

1 **Covalent linkage of bacterial voltage-gated sodium channels**

2

3 Authors: Huaping Sun[#], Zeyu Zheng[#], Olena A. Fedorenko[¶], Stephen K. Roberts*

4 * corresponding author; [#] equal contribution

5 Address: Division of Biomedical and Life Sciences, Faculty of Health and Medicine,
6 Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK. [¶]present affiliation: School of Life
7 Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, UK.

8

9 Author affiliations and emails:

10 Huaping Sun: huapingsun666@gmail.com; No current affiliation. UK

11 Zeyu Zheng: Zeyu.zheng@outlook.com; No current affiliation. China

12 Olena Fedorenko: olena.fedorenko@nottingham.ac.uk; School of Life Sciences,
13 University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, UK

14

15 Address for correspondence (Stephen K Roberts):

16 Division of Biomedical and Life Sciences,

17 Faculty of Health and Medicine,

18 Lancaster University,

19 Lancaster, LA1 4YQ,

20 UK.

21 Tel: 01524 593145

22 Fax: 01524 843854

23 Email: s.k.roberts@lancaster.ac.uk

24 **Abstract**

25 **Background:** Bacterial sodium channels are important models for understanding ion
26 permeation and selectivity. However, their homotetrameric structure limits their use as
27 models for understanding the more complex eukaryotic voltage-gated sodium channels
28 (which have a pseudo-heterotetrameric structure formed from an oligomer composed
29 of four domains). To bridge this gap we attempted to synthesise oligomers made from
30 four covalently linked bacterial sodium channel monomers and thus resembling their
31 eukaryotic counterparts.

32 **Results:** Western blot analyses revealed NaChBac oligomers to be inherently unstable
33 whereas intact expression of NavMs oligomers was possible. Immunodetection using
34 confocal microscopy and electrophysiological characterisation of NavMs tetramers
35 confirmed plasma membrane localisation and equivalent functionality with wild type
36 NavMs channels when expressed in human embryonic kidney cells.

37 **Conclusion:** This study has generated new tools for the investigation of eukaryotic
38 channels. The successful covalent linkage of four bacterial Nav channel monomers
39 should permit the introduction of radial asymmetry into the structure of bacterial Nav
40 channels and enable the known structures of these channels to be used to gain unique
41 insights into structure-function relationships of their eukaryotic counterparts.

42 **KEYWORDS:** NaChBac, NavMs, NavAb, bacterial sodium channels, concatenation,
43 patch clamp, immunodetection, Western blot

44

45 **Background**

46 Voltage-gated sodium channels (Na_vs) play fundamental roles in eukaryotes, including
47 electrical signaling, secretion and synaptic transmission. These roles are highlighted in
48 a wide range of diseases (e.g. periodic paralysis, arrhythmia, and epilepsy) which result
49 from the malfunction of mammalian Na_vs. Eukaryotic Na_vs are large multi-subunit
50 complexes [1]. The pore-forming subunit is composed of approximately 2,000 amino
51 acid residues organized in to four domains, each domain comprising six transmembrane
52 spanning (TMS) segments containing a voltage sensor (TMS S4) and a pore forming
53 region (between TMS S5 and S6). Resolving the atomic structure of these proteins is
54 essential for providing a molecular framework to enable elucidation of their function
55 and disease mechanisms. However, their exceptional size and complexity has proved
56 to be a major challenge. Consequently, there is only one report of resolving atomic-
57 level (3.8 Å) structure for eukaryotic Na_vs [2] and with the caveat of the resolved
58 channel lacking electrophysiological characterization. This current situation represents
59 a significant gap in our understanding of the structure/function relationships of Na_vs.

