Genitive marking on second person plural pronouns you all, y'all, yall

- 3
- 4

5 This paper explores the use of possessive second person plural forms *you all(')s*, 6 *y'all(')s* and *yall(')s* in World Englishes. A corpus-based analysis provides information 7 about the frequencies of occurrence and geographical location, the context of 8 occurrence, the connotation and semantic preference of possessive *you all*, *y'all* and 9 *yall*. The analysis also highlights the differences between *you all(')s*, *y'all(')s* and *yall(')s* 10 and standard *your*.

Keywords: you all, y'all, yall, possessive marking, speaker's attitude, semantic
 preference, corpus-based

13

14 **1. Introduction**

15

The English language used to distinguish between singular and plural second person 16 pronouns. This distinction was lost during its transition into Modern English which saw 17 the establishment of the single form you for both singular and plural reference in the 18 language of formal and public interaction (Quirk and Wrenn 1957, Brunner 1963, 19 Wales 1996). Yet, mainly in informal and familiar exchanges, many dialects of English 20 have always continued to explicitly mark number on second person pronouns by using 21 different linguistic strategies, both morphological and analytic. Among the 22 morphological strategies, suffixation of the second person pronoun you with the 23 regular plural mark -s, i.e. yous, represents the most common form alongside a host 24 of different spelling variants such as youse, yiz, yez, etc. As far as the analytic 25 strategies are concerned, number marking on you is mainly carried out by noun phrase 26 post-modification as happens for you guys, but also you all, y(')all, you two, you three, 27 you lot, you ones and so on. Plural forms of the second person pronoun are chiefly 28 29 used in speech and informal conversation but are generally not accepted in written language, as they are considered non-standard, dialectal features (cf. Biber et al. 30 1999, Quirk et al. 1985, Huddleston and Pullum 2002). 31

Not only have speakers created a variety of plural forms of the second person pronoun, but they have also derived a set of possessive determiners (and sometimes even possessive pronouns) marked for number. Pronominal plural forms such as *you*

guys and *yous(e)* can be found to pre-modify noun phrases and entail a possessive interpretation (similarly to standard *your*), as in (1a-b) below, or specify the possessor of a previously mentioned or inferable item (similarly to standard *yours*), as in (1c) below.

39 (1)

- 40 a. Wow! Alex *you guys colonial arrogance and mentality* are amazing and astounding.
 41 (LK G)
- 42 b. If you want to order two cups at the same time, that is *yous choice*. (GB G)
- 43 c. The choice, as they say, is *yalls*. (IE B)

Similarly, forms such as *you all, y'all* and *yall* can be found to be marked by the clitic $-'s^1$, which is regularly used to mark possession on noun phrases in English, in order to create number-marked possessive determiners (see (2) below). In some cases, the clitic loses the apostrophe and is attached to the pronoun, as in (2b) below, or the form *yall* is converted into a possessive determiner without carrying any linguistic marking (see (3) below) as happens with other second person plural forms in (1) above.

- 50 (2)
- 51 a. How were all *y'all's weekends*? (US B)
- 52 b. I realize now that with *yalls comments* that I was for-telling the events here on this 53 forum. (CA B)
- 54 (3) It's her life damn it, not yours, deal with *yall own issues*, let her deal with hers [...]
 55 (JM G)

In this paper, I will focus on possessive morphology on the plural second person forms *you all, y'all* and *yall*. The research questions I will be addressing concern the
frequency, functions and context of occurrence:

- 59 1. What are the frequencies of possessive *you all/y(')all* in the world varieties of
 60 English? Are there any variety-specific preferences?
- 2. Does possession marking occur more frequently on the full form *you all* or the

¹ English genitive –'s is sometimes considered an edge affix, or something halfway between a clitic and an affix. It is not in the scope of the present paper to discuss the grammatical category of genitive *-s*. The reader can refer to, for example, Lowe 2015 for a more detailed account on the issue.

- 62 reduced forms *y'all* and *yall*?
- 3. What kind of contexts do possessive *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* tend to appear in?
- 4. Is there any semantic preference and/or connotation that is linked with theiruse?
- 5. What kind of noun phrases are modified by possessive *you all(')s/y(')all(')s*? Do
 they share any semantic properties?
- 68 6. How do possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s differ from standard your?
- 69

70 A corpus-based analysis of the occurrences of possessive you all/y(')all shows that these forms are not only used to reflect the plurality of the possessors in a more explicit 71 way than is possible with standard your. Possessive you all/y(')all tend to be used as 72 in-group/out-group markers as well as tools for expressing the speaker's attitude 73 towards the interlocutors. Moreover, the category of noun phrases pre-modified by 74 75 possessive you all/y(') all comprises items that define the possessors' identities and/or are a product of the possessors' minds. These tendencies of use are not shared by 76 77 the standard possessive determiner your.

