1 [Short Title]: Extreme Geomagnetic Field Fluctuations

A Global Climatological Model of Extreme Geomagnetic Field Fluctuations

5 Neil C. Rogers^{*1}, James A. Wild¹, Emma F. Eastoe¹, Jesper W. Gjerloev², and Alan W. P. Thomson³

- 6 1. Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK
- 7 2. Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, MD, USA
- 8 3. British Geological Survey, Edinburgh, UK
- 9 *Corresponding author: n.rogers1@lancaster.ac.uk

10 **Abstract** – This paper presents a multi-parameter global statistical model of extreme horizontal geomagnetic field 11 fluctuations (dB_H/dt) , which are a useful input to models assessing the risk of geomagnetically induced currents in ground 12 infrastructure. Generalised Pareto (GP) distributions were fitted to 1-minute measurements of $|dB_H/dt|$ from 125 magnetometers (with an average of 28 years of data per site) and return levels (RL) predicted for return periods (RP) between 13 14 5 and 500 years. Analytical functions characterise the profiles of maximum-likelihood GP model parameters and the derived 15 RLs as a function of corrected geomagnetic latitude, λ . A sharp peak in both the GP shape parameter and the RLs is observed 16 at $|\lambda| = 53^{\circ}$ in both hemispheres, indicating a sharp equatorward limit of the auroral electrojet region. RLs also increase 17 strongly in the dayside region poleward of the polar cusp ($|\lambda|$ >75°) for RPs > 100 years. We describe how the GP model may be further refined by modelling the probability of occurrences of $|dB_H/dt|$ exceeding the 99.97th percentile as a function of 18 19 month, magnetic local time, and the direction of the field fluctuation, dB_H , and demonstrate that these patterns of 20 occurrence align closely to known patterns of auroral substorm onsets, ULF Pc5 wave activity, and (storm) sudden 21 commencement impacts. Changes in the occurrence probability profiles with the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) 22 orientation reveal further details of the nature of the ionospheric currents driving extreme $|dB_H/dt|$ fluctuations, such as 23 the changing location of the polar cusp and seasonal variations explained by the Russell-McPherron effect.

24 **1 Introduction**

1.1 GICs and magneto-ionospheric currents

Large fluctuating magnetic fields arising from electrical currents in the ionosphere and magnetosphere can cause 26 27 Geomagnetically Induced Currents (GICs) in any ground-based infrastructure that contains long metal conductors. The damaging effects of GICs have been reported in relation to high voltage (HV) electricity power networks (Thomson et al. 28 29 2010; Boteler et al. 1998; Boteler & Pirjola 2017; Pirjola et al. 2000; Molinski, 2002; Erinmez et al. 2002), trans-oceanic cables 30 (Lanzerotti et al. 1995; Root 1979), railway signalling systems (Wik et al. 2009; Eroshenko et al. 2010; Qian et al. 2016), railway electrification systems (Liu et al. 2016), and pipelines (Pulkkinen et al. 2001; Boteler 2000; Pirjola et al. 2000). In 31 many cases, these systems need to be engineered with resilience to the potentially catastrophic GIC damage that occurs only 32 33 rarely, which can be aided by an assessment of the likelihood of such events over a period of 100 years or more.

34 The magnitude of GICs in an electricity network is dependent on the geoelectric field, *E*, induced by a nearby ionospheric or

35 magnetospheric current, and may be calculated using a grid model of electrical impedances and the application of Ohm's

- 36 and Kirchhoff's laws, and Thévenin's theorem (e.g. Boteler & Pirjola 2014; 2017). Routine measurements of the geoelectric
- 37 field are, however, not globally extensive and do not extend over the decades required for accurate climatological prediction
- of 1/100-year return levels. Instead, we have taken as a proxy the rate of change of the horizontal geomagnetic field dB_H/dt ,
- 39 which, when combined with a model of the local ground conductivity, may be used to predict *E* (Cagniard 1953). Digitised
- 40 measurements of the geomagnetic field with 1-minute resolution are readily available and extend over several decades.

- 41 To simplify the calculation of *E*, it is often assumed that both geomagnetic and geoelectric field perturbations result from a
- 42 downward propagating plane wave and that the Earth conductivity is horizontally layered (see Pirjola 2002 for a review).
- 43 Under these assumptions the horizontal component of *E* follows the relation (Cagniard, 1953)

44
$$\begin{pmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{xx}(\omega) & Z_{yx}(\omega) \\ Z_{xy}(\omega) & Z_{yy}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dB_x/dt \\ dB_y/dt \end{pmatrix}$$

45 where the $Z_{ij}(\omega)$ are elements of a frequency-dependent impedance matrix which varies with location (x, y) if the local 46 geology is non-uniform.

47 An illustrative example of estimating GIC magnitudes from $|dB_H/dt|$ statistics was presented by Beggan et al. (2013). These 48 authors applied the 'thin-sheets' model of Vasseur & Weidelt (1977) to determine E at the Earth's surface based on the 49 (frequency-dependent) conductivity in the Earth crust in the United Kingdom. The magnetic field fluctuation was assumed 50 sinusoidal with a fixed period, and the root-mean-square rate-of-change dB_H/dt (required as an input to the model) was 51 taken from 100- and 200-year return value estimates from a study of European magnetometer records (Thomson et al. 2011). 52 The extreme geoelectric field estimates so produced were then input into a model of the UK high-voltage electricity grid to determine the likely magnitude of GICs. This calculation required an assumption of the principal direction for the field 53 54 fluctuation (e.g. North-South, or East-West) to drive various hypothetical scenarios. 55 In this paper we extend the statistics of the high percentiles and projected extreme values of $|dB_H/dt|$ to a full global extent

and provide a more detailed study of the direction of these fluctuations. We shall further show how the occurrence of extreme $|dB_H/dt|$ (and hence GIC) at a given latitude may have limited extent in local time and their prevalence may be confined to certain seasons – both factors that could be used to mitigate GIC risk. Fluctuation magnitudes of several hundred nT/minute resulting from auroral current intensifications can cause HV electricity network outages, particularly if sustained over a long duration (Knipp 2011, p.638–642; Erinmez et al. 2002) although other power grid impacts have been observed at low- and mid-latitudes even for fluctuations less than 100 nT/minute (Kappenman 2006 and references therein; Gaunt and Coetzee 2007; Trivedi et al. 2007).

63 The location and timing of large fluctuations of the magnetic field is, of course, dependent on the climatology of extreme 64 ionospheric and magnetospheric currents. The most intense GICs have been associated with intensifications of the Auroral 65 Electrojets (AEJ), which connect to the magnetosphere via the field-aligned Birkeland currents (Milan et al. 2017). These are 66 intensified during geomagnetically active periods such as substorms (e.g. Pulkkinen et al. 2003). The onset of auroral 67 substorms occurs preferentially in the 20-24 magnetic local time (MLT) sector (Liou et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2005) and is 68 followed by an expansion phase of about 25-40 minutes (Pothier et al. 2015) during which there are rapid intensifications 69 of the westward electrojet currents flowing along auroral arcs at the equatorward edge of the auroral region, which bulges 70 and expands poleward, eastward, and westward (Kivelson & Russell 2005, p.421-9; Gjerloev et al. 2007; Gjerloev & Hoffman 71 2013). The westward current enhancements are recorded as southward deflections of the magnetic field, as observed by 72 ground-based magnetometers. To a good approximation, the direction of any fluctuation, dB_H , measured at the ground is 73 90° anticlockwise from the ionospheric current direction (as viewed from above the current sheet) assuming planar 74 electromagnetic wave propagation and minimal horizontal gradients in ground conductivity (Viljanen et al. 2001; 75 Belakhovsky et al. 2019).

An illustrative example of substorm geomagnetic activity is presented in Figure 1a which presents the geomagnetic North and East components of the magnetic field (the red and green lines, respectively) at the auroral magnetometer BRW (Utqiagvik, Alaska, 70°N, 109°W in Corrected Geomagnetic (CGM) coordinates) and corresponding values for $|dB_H/dt|$ (blue line), where exceedances of the 99.97-percentile ($P_{99.97}$) are highlighted in yellow. The strong southeast-directed fluctuation dB_H at 07:07–07:10 UT could well have resulted from a strong southwest-directed electrojet current enhancement along

81 a brightening auroral arc during substorm expansion.



UT (10 August 1982)

Figure 1. Three examples of large field fluctuations $|dB_H/dt|$. a) An auroral substorm at BRW, Alaska, b) an SSC at GUA, Guam, 6°N, 144°W CGM), and c) Pc5 pulsations (0945–1015 UT) at NAQ, Greenland. The 99.97th percentile of $|dB_H/dt|$ is also shown.

85 Strong "Region 0" (R0) currents may also flow along magnetic field lines into the polar cusp region, driven by magnetic 86 tension forces on field lines that have recently reconnected with the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) at the dayside 87 magnetopause (Milan et al. 2017). The cusp lies poleward of the Birkeland currents, typically around 77-78° CGM latitude 88 and at 12 +/- 1.5 h MLT (p139, Campbell 2003; p237, Hunsucker and Hargreaves 2003), although under northward IMF 89 conditions it covers a wider latitude region extending to higher latitudes (Newell et al. 1989). Large, transient (3–10-minute) 90 fluctuations of the vertical and horizontal geomagnetic field components have been observed for several decades at or just 91 equatorward of the cusp region (Sibeck 1993 and references therein). Many possible causes have been postulated, including 92 'flux transfer events' (Russell & Elphic 1978), enhanced dayside reconnection following a northward turning of the IMF (Pitout 93 et al. 2001), surface wave instabilities such as Kelvin-Helmholtz (K-H) waves (Masson & Nykyri 2018; Nykyri and Dimmock 94 2016), and solar wind plasma injections penetrating the magnetopause (Menietti & Burch 1988).

In many cases, there is a direct association between the high-latitude transients near the cusp region and geomagnetic storm
 sudden commencements (SSC) and Sudden Impulses (SI) (Sibeck 1993; Sibeck & Korotova 1996; Lanzerotti et al. 1991). Figure

1b is the magnetogram from a low-latitude magnetometer (Guam) and includes a sharp increase in B_N at 00:47 UT on

28 April 2001 characteristic of an SSC. Sudden Commencements (SC) (a general term for both SSC and SI) occur in response
to step changes in solar wind dynamic pressure that cause a sudden increase in the Chapman-Ferraro current flowing
eastward along the dayside magnetopause boundary (Chapman & Ferraro 1931; Milan et al. 2017). They typically have a
magnitude of several tens of nT/minute at low latitudes, but may occasionally exceed 120 nT/min (Carter et al. 2015; Fiori
et al. 2014) and have been associated with GIC disturbances in HV electricity networks (Fiori et al. 2014; Kappenman 2003,

103 2004; Zhang et al. 2015; Marshall et al. 2012; Belakhovsky et al. 2017, 2019).

