Substorm - Ring Current Coupling: A comparison of isolated and compound substorms

J. K. Sandhu¹, I. J. Rae¹, M. P. Freeman ², M. Gkioulidou ³, C. Forsyth ¹, G. D. Reeves ⁴, K. R. Murphy ⁵, M.-T. Walach ⁶

¹ Department of Space and Climate Physics, Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College
London, Dorking, RH5 6NT, UK. ² British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, CB3 0ET, UK. ³ Applied Physics Laboratory, John Hopkins University, Maryland, USA. ⁴ Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, USA. ⁵ University of Maryland, USA. ⁶ Lancaster University, LA1 4YW, UK.

Key Points:

1

2

3

12

13	•	Quantitative estimates of ring current energy for compound and isolated substorms
14		are shown.
15	•	The energy content and post-onset enhancement is larger for compound compared
16		to isolated substorms.
17	•	Solar wind coupling is a key driver for differences in the ring current between iso-
18		lated and compound substorms.

Corresponding author: Jasmine K. Sandhu, j.sandhu@ucl.ac.uk

19 Abstract

Substorms are a highly variable process, which can occur as an isolated event or as part 20 of a sequence of multiple substorms (compound substorms). In this study we identify 21 how the low energy population of the ring current and subsequent energization varies 22 for isolated substorms compared to the first substorm of a compound event. Using ob-23 servations of H^+ and O^+ ions (1 eV to 50 keV) from the Helium Oxygen Proton Elec-24 tron instrument onboard Van Allen Probe A, we determine the energy content of the ring 25 current in L-MLT space. We observe that the ring current energy content is significantly 26 enhanced during compound substorms as compared to isolated substorms by ~ 20 – 27 30%. Furthermore, we observe a significantly larger magnitude of energization (by $\sim 40-$ 28 50%) following the onset of compound substorms relative to isolated substorms. Anal-29 ysis suggests that the differences predominantly arise due to a sustained enhancement 30 in dayside driving associated with compound substorms compared to isolated substorms. 31 The strong solar wind driving prior to onset results in important differences in the time 32 history of the magnetosphere, generating significantly different ring current conditions 33 and responses to substorms. The observations reveal information about the substorm 34 injected population and the transport of the plasma in the inner magnetosphere. 35

36 1 Introduction

Substorms are an impulsive phenomenon associated with the storage and release 37 of energy in the Earth's magnetosphere. Based on auroral observations, it was proposed 38 that substorms can be described as the occurrence of three separate phases: the growth 39 phase, the expansion phase, and the recovery phase (Akasofu, 1968; R. L. McPherron, 40 1970). Overall, a substorm typically lasts 2-4 hours (Tanskanen, 2009). During the growth 41 phase, low latitude dayside reconnection with the IMF (Interplanetary Magnetic Field) 42 dominates over the nightside reconnection rate, resulting in an accumulation of open field 43 lines in a highly stretched magnetotail (Kokubun & McPherron, 1981; R. McPherron, 44 1972; R. L. McPherron, 1970; Milan, Provan, & Hubert, 2007). Substorm onset marks 45 the beginning of the substorm expansion phase, and during the onset process rapid bursts 46 of nightside reconnection close significant amounts of flux in the magnetotail (e.g., Hones Jr. 47 & Schindler, 1979; Hubert et al., 2006). The dipolarization of the magnetic field and the 48 destabilisation of the near-Earth tail act to energize particles and drive intense electric 49 currents (e.g., Forsyth et al., 2014; R. L. McPherron, Russell, & Aubry, 1973). The mag-50 netosphere then enters the substorm recovery phase, where the nightside reconnection 51 rate gradually subsides and the system returns to its original state. The occurrence of 52 a substorm has wide ranging and substantial implications for the global magnetosphere 53 and ionosphere. In this study we focus on the impact of substorms on the inner mag-54 netosphere, specifically on the ring current population. 55

The terrestrial ring current is generated predominantly by ions with energies rang-56 ing from tens to hundreds of keV and resides mainly between 4 to 7 Earth Radii (R_E) 57 (Daglis, Thorne, Baumjohann, & Orsini, 1999; Le, Russell, & Takahashi, 2004; Sandhu 58 et al., 2018). Substorm dipolarization following substorm onset is associated with the 59 injection of plasma to the inner magnetosphere, typically affecting ring current ions with 60 100s eV to 10s keV energies (Yue et al., 2018). However, previous work has shown that 61 the injection of plasma into the inner magnetosphere is highly variable. It has been iden-62 tified that only approximately 30% of substorms are associated with an observed clas-63 sical injection signature in the inner magnetosphere (Boakes et al., 2011; Takada et al., 64 2006). Despite the variability of the injections, a study conducted by Sandhu et al. (2018) 65 demonstrated that, on average, the ring current experiences statistically significant en-66 hancements following substorm onset. It was establised that the global energy content, 67 estimated from an energy range up to 100s keV covering the bulk population, increased 68 by 12% relative to the pre-onset value, with the enhancement predominantly occurring 69 within the substorm expansion phase. Sandhu et al. (2018) showed that the low energy 70

population of H⁺ and O⁺ ions with energies ranging up to 50 keV exhibited significant
enhancements following substorm onset, with the energy content of these ions increasing by more than 50%. The energy range is consistent with the expected energy range
of substorm-associated plasma injections in the inner magnetosphere (Yue et al., 2018),
as well as the ion plasma sheet population convected earthwards.

In this paper, we extend the analysis of Sandhu et al. (2018) to explore whether 76 some substorm characteristics are more favourable to ring current energisation than oth-77 ers. Specifically, we categorise substorms according to the level of additional substorm 78 activity prior to and following an event. We define isolated substorms as those where there 79 is no substorm activity prior to the event and after the event. Compound substorms are 80 defined as occurring as part of a sequence of substorms where the recovery phase leads 81 directly to the expansion phase of a succeeding substorm. Previous work has demonstrated 82 differences in the solar wind driving and auroral evolution during compound substorms 83 in comparison to isolated substorms (e.g., Kim, Lee, & Lyons, 2008; Liou, Newell, Zhang, 84 & Paxton, 2013; Newell & Gjerloev, 2011), such that compound substorms are typically 85 associated with periods of high solar wind - magnetosphere coupling. However, the quan-86 titative aspect of how a sequence of compound substorms as opposed to an isolated sub-87 storm can affect the inner magnetosphere, specifically the ring current population, re-88 mains poorly understood. In this study, we examine whether both types of substorms 89 enhance the ring current population, focusing on the evolution and morphology of the 90 low energy ring current ion population. 91

⁹² 2 Data and Method

The Van Allen Probes mission consists of two identically instrumented spacecraft: 93 probe A and probe B (Mauk et al., 2013). The elliptical orbits have an inclination of 10° , 94 a perigee of ~ 600 km altitude, and an apogee of 6 R_E geocentric radial distance. The 95 orbital period is 9 hours and the precession of the orbital apogee allows sampling of all local times in less than 2 years. The coverage and low inclination of the Van Allen Probes 97 orbit are highly suited to studying the ring current region. The probes are equipped with 98 the Helium Oxygen Proton Electron (HOPE) mass spectrometers (Funsten et al., 2013; 99 Spence et al., 2013). In this study we use the Level 3 HOPE observations of omnidirec-100 tional energy fluxes for H^+ and O^+ ions, with an energy range from 1 eV to 50 keV. For 101 this statistical study we take all observations obtained during 2012 to 2018. We note that 102 the energy range was selected to focus on the injected and convected ion population that 103 is particularly sensitive to substorm onset (Sandhu et al., 2018; Yue et al., 2018), and 104 the energy range is not representative of the full ring current population. It is known that 105 higher energies also exhibit energisation, as injections are observed to range up to sev-106 eral hundred keV (e.g., Sandhu et al., 2018; D. L. Turner et al., 2017). 107

The omnidirectional ion fluxes obtained from HOPE are used to estimate the energy content for both the H⁺ and O⁺ data sets. The same method as detailed by Sandhu et al. (2018) (adapted from Gkioulidou, Ukhorskiy, Mitchell, and Lanzerotti (2016)) is applied to the data and will now be briefly summarised. The omnidirectional ion energy flux, $j(E_{ch})$, at the instrument energy channels, E_{ch} , is taken for a given data set. The partial energy density, ε , is then calculated with a temporal resolution of 5 minutes using the following equation:

$$\varepsilon = \sum_{E_{\rm ch}} 2\pi \sqrt{2E_{\rm ch}m} j(E_{\rm ch}) \Delta E_{\rm ch} \tag{1}$$

where ΔE_{ch} is the energy channel bin width, and m is the ion mass. For a given 5 minute time bin, we then consider the volume, $\delta V(L)$, which is the volume of the dipole magnetic field intersecting the area defined by the range of L shells traversed in the time ¹¹⁸ interval and 6 hours of magnetic local time (MLT). The full details of how this volume ¹¹⁹ is determined are provided in the Supplementary Information (Text S1). The partial en-¹²⁰ ergy density, ε is multiplied by the volume, $\delta V(L)$, to provide an estimate of the energy ¹²¹ contained within the volume for each 5 minute time bin, $E_{5-\min}$. The final step taken is ¹²² to determine the total energy, E, contained within a spatial *L*-MLT bin. As a spacecraft ¹²³ traverses through the range of L values encompassed by a bin of width ΔL , the energy ¹²⁴ values are summed. This is expressed by

$$E = \left[\sum_{\Delta L} E_{5\text{-min}}\right] \left[\frac{\Delta L}{\sum_{\Delta L} \delta L}\right] \tag{2}$$

where we use a L bin width of $\Delta L = 1$. It is noted that the scaling factor shown 125 in equation 2 accounts for spacecraft trajectories where the distance traversed by the space-126 craft differs from the L extent of the bin (e.g. a partial pass through the bin). This method 127 is applied to both the H^+ and O^+ HOPE data sets, covering the time period from 2012 128 to 2018. We thus obtain estimates of the energy content of L-MLT bins (L bin width 129 of 1. and MLT bin width of 6 hours) for each ion data set. The final dataset provides 130 good coverage over all MLT values and over an L range from 3 to 7. This will allow anal-131 ysis of the bulk ring current region, as well as an examination of local time variations. 132