- The next sections in the paper will deal with the corpus, data and methodology (2), some basic information about the forms *you all, y'all* and *yall* (3), the frequency, context and noun phrases pre-modified by possessive *you all/y(')all* (4). Finally, I will draw some conclusions in section (5).
- 82

83 2. Corpus, data and methodology

84

The data was collected from the Corpus of Global Web-based English (GloWbe), a 1.9-billion-word snapshot corpus of language collected from the web in 2012 (https://www.english-corpora.org/glowbe/). I have chosen this corpus for three main reasons: the first, it samples language from 20 different geographical varieties of English² enabling comparisons across varieties, which is one of the aims of the present research; the second, its size allows the investigation of less frequent features such as second person plural forms marked for possession; third, the language collected

² The varieties of English sampled in the corpus are arranged according to the countries in which English is a first official language (i.e. UK, USA, Canada, Ireland, New Zealand, Australia) and countries in which English is a second official language besides the local first language (i.e. India, Sri Lanka, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Singapore, Malaysia, Philippines, Hong Kong, South Africa, Nigeria, Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Jamaica).

for the corpus represents a category of language data that is close to spoken
language, yet accessible in written form, i.e. forums, blogs, posts, users' comments on
web pages, etc. This has two main advantages for the present investigation:

(1) a higher likelihood of finding data about spoken, informal features such as secondperson plural forms and

97 (2) the authenticity of the data, since it is the speakers themselves <u>who</u> produced the
98 linguistic material instead of it being the result of a transcription process.

The dataset of occurrences of possessive *you all, y'all* and *yall* was obtained by simple-querying the corpus six times: once for each combination of the forms *you all, y'all* and *yall* with the clitic 's or -s morpheme, i.e. *you all(')s, y'all(')s* and *yall(')s*. The occurrences obtained were subsequently checked for the instances in which the combination of *you all/y(')all* with possessive -(')s did not represent occurrences of possessive marking but rather plural double-marking ((3a) below) or informality marking (see McCumber 2010), as in (3b) below.

106

(3)

a. *Y'alls* have your own opinions. (Ghanaian English - GH G)

b. Good morning *y'alls*... (South African English - ZA G)

109

Looking for instances of possessive marking on you all, y'all and yall automatically 110 leaves out another category of occurrences: the instances of you all that do not 111 represent the plural of you but a combination of you and the post-modifier all which 112 entails a universal interpretation of the quantification (see (4) below). When all is a 113 114 post-modifier of you, the pronoun and the universal quantifier all represent two unbound linguistic units, as shown by the fact that all can move positions in the 115 sentence (see (4c) below). In these cases, I expect possession to be marked only on 116 the pronoun you, therefore by means of the standard possessive determiner your or 117 pronoun yours. 118

119

(4)

a. My thoughts and best wishes and love are *with you all*! (AU G)

b. Thank *you all* for your comments. (ZA B)

122

On the other hand, it is reasonable to assume that genitive -(')s only occurs on *you all* as plural of *you* as it constitutes a single linguistic constituent in which *you* and *all* are not separable and the mark -(')s occurs at the end of the constituent (see (3) above).

The refined dataset was manually analysed both quantitatively and 126 qualitatively. Since possessive marking on you all, y'all and yall is not very frequent, 127 frequencies are expressed both in number of tokens and on a per-million-word basis. 128 The frequencies of occurrence were compared across varieties of English in order to 129 130 obtain information about geographically related linguistic preferences. The qualitative analysis of the occurrences of possessive you all, y'all and yall involved the analysis 131 of the grammatical functions (possessive determiners vs. possessive pronouns), the 132 133 collocates (3L/3R span and minimum co-occurrence frequency 2), the context of occurrence, the speaker's attitude and the types of noun phrases pre-modified by 134 them. The tendencies concerning the speaker's attitude were obtained by counting 135 how many times possessive you all, y'all and yall occur in either positively or negatively 136 connotated contexts. Among positive contexts are speech acts that express politeness 137 or a benevolent attitude towards the interlocutors. Negative contexts include the 138 instances in which the speaker creates a contrast with their interlocutors by 139 disagreeing or openly despising them (see section 4.2 below). The instances in which 140 the speaker does not express their attitude towards the interlocutors were considered 141 neutral sentences. 142

As far as the types of noun phrases that tend to be pre-modified by possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s, the aim is to find out whether there are categories of noun phrases that are consistently found in co-occurrence with possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s. The tendencies found for possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s will, then, be compared to the ones displayed by standard your and tested statistically in order to verify the significance of the findings.

149

3. You all and its reduced forms y'all and yall

151

Using the GloWbe corpus, Author (2020) finds that *you all* is the most common strategy in English world-wide for marking plurality on the second person pronoun *you*.

Plurality is marked by the universal quantifier *all* which also specifies the inclusivity³ of the addressees of an utterance (i.e. "all of you, no one excluded"). In the GloWbe corpus *you all* displays an average frequency of 27.81 per million words ('pmw' henceforth). Its reduced forms *y'all* and *yall* are overall less frequent in the corpus: the average frequency of *y'all* is 2.35 pmw and of *yall* is 0.54 pmw.

