that negative BC was still associated with an increase in depressive symptoms. In a stepwise multiple regression alongside self-esteem, disfigurement and quality of life, BC accounted for 6% and disfigurement accounted for 2% of the variance of depression scores. This variance accounted for by BC was maintained once the analyses was repeated to exclude participants with CD suggesting that this relationship affects those with other types of dystonia. However, disfigurement was no longer a significant variable once the analyses was repeated to exclude participants with CD suggesting this concept may be more relevant to those with CD than other types of dystonia. Page et al. (45) also included participants with varying types of dystonia. They looked at depression as a predictor of BC and found that scores on the BDI accounted for 43% of the variance in BC, highlighting the potential bidirectional relationship between these two concepts.

In the Gundel et al. (27) study, participants assessed their body image dissatisfaction on a self-rating visual analogue scale (VAS), with higher values indicating greater body image dissatisfaction. In their stepwise multiple regression analysis, they found that body image dissatisfaction was one of the independent predictors of social phobia when examined alongside education, marital status, tsui score (severity of CD), extent of CD, frequency of Botox, pain, significant life event in year prior to diagnosis and depressive coping.

**Self-esteem**

Self-esteem was examined in two studies (24,43). In Jahanshahi (43) this was divided into self-worth and self-depreciation using the Rosenberg’s self-esteem scale (RSES) (57). Their findings showed that the participants who were moderately to severely depressed, as measured
using a cut off score of 17 on the BDI, had significantly lower self-worth and significantly higher levels of self-deprecation. Both of these measures were also strongly correlated with depression with a large effect size. In a stepwise regression analysis alongside disability, degree of head control, satisfaction with social support and maladaptive coping; self-deprecation was the strongest predictor of depression, accounting for 59% of the variance. A further regression analysis with self-deprecation as the outcome variable showed that BC and maladaptive coping accounted for 52% and 7% respectively of the variance in self-deprecation.

Lewis et al. (24) also used the RSES but used an overall score of self-esteem. They found that self-esteem was negatively correlated with depression with a medium effect size and, in a stepwise regression analysis, accounted for 56% of the depression scores when controlling for BC, disfigurement and quality of life. This only dropped slightly to 55% once they repeated the analysis to exclude participants with CD. This study also looked to isolate the contributors to self-esteem, and again found that BC was the strongest predictor, explaining 38% of the variance in self-esteem.

**Coping**

Four studies looked at coping styles in relation to psychological distress (27,43,46,47). The ‘Freiburger Fragebogen zur Krankheitsverarbeitung’ (FKV) (58) was used by two of the studies as a tool to examine coping strategies in people living with CD (27,47). Scheidt et al. (47) found that higher scores in depressive coping (described as cognitions and emotional attitudes towards the disease such as feeling guilty and blaming oneself), active problem focussed coping, and wishful thinking (described as denial and escapism into fantasy) were all related to increases in anxiety and depression. The effect size of wishful thinking and active problem focussed coping was small and the effect size for depressive coping was medium.
Gundel et al. (27) found that, in a stepwise regression alongside body image dissatisfaction, clinical variables and demographic variables, depressive coping was the main predictor of psychiatric comorbidity (including anxiety and depression) and social phobia.

Ioannou and Altenmueller (46) used the Stress Coping Questionnaire (SVF-78) (59) and found that coping strategies of ‘mental perseveration’ (described as being unable to break off from thoughts) and ‘self-incrimination’ (described as attributing stress to one’s own mistakes) were correlated with the self-doubt concern and somatic anxiety subscales of the CTAI with a medium to large effect size in focal hand dystonia. They also found that the coping strategy of ‘flight tendency’ (described as using thoughts of escape) was not significantly correlated with the subscales of the CTAI. In Jahanshahi’s (43) study the ‘ways of coping’ checklist (73) was used, adapted for chronically ill populations (74). They divided the coping strategies into either ‘maladaptive coping’ for those that were associated with increased depressive symptoms (wish fulfilling fantasy $r = 0.48$, religious faith $r = 0.24$), or ‘adaptive coping’ for those associated with fewer depressive symptoms (threat minimisation $r = -0.46$, positive appraisal $r = -0.08$, cognitive restructuring $r = -0.18$, instrumental coping $r = -0.04$). When entered into a stepwise multiple regression alongside self-depreciation, disability, degree of head control, and satisfaction with social support, maladaptive coping explained 1.7% of the variance in depressive symptoms.

**Personality traits**

Personality traits were examined in three studies (28,44,46). Specifically perfectionism (46), extraversion (28,44), neuroticism (28,44) and openness (28). Ioannou & Altenmueller (46) examined perfectionism in musicians with focal hand dystonia using the Frost Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (FMPS) (62). They found that ‘concern over mistakes’ and ‘doubts about
actions’ were both positively correlated with the ‘self-doubt concern’ and ‘somatic anxiety’ subscales of the CTAI with medium to large effect sizes.

Extraversion and neuroticism were examined in two studies (28,44). Jahanshahi & Marsden (44) measured these using the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire (76) and Lencer et al. (28) used the NEO five factor inventory (NEO-FFI) (64). Jahanshahi & Marsden (44) found that depression was positively correlated with neuroticism and negatively correlated with extraversion, both having medium effect sizes. They also found that, when entered into a stepwise regression alongside functional disability, BC and disfigurement, extraversion accounted for 14% and neuroticism accounted for 13% of the variance of depression. Lencer et al. (28) looked at the difference between groups of those with primary focal dystonia either affected by, or not affected by, a psychiatric disorder as measured using the SCID. They found the participants who met the criteria for a diagnosis of major depression or anxiety scored significantly higher on scores of neuroticism than those who did not meet the criteria. This difference was not observed on scores of extraversion. Those who met the criteria for social phobia scored significantly higher on the scale of neuroticism, and significantly lower on the scale of extraversion than those without social phobia. They also looked at scores of ‘openness’ and found no significant differences between those with anxiety, depression or social phobia and those without. Despite the different findings, both studies examining extraversion were similar in terms of quality which was rated as good.

**Self-efficacy and locus of control**

Self-efficacy was examined in two studies (49,50) relating to anxiety and depression in participants with SD. Both studies used the same sample of participants but different analyses. Self-efficacy was measured using the General Self-Efficacy Scale (GSES) (78) alongside a
Disease Specific Self-Efficacy in SD Scale (DSSES) which was developed by the authors in the first paper and based in part on the GSES (49). In Hu et al. (49) they found that higher levels of general self-efficacy (GSE) were significantly correlated with lower levels of anxiety and depression with medium effect sizes, and that higher levels of disease specific self-efficacy (DSSE) were significantly correlated with lower levels of anxiety and depression with medium to large effect sizes. Hu et al. (50) entered these variables into a linear regression model alongside demographic variables and measures of clinician and subjective ratings of voice. They found that GSE was a significant predictor of anxiety but not depression (p<0.001) and that DSSE was a significant predictor of depression but not anxiety (p<0.001). However, the linear regression models included both measures of self-efficacy which may have correlated highly with each other leading to issues of multicollinearity.

In the analysis done by Jahanshahi (43) locus of control was examined using the Multidimensional Health Locus of Control Scale (MHLOC) (79). This is divided into three subscales relating to belief in control by internal, chance and powerful others. Correlation analysis showed that a higher level of belief in internal control was significantly correlated with lower levels of depression with a small to medium effect size, whereas a higher belief in the control of powerful others was significantly correlated with an increase in depression with a large effect size.

**Psychosocial Subscales of Quality of Life Measures**

Two studies looked at depression/anxiety in relation to the psychosocial subscales of the SF-36 quality of life measure (53,55). In a univariate analysis Smit et al. (55) found that lower scores on the domain of ‘social functioning’ were significantly correlated with increased depression with a medium effect size, but not anxiety (though this had a small effect size). They
also found that lower scores on ‘emotional role limitation’ were significantly correlated with an increase in both anxiety and depression in participants with CD, with a medium to large effect size.

Pekmezovic et al. (53) included participants with CD, blepharospasm and writer’s cramp. Lower scores in social functioning were significantly correlated with higher levels of depression for all groups (large effect sizes) and with anxiety for CD and blepharospasm (medium to large effect sizes) but not writer’s cramp despite this still having a medium effect size. Lower scores on the emotional role limitation domain were significantly correlated with an increase in anxiety and depression for CD and blepharospasm (medium to large effect sizes) but not writer’s cramp despite this also having a medium effect size for both anxiety and depression. Out of these two studies, Smit et al (55) was of a higher quality.

**Social support**

Only one study considered the role of social support in relation to depression in CD (43) which was measured using the Social Support Questionnaire (80). This measure looks at both the amount of social support available and the individual’s satisfaction with that support. Correlation analyses found that a lower amount of support, and low levels of satisfaction with support were significantly correlated with an increase in depressive symptoms with a medium effect size. In a stepwise multiple regression, satisfaction with social support accounted for 1.6% of the variance in depression scores when entered into the model with self-depreciation, disability, degree of head control and maladaptive coping.

**Sexual wellbeing**

Sexual wellbeing was considered in one study looking at CD and blepharospasm (54). This was evaluated using the Sexual Functioning Inventory (SFI) (81) which has the subscales of
infrequency, non-communication, dissatisfaction, avoidance, non-sensuality, premature ejaculation/vaginismus and impotence/anorgasmia. Their analysis showed that a lower level of sexual wellbeing (as indicated by a higher overall score on the scale) and high scores of infrequency were significantly correlated with an increase in depressive symptoms with a small to medium effect size. High scores of sexual infrequency were also significantly correlated with an increase in anxiety with a medium effect size.

**Substance Abuse**

One study looked at the role of substance abuse in CD (51). Their analysis found that participants who met the criteria for substance abuse as determined by the SCID had a significantly higher level of depressive symptoms (as measured by the PHQ-9 and BDI-II) and distress (as measured by the HADS total score). As the HADS total score was used it is not clear whether this also suggested higher rates of anxiety. Social anxiety specifically as measured by the LSAS was not significantly different in those who met the criteria for substance abuse compared to those who did not.

**Other psychological concepts**

Other psychological concepts were examined in two of the studies, somatic complaints (48,52) interpersonal sensitivity, obsessive compulsiveness and psychoticism (52). Moraru et al. (52) used the subscales of the Symptom Checklist (SCL-90) (68) to examine whether there was any correlation with depression as measured by the BDI in participants with CD. The sample size in this study was small (n=40). The findings suggested that those who were moderately/severely depressed (n=5) scored significantly higher on the subscales of somatization, obsessive-compulsiveness symptoms, interpersonal sensitivity and psychoticism when compared to non-depressed participants (n=23). When they compared the non-depressed participants with those
who scored as mildly depressed (n=12) they found a significant difference in scores for obsessive-compulsiveness, hostility and somatisation.

Cannito (48) also examined somatic complaints in a sample with SD. The measure used was a Somatic Complaints Checklist developed by the author. They found that an increase in somatic complaints were significantly correlated with an increase in depression and state anxiety, both with a large effect size. There was no significant correlation between somatic complaints and trait anxiety although there was a medium effect size (r = 0.29), so the lack of statistical significance may be due to the study being underpowered to detect this effect (n=18). Both studies examining somatic complaints were of a low quality.

Discussion

This review sought to examine which psychological factors have been investigated in dystonia and what their relationship was with anxiety and depression. The limited number of studies identified (n=16) suggests that there has been little focus on psychological correlates of anxiety and depression in dystonia. The most frequently examined factors were BC, coping and personality traits followed by self-esteem, psychosocial domains of QoL, self-efficacy and somatic complaints. In addition, some of these factors seemed to interact with each other to influence the overall scores of depression and anxiety. Other factors were only included in single studies including locus of control, social support, sexual wellbeing, substance abuse and psychological symptoms as measured by the SCL-90.

BC was the most examined psychological factor in relation to depression. This is a multifaceted concept which incorporates an individual’s feelings and beliefs about their body including an evaluation of how it is perceived by others (82). Negative BC has been shown to be experienced by people with dystonia and a review found it was related to poorer quality of life
outcomes (83). The results here suggest it is also related to depression with a large effect size. When examined alongside a variety of other demographic and psychological variables, the reliability of BC as a predictor of depression varied when perceived disfigurement was included as another variable. The results were not consistent between studies. Lewis et al. (24) suggested a larger role of BC, and Jahanshahi and Marsden (44) found that disfigurement accounted for the majority of the variance in depression. In addition, Lewis et al. (24) found that disfigurement was no longer a significant predictor when those with CD were removed from the analysis. This suggests there is some complexity and overlap between these two constructs which may warrant further investigation, as well as a difference between different types of dystonia. BC was found to be a reliable predictor of social phobia (27), although this study did not include a measure of perceived disfigurement. The findings also support a relationship between BC and self-depreciation which adds another layer of complexity into these potential relationships. Sociologists generally consider the body to be central to our self-identity and the image we have of ourselves (84). Research into body image has shown that there is a strong relationship between levels of self-depreciation and an individual’s view of their own body image (85). Body image has also been looked at in relation to multiple sclerosis (86) showing evidence for the impact this has on psychological outcomes such as depression and self-esteem. Both self-esteem and self-depreciation were correlated with depression with large effect sizes in this review. In addition, one study looked specifically at whether depression predicted BC (45), highlighting the potential bi-directional relationship between these two concepts. Further longitudinal research could help identify in more detail how BC, disfigurement, and self-esteem may be interrelated and impact on each other as well as on the experience of psychological distress.
After BC, coping strategies were the next most examined concept. Coping is thought to mediate the relationship between stress, including that related to a chronic health condition, and psychological outcomes such as anxiety and depression (87,88). Coping strategies were found to correlate with anxiety and depression with small to large effect sizes and were independent predictors when entered into regression models. Coping styles were generally divided into ‘adaptive’ and ‘maladaptive’. Maladaptive coping styles included depressive coping, wishful thinking, mental perseveration, self-incrimination, flight tendency and religious faith. Adaptive coping styles included ‘active problem focussed’ coping, threat minimisation, positive appraisal, cognitive restructuring and instrumental coping. The results from the review regarding coping were largely in keeping with the wider literature that suggests maladaptive coping styles can lead to increased levels of distress (89–91). One of the exceptions to this finding was that ‘active problem focussed’ coping, which would be classified as a more ‘adaptive’ strategy, was related to higher levels of anxiety in one of the studies, albeit with a small effect size (47). This may be because the impact of coping strategies can also be dependent on the specific context of the stressful situation (92,93). There is evidence that coping styles are not necessarily utilised in isolation (94). Therefore, participants may have used maladaptive coping strategies alongside the adaptive coping strategies, or utilised ‘active problem focussed’ coping in relation to a specific stressor. These findings suggest the relationship between distress and coping is complex and that it might be useful to consider the context in which a coping style is used before deciding if it is adaptive or not. In addition, the cross-sectional design of the study also limits how much can be inferred from the findings. For example, it may be that the anxiety had prompted the use of the active problem solving, rather than being a result of this, or indeed both the increase in anxiety and the increase in problem solving could be due to other underlying factors. Furthermore,
categorising coping in ways such as ‘maladaptive’ and ‘adaptive’, although useful for broader investigation, can undermine the potential relationships between strategies and the complexity of managing stress relating to chronic illness (92, 95). Nonetheless there is some evidence to support the role that coping plays in psychological distress and attention should be given to this in future research.

Personality traits (neuroticism, extraversion and perfectionism) were looked at across a range of dystonia types and found to be related to anxiety and depression with a medium effect size. They were reliable predictors when examined alongside a variety of other demographic and psychological variables. Personality is thought to affect coping styles and emotional responses to the experience of having a chronic illness (96) and have been examined in the broader general literature on psychological distress and wellbeing (97, 98).

Neuroticism has also been linked to individuals experiencing negative emotional responses in threatening situations (99) which could be related to the stress of living with a chronic condition. There were differences between two studies on whether extraversion was related to lower levels of depression (28, 44) despite both studies being of a similar quality, but the null finding of Lencer et al. (28) may have been due to the small sample size (there were only five participants in the ‘depressed’ group) or the fact that Jahanshahi and Marsden (44) used the BDI whereas Lencer et al. (28) used the SCID. Perfectionism has been shown to lead to lower levels of wellbeing and has also been linked to higher levels of neuroticism (100) suggesting there may be interplay between different personality traits. In addition, the concept of extraversion has been shown to have different facets which may not have been captured in the measures used, and which have different relationships with depression (101).
Higher levels of self-efficacy, as measured by both a general and a disease specific scale, was found to be related to lower levels of distress with medium to large effect sizes. They were also reliable predictors of anxiety (GSE) and depression (DSSE) (49,50). Self-efficacy is an individual's belief that they are able to achieve a specific goal or task and has been shown to have a strong relationship with health behaviours (102). It has also been associated with psychological outcomes in other movement disorders such as multiple sclerosis (103). The findings in this review also considered the relationship between locus of control (the belief someone has in how much control they, or external forces, have over a situation) and psychological distress. Other areas of health research have suggested that high levels of a belief in chance, or in powerful others, can lead to increased levels of distress and lower quality of life (104,105) and these findings were echoed in this review. However, an external locus of control may also be seen as being more realistic and adaptive for conditions which are considered chronic (106). Locus of control has been shown to change over the course of an illness (107) which suggests that duration of disease may also be a relevant factor when examining this in the research. However, in the reviewed studies the disease duration of participants was too varied to draw any conclusions as to possible interactions between this and locus of control.

Psychosocial subscales of a quality life measure, namely ‘emotional role limitation’ and ‘social functioning’, were found to be related to anxiety and depression across different types of dystonia with medium to large effect sizes. The SF-36 is a commonly used measure of quality of life and has been frequently used in dystonia (108). Concerns have been raised about its use with other neurological disorders (109–111) and an analysis of the measure in the context of dystonia found that the ‘role emotional’ scale had large floor and ceiling effects (112). This suggests this subscale may underestimate any clinical change and the results should be interpreted with
caution. However, the ‘social functioning’ subscale was found to be reliable and can be interpreted with more confidence (112). Although the two studies showed differing results in relation to the link between anxiety and social functioning, the study by Smit et al. (55) showed findings that approached significance and that had a small effect size. In addition, this study was rated as a higher quality than Pekmezovic et al. (53) which may add more strength to their findings.

The findings from Moraru et al. (52) suggested that somatization, obsessive-compulsive, interpersonal sensitivity, hostility and psychoticism all played a role in depression, and similar findings have been observed in Parkinson’s disease (113). The findings that somatic complaints were correlated with anxiety and depression should be interpreted with caution as the scales used to examine anxiety and depression also include questions regarding somatic complaints. In research looking at the role of somatization in disability, the unique contributing effect of somatization reduced when anxiety and depression were added to the model (114) posing a challenge when formulating the relationships between these constructs.

In addition to the single studies examining locus of control and the SCL-90, sexual wellbeing, social support and substance abuse were also only investigated once. Aspects of sexual wellbeing were correlated with anxiety and depression with medium effect sizes. Social support was correlated with depression with a medium effect size and was also an independent predictor in a regression model. Substance abuse was found to be related to higher levels of depression and distress. As these were only looked at in singular studies it can be hard to draw conclusions beyond highlighting the need for conducting more research within this area.