In order to examine how the energy content values vary during the substorm pro-133 cess, the values are binned according to substorm phase. The substorm phase for a given 134 time is identified by applying the Substorm Onsets and Phases from Indices of the Elec-135 trojet (SOPHIE) technique (Forsyth et al., 2015) to the SuperMAG SML index (Gjer-136 loev, 2012; Newell & Gjerloev, 2011), using an expansion percentile threshold of 75. We 137 note here that the SML index can be considered as an equivalent to the AL index. In 138 brief, the SOPHIE technique evaluates the rate of change of the SML index with 1 minute 139 temporal resolution. The technique identifies the expansion and recovery phases from 140 temporal gradients in the SML index and labels all other times as growth phases. The 141 SOPHIE technique is illustrated in Figure 1, where the SML timeseries is displayed for 142 two substorm periods. The colour coding of the timeseries indicates the identified sub-143 storm phases, where green is the growth phase, blue is the expansion phase, and red is 144 the recovery phase. Using this approach, 9994 unique substorms are identified for the 145 time period considered. 146

A key characteristic of the ring current is the large enhancements in energy con-147 tent during geomagnetic storms (Akasofu, Chapman, & Venkatesan, 1963; Gonzalez et 148 al., 1994). It has been demonstrated that quiet time and storm time substorms exhibit 149 important and fundamental differences in the characteristics of injections and the effects 150 on the ring current (e.g., Reeves & Henderson, 2001). In this study, we focus solely on 151 non-storm time measurements, to reduce variability in energy values and focus on dif-152 ferences between the isolated and compound substorms. Storm periods are identified us-153 ing the approach detailed by Murphy et al. (2018), based on an initial storm list devel-154 oped by D. L. Turner et al. (2015). For full details, the reader is referred to both D. L. Turner 155 et al. (2015) and Murphy et al. (2018). The storm list is used to exclude any measure-156 ments of the energy content that occur during a geomagnetic storm, and the following 157 analysis is representative of non-storm conditions only. The exclusion of storm times re-158 duces the number of substorms in the analysis to 5756. 159

For this analysis, it is also required that we differentiate between isolated substorms and compound substorms. Using the SOPHIE technique, the sequence of phases can be identified, as illustrated by the examples shown in Figure 1. Compound substorms are identified from sequences where there are multiple onsets of an expansion phase with no intermediate growth phases (see Figure 1b). Each of the onsets within a given sequence are classified as an individual compound substorm. In contrast, isolated substorms are periods flanked by growth phases where only one onset occurs (see Figure 1a). Overall,



Figure 1. The SML incex [nT] plotted as a function of time showing examples of (a) an isolated substorm and (b) a sequence of compound substorms. The colour coding indicates the substorm phases as identified using the SOPHIE technique. Green corresponds to the growth phase, blue corresponds to the expansion phase, and red corresponds to the recovery phase. The start times of the phases are also indicated by the vertical grey dashed lines.

there are 2116 isolated substorms and 1349 compound substorm sequences (consisting of 3640 individual compound substorms in total) identified.

¹⁶⁹ 3 Energy Content of Low Energy Ring Current Ions

Using the estimated values of energy content, we assess how the energy contributed by ions with energies between 1 eV to 50 keV varies with respect to substorm onset for isolated and compound substorms. We consider both H⁺ and O⁺ ions. In the following results we have chosen to focus on changes over onset, between the growth phase and expansion phase. Sandhu et al. (2018) demonstrated that the post-onset enhancement of the ring current predominantly occurs during the expansion phase, and that no significant further energization occurs during the substorm recovery phase.

Figure 2a-c and Figure 3a-c show occurrence distributions of energy values, E [J], during the growth phase (shaded distribution) and the expansion phase (line distribution) for the spatial bin $5 \le L < 6$ and $18 \le \text{MLT} < 24$. Cumalative probability distributions are also shown in Figure 2d,e and Figure 3d,e. Figure 2 corresponds to H⁺ ions and Figure 3 corresponds to O⁺ ions. For each occurrence distribution, the mean value is indicated by the solid diamond at the top of the relevant panel and the number of points in the distribution is labelled, using the same colour coding as the distri-

bution. Furthermore, Figure 2 and Figure 3 show occurrence distributions of energy con-184 tent for isolated substorms (a,d) and compound substorms (b,c,e). The compound sub-185 storms are also further separated based on where they occur within the sequence. The 186 number of preceding substorm expansion phases since the latest growth phase, $n_{\rm S}$, is iden-187 tified. Compound substorms that are the first of the sequence $(n_{\rm S}=0)$ correspond to 188 panel (b,e). Substorm expansion phases that have followed the recovery phase of a pre-189 ceeding substorm $(n_{\rm S} \geq 1)$ correspond to panel (c), and as the expansion phase was 190 not preceded by a growth phase, there is no shaded distribution present. Although we 191 focus on a single L-MLT bin in the pre-midnight sector for Figure 2 and Figure 3, the 192 same trends in the occurrence distributions are observed for the other spatial bins. The 193 $5 \leq L \leq 6$ and $18 \leq MLT \leq 24$ bin was selected here because this region was ob-194 served to undergo the largest and most significant energization by Sandhu et al. (2018). 195



Figure 2. The (a-c) occurrence distributions and the (d,e) cumalative probability distributions of energy content values, E [J], for the spatial bin $5 \le L < 6$ and $18 \le MLT < 24$ for H⁺ ions. For each energy bin, the number of samples in the bin, n, is divided by the total number of samples in the distribution, N, to obtain the occurrence values. The pale shaded distribution shows values during the growth phase and the line distribution shows values during the expansion phase. The total number of samples in each distribution is labelled and the mean value for each distribution is indicated by the diamonds, using the same colour coding as the distributions. Each panel corresponds to a different category of substorms. We show (a,d) isolated substorms, (b,e) compound substorms for the first substorm in the sequence, and (c) compound substorms for the second or more substorms in the sequence. The cumalative probability distributions also indicate the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test statistic, shown by the blue arrow.

A comparison of Figure 2a-c and Figure 3a-c indicates that the average energy values for the H⁺ ions typically range from 0.4×10^{13} J during the growth phases of isolated substorms (Figure 2a) up to 1.3×10^{13} J during the second and subsequent expansion phases of compound substorms (Figure 2c). The average energy values for the O⁺ ions range from 0.2×10^{13} J to 0.5×10^{13} J for the same cases (Figure 3a,c). Although the magnitudes of energy values are smaller for the O⁺ ions compared to the H⁺ ions, consistent trends are observed for both ion species, and we will focus on Figure 2



Figure 3. The occurrence distributions and cumalative probability distributions of energy content values for O^+ ions, following the same format and colour coding as Figure 2.

to describe these variations. Figure 2a,b shows that the mean energy for the expansion 203 phase is increased compared to the growth phase. Furthermore, the difference in energy 204 appears to be greater for compound substorms (Figure 2b) than for isolated substorms 205 (Figure 2a). Figure 2a,b also shows that the mean energy values are larger for compound 206 substorms than isolated substorms, in both the growth and expansion phases. This in-207 dicates important differences in the energy content, as well as post-onset changes in the 208 energy content, between isolated and compound substorms. In terms of the compound 209 substorms, Figure 2c shows that, for compound substorms following at least one previ-210 ous onset in the sequence, the distribution is observed to be much broader compared to 211 the distribution for the first substorm in a sequence (Figure 2b). The energy values are 212 more variable and the mean energy is larger. It is suggested that significant further en-213 ergization of the ring current occurs during the sequence of compound substorms (as $n_{\rm S}$ 214 increases). For the following analysis, we choose to focus only on isolated substorms and 215 the first compound substorm of a sequence (hereafter referred to simply as a compound 216 substorm). This will reduce the clear variability observed within a series of compound 217 substorms and avoid the effects of preconditioning on the observed energy values. 218

Figures 2d, e and 3d, e show how the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test can be applied to 219 identify statistically significant differences in the energy distributions, in this case com-220 paring the energy distributions during the growth phase to the expansion phase. From 221 the cumalative probability distributions shown in Figure 2d, e, the energy bin associated 222 with the maximum absolute difference between the distributions is identified. The mag-223 nitude of the difference, shown by the blue arrows, provides the value of the Kolmogorov-224 Smirnov test statistic. The corresponding p values from the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test 225 indicate the probability that the distributions are drawn from the same population. Com-226 paring the growth phase to the expansion phase for H^+ ions, the p value for isolated sub-227 storms is 9.7×10^{-3} and the *p* value for compound substorms with $n_{\rm S} = 0$ is 6.0×10^{-3} . 228 Using a typical probability threshold of 0.01, we can identify that the energy distribu-229 tions for the growth and expansion phases have statistically significant differences. For 230 the O^+ ions (Figure 3d,e), the p values are 0.11 for isolated substorms and 0.01 for com-231 pound substorms with $n_{\rm S} = 0$. Therefore, for O⁺ ions in this spatial bin, the growth 232

and expansion phase distributions are not identified to be statistically significantly different the isolated substorms and the difference in marginal for the compound substorms.