104 Other large fluctuations in B_H arise from intense ultra-low frequency (ULF) geomagnetic pulsations, the largest of which are 105 classed as 'Pc5 pulsations' with periods of 2.5 – 10 minutes (e.g. p168, Campbell 2003). Under typical mid-latitude conditions and moderate geomagnetic activity, Pc5 waves have amplitudes of approximately 70 nT (p171, Campbell 2003). An example 106 107 of such waves is evident at 09:45-10:15 UT in the magnetogram for NAQ (Narssarssuaq, Greenland, 66.2°N, 42.5°E CGM) 108 presented in Figure 1c. The most powerful Pc5 pulsations are observed in the period between dawn and noon, and can arise 109 from an Alfvén wave K-H instability, particularly during periods of high velocity solar wind (>500 km s⁻¹) (Engebretson et al. 110 1998; Pahud et al. 2009; Vennerstrøm 1999). Alternatively, Pc5 waves may be triggered by shocks in the solar wind 111 associated with Sudden Commencements (Zhang et al. 2010; Hao et al. 2019; Zong et al. 2009).

- Belakhovsky et al. (2019) also recently considered the importance of irregular Pi3 ULF waves and Travelling Convection Vortices (TCV) in driving large $|dB_H/dt|$ events. Pi3 waves are described as "quasi-periodic sequences of magnetic impulses"
- 114 with timescales between 10 and 20 minutes, and TCVs have been observed as a twin vortex of Hall currents in the polar cleft
- region. TCVs are generated by a pair of upward and downward field-aligned currents that can be triggered by a sudden
- 116 change in the solar wind (Engebretson et al. 2013; Friis-Christensen et al. 1988; Vorobjev et al. 1999).

117 1.2 Modelling the probability of extreme field fluctuations

The probability distribution of non-extreme values of $|dB_H/dt|$ at any given location is well approximated by a lognormal distribution (Manpreet 2018; Love et al. 2016). This distribution may not be suitable for extremes since its purpose is to produce a good fit to the main body of the distribution rather than the tails, and it may not extrapolate well beyond the observed range of the data. Because extreme events are, by definition, rare, there is little evidence from the data with which to validate the appropriateness of the fit of a lognormal to the tail.

To model the tail distribution, extreme value theory (EVT) has been applied (Coles 2001; Reiss & Thomas 2007) and a 123 124 Generalised Pareto (GP) distribution fitted to observations of $|dB_H/dt|$ above a high threshold. By modelling how the site-125 specific parameters of the GP distributions vary globally, we shall present model-based predictions of the 'return value' of 126 $|dB_H/dt|$ at any location on the Earth for 'return periods' ranging from 5 to 500 years. We have also examined the 127 probability of $|dB_H/dt|$ threshold exceedances as a function of month, MLT, and the direction of the fluctuation, dB_H . This 128 additional information helps to associate extreme magnetic field fluctuations with their causative extreme ionospheric and magnetospheric electrical currents. Modelling patterns of occurrence probability in this way also improves the modelling of 129 130 risk when engineering GIC resilient ground infrastructure, noting that electricity usage or signalling error requirements may vary with time-of-day and season (e.g. Molinski 2002). Individual linear elements of pipelines or electricity cables are also 131 132 sensitive to fluctuations resolved along only one directional axis.

133 In previous studies, EVT has been used to examine the likelihood of extreme values of geomagnetic indices including D_{st}

134 (Tsubouchi & Omura 2007; Silbergleit 1996), the Auroral Electrojet indices (AU, AL and AE) (Nakamura et al. 2015), A_p (Koons

135 2001), and the *aa* and *AA** indices (Silbergleit 1999; Siscoe 1976), although the location and timing of large $|dB_H/dt|$ events

- are not well predicted by geomagnetic index statistics (e.g. Kozyreva et al. 2018). In related space weather fields, EVT has
- 137 characterised the probability of extreme solar flare X-ray flux (Elvidge & Angling 2018; Tsiftsi & De La Luz 2018) and extreme
- high-energy (> 2 MeV) radiation-belt "killer" electron fluxes (Koons 2001; O'Brien et al. 2007; Meredith et al. 2015).

EVT and other statistical approaches have been applied to the prediction of extreme $|dB_H/dt|$ in several previous publications (Thomson et al. 2011; Wintoft et al. 2016; Love et al. 2016; Nikitina et al. 2016) and individual case studies have examined extreme $|dB_H/dt|$ characteristics (e.g. Pulkkinen et al. 2012; Viljanen et al. 2001; Ngwira et al. 2013, Kozyreva et al. 2018). In Section 3 of this paper, we present a new assessment based on a significantly larger dataset than presented in these earlier studies – 1.9 billion field measurements from 125 magnetometers worldwide. This is followed by an analysis

- 144 of the latitudinal, seasonal, MLT, and directional dependences of the extreme events, presented as occurrence probability
- distributions for $|dB_H/dt|$ exceeding the 99.97th percentile ($P_{99.97}$). In Section 4 (Discussion) we shall (i) relate our new
- findings to the earlier studies cited above, (ii) further assess the importance of SC in triggering impulses at high latitudes, and

(iii) show how the occurrence probability profiles change with IMF orientation. The paper concludes with a summary of thesefindings.

149 **2 Data and Methods**

150 **2.1 Magnetograms**

151 The magnetic field measurements for this study were obtained through SuperMAG, an interface to magnetic measurements made by a global network of geophysical institutes; SuperMAG being a project led by Johns Hopkins University (Gjerloev 152 153 2009). Gjerloev (2012) described the processing of the magnetometer measurements in the SuperMAG data set which may be summarised as follows: The field measurements (1-minute averages) were rotated into a local NEZ geomagnetic 154 155 coordinate system (N = north, E= east, Z = down) using a time-dependent local declination angle obtained using a 17-day sliding window smoothing filter. For each magnetometer, the N, E and Z components were then individually baselined as 156 157 follows: (i) Diurnal variations of the field (mainly due to the S_a current system: Matsushita (1968); Yamazaki (2017)) were removed by subtracting a diurnal trend, (ii) the secular variation of the Earth's main field was removed by subtracting the 158 159 linear trend over each year (with some contribution from neighbouring years), and (iii) the residual scalar offset was 160 subtracted. This method of processing preserves the short-term field fluctuations associated with substorms, as well as 161 those due to geomagnetic storms. Long-period (>1 month) variations in the declination angle are, however, removed by the rotation into local NEZ coordinates. 162



Figure 2. Magnetometer sites in the SuperMAG collaboration with \ge 20 years data, coloured by $P_{99.97}$ at each site. Contours show CGM latitudes for IGRF model epoch 2000.

163

The magnetometer records in the SuperMAG archive had already been cleaned and manually inspected to remove most 164 165 sudden changes in the baseline (offsets), spikes, and gradual slopes (Gjerloev 2012). However, for this study - since the 166 statistics of extreme and rare events may be significantly influenced by a small number of imperfections - all the data in 167 weeks containing $|dB_H/dt|$ peaks above the 99.97th percentile ($P_{99,97}$) were further visually inspected and obvious artefacts 168 replaced by data gaps. Examples of common artefacts requiring correction were: (i) large, isolated, 1-2 minute-duration spikes in signal levels (B_N and/or B_F), (ii) large step-changes in signal level, often occurring at the 00:00 UT boundary and 169 170 sometimes followed by a correction to previous levels after an interval of perhaps 30-60 minutes, (iii) an obvious saturation 171 in signal level, which occurred for $B_N \approx$ -1000 nT at several auroral magnetometers on several occasions.

(1)

(2)

(3)

172 In relation to GICs, the North and East components of the induced geoelectric field are approximately proportional to 173 (Wintoft et al. 2016)

174
$$(E_N, E_E) = k \left(\frac{dB_E}{dt}, -\frac{dB_N}{dt}\right)$$

175

where B_N and B_E are the Northward and Eastward components of the geomagnetic field and k is a constant that depends on the local ground conductivity. Equation (1) is valid under the assumptions that the magnetic disturbance propagates as a plane wave and the ground conductivity has no horizontal gradients. We therefore define $|dB_H/dt|$ as

179
$$\left|\frac{dB_H}{dt}\right| = \frac{1}{\Delta t}\sqrt{(\Delta B_N)^2 + (\Delta B_E)^2}$$

180

181 where $\Delta B_N = B_N(t + \Delta t) - B_N(t)$ and $\Delta B_E = B_E(t + \Delta t) - B_E(t)$. This definition (which was also adopted by Wintoft et 182 al. 2015, 2016; Ngwira et al. 2018; Falayi et al. 2017; Kozyreva et al. 2018 and others) ensures that statistics of the induced 183 E-field magnitude, $|E| = \sqrt{E_N^2 + E_E^2}$ required for GIC modelling will be directly proportional to the distribution of $|dB_H/dt|$ 184 given by Equation (2). The compass *direction* of dB_H/dt was also recorded as

185
$$D = \arg(\Delta B_N + i \Delta B_E)$$

186

There are 130 magnetometer sites in the SuperMAG database for which at least 20 years of data were available. These 187 188 covered the period from 1-Jan-1969 to 31-Dec-2016 (albeit with some data gaps). Five stations at low-latitude locations in 189 the Atlantic longitude sector (HUA, BNG, KOU, MBO, and TAM, shown unshaded in Figure 2) were excluded from analysis 190 since their locations in the corrected geomagnetic (CGM) coordinate system are undefined (see Fig. 7 of (Laundal & Richmond 191 2017)). Average geomagnetic CGM coordinates were calculated using the software described by Shepherd (2014) and are 192 listed together with site codes, names, and geodetic coordinates in Supplementary Material (folder S1). Figure 2 presents a 193 map of these locations with colours representing $P_{99,97}$. Immediately apparent from Figure 2 is the maximum in $P_{99,97}$ in the auroral zones (approximately 55–75° CGM latitude) in both hemispheres, although the density of sites is much greater in the 194 195 northern hemisphere.

196 2.2 Fitting the GP tail distribution

Taking a sequence of independent and identically distributed (IID) random variables, $x = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$, and a sufficiently high threshold, u, it may be shown that the cumulative distribution function of threshold exceedances y = x - u, conditional on x > u, takes the approximate form of a Generalised Pareto (GP) distribution (Coles 2001, p.75) given by

200
$$H(\mathbf{y}) = 1 - \left(1 + \frac{\xi \mathbf{y}}{\sigma}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\xi}}$$

201 defined on {y: y > 0 and $(1 + \xi y/\sigma) > 0$ }, where $\sigma > 0$ is the scale parameter, and ξ the shape parameter of the 202 distribution. Note that in the limiting case $\xi \to 0$, $H(y) \to 1 - \exp(-y/\sigma)$, that is, the distribution of y is exponential. 203 Where $\xi < 0$, the distribution is bounded to an upper limit of $u - \sigma/\xi$, whilst if $\xi > 0$ the distribution is heavy-tailed, with 204 no upper limit. The occurrence probability of extreme x therefore increases with ξ and/or σ .

Because the above model is based on an asymptotic result that only holds exactly as $u \rightarrow y_+$, where

206
$$y_{+} = \min\{y: H(y) = 1\}$$

is the upper end-point of the distribution of *y*, the threshold *u* must be set high enough that the approximation for finite *u*can be assumed to be valid, i.e. so the distribution fits the observed threshold exceedances well. Conversely, *u* should not
be so high that the sample size for modelling is too small. A 99.97-percentile threshold was adopted in this study following
the assessment of Thomson et al. (2011) based on 28 European magnetometers, and the case study of Nikitina et al. (2016)
who suggested thresholds in the region 99.93–99.97% for the site VIC (Victoria, Canada). Based on the assumption that

threshold exceedances are a set of independent observations drawn from a GP distribution with common parameters (σ , ξ), these parameters can be estimated by maximisation of an appropriate likelihood function (Pawitan 2001).