*Clinical Implications*
The range of psychological variables looked at in relation to anxiety and depression highlights the need for individual and holistic psychological formulations for people with dystonia who are also struggling with psychological distress. However, evidence for effective behavioural interventions in dystonia is limited (40). Treatment options tend to be based on recommendations developed for non-dystonic populations (35) and the recommended treatment for depression, anxiety and social phobia is cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) (31,40,115). One study has examined the use of CBT and mindfulness in dystonia (115) and their findings suggested that a three-day residential programme utilising these approaches improved wellbeing and reduced distress. However, they caution that inferences from their data are limited due to the low number of participants (n=9). Qualitative data from the study also suggested that mindfulness was used as a coping style at follow up. Consideration should be given for the utility of CBT in relation to social phobia as people living with dystonia often experience scrutiny and negative responses such as stigma from others (116,117) so an approach that focuses on challenging ‘incorrect beliefs’, including performing behavioural experiments, may be counterproductive. An approach such as Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) may be more beneficial as the focus is on developing valued behaviours whilst accepting, rather than trying to change, any thoughts and feelings (118). ACT has shown promise as an intervention in long term health conditions and muscle disorders (119).

Given the role of body image and self-esteem in depression, interventions that focus on feelings of embarrassment and shame could be considered for this population. One such approach is to develop self-compassion, a gentle and kind way of relating to oneself during suffering as opposed to self-criticism (120), which can be developed using a Compassion Focussed Therapy approach (121). Self-compassion has been shown to decrease negative affect.
in a study looking at participants with visible skin conditions (122). There is also evidence that it reduces body related distress and increases body appreciation in participants with breast cancer (123). Self-compassion has been associated with an increase in adaptive coping and decrease in maladaptive coping strategies in the context of chronic illness (124) suggesting that this may be a viable approach for these factors in those with dystonia.

**Limitations**

The BDI was the most commonly used measure of depression in the studies reviewed, however only one study engaged with the measure of the BDI in a way that considered the overlap in symptomology of dystonia (24). Scores on some of the measures may be artificially inflated due to their reliance on symptoms such as fatigue and sleep disruption which may be more related to the symptomology of dystonia rather than psychological distress (19). In addition, many of the tools used were screening rather than diagnostic which tend to focus on the two weeks prior to completion (125). This also makes it more difficult to draw comparisons between those studies that used screening measures and those which used the SCID which is a diagnostic tool. In addition, the wide variety of measures used outside of the BDI made comparisons and assimilation of the research difficult.

Another limitation was that the studies were all cross-sectional in design so causation cannot be inferred, and relationships may be bi-directional, as was shown in the relationship between body image and depression. The majority of the studies were also vulnerable to type 1 error due to the large number of statistical tests performed on the data, with only three accounting for this by either adjusting the significance level or utilising the Bonferroni correction. Therefore, caution should be applied when interpreting these results.
Furthermore, this review contained studies which examined a range of different types of dystonia. Whilst this is useful for an overall picture, the experiences of distress and psychological variables may differ depending on type and location of the condition.

**Future research**

This review highlighted that research on the psychological factors involved in anxiety and depression in those living with dystonia is limited. Research carried out with this population should remain critical of the measures used and take steps to ensure validity with this population. One option to consider might be the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale -21 (DASS-21) (126,127) which, although not used specifically with dystonia, has been used with other neurological conditions which present with a motor element (128,129). In addition, looking more closely at relationships between psychological factors and their effect on anxiety and depression utilising more complex statistical models and a longitudinal design will further advance understanding of the mechanisms involved in the development and maintenance of distress. An example of this would be a model that seeks to examine the relationship between BC, perceived disfigurement, self-depreciation and depression in those with cervical dystonia.

Social support is another area which warrants further research. It was only examined in one study within this review, however other reviews looking at psychological correlates of anxiety, depression and adjustment in both Parkinson’s disease and multiple sclerosis identified social support and interactions as being related to levels of distress and adjustment (37,38). Social context and how it might impact on how one relates to oneself would also be a useful focus of future research, particularly given the increased amounts of stigma experienced by this population (116).

**Conclusion**
Drawing on a biopsychosocial understanding, this review aimed to identify research that had been conducted on psychological variables in relation to anxiety and depression and explore the strength of these relationships. A search of the literature revealed limited research had been done in this area, but included factors relating to distress such as coping styles, BC, self-esteem and personality traits, indicating a role for psychological variables and highlighting the need for more comprehensive research in this area. This also has clinical implications for the assessment and management of psychological distress in dystonia.
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### Database search strategy

**Table 1-1**

#### CINAHL [97 hits]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus 1</th>
<th>Focus 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dystonia</strong></td>
<td><strong>Anxiety/ Depression</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MH &quot;Dystonia&quot;</td>
<td>- MH &quot;Depression&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MH &quot;Dystonic Disorders&quot;</td>
<td>- MH &quot;Affective Disorders&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MH &quot;Dystonia Musculorum Deformans&quot;</td>
<td>- MH &quot;Anxiety&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MH &quot;Focal Hand Dystonia&quot;</td>
<td>- Free text “anxiety or depression or psychiatric disorders or mental health or distress or stress or mood or wellbeing”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MH &quot;Meige Syndrome&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MH &quot;Torticollis&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Free text “Dystonia musculorum deformans OR dystonic disorders OR generalised dystonia OR dystonia* OR torticollis* OR cervical dystonia OR spasmodic dysphonia* OR musician* cramp OR writer* cramp OR blepharospasm* OR meige* syndrome”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MEDLINE [262 hits]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus 1</th>
<th>Focus 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dystonia</strong></td>
<td><strong>Anxiety/ Depression</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MH &quot;Dystonia&quot;</td>
<td>- MH &quot;Depression&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MH &quot;Dystonic Disorders&quot;</td>
<td>- MH &quot;Anxiety Disorders&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MH &quot;Dystonia Musculorum Deformans&quot;</td>
<td>- MH &quot;Anxiety&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MH &quot;Meige Syndrome&quot;</td>
<td>- MH “phobia, social”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Free text “Dystonia musculorum deformans OR dystonic disorders OR generalised dystonia OR dystonia* OR torticollis* OR cervical dystonia OR spasmodic dysphonia* OR musician* cramp OR writer*”</td>
<td>- Free text “anxiety OR depression OR psychiatric disorders OR mental health OR distress OR stress OR mood OR wellbeing”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PsycINFO [554 hits]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus 1</th>
<th>Focus 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dystonia</strong></td>
<td><strong>Anxiety/ Depression</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- DE &quot;Torticollis&quot;</td>
<td>- DE &quot;Anxiety Disorders&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- DE &quot;Tremor&quot;</td>
<td>- DE &quot;Death Anxiety&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- DE &quot;Muscular Disorders&quot;</td>
<td>- DE &quot;Generalized Anxiety Disorder&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- DE &quot;Muscle Spasms&quot;</td>
<td>- DE &quot;Obsessive Compulsive Disorder&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Free text “Dystonia musculorum deformans OR dystonic disorders OR generali?ed dystonia OR dystonia* OR torticollis* OR cervical dystonia OR spasmodic dysphonia* OR musician* cramp OR writer* cramp OR blepharospasm* OR meige* syndrome”</td>
<td>- DE &quot;Panic Attack&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Panic Disorder&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Phobias&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Separation Anxiety Disorder&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Trichotillomania&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Anxiety&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Major Depression&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Anaclitic Depression&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Dysthymic Disorder&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Endogenous Depression&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Late Life Depression&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Reactive Depression&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Recurrent Depression&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Treatment Resistant Depression&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Affective Disorders&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DE &quot;Depression (Emotion)&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Free text “anxiety OR depression OR psychiatric disorders OR mental health OR distress OR stress OR mood OR wellbeing”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Web of Science [1876 hits]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Dystonia</strong></th>
<th><strong>Anxiety/ Depression</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Free text “Dystonia musculorum deformans OR dystonic disorders OR generalised dystonia OR dystonia* OR torticollis* OR cervical dystonia OR spasmodic dysphonia* OR musician* cramp OR writer* cramp OR blepharospasm* OR meige* syndrome”</td>
<td>- Free text “anxiety OR depression OR psychiatric disorders OR mental health OR distress OR stress OR mood OR wellbeing”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: MH = MesH; DE = Descriptors. Searches were conducted on titles and abstracts. All terms for within focus were combined with OR. Focus 1 and 2 were combined with AND.*
### Table 1-2

**Characteristics of included studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/country</th>
<th>Sample N (%m, Mean age); setting</th>
<th>Type of dystonia</th>
<th>Design &amp; analysis</th>
<th>Psychological correlates</th>
<th>Depression/Anxiety measures used</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cannito (1991), USA (48)</td>
<td>18 (0%, 48.53)</td>
<td>Spasmodic Dysphonia</td>
<td>Cross sectional, Between groups (control group = 18 healthy controls) and within group correlations</td>
<td>Somatic complaints</td>
<td>STAI; SDS</td>
<td>Somatic complaints were correlated with depression (r=.535; p&lt;.05) and state anxiety (r=.563; p&lt;.05), but not trait anxiety (r=.292).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gundel et al (2001), Germany (27)</td>
<td>116 (47%, 51.5); hospital</td>
<td>Spasmodic Torticollis</td>
<td>Cross sectional. Stepwise multiple regression</td>
<td>Depressive coping; body image dissatisfaction; incriminating life event</td>
<td>Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV; SPS (Social phobia)</td>
<td>Depressive coping (p&lt;0.01; odds ratio=10.8, 95% CI 3.0-40.1) was the main predictor of current psychiatric comorbidity. Body image dissatisfaction was a predictor of social phobia (p=0.05; odds ratio=2.4, 95% CI 1.0-6.3).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Hu et al (2013), USA (49) | 145 (24.8%, 59.5); clinic; ns | Spasmodic Dysphonia | Cross sectional, correlations | Self-efficacy | HADS | High self-efficacy was negatively correlated with anxiety (r = -0.42; p<0.001) and depression (r=0.42; p<0.001) Disease specific self-efficacy was negatively correlated with anxiety (r = -}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Sample Characteristics</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hu et al (2018), USA (50)</td>
<td>142 (25.4%, 59.2); clinic (from same sample as Hu et al. 2013)</td>
<td>Spasmodic Dysphonia</td>
<td>Cross sectional, logistic regression models</td>
<td>Self-efficacy &amp; Disease Specific Self Efficacy</td>
<td>HADS 0.43; p&lt;0.001 and depression (r = -0.57; p&lt;0.001). In a regression model a DSSE was a significant predictor of depression (p&lt;0.001) and GSES was a significant predictor of anxiety (p&lt;0.01).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ioannu et al (2014), Germany (46)</td>
<td>35 (80%, 45.5)</td>
<td>Focal Dystonia in Musicians</td>
<td>Cross sectional with exploratory cluster analysis</td>
<td>Perfectionism, Stress coping questionnaire</td>
<td>Competitive Trait Anxiety Inventory (CTAI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Jahanshahi (1991), UK (43) | 67 (52.3%, 53.3) (a subgroup from the 1990 paper); mailing list | Spasmodic Torticollis | Cross sectional, correlation and stepwise multiple regression | Body concept, ways of coping, acceptance of illness, self-esteem, health locus of control | BDI Maladaptive coping positively correlated with depression (r= 0.41; p<0.001) and adaptive coping was negatively correlated with depression (r= -0.27; p<0.05). Other correlations of depression significant to p<0.001 were self-depreciation (r= 0.77), self-worth (r= -0.71), disfigurement (r= 0.5), social support amount (r = -0.29) and satisfaction (r = -0.36), body concept (r = 0.72) and belief in control of powerful
### Psychological Correlates of Distress in Dystonia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Details</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Other Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jahanshahi &amp; Marsden (1990), UK (44)</td>
<td>85 (55.3%, 49.8); mailing list</td>
<td>Spasmodic Torticollis, Cross sectional, Between groups (control group = 49 with cervical spondylosis) and stepwise multiple regression</td>
<td>Body concept, personality traits, BDI others (r = 0.54). A significance level of (p&lt;0.001) was chosen to account for the number of correlations. Stepwise multiple regression showed variance in depression explained by self-depreciation (59%), Satisfaction with social support (1.6%) and maladaptive coping (1.7%). Disfigurement rating (r = 0.39), extraversion (r = -0.42), neuroticism (r = 0.54), and body concept (r = 0.70) were correlated with depression (p&lt;0.05). In the regression model variance in depression scores was explained by extraversion (13.6%), disfigurement (13.5%) neuroticism (13%) and body concept (0.04%) when included with objective clinical measures. Neuroticism was significantly higher in those with anxiety (p&lt;0.001), depression (p&lt;0.01), panic disorder (p&lt;0.001) and social phobia (p&lt;0.001); openness...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lencer et al (2009), Germany (28)</td>
<td>86 (26.7%, 57.9f, 50m); clinic</td>
<td>Cervical Dystonia, Blepharospasm, Cross sectional, between groups (patients with primary focal dystonia affected by psychiatric disorder)</td>
<td>Personality traits, Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Neuroticism was significantly higher in those with anxiety (p&lt;0.001), depression (p&lt;0.01), panic disorder (p&lt;0.001) and social phobia (p&lt;0.001); openness...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study (Year, Location)</td>
<td>Sample Size (Gender, Age)</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Design Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis et al (2008, UK)</td>
<td>329 (31.3%, 56.1); epidemiological survey</td>
<td>Mixed dystonia subtypes</td>
<td>Cross sectional, correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahajan et al (2018, USA)</td>
<td>208 (51.92%); dystonia coalition</td>
<td>Cervical Dystonia</td>
<td>Cross sectional, between groups analyses (those who met criteria for substance abuse compared with those who didn’t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moraru et al (2002, Austria)</td>
<td>40 (50%, 44.1m, 48.1f); clinic</td>
<td>Cervical Dystonia</td>
<td>Cross sectional, between groups (comparison of depressed and non-depressed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Study Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page et al (2007), UK</td>
<td>276 (33.6%, 55); epidemiological survey- From same pool of participants as Lewis et al (2008)</td>
<td>Primary dystonia</td>
<td>Cross sectional, between groups and correlational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pekmezovic et al (2009), Serbia (53)</td>
<td>157 (40.76%, ST46.7, BS64, WC40.9); clinic</td>
<td>Spasmodic Torticollis, Blepharospasm, Writer’s Cramp</td>
<td>Cross sectional, correlational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Sample Characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perozzo et al (2016), Italy (54)</td>
<td>60 (50%); hospital</td>
<td>Blepharospasm and spasmodic torticollis (study also included 15 hemifacial spasm patients)</td>
<td>Cross sectional, Pearson's analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheidt et al (1996), Germany (47)</td>
<td>256 (40.7%, 49.1); hospital</td>
<td>Spasmodic Torticollis</td>
<td>Cross sectional, Correlation, stepwise multiple regression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smit et al (2016), The Netherlands (55)</td>
<td>50 (54); clinic</td>
<td>Cervical dystonia</td>
<td>Cross sectional, Spearman's correlations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
functioning (r = -0.25; p<0.2) but was correlated with role limitation (emotional) (r = -0.45; p<0.01) and mental health (r = -0.65; p<0.01).

NOTE: BAI (Beck Anxiety Inventory); BDI (Beck Depression Inventory); CTAI (Competitive Trait Anxiety Inventory); HADS (Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale); HARS (Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale); HDRS (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale); LSAS (Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale); PHQ-9 (Patient Health Questionnaire-9); (SCL-90 (Symptom Check List – 90); SDS (Self Rating of Depression Scale); SF-36 (Short Form Survey-36); SPS (Social Phobia Scale); STAI (State-Trait Anxiety Inventory).
Table 1-3

Quality appraisal

|                | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | Total |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|      |
| Cannito, 1991  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12    |
| Gundel et al 2001 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15    |
| Hu et al 2013   | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 18   |
| Hu et al 2018   | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 18   |
| Ioannou & Aktenmullwe (2014) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15   |
| Jahanshahi (1991) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17   |
| Jahanshahi &   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | 17    |
| Study                          | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| Marsden (1990)                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Lencer et al (2009)           | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| Lewis et al (2008)            | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 16 |
| Mahajan et al (2018)          | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| Moraru et al (2002)           | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Page et al (2007)             | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 15 |
| Pekmezi et al (2009)          | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 |
| Perozzo et al (2016)          | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| Scheidt et al (1996)          | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 |

1 This paper was a single one in a series describing a multicentre study so was examined alongside the first paper of the series which outlined the participants and methods.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smit et al (2016)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The questions refer to the following sections of studies: 1= introduction; 2-11= method; 12-16 = results; 17-18 = discussion; 19-20 = other.

Full questions available in appendix 1.
Figure 1-1: Study search flow chart

Identification
- Records identified through search strategy (n=2148)

Screening
- Duplicate records removed (n=334)
- Titles and abstracts screened (n=1814)
- Records excluded (n=1757)

Eligibility
- Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n=57)
- Articles excluded:
  - Anxiety/depression not correlates (n=21)
  - Not used validated measure (n=2)
  - Not looked at in relation to psychosocial variables (n=15)
  - Same cohort/analysis as another study (n=3)
- Total excluded (n=41)

Included
- Studies included in the review (n=16)
Appendix 1-1

Appraisal tool for Cross-Sectional Studies (AXIS tool)

Questions

Introduction
1. Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?

Methods
2. Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?
3. Was the sample size justified?
4. Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it clear who the research was about?)
5. Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population base so that it closely represented the target/reference population under investigation?
6. Was the selection process likely to select subjects/participants that were representative of the target/reference population under investigation?
7. Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non-responders?
8. Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured appropriate to the aims of the study?
9. Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured correctly using instruments/measurements that had been trialled, piloted or published previously?
10. Is it clear what was used to determined statistical significance and/or precision estimates? (e.g., p values, CIs)
11. Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently described to enable them to be repeated?

Results
12. Were the basic data adequately described?
13. Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response bias?
14. If appropriate, was information about non-responders described?
15. Were the results internally consistent?
16. Were the results for the analyses described in the methods, presented?

Discussion
17. Were the authors’ discussions and conclusions justified by the results?
18. Were the limitations of the study discussed?

Other
19. were there any funding sources of conflicts of interest that may affect the authors’ interpretation of the results?
20. Was ethical approval or consent of participants attained?

Total
Appendix 1-2

Author guidelines for *Psychology and Health*

**Instructions for authors**

**COVID-19 impact on peer review**
As a result of the significant disruption that is being caused by the COVID-19 pandemic we understand that many authors and peer reviewers will be making adjustments to their professional and personal lives. As a result they may have difficulty in meeting the timelines associated with our peer review process. Please let the journal editorial office know if you need additional time. Our systems will continue to remind you of the original timelines but we intend to be flexible.

Thank you for choosing to submit your paper to us. These instructions will ensure we have everything required so your paper can move through peer review, production and publication smoothly. Please take the time to read and follow them as closely as possible, as doing so will ensure your paper matches the journal’s requirements.

For general guidance on every stage of the publication process, please visit our [Author Services website](#).