Whereas Figures 2,3 focus on one spatial bin, we also extend the analysis to assess 235 the global distribution of energy values for both isolated and compound substorms. Fig-236 ure 4 and Figure 5 show mean energy values for all L-MLT bins, for the H^+ and O^+ ions, 237 respectively. The mean energies are shown for the isolated substorms during the (a) growth 238 and (b) expansion phases. The corresponding values for the compound substorms dur-239 ing the (d) growth and (e) expansion phases are also shown. The number of samples in 240 each L-MLT bin (provided in Figure S1 of the Supplementary Information) show that 241 the number of values in a given L-MLT bin ranges from more than 50 to several hun-242 dred samples, which is sufficient for the statistical analysis conducted here. To compare 243 the change in mean energy from the growth to the expansion phase, the difference in mean 244 energies for the expansion phase relative to the growth phase are shown for (c) isolated 245 substorms and (f) compound substorms. For a given L-MLT bin, the distribution of val-246 ues in the growth and expansion phase are compared under the Kolmogorov-Smirnov 247 test, as described above. If the p value is less than 0.01 then the distributions are shown 248 to be significantly different, and the difference in the mean values is plotted. If the $p \geq 1$ 249 0.01, there is no significant difference in the distributions and the bin is plotted as light 250 grey. Using the sam approach, we also compare the mean values between isolated and 251 compound substorms during the (g) growth and (h) expansion phases. The use of the 252 Kolmogorov-Smirnov testing allows us to identify the L-MLT bins that are associated 253 with statistically significant changes in the mean energy over onset (c, f) and statistically 254 significant differences with substorm type (g,h). 255

The spatial distributions shown in Figures 4 and 5 are qualitatively similar. The L dependence observed is such that the energy values increase with L, which is a consequence of the approach used. The volume corresponding to the L-MLT bin, over which the energy density is integrated over, increases with L value. Figures 4 and 5 also show that the energy values have a clear azimuthal asymmetry, such that the energy values tend to be greatest in the premidnight MLT sector.

The magnitudes of the energy values differ for the H⁺ and O⁺ ions, as expected based on previous work (Sandhu et al., 2018), where this feature was also identified from Figures 2 and 3. The mean energy value for an *L*-MLT bin extends up to $\sim 10^{13}$ J for the H⁺ ions, whereas for the O⁺ ions the value ranges up to $\sim 3 \times 10^{12}$ J.

For a given ion species, differences and changes in the mean energy with substorm 266 type as well as from the growth to expansion phase of a substorm are apparent and are 267 quantitatively demonstrated by the ΔE L-MLT maps. Figure 4c.f shows that, for both 268 isolated and compound substorms, the only statistically significant changes in the en-260 ergy content following substorm onset are enhancements that occur on the nightside re-270 gion. The enhancements are of the order of 10^{12} J in magnitude, and are largest in the 271 premidnight MLT sector. The magnitude of the changes are comparable between the iso-272 lated and compound substorms. The corresponding changes in energy content follow-273 ing substorm onset for the O⁺ ions are shown in Figure 5c,f. Similarly to the H⁺ ions, 274 an enhancement in energy content is observed. The enhancement is localised to the post-275 midnight MLT sector and is of the order 10^{11} J. 276

The differences in ring current energy content during isolated and compound sub-277 storms can also be identified. Figure 4g,h shows that the mean H^+ energy content tends 278 to be greater during compound substorms than during isolated substorms, both before 279 and after substorm onset. The difference in energy values ($\sim 10^{12}$ J) is comparable to 280 the magnitudes of post-onset changes (Figure 4c,f). During the growth phase, the sta-281 tistically significant differences in energy content between isolated and compound sub-282 storms spans over all MLT sectors (Figure 4g). In contrast, during the expansion phase, 283 the differences are reduced and occur only in the postmidnight and afternoon MLT sec-284



Figure 4. Values for each *L*-MLT bin are plotted at the bins' location in the *L*-MLT domain for the H⁺ ions. The mean energy values, *E* [J], are shown for (a) growth phases of isolated substorms, (b) expansion phases of isolated substorms, (d) growth phases of compound substorms, and (e) expansion phases of isolated substorms. The difference in the mean values, ΔE [J], for the expansion phase relative to the growth phase is shown for (c) isolated substorms and (f) compound substorms. The difference in mean values for the compound substorms relative to the isolated substorms is shown for (g) the growth phase and (h) the expansion phase. It is noted that, for the difference plots (c,f,g,h), the difference in mean values is only plotted if the distributions are identified to be statistically different according to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with p < 0.01.

tors (Figure 4h). The corresponding results for the O⁺ ions show similar trends (Fig-285 ure 5g,h). The energy content of O^+ ions is consistently larger during compound sub-286 storms compared to isolated substorms, both in the growth phase and expansion phase 287 of the substorms. The magnitude of the energy difference is $\sim 10^{12}$ J and a compari-288 son to Figure 5c,f indicates that the differences between isolated and compound substorms 289 is larger than the changes in energy content following substorm onset. In terms of the 290 spatial distribution of significant enhancements in Figure 5, the O^+ ions show similar 291 trends to those observed for H⁺ ions. 292

It is also useful to consider the global energy content from each ion species in this energy range. We estimate this by summing the mean values from each L-MLT bin in a given L-MLT map, in the same manner as Sandhu et al. (2018). Table 1 shows the es-



Figure 5. Following the same format as Figure 4, for the O^+ ions.

Table 1. Global energy content $[\times 10^{13} \text{ J}]$ for H⁺ (O⁺) ions

	Growth	Expansion	Expansion - Growth
Isolated Compound	$\begin{array}{c c} 3.3 & (1.5) \\ 4.2 & (1.8) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.3 \ (1.8) \\ 5.7 \ (2.3) \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c c} 1.0 & (0.3) \\ 1.5 & (0.5) \end{array} $
Compound - Isolated	0.9(0.3)	1.4(0.5)	

timated global energy content for the H⁺ and O⁺ ions for the growth phase and expan-296 sion phase of both isolated and compound substorms. The differences in global energy 297 content for the expansion phase relative to the growth phase is also shown, as well as 298 differences for compound substorms relative to isolated substorms. Table 1 provides an 200 indication of how much energy the $\rm H^+$ and $\rm O^+$ ions with energies 1 eV to 50 keV con-300 tribute to the total ring current energy. Table 1 shows that the global energy content 301 for both H⁺ and O⁺ ions is $\sim 10^{13}$ J, and the values are larger for the H⁺ ions. As ex-302 pected from Figure 4 and Figure 5, the average energy content increases following sub-303 storm onset, and the enhancement is greater for compound substorms compared to iso-304 lated substorms. The global energy content is larger for compound substorms compared 305 to isolated substorms, during both the growth and expansion phases. 306

³⁰⁷ 4 Substorm Characteristics

In order to understand the clear and significant differences in ring current energy 308 content and response to onset for isolated substorms compared to compound substorms, 309 we consider substorm properties and background conditions. In Figure 6, a superposed 310 epoch analysis of various parameters are shown, relative to substorm onset time, for the 311 substorms considered in this study. The mean values are shown for 5 minute time bins 312 for a time window spanning 60 minutes before onset to 60 minutes after onset. The pale 313 pink lines correspond to isolated substorms and the dark purple lines correspond to com-314 pound substorms. Figure 6a shows the average values of the SML index [nT]. The SML 315 index is an indicator of the nightside auroral electrojet activity and a depression of the 316 SML index following substorm onset is an indicator of the substorm size (Newell & Gjer-317 loev, 2011). Prior to onset the SML index is consistently decreased for compound sub-318 storms compared to isolated substorms by approximately 15 nT. This is indicative of en-319 hanced convection as well as prior substorm activity. Figure 6a demonstrates that the 320 change in SML index following onset is greater for compound substorms compared to 321 isolated substorms, by approximately 20 nT. Following the rapid reduction in SML in-322 dex associated with the substorm expansion phase (lasting approximately 25 minutes 323 on average from Figure 6a), it can be seen that the isolated substorms demonstrate a 324 gradual increase in SML index, which is a typical feature of the substorm recovery phase. 325 In contrast, the compound substorms show that the SML index remains at a depressed 326 level. This feature is due to the averaging of successive expansion phases following the 327 first substorm in the series. 328

Figure 6b shows the average values of the dayside reconnection electric field, $E_{\rm R}$ [mV m⁻¹]. For a given time bin of a given substorm, the dayside reconnection electric field is estimated from

$$E_{\rm R} = V_{\rm x} B_{\rm yz} \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \tag{3}$$

where V_x is the GSM (Geocentric Solar Magnetic) x component of the solar wind speed, 329 $B_{\rm vz}$ is the IMF component in the GSM y-z plane, and θ is the IMF clock angle (Kan & 330 Lee, 1979). The dayside reconnection electric field provides an indication of the rate of 331 low latitude reconnection on the dayside magnetopause. An elevated dayside reconnec-332 tion electric field corresponds to increased loading of the magnetotail with open flux and 333 increased convection in the magnetosphere (Dungey, 1961; Milan et al., 2003, 2007). The 334 enhanced driving is also associated with increased geomagnetic activity including sub-335 storm occurrences (e.g., Fairfield & Cahill Jr., 1966). Figure 6 shows that the average 336 magnitude of the dayside reconnection electric field is greater for compound substorms 337 compared to isolated substorms by more than 30% at substorm onset. The magnitude 338 remains markedly greater for compound substorms both before and after substorm on-339 set. 340

Furthermore, we also show the average value of the Sym-H^{*} index [nT] in Figure 341 6. The Sym-H index represents the horizontal magnetic field perturbations as measured 342 by ground magnetometers, where reductions in the Sym-H index are commonly used as 343 indicators of global geomagnetic ring current intensifications (Dessler & Parker, 1959; 344 Sckopke, 1966). As the Sym-H index is known to include contributions from additional 345 current systems (e.g., Burton, McPherron, & Russell, 1975; N. E. Turner, Baker, Pulkki-346 nen, & McPherron, 2000), there have been attempts to account for these additional con-347 tributions through a corrected index, known as the Sym-H^{*} index (e.g., Burton et al., 348 1975; Gonzalez et al., 1994). Here we opt to use the Gonzalez et al. (1994) definition of 349 the Sym-H^{*} index, in order to more accurately describe the ring current magnitude and 350 variations. Consistent with the low energy ion observations presented in section 3, it is 351 observed that the magnitude of the Sym-H^{*} index is, on average, greater for compound 352 substorms compared to isolated substorms. We note that the Sym-H* index includes con-353 tributions across all ion energy ranges and species, and the magnitude of the Sym-H^{*} 354



Figure 6. Superposed epoch analysis of (a) SML index [nT], (b) dayside reconnection electric field, $E_{\rm R}$, [mV m⁻¹], (c) Sym-H* index [nT]. The mean values in 5 minute bins are plotted relative to the time of substorm onset for isolated substorms (pale pink) and compound substorms (dark purple). The lower quartiles and upper quartiles are shown by the thin dotted lines.

index is dominated by protons with energies of 100s keV. Overall, we observe differences in the SML index, the $E_{\rm R}$ parameter, and the Sym-H* index prior to onset. It can be observed that these differences persist for multiple days prior to onset (shown in Figure S2 of the Supplementary Information), suggesting substantially different time histories associated with isolated and compound substorms.