Occurrences of peaks over the threshold $(|dB_H/dt| > u)$ often exhibit short-range temporal dependence, with tight clustering of peaks (e.g. during a geomagnetic storm). This violates the assumption of an IID random sequence required in

216 fitting the GP distribution as described above. The easiest way to deal with this is to ensure temporal independence by

217 extracting independent clusters of extreme events and modelling only the maxima of these clusters. Consequently, the

218 $|dB_H/dt|$ data were declustered using a 'run-length below threshold' method such that any exceedances of $P_{99.97}$ within 12

hours of the previous exceedance were considered to be part of the same cluster, and only the largest $|dB_H/dt|$ in each cluster was recorded. Thomson et al. (2011) discussed the merits of alternative declustering thresholds and run lengths, but

concluded that the 99.97th percentile and 12-hour run-length were a reasonable compromise.

A measure of the short-range temporal dependence in the peaks $\left(\left|\frac{dB_H}{dt}\right| > u\right)$, called the 'extremal index', was empirically determined as (p.103, Coles, 2001)

$$\hat{\theta} = n_c/n_c$$

where n_c is the total number of clusters, and n_u is the total number of peaks above the high threshold, u. The index $\hat{\theta}$ may be interpreted loosely as the reciprocal of the mean number of peaks in each cluster.

The maximum likelihood estimates (MLE) of GP parameters ξ and σ at each site were determined, together with 95% confidence intervals (CI) based on the asymmetric likelihood profiles. Following (Coles 2001, p.78*ff*) diagnostic tests were then conducted to ensure that if the threshold were to be increased to u^* (for all $u^* > u$) then both the shape, ξ , and the 'modified scale', $\sigma^* = \sigma - \xi u^*$, would remain constant, and the mean excess of the tail distribution, $E(x - u^* | x > u^*)$, would scale in proportion to u^* , as required. The empirical and model tail distribution functions were then visually compared to ensure that the model was a good fit to the measurements at the new 'manually revised' threshold.

The *m*-observation return level, x_m (nT/minute) was calculated from MLE GP parameters as (Coles 2001, p.103)

234
$$x_m = u + \frac{\sigma}{\xi} \left[\left(m \hat{\theta} \hat{\zeta}_u \right)^{\xi} - 1 \right]$$

235

where $\hat{\zeta}_u$ is the probability of threshold exceedance, determined empirically as

$$\widehat{\zeta_u} = \frac{n_u}{n}$$

and *n* is the total number of measurements. As an example, for a 100-year return period and 1-minute measurements setting $m = 100 \times 365.25 \times 24 \times 60 = 52,596,000$ in Equation (4) yields the 100-year return level.

(4)

For each cluster peak ($|dB_H/dt| > u$) we recorded the magnetic local time (MLT), defined as (Laundal & Richmond 2017)

241
$$MLT = \frac{\left(\phi - \phi_{cd,ss}\right)}{15^{\circ}} + 12$$

where $\phi_{cd,ss}$ is the centred dipole longitude of the sub-solar point, in degrees, (determined using the formulas in Appendix C of (Laundal & Richmond 2017)) and ϕ is the geomagnetic longitude of a magnetometer in CGM coordinates. $\phi_{cd,ss}$ was determined as

245
$$\phi_{cd,ss} = \Phi_{geo,ss} - \Phi_N$$

where $\Phi_{geo,ss}$ is the geographic longitude of the sub-solar point and Φ_N is the centred dipole longitude of the North Pole, determined as

$$\Phi_N = \arg(-g_1^1 - ih_1^1)$$

- where g_1^1, h_1^1 are Gauss coefficients of the International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) (Thébault et al. 2015), linearly
- 250 interpolated in time. The CGM longitude, ϕ , was determined for each site at 1-year intervals using the software described
- by Shepherd (2014) and then linearly interpolated onto a 1-minute-resolution time scale.

1MF components B_y (duskward) and B_z (northward) were also recorded in geocentric solar magnetic (GSM) coordinates (Laundal & Richmond 2017) for each cluster peak. The IMF measurements were interpolated from 1-hour average values provided in the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center's "OMNI" database (<u>https://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov</u>). These measurements from spacecraft at the L₁ Lagrange point have been time-shifted to account for the propagation delay to the

256 magnetospheric bow shock nose using the method of Weimer et al. (2003).

257 **3 Results**

258 **3.1** Latitudinal profiles of extreme $|dB_H/dt|$

259 Figure 3a presents $P_{99,97}$ for the 1-minute $|dB_H/dt|$ as a function of the mean absolute CGM latitude, $|\lambda|$, for each magnetometer site (the mean is presented since the latitude can vary a few degrees over the period of measurements). The 260 261 blue crosses in Figure 3a represent $P_{99,97}$, whilst the red circles indicate the manually revised thresholds, following the 262 diagnostic tests described above. The outlier at λ = 70°N is for the site at Utqiagvik, (formerly Barrow), Alaska (BRW), which 263 together with nearby sites in Alaska presents anomalously high values for $|dB_H/dt|$ (Figure 2). Viljanen et al. (2001) 264 speculated that local anomalies in the strength and direction of the fluctuations (as observed at four out of 25 265 magnetometers in Fennoscandia) could result from regions of anomalously high conductivity in the Earth's crust since telluric 266 currents induced in the crust contribute to the measurement of dB_H/dt at the magnetometer. Kozyreva et al. (2018, p.10) 267 also noted that coastal stations BRW and AND produced anomalously high $|dB_H/dt|$ during the intense March 17, 2015 268 geomagnetic storm. Measurements from these magnetometer sites have, however, been retained in the analysis to avoid 269 any subjective bias in the selection of data.

270 The MLEs for the fitted scale and shape parameters are presented in Figure 3b and c respectively, with error bars 271 representing the 95% confidence intervals. Northern hemisphere (NH) stations are presented in black and southern hemisphere (SH) stations in blue, showing that there are no significant hemispherical differences in the CGM latitude profiles. 272 273 There is a substantial difference in the functional form between the scale, $\sigma(|\lambda|)$, and the threshold, $u(|\lambda|)$: There is a 274 positive skew in $\sigma(|\lambda|)$ that is not apparent in $u(|\lambda|)$, which is more evenly distributed about 67°. Similarly, the functional 275 form of the shape parameter profile, $\xi(|\lambda|)$, (Figure 3c) exhibits a sharp peak at 53° CGM latitude, a broad minimum in the 276 auroral zone (centred about 70°) and a clear increase in value towards the geomagnetic poles. The extremal index, $\hat{\theta}$, presented in Figure 3d indicates strong latitudinal variation in the level of short-range temporal dependence (or level of 277 278 clustering). Figure 3e presents the number of measurements for each site (approximately 10-25 million), the number for 279 which $|dB_H/dt|$ exceeded $P_{99.97}$, and the number of clusters for each site (from 146 to 1084), and the mean number of 280 clusters per year of data (from 5 to 56).

- 281 The MLE parameters presented in Figure 3 were substituted into (4) to determine the MLE values of return levels presented
- for each site in Figure 4. The sharp rise in return level at latitudes near $|\lambda| = 53^\circ$, typically considered to be sub-auroral under
- quiet to moderate geomagnetic conditions, arises from large values of both the σ and ξ parameters at this latitude.



Figure 3. GP parameters for 125 magnetometers vs. absolute CGM latitude. a) 99.97-percentiles of $|dB_H/dt|$ and revised

285 thresholds, u, b) MLEs of scale, σ (error bars indicate 95% CIs), c) MLEs of shape, ξ , d) extremal index, $\hat{\theta}$, e) number of

286 measurements, n, number exceeding $P_{99,97}$, n_u , number of clusters, n_c , and mean n_c per year. Red curves indicate fitted 287 smoothed splines (5).



Figure 4. Return levels of $|dB_H/dt|$ (MLEs, with 95% Cis shown as error bars), for return periods of a) 100 years, b) 200 years, and c) 500 years with fitted smoothed splines ($s(|\lambda|)$ in (5)). Panel d) shows spline fits for a range of return periods.

290 The curves in Figure 3 and Figure 4 represent smoothing spline functions, *s*, found by minimising

291
$$p\sum_{i} (z_{i} - s(|\lambda_{i}|))^{2} + (1-p) \int \left(\frac{d^{2}s}{d\lambda^{2}}\right)^{2} d|\lambda|$$

292

where z_i are the ordinates (e.g. the MLE GP parameter at each site, *i*), and *p* is a smoothing parameter. The choice of *p* = 0.01 provided a good balance between goodness of fit (the first term in (5)) and function smoothness (the second term of (5)). The numerical minimisation algorithm used was based on the MATLABTM function *csaps*. A MATLABTM script to regenerate all of the spline curves of Figure 3 and Figure 4 is provided in Supplementary Material (folder S2).

(5)

297

298 **3.2 Probability of occurrence** *vs* latitude and MLT

When modelling return levels, the probability of threshold exceedance $\hat{\zeta_u}$ in (4) may be modelled as a function of observed covariates, i.e. $\hat{\zeta_u} = \hat{\zeta_u}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ...)$, where α_i are site-specific, possibly time-varying, covariates of the data (e.g. MLT, month, direction, etc.). This additional information allows a prediction of GIC risk to be refined for systems operating in a restricted range of local times, times of year, etc., or for individual elements of pipeline or cable network with a fixed directional orientation. The latitudinal, seasonal and diurnal patterns in the occurrences of $|dB_H/dt|$ exceeding $P_{99.97}$ may be used as an approximation to $1 - \hat{\zeta_u}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ...)$ for $u \approx P_{99.97}$, and these patterns are also useful in identifying the general ionospheric/magnetospheric current systems driving the extreme geomagnetic fluctuations.

Figure 5a presents the number of cluster peaks for all magnetometers, binned by $|\lambda|$ and MLT, i.e.

307

308
$$N(|\lambda|, MLT) = \sum_{s} n_{c,s}(|\lambda|, MLT)$$

where $n_{c,s}(|\lambda|, MLT)$ is the number of cluster peaks in each $(|\lambda|, MLT)$ bin for site s (latitude bins containing no magnetometers are shown in grey). The summation of cluster peaks over multiple sites has the effect of smoothing the distribution and reducing quantisation noise where $n_{c,s}(|\lambda|, MLT)$ may be small. Since the number of magnetometer measurements varies with latitude, Figure 5b re-presents the same data after normalisation by the number of cluster peaks recorded in each bin. This is a close approximation to the 2-d distribution of occurrence likelihood for cluster peaks exceeding $P_{99.97}$ and it was calculated as

315
$$P(|\lambda|, MLT) = \frac{N(|\lambda|, MLT)}{\sum_{s} n_{c,s}(|\lambda|)} \times \frac{\Delta\lambda}{90^{\circ}}$$

where $n_{c,s}(|\lambda|)$ is the total number of cluster peaks for a site *s* that lies within the latitude bin of width $\Delta\lambda$, and we have assumed the observations are uniformly distributed in MLT. Note that, where $\Delta\lambda$ is chosen to prevent data gaps,

318
$$\sum_{|\lambda|} \sum_{MLT} P(|\lambda|, MLT) = 1$$

Figure 5b shows that at auroral latitudes (55–80° CGM) the cluster peaks occur most often at 20–24 MLT, which is the time sector associated with the greatest number of substorm onsets. A cursory inspection of magnetometer records associated with these peaks indicated that many were indeed associated with substorm activity (similar to that in Figure 1a).