For editing support, including translation and language polishing, explore our [Editing Services website](#)

This journal uses ScholarOne Manuscripts (previously Manuscript Central) to peer review manuscript submissions. Please read the [guide for ScholarOne authors](#) before making a submission. Complete guidelines for preparing and submitting your manuscript to this journal are provided below.

This title utilises format-free submission. Authors may submit their paper in any scholarly format or layout. References can be in any style or format, so long as a consistent scholarly citation format is applied. For more detail see [the format-free submission section below](#).

**Contents**

- [About the Journal](#)
- [Open Access](#)
- [Peer Review and Ethics](#)
About the Journal

*Psychology & Health* is an international, peer-reviewed journal publishing high-quality, original research. Please see the journal's [Aims & Scope](#) for information about its focus and peer-review policy.

Please note that this journal only publishes manuscripts in English.

*Psychology & Health* accepts the following types of article: Article, Editorial, Commentary, Registered Reports.

Authors are asked to adhere to the guidelines provided and note that reporting requirements can vary by study design.

Original Research Articles include reports of Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs), observational studies, qualitative research studies, and other investigations. All submissions must follow the appropriate reporting guidelines and instructions for reporting statistics.

Reviews are systematic reviews and meta-analyses that are thorough, critical assessments of the literature and data sources pertaining to topics within the scope of Psychology and Health. Per PRISMA guidelines, systematic reviews and meta-analyses must be identified as such in the article title.
Commentaries are scholarly but not exhaustive essays of any current issue or controversy that fits the scope and aims of Psychology and Health. They should be broadly informative, and encourage new thinking or important topics relevant to the readership.

Registered Reports differ from conventional empirical articles by performing part of the review process before the researchers collect and analyse data. Unlike more conventional process where a full report of empirical research is submitted for peer review, RRs can be considered as proposals for empirical research, which are evaluated on their merit prior to the data being collected. For information on how to prepare Registered Reports (RR) submissions please see here (https://www.tandf.co.uk//journals/authors/registered-report-guidelines.pdf).

Authors who are interested in submitting papers that do not fit into these categories are advised to contact the editors who would be very happy to discuss the potential submission.

Editors will not enter into correspondence about manuscripts not accepted for publication, and their decision is final. Submission of a manuscript is understood to indicate that the authors have complied with all policies as delineated in this guide.

Open Access

You have the option to publish open access in this journal via our Open Select publishing program. Publishing open access means that your article will be free to access online immediately on publication, increasing the visibility, readership and impact of your research. Articles published Open Select with Taylor & Francis typically receive 32% more citations* and over 6 times as many downloads** compared to those that are not published Open Select.

Your research funder or your institution may require you to publish your article open access. Visit our Author Services website to find out more about open access policies and how you can comply with these.

You will be asked to pay an article publishing charge (APC) to make your article open access and this cost can often be covered by your institution or funder. Use our APC finder to view the APC for this journal.

Please visit our Author Services website or contact openaccess@tandf.co.uk if you would like more information about our Open Select Program.

*Citations received up to Jan 31st 2020 for articles published in 2015-2019 in journals listed in Web of Science®.

Peer Review and Ethics

Taylor & Francis is committed to peer-review integrity and upholding the highest standards of review. Once your paper has been assessed for suitability by the editor, it will then
Preparing Your Paper

All authors submitting to medicine, biomedicine, health sciences, allied and public health journals should conform to the Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals, prepared by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE).

Structure

Your paper should be compiled in the following order: title page; abstract; keywords; main text introduction, materials and methods, results, discussion; acknowledgments; declaration of interest statement; references; appendices (as appropriate); table(s) with caption(s) (on individual pages); figures; figure captions (as a list).

Word Limits

Please include a word count for your paper.

A typical paper for this journal should be no more than 30 pages, inclusive of the abstract, tables, references, figure captions, endnotes.

Format-Free Submission

Authors may submit their paper in any scholarly format or layout. Manuscripts may be supplied as single or multiple files. These can be Word, rich text format (rtf), open document format (odt), or PDF files. Figures and tables can be placed within the text or submitted as separate documents. Figures should be of sufficient resolution to enable refereeing.

- There are no strict formatting requirements, but all manuscripts must contain the essential elements needed to evaluate a manuscript: abstract, author affiliation, figures, tables, funder information, and references. Further details may be requested upon acceptance.
- References can be in any style or format, so long as a consistent scholarly citation format is applied. Author name(s), journal or book title, article or chapter title, year of publication, volume and issue (where appropriate) and page numbers are essential. All bibliographic entries must contain a corresponding in-text citation. The addition of DOI (Digital Object Identifier) numbers is recommended but not essential.
- The journal reference style will be applied to the paper post-acceptance by Taylor & Francis.
- Spelling can be US or UK English so long as usage is consistent.

Note that, regardless of the file format of the original submission, an editable version of the article must be supplied at the revision stage.

Taylor & Francis Editing Services
To help you improve your manuscript and prepare it for submission, Taylor & Francis provides a range of editing services. Choose from options such as English Language Editing, which will ensure that your article is free of spelling and grammar errors, Translation, and Artwork Preparation. For more information, including pricing, visit this website.

Checklist: What to Include

Cover Letter

The cover letter should describe how the paper fits within the scope of Psychology and Health and confirm that it has not been published and is not currently under review elsewhere.

If the report is based on data from a larger study (e.g., a secondary analysis), please include this in your cover letter and reference all publications from the data-set. The cover letter should further clarify the novel or value-added scientific contribution of the submitted paper relative to previously published papers from the same dataset.

1. **Author details.** Please ensure everyone meeting the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) **requirements for authorship** is included as an author of your paper. All authors of a manuscript should include their full name and affiliation on the cover page of the manuscript. Where available, please also include ORCiDs and social media handles (Facebook, Twitter or LinkedIn). One author will need to be identified as the corresponding author, with their email address normally displayed in the article PDF (depending on the journal) and the online article. Authors’ affiliations are the affiliations where the research was conducted. If any of the named co-authors moves affiliation during the peer-review process, the new affiliation can be given as a footnote. Please note that no changes to affiliation can be made after your paper is accepted. [Read more on authorship.](#)

2. Should contain a structured abstract of 200 words. Use the following categories: Objective, Design, Main Outcome Measures, Results, Conclusion

3. You can opt to include a [video abstract](#) with your article. [Find out how these can help your work reach a wider audience, and what to think about when filming.](#)

4. Read [making your article more discoverable](#), including information on choosing a title and search engine optimization.

5. **Funding details.** Please supply all details required by your funding and grant-awarding bodies as follows:
   - For single agency grants
     This work was supported by the [Funding Agency] under Grant [number xxxx].
   - For multiple agency grants
     This work was supported by the [Funding Agency #1] under Grant [number xxxx]; [Funding Agency #2] under Grant [number xxxx]; and [Funding Agency #3] under Grant [number xxxx].

6. **Disclosure statement.** This is to acknowledge any financial interest or benefit that has arisen from the direct applications of your research. [Further guidance on what is a conflict of interest and how to disclose it.](#)

7. **Data availability statement.** If there is a data set associated with the paper, please provide information about where the data supporting the results or analyses presented in the paper can be
found. Where applicable, this should include the hyperlink, DOI or other persistent identifier associated with the data set(s). Templates are also available to support authors.

8. **Data deposition.** If you choose to share or make the data underlying the study open, please deposit your data in a recognized data repository prior to or at the time of submission. You will be asked to provide the DOI, pre-reserved DOI, or other persistent identifier for the data set.

9. **Supplemental online material.** Supplemental material can be a video, dataset, fileset, sound file or anything which supports (and is pertinent to) your paper. We publish supplemental material online via Figshare. Find out more about supplemental material and how to submit it with your article.

10. **Figures.** Figures should be high quality (1200 dpi for line art, 600 dpi for grayscale and 300 dpi for colour, at the correct size). Figures should be supplied in one of our preferred file formats: EPS, PS, JPEG, TIFF, or Microsoft Word (DOC or DOCX) files are acceptable for figures that have been drawn in Word. For information relating to other file types, please consult our Submission of electronic artwork document.

11. **Tables.** Tables should present new information rather than duplicating what is in the text. Readers should be able to interpret the table without reference to the text. Please supply editable files.

12. **Equations.** If you are submitting your manuscript as a Word document, please ensure that equations are editable. More information about mathematical symbols and equations.

13. **Units.** Please use SI units (non-italicized).

14. **Reporting Checklists.** Reporting checklists are required to be uploaded for RCTs, systematic reviews/meta-analyses, observational trials, qualitative studies, and evaluations with non-randomized designs.

15. **Preprint Policy.** The journal will consider for review articles previously available as preprints. Authors may also post the submitted version of a manuscript to a preprint server at any time. Authors are requested to update any pre-publication versions with a link to the final published article.

**Using Third-Party Material in your Paper**

You must obtain the necessary permission to reuse third-party material in your article. The use of short extracts of text and some other types of material is usually permitted, on a limited basis, for the purposes of criticism and review without securing formal permission. If you wish to include any material in your paper for which you do not hold copyright, and which is not covered by this informal agreement, you will need to obtain written permission from the copyright owner prior to submission. More information on requesting permission to reproduce work(s) under copyright.

**Disclosure Statement**

Please include a disclosure statement, using the subheading “Disclosure of interest.” If you have no interests to declare, please state this (suggested wording: The authors report no conflict of interest). For all NIH/Wellcome-funded papers, the grant number(s) must be included in the declaration of interest statement. Read more on declaring conflicts of interest.
Clinical Trials Registry

In order to be published in a Taylor & Francis journal, all clinical trials must have been registered in a public repository at the beginning of the research process (prior to patient enrolment). Trial registration numbers should be included in the abstract, with full details in the methods section. The registry should be publicly accessible (at no charge), open to all prospective registrants, and managed by a not-for-profit organization. For a list of registries that meet these requirements, please visit the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP). The registration of all clinical trials facilitates the sharing of information among clinicians, researchers, and patients, enhances public confidence in research, and is in accordance with the ICMJE guidelines.

Complying With Ethics of Experimentation

Research Reporting Guidelines

Submissions should adhere to current research reporting guidelines. Reporting guidelines, checklists, and flow diagrams for many types of studies are available from the Enhancing the Quality and Transparency of Health Research (EQUATOR) network, including CONSORT for randomized clinical trials (RCTs) and for pilot and feasibility studies, PRISMA for systematic reviews, STROBE for observational studies, SRQR for qualitative research, among others.

Submissions should include a completed checklist as a supplementary file when this is possible.

Psychology and Health will publish randomized trials only if they have been registered. A complete list of acceptable trial registries can be found via the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform. Any differences between registered and reported methods or outcomes should be explained in the manuscript. Published protocols should be cited in the manuscript. Use of the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Intervention Trials (SPIRIT) checklist is recommended. For all intervention components, authors are encouraged to use the TIDieR Checklist as a supplemental file.

Please ensure that all research reported in submitted papers has been conducted in an ethical and responsible manner, and is in full compliance with all relevant codes of experimentation and legislation. All papers which report in vivo experiments or clinical trials on humans or animals must include a written statement in the Methods section. This should explain that all work was conducted with the formal approval of the local human subject or animal care committees (institutional and national), and that clinical trials have been registered as legislation requires. Authors who do not have formal ethics review committees should include a statement that their study follows the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent

All authors are required to follow the ICMJE requirements on privacy and informed consent from patients and study participants. Please confirm that any patient, service user, or
participant (or that person’s parent or legal guardian) in any research, experiment, or clinical trial described in your paper has given written consent to the inclusion of material pertaining to themselves, that they acknowledge that they cannot be identified via the paper; and that you have fully anonymized them. Where someone is deceased, please ensure you have written consent from the family or estate. Authors may use this Patient Consent Form, which should be completed, saved, and sent to the journal if requested.

Health and Safety

Please confirm that all mandatory laboratory health and safety procedures have been complied with in the course of conducting any experimental work reported in your paper. Please ensure your paper contains all appropriate warnings on any hazards that may be involved in carrying out the experiments or procedures you have described, or that may be involved in instructions, materials, or formulae.

Please include all relevant safety precautions; and cite any accepted standard or code of practice. Authors working in animal science may find it useful to consult the International Association of Veterinary Editors’ Consensus Author Guidelines on Animal Ethics and Welfare and Guidelines for the Treatment of Animals in Behavioural Research and Teaching. When a product has not yet been approved by an appropriate regulatory body for the use described in your paper, please specify this, or that the product is still investigational.

Submitting Your Paper

This journal uses ScholarOne Manuscripts to manage the peer-review process. If you haven't submitted a paper to this journal before, you will need to create an account in ScholarOne. Please read the guidelines above and then submit your paper in the relevant Author Centre, where you will find user guides and a helpdesk.

Please note that Psychology & Health uses CrossRef™ to screen papers for unoriginal material. By submitting your paper to Psychology & Health you are agreeing to originality checks during the peer-review and production processes.

On acceptance, we recommend that you keep a copy of your Accepted Manuscript. Find out more about sharing your work.

Share upon reasonable request:

Data Sharing Policy

This journal applies the Taylor & Francis share upon reasonable request data sharing policy. Authors agree to make data and materials supporting the results or analyses presented in their paper available upon reasonable request. It is up to the author to determine whether a request is reasonable. Authors are required to cite any data sets referenced in the article and provide a Data Availability Statement. Please note that data should only be shared if it is ethically
correct to do so, where this does not violate the protection of human subjects, or other valid ethical, privacy, or security concerns.

At the point of submission, you will be asked if there is a data set associated with the paper. If you reply yes, you will be required to provide the DOI, pre-registered DOI, hyperlink, or other persistent identifier associated with the data set(s). If you have selected to provide a pre-registered DOI, please be prepared to share the reviewer URL associated with your data deposit, upon request by reviewers.

Where one or multiple data sets are associated with a manuscript, these are not formally peer reviewed as a part of the journal submission process. It is the author’s responsibility to ensure the soundness of data. Any errors in the data rest solely with the producers of the data set(s).

Publication Charges

There are no submission fees, publication fees or page charges for this journal.

Colour figures will be reproduced in colour in your online article free of charge. If it is necessary for the figures to be reproduced in colour in the print version, a charge will apply.

Charges for colour figures in print are £300 per figure ($400 US Dollars; $500 Australian Dollars; €350). For more than 4 colour figures, figures 5 and above will be charged at £50 per figure ($75 US Dollars; $100 Australian Dollars; €65). Depending on your location, these charges may be subject to local taxes.

Copyright Options

Copyright allows you to protect your original material, and stop others from using your work without your permission. Taylor & Francis offers a number of different license and reuse options, including Creative Commons licenses when publishing open access. Read more on publishing agreements.

Complying with Funding Agencies

We will deposit all National Institutes of Health or Wellcome Trust-funded papers into PubMedCentral on behalf of authors, meeting the requirements of their respective open access policies. If this applies to you, please tell our production team when you receive your article proofs, so we can do this for you. Check funders’ open access policy mandates here. Find out more about sharing your work.

My Authored Works

On publication, you will be able to view, download and check your article’s metrics (downloads, citations and Altmetric data) via My Authored Works on Taylor & Francis Online.
This is where you can access every article you have published with us, as well as your free eprints link, so you can quickly and easily share your work with friends and colleagues.

We are committed to promoting and increasing the visibility of your article. Here are some tips and ideas on how you can work with us to promote your research.

**Article Reprints**

You will be sent a link to order article reprints via your account in our production system. For enquiries about reprints, please contact the Taylor & Francis Author Services team at reprints@tandf.co.uk. You can also order print copies of the journal issue in which your article appears.

**Queries**

Should you have any queries, please visit our Author Services website or contact us here.

*Updated 3-06-2020*
Section Two: Research Paper

Stigma, coping strategies and wellbeing in individuals with cervical dystonia

Word count (excluding references, tables and appendices): 7900

Helen Gowling
Doctorate in Clinical Psychology
Division of Health Research, Lancaster University

Prepared for submission to Psychology & Health (see appendix 2-1)

All correspondence should be sent to:
Helen Gowling
Doctorate in Clinical Psychology
Lancaster University
Furness College
United Kingdom
LA1 4GY

Email: h.gowling@lancaster.ac.uk
Tel: 01524 59297
Abstract

**Objective:** The purpose of this study was to investigate whether coping strategies (adaptive vs. maladaptive) mediate the relationship between stigma and wellbeing in people living with cervical dystonia.

**Design:** A cross-sectional design was used. Adults with cervical dystonia were invited to take part in a survey. 114 individuals completed quantitative measures of stigma and coping strategies and a holistic exploration of the concept of wellbeing (including health-related quality of life, depression, anxiety, stress, and a wellbeing scale). Data were analysed using mediation regression analyses.

**Main findings:** Mediational regression analyses indicated that maladaptive coping strategies (e.g. substance use, behavioural disengagement) mediated the relationship between stigma and wellbeing. Adaptive coping strategies (e.g. acceptance, humour) did not mediate the relationship between stigma and wellbeing.

**Conclusion:** These findings suggest that maladaptive coping may play an important role in explaining the relationship between stigma and some aspects of wellbeing in cervical dystonia. Coping strategies should be considered within clinical settings to target the relationship between stigma and wellbeing. Interventions which focus on different aspects of maladaptive coping (e.g. acceptance and commitment therapy for people who are using strategies such as denial or experiential avoidance) may be helpful to improve wellbeing.

**Keywords:** Cervical dystonia, spasmodic torticollis, stigma, coping, psychological wellbeing
Introduction

Dystonia is a movement disorder which involves involuntary, sustained muscle contractions leading to repetitive movements and abnormal postures (1). Studies have shown that it affects approximately 0.01-0.03% of the population (2,3) and is the third most prevalent movement disorder after Parkinson’s disease and essential tremor (4). Although the neurobiology of this condition is still not fully understood (5), it has been proposed that dystonia should be classified on two axes (6). Axis I includes clinical characteristics such as age of onset and body distribution, which is broken down into the following: focal (affecting one body region), segmental (affecting two or more adjoining body regions), multifocal (affecting two non-adjoining or more body regions), generalised (affecting the trunk and two other body regions), and hemidystonia (body regions affected are more focussed on one side). Axis II includes what is known of the aetiology, including whether it is inherited, acquired or idiopathic dystonia (6).

Cervical dystonia (CD), also known as spasmodic torticollis, is the most common focal dystonia and is characterised by uncontrolled twisting, turning and tilting of the head caused by involuntary and often painful contractions of the neck muscles (7).

In addition to the physical implications, people with dystonia report a range of psychological difficulties that impact on their day to day lives. Reports in the literature estimate that lifetime prevalence of anxiety and depression for people living with dystonia varies between 12%-71% (8). There is debate over whether psychological distress is a result of the underlying neurobiology associated with the condition, or whether it is secondary to the impact of living with a chronic health condition (9,10). There is evidence to suggest it could be a combination of both, and that a biopsychosocial framework should be adopted when trying to understand psychological distress in this population (11), particularly as distress can impact overall health.
related quality of life (HRQOL) (12). HRQOL broadly looks at how a condition impacts on an individual across physical, social and psychological domains (13,14).