In addition, we have the distributions of the duration of the substorm growth and expansion phases and of the onset latitude and MLT. We find that the growth phase duration exhibits statisically significant differences (p value of $\sim 10^{-6}$ under the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test), such that isolated substorms typically have a longer growth phase. The mean duration of a growth phase is 249 minutes for isolated substorms and 187 minutes for compound substorms. Furthermore, statisically significant differences are also observed for the expansion phase duration (p value of 0.002). Compound substorms tend to have longer expansion phase durations compared to isolated substorms, with mean durations of 25 minutes and 28 minutes, respectively.

It is also observed that the distribution of onset latitudes were different (p value of $\sim 10^{-4}$), such that isolated substorms onsets tend to occur at higher invariant latitudes. In contrast, the MLT of onsets were not significantly different for isolated substorms compared to compound substorms (p value of 0.12). The results of this assessment are included in Figures S3 and S4 of the Supplementary Information.

³⁷⁴ 5 Discussion

The results presented in section 3 indicate statistically significant variations in the 375 low energy ion population of the ring current, for both H^+ and O^+ ions, during the sub-376 storm process. It has been clearly identified that the energy contributed by the ions dif-377 fers for compound substorms compared to isolated substorms, both before and after sub-378 storm onset. We establish that the compound substorms are associated with larger en-379 ergy content values before and after substorm onset, and that the post-onset energiza-380 tion is larger for compound substorms than isolated substorms. Furthermore, an exam-381 ination of the average substorm properties and solar-wind magnetosphere coupling in-382 dicate a prolonged higher level of dayside coupling during compound substorms. Com-383 pound substorms are also larger than isolated substorms, on average. We will now dis-384 cuss the implications of these findings and explore the drivers of the observed differences. 385

386

5.1 Enhancements Following Substorm Onset

Figure 4c,f and Figure 5c,f demonstrate a statistically significant increase in the 387 mean energy values following substorm onset, although there are some variations between 388 the ion species. In terms of the H^+ ions, Figure 4c,f shows that the enhancement follow-389 ing substorm onset is largely in the premidnight MLT sector, consistent with the results 390 of Sandhu et al. (2018). Previous studies have identified that substorm injections of par-391 ticles occur across the nightside MLT sector (Reeves, Belian, & Fritz, 1991; Reeves, Fritz, 392 Cayton, & Belian, 1990; Reeves, Kettmann, Fritz, & Belian, 1992), although there is a 303 preference for the premidnight MLT sector compared to the postmidnight MLT sector 394 (e.g., Gabrielse, Angelopoulos, Runov, & Turner, 2014; Kokubun & McPherron, 1981; 395 Sarris, Krimigis, & Armstrong, 1976). The injected ion population then experience a west-396 ward drift in the inner magnetosphere (Lopez, Sibeck, McEntire, & Krimigis, 1990; Mauk 397 & McIlwain, 1974; McIlwain, 1974; Reeves et al., 1990). The combination of the injec-398 tion occurrence MLT distribution and the duskward transport of injected H⁺ ions pro-399 duce the significant enhancement in the premidnight MLT sector. 400

Figure 5c,f shows that the post-onset enhancement in energy content from the O^+ 401 ions is localised to the post-midnight sector, in contrast to the result from the H⁺ ions. 402 It is unclear why the composition of the plasma would affect the local time preference 403 of the injection, such that O⁺ ions are more likely to be injected in the postmidnight MLT 404 sector compared to the premidnight MLT sector. One potential reason may be deduced 405 from the drift paths of the O^+ ions following injection. For ions with sufficient energy, 406 the gradient-curvature drift is dominant and the ions drift westward through the dusk 407 sector. However, if the energy of the O^+ ions is low such that the convection electric field 408 dominates the drift path, the ions will be convected through the dawn sector (Ozeke & 409 Mann, 2001). However, there is no clear evidence that O^+ ions typically have a lower 410 characteristic energy in the inner magnetosphere than H^+ ions. The cause of the O^+ dawn 411 enhancement remains unknown, and it is highlighted that this feature is certainly wor-412 thy of future investigation. 413

5.2 What are the Differences Between Isolated and Compound Substorms?

The results highlighted several key differences in the ring current energy content 415 between isolated and compound substorms, which can be summarised as: 416

414

427

429

- 1. The energy content is enhanced, both before and after substorm onset, for com-417 pound substorms compared to isolated substorms (Figures 4g,h and 5g,h). The 418 global energy content contributed by low energy H⁺ and O⁺ ions is larger dur-419 ing compound substorms than isolated substorms, by $\sim 20 - 30\%$ (Table 1). 420 2. For both the H^+ and O^+ ions, the energy content is more localized to the premid-421
- night MLT sector for isolated substorms, whereas the energy is elevated across a 422 more azimuthally extensive area for compound substorms (Figures 4g,h and 5g,h). 423 3. Compound substorms are associated with larger enhancements following substorm 424 425
- onset than isolated substorms (Figures 4c, f and 5c, f and Table 1). The compound substorms are also associated with larger relative changes in energy content over 426 onset. For example, the energy content is enhanced by 30% for isolated substorms and by 40% for compound substorms for H⁺ ions, with similar trends observed 428 for O^+ ions.
- 4. The post-onset enhancements extend across both nightside MLT sectors for com-430 pound substorms, but are localised solely to the premidnight MLT sector for iso-431 lated substorms (Figures 4c,f and 5c,f). 432

We will now discuss how the magnetospheric conditions and time history can impart these 433 observed differences between isolated and compound substorms. 434

Previous work has strongly established that enhanced dayside driving and night-435 side auroral activity, as observed for the compound substorms from Figure 6a,b, results 436 in enhanced ionospheric outflows of both H^+ and O^+ ions (Axford, 1968; Lockwood, Waite, 437 Moore, Chappell, & Chandler, 1985; Lockwood, Waite, Moore, Chappell, & Johnson, 1985; 438 Yau & Andre, 1997). Through convection the outflows are transported to both the plasma 439 sheet and inner magnetosphere, increasing the hot plasma density and energy (Haaland 440 et al., 2009; Kistler, Mouikis, Klecker, & Dandouras, 2010; Kozyra & Liemohn, 2003; Wang, 441 Lyons, Weygand, Nagai, & McEntire, 2006; Winglee, 2000). In terms of the convective 442 transport of plasma to the ring current, the greater level of solar wind - magnetosphere 443 coupling during compound substorms corresponds to increased convection (Cowley, 1981), 444 suggesting more efficient transport of ions into and across the inner magnetosphere. As 445 well as increasing the density of the ring current, this allows the ions to populate a wider range of MLT sectors during compound substorms than isolated substorms. Furthermore, 447 the convective drifts are more likely to dominate over the gradient-curvature drifts and 448 the ions will be effectively transported to the post-midnight sector as well as to the day-449 side. In contrast, during isolated substorms, where the convection is relatively (Ozeke 450 & Mann, 2001) stagnated, ions are less effectively transported throughout the magne-451 tosphere and the energy content is more azimuthally localised. It is highlighted that the 452 relatively active geomagnetic conditions associated with the compound substorms com-453 pared to the isolated substorms are maintained for ~days prior to onset, allowing sig-454 nificant differences in the ring current and plasma sheet populations to develop. 455

The enhanced density and energy of the plasma sheet during compound substorms 456 is corresponded in the injected population following substorm onset. Compound substorms 457 are also, on average, larger than isolated substorms (Figure 6a). Larger substorms are 458 associated with a greater level of dipolarization in the inner magnetosphere, which in-459 creases the energization of particles as they are transported inwards (Aggson, Heppner, 460 & Maynard, 1983; Ashour-Abdalla et al., 2009; Nakamura et al., 2017; Quinn & South-461 wood, 1982; Zaharia, Cheng, & Johnson, 2000). This results in a higher density of ions 462 injected to the inner magnetosphere, with higher energies, for compound substorms com-463 pared to isolated substorms. Furthermore, Reeves and Henderson (2001) showed that 464

substorms associated with continued injections demonstrated a spatial broadening of the
injection region following onset, such that it was able to extend azimuthally across the
full nightside MLT sector. The broader injection region associated with compound substorms would act to increase the energy content in the post-midnight MLT sector compared to isolated substorms, in agreement with the observations.

Overall, both the convective and impulsive supply of ions to the ring current following substorm onset is more effective for compound substorms, resulting in the observed
larger and more spatially extensive post-onset energization. Furthermore, we also note
that the occurrence of the first compound substorm in a sequence will drive further enhancements of ionospheric outflows, thus magnifying the ring current energisation for
the subsequent substorms that follow.