322 The second most prominent region of high occurrence is at 10–14 MLT above 77° CGM latitude, i.e. the region poleward of

323 the dayside cusp. It should be emphasised that since Figure 5 relates to percentiles $P_{99,97}$ that are themselves a function of

324 $|\lambda|$ (as presented in Figure 3a) the magnitudes of the $|dB_H/dt|$ peaks in this high-latitude region are much lower than in the

auroral and sub-auroral zones. Nonetheless, the clustering of events about 12 MLT is very clear.

326 A third region of raised occurrence likelihood is observed in Figure 5b with a well-defined increase in the MLT locus of cluster peaks from 3 to 10 MLT as CGM latitude increases from 60° to 75°. This pattern aligns with patterns of Pc5 wave occurrence 327 328 reported in the literature: For example, Baker et al. (2003) observed an increase in Pc5 wave power from 7 to 9 MLT as λ 329 increased from 65 – 74°N, Pahud et al. (2009) showed Pc5 power upper quartiles increasing from 4 to 8 MLT between 61– 69°N CGM under conditions of high solar wind speed, and the average wave power distributions in Fig. 5 of Vennerstrøm 330 331 (1999) show a clear pattern of wave power increasing from approximately 67° to 75°N invariant latitude over the 4–12 MLT 332 range with a secondary maximum around local midnight (22-2 MLT) attributed to substorms. The effect was also noted in 333 $|dB_H/dt|$ measurements by Viljanen et al. (2001) for three sites in Scandinavia. Various theories for the asymmetry in Pc5 wave activity about local noon were discussed by Pahud et al. (2009, and references therein) and include a dawn-dusk 334 335 asymmetry in the wave excitation processes in the magnetosphere, differences in the harmonic modes and polarisations of 336 the waves, and differences in the level of screening by the conductive ionospheric layer.



Figure 5. Total occurrences of cluster peaks binned by geomagnetic latitude $|\lambda|$ and MLT. a) Number of clusters, b) probability distribution of cluster peaks, c) fitted spherical harmonic expansion (P_{sph} in (6)).

At latitudes below 50° CGM, there is a distribution of cluster peaks between 6 and 18 MLT forming a V-shaped distribution 339 centred about 11 MLT, with a reduced spread of local times at the lowest latitudes. This is broadly consistent with 340 enhancement in SC amplitudes between 08 and 16 MLT (maximising at 11 MLT) near the equator reported by Shinbori et al. 341 (2009), Russell et al. (1994) and others. These authors also reported a broad enhancement in SC amplitude in the midnight 342 343 sector attributed to strong cross-magnetotail and field-aligned currents, but no enhancement of midnight sector cluster peak 344 frequency is evident in Figure 5 indicating that most $|dB_H/dt|$ cluster peaks in this local time sector have magnitudes below the 99.97th percentile. Further investigations are required to identify the physical processes associated with the V-shaped 345 346 occurrence distribution, which has maxima at approximately 08 and 15 MLT for absolute CGM latitudes between 20° and 347 40°.

The empirical data values from Figure 5b could be applied directly in a model of $1 - \hat{\zeta_u}(|\lambda|, MLT)$ with interpolation and extrapolation in regions of missing data. As an alternative, a 2-d spherical harmonic series expansion has been fitted to the data producing the smooth model surface shown in Figure 5c. The spherical harmonic expansion (6) was fitted by regression to $P(|\lambda|, MLT)$ and normalised to ensure a non-negative model probability distribution that integrated to unity:

352
$$P_{sph}(\lambda, MLT) = \sum_{l=0}^{L} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} A_{lm} P_{l}^{m}(\sin \lambda) e^{im\pi MLT/12}$$

(6)

where P_l^m are Schmidt semi-normalized associated Legendre polynomials, and MLT is in hours. A minimum order of *L*=19 was required to adequately represent the structure in distribution. The 400 complex A_{lm} coefficients are provided as Supplementary Material (folder S3), together with example MATLABTM code to reproduce $P_{sph}(\lambda, MLT)$.

357 3.3 Seasonal, MLT, and directional distributions

The patterns of cluster peaks with month and MLT are presented in Figure 6. Each panel presents, using a subset of magnetometer sites in a particular band of CGM latitudes, the distribution

360
$$P(month, MLT) = \frac{\sum_{s} n_{c,s}(month, MLT)}{\sum_{s} n_{c,s}}$$

where $n_{c,s}(month, MLT)$ is the number of cluster peaks in each (month, MLT) bin for site *s*, and $n_{c,s}$ is the total number of clusters for site *s*. Since the number of field measurements in each (month, MLT) bin is approximately equal, the value P(month, MLT) approximates the 2-d probability distribution of cluster peak occurrences. Figure **7** presents, in a similar format to Figure 6, the distribution

365
$$P(D, MLT) = \frac{\sum_{s} n_{c,s}(D, MLT)}{\sum_{s} n_{c,s}}$$

366 where *D* is the compass direction of the large geomagnetic fluctuation (3). Note in each case that

353

367
$$\sum_{month} \sum_{MLT} P(month, MLT) = \sum_{D} \sum_{MLT} P(D, MLT) = 1.$$

Panels a - c in Figure 6 and Figure 7 present the occurrence distribution for NH magnetometer sites ($\lambda > 0$), whilst panels 368 d-f are for SH sites ($\lambda < 0$). Panels a and d in both figures were produced from a subset of low latitude sites ($|\lambda| < 40^{\circ}$) and 369 370 display a slight increase in occurrence likelihood on the day side, between 7 and 17 MLT, with little seasonal variation. The 371 associated direction distribution in Figure 7 a and d shows a strong 'preferred direction' for these large events, principally 372 towards the north ($D \approx 0^{\circ}$) at most times of day, with a secondary maximum for southward fluctuations ($D \approx 180^{\circ}$). A large 373 proportion of the events are associated with SC, which is characterised by a large northward-directed dB_H impulse. 374 However, in the 6–10 MLT region, the directional distribution P(D, MLT) is more isotropic. Repeating the analysis with no 375 declustering produces very similar distributions for P(D, MLT) (not shown), albeit with less quantisation noise. This indicates 376 that the choice of declustering parameters does not affect P(D, MLT) substantially.

Panels b and e of Figure 6 represent a subset of stations in a band of auroral latitudes ($55 < |\lambda| < 75^{\circ}$) and present a marked 377 378 increase in the rate of occurrence in the pre-midnight hours (20-24 MLT) maximising near the equinoxes, with a secondary maximum in the winter months centred approximately one hour later at 22-24 MLT. This is consistent with the 1-hour 379 380 difference in substorm onset MLTs between summer and winter reported by Liou et al. (2001). Geomagnetic activity is 381 generally increased near the equinoxes when the geomagnetic field is more favourably oriented for reconnection with the 382 IMF (Russell & McPherron 1973; Zhao & Zong 2012) and this effect has been observed in several previous studies of geomagnetic fluctuations (Viljanen et al. 2001; Beamish et al. 2002; Boteler et al. 1998). The same panels in Figure 7 show 383 384 that the principal direction of the dB_H in this MLT sector is southward ($D \approx 180^\circ$), which indicates that strong westward 385 auroral electrojet currents are the dominant driver of such fluctuations.

The period 3–11 MLT in Figure 6 (*b* and *e*) is also associated with raised occurrence probability and follows the known patterns of enhanced ULF wave activity described in Section 3.2. In the NH (Figure 6b) the region of higher occurrence likelihood is centred at approximately 4 MLT near the June solstice but increases to 9 MLT towards the December solstice. The seasonal pattern in the southern hemisphere is less clear due to the smaller number of observations in this region. The corresponding panels of Figure 7 show that the direction of these changes in the NH is broadly aligned to the axis ESE – WNW (100° – 280°) from 3–7 MLT, but move closer to the N–S axis for events near the December solstice at MLT 7–11. Again the trends in the Southern hemisphere are less clear due in part to the smaller number of magnetometer stations.

Panels c and f in Figure 6 were produced from the subset of magnetometers with $|\lambda| > 77^{\circ}$ (i.e. at or poleward of the cuspregion). Near the winter solstices, the maximum occurrence likelihood is centred near 23–24 MLT and may be related to substorm activity in this sector. The corresponding panels of Figure 7 show that the associated direction is predominantly southward for NH stations (indicative of substorm-related westward currents), although curiously this is not the case for SH 397 stations. In the summer months, there is a strong clustering of events in the few hours about 11–12 MLT, which may be 398 attributed to R0 currents and ULF waves and transients in this region. Previous studies have shown that the magnitude of 399 the R0 current has a strong seasonal variation, peaking at the summer solstice (Wang et al. 2008; Milan et al. 2017). Under 400 conditions of northward IMF at the summer solstice near noon, the large dipole tilt creates an 'overdraped' magnetospheric 401 tail lobe with a magnetic field topology favourable to field-line merging with the IMF (Crooker 1992; Watanabe et al. 2005). 402 Such reconnections may drive strong impulsive field-aligned currents into the region that is poleward of the dayside cusp 403 and may explain the prevalence of extreme $|dB_H/dt|$ under these conditions.

404 **4 Discussion**

405 **4.1 Predictions of extreme** $|dB_H/dt|$

406 The Thomson et al. (2011) study of 1-minute $|dB_H/dt|$ on European magnetometers (40–74°N) predicted that, for a few 407 stations at 55 – 59°N geomagnetic (dipole) latitude, 100-year return levels would increase to approximately 4000 nT/min, 408 with 200-year return levels increasing to 6000 nT/min. The highest return levels were predicted for sites BFE and ESK, which are more closely separated in CGM latitude than in dipole latitude, laying between 52°N and 53°N CGM. This was broadly 409 410 supported by the Wintoft et al. (2016) analysis of 14 European stations (48-67°N geomagnetic). The return levels (RL) of the present study (Figure 4), 100-year (200-year) RL (MLE) up to 2885 nT/min (4553 nT/min) at site BFE (λ = 52.0°N), are broadly 411 consistent with these earlier studies, but extend to a full range of latitudes over both hemispheres and more clearly 412 413 distinguish a sharp skewed peak at $|\lambda| = 53^{\circ}$ in both hemispheres. The Love et al. (2016) study of 34 magnetometers 414 worldwide also predicted a sharply peaked distribution of 100-year $d|B_H|/dt$ RLs, maximising at a higher geomagnetic latitude of 60° between 1000 and 2000 nT/min (see their Figure 4d), whilst the Pulkkinen et al. (2012) study of data from 415 two intense geomagnetic storms (March 1989 and Oct 2003) postulated that there is a sharp "latitude threshold" around 416 417 50–55° geomagnetic latitude, below which the magnitude of $|dB_H/dt|$ dropped by an order of magnitude, which is also 418 observed in Figure 4. A subsequent analysis of twelve large geomagnetic storms by Ngwira et al. (2013) confirmed that this 419 latitude threshold was associated with the limited equatorward expansion of the Auroral Electrojet (AEJ). The most extreme 420 ionospheric currents are likely to be associated with substorm expansions along auroral arcs near the edge of the auroral 421 bulge and this interpretation was recently supported by Kozyreva et al. (2018) who examined a number of substorms 422 associated with the March 2015 geomagnetic storm, observing that the maximum 1-minute fluctuations occurred near the 423 edges of the auroral electrojets, the location for which were inferred from the magnitude of the change in B_N . The bulk movement of ionospheric current systems may weaken assumptions of stationarity in the tail distributions of $|dB_H/dt|$. This 424 may be of particular importance at CGM latitudes just below 53° which may be affected by extreme equatorward 425 426 displacements of AEJ currents under intense, and as yet unobserved, geomagnetic storm conditions. Such changes in the 427 physical environment could be addressed in future studies through physical modelling.