The plural marker you all finds its earliest mentions in the literature in 1920s (cf. 159 Axley 1926-7, Hills 1926-7), although it appears that its origins or semantic values are 160 not clear (Wales 2003: 15). What is agreed upon, however, is its geographical 161 distribution: you all together with its reduced forms y'all and yall is strongly associated 162 with the southern varieties of American English (Wales 2003: 15), the Caribbean and 163 some North American varieties (Kortmann and Schneider 2011: 224). You all/y(')all 164 165 forms of address bear a social stigma, probably because these forms (in particular, y(')all) were at first associated with slave language (Wales 2003: 16). 166

In present-day English *you all*⁴, *y'all* and *yall* display different tendencies of use (Author 2020): while *you all* is mainly used to express inclusiveness and politeness (respectively 47.4% and 43.2% of the times it occurs in the corpus, see Author 2020 and (5) below), *y'all* and *yall* have drifted apart from the positively connotated tendency of use of the extended form *you all*. In fact, *y'all* and *yall* have developed a tendency to occur in negatively connotated contexts in which they express a contrast between the speaker and the interlocutors (see (6) below).

174 (5)

a. Hey and we know it's, short notice, but, we'd love *you all* to come with us. (US G)

- b. Question...what do *you all* think about buying underwear, pajamas, robes, socks,
 etc. (US G)
- 178 c. Wanted to say hello but *you all* needed to go rest and Ciaran needed his beauty179 sleep. (IE G)
- 180

³ See Comrie 1980 for a detailed account of the concept of 'clusivity' and the 'inclusive/exclusive' distinction in personal pronouns.

⁴ The study only considered the occurrences of *you all* as plural of *you*. The occurrences of *you* + post-modifier *all* with universal interpretation were excluded from the analysis and the frequency counts as they do not represent instances of plural marking on *you*.

181 (6)

a. No for real, *y'all should* all go find the highest bridge you can find hold hands andjump. (US G)

b. *Y'all should* sit you f*****g useless hungry asses now. (NG G)

c. What the hells wrong with *yall*... (IN G)

d. Honestly *yall* have no taste for talent. (US B)

187

According to Author (2020) who analyses the data from the GloWbe corpus, *y'all* expresses contrast 18.7% of the times it occurs in the corpus and politeness 15.9% of the times it occurs in the corpus, whereas *yall* expresses contrast 26.2% of the times it occurs in the corpus and politeness 15.3% of the times it occurs in the corpus, thus reversing the tendency displayed by *you all*.

In principle, therefore, the differences in the uses of the three forms suggest 193 that they should not be treated as three different orthographic realisations of the same 194 pronoun. However, given the low frequency of occurrence of possessive marking on 195 you all, y'all and yall in the corpus, which does not allow for a detailed analysis that 196 could highlight the differences between the three forms, I have chosen to merge the 197 occurrences of the three variants together in order to be able to make generalisations 198 based on the data (except for the section on the frequencies of occurrence, cf. 4.1 199 200 below). A future development of this study would need to replicate the analysis on a larger dataset that would allow cross-variant comparison in order to highlight any 201 differences in the use of the three possessive pronouns. 202

203

4. Genitive marking on *you all, y'all* and *yall*

As already mentioned, the marking of possession on the forms *you all, y'all* and *yall* is carried out by applying the standard rule for marking possession on noun phrases, i.e. by adding the clitic -(')s (Biber *et al.* 1999, Quirk *et al.* 1985, Huddleston and Pullum 208 (see (7) below).

210 (7)

211 a. For *you alls* info; many of the FeD LEOs are experiencing an increasing and 212 alarming rate of agent suicides. (US G)

b. *Y'alls coats* are beautiful and handsome. (CA G)

c. And some would look it and say, with no disrespect to *y'all's* job, but would you want

to own a magazine or newspaper today? (AU B)

d. I always love to hear *yall's* opinion on things. (PK G)

e. Everyday I look forward watching *yalls* daily blogs, they are awesome!!!! (IE G)

218

Although genitive marking on *you all, y'all* and *yall* in almost all cases generates possessive determiners, as shown in (7) above, there are a few cases in which it generates possessive pronouns, as in (8) below. Possessive pronoun *you* all(')s/y(')all(')s represent only 2.23% of the occurrences of *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* in the corpus.