Previous studies have also shown that enhanced outflows are associated with an 476 enhanced concentration of O^+ ions in the plasma sheet and the inner magnetosphere (e.g., 477 Maggiolo & Kistler, 2014; Sandhu, Yeoman, Fear, & Dandouras, 2016; Sandhu, Yeoman, 478 Rae, Fear, & Dandouras, 2017). Although we are not examining the densities in this study, 479 a consideration of the H^+/O^+ energy content ratio indicates no clear variations (the ra-480 tio ranges between 0.41 - 0.45, both before and after onset and for isolated and com-481 pound substorms). We suggest that, as the estimated energy content depends on the ion 482 energy as well as the fluxes, the variations in energy content are more complex than den-483 sities. 484

As well as differences in the solar wind coupling and substorm size, the inner mag-485 netospheric conditions prior to onset may also be important in determining the magni-486 tude of the energy content enhancement. It has been suggested that a large magnetic 487 field gradient from the plasma sheet to the inner magnetosphere can act to divert flows 488 before the plasma can be transported to the inner magnetosphere (Sergeev, Angelopou-489 los, & Nakamura, 2012; Takada et al., 2006). The magnetic field perturbation associated 490 with the ring current is southward in the inner magnetosphere and northward in the outer 491 region. This acts to weaken and reduce the radial gradient in the background magnetic 492 field, and therefore increase the probability of an injection in the ring current region. Fig-493 ure 6c shows the Sym-H^{*} index, which is a measure of magnetic field perturbations for 494 field lines mapping to the inner magnetosphere, often assumed to arise from the mag-495 netic field contribution from the ring current population (Dessler & Parker, 1959; Sck-496 opke, 1966). As shown by Figure 6c, the compound substorms are associated with a con-497 sistently depressed inner magnetospheric field in comparison to isolated substorms and 498 it is proposed that the weaker inner magnetosphere can aid in the accessibility of sub-499 storm injections to the inner magnetosphere. Previous work has shown that not all sub-500 storms are associated with an observed injection in the inner magnetosphere, with an 501 classical injection occurrence probability of $\sim 30\%$ (Boakes et al., 2011). We suggest that 502 the weaker inner magnetospheric field associated with compound substorms compared 503 to isolated substorms act to increase the probability of an injection to the ring current 504 region. On average, this contributes to the observed difference in the magnitude of post-505 onset energization. 506

507 508

5.3 Parameterizing Ring Current Energy Content by Solar Wind Driving

The key differences in energy content between isolated and compound substorms have largely been attributed to the prolonged differing solar wind - magnetosphere coupling (Figure 6b), which drives ionospheric outflows, substorm activity, and transport of plasma to the inner magnetosphere. We now examine whether the different average solar wind driving is the key factor in shaping the ring current energy content for the low energy ions. Specifically, we address whether an isolated substorm associated with the same level of solar wind driving as a compound substorm will have the same energy content value. It is noted here that the level of solar wind driving is prolonged for days prior to onset (Figure S2, Supplementary Information). However, here we opt to simply use the value of $E_{\rm R}$ at onset, which is expected to correspond to a sustained prior driving at that level beforehand and correspond to substorms with a similar time history.

Figure 7 and Figure 8 show the mean energy content during the (a) growth and 521 (b) expansion phases. The energy values are binned for the estimated dayside reconnec-522 tion electric field, $E_{\rm R}$ [mV m⁻¹], at substorm onset, therefore restricting the values to 523 substorms with the same level of solar wind driving. The pink diamonds represent the 524 mean value for isolated substorms, and the purple diamonds represent the mean value 525 for compound substorms. The bars indicate the extent of the upper and lower quartiles. 526 using the same colour coding. Figure 7 shows results for the H^+ ions for an *L*-MLT bin 527 located in the premidnight MLT sector, and Figure 8 corresponds to O^+ ions for an L-528 MLT bin in the postmidnight MLT sector. The location of the bins was selected to cor-529 respond to the *L*-MLT location of the largest enhancements observed in Figures 4 and 530 5.531



Figure 7. The mean H⁺ energy content, E [J], for an *L*-MLT bin covering $5 \leq L < 6$ and $18 \leq MLT < 24$ are indicated by the diamonds, and the bars/shaded region show the extent of the lower quartile to the upper quartile. Values during the (a) growth phase and (b) expansion phase are shown. Values corresponding to isolated substorms are shown in pink and values corresponding to compound substorms are shown in purple. The energy content values are binned for the estimated dayside reconnection electric field, $E_{\rm R}$ [mV m⁻¹], at substorm onset, as labelled on the x-axis.

Figure 7 and Figure 8 indicate that the mean energy content (in a given phase for a given $E_{\rm R}$ bin) is similar between isolated and compound substorms, relative to the spread of values indicated by the quartiles. Conducting Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistical testing demonstrates that there are no statistically significant differences between the energy distributions associated with isolated and compound substorms, for any of the bins shown. In addition, it can be seen that the spread of values (indicated by the width of the bars in Figures 7 and 8) generally tend to be greater for compound substorms com-



Figure 8. Following the same format as Figure 7, for the O^+ ions in a spatial bin covering $5 \le L < 6$ and $00 \le MLT < 06$.

pared to isolated substorms, and in particular, that the upper quartile extends to higher
 values. This feature suggests that the tail of the energy distributions for compound sub storms is larger compared to isolated substorms, consistent with Figures 2 and 3.

The results suggest that the ring current energy content, both before and after on-542 set, is largely controlled by the level of solar wind driving and that the magnitude of so-543 lar wind - magnetosphere coupling is the main contributor of variations between isolated 544 and compound substorms. Furthermore, we observe a weak correlation between the so-545 lar wind driving and the substorm size (a Pearson's linear correlation coefficient of up 546 to 0.2 with a significance of 10^{-17}), providing some support to observations that the so-547 lar wind driving controls substorm intensity (e.g., Li, Wang, & Peng, 2013). Therefore, 548 we suggest that the physics of isolated and compound substorms are essentially the same 549 but that the properties of the two types of substorm (e.g., substorm size shown by the 550 SML index) and the ring current evolution associated with them differ because of dif-551 ferent solar wind magnetosphere coupling that occurs on timescales of days. The more 552 prolonged coupling during compound substorms imparts significant differences in the ring 553 current energy content preceding substorm onset and in the post-onset energisation. 554

555

5.4 The Influence of the Ring Current on Compound Substorms

The analysis presented in section 3 demonstrates clear differences in the pre-onset conditions associated with isolated and compound substorms. Although it is currently unclear why a series of compound substorms may occur as opposed to an isolated substorm, the results presented here may provide some insight into the role of inner magnetospheric conditions in shaping the properties of compound substorms.

Figure 6b,c clearly demonstrates that compound substorms are associated with higher driving and an enhanced ring current before substorm onset, as previously discussed. It has been suggested that these conditions are favourable to more intense substorms initiated at field lines that map to low magnetic latitudes in the ionosphere (Milan, 2009; Milan, Boakes, & Hubert, 2008; Milan, Grocott, et al., 2009; Milan, Hutchinson, Boakes,

& Hubert, 2009; Nakai & Kamide, 2003). This is due to a feedback mechanism where 566 the induced magnetic field from the ring current introduces a significant northward com-567 ponent in the tail, acting to reduce tail stretching and stabilize the tail to onset. There-568 fore, the magnetosphere requires more open flux to accumulate in the tail (driving the 569 auroral oval to lower latitudes) in order to reach conditions favourable for substorm on-570 set. As these substorms are initiated at lower latitudes, the amount of open flux closed 571 is larger and the substorm is more intense (Akasofu, 1975; Kamide, Kokubun, Bargatze, 572 & Frank, 1999; Milan, Grocott, et al., 2009). Therefore, as the compound substorms as-573 sessed here are associated with an enhanced ring current prior to onset (Figures 4g, 5g, 574 and 6c) compared to isolated substorms, the events are more intense and result in larger 575 post-onset ion energization. 576

Whereas Milan et al. (2008) observed the onset latitudes from auroral observations 577 (see also Milan (2009); Milan, Grocott, et al. (2009); Milan, Hutchinson, et al. (2009)), 578 the SOPHIE technique can be used here to identify the magnetic latitude and local time 579 of the active ground magnetometer station that observes the substorm-associated SML 580 signature. The results are included in Figure S4 of the Supplementary Information and 581 we find that, in contrast to the feedback mechanism, the compound substorm onsets do 582 not occur at a significantly lower invariant latitude than for isolated substorms. It is high-583 lighted that further investigation is required to fully understand how the use of a differ-584 ent onset identification technique may introduce differences. Furthermore, the feedback mechanism was developed to correspond to observations that included storm time ring 586 current conditions, where the ring current is significantly more enhanced than the ob-587 servations presented in this study. Therefore, we suggest that larger enhancements in 588 the ring current than are observed here are required for significant deviations in the onset latitude to be present. 590

Continuing the comparison to the results of Milan (2009); Milan et al. (2008); Mi-591 lan, Grocott, et al. (2009); Milan, Hutchinson, et al. (2009), we observe that a contin-592 ued high level of solar wind driving is observed following onset for compound substorms, 593 whereas the driving subsides for isolated substorms (Figure 6b). The high level of so-594 lar wind driving following the onset of the first compound substorm is thought to effi-595 ciently load the magnetotail with open flux, allowing the tail to reach a state favourable 596 to onset relatively rapidly. Therefore, the magnetosphere can reach an "onset ready" con-597 dition during the recovery phase, resulting in a compound event to occur. However, we 598 note that the physical processes responsible for substorm onset are well-debated (e.g., 599 Angelopoulos et al., 2008; Baker, Pulkkinen, Angelopoulos, Baumjohann, & McPherron. 600 1996; Kalmoni et al., 2015; Lui, 2009; Lui, Chang, Mankofsky, Wong, & Winske, 1991), 601 and that a fuller understanding of how substorms are initiated is required to establish 602 why compound substorms occur instead of isolated substorms. 603

604 6 Conclusions

An analysis of HOPE H^+ and O^+ ion observations (1 eV - 50 keV) in the growth and expansion phases of substorms was conducted to quantitatively identify differences in energy content during isolated and compound substorms. We establish that the energy content associated with the ions is significantly increased following substorm onset for both isolated and compound substorms, where the local time of the enhancements provide insight into the drift paths of injected H^+ and O^+ ions in the inner magnetosphere.