The MLT distributions of occurrence reported in this study confirm the earlier findings of Viljanen et al. (2001), who presented occurrence distributions of $|dB_H/dt| > 1$ nT/s at a number of northern European stations as a function of MLT. Similar MLT and latitude distributions have been observed for Canadian magnetometers (see Fig. 3 of Boteler et al. 1998), and Beamish et al. (2002) noted a local time distribution for UK magnetometers ($\lambda = 53-62^{\circ}N$) with greater B_H variance in the hours around local midnight, reflecting the increased substorm activity in this local time sector.

Viljanen et al. (2001) observed distinct peaks in the occurrence distributions around local midnight (attributed to the expansion phase of substorms near the Harang discontinuity) and for auroral or higher latitude stations also in the morning sector (attributed to Pc5 pulsations and omega bands), with peaks occurring around 6 MLT at auroral latitudes, or later > 10 MLT at the higher-latitude Svalbard location. They also noted the strong southward direction, *D*, of field fluctuations dB_H for large events in the auroral zone midnight sector, compared to a more East–West alignment in the morning sector. Figure 7b confirms this general pattern and provides further detail as to the MLT distribution of these changes.

439 In this paper, we chose to fit the extreme $|dB_H/dt|$ data by a Generalised Pareto distribution. This generalises three classes 440 of distribution, Gumbel, Fréchet, and Weibull, which are specific cases of GP distribution with shape parameter $\xi = 0, \xi >$ 441 0, and $\xi < 0$, respectively (Coles, 2001). The fitting is more flexible if it is not constrained to using a particular class of 442 extreme value distribution, since

- 443 i. Whilst this data set hints at one class of distribution being most appropriate, this is not to say that any separate
 444 but similar data set analysed in a subsequent study would give the same result. Comparison of, say, a Fréchet fit
 445 to this data with a Gumbel fit to the second data set would then be harder.
- 446 ii. Selecting a particular class of distribution effectively fixes the shape parameter *a priori*; thus standard error and
 447 Cl estimates on all return level, return period and quantile estimates would not take into account the uncertainty
 448 in this choice. Retaining the more flexible GP model, in which the shape is explicitly estimated, does.

449 Wintoft et al. (2016) observed a decreasing GP distribution shape parameter, ξ , up to the highest magnetic latitude site that they studied (Tromsø (λ = 67.0°N)) – with values tending towards zero or slightly negative (ξ = -0.26) at Tromsø. However, 450 451 our Figure 3c extends to even higher latitudes and indicates a clear local minimum in ξ at 70° with increasing shape 452 parameter, ξ at higher latitudes towards the pole, which was not previously observed. This is largely responsible for the 453 increase in predicted RLs toward the pole for return periods of 100 years or more (Figure 4). There was some evidence for this trend in the 'hourly range' geomagnetic activity predictions presented by Nikitina et al. (2016) from Canadian (54°N – 454 455 87°N) magnetometer records, though it was not clearly evident in the study of Love et al. (2016), which fitted fixed-shape (log-normal) tail distributions. If the data were log-normally distributed in the tail region, then a fit to the GP distribution 456 457 would yield a shape parameter ξ =0, but the analyses of Wintoft et al. (2016), Thomson et al. (2011), Weigel and Baker (2003) 458 and our own findings indicate that a heavier-tailed Fréchet distribution ($\xi > 0$) provides a better model fit, except perhaps 459 for a small number of stations at auroral latitudes.

460



Figure 6. Occurrence probability of $|dB_H/dt| > P_{99,97}$ (after declustering) as a function of month and MLT. Panels (a) –(c) are for NH stations, whilst (d)–(f) represent SH stations. (a) and (d) represent low-latitude stations (<40° CGM), (b) and (e) represent auroral latitudes 55–75° CGM) and (c) and (f) represent latitudes above 77° CGM.



Figure 7. Occurrence probabilities in the same format as Figure 6 but shown as a function of dB_H direction, *D*, and MLT. Bin sizes are 1 hour × 10°.

466 **4.2 Relation to Sudden Commencement timings**

To illustrate the importance of SC in relation to extreme $|dB_H/dt|$ events, Figure 8 presents the likelihood that cluster peaks of $|dB_H/dt| > P_{99.97}$ occurred within a) 5 minutes, b) 5 hours, and c) 5 days of a preceding sudden commencement. The SC times were obtained from IAGA bulletins (Ebre Observatory, available online: <u>http://www.obsebre.es/en/rapid</u>) and filtered to retain only those events for which a majority of the five reporting magnetometers attributed a confidence factor of 2 or more (i.e. "unmistakeably a Sudden Commencement").

- 472 For CGM latitudes below 55°, SC occurrences within 5 minutes account for up to 50% of the cluster peaks, with a greater
- proportion on the night side. The proportion of events preceded by SC reduces in regions of high occurrence probability (*cf.* Figure 5b) and only a small proportion (< 5%) of the cluster peaks at latitudes and MLT sectors associated with auroral
- Figure 5b) and only a small proportion (< 5%) of the cluster peaks at latitudes and MLT sectors associated with auroral substorms onsets, the dayside cusp, and Pc5 wave activity, are immediately preceded by SC. However, the extreme
- $|dB_H/dt|$ events occurring at CGM latitudes above 80° in the few hours around 6 and 18 MLT are highly likely to have been
- 477 immediately preceded by a SC (with 30–50% of these events occurring within 5 minutes).
- 478 It is interesting to observe differences between the pre- and post-midnight distributions at $20^{\circ} < |\lambda| < 55^{\circ}$ (Figure 8a and b),
- 479 where the post-midnight distribution has a greater probability of association with SC and is sharply bounded at approximately
- 480 6 MLT. For the dayside (6-18 MLT) region at 47° $< |\lambda| < 67^\circ$, the strong association with SC is observed within 5 days (Figure
- 481 8c) but not within 5 hours of the SC (Figure 8b), suggesting a possible connection to the later phases of geomagnetic storms.



482

Figure 8. Fraction of cluster peaks occurring within a) 5 minutes, b) 5 hours and c) 5 days of a preceding SC.

483 **4.3 Dependence on IMF orientation**

484 A clearer understanding of the magnetospheric drivers of very large $|dB_H/dt|$ is gained by examining the distribution of 485 cluster peaks ($|dB_H/dt| > P_{99.97}$) after filtering for the IMF field orientation. Figure 9 presents the cluster peak occurrence 486 probability distribution as shown in Figure 5b but after the dataset has been partitioned into conditions of a) northward IMF $(B_z > 2nT)$, b) low $|B_z| < 2 nT$, and c) southward IMF $(B_z < -2nT)$. At low- to mid-latitudes $(|\lambda| < 50^{\circ})$ the occurrence 487 488 distributions are relatively independent of $B_{z,}$ as indeed the Chapman-Ferraro currents associated with sudden 489 commencements are little influenced by the IMF B_z orientation. In the auroral region (55° < $|\lambda|$ < 75°), however, the 490 peaks in the 20–24 MLT sector dominate during negative B_z – the condition necessary for substorms driven by magnetic field 491 line reconnection in the magnetotail. The occurrences at 3–6 MLT near $|\lambda|$ = 64° are also enhanced under conditions of 492 negative B_z . Positive B_z conditions lead to more frequent occurrences near the dayside cusp around noon (10–14 MLT) and 493 in the 7–10 MLT sector at $|\lambda| = 60-80^\circ$, which are regions associated with strong Pc5 wave activity.

494



Figure 9. Probability distribution of cluster peaks as for Figure 5b) but with data filtered by IMF B_z : a) $B_z > 2nT$, b) -2nT (496 $\leq B_z < 2nT$, c) $B_z < -2nT$.

In Figure 10 we examine data from magnetometers in the auroral region ($|\lambda| = 55-75$ °N) and further partition the cluster peaks by both B_y and B_z . In all MLT sectors, cluster peaks for negative B_z (panels g-i) occur most frequently at the vernal equinox for negative B_y (panel g) and at the autumnal equinox for positive B_y (panel i). The converse is true under positive B_z conditions (panels a-c) with cluster peaks occurring most frequently at the autumnal (vernal) equinox under negative (positive) B_y conditions. These patterns indicate a strong relation to the Russell-McPherron effect, which ascribes seasonal and diurnal changes in magnetic field line reconnection and geomagnetic activity to changes in the orientation of the Earth's dipole axis with respect to the IMF (Russell & McPherron 1973).

Previous studies have shown that the MLT of the cusp region is controlled by the IMF B_y component. In the northern hemisphere, the cusp is shifted pre-noon (post-noon) for negative (positive) B_y , with the opposite behaviour in the southern hemisphere. This shift is more pronounced under negative B_z conditions when the cusp lies further equatorward (Newell et

- al. 1989). To examine the effect this might have on the distribution of cluster peaks ($|dB_H/dt| > P_{99.97}$) an analysis of B_y
- and B_z dependences is presented in Figure 11 for all magnetometers in the NH cusp and polar region, $|\lambda| > 77^{\circ}N$. This shows that under all conditions of B_z , the locus of cluster peaks ($|dB_H/dt| > P_{99.97}$) shifts from about 10:30 MLT for negative B_y conditions, to about 12:30 MLT for positive B_y .
- 511 The polarity of the R0 field-aligned currents entering the cusp region have been previously shown to depend on the IMF B_{y}
- 512 component, (being upward (downward) in the northern hemisphere (NH) for $B_y > 0$ ($B_y < 0$), and opposite in the southern
- hemisphere (SH)) (Milan et al. 2017, and references therein). However, we found that the direction, *D*, of the peak
- fluctuations for $|\lambda| > 77^{\circ}$ N near local noon (not shown) was relatively uniform (isotropic) and did not change significantly with B_{γ} or B_{z} . This indicates that the magnetic fluctuations associated with field-aligned currents are too weak to
- significantly affect the highest percentiles of $|dB_H/dt|$, due in part to the shielding effect of the conductive ionospheric layer.



Figure 10. Occurrence probability of $|dB_H/dt| > P_{99.97}$ (after declustering) as a function of month and MLT, for NH stations between 55°N and 75°N CGM, filtered by IMF orientation: Panels a–c) $B_z \ge 2$ nT, d–f) -2 nT $\le Bz < 2$ nT, g–i) $B_z \ge 2$ nT, Panels a,d,g) $B_y < -2$ nT; panels b,e,h) -2 nT $\le B_y < 2$ nT; panels c,f,i) $B_y \ge 2$ nT.