A range of factors have been shown to correlate with distress and HRQOL, including body image (15–19), social participation (7,16), self-esteem (7,16,17) and coping strategies (15,16,20,21). In studies of other movement disorders, such as Parkinson’s disease, stigma has also been found to play a key role in HRQOL, distress and wellbeing (22,23).

Stigma is a vital aspect of health research and was originally defined as a feeling of being shunned by others for possessing a distinguishing characteristic that is less valued than the social norm (24). Stigma can be broken down into two main areas: enacted stigma, which is related to discrimination and attitudes from the public; and perceived stigma, which is an internalising of enacted stigma by an individual, often leading to feelings of shame and can often occur without many instances of enacted stigma (25). Theoretical frameworks of stigma were further expanded by Link & Phelan (26) who recognised the role of power inequalities and the relational context that enables stigma to occur.

Perceived stigma has been shown to lead to poorer quality of life (QOL), negatively impact health-related outcomes and increase social isolation in people with mental health difficulties (27–29). Studies of enacted stigma involving people with epilepsy show that frequency of enacted stigma is associated with increased psychological distress and a poorer QOL (30–32). Stigma has also been found to predict psychological outcomes in people with neurological conditions who present with movement disorders such as Parkinson’s disease and multiple sclerosis (23,33,34). Visible characteristics, such as tremors or muscle contractions in dystonia, can result in stigmatising social experiences alongside the physical and emotional
impact of the condition (35). This in turn can exacerbate the psychological, physical and social aspects of the condition (36) and may contribute towards a decrease in overall QOL (37).

A 2001 study examining the role of stigma in cervical dystonia found that the majority of participants reported experiencing ‘some’ or ‘severe’ stigma relating to their condition (38). The questionnaire used to examine stigma was created following interviews with participants. Although this meant that it had good face validity and was focussed on what was important to the 10 participants initially interviewed, it is unclear how generalisable this could be to other people living with dystonia. An additional study looking at the perceptions of people without dystonia used a scale developed in line with that used by Papathanasiou et al. (38) and revealed stigmatising attitudes towards those with cranial and cervical dystonia (39). This suggests that enacted stigma may be a part of daily life for this population, particularly those with cervical dystonia. Stigma was also a strong theme in recent qualitative research on the experience of living with dystonia, with the findings suggesting that stigma impacted on the navigating of health services, social connectedness and views of the self (40).

The role of stigma in relation to psychological outcomes for people with dystonia is poorly understood. One study found a significant relationship between stigma and both the mental and physical aspects of QOL in people with cervical dystonia (7). Another study found no significant relationship between stigma and HRQOL for people with segmental dystonia (41) but had a small sample size (n=28). Furthermore, both studies used a stigma scale designed for people with bowel cancer (42) which had not been validated for dystonia. Despite some emerging evidence for the role of stigma in psychological well-being in dystonia, the picture is still unclear and may indicate that there are other factors that could be influencing the relationship between the two concepts.
Research focussing on other groups who experience stigma has considered the ‘minority stress paradigm’ (43), which conceptualises stigma as a stressor above and beyond what non-stigmatised people endure, leading to difficulties with mental health. This paradigm was further developed into a ‘psychological mediation framework’ (44), which integrated the theory of minority stress with psychological processes that may result from being stigmatised, and therefore contribute to psychological distress. One of these proposed processes was coping strategies.

Coping can be defined as the behavioural, emotional and cognitive strategies employed to manage certain stressors (45). It is suggested that coping has an important role to play in the adaptation needed due to changes in physical health (46). Similarities have been noted across different disease processes in how people cope with the stress of changes in ability, and the impact that this then has on emotional distress (47). There are over 400 coping strategies which have been classified in various ways within the literature, such as ‘emotion focussed’, ‘problem focussed’, ‘approach’, ‘avoidance’, ‘adaptive’ and ‘maladaptive’, without a shared consensus on the most appropriate classification (48). In line with research drawn on for the current study, the terms ‘maladaptive’ and ‘adaptive’ will be used. It is important to note however, that using these terms is not meant to encourage simplistic thinking about ‘bad’ or ‘good’. It is recognised that maladaptive coping is often a functional adaptation to chronic stress (49) and that a two factor model may not fully capture the complexities related to coping strategies. However, within the literature, the use of a two factor model has provided insight into the impact of coping strategies on levels of distress (50,51) suggesting there is utility in this approach. In particular, maladaptive coping strategies have a greater relationship with distress, whereas adaptive strategies have a stronger relationship with wellbeing (50).
There is emerging evidence that the experience of stigma can lead to coping behaviours which could be considered maladaptive, such as disengagement and substance use, which in turn can impact on psychological wellbeing and QOL (52). Coping strategies have also been shown to be related to distress in dystonia, with strategies considered maladaptive being related to higher levels of depression and anxiety (15,16,20,21).

Wellbeing has not been examined in dystonia, apart from as an outcome in a singular treatment study (53). Wellbeing is usually conceptualised as a combination of functioning well and positive affect (54) although it is generally poorly defined within the literature (55). However, wellbeing has been shown to be an important predictor of physical health in longitudinal research (56,57). It is also suggested that if we only examine distress then there is a risk that this might leave important gaps in our understanding of health and QOL (58).

Although there is a suggested relationship between stigma and QOL, and between coping strategies and psychological distress, to date the relationship between stigma, coping and psychological outcomes has not been examined in people with dystonia. Consequently, this study will investigate, via survey, the relationship between stigma and psychological wellbeing, then also investigate whether coping mediates this relationship in a cohort of people with cervical dystonia. The focus will be on a community sample of people with cervical dystonia as this is the most common type of dystonia. It is hoped that by furthering the understanding of these concepts, clinicians will be able to better support the wellbeing of those living with this chronic condition. This in turn could have an impact on overall QOL.

For the purposes of this study, well-being is characterised by low levels of distress labelled as anxiety, stress and depression, as well as an elevated score on a measure of well-being. A measure of HRQOL specific to cervical dystonia is also included to gain a holistic
picture of satisfaction across a variety of life domains. The model being tested will be of theoretical interest and has potential implications for the field of clinical psychology both on an individual level within formulations and at a more systemic and societal level (59).

Based on previous research the study hypotheses were as follows:

1. Higher levels of stigma will be associated with higher maladaptive but lower adaptive coping strategies.

2. Higher levels of stigma will be associated with lower levels of psychological well-being, increased levels of distress (depression, anxiety and stress) and reduced HRQOL.

3. The use of maladaptive coping strategies will be associated with increased distress, lower wellbeing and lower HRQOL.

4. Maladaptive coping will mediate the relationship between stigma and psychological well-being, levels of distress and HRQOL.

5. Adaptive coping will mediate the relationship between stigma and psychological well-being.

**Method**

**Design**

This study was a cross-sectional survey using quantitative measures. The data were quantitatively examined, and non-parametric bivariate correlations were carried out. Following this, a parallel mediation analysis was conducted using Hayes process tool (60) within SPSS to test the theoretical model that coping strategies mediate the relationship between stigma and psychological wellbeing (including distress) and HRQOL (see figure 2-1).
Participants

Participants were recruited via two dystonia charities: The Dystonia UK (https://www.dystonia.org.uk/) and Dystonia Ireland (http://www.dystonia.ie/). Recruitment took place between 3rd September 2019 and 27th January 2020 via advertisements on the charities’ social media profiles. Two participants contacted the researcher to request paper copies of the survey which were then returned in pre-paid envelopes and manually inputted into the survey software Qualtrics. The rest of the participants completed the survey online. A total of 143 people completed the survey. The data from 29 participants were removed due to missing data (see analysis for details). This left 114 participants to be included in the study.

Calculations were conducted prior to recruitment to determine sample size required. For a medium effect (0.36) in both paths (stigma to coping (α) and coping to psychological outcomes (β)) using a bias-corrected bootstrap mediation model, approximately 71 participants were required for 80% power, rising to 115-116 if the α or β path coefficient has a small-medium effect (0.26) (61). Previous research looking at the relationship between stigma and coping have found small-medium effects for adaptive coping and large effects for maladaptive coping, suggesting powering the study for a small-medium effect should be sufficient (51). It is not possible to take the effect size for β from previous research as this requires the identical model (and the author is not aware of such research). Therefore, the sample size of 114 was deemed acceptable.

Inclusion criteria

Individuals were eligible to take part if they:

- Self-reported a diagnosis of cervical dystonia.
- Were aged 18 or over.
- Could complete the measures alone or with support.
- Had sufficient understanding of written English to take part (as the questionnaires were in English).

**Exclusion criteria**

Potential participants were excluded if they:

- Had dystonia following a serious injury.
- Had another significant illness/condition that affected their visible appearance.

**Procedure**

The study was designed in consultation with two members of Dystonia UK who provided feedback via email on the content and accessibility of the survey. Adaptations were made based on their feedback, including the change of wording on some of the questions and explanations. Once ethical approval had been obtained the study was advertised on the Facebook and Twitter pages for Dystonia UK and Dystonia Ireland as well as in communications that were cascaded to local groups. People who followed the link were presented with an information and consent page explaining the purpose of the study, and invited to complete an online survey (using Qualtrics, a web-based survey tool) or to contact the researcher to request a paper copy to be sent via post (for information page and survey see section 4: Ethics and Appendices). Data from the online survey were gathered electronically, and data from the hard copies were inputted immediately into the electronic dataset. The hard copies of the questionnaire were safely and immediately destroyed.

**Materials**
This study utilised an online survey which was also available in paper form. Alongside the validated measures it also asked questions regarding demographic and clinical information to describe the sample. The demographic questionnaire asked about age, gender, ethnicity, work status, relationship status and living arrangements. The clinical questionnaire asked about age of onset, duration, time since diagnosis, medication, disease severity, whether the individual was receiving Botulinum toxin treatment, any other treatments and any other health conditions.

**Validated measures**

**Predictor variable**

**Stigma**

The predictor variable, stigma, was measured by the 24-item Stigma Scale for Chronic Illness (SSCI). This scale was developed for people with chronic neurological disorders and measures both felt stigma and enacted stigma (62). This scale is divided into two subscales of internalised stigma and enacted stigma. All questions are answered using a Likert scale from one (never) to five (always). The total score of both scales measures overall stigma and can range from 24-120, with a higher score suggesting more stigma. It has been shown to have a good level of internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.96) (62) and good content validity (63).

**Mediator variables**

**Coping**

The two mediator variables in this study were adaptive coping and maladaptive coping. These were both measured using the brief COPE (64). This has 14 subscales each including two items. Although the subscales of the brief COPE have been categorised in various ways in the literature, this study used the two-category model developed by Meyer (50), which divides the subscales into adaptive and maladaptive coping strategies. All questions were answered using a
Likert scale from one (I haven’t been doing this at all) to four (I’ve been doing this a lot).


This scale gave scores ranging from 16-64 with a higher score indicting more frequent use of these strategies. Maladaptive coping included the subscales ‘self-distraction’, ‘denial’, ‘substance-use’, ‘behavioural disengagement’, ‘venting’ and ‘self-blame’. This scale gave scores ranging from 12-48 with a higher score indicating more frequent use of these strategies.

Although not used specifically in dystonia, the brief COPE has been used with other neurological conditions such as Parkinson’s disease (65) and multiple sclerosis (66). For the current study it showed good reliability with Cronbach’s alpha values of 0.81 for the maladaptive subscale and 0.84 for the adaptive subscale

**Outcome variables**

**Well-being, distress and HRQOL**

Three separate measures were included to address the outcome of wellbeing. The first was the short version of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale–21 (DASS-21) (67,68). This is a 21-item scale with three subscales looking at stress, anxiety and depression. Each subscale has 7 questions which are rated on a Likert scale from zero (not at all) to three (most of the time). Each subscale score ranges from 0-21 with a high score indicating higher levels of stress, anxiety or depression. Although not used previously with people living with dystonia, it has been used with other neurological conditions which present with movement disorders such as Parkinson’s disease (69) and multiple sclerosis (70). It has good reliability with subscale Cronbach's alpha values of 0.81 (depression), 0.89 (anxiety) and 0.78 (stress) (71).
The Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS) (72) is a 14-item validated measure of wellbeing which focuses on positive aspects of mental health. This has been used as an outcome in a previous study looking at the effects of a cognitive behavioural intervention for people living with dystonia (53) and more recently in a large survey of people living with Parkinson’s disease (73). In addition, it is considered easy to complete and useful for general population samples. Each item is scored using a Likert scale from one (never) to five (always) giving a total score range of 14-70. In this scale a higher score indicates higher levels of wellbeing. The WEMWBS has been shown to have a strong internal consistency and a high Cronbach's alpha of 0.89 (72).

The measure of overall HRQOL was the Cervical Dystonia Impact Profile (CDIP-58) (74) which is a 58-item scale measuring the impact of CD across eight domains: head and neck symptoms, pain and discomfort, upper limb activities, annoyance, sleep, walking, mood and psychosocial functioning. A higher score shows a lower HRQOL. This measure has been shown to have good validity and reliability with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.92 (75).

To help describe the sample, disease severity was also explored using the Functional Disability Questionnaire (76). This is a 27-item scale developed to measure the impact of dystonia on activities of daily living with a higher score indicating higher levels of disability. It has been suggested by the original authors of the measure that scores above the median of 42 indicate a moderate to severe level of disability relating to dystonia (16). The construct and concurrent validity of the scale, along with test-retest reliability and internal consistency have been shown to be high with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.92 (76).
Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained for this study via the Lancaster University Faculty of Health and Medicine Research Ethics Committee (reference FHMREC18109 – see section 4: Ethics and appendices for ethical approval letter). An amendment was applied for (and granted) in October 2019 to expand the recruitment strategy to enable both charities to be able to advertise through all channels available to them and to be able to approach other dystonia relevant online organisations to request the advert be shared through their channels. Dystonia UK also requested a video that they could use to disseminate information about the study.

Efforts were made to consider any potential distress that may be caused by participating in this study. Contact details were given for places that could provide support should any issues arise. Additionally, it was made clear prior to starting the study and providing informed consent that individuals could stop at any time during the survey. Although, due to the anonymity of participation, their data could not be removed after they had agreed to take part. This information was provided before informed consent was obtained to be included in the study.

Data analysis

One hundred and forty-three participants opened the survey and completed the consent process. Out of these, 13 had only completed the demographics and a further four had stopped after only completing the first measure. Additionally, five participants had not completed any of the measures of wellbeing. These data were therefore removed from the dataset (n=22) leaving 121 participants. A missing values analysis was conducted, and one case was missing multiple (more than two) data points from multiple measures, so this case was also removed from analysis. Six further participants had missed completing full scales that were essential to the analysis (SSCI; n=3, DASS21; n=3) so these were also removed leaving 114 participants. The
missing SSCI data may have been due to an error with the survey software, and the DASS21 was situated towards the end of the survey so people may have exited before completing it. There were no significant differences in the demographic and clinical characteristics between those who completed all the measures and those who did not. Of the remaining cases, none were missing more than two data points from any measure, so they were retained, and mean substitution was used to impute the missing data points.

Absolute values for z-scores were calculated for each variable to check whether they were consistent with a normal distribution (77). This analysis identified probable outliers (with scores of >2.58) in three of the variables: two cases on the SSCI (1.7%), two cases on the maladaptive subscale of the brief COPE (1.7%) and one case on the anxiety subscale of the DASS21 (0.8%). ‘Winsorising’ the data on these scales had little effect on the results of the analyses so the original data were retained.

Data distributions were inspected visually for normality using histograms. The ‘maladaptive coping’, ‘depression’, ‘anxiety’ and ‘stress’ data were all skewed towards lower scores, so Spearman’s rho correlation coefficients were calculated to assess the direction and strength of relationships between predictor, mediator and outcome variables. Before conducting the mediation analyses, the linear and multiple regression scatterplots corresponding to each analysis in the mediation were also visually inspected to test the assumptions of linearity and homoscedasticity of residuals, with standardised residuals plotted against standardised predicted values (77). Q-Q plots were used to assess the assumption of normality of error distributions (77). All relationships appeared to respect the assumptions of linearity, homoscedasticity of residuals and normality of error distributions.

Finally, a series of mediation analyses were conducted using Hayes’ Process Tool (78). In
each analysis, 5000 bootstrap samples were used to estimate the confidence intervals, enabling it to cope with non-normal data distributions (61). In all models, stigma as measured by the SSCI, was the independent variable (IV) and two types of coping strategies (adaptive and maladaptive as measured by the Brief COPE) were tested together as parallel mediators. In model 1, HRQOL as measured by the CDIP-58 was used as the dependent variable (DV). In model 2, wellbeing as measured by the WEMWBS was used as the DV. In model 3 stress as measured by the stress subscale of the DASS-21 was used as the DV. In model 4, anxiety as measured by the anxiety subscale of the DASS-21 was used as the DV. In model 5 depression as measured by the depression subscale of the DASS-21 was used as the DV. The indirect effect of coping style was deemed significant if the 95% bootstrap confidence interval did not contain zero (60).

**RESULTS**

A summary of participant characteristics is included in Table 2-1.

[Table 2-1 about here]

Of the 114 participants in the sample, 21 identified their gender as being male (18%) and 93 identified as female (82%). The mean age of the sample was 52.39 years and ages ranged from 24-77 years. Most participants (111; 97%) identified their ethnicity as white (English, Irish, British, Scottish, Welsh, Other), one as mixed white & black Caribbean and two as ‘other’. Half the participants (57) reported being in either full time or part time work, 19 (17%) were retired and 38 (33%) reported they were not currently working.

**Clinical characteristics**

The participants reported a mean age of symptom onset of 34.49 years ($SD$ 12.86) and a mean age of diagnosis of 42.60 years ($SD$ 11.89). Most of the participants (88%) reported they
had received botulinum toxin injections to manage the symptoms of cervical dystonia and 63 participants (55%) reported taking prescribed medication to manage symptoms. Approximately half of the participants (54%) reported having comorbid physical or mental health conditions. For those that completed the FDQ (n=111) the mean score was 72.27 (SD 20.9) and a range of 25-12.

**Measures of stigma, coping and wellbeing**

Table 2-2 shows the means, standard deviations (SD) and Cronbach’s alpha of psychometric measures for the sample.

[Table 2-2 about here]

Table 2-3 shows the frequencies and cut offs for measures of distress using the DASS-21. These results suggest that 48.3% (n=55) of the sample reported moderate to extremely severe levels of depression, 55.3% (n=63) showed moderate to extremely severe levels of anxiety, and 36.8% (n=42) of the sample reported moderate to extremely severe levels of stress. Additionally the results from the WEMWBS, which indicate levels of wellbeing, showed that 43% (n=49) scored lower than 40 which is the level that UK National Health Service direct uses as a cut off score to indicate lower levels of wellbeing (79).

The mean score for adaptive coping was 36.1 and for maladaptive coping was 22.6. Although there are no cut offs for this scale, higher scores indicate more frequent use of the different coping strategies.

The mean score for the measure of stigma for this sample was 61.33. Higher scores indicate increased levels of reported stigma. These scores were higher than the normative sample mean of 42.7 which was found in participants with a range of other neurological conditions (62).
The CDIP-58 scaled mean was 50.24 which is similar to that seen in other research on cervical dystonia (80,81) and suggests a significant impact of CD on overall HRQOL.