60

61 The discovery of bacterial Na_vs has been important in addressing our lack of structural
62 insight into eukaryotic Na_vs. Bacterial Na_vs are simplified homologues of eukaryotic
63 Na_vs; their sequences are analogous to one domain (i.e. six TMS segments with a
64 voltage sensor and a pore forming region) of a eukaryotic Na_v and they form functional

65 channels from homotetrameric assembly [3-8]. Their minimalist structure has enabled
66 their atomic-level structures to be resolved, which together with their
67 electrophysiological characterization and atomic simulations based on the resolved
68 structures, have been pivotal in gaining detailed understanding of ion permeation and
69 gating for Navs [e.g. 9, 10].

70

71 However, there are several limitations of these bacterial models for the understanding
72 eucaryotic Nav channels. Foremost is that (unlike eukaryotic Nav channels) bacterial
73 channels display radial symmetry (a consequence of their homotetrameric structure).
74 Consequently, the bacterial counterparts cannot be used to investigate experimentally
75 the distinct role of the four individual domains of eukaryotic Nav channels and as
76 homotetramers, it is not possible to generate asymmetry in a bacterial Nav channel. For
77 example, Xia et al. [11] constructed a model of NavRh with the selectivity filter (SF)
78 mutated from the radially-symmetrical glutamate ring (EEEE) to the asymmetric ring
79 of DEKA (to mimic the SF in eukaryotic Nav channels) and through MD simulations
80 of Na⁺ permeation proposed a model to explain Na⁺/K⁺ selectivity in mammalian Nav
81 channels. Currently the predictions of the simulation study cannot be experimentally
82 tested.

83

84 In an attempt to address this problem and to gain further insights in to the molecular
85 mechanisms of ion permeation in eukaryotic Nav using their bacterial counterparts, we
86 attempted to generate a concatenated bacterial Nav channel in which four monomer

87 subunits are covalently linked to form a single polypeptide (and thus mirroring the
88 structure of their eukaryotic counterparts). It was envisaged that such a structure would
89 enable targeted mutation of individual domains of the concatemer and thus permit
90 experimental testing of bacterial channels exhibiting asymmetry in the pore of the
91 bacterial channels (e.g. Xia et al. study). We report intact expression of NaChBac and
92 NavMs and NavAb concatemers but that stable expression was dependent on the
93 expression system employed. Surprisingly, NaChBac concatemer was inherently
94 unstable. However, NavMs concatemers could be expressed intact in mammalian cells
95 and were amenable to electrophysiological investigation using the patch clamp
96 technique.

97

98 **Methods**

99 *Generation of expression vectors.* cDNA constructs encoding NaChBac (GenBank
100 accession number BAB05220) and NavMs (GenBank accession number
101 WP_011712479) bacterial sodium channels were synthesized by EPOCH Life Science
102 (www.epochlifescience.com).

103 NaChBac#1 tetramer was generated by covalently linking four NaChBac monomers
104 (translation stop codons omitted) using hydrophilic linkers containing 16 amino acids
105 (DTQKETLNFRSTLEI [12]); unique restriction enzyme sites (*EcoRV*, *SphI* and *AfeI*)
106 were incorporated between each monomer and a C-terminal FLAG epitope was
107 engineered immediately upstream of the tetramer stop codon, as illustrated in

108 Supplementary Figure 5; see Supplementary Figures file. NaChBac#1 tetramer was
109 subcloned into the pTracer-CMV (Invitrogen) mammalian expression vector at the
110 *EcoRI/XbaI* sites downstream of the constitutive cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter.
111 Details for the generation of the trimer, dimer and monomer forms of NaChBac#1 are
112 given in Supplementary Information (see Supplementary Information file).