520 Figure 11. As Figure 10, but for NH stations above 77°N CGM.

521 **5 Summary and Conclusions**

In this study we have used Extreme Value Theory (EVT) to fit Generalised Pareto (GP) tail distributions to a large global data set of horizontal magnetic field fluctuations, dB_H/dt from 125 magnetometers. The variation of the fitted GP parameters and derived 5- to 500-year return levels (RL) have been modelled as functions of the corrected geomagnetic (CGM) latitude, λ . A combination of high scale (σ) and shape (ξ) parameters in the region of $|\lambda|=53^{\circ}$ (in both hemispheres) creates a sharp maximum in RL at this latitude. Values of ξ increasing above $|\lambda|=75^{\circ}$ lead to a trend towards increasing RLs for locations in the polar cap for return periods greater than 100 years.

The rate of occurrence of $|dB_H/dt|$ measurements exceeding the 99.97th percentile ($P_{99.97}$) has also been modelled as a function of CGM latitude, month, MLT, and the direction of dB_H . The occurrence of large $|dB_H/dt|$ has been shown to be strongly dependent on CGM latitude and MLT sector, such that a GIC observed in one ground-based system can be expected to differ greatly from that in others well-separated in latitude or longitude (or local time). By fitting analytical surfaces (such as a polynomial or spherical harmonic expansion) to the 2-d occurrence probability profile, e.g. $P(|dB_H/dt| > P_{99.97}; \lambda, MLT)$ a fully analytical model of return levels may be generated, parameterised by these covariates.

The occurrence probability profile has distinct maxima in the pre-midnight auroral zone ($|\lambda| = 55-75^{\circ}$), with predominantly southward dB_H , that may be attributed to substorm-related westward ionospheric currents. The probability maximises near the equinoxes (with a secondary winter maximum) principally under conditions of negative IMF B_z .

537 The auroral zone 03–11 MLT sector is characterised by an increase in event occurrences that matches known patterns of ULF

- Pc5 wave activity. Events occur preferentially at earlier local times and at lower latitudes under negative B_z , with a more
- isotropic directional distribution centred broadly about the 100° 280° bearing axis. In the northern hemisphere (at least),
- 540 the earlier MLT occurrences are principally observed near the June solstice, with later MLT occurrences towards December.

- 541 Both substorm-related and Pc5-related peaks (at all local times) exhibit a seasonal dependence on IMF orientation consistent 542 with the Russell-McPherron effect.
- 543 In the region poleward of the polar cusp $|\lambda|>75^{\circ}$ in the summer months there is a raised probability of occurrence at 10–14 544 MLT, peaking slightly earlier (later) for negative (positive) IMF B_y , with greater occurrence probability under positive B_z 545 conditions. The directional distribution of these changes is nearly isotropic.

At low latitudes ($|\lambda|$ <40°), occurrence probability $P(|dB_H/dt| > P_{99.97}; \lambda, MLT)$ forms a V-shaped distribution in latitude versus MLT, closely distributed about 11 MLT at the equator but extended towards dawn and dusk towards mid-latitudes. Large magnetic field fluctuations in this region are principally northward directed, and may be attributed to sudden commencements (SC). There is a raised probability of events being observed within 5-minutes of a SC in latitude zones and MLT sectors for which there are relatively few occurrences (night-side, low latitude, or near dawn or dusk at polar-latitudes ($|\lambda|$ >80°).

- 552 Results from this study may be applied in the evaluation and mitigation of GIC risks. Models of maximum likelihood estimates 553 for $|dB_H/dt|$ vs geomagnetic latitude (Section 3.1) are provided for return periods of up to 500 years. Combining these 554 predictions with an Earth conductivity model would yield predictions of the extreme geoelectric fields induced at the Earth's 555 surface. When these are input to engineering models, such as HV electricity network models, the return levels for GICs may 556 be estimated. Models of the occurrence probability of $P(|dB_H/dt| > P_{99.97})$ with month and MLT may be used to refine RL 557 estimates of $|dB_H/dt|$ for operations limited to certain seasons or times of day. Furthermore, information from the pattern 558 of occurrence probability vs direction (Figure 7) could be used to refine GIC risk estimates for individual linear components 559 of ground infrastructure, such as sections of pipeline, cables, or elements of an HV electricity network.
- It should be noted that the statistics presented in this paper reflect only the minute-to-minute changes in magnetic field. Further studies (not reported here) have examined the directional statistics of magnetic field fluctuations on longer time scales up to 60 minutes, which are also important for GIC modelling but are governed by different temporal characteristics of the ionospheric and magnetospheric current systems. We shall report these findings in a future paper. Sub-minute geomagnetic field fluctuations are also effective in inducing surface electric fields from shallow depths in the Earth and these will be examined in future studies using a much more limited set of magnetograms recorded at 1- or 10-s cadence.
- 566

567 Acknowledgements. This work was funded by the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) under grant number NE/P016715/1. 568 The authors are grateful to members of the Space Weather Impacts on Ground-based Systems (SWIGS) consortium led by Alan Thomson 569 (British Geological Survey), with special thanks to Mervyn Freeman (British Antarctic Survey), Tim Yeoman (University of Leicester, UK), 570 Malcolm Dunlop (RAL Space, UK), and Phil Livermore (University of Leeds, UK) for helpful discussions. Sunspot numbers were provided by 571 WDC-SILSO, Royal Observatory of Belgium, Brussels and are available online: http://sidc.oma.be/silso/DATA/SN ms tot V2.0.txt. 572 Interplanetary magnetic field data were provided by the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Space Physics Data Facility (available from 573 https://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov). The ground magnetometer data and the substorm list were provided by SuperMAG (available from 574 http://supermag.jhuapl.edu) and we gratefully acknowledge contributions from the SuperMAG collaborators: Intermagnet; USGS, Jeffrey J. 575 Love; CARISMA, PI Ian Mann; CANMOS; The S-RAMP Database, PI K. Yumoto and Dr K. Shiokawa; The SPIDR database; AARI, PI Oleg 576 Troshichev; The MACCS program, PI M. Engebretson, Geomagnetism Unit of the Geological Survey of Canada; GIMA; MEASURE, UCLA IGPP 577 and Florida Institute of Technology; SAMBA, PI Eftyhia Zesta; 210 Chain, PI K. Yumoto; SAMNET, PI Farideh Honary; The IMAGE 578 magnetometer network, PI L. Juusola; AUTUMN, PI Martin Connors; DTU Space, PI Anna Willer; South Pole and McMurdo Magnetometer, 579 PI's Louis J. Lanzarotti and Alan T. Weatherwax; ICESTAR; RAPIDMAG; British Antarctic Survey; McMac, PI Dr Peter Chi; BGS, PI Dr Susan 580 Macmillan; Pushkov Institute of Terrestrial Magnetism, Ionosphere and Radio Wave Propagation (IZMIRAN); GFZ, PI Dr Juergen Matzka; 581 MFGI, PI B. Heilig; IGFPAS, PI J. Reda; University of L'Aquila, PI M. Vellante; BCMT, V. Lesur and A. Chambodut; Data obtained in cooperation 582 with Geoscience Australia, PI Marina Costelloe; AALPIP, co-PIs Bob Clauer and Michael Hartinger; SuperMAG, PI Jesper W. Gjerloev; 583 Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory, PI Tero Raita; Polar Geophysical Institute, Alexander Yahnin and Yarolav Sakharov; Geological Survey 584 of Sweden, Gerhard Schwartz; Swedish Institute of Space Physics, Mastoshi Yamauchi; UiT the Arctic University of Norway, Magnar G. 585 Johnsen; Finish Meteorological Institute, PI Kirsti Kauristie.

586 **References**

Baker, KB, and Wing, S. 1989. A new magnetic coordinate system for conjugate studies at high latitudes, *J. Geophys. Res.* 94: 9139–9143.
 DOI: <u>10.1029/JA094iA07p09139</u>.