224 (8)

a. The choice, as they say, is *yalls*. (IE B)

b. You want to see if my experiences with scientists and science-minded peoplediverge or converge with *y'all's*. (US B)

228

The conversion of possessive determiner you all(')s and y(')all(')s into possessive 229 pronouns may be seen as an instance of reanalysis of grammatical categories: 230 Langacker (1977: 58), for example, defines 'reanalysis' as a process involving a 231 change in the structure and/or class of an expression. In the case of you all(')s and 232 y(')all(')s, the possessive determiner is reanalysed into a possessive pronoun, thus 233 changing grammatical category. This might represent a clue to the grammaticalization 234 of you all(')s and y(')all(')s which seems to be already suggested by the cases of 235 omission of the apostrophe and the integration of the clitic -'s into the pronoun itself, 236 as happens in (7a) above. It may also happen, however, that the speakers use forms 237 such as *yalls* out of analogy with the standard possessive pronoun *yours*, which can 238 be seen as being composed of the possessive determiner your and the morpheme -s. 239 240

242 **4.1 Frequencies**

243

Possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s display an overall frequency of 0.62 pmw in the corpus 244 (see Table 1 below). Presumably because their non-standardness and colloquiality 245 may lead to avoidance, possessive *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* taken together are expectedly 246 much less frequent than standard your, which occurs in the corpus 3,257.97 times per 247 million words. A relatively small randomised sample of 2000 instances of your was 248 analysed in order to discern when the standard possessive determiner refers to a 249 plural or singular possessor. The result shows that 4.4% (i.e. 143.30 pmw) of the total 250 are occurrences of plural your, which is still much more frequent than possessive you 251 all(')s/y(')all(')s. 252

The average frequencies of occurrence of possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s in 253 World Englishes correspond to 0.051 pmw. Possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s is found in 254 14 out of 20 varieties of English (see Table 1 below). American English is the variety 255 that displays the highest frequency of occurrence of possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s 256 (0.12 pmw) and alone represents the 20.5% of occurrences of genitive you 257 all(')s/y(')all(')s in the whole corpus. This suggests a preference for American English 258 259 to rely on possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s more often than other varieties do, which is in line with what is claimed in the literature about the origins and geographical 260 261 distribution of the forms you all/y(')all (Axley 1926-7, Hills 1926-7, Wales 2003). On the other hand, a very low or nearly non-reliance on possessive you all/y(')all is 262 displayed by British English (0.014 pmw), Australian English (0.007 pmw) and New 263 Zealand English (no instances), which is not surprising given their preference for other 264 265 forms of pluralisation of you, such as yous(e) (Author 2020).

266

| | You all(')s | | Y'all(')s | | Yall(')s | | Total (3 variants) |
|---------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-----------------------|
| Variety | # of tokens | Pmw | # of tokens | Pmw | # of tokens | Pmw | Pmw |
| Australia | | | 1 | 0.007 | | | 0.007 |
| Canada | | | 4 | 0.031 | 2 | 0.015 | 0.045 |
| Great Britain | 1 | 0.003 | 4 | 0.011 | | | 0.014 |
| Ireland | | | 2 | 0.019 | 2 | 0.020 | 0.039 |
| New Zealand | | | | | | | |

Table 1 - Frequency of occurrence of genitive *you all* in World Englishes (GloWbe)

| United States | 6 | 0.015 | 43 | 0.111 | 7 | 0.018 | 0.129 |
|---------------|---|-------|----|-------|----|-------|-------|
| Nigeria | | | 3 | 0.069 | 1 | 0.023 | 0.092 |
| Philippines | | | | | 1 | 0.023 | 0.023 |
| Pakistan | | | 1 | 0.019 | | | 0.019 |
| South Africa | | | 3 | 0.066 | | | 0.066 |
| Kenya | | | 2 | 0.048 | | | 0.048 |
| Tanzania | | | 1 | 0.028 | | | 0.028 |
| Jamaica | | | 2 | 0.050 | 2 | 0.050 | 0.100 |
| Sri Lanka | 1 | 0.016 | | | | | 0.016 |
| Singapore | 1 | 0.019 | | | | | 0.019 |
| Total | 9 | 0.023 | 66 | 0.413 | 15 | 0.150 | 0.627 |

268

Jamaican English displays the second highest frequency of occurrence of you 269 all(')s/y(')all(')s (0.101 pmw) after American English (see Table 1 above). This can be 270 explained, on one side, by the linguistic influence coming from the US and, therefore, 271 272 motivated by the geographical vicinity between the two countries; on the other, Jamaican English is highly influenced by the language varieties of its dominant ethnic 273 274 group of African origins (Sullivan 2006). Indeed, some African English varieties in the corpus also display slightly higher frequencies of occurrence of possessive you 275 276 all(')s/y(')all(')s, namely South Africa (0.066 pmw), Kenya (0.048 pmw) and Tanzania (0.028 pmw) (see Table 1 above). South-East Asian countries, on the other hand, tend 277 to not rely on possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s, as shown by the low frequencies of 278 occurrence in Philippines English (0.023 pmw), Sri Lankan English (0.016 pmw) and 279 Singapore English (0.019 pmw) as well as the lack of occurrences in other varieties 280 such as in India, Hong Kong and Malaysia (see Table 1 above). 281