113 NaChBac#2, NavAb and NavMs tetramers were generated by covalently linking four
114 identical monomers (translation stop codons omitted) using poly-glycine and the amino
115 acid sequence corresponding to the bovine NCX1 to generate a 61-amino acid linker
116 (GGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGSHVDHISAETEMEGEGNETGECTGSYYCK
117 KGVILPIWEDEP [13]); unique restriction enzyme sites (*EcoRI*, *EcoRV* and *AfeI*) were
118 incorporated between each monomer/linker and a 3xMyc epitope was engineered
119 immediately upstream of the stop codon. Tetramers were subcloned into
120 pcDNA4/HisMaxC mammalian expression vector (EPOCH Life Science) respectively
121 at the *KpnI/XbaI* sites downstream of CMV promoter and in-frame with the Xpress tag,
122 generating an N-terminal Xpress epitope (Supplementary Figure 5E; see
123 Supplementary Figures file). NaChBac#2 and NavMs tetramers were also subcloned
124 into pTracer-CMV vector downstream of cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter
125 respectively for electrophysiological analysis. To investigate the expression conditions
126 of NachBac#2 tetramer in yeasts and *E. coli*, it was subcloned into the pYES2 yeast
127 expression vector and the pTBX1 bacterial expression vector at sites of *KpnI/XbaI* and
128 *NdeI/NruI* respectively as described in Supplementary Information (see Supplementary
129 Information file). Plasmid DNA were amplified by DNA Midiprep Kit (Qiagen).

130

131 *Cell culture and transfection.* Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) and human embryonic
132 kidney (HEK293T) cells were maintained in DMEM high glucose with L-glutamine
133 (Lonza) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (Gibco) with addition of 50 U/ml
134 Penicillin and 50 µg/ml Streptomycin (Sigma) in a humidified incubator at 37 °C and
135 5% CO₂. To introduce the expression of sodium channel genes, 10 µl of *TransIT-LT1*
136 reagent (Mirus) and 5µg of plasmid DNA were equilibrated separately in 250 µl of
137 UltraMEM Reduced Serum Media (Lonza) for 5min before combining together and
138 incubating at room temperature for another 20min. The reagent-plasmid mixture was
139 then added to the seeded cells in the 6-well plate dropwise followed by incubating in
140 the incubator overnight.

141 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain of W303.1a (*MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 trp1-1*
142 *leu2-3,112 can1-100*) was cultured at 30 °C and transformed by lithium acetate method
143 [14]; transformants were selected by growth on synthetic complete media without uracil
144 (SCM-ura; Formedium, UK). Competent *E. coli* strain (Rosetta™ DE3; Novagen) was
145 cultured at 37 °C and transformed by heat shock at 42 °C for 30 sec; transformants were
146 selected by growth on lysogeny broth (LB) media containing ampicillin.

147

148 *Protein extraction.* Protein extraction from CHO and HEK293T cells was performed
149 18-24 hours after transfection. After washing three times with cold PBS buffer
150 containing Pierce™ Protease Inhibitor (Thermo Scientific), cells were lysed with
151 RIPA buffer (Sigma) plus phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and protease

152 inhibitor on ice for 10 min. The cell lysate was scrapped and transferred to the pre-
153 cooled Eppendorf tubes for collecting supernatant by centrifugation at 13,000g for
154 15min at 4 °C.

155 Protein extracted from overnight cultures of *S. cerevisiae* (SCM-ura but with glucose
156 replaced with 2% galactose and 2% raffinose to induce protein expression) was
157 conducted by treating yeasts with 2 M of lithium acetate (LiAc) for 5min and then 0.4
158 M of NaOH for 10 minutes at room temperature. Supernatant was tested after
159 centrifugation at 13,000g for 15 minutes at 4 °C. Protein expression was induced in *E.*
160 *coli* by culturing in LB containing 0.4 mM of isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside
161 (IPTG) for 1 hour at 37 °C with shaking at 150rpm. After washing, bacteria were lysed
162 with Y-PER™ Yeast Protein Extraction Reagent according to manufacturer's
163 instruction (Thermo Scientific) with addition of proteinase inhibitor for 20 minutes at
164 room temperature. Supernatant after centrifugation at 13,000 g for 15 minutes was
165 retained for analysis.