- Baker, G, Donovan, EF, and Jackel, BJ. 2003. A comprehensive survey of auroral latitude Pc5 pulsation characteristics, *J. Geophys. Res.* 108: 1384. DOI: <u>10.1029/2002JA009801</u>.
- Beamish, D, Clark, TDG, Clarke, E, and Thomson, AWP. 2002. Geomagnetically induced currents in the UK: geomagnetic variations and surface electric fields, *J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys.* 64: 1779–1792. DOI: <u>10.1016/S1364-6826(02)00127-X</u>.
- Beggan, CD, Beamish, D, Richards, A, Kelly, GS, and Thomson, AWP. 2013. Prediction of extreme geomagnetically induced currents in the UK
 high-voltage network. *Space Weather* 11: 407–419. DOI: <u>10.1002/swe.20065</u>.
- 595Belakhovsky, VB, Pilipenko, VA, Sakharov, YaA, Lorentzen, DL, and Samsonov, SN. 2017. Geomagnetic and ionospheric response to the596interplanetary shock on January 24, 2012. Earth Planets Space 69: 105. DOI: 10.1186/s40623-017-0696-1.
- Belakhovsky V, Pilipenko, V, Engebretson, M, Sakharov, Y, and Selivanov, V. 2019. Impulsive disturbances of the geomagnetic field as a cause
 of induced currents of electric power lines J. Space Weather Space Clim. 9: A1. DOI: 10.1051/swsc/2019015.
- 599 Boteler, DH. 2000. Geomagnetic effects on the pipe-to-soil potentials of a continental pipeline, *Adv. Space Res.* **26**: 15–20. DOI: 10.1016/S0273-1177(99)01020-0.
- Boteler, DH, and Pirjola, RJ. 2014. Comparison of methods for modelling geomagnetically induced currents, *Ann. Geophys.* 32: 1177–1187,
 DOI: <u>10.5194/angeo-32-1177-2014</u>.
- 603 Boteler, DH, and Pirjola, RJ. 2017. Modeling geomagnetically induced currents. Space Weather 15: 258–276. DOI: 10.1002/2016SW001499.
- Boteler, DH, Pirjola, RJ, and Nevanlinna H. 1998. The effects of geomagnetic disturbances on electrical systems at the Earth's surface, *Adv Space Res.* 22: 17–27. DOI: <u>10.1016/S0273-1177(97)01096-X</u>.
- Cagniard, L. 1953. Basic theory of the magneto-telluric method of geophysical prospecting. *Geophysics* **18**: 605–635. DOI: 10.1190/1.1437915.
- 608 Campbell, WH. 2003. Introduction to geomagnetic fields. 2nd Ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. ISBN: 0521529530.
- Carter, BA, Yizengaw, E, Pradipta R, Halford AJ, Norman R, and Zhang, K. 2015. Interplanetary shocks and the resulting geomagnetically
 induced currents at the equator. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42: 6554–6559. DOI: 10.1002/2015GL065060.
- 611 Chapman, S, and Ferraro, VCA . 1931. A new theory of magnetic storms. *Terr. Magn.* **36**: 77–97. DOI: <u>10.1029/TE036i002p00077</u>.
- 612 Coles, S. 2001. An introduction to statistical modeling of extreme values. Springer-Verlag, London Itd.. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4471-3675-0.
- 613 Crooker, NU. 1992. Reverse convection, J. Geophys. Res. 97: 19363–19372. DOI: <u>10.1029/92JA01532</u>.
- Elvidge, S, and Angling, M. 2018. Using extreme value theory for determining the probability of Carrington-like solar flares. *Space Weather* 16: 417-421. DOI: <u>10.1002/2017SW001727</u>.
- Engebretson, M, Glassmeier, K-H, Stellmacher, M, Hughes WJ, and Lühr, H. 1998. The dependence of high-latitude Pc5 wave power on solar
 wind velocity and on the phase of high-speed solar wind streams, *J. Geophys. Res.* 103: 26271–26283. DOI: 10.1029/97JA03143.
- Engebretson, MJ, Yeoman, TK, Oksavik, K, Søraas, F, Sigernes, F, et al.. 2013. Multi-instrument observations from Svalbard of a traveling
 convection vortex, electromagnetic ion cyclotron wave burst, and proton precipitation associated with a bow shock instability. *J. Geophys. Res.* 118: 2975–2997. DOI: 10.1002/jgra.50291.
- Erinmez, IA, Kappenman, JG, and Radasky, WA. 2002. Management of the geomagnetically induced current risks on the National Grid
 company's electric power transmission system, *J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys.* 64: 743–756. DOI: <u>10.1016/S1364-6826(02)00036-6</u>.
- Eroshenko, EA, Belov, AV, Boteler, DH, Gaidash, SP, Lobkov, SL, Pirjola, and Trichtchenko, L. 2010. Effects of strong geomagnetic storms on
 northern railways in Russia. Adv. Space Res. 46: 1102–1110. DOI: 10.1016/j.asr.2010.05.017.
- Falayi, EO, Ogunmodimu, O, Bolaji, OS, Ayanda, JD, and Ojoniyi, OS. 2017. Investigation of geomagnetic induced current at high latitude
 during the storm-time variation. *NRIAG Journal of Astronomy and Geophysics* 6: 131–140. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.nrjag.2017.04.010</u>.
- Fiori, RAD, Boteler, DH, and Gillies, DM. 2014. Assessment of GIC risk due to geomagnetic sudden commencements and identification of the
 current systems responsible. *Space Weather* 12: 76–91. DOI: <u>10.1002/2013SW000967</u>.
- Friis-Christensen, E, McHenry, MA, Clauer, CR, and Vennerstrøm, S. 1988. Ionospheric traveling convection vortices observed near the polar
 cleft: A triggered response to sudden changes in the solar wind. *Geophys Res Lett.* 15: 253–256. DOI: <u>10.1029/GL015i003p00253</u>.
- Gaunt, CT, and Coetzee G. 2007. Transformer failures in regions incorrectly considered to have low GIC-risk. *IEEE PowerTech, Lausanne, 1– 5 July 2007,* 807–812. DOI: 10.1109/PCT.2007.4538419.
- 633 Gjerloev, JW. 2009. A global ground-based magnetometer initiative, *Eos* 90: 230–231. DOI: 10.1029/2009E0270002.
- 634 Gjerloev, JW. 2012. The SuperMAG data processing technique, J. Geophys. Res. 117: A09213. DOI: 10.1029/2012JA017683.
- Gjerloev, JW, Hoffman, RA, Sigwarth, JB, and Frank, LA. 2007. Statistical description of the bulge-type auroral substorm in the far ultraviolet.
 J. Geophys. Res. 112: A07213. DOI: 10.1029/2006JA012189.
- Gjerloev, JW, and Hoffman RA. 2014. The large-scale current system during auroral substorms. J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics 119, 4591–
 4606. DOI: 10.1002/2013JA019176.
- Hao, YX, Zong, Q-G, Zhou, X-Z, Rankin, R, Chen, XR, Liu, Y, et al.. 2019. Global-scale ULF waves associated with SSC accelerate magnetospheric
 ultrarelativistic electrons. J. Geophys Res. Space Physics 124: 1525–1538. DOI: 10.1029/2018JA026134.
- Hunsucker, RD, and Hargreaves, JK. 2003. The high-latitude ionosphere and its effects on radio propagation. *Cambridge University Press*.
 ISBN 0 521 33083 1.
- Kappenman, JG. 2003. Storm sudden commencement events and the associated geomagnetically induced current risks to ground-based
 systems at low-latitude and midlatitude locations. *Space Weather* 1: 1016. DOI: <u>10.1029/2003SW000009</u>.
- 645 Kappenman, JG. 2004. The evolving vulnerability of electric power grids. Space Weather 2: S01004. DOI: 10.1029/2003SW000028.
- Kappenman, JG. 2006. Great geomagnetic storms and extreme impulsive geomagnetic field disturbance events An analysis of observational
 evidence including the great storm of May 1921. Adv. Space Res. 38: 188–199. DOI: j.asr.2005.08.055.
- 648 Kivelson, MG, and Russell CT. 2005. Introduction to space physics. *Cambridge University Press*. ISBN: 9780521457149.
- 649 Knipp, DJ. 2011. Understanding space weather and the physics behind it. McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. ISBN: 9780073408903.
- 650 Koons, HC. 2001. Statistical analysis of extreme values in space science, J. Geophys. Res. 106: 10,915–10,921. DOI: 10.1029/2000JA000234

- Kozyreva, OV, Pilipenko, VA, Belakhovsky, VB, and Sakharov, YA. 2018. Ground geomagnetic field and GIC response to March 17, 2015,
 storm. *Earth, Planets, Space* 70: 157. DOI: <u>10.1186/s40623-018-0933-2</u>.
- Lanzerotti, LJ, Konik, RM, Wolfe, A, Venkatesan, D, and Maclennan, CG. 1991. Cusp latitude magnetic impulse events: 1.Occurrence statistics,
 J. Geophys. Res. 96: 14009–14022. DOI: 10.1029/91JA00567.
- Lanzerotti, LJ, Medford, LV, Maclennan, CG, and Thomson, DJ. 1995. Studies of large-scale Earth potentials across oceanic distances. *AT&T Technical Journal* 74: 73–84. DOI: j.1538-7305.1995.tb00185.x.
- 657 Laundal, KM, and Richmond, AD. 2017. Magnetic coordinate systems. Space Sci. Rev. 206: 27. DOI: 10.1007/s11214-016-0275-y.
- Liou, K, Newell, PT, Sibeck, DG, and Meng, C-I. 2001. Observation of IMF and seasonal effects in the location of auroral substorm onset. J.
 Geophys. Res. 106: 5799–5810. DOI: 10.1029/2000JA003001
- Liu, L, Ge, X, Zong, W, Zhou, Y, and Liu, M. 2016. Analysis of the monitoring data of geomagnetic storm interference in the electrification
 system of a high-speed railway. *Space Weather* 14: 754–763. DOI: 10.1002/2016SW001411.
- Love, JL, Coïsson, P, and Pulkkinen, A. 2016. Global statistical maps of extreme-event magnetic observatory 1 min first differences in horizontal intensity. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 43: 4126–4135. DOI : <u>10.1002/2016GL068664</u>.
- 664Manpreet, K. 2018. Geomagnetic Disturbance Characterization in the Hydro-Quebec power system using AUTUMNX data. *MEng. thesis,*665UniversityofVictoria,Canada.Availableonline:666https://dspace.library.uvic.ca/bitstream/handle/1828/9018/Kaur_Manpreet_MEng_2018.pdf.Vertice of the system using AUTUMNX data.Meng. the system using AUTUMNX data.
- Marshall, RA, Dalzell, M, Waters, CL, Goldthorpe, P, and Smith, EA. 2012. Geomagnetically induced currents in the New Zealand power
 network. Space Weather 10: S08003. DOI: <u>10.1029/2012SW000806</u>.
- Masson, A, and Nykyri, K. 2018. Kelvin–Helmholtz instability: Lessons learned and ways forward. Space Sci. Rev. 214: 71, <u>10.1007/s11214-</u>
 <u>018-0505-6</u>.
- Matsushita, S. 1968. Sq and L current systems in the ionosphere. *Geophys. J. International*, 15: 109–125. DOI: <u>10.1111/j.1365-</u>
 <u>246X.1968.tb05751.x.</u>
- Menietti, JD, and Burch, JL. 1988. Spatial extent of the plasma injection region in the cusp-magnetosheath interface. J. Geophys. Res. 93:
 105–113. DOI: 10.1029/JA093iA01p00105.
- Meredith, NP, Horne, RB, Isles, JD, and Rodriguez, JV. 2015. Extreme relativistic electron fluxes at geosynchronous orbit: Analysis of GOES E
 Mev electrons. Space Weather 13: 170–184. DOI: 10.1002/2014SW001143.
- Milan, SE, Clausen, LBN, Coxon, JC, Carter, JA, Walach, M-T, et al. 2017. Overview of solar wind–magnetosphere–ionosphere–atmosphere
 coupling and the generation of magnetospheric currents. *Space Sci. Rev.* 206: 547. DOI: <u>10.1007/s11214-017-0333-0</u>.
- Molinski, TS. 2002. Why utilities respect geomagnetically induced currents. J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys. 64: 1765–1778. DOI: <u>10.1016/S1364-680</u>
 <u>6826(02)00126-8</u>.
- Nakamura, M, Yoneda, A, Oda, M, and Tsubouchi, K. 2015. Statistical analysis of extreme auroral electrojet indices. *Earth, Planets, Space*.
 67: 153. DOI: <u>10.1186/s40623-015-0321-0</u>.
- Newell, PT, Meng, C-I, Sibeck, DG and Lepping, R. 1989. Some low-altitude cusp dependencies on the interplanetary magnetic field. J.
 Geophys. Res. 94: 8921–8927. DOI:10.1029/JA094iA07p08921.
- Ngwira, CM, Pulkkinen, A, Wilder, FD, and Crowley, G. 2013. Extended study of extreme geoelectric field event scenarios for geomagnetically
 induced current applications. *Space Weather* 11: 121–131. DOI: <u>10.1002/swe.20021</u>.
- Ngwira, CM, Sibeck, D, Silveira, MDV, Georgiou, M, Weygand, JM, Nishimura, Y, and Hampton, D. 2018. A study of intense local dB/dt variations during two geomagnetic storms. *Space Weather* 16: 676–693. DOI: <u>10.1029/2018SW001911</u>.
- Nikitina, L, Trichtchenko, L, and Boteler, DH. 2016. Assessment of extreme values in geomagnetic and geoelectric field variations for Canada.
 Space Weather 14: 481–494. DOI: <u>10.1002/2016SW001386</u>.
- Nykyri, K, and Dimmock, AP. 2016. Statistical study of the ULF Pc4–Pc5 range fluctuations in the vicinity of Earth's magnetopause and
 correlation with the Low Latitude Boundary Layer thickness. *Adv. Space Sci.* 58: 257–267. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.asr.2015.12.046</u>.
- 693 O'Brien, TP, Fennell, JF, Roeder, JL, and Reeves, GD. 2007. Extreme electron fluxes in the outer zone. Space Weather 5: S01001. DOI:
 694 10.1029/2006SW000240.
- Pahud, DM, Rae, IJ, Mann, IR, Murphy, KR, and Amalraj, V. 2009. Ground-based Pc5 ULF wave power: Solar wind speed and MLT dependence.
 J. Atmos. Terr. Phys. 71: 1082–1092. DOI: 10.1016/j.jastp.2008.12.004.
- Pawitan, Y. 2001. In all likelihood: statistical modelling and inference using likelihood. *Oxford; New York: Clarendon Press*: ISBN:
 9780191650574 (e-book).
- Pirjola, R, Viljanen, A, Pulkkinen, A, and Amm, O. 2000. Space weather risk in power systems and pipelines. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Part C: Solar, Terr. & Planetary Science* 25: 333–337. DOI: 10.1016/S1464-1917(00)00027-1.
- Pirjola, R. 2002. Review on the calculation of surface electric and magnetic fields and of geomagnetically induced currents in ground-based
 technological systems. *Surveys in Geophysics* 23: 71–90. DOI: <u>10.1023/A:101481600930</u>.
- Pitout, F, Bosqued, J-M, Alcaydé, D, Denig, WF, and Rème, H. 2001. Observations of the cusp region under northward IMF. *Ann. Geophys.* 19: 1641–1653. DOI: <u>10.5194/angeo-19-1641-2001</u>.
- Pothier, NM, Weimer, DR, and Moore, WB. 2015. Quantitative maps of geomagnetic perturbation vectors during substorm onset and
 recovery. J. Geophys. Res.: Space Physics 120: 1197–1214. DOI: 10.1002/2014JA020602.
- Pulkkinen, A, Viljanen, A, Pajunpää, K, and Pirjola, R. 2001. Recordings and occurrence of geomagnetically induced currents in the Finnish
 natural gas pipeline network. J. App. Geophys. 48: 219–231. DOI: 10.1016/S0926-9851(01)00108-2.
- Pulkkinen, A, Thomson, A, Clarke, E, and McKay, A. 2003. April 2000 geomagnetic storm: ionospheric drivers of large geomagnetically
 induced currents. *Ann. Geophys.* 21: 709–717. DOI: <u>10.5194/angeo-21-709-2003</u>.
- Pulkkinen, A, Bernabeu, E, Eichner, J, Beggan, C, and Thomson, AWP. 2012. Generation of 100-year geomagnetically induced current
 scenarios. Space Weather 10: S04003. DOI: 10.1029/2011SW000750.