The frequencies of occurrence in Table 1 above also help to answer one of the 282 research questions concerning the form of possessive marking on you all(')s/y(')all(')s, 283 i.e. whether possessive marking tends to occur more frequently on the full form you 284 all or the reduced forms y(') all. By comparing the frequencies of occurrence of the 285 different forms considered (i.e. you all(')s, y'all(')s, yall(')s), it is possible to observe that 286 possessive marking occurs more frequently on phonologically reduced forms of you 287 all: according to the data, the most frequent possessive-marked variant is y(')all(')s288 (0.413 pmw), followed by yall(')s (0.150 pwm, see Table 1 above). You all is, thus, the 289 form that is least frequently marked for possession (0.023 pmw, see Table 1 above). 290 This tendency possibly responds to a principle of language economy, whereby shorter 291

forms that compact information, such as *y'all(')s*, are cognitively more convenient to process than longer forms such as *you all(')s* (cf. Zipf 1949, Martinet 1955).

294

295 **4.2 Context**

In this section, the context of occurrence of possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s will be 296 analysed in order to obtain a more detailed picture of the pragmatics of these features. 297 In particular, I will look at the kind of speech acts you all(')s/y(')all(')s are usually 298 involved in by analysing the collocates as well as the expanded context. Secondly, I 299 will try to find out whether the contexts of occurrence of you all(')s/y(')all(')s are more 300 301 frequently positively or negatively connotated. Finally, I will compare the tendencies displayed by possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s with standard your in order to verify the 302 303 significance of the findings.

Considering the overall low frequency of occurrence of *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* in the corpus, obtaining information on collocations proved rather challenging. However, by setting the parameters to 3L/3R span (i.e. 3 words to the left and 3 words to the right of the node) and minimum co-occurrence frequency 2, I could obtain a list of three main significant collocates (<u>MI Score⁵</u> > 3 (Hunston 2002)) that are reported in Table 2 below.

310

| 311 | Table 2 – Collocates of y(')all(')s |
|-----|-------------------------------------|
|-----|-------------------------------------|

| Collocate | MI score | Example |
|-----------|----------|------------|
| Fault | 4.9 | (9) below |
| Thanks | 4.5 | (10) below |
| Not | 3.7 | (11) below |

312

313 (9) Always quick to jump and attack without thinking outside the box. Not *y'alls fault*314 though. (NG B)

- 315 (10) My workouts are so much better than even a year ago *thanks to y'alls' blogs*. (US316 G)
- 317 (11) I know that is *not y'alls call*... (US B)
- 318

⁵ The Mutual Information Score (MI) expresses the extent to which an observed frequency of co-occurrence differs from what should be expected. In other words, it measures the strength of association between two words. (Hunston 2002)

The noun *fault* occurs with you all(')s/y(')all(')s three times, all in the same context that 319 is exemplified in (9) above: this can be seen as a negative politeness speech act 320 (Brown and Levinson 1987) in which the speaker is trying to absolve the interlocutors 321 from a supposed guilt that was previously created. Negative politeness seems to be 322 also expressed in example (11) above, in which the speaker is, again, trying to release 323 the interlocutors from their responsibility, thus reducing the risk of performing a face-324 threatening act. Finally, in example (10) above, y'alls is used in a context of positive 325 politeness, in which the speech act of thanking works as an enhancer of the 326 327 interlocutors' face (Brown and Levinson 1987).

From the analysis of the three significant collocations of *you all(')s/y(')all(')s*, it appears that the plural possessive determiner is used in order to express politeness, be it positive (i.e. praise) or negative (i.e. avoid face-threatening acts). However, a detailed analysis of the concordances shows that *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* are very frequently found in negatively connotated contexts as well (see Table 3 below).

333

| Connotation | Speech Act | Tokens | % |
|-------------|---------------------|--------|------|
| Positive | Positive politeness | 22 | 24.4 |
| | Negative politeness | 8 | 8.8 |
| | Other/positive | 3 | 3.3 |
| Negative | Contrast | 38 | 42.2 |
| Neutral | Other/neutral | 19 | 21.1 |

Table 3 – Connotation of the context of occurrence of you all(')s and y(')all(')s

335

Indeed, *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* occur in negatively connotated contexts 42.2% of the times they occur in the corpus. The category of negatively connotated context comprises, here, those instances in which some sort of contrast between the speaker and the addressees is encoded in the meaning of the sentence: it can represent a divergence of opinions, a contrast between social categories or groups (e.g. politicians vs. voters, employers vs. employees, men vs women, etc.) (see (12a-c) below), or simply express a face-threatening act (e.g. command, insult, etc.) (see (12d-e) below).