166

167 *Western blotting.* Proteins were separated using 10% resolving sodium lauryl sulfate
168 polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (unless otherwise stated) and
169 transferred to the Hybond™-P PVDF membranes (GE Healthcare Amersham) before
170 blocking with 5% milk. The blots were subsequently treated with primary mouse
171 antibody according to manufacturer's instruction (Thermo Scientific: Anti-c-Myc
172 monoclonal antibody, MA1-21316; Anti-Xpress monoclonal antibody, R910-25;

173 Sigma-Aldrich: monoclonal ANTI-FLAG® M2 antibody, F1804) at 4 °C overnight
174 followed by secondary antibody (rabbit anti-mouse HRP; Abcam, Ab6728) for 1 hour
175 at room temperature. Blots were washed 4 times with 1× phosphate buffered saline
176 (PBS) containing 0.1% tween20 buffer for 5 minutes after the incubation with either
177 the primary or secondary antibody. Signals were developed by Pierce™ ECL
178 substrates (Thermo Scientific) and imaged by ChemiDoc™ (BioRad).

179

180 *Electrophysiology.* Whole-cell patch clamp recordings were acquired with Axopatch
181 200 series amplifiers (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, USA). Signals were digitized
182 using Digidata1322 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, USA). Data were filtered at 1 or 2
183 kHz. All the experiments were performed at 20°C. Patch pipettes were produced by a
184 pipette puller (model 730, KOPF instrument, USA) from KIMAX melting point
185 capillary tubes (34500-99; Kimble Company, USA). Pipettes had resistances between
186 2 and 4 MΩ after filling with intracellular solution. Shanks of the pipettes tip were
187 coated with bee's wax to reduce pipette capacitance. For investigation of the bacterial
188 Nav concatemers, the pipette solution was (in mM) 110 Cs-MetSO₃, 20 NaCl, 2
189 MgCl₂, 5 EGTA, 10 HEPES and 2 NaOH (pH 7.2, adjusted with 2 mM NaOH) and the
190 bath solution was (in mM) 140 Na-MetSO₃, 2 CaCl₂, 10 HEPES, 2 MgCl₂, 2 NaOH
191 to pH 7.4 (adjusted with 2 mM NaOH). Data collection was initiated 3 mins after
192 obtaining whole cell configuration to ensure complete equilibration of the pipette
193 solution and cytosol. The bath solution was grounded using a 3 M KCl agar bridge;

194 liquid junction potential determined experimentally [15] agreed with that calculated
195 (using JPCalc program, Clampex, Axon Instruments, Inc.) and was negligible. Results
196 were analyzed using Clampfit 10.1 software (Molecular Devices) and OriginPro8
197 (OriginLab Corporation). Pooled data are presented as means \pm SEM (n), where n is
198 the number of independent experiments.

199

200 **Results and Discussion**

201 NaChBac was chosen to be concatenated based on previous reports of successful
202 concatenation [13,16]. A cDNA was synthesized to form a coding sequence composed
203 of four NaChBac genes concatenated into one open reading frame (Supplementary
204 Figure 5; see Supplementary Figures file). This synthetic gene (referred to here after as
205 NaChBac#1 tetramer) was designed to encode a tetrameric oligomer containing four
206 identical domains corresponding to NaChBac channels (translational stop codon
207 removed) tethered together using a 16 amino acid hydrophilic linker derived from
208 *Xenopus* γ -globin gene (which has been used in the successful expression of K⁺ channel
209 oligomers in mammalian cells [12]). Restriction sites were strategically placed to
210 enable the extraction of individual monomers in the generation of both dimer and trimer
211 constructs (Supplementary Figure 5; see Supplementary Figures file). A C-terminal
212 FLAG epitope was added to enable immunological detection. The DNA constructs were
213 subcloned into mammalian expression vectors for expression in CHO and HEK293T
214 cells for electrophysiological analysis (pTracer-CMV2) and for immunodetection

215 (pIRESneo). It was envisaged that the transfection of cells with the tetramer construct
216 would co-opt the cells biosynthetic machinery into creating a functional Nav channel
217 formed from a single polypeptide and thus with a pre-determined monomer
218 composition.