A comparison of isolated and compound substorms demonstrate clear differences in the corresponding ring current energy content. Quantitative estimates of the energy content and differences are provided. In addition, we demonstrate the statistical significance of the differences in energy content over onset and comparing isolated and compound substorms. It is observed that compound substorms are associated with an en-

hanced ring current on average, both before and after onset, relative to isolated substorms. 617 Furthermore, compound substorms are associated with a larger energy input following 618 onset than isolated substorms. A consideration of the average solar wind - magnetosphere 619 coupling, substorm size, and inner magnetospheric conditions, provide context on how 620 differences between isolated and compound substorms arise. Stronger ionospheric out-621 flows, more effective circulation of plasma, larger magnitude of dipolarisation, and in-622 creased accessibility of injections to the inner magnetosphere are highlighted as the key 623 factors contributing to the difference in compound substorms relative to isolated sub-624 storms. In addition, we establish that the difference in average solar wind coupling is a 625 significant source of variability for the ring current conditions. 626

Overall, we have demonstrated that there are significant differences between iso-627 lated and compound substorms, in terms of the ring current state and substorm asso-628 ciated energization. It has been found that a single compound substorm is more effec-629 tive at energizing the ring current than an isolated substorm. We highlight that this study 630 considered only the first compound substorm for each series of compound substorms in 631 an event. Therefore, we can expect that the combined sequence would be highly effec-632 tive at energizing the ring current to generate a strongly enhanced ring current compared 633 to inactive geomagnetic conditions. It is reasonable to assume that the successive sub-634 storms in the event would have similar energy inputs to the ring current region based 635 on the continued strong solar wind driving, although a full consideration of the impacts 636 of the whole compound event is left to a future study. 637

638 Acknowledgments

J.K.S. is supported by STFC grant ST/N000722/1 and NERC grant NE/P017185/1, I.J.R. 639 is supported by STFC grant ST/N000722/1 and NERC grant NE/P017185/1, C.F. is 640 supported by NERC IRF NE/N014480/1, K.R.M. is supported by NSF grant 1602403 641 and NASA grants 18-HGIO18_2-012, 18-HSWO2R18-0010, and M.-T.W. is supported 642 by NERC grant NE/P001556/1. Processing and analysis of the HOPE data was supported 643 by Energetic Particle, Composition, and Thermal Plasma (RBSP-ECT) investigation funded 644 under NASAs Prime contract no. NAS5-01072. All RBSP-ECT data are publicly avail-645 able at the Web site http://www.RBSP-ect.lanl.gov/ The SML index data is publicly 646 available at http://supermag.jhuapl.edu. We gratefully acknowledge the SuperMAG col-647 laborators (http://supermag.jhuapl.edu/info/?page=acknowledgement). The solar wind 648 data and Sym-H index data are publicly available at http://wdc.kugi.kyoto-u.ac.jp/index.html. 649

650 References

- Aggson, T. L., Heppner, J. P., & Maynard, N. C. (1983). Observations of large
 magnetospheric electric fields during the onset phase of a substorm. Jour nal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 88(A5), 3981-3990. Retrieved
 from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/
 JA088iA05p03981 doi: 10.1029/JA088iA05p03981
- 656Akasofu, S.-I.(1968).The growth of the storm-time radiation belt and the657magnetospheric substorm.Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomi-658cal Society, 15(1-2), 7-21.Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/659j.1365-246X.1968.tb05741.xdoi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1968.tb05741.x
- Akasofu, S.-I. (1975). The roles of the north-south component of the interplanetary magnetic field on large-scale auroral dynamics observed by the dmsp
 satellite. *Planetary and Space Science*, 23(10), 1349 - 1354. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0032063375900306
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-0633(75)90030-6
- Akasofu, S.-I., Chapman, S., & Venkatesan, D. (1963). The main phase of great magnetic storms. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 68(11), 3345-3350.
 Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/

668	10.1029/JZ068i011p03345 doi: 10.1029/JZ068i011p03345
669	Angelopoulos, V., McFadden, J. P., Larson, D., Carlson, C. W., Mende, S. B., Frey,
670	H., Kepko, L. (2008). Tail reconnection triggering substorm onset. Sci-
671	ence, 321(5891), 931-935. Retrieved from http://science.sciencemag.org/
672	content/321/5891/931 doi: 10.1126/science.1160495
673	Ashour-Abdalla, M., Bosqued, JM., El-Alaoui, M., Peroomian, V., Zhou, M.,
674	Richard, R., Angelopoulos, V. (2009). A simulation study of particle
675	energization observed by themis spacecraft during a substorm. Journal of
676	Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 114 (A9). Retrieved from https://
677	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2009JA014126 doi:
678	10.1029/2009JA014126
679	Axford, W. I. (1968, November). The polar wind and the terrestrial helium
680	budget. Journal of Geophysical Research, 73, 6855-6859. doi: 10.1029/
681	JA073i021p06855
682	Baker, D. N., Pulkkinen, T. I., Angelopoulos, V., Baumjohann, W., & McPher-
683	ron, R. L. (1996, June). Neutral line model of substorms: Past results
684	and present view. Journal of Geophysical Research, 101, 12975-13010. doi:
685	10.1029/95JA03753
686	Boakes, P. D., Milan, S. E., Abel, G. A., Freeman, M. P., Chisham, G., & Hubert,
687	B. (2011). A superposed epoch investigation of the relation between mag-
688	netospheric solar wind driving and substorm dynamics with geosynchronous
689	particle injection signatures. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics,
690	116(A1). Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010JA016007
691	(A01214) doi: 10.1029/2010JA016007
692	Burton, R. K., McPherron, R. L., & Russell, C. T. (1975). An empirical rela-
693	tionship between interplanetary conditions and dst. Journal of Geophysical
694	<i>Research</i> , 80(31), 4204–4214. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/
695	JA080i031p04204 doi: 10.1029/JA080i031p04204
696	Cowley, S. (1981). Magnetospheric and ionospheric flow and the interplanetary mag-
697	netic field.
698	Daglis, I. A., Thorne, R. M., Baumjohann, W., & Orsini, S. (1999). The terrestrial
699	ring current: Origin, formation, and decay. Reviews of Geophysics, 37(4), 407–
700	438. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/1999RG900009 doi: 10
701	.1029/1999 m RG900009
702	Dessler, A. J., & Parker, E. N. (1959). Hydromagnetic theory of geomag-
703	netic storms. Journal of Geophysical Research, 64(12), 2239–2252. Re-
704	trieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/JZ064i012p02239 doi:
705	10.1029/JZ064i012p02239
706	Dungey, J. W. (1961, Jan). Interplanetary magnetic field and the auroral zones.
707	Phys. Rev. Lett., 6, 47–48. Retrieved from https://link.aps.org/doi/
708	10.1103/PhysRevLett.6.47 doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.6.47
709	Fairfield, D. H., & Cahill Jr., L. J. (1966). Transition region magnetic field and polar
710	magnetic disturbances. Journal of Geophysical Research (1896-1977), $71(1)$,
711	155-169. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/
712	abs/10.1029/JZ071i001p00155 doi: 10.1029/JZ071i001p00155
713	Forsyth, C., Rae, I. J., Coxon, J. C., Freeman, M. P., Jackman, C. M., Gjerloev,
714	J., & Fazakerley, A. N. (2015). A new technique for determining sub-
715	storm onsets and phases from indices of the electrojet (sophie). Journal of
716	Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 120(12), 10,592–10,606. Retrieved
717	from http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2015JA021343 (2015JA021343) doi:
718	10.1002/2015JA021343
719	Forsyth, C., Watt, C. E. J., Rae, I. J., Fazakerley, A. N., Kalmoni, N. M. E., Free-
720	man, M. P., Carr, C. M. (2014). Increases in plasma sheet temperature
721	with solar wind driving during substorm growth phases. Geophysical Research
722	<i>Letters</i> , 41(24), 8713–8721. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/

723	2014GL062400 (2014GL062400) doi: $10.1002/2014$ GL062400
724	Funsten, H. O., Skoug, R. M., Guthrie, A. A., MacDonald, E. A., Baldonado, J. R.,
725	Harper, R. W., Chen, J. (2013, Nov 01). Helium, oxygen, proton, and
726	electron (hope) mass spectrometer for the radiation belt storm probes mission.
727	Space Science Reviews, 179(1), 423–484. doi: 10.1007/s11214-013-9968-7
728	Gabrielse, C., Angelopoulos, V., Runov, A., & Turner, D. L. (2014). Statistical
720	characteristics of particle injections throughout the equatorial magnetotail
729	Internal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics 110(4) 2512–2535. Botriovod
730	from h^{++} (/dr. doi org/10/1002/2012 10010629 (2012 10010629) doi:
731	$\frac{1000}{1000} \frac{1000}{2012} \frac{1000}{1000} \frac{1000}{2013} \frac{1000}{200} \frac{1000}{2$
732	10.1002/2015 JA019058
733	Genoev, J. W. (2012). The supermag data processing technique. Journal of $G_{\text{constructed}}$ because $L_{\text{constructed}}$ and $L_{\text{constructed}}$ because $L_{$
734	Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 117(A9). Retrieved from http://dx.dol
735	$\operatorname{corg}/\operatorname{10}.\operatorname{1029}/\operatorname{2012JA01/683}$ (A09213) doi: 10.1029/2012JA01/683
736	Gkioulidou, M., Ukhorskiy, A. Y., Mitchell, D. G., & Lanzerotti, L. J. (2016). Storm
737	time dynamics of ring current protons: Implications for the long-term energy
738	budget in the inner magnetosphere. Geophysical Research Letters, 43(10),
739	4736–4744. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2016GL068013
740	(2016 GL 068013) doi: $10.1002/2016 GL 068013$
741	Gonzalez, W. D., Joselyn, J. A., Kamide, Y., Kroehl, H. W., Rostoker, G., Tsu-
742	rutani, B. T., & Vasyliunas, V. M. (1994). What is a geomagnetic storm?
743	Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 99(A4), 5771-5792. Retrieved
744	from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/
745	93JA02867 doi: 10.1029/93JA02867
746	Haaland, S., Lybekk, B., Svenes, K., Pedersen, A., Förster, M., Vaith, H., & Tor-
747	bert, R. (2009, September). Plasma transport in the magnetotail lobes.
748	Annales Geophysicae, 27, 3577-3590. doi: 10.5194/angeo-27-3577-2009
749	Hones Jr., E. W., & Schindler, K. (1979). Magnetotail plasma flow during sub-
750	storms: A survey with imp 6 and imp 8 satellites. Journal of Geophysical
751	Research: Space Physics, 84 (A12), 7155-7169. Retrieved from https://
752	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JA084iA12p07155
753	doi: 10.1029/JA084iA12p07155
754	Hubert, B., Milan, S. E., Grocott, A., Blockx, C., Cowley, S. W. H., & Grard,
755	JC. (2006). Dayside and nightside reconnection rates inferred from
756	image fuv and super dual auroral radar network data. Journal of Geo-
757	physical Research: Space Physics, 111(A3). Retrieved from https://
758	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2005JA011140 doi:
759	10.1029/2005JA011140
760	Kalmoni, N. M. E., Rae, I. J., Watt, C. E. J., Murphy, K. R., Forsyth, C., & Owen,
761	C. J. (2015). Statistical characterization of the growth and spatial scales of the
762	substorm onset arc. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 120(10),
763	8503-8516. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/
764	doi/abs/10.1002/2015JA021470 doi: 10.1002/2015JA021470
765	Kamide, Y., Kokubun, S., Bargatze, L., & Frank, L. (1999). The size of the po-
766	lar cap as an indicator of substorm energy. Physics and Chemistry of the
767	Earth, Part C: Solar, Terrestrial & Planetary Science, 24(1), 119 - 127.
768	Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
769	S146419179800018X (International Symposium on Solar-Terrestrial Coupling
770	Processes) doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1464-1917(98)00018-X
771	Kan, J. R., & Lee, L. C. (1979). Energy coupling function and solar wind-
772	magnetosphere dynamo. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> . 6(7), 577-580.
773	Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/
774	10.1029/GL006i007p00577 doi: 10.1029/GL006i007p00577
775	Kim, HJ., Lee, DY., & Lyons, L. R. (2008). Are repetitive particle injec-
776	tions during high-speed solar wind streams classic substorms? Journal of
777	Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 113(A8). Retrieved from https://