**Correlational analyses**

Non-parametric bivariate correlations indicated that the relationships between all the scales, apart from the adaptive subscale of the Brief COPE, were significant at the $p<0.01$ level with medium to large effect sizes (see table 2-4). Specifically, higher levels of stigma correlated with more frequent maladaptive coping ($r = .637$), lower HRQOL ($r = .641$), lower levels of wellbeing ($r = -.488$), higher levels of stress ($r = .529$), higher levels of anxiety ($r = .484$) and higher levels of depression ($r = .589$), but not use of adaptive coping ($r = .04; p>0.05$). More frequent use of maladaptive coping strategies correlated with lower HRQOL ($r = .528$), lower levels of wellbeing ($r = -.427$), higher levels of stress ($r = .559$), higher levels of anxiety ($r = .538$), and higher levels of depression ($r = .631$). More frequent use of adaptive coping strategies only correlated with an increase in wellbeing with a medium effect size ($r = .331, p<.01$).

Gender was found to significantly correlate with the anxiety subscale of the DASS-21 ($r = .198; p<0.05$) and the CDIP-58 ($r = .219; p<0.05$) with females scoring higher on both of these measures with a small effect size. This suggests that females in this sample had higher levels of anxiety and a lower HRQOL.

**Mediation regression analyses**

Five parallel mediation models (60,82) were used to examine the indirect effect of both adaptive and maladaptive coping on different measures of wellbeing: HRQOL, CDIP-58 (Model 1); wellbeing, WEMWBS (Model 2); stress, DASS21-S (Model 3); anxiety, DASS21-A (Model...
4); and depression, DASS21-D (Model 5) (see Figure 2-2). For a summary of results see Table 2-5.

Model 1 – HRQOL

Results from the parallel mediation analysis indicate that stigma was indirectly related to HRQOL through its relationship with maladaptive coping. Increased stigma was related to more frequent use of maladaptive coping strategies ($a_2 = .246$, $p < .001$), and more frequent maladaptive coping was subsequently related to a lower HRQOL ($b_2 = 2.006$, $p < .01$). Mediation analysis indicated that the 95% confidence interval of the indirect effect through maladaptive coping ($a_2b_2 = .492$) was entirely above zero (0.136 to 0.857). In contrast, increased stigma was not related to more frequent use of adaptive coping strategies ($a_1 = .003$) and adaptive coping was not related to HRQOL ($b_1 = -.456$). The indirect effect through adaptive coping was not significantly different to zero ($a_1b_1 = -.001$; 95%CI -0.060 to 0.074; see table 2-E for the effect sizes associated with these pathways). The direct effect between stigma and HRQOL was found to remain significant when controlling for the effect of the mediational variables of coping strategies ($c' = 1.367$, $p < .001$).

Model 2 – wellbeing

The analysis indicated that stigma was indirectly related to wellbeing through its relationship with maladaptive coping. Increased stigma was related to more frequent use of maladaptive coping strategies ($a_2 = .246$, $p < .001$), and more frequent maladaptive coping was subsequently related to a lower wellbeing ($b_2 = -.549$, $p < .005$). Mediation analysis indicated that the 95% confidence interval of the indirect effect through maladaptive coping ($a_2b_2 = -.135$) was
entirely below zero (-.231, to -.050). In contrast, increased stigma was not related to more frequent use of adaptive coping strategies ($a_1$=.003) but more frequent adaptive coping was related to higher wellbeing ($b_1$ = .372, $p<.001$). However, the indirect effect through adaptive coping was not different to zero ($a_1b_1$ = .001; 95%CI -.037 to .038). The direct effect between stigma and wellbeing remained significant when controlling for the effect of the mediational variables of coping strategies ($c'$ = -.194, $p<.005$).

**Model 3 – stress**

The analysis indicated that stigma was indirectly related to stress through its relationship with maladaptive coping. Increased stigma was related to more frequent use of maladaptive coping strategies ($a_2$ = .246, $p<.001$), and more frequent maladaptive coping was subsequently related to higher levels of stress ($b_2$ = 0.371, $p<.001$). Mediation analysis indicated that the 95% confidence interval of the indirect effect through maladaptive coping ($a_2b_2$ = .091) was entirely above zero (.050 to .137). In contrast, increased stigma was not related to more frequent use of adaptive coping strategies ($a_1$=.003) and adaptive coping was not related to stress ($b_1$= -.020). The indirect effect through adaptive coping was not different to zero ($a_1b_1$ = .000; 95%CI -.004, .005). The direct effect between stigma and stress remained significant when controlling for the effect of the mediational variables of coping strategies ($c'$ = .061, $p<.05$).

**Model 4 – anxiety**

The analysis indicated that stigma was indirectly related to anxiety through its relationship with maladaptive coping. Increased stigma was related to more frequent use of maladaptive coping strategies ($a_2$ = .246, $p<.001$), and more frequent maladaptive coping was subsequently related to higher levels of anxiety ($b_2$ = 0.308 $p<.001$). Mediation analysis indicated that the 95% confidence interval of the indirect effect through maladaptive coping ($a_2b_2$
= .076) was entirely above zero (.035 to .116). In contrast, increased stigma was not related to more frequent use of adaptive coping strategies ($a_1 = .003$) and adaptive coping was not related to anxiety ($b_1 = -.030$). The indirect effect through adaptive coping was not different to zero ($a_1b_1 = .000; 95\% CI - .005, .005$). The direct effect between stigma and anxiety remained significant when controlling for the effect of the mediational variables of coping strategies ($c' = .091, p < .005$).

**Model 5 – depression**

The analysis indicated that stigma was indirectly related to depression through its relationship with maladaptive coping. Increased stigma was related to more frequent use of maladaptive coping strategies ($a_2 = .246, p < .001$), and more frequent maladaptive coping was subsequently related to higher levels of depression ($b_2 = .489, p < .001$). Mediation analysis indicated that the 95% confidence interval of the indirect effect through maladaptive coping ($a_2b_2 = .120$) was entirely above zero (.075 to .172). In contrast, increased stigma was not related to more frequent use of adaptive coping strategies ($a_1 = .003$) but more frequent adaptive coping was related to lower levels of depression ($b_1 = -.135, p < .005$). The indirect effect through adaptive coping was not different to zero ($a_1b_1 = .000; 95\% CI - .016, .014$). The direct effect between stigma and depression remained significant when controlling for the effect of the mediational variables of coping strategies ($c' = .091, p < .01$).

**Discussion**

The current study aimed to examine associations between stigma, coping and psychological wellbeing for people living with CD. The findings suggested that higher levels of stigma were related to more frequent use of maladaptive coping strategies, lower wellbeing, lower HRQOL and higher levels of distress. More frequent use of maladaptive coping strategies
were related to lower levels of wellbeing, lower HRQOL and higher levels of distress. Adaptive coping was not related to stigma or distress, but more frequent use of adaptive coping strategies were related to higher levels of wellbeing. In all the mediation models, maladaptive coping mediated the relationship between stigma, HRQOL and wellbeing. Adaptive coping did not mediate the relationship between stigma and any of the outcomes.

This study was conducted with a cohort of adults living with CD. The results suggested that, according to the cut-offs on the DASS, 48.3% of the current sample had moderate to extremely severe depression, 55.3% had moderate to extremely severe anxiety and 36.8% had moderate to extremely severe levels of stress. These rates of distress are towards the high end of what other studies specifically looking at CD have reported, with rates of depression ranging from 16.4%-52.3% (15,16,76,83,84) and anxiety ranging from 21.7%-50% (15,83,84).

The mean wellbeing scores for this sample (41.68) were lower than the general population (49.7-52.3 across England and Scotland) (85), lower than a large scale survey of people with Parkinson’s disease (45.2) (73) and a baseline measure in a dystonia cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) study (44.7) (53). This suggests that the current sample might experience lower wellbeing than would typically be seen in dystonia. However, as wellbeing has not been thoroughly examined in this population, and the study did not have a control group to permit direct comparisons, more research is needed to further understand how dystonia impacts this. In addition, the scores on the FDQ suggested that the CD population in the current study self-reported high levels of disability with a mean score of 72.27. This is higher than has been indicated in some other research using the same scale in dystonia (16,17) which reported means of 44.1 and 50.3 respectively, but lower than one more recent study (mean 82.6) (86). The high
levels of disability in the current study may be one of the factors relating to the levels of distress and wellbeing reported in the current study.

The mean scores for both adaptive and maladaptive coping were lower than found in a student population using the same measure and categorisation (51), suggesting that those who took part in this study used both adaptive and maladaptive strategies with less frequency than the student population. The mean score for the measure of stigma for this sample was 61.33. This is higher than has been found in other populations such as stroke (mean = 45.21) and multiple sclerosis (mean range 36.45–47.44) (87,88), suggesting that those in the current study experience a high amount of stigma. It is also the first time this scale has been used specifically with CD. However, it is important to consider the findings relating to distress and stigma with caution due to the data being collected via an online questionnaire and the lack of a comparison group, so further study with control/comparison groups may elucidate these differences further.

The results supported the hypothesis that stigma is associated with higher frequency of maladaptive coping with a large effect size. Having a sense of feeling devalued by society through either direct experience of stigma, or the internalising of others’ attitudes, can lead to an increased use of maladaptive coping strategies with the aim of alleviating levels of distress (44,51). Despite stigma being associated with higher levels of maladaptive coping, it was not found to be associated with lower levels of adaptive coping which does not support previous research relating to the relationship between stigma and coping strategies (89). However, a study examining HIV related stigma and distress also found similar results in that experienced stigma was correlated with maladaptive, but not adaptive coping (90). Additionally research looking at the mental health of students found that internalised stigma was also not correlated with adaptive coping (51). It may be the case that, although stigma may increase the likelihood of adopting
maladaptive coping strategies, this does not mean that it will decrease the use of any existing adaptive coping strategies.

As hypothesised, and in line with previous research in dystonia, stigma was associated with lower HRQOL (7). Stigma was also associated with increased psychological distress which is in line with previous research into Parkinson’s disease, where higher levels of stigma were related to increased levels of distress (22,23,91). It has also been found that higher levels of stigma are related to lower levels of wellbeing in those with head, neck and lung cancer (92).

Maladaptive coping was also found to be associated with increased levels of distress, lower HRQOL and lower levels of wellbeing. This echoes previous research which has looked at maladaptive coping in relation to distress in dystonia (15,16,20,21), although the HRQOL and wellbeing findings specifically are novel.

Adaptive coping was not found to be significantly associated with measures of psychological distress (stress, anxiety or depression) or HRQOL. It was however, associated with the specific measure of wellbeing. A meta-analysis on coping strategies to regulate emotions found that the association between adaptive coping and distress was weaker than the association between maladaptive coping and distress (93), suggesting that the different strategies are not equal in their contribution to, and maintenance of distress. Research into the concept of psychological wellbeing has identified that this is more than an absence of psychological distress (94). Despite there often being an inverse correlation between wellbeing and distress, these are often moderate at best (55,95,96). This suggests they are separate rather than opposite constructs. Further support for this comes from the evidence that wellbeing and distress have distinct biological features (97). This might be why the findings for adaptive coping were specific to
wellbeing and, whilst use of such strategies might not reduce distress, they may be an important consideration in improving overall wellbeing.

When examined through a psychological mediation framework, maladaptive coping was found to mediate the relationship between stigma and distress, wellbeing and HRQoL which is in line with previous research in other populations that have explored this model (51,90). However, adaptive coping was not found to mediate the relationship between stigma and wellbeing in any of the psychological mediation models examined. This is contrary to previous research which found that adaptive coping mediated the relationship between stigma and psychological wellbeing or distress in students (51), or that it played a role in reducing symptoms of depression (98). Thus, the results from the current study only partially support the theory that coping strategies are processes which impact on wellbeing when trying to manage stress relating to stigma (44,51).

Rinehart et al. (90) also found no evidence for the mediating role of adaptive coping between stigma and psychological distress in a sample with HIV. They suggested that adaptive coping may serve more as a moderator that dampens the adverse effects of stigma. Support for this idea was found in another study which showed that adaptive coping was a moderating effect between HIV related stigma and medication adherence (99). Additionally, positive reframing (one of the sub categories of coping in the scale used in this study) has been shown to be a protective factor when trying to manage the stress associated with stigma in a study looking at a CBT intervention (100), so there may be benefit in future research of considering the separate coping strategies within the scale as opposed to grouping based on the two-factor model. Rather than the type of coping, how and when strategies are used may be more important when considering the impact on distress (101).
The psychological mediation framework (44) which provided the theoretical basis for the mediation model in this study was developed in the context of sexual minority stigma. Although the model has been applied in other areas such as HIV (102) and mental health (51) this is the first time that it has been applied to a health condition with a visible difference. The framework has been considered, via a systematic review, in relation to people living with obesity, another visible difference that is highly stigmatised (103) but to date this has remained hypothetical and not yet empirically tested.

Clinical implications

As indicated here and elsewhere (7,8,38) people with dystonia can experience high levels of stigma and psychological distress, highlighting the need to consider these difficulties when working clinically with this population. A review of the literature highlighted that there is very little evidence for psychological interventions in dystonia (104). CBT is often the model of choice when managing anxiety and depression in this population (11) and there is some evidence for its effectiveness (53) although care should be given when working with distress that is related to stigma as negative responses and scrutiny from others are a reality for many people living with dystonia (38).

The results suggest the coping strategies have an important role to play in the relationship between stigma and distress. Developing skills relating to coping have been shown to be beneficial to mental health (105). These skills can be modelled and developed within psychological therapy both within group formats (106) and, individually using approaches such as Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT). An important focus of ACT is behaviour change processes and valued action (107) which could help facilitate the development of alternative coping strategies, as well as promoting wellbeing (108).
On a more systemic level, initiatives that target the reduction of stigma relating to this condition may also decrease distress, improve wellbeing and enhance HRQOL. Stigma towards those with CD has been evidenced in the research (39) and the findings in this study suggest that the experiences of stigma from others and psychological distress are interrelated. A review on interventions for reducing health related stigma suggested that multiple levels of involvement should be considered including within the community, with those around the individual and at government and structural levels (109). For people with CD this might include the involvement of experts by experience in the design of any policies, providing training to health professionals to increase awareness of this condition and increasing public awareness.

Strengths and limitations

The strengths of using a cross-sectional online survey methodology included an ease of access for participants, a minimisation of missing data and a geographically diverse sample. However, there are also some limitations. The majority of respondents were White British and female. Previous research has suggested a higher rate of females than males living with CD ranging from 61%-75% (110–112) though this is still considerably lower than the 82% of females in the current study which could affect generalisability across genders. Ethnicity is less frequently reported in studies on CD but in one study examining 66 people with CD they estimated the prevalence of white people with the condition to be 1.23 per 100,000 people and other races to be 0.15 per 100,000 people (113).

Although the option to receive the survey in paper form was available to participants, the advertisement was primarily online which may have excluded people who were unable to access or use computer technology. This could introduce a risk of bias to the study as younger people are more likely to take part in online research (114), although the mean age (52.3 years) was
similar to another UK study which recruited from an epidemiological study in the north of England (56.1 years) (17).

Categorising the concept of coping into two factors also has its limitations as the complexities and nuances of coping strategies can remain overlooked (48). A way to capture these would be to look at each strategy individually. However, as one of the purposes was to test the theoretical model it was more practical to use a simplified categorisation.

Hayes (78) discussed limitations in how findings from cross-sectional mediation are interpreted, as the direction of any relationships cannot be inferred. Therefore, although there were significant findings in this study, it cannot be assumed that stigma causes people with CD to cope with the stress in unhelpful ways which in turn causes them to have lower levels of psychological wellbeing. Rather, it appears there are interactions between the conceptualisations of stigma, coping and wellbeing, and it may be the case that some of these are bi-directional or that there are other factors also influencing these relationships.

**Research Implications**

Given the limited research into the impact of stigma for people living with dystonia, and this being identified as an important aspect of their experience (40), it would be useful to build on the initial findings within this study to further explore the relationship between stigma and psychological wellbeing. This may be done through looking at the subscales of enacted and perceived stigma as well as examining in more detail any potential protective role of adaptive coping strategies. In addition, research into clinical interventions surrounding coping and stigma would be beneficial for this population.

As mentioned, there are some limitations to categorising coping into two factors. It would therefore be useful to further explore the experiences of coping in those with dystonia utilising a
qualitative methodology. This could then help inform decisions on the categorisation of coping strategies within quantitative research. A longitudinal methodology would be useful to explore any temporal and/or causal links between stigma and wellbeing in dystonia. It would also be useful to replicate this study with a more diverse population sample. This could be done by recruiting from existing epidemiological samples or via movement disorder clinics.