219 Immuno-detection of the FLAG epitope and Western blot analyses revealed NaChBac
220 monomer with an approximate (expected [17]) size of 30 kDa (Supplementary Figure
221 6; see Supplementary Figures file). A protein corresponding to approximately 130 kDa
222 was expected for the intact expression of the NaChBac#1 tetramer; however, only
223 smaller (faint) bands running at approximately 15 kDa (Supplementary Figure 6A, B;
224 see Supplementary Figures file) were detected in both CHO and HEK cells. The size of
225 the degradation products detected in Supplementary Figure 6 is consistent with both
226 poor expression and cleavage of the concatemer channels corresponding to a site in the
227 S5 TMS region in the C-terminal domain. A similar pattern of degradation was observed
228 for dimer and trimer constructs (Supplementary Figure 6A, B). Immunostaining of
229 transfected CHO cells expressing NaChBac#1 monomer and tetramer and confocal
230 microscopy (Supplementary Information section 3 and Supp. Figure 7; See
231 Supplementary Information file and Supplementary Figures files respectively) were
232 indistinguishable and showed the FLAG epitope at the plasma membrane of cells. This
233 indicated degradation fragments of the NaChBac oligomers to be present in the plasma
234 membrane and raised the possibility that these “fragments” could interact to form
235 functional channel [18]. To test this possibility, the patch clamp technique was
236 employed to record whole cell plasma membrane ion channel activity in CHO cells

237 expressing NaChBac#1 oligomer proteins. Expression of the NaChBac#1 oligomers
238 produced whole cell currents with similar kinetic properties to that exhibited by cells
239 expressing the NaChBac monomer (Supplementary Figure 6C; see Supplementary
240 Figures file). Thus, oligomer degradation appears to generate lower-order by-products,
241 which associate to form functional channels. Consistent with this, cells expressing
242 NaChBac#1 trimer (which would not be expected to form independent functional
243 channels if intact [19]) also exhibited NaChBac-like whole cell currents
244 (Supplementary Figure 6C; see Supplementary Figures file). Formation of functional
245 channels following degradation of concatenated ATP-gated P2X channels [18] has also
246 been reported.

247 The failure to express intact NaChBac concatemers in CHO and HEK cells was
248 surprising. The 16 amino acid linker used to generate NaChBac#1 oligomers has
249 previously shown to stably concatenate K⁺ channel monomers [12]; however, a
250 minimum length of linker has also been reported for successful concatenation of GABA
251 receptor subunits [20]. To address the possibility that the linker was too short and to
252 investigate the possibility that stable concatenation depended on the choice of
253 expression system and/or the choice of bacterial Nav, alternative concatemers of
254 NaChBac, NavMs and NavAb were investigated as detailed below.

255

256 ***Generation and detection of NaChBac#2, NavMs and NavAb oligomers***

257 A second NaChBac tetramer was generated (referred to here after as NaChBac#2) using

258 a longer (61 amino acid) peptide linker composed of 20x glycine residues and a 41
259 amino acid linker corresponding to a partial sequence from bovine NCX1. A C-terminal
260 3xMyc epitope was included to enable immunodetection (see Supplementary
261 Information file). This linker was chosen based on its use in a previous report in which
262 intact NaChBac tetramers were reported in HEK293T cells [13], though a 20x
263 polyglycine linker was also reported for the generation of NaChBac dimers and trimers
264 in CHO [16] and HEK cells [13] respectively. To address the weak expression of
265 degradation products observed in the expression of NaChBac#1 (Supplementary Figure
266 6; see Supplementary Figures file), the pcDNA4 expression vector was employed for
267 immunodetection. This vector enables the inclusion of an N-terminal Xpress epitope
268 and drives strong expression levels in mammalian cells due to the presence of a QBI
269 SP163 element (a strong translational enhancer aimed at improving expression and
270 detection levels).