778	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JA012847 doi:
779	10.1029/2007JA012847
780	Kistler, L. M., Mouikis, C. G., Klecker, B., & Dandouras, I. (2010, March). Cusp as
781	a source for oxygen in the plasma sheet during geomagnetic storms. Journal of
782	Geophysical Research (Space Physics), 115, 3209. doi: 10.1029/2009JA014838
783	Kokubun, S., & McPherron, R. L. (1981). Substorm signatures at synchronous
784	altitude. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 86 (A13), 11265-
785	11277. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/
786	abs/10.1029/JA086iA13p11265 doi: $10.1029/JA086iA13p11265$
787	Kozyra, J. U., & Liemohn, M. W. (2003, Oct 01). Ring current energy in-
788	put and decay. Space Science Reviews, $109(1)$, $105-131$. Retrieved
789	from https://doi.org/10.1023/B:SPAC.0000007516.10433.ad doi:
790	10.1023/B:SPAC.0000007516.10433.ad
791	Le, G., Russell, C. T., & Takahashi, K. (2004). Morphology of the ring current
792	derived from magnetic field observations. Annales Geophysicae, 22(4), 1267–
793	1295. Retrieved from https://www.ann-geophys.net/22/1267/2004/ doi: 10
794	.5194/angeo-22-1267-2004
795	Li, H., Wang, C., & Peng, Z. (2013). Solar wind impacts on growth phase du-
796	ration and substorm intensity: A statistical approach. Journal of Geo-
797	physical Research: Space Physics, 118(7), 4270-4278. Retrieved from
798	https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/jgra.50399
799	doi: 10.1002/jgra.50399
800	Liou, K., Newell, P. T., Zhang, YL., & Paxton, L. J. (2013). Statistical com-
801	parison of isolated and non-isolated auroral substorms. Journal of Geo-
802	physical Research: Space Physics, 118(5), 2466-2477. Retrieved from
803	https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/jgra.50218
804	doi: 10.1002/jgra.50218
805	Lockwood, M., Waite, J. H., Jr., Moore, T. E., Chappell, C. R., & Chandler, M. O.
806	(1985, October). The cleft ion fountain. Journal of Geophysical Research, 90,
807	9736-9748. doi: 10.1029/JA090iA10p09736
808	Lockwood, M., Waite, J. H., Jr., Moore, T. E., Chappell, C. R., & Johnson, J. F. E.
809	(1985, May). A new source of suprathermal O ⁺ ions near the dayside po-
810	lar cap boundary. Journal of Geophysical Research, 90, 4099-4116. doi:
811	10.1029/JA090iA05p04099
812	Lopez, R. E., Sibeck, D. G., McEntire, R. W., & Krimigis, S. M. (1990). The ener-
813	getic ion substorm injection boundary. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space
814	<i>Physics</i> , 95(A1), 109–117. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/
815	JA095iA01p00109 doi: 10.1029/JA095iA01p00109
816	Lui, A. T. Y. (2009). Comment on "tail reconnection triggering substorm onset".
817	Science, 324(5933), 1391-1391. Retrieved from http://science.sciencemag
818	.org/content/324/5933/1391.2 doi: 10.1126/science.1167726
819	Lui, A. T. Y., Chang, CL., Mankofsky, A., Wong, HK., & Winske, D. (1991).
820	A cross-field current instability for substorm expansions. Journal of Geo-
821	physical Research: Space Physics, 96(A7), 11389-11401. Retrieved from
822	https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/91JA00892
823	doi: 10.1029/91JA00892
824	Maggiolo, R., & Kistler, L. M. (2014, April). Spatial variation in the plasma
825	sheet composition: Dependence on geomagnetic and solar activity. Jour-
826	nal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics), 119, 2836-2857. doi: 10.1002/
827	2013JA019517
828	Mauk, B. H., Fox, N. J., Kanekal, S. G., Kessel, R. L., Sibeck, D. G., & Ukhorskiy,
829	A. (2013, Nov 01). Science objectives and rationale for the radiation
830	belt storm probes mission. Space Science Reviews, 179(1), 3–27. Re-
831	trieved from https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-012-9908-y doi:
832	10.1007/s11214-012-9908-y

833	Mauk, B. H., & McIlwain, C. E. (1974). Correlation of kp with the substorm-
834	injected plasma boundary. Journal of Geophysical Research, $79(22)$, 3193 –
835	3196. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/JA079i022p03193 doi:
836	10.1029/JA079i022p03193
837	McIlwain. (1974). Substorm Injection Boundaries. In Magnetospheric physics: Pro-
838	ceedings of the advanced summer institute held at sheffield, $u.k.$ avaust 1973
920	(pp. 143–154) Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands
0.10	McPherron B (1072) Substorm related changes in the geomegratic tail: the
840	mouth phase $Planetary and Space Science 20(0) 1521 1530 Bo$
841	trieved from http://www.aciencedinect.com/acience/orticle/nii/
842	the ved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ $0.2200(2272)00054.2$
843	0032063372900542 doi: https://doi.org/10.1010/0032-0033(72)90054-2
844	MCP nerron, R. L. (1970). Growth phase of magnetospheric substorms. Journal
845	of Geophysical Research, $75(28)$, $5592-5599$. Retrieved from http://dx.dol
846	.org/10.1029/JA0/51028p05592 doi: 10.1029/JA0/51028p05592
847	McPherron, R. L., Russell, C. T., & Aubry, M. P. (1973). Satellite studies of mag-
848	netospheric substorms on august 15, 1968: 9. phenomenological model for
849	substorms. Journal of Geophysical Research, 78(16), 3131-3149. Retrieved
850	<pre>from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/</pre>
851	JA078i016p03131 doi: 10.1029/JA078i016p03131
852	Milan, S. E. (2009). Both solar wind-magnetosphere coupling and ring current
853	intensity control of the size of the auroral oval. Geophysical Research Letters,
854	36(18). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/
855	abs/10.1029/2009GL039997 doi: $10.1029/2009GL039997$
856	Milan, S. E., Boakes, P. D., & Hubert, B. (2008). Response of the expand-
857	ing/contracting polar cap to weak and strong solar wind driving: Implica-
858	tions for substorm onset. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics,
859	113(A9). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/
860	abs/10.1029/2008JA013340 doi: 10.1029/2008JA013340
861	Milan, S. E., Grocott, A., Forsyth, C., Imber, S. M., Boakes, P. D., & Hubert,
862	B. (2009). A superposed epoch analysis of auroral evolution during sub-
863	storm growth, onset and recovery: open magnetic flux control of substorm
864	intensity. Annales Geophysicae, 27(2), 659–668. Retrieved from https://
865	www.ann-geophys.net/27/659/2009/ doi: $10.5194/angeo-27-659-2009$
866	Milan, S. E., Hutchinson, J., Boakes, P. D., & Hubert, B. (2009). Influences on the
867	radius of the auroral oval. Annales Geophysicae, 27(7), 2913–2924. Retrieved
868	from https://www.ann-geophys.net/27/2913/2009/ doi: 10.5194/angeo-27
869	-2913-2009
870	Milan, S. E., Lester, M., Cowley, S. W. H., Oksavik, K., Brittnacher, M., Green-
871	wald, R. A., Villain, JP. (2003). Variations in the polar cap area
872	during two substorm cycles. Annales Geophysicae, 21(5), 1121–1140.
873	Retrieved from https://www.ann-geophys.net/21/1121/2003/ doi:
874	10.5194/angeo-21-1121-2003
875	Milan, S. E., Provan, G., & Hubert, B. (2007). Magnetic flux transport in the
876	dungey cycle: A survey of dayside and nightside reconnection rates. Journal
877	of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 112(A1). Retrieved from http://
878	dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006JA011642 (A01209) doi: 10.1029/2006JA011642
879	Murphy, K. R., Watt, C. E. J., Mann, I. R., Jonathan Rae, I., Sibeck, D. G., Bovd.
880	A. J., Fennell, J. (2018). The global statistical response of the outer ra-
881	diation belt during geomagnetic storms. Geophysical Research Letters, 15(9).
882	3783-3792. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary_wiley_com/
883	doi/abs/10.1002/2017GL076674_doi: 10.1002/2017GL076674
884	Nakai H. & Kamide V. (2003) Substorm-associated large-scale magnetic
007	(A, A, A
885	field changes in the magnetotail a prerequisite for "magnetotail deflation"
886	field changes in the magnetotail: a prerequisite for "magnetotail deflation" events. Annales Geophysicae. 21(4) 869–879 Betrieved from https://
885 886 887	field changes in the magnetotail: a prerequisite for "magnetotail deflation" events. Annales Geophysicae, 21(4), 869–879. Retrieved from https://