Conclusions

The current study has provided valuable insights into the role of stigma and coping strategies on psychological wellbeing and HRQOL in CD. It is also the first study to apply the psychological mediation framework (44) in the context of a physical health condition with a visible difference. Levels of stigma were related to more frequent use of maladaptive coping, lower wellbeing, lower HRQOL and higher levels of distress. Maladaptive coping was related to lower levels of wellbeing, lower HRQOL and higher levels of distress. Adaptive coping was not related to stigma or distress, but more frequent use of adaptive coping was related to higher levels of wellbeing. In all the mediation models, maladaptive coping mediated the relationship between stigma, HRQOL and wellbeing. Adaptive coping did not mediate the relationship between stigma and any of the outcomes. Based on these findings and those in the wider literature, it is important to address coping strategies and stigma within clinical interventions and future research.
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### Table 2-1

**Sample Demographics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample demographics (n=114)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52.39</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>24-77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age of symptom onset</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37.49</td>
<td>12.86</td>
<td>9-67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age of diagnosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42.60</td>
<td>11.89</td>
<td>10-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White English</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Welsh</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Scottish</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White British</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Irish</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other White Background</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed White &amp; Black</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partnership status:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/Have partner</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Living arrangements:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alone</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With others</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work Status:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed full time</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed part time</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not working</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FDQ scores (n=111):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;42</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;43</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* Percentages are rounded to the nearest whole number, except for percentages less than one, which are rounded to the nearest 0.5%. FDQ = Functional Disability Questionnaire. Scores above the median of 42 indicate a moderate to severe level of disability relating to dystonia.
### Table 2-2

Means, SDs and Cronbach’s alpha of psychometric measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>M(SD)</th>
<th>Sample range</th>
<th>Cronbach’s alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSCI</td>
<td>61.33 (19.43)</td>
<td>25-115</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPE-M</td>
<td>22.58 (6.97)</td>
<td>12-43</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPE-A</td>
<td>36.41 (9.26)</td>
<td>16-57</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDIP-58</td>
<td>174.56 (54.17)</td>
<td>67-286</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEMWBS</td>
<td>41.68 (11.97)</td>
<td>14-70</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASS-S</td>
<td>8.60 (5.42)</td>
<td>0-21</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASS-A</td>
<td>6.69 (5.57)</td>
<td>0-21</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASS-D</td>
<td>8.60 (6.30)</td>
<td>0-21</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* SSCI, Stigma Scale for Chronic Illness; COPE-M, COPE/Maladaptive subscale; COPE-A, COPE/Adaptive subscale; CDIP-58, Cervical Dystonia Impact Profile; WEMWBS, Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale; DASS21-S, Depression Anxiety Stress Scale/Stress subscale; DASS21-A, Depression Anxiety Stress Scale/Anxiety subscale; DASS21-D, Depression Anxiety Stress Scale/Depression subscale. Higher scores mean a higher level of what is being measured on each of the scales apart from the CDIP-58 where a higher score indicated a lower HRQOL.
Table 2-3

Cut offs and frequency of severity of distress as measured using the DASS-21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Depression</th>
<th>Anxiety</th>
<th>Stress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0-9 (50; 43.9%)</td>
<td>0-7 (47; 41.2%)</td>
<td>0-14 (53; 46.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>10-13 (9; 7.9%)</td>
<td>8-9 (4; 3.5%)</td>
<td>15-18 (19; 16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>14-20 (24; 21.1%)</td>
<td>10-14 (21; 18.4%)</td>
<td>19-25 (17; 14.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>21-27 (10; 8.8%)</td>
<td>15-19 (9; 7.9%)</td>
<td>26-33 (14; 12.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Severe</td>
<td>28+ (21; 18.4%)</td>
<td>20+ (33; 30.0%)</td>
<td>34+ (11; 9.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total moderate – extremely severe</td>
<td>14+ (55; 48.3%)</td>
<td>10+ (63; 55.3%)</td>
<td>19+ (42; 36.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Calculated using the cut-offs proposed by (68) for the DASS. For DASS-21 scores are multiplied by two to calculate final score. Percentages rounded to one decimal place.
### Table 2-4

**Non-parametric bivariate correlations among variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>SSCI</th>
<th>COPE-M</th>
<th>COPE-A</th>
<th>CDIP-58</th>
<th>WEMWBS</th>
<th>DASS21-S</th>
<th>DASS21-A</th>
<th>DASS21-D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.158</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>-0.094</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td>-0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>-0.064</td>
<td>0.219*</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.198*</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSCI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.637**</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.641**</td>
<td>-0.488**</td>
<td>0.529**</td>
<td>0.584**</td>
<td>0.589**</td>
<td>0.589**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPE-M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.528**</td>
<td>-0.427**</td>
<td>0.559**</td>
<td>0.538**</td>
<td>0.631**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPE-A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.068</td>
<td>0.331**</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDIP-58</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.707**</td>
<td>0.686**</td>
<td>0.670**</td>
<td>0.729**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEMWBS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.539**</td>
<td>-0.511**</td>
<td>0.669**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASS21-S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.757**</td>
<td>0.692**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASS21-A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.740**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASS21-D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: SSCI, Stigma Scale for Chronic Illness; COPE-M, COPE/Maladaptive subscale; COPE-A, COPE/Adaptive subscale; CDIP-58, Cervical Dystonia Impact Profile; WEMWBS, Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale; DASS21-S, Depression Anxiety Stress Scale/Stress subscale; DASS21-A, Depression Anxiety Stress Scale/Anxiety subscale; DASS21-D, Depression Anxiety Stress Scale/Depression subscale.*

*p<0.05

**p<0.01
Table 2-5

Parallel Mediation Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
<th>Model 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>X = stigma</strong></td>
<td><strong>X = stigma</strong></td>
<td><strong>X = stigma</strong></td>
<td><strong>X = stigma</strong></td>
<td><strong>X = stigma</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M1 = adaptive coping</strong></td>
<td><strong>M1 = adaptive coping</strong></td>
<td><strong>M1 = adaptive coping</strong></td>
<td><strong>M1 = adaptive coping</strong></td>
<td><strong>M1 = adaptive coping</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M2 = maladaptive coping</strong></td>
<td><strong>M2 = maladaptive coping</strong></td>
<td><strong>M2 = maladaptive coping</strong></td>
<td><strong>M2 = maladaptive coping</strong></td>
<td><strong>M2 = maladaptive coping</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Y = HRQoL</strong></td>
<td><strong>Y = wellbeing</strong></td>
<td><strong>Y = stress</strong></td>
<td><strong>Y = anxiety</strong></td>
<td><strong>Y = depression</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| a1 | .003 | .003 | .003 | .003 | .003 |
| a2 | .246*** | .246*** | .246*** | .246*** | .246*** |
| b1 | -.456 | .372*** | -.020 | -.030 | -.135** |
| b2 | 2.006** | -.549** | .371*** | .308*** | .489*** |
| c' | 1.367*** | -.194** | .061* | .091** | .091** |
| c  | 1.859*** | -.328*** | .152*** | .167*** | .210*** |
| a1b1 | -.001 | .001 | <.001 | <.001 | <.001 |
| CI | -.060, .074 | -.037, .038 | -.004, .005 | -.005, .005 | -.016, .014 |
| a2b2 | .492^ | -.135^ | .091^ | .076^ | .120^ |
| CI | .136, .857 | -.231, -.050 | .050, .137 | .035, .116 | .075, .172 |
| CSIE1 | -.001 | .002 | <-.001 | <-.001 | <-.001 |
| CI | -.021, .026 | -.062, .060 | -.015, .019 | -.018, .017 | -.047, .041 |
| CSIE2 | .177^ | -.219^ | .327^ | .264^ | .370^ |
| CI | .051, .306 | -.369, -.084 | .184, .477 | .122, .400 | .237, .517 |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p<0.001

^ Significant indirect effect with 95% CI

1 = adaptive coping as mediator

2 = maladaptive coping as mediator

*Note: X=predictor, M=mediator and Y=outcome; c’ = direct effect of X on Y, controlling for M; c = total effect of X on Y; ab = mediated effect; CI = confidence interval; CSIE: completely standardised indirect effect.
Figure 2-1

Theoretical model

Figure 2-1: Theoretical model
Figure 2-2

Parallel Mediation Models

**MODEL 1**

- **Stigma** → **Maladaptive Coping**
  - $a_1 = .246^{***}$
  - $b_2 = 2.006^{**}$

- **Stigma** → **Adaptive Coping**
  - $a_1 = .003$
  - $b_1 = .456$

- **Adaptive Coping** → **HRQoL**
  - $b_1 = .372^{***}$

$C' = 1.367^{***}$

(c = 1.859^{***})

**MODEL 2**

- **Stigma** → **Maladaptive Coping**
  - $a_1 = .246^{***}$
  - $b_2 = -.549^{**}$

- **Stigma** → **Adaptive Coping**
  - $a_1 = .003$
  - $b_1 = .372^{***}$

- **Adaptive Coping** → **Wellbeing**
  - $b_1 = .372^{***}$

$C' = -.194^{**}$

(c = -.328^{**})
**MODEL 3**

1. Stigma → Maladaptive Coping: $a_1 = .246^{***}$
2. Maladaptive Coping → Adaptive Coping: $b_1 = .003$
3. Adaptive Coping → Stress: $b_2 = .371^{***}$
4. Stigma → Stress: $c' = .061^*$

Total effect: $c = .152^{***}$

**MODEL 4**

1. Stigma → Maladaptive Coping: $a_1 = .246^{***}$
2. Maladaptive Coping → Adaptive Coping: $b_1 = .003$
3. Adaptive Coping → Anxiety: $b_2 = .308^{***}$
4. Stigma → Anxiety: $c' = .091^{**}$

Total effect: $c = .167^{***}$
Figure 2-2. Parallel Mediation models (unstandardized).

Note: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p<0.001
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This critical appraisal will start by summarising the main findings of both the literature review and the research paper. It will also highlight the novel contribution of this research to the field of knowledge regarding psychological distress in dystonia. Following the summary, it will reflect on some of the decisions that were made throughout the project as well as considering the rationale for some of this. Within this is makes some suggestions for future research and highlights some of the ways the current project could have been improved on.

Main Findings

Systematic literature review

The systematic literature review examined the relationship between anxiety and depression and other psychological factors for those living with dystonia. Results were synthesized from 16 quantitative research papers. The findings suggested that psychological factors such as body image, coping styles, self-efficacy, personality traits and self-esteem were correlated with anxiety and/or depression in this population. There was also emerging evidence in the form of single studies which suggested that substance abuse, locus of control, sexual wellbeing and social support may also be correlated with anxiety and/or depression for this population. Overall there was a limited amount of research that had focussed on psychological factors relating to distress in dystonia, but it was clear that these were worthy of more consideration in future research and highlighted the need to do so. This also had clinical implications for the management of distress when working with this population.

Main paper

The main paper was underpinned by a theoretical ‘psychological mediation framework’ model which proposes that the impact on psychological wellbeing from the stress related to stigma is mediated by the strategies a person uses to cope with such stress (1). This framework
was examined through the use of parallel mediational models with stigma as a predictor and with adaptive and maladaptive coping strategies as the mediator variables. Outcome variables were anxiety, depression, stress, health related quality of life (HRQOL) and wellbeing. A series of correlational analyses were also conducted to examine any potential relationships between the variables.

The results of the analysis suggested that higher levels of stigma were related to higher levels of distress, lower levels of wellbeing and lower HRQOL for people living with cervical dystonia. High levels of stigma were also related to more frequent use of maladaptive coping strategies but not to the use of adaptive coping strategies. A higher frequency of using maladaptive coping strategies was related to increased levels of distress, lower levels of wellbeing and lower HRQOL. A higher frequency of adaptive coping strategies was only found to be related to an increase in wellbeing. The mediation analysis identified an indirect effect of stigma on all the outcomes through maladaptive coping. Adaptive coping did not mediate the relationship between stigma and any of the outcomes. These findings provided partial support for the psychological mediation framework.

One of the strengths of this research was that it produced some novel findings that can be added to the developing understanding of the psychological impact of living with cervical dystonia, as well as support for the theoretical psychological mediation framework (1). Although this model has been examined in the context of sexual and gender minorities (2), mental health (3) and HIV (4), this study was the first time that it had been applied to those with a physical health condition which involves a visible difference. In addition, although stigma has previously been examined in relation to HRQOL (5), this is the first study to directly examine the
relationship between stigma and distress and wellbeing in this population. It is also the first to examine wellbeing in relation to other psychological factors in those with CD.

Clinical implications for thorough psychological formulations for people living with dystonia were identified, particularly due to the high reports of stigma in this cohort and the findings suggesting that maladaptive coping strategies may be related to the distress people experience as a result of being stigmatized. However, it was also discussed how adopting a more nuanced approach to the concept of coping strategies may help to further understand the complexities of their role in the development and maintenance of distress. This is discussed in more detail below but may include alternative categorisations of coping or qualitative research to further explore individual’s experience of coping with the stress of stigma. The high rates of stigma reported in this study also highlight the importance of addressing societal attitudes on a more systemic level. Particularly as other research has highlighted that others show stigmatising attitudes to those with dystonia (6).

Decision-making, challenges and opportunities for improvement

Throughout this piece of work there were many points where decisions had to be made that could have influenced its direction. I will therefore reflect on some of these below.

Systematic literature review

Research question

Attempting to formulate a research question for the systematic review was initially challenging. Research into dystonia has a strong medical focus and I was keen to bring my own interest of the role of clinical psychology in neurological conditions to this area. The challenge came from defining a question which was narrow enough to be able to effectively synthesise the
results from multiple papers, whilst also being broad enough to account for the limited psychological research that has traditionally been carried out with this population. An examination of the history of our understanding of dystonia suggests that the focus of psychological difficulties as a ‘cause’ of the condition has thankfully been gradually abandoned in favour of a more neurophysiological understanding, despite the mechanisms still not being fully understood (7). However, this has meant that the psychological distress commonly experienced by those with dystonia is often viewed as resulting from changes in the brain (8). Consideration of other psychosocial factors involved in the development and maintenance of psychological distress, although examined more extensively in other neurological disorders (9), has not had as much attention in dystonia. I had hoped that looking at psychological correlates of anxiety and depression in dystonia might help to bring focus onto other factors which may be involved in the development and maintenance of distress for those living with the condition, which in turn can be considered within clinical practice.

I made the decision to focus the research on idiopathic dystonia (which has no clear cause) as this is the most common presentation (10). Even within this category, there are many different presentations and there is research suggesting that there are differences in the experience of distress based on the location of the dystonia (11). As this is an already complex area, I made the decision to exclude studies which focussed on those who experienced dystonia as a side effect of taking psychiatric medications, or those where dystonia was secondary to another condition such as traumatic brain injury, as I felt that these would add extra complexity to an already complex area which was beyond the scope of this review.
**Search strategy**

I believe that my decision to keep my search strategy broad to increase sensitivity, and opt instead to manually screen a higher number of articles, was the right one to make to maintain the balance between sensitivity and specificity (12). Keeping the focus of the search simple to include only terms relating to ‘dystonia’, ‘anxiety’ and ‘depression’ meant that studies which included other psychological factors could be captured, even if these were not the main focus of the research. Additionally, distress in dystonia is conceptualised more as a ‘psychiatric’ difficulty than a ‘psychological’ one, so narrowing the search further to specify psychological factors may have meant that studies that were relevant would have been missed. The use of diagnostic language for psychological distress does not necessarily fit with my own, and some others’, views on diagnostic labels (13). However, this being an under researched area in a predominantly medical field, meant that adopting these terms for this project would mean that I accessed the largest pool of potential studies for inclusion in the review. In addition, as dystonia is typically understood and researched under the medical model and publications are often in medical, rather than psychological journals, using databases that covered both of these areas increased the chances of relevant papers being found.

**Research paper**

**Survey design and measures**

Early on in the development of my empirical study, decisions had to be made concerning the measurement of key variables and the design of the online survey. A number of factors needed to be considered for the measures such as length, availability, reliability and validity. One of the strengths of this study was the contribution of two people with lived experience of cervical dystonia. Having their input on the proposal and survey design was invaluable, they were able to
make suggestions to improve on the language used to describe each of the measures, making it clearer and more accessible to those filing out the surveys. There were suggestions made on the wording of specific items within measures which I was unable to action as this would have impacted on the overall reliability. However, I was able to feedback to both individuals regarding the changes that were and were not made and the reasons for doing this.

As was found in my literature review, there have been a number of different measures used to look at psychological distress in those living with dystonia, but these are all general measures which have not been validated for use with this population. The issue here was that there were no measures that had been specifically validated for use with dystonia. The Beck’s Depression Inventory (BDI) (14) was a measure that had been commonly used in the research, although I felt that this would add too much length to the overall questionnaire when used alongside the other measures. There was some consideration given to the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) (15), particularly as this has been suggested as a suitable screening for anxiety and depression in Parkinson’s disease, which also has a motor component (16). However, as the underlying theoretical model that the research question was based on had an emphasis on stigma as a stressor, I made the decision to use the short version of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale–21 (DASS-21) as this also included a subscale measuring levels of stress (17,18). I assessed it as being user friendly and it had been used in other studies involving movement disorders (19,20). The limitation from using this measure is that it had not specifically been used in dystonia before, so it was difficult to draw comparisons with previous research. In addition, the anxiety subscale of the DASS-21 has been found to be problematic in people with Parkinson’s disease, another movement disorder with some similar features (21). However, this was more related to tremor so might be less relevant to this population. As results were similar
across the different outcome measures in my study, this may not have been problematic in the same way it has been in Parkinson’s disease.

As there has only been limited research to date into the experience of psychological distress for those living with cervical dystonia, future research will need to further explore which measures accurately capture anxiety and depression in this population. One option would be to use the DASS-21 alongside other validated measures, such as the HADS or BDI, which have been utilised more extensively in dystonia and would be able to serve as a comparison. This would be more appropriate if there were fewer variables/measures included in the research design. It would also be useful for a comprehensive review and critique of the available measures to be conducted in relation to dystonia as this has not been carried out to date.

HRQOL was included alongside distress and wellbeing as an outcome measure to provide a more holistic picture of ‘psychological wellbeing’. This was one variable for which there were two measures specifically designed for those with cervical dystonia: the Cervical Dystonia Impact Profile (CDIP-58) (22) and the Craniocervical Dystonia Questionnaire (CDQ-24) (23). Out of these the CDQ-24 would have been more preferable from a length perspective. However, it specifically includes a stigma subscale which may have inflated any findings of a relationship between stigma and quality of life. The CDIP-58 was longer and did not have stigma as a subscale, although there were stigma related items within the psychosocial functioning scale. The decision to use the CDIP-58 was based on the increased level of sensitivity in this population (24). It has also been found to correlate well with comparable subscales of the Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36-Item Health Survey (SF-36) (25) (24).

The SF-36 is a more generic health status measurement and has been used to assess HRQOL in previous dystonia studies (26). It has been recommended that both disease-specific
and generic measures should be used (27), which allows for a more complete picture of the impact of a condition, as well as being able to draw comparisons across the research with other conditions. Due to the length of the survey the decision to use one rather than two quality of life measures was taken. It may be pertinent for future research to use both types of measures to further understand the impact of stigma and coping styles on HRQOL. If this is done, the SF-36 is a good option as a general measure. Care should be taken though, as the computation of summary scores into a physical component score (PCS) and mental component score (MCS) as proposed by the original authors of the measure may not be suitable for those with cervical dystonia. A factor analysis carried out on the SF-36 found that the subscales of ‘social functioning’ and ‘vitality’, traditionally included in the MCS, were more strongly related to the PCS in cervical dystonia (28). Another consideration when using this measure is that the ‘role physical’ and ‘role emotional’ subscales had large floor and ceiling effects which can risk any clinical change being underestimated (28). However, this adds strength to the proposal of using a disease specific measure alongside, which may be more sensitive to changes within a specific condition.

With hindsight, the use of the CDIP-58 is one of the decisions that I may reconsider if replicating this study. The main reason for this was the length of the measure and the overall time it added to the survey. Although I had involvement from two individuals in the design of the project who had found the survey length manageable, once I had collected the data, there were a number of people who had not completed all the measures. I was unable to gather feedback from participants due to the anonymity of the survey, however, I hypothesised that the length may have been a factor in non-completion. This impacted on the amount of data available for analysis and risked the results being more biased towards those who were more able. I would suggest that
using the CDQ-24 alongside a further analysis to explore any impact of the stigma scale on the overall results, may make the survey more accessible to people.

**The concept of coping and use of language**

Throughout the process of designing, conducting and writing up my research paper, I have had several decisions to make around the inclusion of the concept of coping, including how to measure it, how to classify it, and how to name it. Some of the decisions have been quite difficult and, I feel, guided more by the aims and intended audience of the research rather than necessarily being reflective of my own values and beliefs. Coping itself is a difficult construct to conceptualise, with many differing descriptions and ways of measuring it within the literature (29). My literature review identified that it had been examined in the context of dystonia previously (30–33) and one of the measures used was the Ways of Coping Checklist (34). However, this is a 66-item measure, and as mentioned, I needed to consider the overall length and accessibility of the survey. Instead I opted for the Brief Cope (35). This was a more manageable length of 28 items covering 14 different subscales and was a validated way of measuring state coping strategies. It had also been used in other movement disorders such as Parkinson’s disease and multiple sclerosis (36,37). In addition, it had been used in another study that examined the psychological mediation framework, which was underpinning my research, albeit with a cohort of students rather than in physical health.