- 343
- 344 (12)

B)

a. I am going to get out of it and let y'all decide because that is *y'all 's job* not mine..(US

- b. Y'all cain't manage education, labour, social services and their allied infrastructures
- 348 as *y'alls public record* over the past 20 years so clearly demonstrates. (ZA G)
- c. To the liberals: there is not slavery in the South, what will be *y'alls excuse* this time?(US G)
- d. So quit *y'all's fussing over horses and bayonets* and concentrate on what's
 important! (US G)
- e. I'm not wasting MY time reading all *y'all's dumbass comments*!
- 354

You all(')s/y(')all(')s occur in positively connotated contexts slightly less frequently than in negatively connotated ones (36.5% of the times they occur in the corpus; see Table 3 above). Most of the occurrences that belong to this category are expressions of positive (see (13a-b) below) and negative politeness (see (13c-d) below), as already mentioned above (33% out of 37.6% of occurrences, see Table 3 above).

- 360
- 361 (13)
- a. Everyday I look forward watching *yalls daily blogs*, they are awesome! (IE G)
- b. So, ultimately I'm glad I followed *y'all's advice.* (US G)
- 364 c. I'm interested in hearing *y'alls take* on this. (US G)
- d. I'm still making dumb errors, but it's not *y'alls fault*. (US B)
- 366

The difference between the frequencies of occurrence of *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* in positively or negatively connotated contexts, however, is not significant (p = 0.988). Thus, they cannot be classified as strategies of either politeness or impoliteness.

Nevertheless, the analysis of a randomised sample of occurrences of *your* (2000 occurrences – 100 occurrences per variety of English) shows that possessive *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* do display different tendencies of use from *your*. *your* tends to occur in neutral contexts 83.6% of the times, whereas possessive *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* occur in neutral contexts only 21.1% of the times, yielding a statistically significant difference (p = 0.0007). In other words, use of possessive *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* is marked for attitudinal meaning.

What can be concluded by looking at the context of occurrence, therefore, is that *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* tend to be used in an intersubjective way (Traugott 2011), i.e. as tools for defining roles in conversation and conveying the speaker's attitude towards the interlocutors. This is supported by the fact that the majority of the instances of *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* occur in connotated (either positively or negatively) rather than neutral contexts. Differently from standard *your*, possessive *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* are mostly used to express additional pragmatic meaning: they convey politeness, empathy, emphasis, and contrast with the interlocutors. As will be shown in the next section, possessive *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* also significantly differ from standard *your* in terms of categories of noun phrases they pre-modify.

387

388 **4.3 Noun phrases pre-modified by possessive** *you all(')s/y(')all(')s*

389

390 This section is concerned with the types of noun phrases that are pre-modified by you all(')s and y(')all(')s. In other words, I am interested in finding out whether some 391 particular categories of nouns tend to occur with possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s more 392 often than others. In Table 4 below, the noun phrases are divided into three main 393 categories: concrete objects, abstract objects and a variety of other phrases that did 394 not fit the first two categories. The numbers in brackets specify how many times the 395 possessive determiners and a noun phrase co-occur; when not specified, it means 396 that only one co-occurrence was observed. 397

398

399 Table 4 – Noun phrases pre-modified by *you all(')s* and *y(')all(')s*

| Concrete | Head, ugly asses, throats, necks, bones, yellow-belliedness, | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | mouths, stupid butts; | | | | | |
| | Job (3), place, tribe, spelling/pronunciation, coats, neighbourhood, | | | | | |
| | vehicles, word, computers, drinking water | | | | | |
| Abstract | Opinion(s) (5), life/lives (4), fault (3), advice (2), mission (2), | | | | | |
| | speeches, relationship, judgment, problem, identity, fussing, point | | | | | |
| | of view, agreement, thoughts, friendship, amusement, take, | | | | | |
| | excuse, call, creative visions, permission, position, conversation | | | | | |
| | mentality | | | | | |
| Other | Comment(s) (6), blogs (4), site (2), conference, show, vote, re- | | | | | |
| | election, weekends, first time, great president, fav moments, | | | | | |
| | provincial meetings, public record, day, info | | | | | |

400

401 The category of concrete objects (Table 4 above) represents 22.1% of the occurrences

402 of possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s and includes the items that are most prototypically

considered inalienable possession (i.e. body parts, kinship, relations, objects related 403 with a person's everyday life (Nichols 1988, Roberts 1987, Chappell and McGregor 404 1996, cited in Siewierska 2004:144)), except for drinking water. However, you 405 all(')s/y(')all(')s display a stronger tendency to pre-modify abstract nouns (see Table 4 406 above) (38.8% of the occurrences of you all(')s/y(')all(')s in the corpus). The abstract 407 nouns pre-modified by you all(')s/y(')all(')s all share the characteristic of bearing a 408 strong relationship with the possessors, as the concepts they refer to are linked with 409 and define the possessors' identity itself (e.g. life/lives, mission, relationship, identity, 410 411 friendship, mentality) (see Table 4 above). Similarly to concrete nouns such as body parts, because of their close relationship with the possessors, abstract nouns pre-412 modified by you all(')s/y(')all(')s as well can be considered inalienable possession. 413 Even the abstract nouns that do not necessarily shape the identity of the possessors, 414 such as opinion(s), fault, advice, judgment, point of view, thoughts, etc. (see Table 4 415 above and (14) below), stand in a relationship of cause-effect with the possessors, 416 who actively and intentionally create their own opinions, judgment, thoughts, etc. 417