271 Surprisingly, degradation of the NaChBac#2 oligomers was also evident after
272 expression in HEK293T and CHO cells (Figure 1A and B). Note that the degradation
273 products detected using either N-terminal Xpress tag or a C-terminal Myc tag
274 corresponded to approximate cleavage in the S5 domain (equivalent to that seen for
275 NaChBac#1 oligomer). It is also noteworthy that the strong expression of NaChBac
276 monomer using pCDNA4 also suffers degradation (which is not apparent using more
277 modest expression levels driven by pTracer-CMV). This is consistent with NaChBac
278 being inherently unstable. To investigate the expression in non-mammalian cells,
279 NaChBac#2 tetramer was also expressed in yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*; Figure

280 1C) and bacteria (*Escherichiacoli*; Figure 1D). Similar degradation of NaChBac#2
281 tetramer was detected in *E. coli* but intact NaChBac#2 tetramer was apparent in yeast
282 albeit with detectable lower grade degradation. These results suggest that NaChBac
283 oligomers are inherently unstable, though the intact expression in a yeast raises the
284 possibility of using *Pichia pastoris* [21] for high-level protein production (which could
285 be used in downstream biochemical and structural investigations of NaChBac
286 oligomers).

287 The failure to generate intact NaChBac oligomers in CHO and HEK cells is at odds
288 with previous report [13, 16]. However, Zhao et al. [16] employed only
289 electrophysiological characterization (with no reported immunodetection) in the
290 analysis of their NaChBac dimer construct expressed in CHO cells. Although the
291 electrophysiological characterizations and subsequent interpretations by Zhao et al. are
292 reasonable, this approach cannot exclude the possibility of lower grade degradation.
293 Pavlov et al. [13] reported intact oligomer generation (in HEK cells) free from
294 degradation using Western blot analysis (albeit also at lower expression levels to that
295 reported for the monomer). This contrasts with the present study despite the use of the
296 same linker and expression vector in HEK cells. A possible explanation of this
297 discrepancy may lie in Pavlov et al. using a nickel column to isolate N-terminally HIS-
298 tagged NaChBac prior to Western blotting which may have inadvertently selected for
299 intact products.

300 To explore other bacterial voltage-gated sodium channels, equivalent NavAb and

301 NavMs oligomers were generated. NavAb expression in mammalian cells was
302 relatively low (Supplementary Figure 8; see Supplementary Figures file) and difficult
303 to detect consistently; consequently NavAb was not investigated further. Figure 2A
304 shows the detection of Myc epitopes from total protein extracted from CHO cells
305 expressing NavMs and indicating substantial degradation (note weak expression levels
306 associated with degradation products). However, equivalent expression in HEK cells
307 showed that NavMs oligomers remained intact with no detectable lower order
308 degradation apparent (Figures 2B and C). Furthermore, confocal microscopy also
309 showed that the NavMs tetramer was located on the plasma membrane (Supplementary
310 Figure 9; see Supplementary Figures file). Based on these results, the NavMs tetramer
311 was taken forward for electrophysiological investigation to establish functionality.

312 *Electrophysiological properties of concatenated NavMs oligomers*

313 Figure 3A shows typical whole cell currents from cells expressing monomeric, trimeric
314 and tetrameric forms of NavMs. Notably, NavMs-like whole cell currents were detected
315 in HEK cells expressing NavMs monomer and tetramer but not in cells expressing the
316 trimeric form. This contrasted with NavMs trimer expression in CHO cells (which
317 showed degradation of NavMs; Figure 2A) in which whole cell currents consistent with
318 the NavMs oligomer degradation are detectable (Figure 3A (iv)). The absence of
319 NavMs-mediated currents in HEK cells expressing the trimer constructs confirms the
320 integrity of the concatemer approach to control subunit assembly in the formation of
321 NavMs channels and is consistent with the conclusion that subunits from different

322 concatemers do not interact. Mean current density (Figure 3B) from cells expressing
323 Na_vMs monomer (30.20 ± 12.89 pA/pF; $n = 7$) was similar to that in cells expressing the
324 Na_vMs tetramer (21.49 ± 6.30 pA/pF; $n = 6$). Furthermore, fitting whole cell current with
325 an exponential function revealed that the activation time constant (τ) was similar in
326 cells expressing the monomer and tetramer (Figure 3C) and is similar to that previously
327 reported for Na_vMs [22]. Taken together these results show that the NavMs oligomer is
328 intact and exhibits equivalent electrophysiological characteristic to that for the Na_vMs
329 monomer.