- Qian, X, Tian, H, Yin, Y, Li, Y, Liu, M, and Jiang, Z. 2016. Geomagnetic storms' influence on intercity railway track circuit. Urban Rail Transit.
 2: 85–91. DOI: <u>10.1007/s40864-016-0040-2</u>.
- Reiss, R-D, and Thomas, M. 2007. Statistical analysis of extreme values (3rd Ed.). *Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin*. DOI: <u>10.1007/978-</u>
 <u>3-7643-7399-3</u>.
- Root, HG. 1979. Earth-current effects on communication-cable power subsystems. *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility* EMC 21: 87–92. DOI: 10.1109/TEMC.1979.303750.
- Russell, CT, and Elphic, RC. 1978. Initial ISEE magnetometer results: magnetopause observations. *Space Sci. Rev.* 22: 681. DOI: 10.1007/BF00212619.
- Russell, CT, and McPherron, RL. 1973. Semiannual variation of geomagnetic activity. J. Geophys. Res., 78: 92–108. DOI: 10.1029/JA078i001p00092.
- Russell, CT, Ginskey, M, and Petrinec, SM. 1994. Sudden impulses at low-latitude stations: Steady state response for northward
 interplanetary magnetic field. *J. Geophys. Res.* 99: 253–261. DOI: <u>10.1029/93JA02288</u>.
- Shepherd, SG. 2014. Altitude-adjusted corrected geomagnetic coordinates: definition and functional approximations. J. Geophys. Res. 119:
 7501–7521. DOI: <u>10.1002/2014JA020264</u>.
- Shinbori, A, Tsuji, Y, Kikuchi, T, Araki, T, and Watari S. 2009. Magnetic latitude and local time dependence of the amplitude of geomagnetic sudden commencements. *J. Geophys. Res.* 114: A04217. DOI: <u>10.1029/2008JA013871</u>.
- 729 Sibeck, DG. 1993. Transient magnetic field signatures at high latitudes. J. Geophys. Res. 98: 243–256. DOI: 10.1029/92JA01661.
- Sibeck, DG, and Korotova, Gl. 1996. Occurrence patterns for transient magnetic field signatures at high latitudes. J. Geophys. Res. 101:
 13413–13428. DOI: 10.1029/96JA00187.
- Silbergleit, V. 1996. On the occurrence of geomagnetic storms with sudden commencements. J. Geomag. Geoelectr. 48: 1011–1016. DOI: 10.5636/jgg.48.1011.
- 734 Silbergleit, V. 1999. Forecast of the most geomagnetically disturbed days. *Earth, Planets, Space* 51: 19–22. DOI: 10.1186/BF03352205.
- Siscoe, GL. 1976. On the statistics of the largest geomagnetic storms per solar cycle. J. Geophys. Res. 81: 4782–4784. DOI: 10.1029/JA081i025p04782.
- Thébault, E, Finlay, CC, Beggan, CD, Alken, P, Aubert, J, et al. 2015. International Geomagnetic Reference Field: the 12th generation. *Earth, Planets, Space* 67: 79. DOI: <u>10.1186/s40623-015-0228-9</u>.
- Thomson, AWP, Gaunt, CT, Cilliers, P, Wild, JA, Opperman, B, McKinnell L-A, Kotze, P, Ngwira, CM, and Lotz, SI. 2010. Present day challenges
 in understanding the geomagnetic hazard to national power grids. *Adv. Space Res.* 45: 1182-1190. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.asr.2009.11.023</u>.
- Thomson, AWP, Dawson, EB, and Reay, SJ. 2011. Quantifying extreme behavior in geomagnetic activity. *Space Weather* 9: S10001. DOI: 10.1029/2011SW000696.
- Trivedi, NB, Vitorello, Í, Kabata, W, Dutra, SLG, Padilha, AL, et al. 2007. Geomagnetically induced currents in an electric power transmission
 system at low latitudes in Brazil: A case study. *Space Weather* 5: S04004. DOI: <u>10.1029/2006SW000282</u>.
- Tsiftsi, T, and De la Luz, V. 2018. Extreme value analysis of solar flare events. *Space Weather* **16**: 1984–1986. DOI: <u>10.1029/2018SW001958</u>.
- Tsubouchi, K, and Omura, Y. 2007. Long-term occurrence probabilities of intense geomagnetic storm events. *Space Weather* 5: S12003. DOI: 10.1029/2007SW000329.
- Vasseur, G, and Weidelt, P. 1977. Bimodal electromagnetic induction in non-uniform thin sheets with an application to the northern
 Pyrenean induction anomaly. *Geophysical Journal International* 51: 669–690. DOI: <u>10.1111/j.1365-246X.1977.tb04213.x</u>.
- Vennerstrøm, S. 1999. Dayside magnetic ULF power at high latitudes: A possible long-term proxy for the solar wind velocity? J. Geophys.
 Res. 104: 10145–10157. DOI: <u>10.1029/1999JA900015</u>.
- Viljanen, A, Nevanlinna, H, Pajunpää, K, and Pulkkinen, A. 2001. Time derivative of the horizontal geomagnetic field as an activity indicator.
 Ann. Geophys. 19: 1107–1118. DOI: <u>10.5194/angeo-19-1107-2001</u>.
- Vorobjev, VG, Yagodkina, OI, and Zverev, VL. 1999. Morphological features of bipolar magnetic impulsive events and associated interplanetary medium signatures. J. Geophys. Res. 104: 4595–4607. DOI: <u>10.1029/1998JA900042</u>.
- Wang, H, Lühr, H, Ma, SY, and Ritter, P. 2005. Statistical study of the substorm onset: its dependence on solar wind parameters and solar
 illumination. *Ann. Geophys.* 23: 2069–2079. DOI: <u>10.5194/angeo-23-2069-2005</u>.
- Wang, H, Ridley, AJ, and Lühr, H. 2008. SWMF simulation of field-aligned currents for a varying northward and duskward IMF with nonzero
 dipole tilt, *Ann. Geophys.* 26: 1461–1477. DOI: <u>10.5194/angeo-26-1461-2008</u>.
- Watanabe, M, Kabin, K, Sofko, GJ, Rankin, R, Gombosi, TI, Ridley, AJ, and Clauer, CR. 2005. Internal reconnection for northward interplanetary magnetic field, *J. Geophys. Res.* 110: A06210. DOI: <u>10.1029/2004JA010832</u>.
- Weigel, RS, and Baker, DN. 2003. Probability distribution invariance of 1-minute auroral-zone geomagnetic field fluctuations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 30: 2193. DOI: <u>10.1029/2003GL018470</u>.
- Weimer, DR, Ober, DM, Maynard, NC, Collier, MR, McComas, DJ, Ness, NF, Smith, SW, and Watermann, J. 2003. Predicting interplanetary
 magnetic field (IMF) propagation delay times using the minimum variance technique. J. Geophys. Res. 108: 1026. DOI: 10.1029/2002JA009405.
- Wik, M, Pirjola, R, Lundstedt, H, Viljanen, A, Wintoft, P, and Pulkkinen, A. 2009. Space weather events in July 1982 and October 2003 and the effects of geomagnetically induced currents on Swedish technical systems. *Ann. Geophys.* 27: 1775–1787. DOI: <u>10.5194/angeo-27-</u> <u>1775-2009</u>.
- Wintoft, P, Wik, M, and Viljanen, A. 2015. Solar wind driven empirical forecast models of the time derivative of the ground magnetic field.
 J. Space Weather Space Clim. 5: A7. DOI: <u>10.1051/swsc/2015008</u>.
- Wintoft, P, Viljanen, A, and Wik, M. 2016. Extreme value analysis of the time derivative of the horizontal magnetic field and computed
 electric field. Ann. Geophys. 34: 485–491. DOI: <u>10.5194/angeo-34-485-2016</u>.

- Yamazaki, Y, and Maute, A. 2017. Sq and EEJ—A review on the daily variation of the geomagnetic field caused by ionospheric dynamo
 currents. *Space Science Rev.* 206: 299–405. DOI: <u>10.1007/s11214-016-0282-z</u>.
- Zhang, XY, Zong, Q-G, Wang, YF, Zhang, H, Xie, L, Fu, SY, Yuan, C J, Yue, C, Yang, B, and Pu, ZY. 2010. ULF waves excited by negative/positive
 solar wind dynamic pressure impulses at geosynchronous orbit. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115: A10221. DOI: <u>10.1029/2009JA015016</u>.
- Zhang, JJ, Wang, C, Sun, TR, Liu, CM, and Wang, KR. 2015. GIC due to storm sudden commencement in low-latitude high-voltage power
 network in China: Observation and simulation. *Space Weather*. 13: 643–655. DOI:<u>10.1002/2015SW001263</u>.
- Zhao, H, and Zong, Q-G. 2012. Seasonal and diurnal variation of geomagnetic activity: Russell-McPherron effect during different IMF polarity
 and/or extreme solar wind conditions, *J. Geophys. Res.* 117: A11222. DOI: <u>10.1029/2012JA017845</u>.
- 782 Zong, Q-G, Zhou, X-Z, Wang, YF, Li, X, Song, P, Baker, DN, Fritz, TA, Daly, PW, Dunlop, M, and Pedersen, A. 2009. Energetic electron response
- to ULF waves induced by interplanetary shocks in the outer radiation belt. J. Geophys. Res. 114: A10204. DOI: 10.1029/2009JA014393.