- 418
- 419

(14)

420 a. When that time comes, *y'all's opinion* would not matter anymore. (NG G)

b. I'm interested in hearing *y'alls take* on this. (US G)

- 422 c. But yea I really liked reading this article from *y'all's point of view* because I did not
 423 know it. (US G)
- 424 d. That aside, however, *y'all's agreement* on those points is tangential to the pivot i 425 mentioned. (US B)
- 426

427 The third category of noun phrases pre-modified by you all(')s/y(')all(')s (see Table 4 above, category 'other') includes entities that are less inherent to the possessors. The 428 429 most frequent noun phrases belonging to this category are internet-related words such as comments, blogs, and site. Although these can still be seen as 'products' created 430 by the possessors, their high frequency of occurrence might rather be due to the kind 431 of language sampled in the corpus which is web-based and, therefore, bound to 432 display high frequencies of web-related words, rather than being an indicator of a 433 preference for you all(')s and y(')all(')s to pre-modify this category of noun phrases. 434 The rest of the noun phrases belonging to this third category are politics-related (e.g. 435 vote, re-election, great president, public record) or instances of you all(')s and y(')all(')s 436

437 used in formulaic phrases, as in (15) below:

- 438
- 439 (15)
- a. I'm glad I made *yall's* day. (TZ G)
- b. For you alls info, many of the Fed LEOs (i.e. border patrol, ICE, etc..) are
- 442 experiencing an increasing and alarming rate of agent suicides. (US G)
- 443

Politics-related words might be linked with the social categorisation function performed by the pronouns *you all/y(')all* which often identify the 'out-group', i.e. the category to which the speaker does not belong (cf. Keblusek *et al.* 2017 for a detailed account on in-group and out-group marking). Out-group marking is a structural strategy to political speech since it needs to constantly delimitate political positions and separate the *we* from the *them*.

When *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* are used in conversational formulas, such as *for you* alls *info* in (15b) above, the function of the possessive forms seems to be simply related to the expression of plurality and informality.

In order to understand the significance of the tendencies displayed by 453 possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s, I have analysed a 2000-word sample (100 454 occurrences for each geographical variety) of randomised occurrences of your. The 455 standard possessive determiner your was found to pre-modify prototypically 456 inalienable concrete entities (such as body parts, kinship relations, etc.) 27.3% of the 457 458 times it occurred in the sample. This is a slightly higher frequency of co-occurrence than the one that was found for you all(')s/y(')all(')s co-occurring with the same 459 category of noun phrases (i.e. 22.1%), which does not, however, represent a 460 statistically significant difference (p = 0.966). Your was also found to pre-modify noun 461 phrases belonging to the category of inalienable abstract entities (i.e. concepts that 462 are closely related with the identity of the possessors or are created by the possessors 463 themselves) 12.6% of the times it occurred in the sample. As mentioned earlier, 464 inalienable abstract entities are the preferred category to be pre-modified by you 465 all(')s/y(')all(')s (38.8% of the times they occur in the corpus). By comparing the 466 467 categories of noun phrases pre-modified by either standard your or you all(')s and y(')all(')s, thus, it is possible to observe that the two possessive determiners display 468 opposite tendencies that are significantly different (p = 0.0012). 469

470 **5. Conclusion**

By using the standard rule for marking possession on noun phrases in English, i.e. by adding -(')s to the plural second person pronouns *you all/y(')all*, the speakers have found a way of conveying information about the number of possessors. At the same time, reflecting the non-standard, spoken character of second person plural forms (cf. Biber *et al.* 1999, Quirk *et al.* 1985, Huddleston and Pullum 2002) such as *you all/y(')all* from which they derive, possessive *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* also mark informality.

The aim of this paper was to find out more information about possessive *you all(')s* and *y(')all(')s* in terms of frequency of occurrence, geographical distribution, context of occurrence, the connotation in their use and the categories of noun phrases that tend to be pre-modified by them. The tendencies found for possessive *you all(')s/y(')all(')s* were also compared to standard *your* in order to highlight any significant difference in the use of the two second person possessives.