330 **Conclusions**

331 The covalent linkage of four bacterial Nav channel monomers resembles the
332 macroscopic structure of their eukaryotic counterparts, which should enable the
333 introduction of radial asymmetry into the structure of bacterial Nav channels. We have
334 created a new tool for the investigation of Na^+ channels that will enable the physical
335 construction and electrophysiological investigation of bacterial channels (with atomic-
336 resolution structure) exhibiting a SF, for example, composed of an amino acid motif
337 (DEKA) typical of eukaryotic Nav channels. For instance, Flood et al. [24] recently
338 simulated Na^+ permeation in a simulation model of the human Nav1.2 channel
339 constructed by grafting residues of its selectivity filter and external vestibule region
340 onto a bacterial channel with atomic-resolution structure. Their simulations captured a
341 Na^+ knock on conduction mechanism in which the DEKA ring lysine (in its protonated
342 form) was seen to form a stable complex with carboxylates and Na^+ . In contrast and in

343 the presence of K^+ , the K^+ -lysine-carboxylate complex is non-existent resulting in the
344 lysine acting as an electrostatic plug blocking K^+ permeation. The finding that the
345 NavMs bacterial channel can be stably concatenated opens up the possibility of
346 experimentally testing these predictions/modelling results by physically constructing a
347 bacterial channel chimera in which the human Nav1.2 selectivity and vestibule region
348 is grafted onto the NavMs concatemer. A further use of the concatemer is in the testing
349 of ion permeation models which propose that the charge (Q_f) associated with the amino
350 acid residues forming the SF are the principal factor underlying ion selectivity [23]. In
351 these studies, the selectivity filter of the concatamer can be mutated with the
352 substitution of additional D or E residues to change the value of Q_f in steps of $-1e$ (as
353 opposed to steps of $-4e$ when using the monomer) and thus provide a more detailed
354 investigation of the role of Q_f enabling model development.

355 **Declarations**

356 **Ethics approval and consent to participate.** Not applicable.

357 **Consent for publication.** Not applicable.

358 **Availability of data and material.** The data that support the findings of this study are included
359 in the published article and supplementary information and figures files. Further information is
360 available from the corresponding author

361 **Competing interests.** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

362 **Funding.** The work was supported by EPSRC grant (EP/M015831/1). The funding enabled the
363 design of study, acquisition, analysis and interpretation of the data presented and writing of the

364 manuscript.

365 **Authors contributions.** HS and ZZ planned and performed experiments to construct and
366 validate (biochemical and electrophysiological) channel concatemers and assisted in
367 preparation of manuscript. OF planned and performed electrophysiological characterisation of
368 NaChBac and NavMs and assisted in writing the manuscript. SR conceived and designed the
369 experiments, assisted in the generation of the channel constructs, interpreted the data and wrote
370 the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

371 **Acknowledgments.** We are grateful to Jane Andre and Ed Parkin (for technical support/advice
372 in Western blotting). To the memory of Dr Igor Kaufman who inspired the generation of the
373 concatemer.

374 **Supplementary files.** “Supplementary Information” file contains additional information on
375 the construction of bacterial channel concatemers, immunolocalization methodology and
376 description of results and supplementary figures 1 – 4 which show the DNA sequences of the
377 channel concatemers. “Supplementary Figures” file contains Supplementary Table 1 listing the
378 primers used for construction of the channel concatemers and Supplementary Figure 5 – 9
379 which show additional Western blot (and electrophysiological) analyses of channel expression
380 and immunolocalization confocal images of channel constructs. All supplementary figures are
381 referred to in the main text .