888	Nakamura, R., Nagai, T., Birn, J., Sergeev, V. A., Le Contel, O., Varsani, A.,
889	Turner, D. L. (2017, Sep 18). Near-earth plasma sheet boundary dy-
890	namics during substorm dipolarization. <i>Earth, Planets and Space, 69</i> (1),
891	129. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-017-0707-2 doi:
892	10.1186/s40623-017-0707-2
893	Newell, P. T., & Gjerloev, J. W. (2011). Evaluation of supermag auroral electro-
894	jet indices as indicators of substorms and auroral power. Journal of Geophysi-
895	cal Research: Space Physics, 116(A12). Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/
896	10.1029/2011JA016779 (A12211) doi: 10.1029/2011JA016779
897	Ozeke, L. G., & Mann, I. R. (2001). Modeling the properties of high-m alfvn waves
898	driven by the drift-bounce resonance mechanism. Journal of Geophysical Re-
899	search: Space Physics, 106(A8), 15583-15597. Retrieved from http://dx.doi
900	.org/10.1029/2000JA000393 doi: 10.1029/2000JA000393
901	Quinn, J. M., & Southwood, D. J. (1982). Observations of parallel ion en-
902	ergization in the equatorial region. Journal of Geophysical Research:
903	Space Physics, 87(A12), 10536-10540. Retrieved from https://agupubs
904	.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JA087iA12p10536 doi:
905	10.1029/JA087iA12p10536
906	Reeves, G. D., Belian, R. D., & Fritz, T. A. (1991). Numerical tracing of en-
907	ergetic particle drifts in a model magnetosphere. Journal of Geophys-
908	<i>ical Research: Space Physics</i> , 96(A8), 13997-14008. Retrieved from
909	https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/91JA01161
910	doi: 10.1029/91JA01161
911	Reeves, G. D., Fritz, T. A., Cayton, T. E., & Belian, R. D. (1990). Multi-satellite
912	measurements of the substorm injection region. Geophysical Research Let-
913	<i>ters</i> , 17(11), 2015–2018. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/
914	GL017i011p02015 doi: 10.1029/GL017i011p02015
915	Reeves, G. D., & Henderson, M. G. (2001, April). The storm-substorm relation-
916	ship: Ion injections in geosynchronous measurements and composite energetic
917	neutral atom images. Journal of Geophysical Research, 106, 5833-5844. doi:
918	10.1029/2000JA003017
919	Reeves, G. D., Kettmann, G., Fritz, T. A., & Belian, R. D. (1992). Fur-
920	ther investigation of the cdaw 7 substorm using geosynchronous parti-
921	cle data: Multiple injections and their implications. Journal of Geo-
922	physical Research: Space Physics, 97(A5), 6417-6428. Retrieved from
923	https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/91JA03103
924	doi: 10.1029/91JA03103
925	Sandhu, J. K., Rae, I. J., Freeman, M. P., Forsyth, C., Gkioulidou, M., Reeves,
926	G. D., Lam, M. M. (2018). Energization of the ring current by sub-
927	storms. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 123(10), 8131-8148.
928	Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/dol/abs/
929	10.1029/2018JA025/66 doi: 10.1029/2018JA025/66
930	Sandhu, J. K., Yeoman, T. K., Fear, R. C., & Dandouras, I. (2016). A sta-
931	tistical study of magnetospheric ion composition along the geomagnetic
932	neld using the cluster spacecraft for I values between 5.9 and 9.5. Jour-
933	nul of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, $121(5)$, $2194-2208$. Retrieved
934	$\frac{10000}{1000} \frac{1000}{20015} \frac{10000}{1000} \frac{10000}{10000} \frac{10000}{10000}$
935	Sandhy I.K. Vaaman T.K. Pao I.I. Eaan P. C. & Dandourad I. (2017). The
936	dependence of magnetoenhorie plasma maga loading on geometric activity
937	using clustor Iournal of Coonductical Descender, Space Descine 100(0), 0271
938	using cluster. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 122(9), 93/1- 0305. Retrieved from https://ogupuba.onlinelibrory.wiley.com/dei/ebc/
939	10 1002/2017 IA024171 doi: 10 1002/2017 IA024171
940	Sarris E. T. Krimigis S. M. & Armstrong T. P. (1076) Observations of mag
941	natospheric bursts of high-energy protons and electrons at 25 ro with imp 7
942	necospheric bursts of mgn-energy protons and electrons at 30 fe with hilp 1.

943	Journal of Geophysical Research, 81(13), 2341-2355. Retrieved from http://
944	dx.doi.org/10.1029/JA081i013p02341 doi: 10.1029/JA081i013p02341
945	Sckopke, N. (1966). A general relation between the energy of trapped particles and
946	the disturbance field near the earth. Journal of Geophysical Research, $71(13)$,
947	3125-3130. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/JZ071i013p03125
948	doi: 10.1029/JZ071i013p03125
949	Sergeev, V. A., Angelopoulos, V., & Nakamura, R. (2012). Recent advances in
950	understanding substorm dynamics. $Geophysical Research Letters, 39(5).$
951	Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/
952	10.1029/2012GL050859 doi: 10.1029/2012GL050859
953	Spence, H. E., Reeves, G. D., Baker, D. N., Blake, J. B., Bolton, M., Bourdarie, S.,
954	Thorne, R. M. (2013, Nov 01). Science goals and overview of the radiation
955	belt storm probes (rbsp) energetic particle, composition, and thermal plasma
956	(ect) suite on nasa's van allen probes mission. Space Science Reviews, $179(1)$,
957	311-336. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-013-0007-5
958	doi: 10.1007/s11214-013-0007-5
959	Takada, T., Nakamura, R., Baumjohann, W., Asano, Y., Volwerk, M., Zhang, T. L.,
960	Carr, C. (2006). Do bbfs contribute to inner magnetosphere dipolariza-
961	tions: Concurrent cluster and double star observations. Geophysical Research
962	Letters, 33(21). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley
963	.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2006GL027440 doi: 10.1029/2006GL027440
964	Tanskanen, E. I. (2009). A comprehensive high-throughput analysis of substorms
965	observed by image magnetometer network: Years 19932003 examined. Journal
966	of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 114 (A5). Retrieved from https://
967	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/do1/abs/10.1029/2008JA013682 do1:
968	10.1029/2008JA013082
969	Infler, D. L., Felmen, J. F., Diake, J. D., Claudepierre, S. G., Cleminions, J. II., Laurage A. N. – Decree C. D. (2017) Multipoint observations of anarcotic
970	particle injections and substorm activity during a conjunction between mag-
971	netospheric multiscale (mms) and van allen probes
972	Research: Space Physics 129(11) 11 481-11 504 Retrieved from https://
973	agunubs onlinelibrary wiley com/doi/abs/10 1002/2017 IA024554 doi:
075	10 1002/2017.JA024554
976	Turner D L O'Brien T P Fennell J F Claudenierre S G Blake J B
977	Kilpua, E. K. J., & Hietala, H. (2015). The effects of geomagnetic storms
978	on electrons in earth's radiation belts. Geophysical Research Letters, $42(21)$.
979	9176-9184. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/
980	doi/abs/10.1002/2015GL064747 doi: 10.1002/2015GL064747
981	Turner, N. E., Baker, D. N., Pulkkinen, T. I., & McPherron, R. L. (2000). Evalu-
982	ation of the tail current contribution to dst. Journal of Geophysical Research:
983	Space Physics, 105(A3), 5431-5439. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10
984	.1029/1999JA000248 doi: 10.1029/1999JA000248
985	Wang, CP., Lyons, L. R., Weygand, J. M., Nagai, T., & McEntire, R. W. (2006).
986	Equatorial distributions of the plasma sheet ions, their electric and mag-
987	netic drifts, and magnetic fields under different interplanetary magnetic field
988	bz conditions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 111(A4).
989	Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/
990	10.1029/2005JA011545 doi: 10.1029/2005JA011545
991	Winglee, R. M. (2000, April). Mapping of ionospheric outflows into the magne-
992	tosphere for varying IMF conditions. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-
993	Terrestrial Physics, 62, 527-540. doi: 10.1016/S1364-6826(00)00015-8
994	Yau, A. W., & Andre, M. (1997, April). Sources of Ion Outflow in the High Latitude
995	Ionosphere. Space Sci. Rev., 80, 1-25. doi: 10.1023/A:1004947203046
996	Yue, C., Bortnik, J., Li, W., Ma, Q., Gkioulidou, M., Reeves, G. D., Mitchell,
997	D. G. (2018). The composition of plasma inside geostationary orbit based on

998	van allen probes observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics,
999	123(8), 6478-6493. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley
1000	.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018JA025344 doi: $10.1029/2018JA025344$
1001	Zaharia, S., Cheng, C. Z., & Johnson, J. R. (2000). Particle transport and
1002	energization associated with substorms. Journal of Geophysical Re-
1003	search: Space Physics, 105(A8), 18741-18752. Retrieved from https://
1004	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/1999JA000407 doi:
1005	10.1029/1999JA000407

Figure 1.



Figure 2.



Figure 3.



Figure 4.



Figure 5.



Figure 6.



Figure 7.



Figure 8.