Once I had chosen my scale then I needed to make a decision on the categorisation of the subscales. The measure has mainly been categorised in two ways: a two-factor categorisation (adaptive and maladaptive) and a three-factor categorisation (emotion focused, problem focused and dysfunctional).
I originally considered the three-factor categorisation, although felt that separating emotion-focussed coping and problem-focussed coping may be problematic. It has been suggested in the coping research that problem focussed coping strategies may be more adaptive than emotion focussed strategies (38,39). However, if a condition is chronic and there is no cure, individuals may feel that they have little control over the stressor and turn towards emotion-focussed rather than problem-focussed coping strategies (40). It has also been highlighted that problem-focussed strategies are more appropriate for controllable stressors and emotion-focussed strategies are more adaptive if the stressor is outside of an individual’s control (41). There is also the issue that emotion focussed and problem focussed coping are not conceptually clear and most ways of coping could potentially fit into both categories (29). Therefore, as the scope of this study was to test the theoretical model for the first time in a novel area, a broader conceptualisation of coping strategies within the two-factor model felt more practical. This is also in line with other research that has used the theoretical model and same coping measure within a student population (3).

There are limitations to the two-factor categorisation that need to be considered. For example, ‘religious coping’ is included within the adaptive coping category. However, there have been mixed findings in the research with some supporting it as an adaptive strategy (42) and others (including in dystonia research) finding that it is a maladaptive strategy (31). For future research it would be worth looking at the individual subscales when analysing their mediating role in the relationship between stigma and psychological wellbeing. Another option would be to draw on a strategy used by Jahanshahi (31). Prior to entering maladaptive and adaptive coping into a regression model, they checked the correlations between the individual subscales and grouped them based on their relationship to a measure of depression. Although this
categorisation may limit the generalisability to the wider population, it would give a clearer idea of what coping styles may be adaptive or maladaptive for the specific group being studied. This could also be explored through qualitative research, examining how those living with dystonia cope with any stress associated with stigmatising experiences. Enhancing understanding around these concepts could then inform how coping is examined and categorised within quantitative research.

Despite the complexities of classification of coping, there is some agreement the ‘maladaptive’ and ‘adaptive’ are appropriate second-order dimensions (43,44), although I found the term ‘maladaptive’ did not fit with my own understanding of coping strategies. Further reading around classifications of coping styles revealed other descriptors such as ‘problematic’ and ‘dysfunctional’ which posed the same issues for me. These terms felt pathologizing and blaming, whereas a more nuanced understanding of coping strategies would recognise that there are times that even ‘maladaptive' strategies would be adaptive and serve a purpose, and that strategies are not necessarily used in isolation of each other (45). Upon reflection I made the difficult decision to keep the wording, as this is the language that is used within the coping literature (43) and has also been used previously when looking at coping in dystonia (31). However, I may reconsider this if I was to replicate the research or examine coping styles in the future. One option would be to consult with those with lived experience and to include their thoughts and feelings on the terms used to describe the different coping strategies.

**Conclusion**

This project highlighted the importance of considering psychological approaches for people living with dystonia. Research within this area appears to be limited and with a focus on pathophysiological understandings of distress associated with this condition. The current review
of the literature and research paper revealed that there are other psychological factors involved with the distress experienced by those with dystonia. More research is needed employing a range of methodological designs (e.g. longitudinal, qualitative) to further understand the experiences of concepts such as stigma and coping, and how these factors interrelate in dystonia. An increase in our understanding of these will better enable clinicians to support the psychological wellbeing of people with dystonia.

Within this project there was numerous points where important decisions had to be made on how to proceed. One of the strengths of this was the involvement of people with lived experience of cervical dystonia and future research should strive to include this where feasible. When examining a number of different theoretical concepts (e.g. stigma, coping, quality of life) within a single research design, there will always be limitations due to the complexities of these areas and decisions have to be made to balance the number and length of measures against the accessibility for those agreeing to take part. On the whole I feel that most of the decisions I made were the right ones for this project. The main considerations I would give if repeating this research would be to use a shorter measure of disease specific quality of life, and maybe add a generic health impact measure such as the SF-36. I would also consider exploring different categorisations of coping strategies.
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Introduction
Dystonia is a movement disorder which involves repetitive movements and abnormal postures caused by sustained muscle contractions (Lewis, Butler, & Jahanshahi, 2008). Studies have shown that it affects approximately 0.01-0.03% of the population (Phukan, Albanese, Gasser, & Warner, 2011). The effects of the visible changes to appearance have been associated with embarrassment which can lead to psychological difficulties, social avoidance, and isolation (Lewis et al., 2008). Cervical dystonia, also known as spasmodic torticollis, is the most common kind of focal dystonia (a type of dystonia that affects one part of the body) and is characterised by uncontrolled twisting, turning and tilting of the head caused by involuntary and often painful contractions of the neck muscles (Ben-Shlomo, Camfield, & Warner, 2002).

One focus of research in this population has been health related quality of life (HRQOL) (Weiss et al., 2017). This broadly looks at how a condition impacts on an individual across physical, social and psychological domains (Calvert & Freemantle, 2003; Hays, Hahn, & Marshall, 2002). The research suggests that depression (Basurović, Svetel, Pekmezović, & Kostić, 2012), negative body concept (Pekmezovic et al., 2009) and social participation (Ben-Shlomo et al., 2002) are some of the main factors correlated with HRQOL. In studies of other movement disorders, such as Parkinson’s Disease, stigma has also been found to play a key role in HRQOL (Ma, Saint-Hilaire, Thomas, Tickle-Degnen, & Thomas, 2016).

Stigma is a vital aspect of health research. Visible characteristics, such as tremors or muscle contractions in dystonia, can result in stigmatising social experiences alongside the physical and emotional impact of the condition (Joachim & Acorn, 2000). Psychological, physical and social aspects of illness can be exacerbated by the emotional impact of social disqualification (Weiss, Ramakrishna, & Somma, 2006). Stigma relating to a condition may contribute towards a decrease in overall quality of life (Molina, Choi, Cella, & Rao, 2013).
Stigma can be broken down into two main areas: enacted stigma which is related to discrimination and attitudes from the public; and perceived stigma which is an internalising of enacted stigma by an individual, often leading to feelings of shame (Goffman, 1963). Perceived stigma has also been shown to lead to a poorer quality of life, negatively impact health related outcomes and increase social isolation in people with mental health difficulties (Fung, Tsang, & Corrigan, 2008; Rüsch & Corrigan, 2010; Rüsch et al., 2006). Studies of enacted stigma with populations of people with epilepsy show that frequency of enacted stigma is associated with increased psychological distress and a poorer quality of life (Kumari, Ram, Haque Nizamie, & Goyal, 2009; McLaughlin, Pachana, & McFarland, 2008; Suurmeijer, Reuvekamp, & Aldenkamp, 2001). Stigma has also been found to predict psychological outcomes in people with neurological conditions which present with movement disorders such as Parkinson’s disease and multiple sclerosis (Gallagher, Lees, & Schrag, 2010; Valvano et al., 2016).

The role of stigma in psychological outcomes for people with dystonia is poorly understood. One study found a significant relationship between stigma and both the mental and physical aspects of quality of life in people with cervical dystonia (Ben-Shlomo et al., 2002). Another study found no significant relationship between stigma and health related quality of life for people with segmental dystonia (Basurović et al., 2012), however this study had a small sample size. Both studies also used a stigma scale designed for people with bowel cancer (MacDonald & Anderson, 1984). A 2001 study examining the role of stigma in cervical dystonia found that the majority of participants had felt some, or severe stigma (Papathanasiou, MacDonald, Whurr, & Jahanshahi, 2001). The questionnaire used in the 2001 study was created following interviews with participants. Although this meant that it had good face validity and was focussed on what was important to the 10 participants initially interviewed, it is unclear how
generalisable this could be to other people living with dystonia. An additional study looking at the perceptions of people without dystonia showed stigmatising attitudes towards those with cranial and cervical dystonia (Rinnerthaler, Mueller, Weichbold, Wenning, & Poewe, 2006), suggesting that enacted stigma is very much a part of daily life for this population, particularly those with cervical dystonia.

Research focussed on other groups who experience stigma draws heavily on the minority stress paradigm (Meyer, 2003), which conceptualises stigma as a stressor above and beyond what non-stigmatised people endure, which then leads to difficulties with mental health. This was further developed into a psychological mediation framework (Hatzenbuehler, 2009) which integrated the theory of minority stress with psychological processes that may result from being stigmatised, and therefore contribute to psychological distress. One of these proposed processes was coping styles. There is emerging evidence that the experience of stigma can lead to coping behaviours which could be considered maladaptive, such as disengagement and substance use, which in turn can impact on psychological wellbeing and quality of life (Hatzenbuehler, Phelan, & Link, 2013). To date the relationship between stigma, coping styles and psychological outcomes has not been examined in people with dystonia.

Consequently, this study will investigate the relationship between stigma and psychological outcomes then also investigate whether coping mediates this relationship in a cohort of people with cervical dystonia. The focus will be on people with cervical dystonia as this is the most common type of dystonia. If stigma and coping are found to be a significant factor for distress and wellbeing, then this would have implications for the field of clinical psychology on both an individual and a systemic level, through potential psychological
interventions and, in turn, potentially lead to improved psychological well-being for those with cervical dystonia.

**Method: Participants**

For a medium effect (0.36) in both paths (stigma to control ($\alpha$) and control to psychological outcomes ($\beta$)) using a bias-corrected bootstrap mediation model, approximately 71 participants are required for 80% power, rising to 115-116 if the $\alpha$ or $\beta$ path coefficient has a small-medium effect (0.26) (Fritz & MacKinnon, 2007). Previous research looking at the relationship between stigma and coping have found small-medium effects for adaptive coping and large effects for maladaptive coping, suggesting powering the study for a small-medium effect should be sufficient (Tran & Lumley, 2019). It is not possible to take the effect size for $\beta$ from previous research as this requires the identical model (and we are not aware of such research). However, the study will be powered so that it should find a small-medium effect should it exist (at 80% power). Thus, in total the aim would be to recruit 116 participants

**Inclusion criteria**

- Individuals who self-report a diagnosis of cervical dystonia
- aged 18 or over.
- Individuals who are able to complete the measures alone or with support.
- The questionnaire will be written in English, so individuals will need to have sufficient understanding of written English to take part.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Potential participants will be excluded if they have dystonia following a serious injury,
- Potential participants who also have other significant illness/condition that affects their visible appearance will also be excluded.
**Method: Design**

The study will be a cross-sectional survey using quantitative measures. The data will be quantitatively examined and a mediation analysis will be conducted using Hayes process tool (Hayes, 2013) within SPSS to examine whether coping style mediates the relationship between stigma and psychological wellbeing (including distress) and quality of life.

The dependent variables will be scores of emotional wellbeing, distress, and quality of life: The short version of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale – 21 (DASS-21) (Henry & Crawford, 2005; Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995), the Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS) (Tennant et al., 2007), and the Cervical Dystonia Impact Profile (CDIP-58) (Cano et al., 2004) which assess quality of life will be used. – see materials section for details on reliability and validity.

The predictor variable will be perceived stigma, measured using the Stigma Scale for Chronic Illness (SSCI-8) (Rao et al., 2009). The mediating variable will be coping style which will be measured using the brief COPE (Carver, 1997) using the two-category model developed by Meyer (2001) which divides the subscales into adaptive and maladaptive coping strategies.

**Method: Materials**

The survey will contain demographic, clinical and validated measures. (See appendices 3-9)

**Demographic variables**

- Age
- Gender
- Ethnicity
• Work status
• Relationship status
• Living arrangements

**Clinical variables**
• Age of onset
• Duration
• Time since diagnosis
• Medication
• Botulinum toxin (BTX) treatment
• Other treatments
• Other health conditions

**Validated measures**
• The short version of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale – 21 (DASS-21) (Henry & Crawford, 2005; Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995). This is a 21-item scale. Although not used specifically with people living with dystonia, it has been used with other neurological conditions which present with movement disorders such as Parkinson’s disease (Birtwell, Dubrow-Marshall, Dubrow-Marshall, Duerden, & Dunn, 2017) and multiple sclerosis (Solaro, Gamberini, & Masuccio, 2018).

• The Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS) (Tennant et al., 2007) is a 14-item validated measure of wellbeing which focusses on positive aspects of mental health. Although not researched specifically with physical health populations, it is considered easy to complete and useful for general population samples (Koushede et al., 2019).
• Quality of Life will be measured using the Cervical Dystonia Impact Profile (CDIP-58) (Cano et al., 2004) which is a 58-item scale measuring the impact of CD across eight domains: head and neck symptoms, pain and discomfort, upper limb activities, annoyance, sleep, walking, mood and psychosocial functioning. This measure has been shown to have good validity and reliability (Cano et al., 2008).

• Stigma will be measured by the 24-item Stigma Scale for Chronic Illness (SSCI). This scale was developed for people with chronic neurological disorders and measures both felt stigma and enacted stigma (Rao et al., 2009). It has been shown to have fair internal consistency and good content validity (Stevelink, Wu, Voorend, & van Brakel, 2012).

• Coping styles will be measured using the brief COPE (Carver, 1997). This has 14 scales with each subscale including two items. Although this has been categorised in various ways in the literature, this study will use the two-category model developed by Meyer (2001) which divides the subscales into adaptive and maladaptive coping strategies.

• Disease Severity will be measured using the Functional Disability Questionnaire (Jahanshahi & Marsden, 1990). This is a 27-item scale developed to measure the impact of dystonia on activities of daily living. The construct and concurrent validity of the scale, along with test-retest reliability and internal consistency were shown to be high (Jahanshahi & Marsden, 1990).

**Method: Procedure**

This project will be advertised through the UK Dystonia Society (https://www.dystonia.org.uk/) and Dystonia Ireland (http://www.dystonia.ie/) via any channels available to them (e.g. website, social media, newsletter, groups, posters) and using both an advert (appendix 12) and a video (appendix 13). The video will have the advert visible below it
on the webpage to provide people with the relevant contact information. Other dystonia relevant online organisations may also be approached to request the advert be shared through their channels. It will also be shared on the Lancaster University Doctorate in Clinical Psychology webpage and social media. An advert will be provided however the charities will be free to add their own wording when sharing details of the study with their members (see appendix 12 for advert). Individuals will read information about the purpose of the study before being directed to a consent page if they wish to take part (see appendices 1 and 2 for participant information and consent form- paper version). Once consent has been provided to take part in the research the online survey will appear (see appendix 11 for link to online version of the survey). If participants would prefer to complete the survey in paper format, the information page and initial advert will include contact details and instructions on how to access a paper copy. Paper copies of the survey will be provided if participants choose to complete the survey in this format. At the end of the study participants will be provided with a debrief, information on how they can be entered into a prize draw in return for taking part, and details of support resources as provided in the initial information will be repeated (see appendix 10 for paper version of debrief). The survey should take approximately 30 minutes to complete. Data from the online survey will be gathered electronically, and data from the hard copies will be inputted immediately into the electronic dataset. The hard copies of the questionnaire will be safely and immediately destroyed.

**Proposed analysis**

A series of mediation analyses using Hayes PROCESS tool (Hayes, 2013) within SPSS will be used to examine whether coping style mediates the relationship between stigma and psychological outcomes.
Practical issues

For participants who would prefer to access the survey in paper format, an additional cost of postage will be incurred. This will be funded by the DClinPsy course at Lancaster University.

Ethical concerns

Participating in this study should not pose a significant risk to participants. However, reflecting on the issues raised within the research may be difficult for some people. Contact details will be provided of places that can be provide support should any issues arise. Additionally, it will be made clear prior to starting the study that they can stop at any time during the survey although, due to the anonymity of participation, their data cannot be removed after they have agreed to take part.

Service User Involvement

Two experts by experience have been consulted on the measures used within the survey and the design of the project. Changes were then made following feedback.

Timescale

Ethics application: 10th July 2019
Recruitment September 2019- January/Feb 2020
Analysis and write up February- April 2020
Submission May 2020

Appendices

Appendix 1: Participant information sheet. – paper version
Appendix 2: Participant consent form. – paper version
Appendix 3: Demographic and Clinical information – paper version.

Appendix 7: Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS) (Tennant et al., 2007) – paper version.


Appendix 10: Participant debrief – paper version

Appendix 11: link to online survey

Appendix 12: Advertisement for recruitment

Appendix 13: Video advertising research
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Appendix 4-1 Approval letter

Applicant: Helen Gowling  
Supervisor: Fiona Eccles  
Department: Health Research  
FHMREC Reference: FHMREC18109  

30 August 2019

Dear Helen

Re: Stigma, coping styles and wellbeing in individuals with cervical dystonia

Thank you for submitting your research ethics application for the above project for review by the Faculty of Health and Medicine Research Ethics Committee (FHMREC). The application was recommended for approval by FHMREC, and on behalf of the Chair of the Committee, I can confirm that approval has been granted for this research project.

As principal investigator your responsibilities include:
- ensuring that (where applicable) all the necessary legal and regulatory requirements in order to conduct the research are met, and the necessary licenses and approvals have been obtained;
- reporting any ethics-related issues that occur during the course of the research or arising from the research to the Research Ethics Officer at the email address below (e.g. unforeseen ethical issues, complaints about the conduct of the research, adverse reactions such as extreme distress);
- submitting details of proposed substantive amendments to the protocol to the Research Ethics Officer for approval.

Please contact me if you have any queries or require further information.

Tel: 01542 593987  
Email: fhmresearchsupport@lancaster.ac.uk

Yours sincerely,

Becky Case  
Research Ethics Officer, Secretary to FHMREC.
Appendix 4-2 Amendment application

Faculty of Health and Medicine Research Ethics Committee (FHMREC)

Lancaster University Application for Amendment to
Previously Approved Research

1. Name of applicant:

   Helen Gowling

   h.gowling@lancaster.ac.uk

2. E-mail address and phone number of applicant:

3. Title of project:

   Stigma, coping styles and wellbeing in individuals with cervical dystonia

4. FHMREC project reference number:

   FHMREC18109

5. Date of original project approval as indicated on the official approval letter (month/year):

   30/08/2019

6. Please outline the requested amendment(s)
Expansion to recruitment strategy to include:

Video to advertise the study on the websites of both Dystonia Ireland and the Dystonia Society.

For both charities to be able to advertise through all channels available to them (i.e. posters, newsletter, cascading to local groups)

Other dystonia relevant online organisations may also be approached to request the advert be shared through their channels.

Note that where the amendment relates to a change of researcher, and the new researcher is a student, a full application must be made to FHMREC.

7. Please explain your reason(s) for requesting the above amendment(s):

Following discussions with the Dystonia Society, they requested the video to be put on their website and suggested advertising in non-electronic ways as the people who access their service and may wish to participate in research do so in a variety of ways rather than just on social media. This also justifies expanding the recruitment to other dystonia relevant organisations as well as for the charities to advertise via other mediums besides electronically.