Differently from your which can only work as a possessive determiner, you 483 all(')s/y(')all(')s can work as both possessive determiners and possessive pronouns. 484 Possessive marking tends to occur more frequently on the phonologically reduced 485 variants y(') all than you all, possibly responding to a principle of compacting 486 information for language economy (cf. Zipf 1949, Martinet 1955). Possessive you 487 all(')s/y(')all(')s are most common in the US, Jamaica and some African varieties of 488 489 English, a distribution that corresponds to geographical, political and ethnic factors. 490 The context of occurrence of you all(')s/y(')all(')s generally bears a connotation that is slightly more likely to be negative than positive, although an analysis of a larger dataset 491 492 than the one used for the present study would be undoubtedly useful in order to verify whether the preference is significant or not. A first significant difference between 493 494 standard your and possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s concerns the tendency for the latter 495 to be mostly used to express additional pragmatic meaning. Possessive you 496 all(')s/y(')all(')s convey politeness, empathy, emphasis, and contrast with the interlocutors. In other words, possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s were found to be used 497 with a highly intersubjective function that does not characterise standard your. The 498 second significant difference in the use of you all(')s/y(')all(')s and standard your is the 499 category of noun phrases they pre-modify: abstract nouns that bear a close 500 501 relationship with the possessors in terms of defining the possessors' identity (e.g. life,

relationship, mentality, identity) or that are the direct product of the mind of the 502 possessors (e.g. opinion, thoughts, take, point of view) display a significant co-503 occurrence with you all(')s/y(')all(')s. This strong, causative bond linking the 504 possessors and the possessed items was observed to be specific to the use of you 505 all(')s/y(')all(')s but not to standard your, which most frequently occurs with noun 506 phrases that indicate inalienable concrete items such as body parts, kinship relations, 507 etc.. In sum, possessive you all(')s/y(')all(')s are used intersubjectively as tools for 508 expressing the speaker's attitude towards the interlocutors. At the same time, they 509 510 point to a strong metonymic bond between the possessors and the possessed item.

511

512 **References**

513 Axley, L. 1926-7. 'You-all, we-all again', in American Speech. 2: 343.

Biber, D., Johansson, S., Leech, G., Conrad, S., and Finegan, E. 1999. *Longman Grammar of Spoken and Written English*. London: Longman.

Brown, P. and Levinson, S. 1987. *Politeness: Some Language Universals in Language Use.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

518 Brunner, K. 1963. An Outline of Middle English Grammar. Oxford: Blackwell.

519 Comrie, B. 1980. 'Review of Greenberg, Joseph H. (ed.), *Universals of human* 520 *language, Volume 3: Word Structure (1978)*' in *Language* 56: p. 837.

Filimonova, E. 2005. *Clusivity: Typology and Case Studies of the Inclusive-Exclusive Distinction.* John Benjamins Publishing.

Hills, E. C. 1926-7. 'The plural forms of *you*', in *American Speech* 2: 133.

Huddleston, R. and Pullum, G. K. 2002. *The Cambridge Grammar of the English language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

526 Hunston, S. 2002. *Corpora in Applied Linguistics*. Cambridge University Press.

Keblusek, L., Giles, H. And Maass, A. 2017. 'Communication and group life: How
language and symbols shape intergroup relations' in *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*. Available online:

530 <u>https://escholarship.org/content/qt8pt602g5/qt8pt602g5.pdf</u>

531 Kortmann, B. And Schneider, A. 2011. 'Grammaticalization in non-standard varieties

of English' in Narrog, H. And Heine, B. (eds.). 2011. The Oxford Handbook of

533 *Grammaticalization*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. pp. 216-228

- Langacker, R. W. 1977. 'Syntactic reanalysis' in *Li* : 57-139.
- Lowe, J.J. 2015. 'English possessive 's: clitic and affix' in *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory*, 34, pp. 157-195.
- 537 Martinet, A. 1955. *Economie des changements phonétiques. Traité de phonologie* 538 *diachronique.* Berne: Francke.
- 539 McCumber, V. 2010. '-s: The latest slang suffix, for reals' in Working Papers of the

540 *Linguistics Circle of the University of Victoria*, 20, pp. 124-130.

- 541 Quirk, R. And Wrenn, C.L. 1957. *An Old English Grammar.* London: Routledge.
- Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, J. and Svartik J. (eds) 1985. A Comprehensive *Grammar of the English Language*. London: Longman.

544 Siewierska, A. 2004. *Person*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Sullivan, M.P. 2006. 'Jamaica. Political and Economic Conditions and U.S. Relations'
 CRS Report for Congress, Feb 3rd.
- Traugott, E. 2011. '(Inter)subjectivity and (inter)subjectification: A reassessment' in
 Davidse, K., Vandelotte, L. And Cuyckens, H. (eds.) *Subjectification, Intersubjectification and Grammaticalization,* Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter. Pp.
 29-74
- Wales, K. 1996. *Personal Pronouns in Present-Day English.* Cambridge: Cambridge
 University Press.
- 553 Wales, K. 2003. 'Second Person Pronouns in Contemporary English: The End of a 554 Story or Just the Beginning?' in *Franco-British Studies*, 33-34: 172-85
- Zipf, G. K. 1949. *Human Behaviour and the Principle of Least Effort*. Cambridge:
 Addison-Wesley Press.
- 557