Guidance:

a) Resubmit your research ethics documents (the entire version which received final approval, including all participant materials, your application form and research protocol), with all additions highlighted in yellow, and any deletions simply ‘struck through’, so that it is possible to see what was there previously.

b) This should be submitted as a single PDF to Becky Case. There is no need to resubmit the Governance Checklist.
Applicant electronic signature:  
Helen Gowling  

Date  
07/10/2019

Student applicants: please tick to confirm that you have discussed this amendment application with your supervisor, and that they are happy for the application to proceed to ethical review  
☒

Project Supervisor name (if applicable):  
Fiona Eccles  

Date application discussed  
08/10/2019

You must submit this application from your Lancaster University email address, and copy your supervisor in to the email in which you submit this application
Appendix 4-3 Amendment approval letter

Applicant: Helen Gowling
Supervisor: Fiona Eccles
Department: Health Research
FHMREC Reference: FHMREC19013

15 October 2019

Dear Helen

Re: Stigma, coping styles and wellbeing in individuals with cervical dystonia

Thank you for submitting your research ethics amendment application for the above project for review by the Faculty of Health and Medicine Research Ethics Committee (FHMREC). The application was recommended for approval by FHMREC, and on behalf of the Chair of the Committee, I can confirm that approval has been granted for the amendment to this research project.

As principal investigator your responsibilities include:

- ensuring that (where applicable) all the necessary legal and regulatory requirements in order to conduct the research are met, and the necessary licenses and approvals have been obtained;
- reporting any ethics-related issues that occur during the course of the research or arising from the research to the Research Ethics Officer at the email address below (e.g. unforeseen ethical issues, complaints about the conduct of the research, adverse reactions such as extreme distress);
- submitting details of proposed substantive amendments to the protocol to the Research Ethics Officer for approval.

Please contact me if you have any queries or require further information.

Tel: 01542 593987
Email: fhmresearchsupport@lancaster.ac.uk

Yours sincerely,

Becky Case
Research Ethics Officer, Secretary to FHMREC.
Appendix 4-4 Online Survey

Participant Information

Stigma, coping styles and well-being in individuals with cervical dystonia

Thank you for your interest in this research project. My name is Helen Gowling and I am conducting this research as a student in the Doctorate in Clinical Psychology programme and Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom.

What is the study about?
I am interested in how you have experienced the attitudes of other people to cervical dystonia and any difficulties these may have created (stigma). I am also interested in whether these experiences have impacted on how you manage or cope with stressful situations. The aim is to understand the relationship between coping style, stigma and well-being.

Why have I been approached?
You have been approached because we need information from people who are over the age of 18 and have been diagnosed with neck dystonia/ cervical dystonia/ spasmodic torticollis.

Do I have to take part?
No. It is completely up to you to decide if you want to take part in the research. You can withdraw at any point before submitting the survey. Because your answers will be anonymous we will be unable to withdraw your responses after you have started to take part in the survey.

What will I be asked to do if I take part?
If you decide you would like to take part in this research you will be asked to complete a survey. This can be accessed online or on paper and will take approximately 30 minutes to complete. Paper copies can be made available on request (by phone: +44 7852 516812, by email: h.gowling@lancaster.ac.uk). The survey will ask you questions about your condition, your feelings, the attitudes of others towards you and how you cope with stress. The online survey does not have to be

https://lancasteruni.eu.qualtrics.com/jfepreviewForm/SV_7U7kFSPhMCVUnadIQ_CHL_preview&Q_SurveyVersionID=
completed in one sitting, you are able to save your progress. You have 2 weeks from when you start to complete the survey. After this time the responses you have made will automatically be submitted and you will not be able to return to the survey.

**Will my data be identifiable?**
The data you provide will be completely anonymous. No one will have access to any personal information that identifies you. Lancaster University will store the electronic data from the survey for ten years. Information from paper copies will be inputted onto the electronic data source and the paper version will be immediately destroyed.

For further information about how Lancaster University processes personal data for research purposes and your data rights please visit our [web page](#).

**What will happen to the results?**
The results will be analysed and reported in a thesis which may be presented at conferences and/or be submitted for publication in an academic journal. A brief report of the findings will also be shared with the Dystonia Society and Dystonia Ireland. If you would like a copy of the report to be sent to you directly please contact Helen Gowing (by phone: +44 7852516812, by email: h.gowing@lancaster.ac.uk).

Raw data will be kept confidential and only accessed by the research team. However, aggregated data may be shared with other genuine researchers if requested once the project is complete.

**Are there any risks?**
It is anticipated that there are no risks involved in participating in this research. However, if you experience any distress during or following participation, for example by answering difficult questions about your condition, you are encouraged to contact the resources provided at the end of this page.

**Are there any benefits to taking part?**
You may find taking part in the study interesting. The findings of the study may increase our understanding of stigma and inform ideas on how this can be addressed for people living with cervical dystonia.

**Who has reviewed the project?**
This study has been reviewed and approved by the Faculty of Health and Medicine Research Ethics Committee at Lancaster University.
Where can I obtain further information about the study if I need it?
If you have any questions about the study, please contact the primary researcher Helen Gowling (y phone: +44 7852 516812, by email: h.gowling@lancaster.ac.uk). The study will be supervised by Dr Fiona Eccles at Lancaster University and Dr Fiadhnait O’Keeffe at St Vincent’s University Hospital, Dublin.

Complaints
If you wish to make a complaint or raise concerns about any aspect of this study and do not want to speak to the researcher, you can contact:
Title: Professor Bill Sellwood Tel: (+441524) 593996
Email: b.sellwood@lancaster.ac.uk
Health Research
Lancaster University
Lancaster
LA1 4YG

If you wish to speak to someone outside of the Clinical Psychology Doctorate Programme, you may also contact:
Professor Roger Pickup Tel: +441524 593746
Associate Dean for Research Email: r.pickup@lancaster.ac.uk
Faculty of Health and Medicine
Lancaster University
Lancaster
LA1 4YG

Thank you for taking the time to read this information sheet.

Resources in the event of distress
Should you feel distressed either as a result of taking part, or in the future, the following resources may be of assistance:

The Dystonia Society (UK)
https://www.dystonia.org.uk/
Tel: 020 7793 3651
Email: support@dystonia.org.uk
Dystonia Ireland
http://www.dystonia.ie/
Tel: 00 353 (01) 4922514
Email: info@dystonia.ie

Your own GP
If you experience distress as a result of taking part in this research we recommend that you seek support from your GP.

To download a copy of this information to keep please click on the link below:
Participant information

https://lancasteruni.eu.qualtrics.com/jfe/previewForm/SV_JU7KFSyHMcVsUnafTQ_CLH=preview&Q_SurveyVersionID=
Consent Form

Stigma, coping styles and well-being in individuals with cervical dystonia.
In order to give consent to take part in the study please respond to the following statements by ticking the box to show you agree. If you have any questions or queries before signing the consent form please contact the principal investigator, Helen Gowling (by phone: +44 7852 516812, by email: h.gowling@lancaster.ac.uk).

I am over the age of 18

Yes

I have received a diagnosis of cervical dystonia/ neck dystonia/ spasmodic torticollis

Yes

I confirm that I have read the information provided and fully understand what is expected of me within this study.

Yes

I understand that any responses/ information I give will remain anonymous
Yes

I understand that my participation is voluntary.

Yes

I understand that the researcher will discuss data with their supervisors as needed.

Yes

I understand that once I have started the survey I have 2 weeks to complete it.

Yes

I understand that my answers will automatically be submitted 2 weeks after I start the survey.

Yes

I understand that my answers cannot be withdrawn from the survey once I have started.

Yes

I consent to Lancaster University keeping the anonymised data for a period of 10 years
after the study has finished.

Yes

I consent to take part in the study

Yes

How old are you? (Minimum 18 years)

What is your gender?

Male

Female

Other
What age were you when you first noticed symptoms of cervical dystonia?

At what age did you receive a diagnosis of cervical dystonia?

Are you taking prescribed medication to manage the symptoms of cervical dystonia? (if yes, please provide details)

Yes

No

Don't know

Have you received botulinum toxin injections to manage the symptoms of cervical dystonia?

Yes

No

Don't know
Are you receiving any other treatment to manage the symptoms of cervical dystonia?

Yes

No

Don't know

Do you currently have any other diagnosed physical or mental health conditions?

Yes

No

Don't know
What is your ethnic group? (you may choose more than one)

- White English
- White Welsh
- White Scottish
- White Northern Irish
- White British
- White Irish
- White Irish Traveller
- Any other White background
- Mixed White and Black Caribbean
- Mixed White and Black African
- Mixed White and Asian
Any other Mixed/ Multiple ethnic background

Asian British

Asian Pakistani

Asian Bangladeshi

Asian Indian

Asian Chinese

Any other Asian background

Black British

Black African

Black Caribbean

Any other Black/ African/ Caribbean background

Arab

Other
Are you currently in paid employment?

Yes - Full time

Yes- Part time

No

Retired

What is your partnership status?

Single

Married/ Have a partner

Widowed

Other

What are your living arrangements?

Alone

With others (e.g. spouse, partner, children, friends, family)

Residential/ Nursing home

Other
Please read the following statements and indicate your level of agreement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>Rarely</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>Always</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition, I felt emotionally distant from other people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition, I felt left out of things.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition, I felt embarrassed in social situations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition, I worried about other people's attitudes towards me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was unhappy about how my condition affected my appearance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition, it was hard for me to stay neat and clean.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition, I worried that I was a burden to others.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt embarrassed about my condition.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt embarrassed because of my physical limitations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt embarrassed about my speech.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition, I felt different from others.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I tended to blame myself for the problem.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I avoided making new friends to avoid telling others about my condition.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition, some people seemed uncomfortable with me.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition, some people avoided me.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition, people were unkind to me.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition people make fun of me.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition, people avoided looking at me.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition, strangers tended to stare at me.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition, I was treated unfairly by others.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of my condition, people tended to ignore my good points.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some people acted as though it was my fault I have this condition.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People with my condition lost their jobs when their employers found out.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I lost my friends by telling them I have this condition.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These questions deal with ways you’ve been coping with the stress related to living with cervical dystonia over the past month. There are many ways to try to deal with problems. These items ask what you’ve been doing to cope with this one. Obviously, different people deal with things in different ways, but I’m interested in how you’ve tried to deal with stress.

Each item says something about a particular way of coping. I want to know to what extent you’ve been doing what the item says. How much or how frequently. Don’t answer on the basis of whether it seems to be working or not—just whether or not you’re doing it. Try to rate each item separately in your mind from the others. Make your answers as true FOR YOU as you can.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I haven’t been doing this at all</th>
<th>I’ve been doing this a little bit</th>
<th>I’ve been doing this a medium amount</th>
<th>I’ve been doing this a lot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I’ve been turning to work or other activities to take my mind off things.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’ve been concentrating my efforts on doing something about the situation I’m in.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’ve been saying to myself &quot;this isn’t real.&quot;</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’ve been using alcohol or other drugs to make myself feel better.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’ve been getting emotional support from others.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’ve been giving up trying to deal with it.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’ve been taking action to try to make the situation better.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been refusing to believe that it has happened.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been saying things to let my unpleasant feelings escape.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been getting help and advice from other people.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been using alcohol or other drugs to help me get through it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been trying to see it in a different light, to make it seem more positive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been criticizing myself.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been trying to come up with a strategy about what to do.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been getting comfort and understanding from someone.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been giving up the attempt to cope.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been looking for something good in what is happening.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been making jokes about it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been doing something to think about it less, such as going to movies, watching TV, reading, daydreaming, sleeping, or shopping.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been accepting the reality of the fact that it has happened.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been expressing my negative feelings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been trying to find comfort in my religion or spiritual beliefs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been trying to get advice or help from other people about what to do.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been learning to live with it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been thinking hard about what steps to take.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been blaming myself for things</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
People with cervical dystonia can often be bothered by different problems. The following questions ask about problems you may have been bothered by **during the past 2 weeks**. During the **past 2 weeks**, how much were you bothered by each of the following problems? (Please choose the option that best describes your situation.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select your response</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>A little</th>
<th>Moderately</th>
<th>Quite a bit</th>
<th>Extremely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Uncontrollable movements of your neck preventing your head from being straight?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Twisting of the neck?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Inability to control your head?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Tension in your neck?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Straining in your neck?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Stiffness in your neck?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Aching in your shoulders?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Shoulder pain?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Neck and shoulders being tired?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) Tightness in your neck?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) Tightness in your shoulders?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During the **past 2 weeks**, has cervical dystonia limited your ability to carry out your usual daily activities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select your response</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>A little</th>
<th>Moderately</th>
<th>Quite a bit</th>
<th>Extremely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Limits in the type of work or other activities?</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Carrying heavy objects?</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Carrying light objects?</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Heavy household chores?</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Light household chores?</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Cleaning the house?</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Cooking?</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Getting tired when doing demanding physical activities?</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Getting tired when doing light physical activities?</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During the **past 2 weeks**, how much has your cervical dystonia:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select your response</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>A little</th>
<th>Moderately</th>
<th>Quite a bit</th>
<th>Extremely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Limited your ability to walk?</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Limited your ability to climb up and down stairs?</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Limited how far you are able to walk?</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Increased the effort needed for you to walk?</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Slowed down your walking?</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Affected how smoothly you walk?</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Made you concentrate on your walking?</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Made you feel unsafe walking up and down stairs?</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Made you feel unsteady walking?</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
<td>🔄</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During the **past 2 weeks**, how often did you:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select your response</th>
<th>None of the time</th>
<th>A little of the time</th>
<th>Some of the time</th>
<th>Most of the time</th>
<th>All of the time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Have trouble falling asleep because of the symptoms of your spasmodic torticollis?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Have a restless sleep because of the symptoms of your spasmodic torticollis?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Wake up because of the symptoms of your spasmodic torticollis?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Not get the amount of sleep that you needed because of the symptoms of your spasmodic torticollis?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the **past 2 weeks**, has cervical dystonia limited your ability to carry out your usual social activities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select your response</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>A little</th>
<th>Moderately</th>
<th>Quite a bit</th>
<th>Extremely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Enjoyment of social situations?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Socialising with friends or family?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During the **past 2 weeks**, how often has cervical dystonia caused you to feel:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None of the time</th>
<th>A little of the time</th>
<th>Some of the time</th>
<th>Most of the time</th>
<th>All of the time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angry?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annoyed?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritated?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggravated?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed up?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frustrated?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stressed?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impatient?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upset?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worried?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxious?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scared?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fearful?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More self-conscious in social situations?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uneasy</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>talking to strangers?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less relaxed in social situations?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embarrassed about eating in public (e.g. cafe, restaurant)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embarrassed going out in public (e.g. cinema, theatre)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everybody is staring at you?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of confidence?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of self-confidence?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Below are some statements about feelings and thoughts. Please tick the box that best describes your experience of each over the past 2 weeks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feeling</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>Rarely</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>Always</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I've been feeling optimistic about the future.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been feeling useful.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been feeling relaxed.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been feeling interested in other people.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've had energy to spare.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been dealing with problems well.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been thinking clearly.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been feeling good about myself.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been feeling close to other people.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been feeling confident.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been able to make up my own mind about things.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been feeling loved.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been interested in new things.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've been feeling cheerful.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please read each statement and indicate how much the statement applied to you over the past week. There are no right or wrong answers. Do not spend too much time on any statement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select your response</th>
<th>Did not apply to me at all</th>
<th>Applied to me to some degree, or some of the time</th>
<th>Applied to me to a considerable degree, or a good part of the time</th>
<th>Applied to me very much, or most of the time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I found it hard to wind down.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was aware of dryness of my mouth.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I couldn’t seem to experience any positive feeling at all.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I experienced breathing difficulty (for example, excessively rapid breathing, breathlessness in the absence of physical exertion).</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I found it difficult to work up the initiative to do things.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I tended to over-react to situations.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I experienced trembling (for example, in the hands).</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt that I was using a lot of nervous energy.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was worried about situations in which I might panic and make a fool of myself.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt that I had nothing to look forward to.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I found myself getting agitated.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I found it difficult to relax.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt down-hearted and blue.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was intolerant of anything that kept me from getting on with what I was doing.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt I was close to panic.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was unable to become enthusiastic about anything.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt I wasn’t worth much as a person.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt that I was rather touchy.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was aware of the action of my heart in the absence of physical exertion (for example, sense of heart rate increase, heart missing a beat).</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt scared without any good reason.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt that life was meaningless.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Below is a list of activities. Please indicate the extent to which your condition affects your engagement in, your performance or enjoyment of these activities at the present time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Not applicable</th>
<th>Not at all affected</th>
<th>Mildly affected</th>
<th>Moderately affected</th>
<th>Severely affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dressing/undressing yourself</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing housework (vacuuming, washing, dusting, ironing etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watching television</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of public transport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having a face-to-face conversation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying objects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Going to restaurants or pubs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brushing teeth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having sexual intercourse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving a car</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washing face</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating, using knife and fork</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Going to or giving dinner parties</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typing</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement in hobbies (knitting, sewing, carpentry, gardening, painting etc.)</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossing roads</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaving face if male, and putting make-up on, if female</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking from a cup</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riding a bicycle</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Going to the theatre/cinema/concerts</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities requiring visual/manual coordination such as pouring tea, using a screwdriver</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement in sports (tennis, squash, jogging, swimming, golf, table tennis etc.)</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking up or down stairs</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey.

It has been suggested that the way people cope with the stress of stigma can impact on their overall psychological well-being and quality of life. Therefore, this study aims to further examine this relationship and how it may apply to people living with cervical dystonia.

All the information collected from the surveys will be anonymous and there will be no way of identifying your responses in the data. If you have any questions about the study please don’t hesitate to contact me on h.golding@lancaster.ac.uk.

If you would like a copy of the summary report for this study you can request this by either email (h.golding@lancaster.ac.uk) or phone (+44 7852516812). A report will also be provided to The Dystonia Society and Dystonia Ireland. Please note this is a summary of all the data and I cannot provide reports based on individual responses to the survey.

Should you feel distressed either as a result of taking part, or in the future, the following resources may be of assistance:

**The Dystonia Society (UK)**
https://www.dystonia.org.uk/
Tel: 020 7793 3651
Email: support@dystonia.org.uk

**Dystonia Ireland**
http://www.dystonia.ie/
Tel: 00 353 (01) 4922514
Email: info@dystonia.ie

**Your own GP**
If you experience distress as a result of taking part in this research we recommend that you seek support from your GP.
Appendix 4-5: Recruitment advert

Lancaster University

Research Invitation

- Do you have a diagnosis of neck dystonia (cervical dystonia)?
- Are you over the age of 18?
- Could you spare 30 minutes to complete a survey about some of your experiences, thoughts and feelings?

My name is Helen Gowling and I am a doctoral student on the Clinical Psychology course at Lancaster University. This project aims to better understand the relationship between stigma, coping styles and well-being for people living with neck dystonia.

Further information and the survey can be found online by going to:


Alternatively, paper copies are also available. If you would like to request one, or have any further questions, please get in touch:

📞 phone: +44 7852 516812

✉️ email: h.gowling@Lancaster.ac.uk