382

383 **List of abbreviations**

384 SCM: synthetic complete media

385 LB: lysogeny broth

- 386 PMSF: phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride
- 387 LiAC: lithium acetate
- 388 TMS: transmembrane spanning domains
- 389 Navs: voltage gated sodium channels
- 390 CMV: cytomegalovirus
- 391 ITPG: Isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside
- 392 SDS PAGE: sodium lauryl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
- 393 PBS: phosphate buffer saline
- 394 Note that standard abbreviations for amino acids, nucleic acid and element symbols are
- 395 used.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1 Catterall, W. A. & Zheng, N. Deciphering voltage-gated Na⁺ and Ca²⁺ channels by studying prokaryotic ancestors. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* 2015; 40; 526-534; doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2015.07.002.
- 2 Shen, H. Z. *et al.* Structure of a eukaryotic voltage-gated sodium channel at near-atomic resolution. *Science* 2017; 355; doi:10.1126/science.aal4326.
- 3 Payandeh, J., Scheuer, T., Zheng, N. & Catterall, W. A. The crystal structure of a voltage-gated sodium channel. *Nature* 2011; 475; doi:10.1038/nature10238.
- 4 Payandeh, J., El-Din, T. M. G., Scheuer, T., Zheng, N. & Catterall, W. A. Crystal structure of a voltage-gated sodium channel in two potentially inactivated states. *Nature* 2012; 486; doi:10.1038/nature11077.
- 5 McCusker, E. C. *et al.* Structure of a bacterial voltage-gated sodium channel pore reveals mechanisms of opening and closing. *Nature Communications* 2012; 3; doi:10.1038/ncomms2077.
- 6 Bagnieris, C. *et al.* Role of the C-terminal domain in the structure and function of tetrameric sodium channels. *Nature Communications* 2013; 4; doi:10.1038/ncomms3465.
- 7 Shaya, D. *et al.* Voltage-gated sodium channel (Na-V) protein dissection creates a set of functional pore-only proteins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2011; 10; 12313-12318; doi:10.1073/pnas.1106811108.
- 8 Bagnieris, C., Naylor, C. E., McCusker, E. C. & Wallace, B. A. Structural model of the open-closed-inactivated cycle of prokaryotic voltage-gated sodium channels. *Journal of General Physiology* 2015; 145; 5-16; doi:10.1085/jgp.201411242.
- 9 Naylor, C. E. *et al.* Molecular basis of ion permeability in a voltage-gated sodium channel. *Embo Journal* 2016; 35; 820-830.
- 10 Bagnieris, C. *et al.* Prokaryotic NavMs channel as a structural and functional model for eukaryotic sodium channel antagonism. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2014; 111; 8428-8433; doi:10.1073/pnas.1406855111.
- 11 Xia, M. *et al.* The Mechanism of Na⁺/K⁺ Selectivity in Mammalian Voltage-Gated Sodium Channels Based on Molecular Dynamics Simulation. *Biophysical Journal* 2013; 104; 2401-2409.
- 12 Al-Sabi, A. *et al.* Position-dependent attenuation by Kv1.6 of N-type inactivation of Kv1.4-containing channels. *Biochemical Journal* 2011; 438; 389-396; doi:10.1042/bj20102169.
- 13 Pavlov, E. *et al.* The pore, not cytoplasmic domains, underlies inactivation in a prokaryotic sodium channel. *Biophysical Journal* 2005; 89; 232-242;

doi:10.1529/biophysj.104.056994.

14 Gietz, D., Stjean, A., Woods, R. A. & Schiestl, R. H. Improved method for high efficiency transformation of intact yeast cells. *Nucleic Acids Research* 1992; 20; 1425-1425; doi:10.1093/nar/20.6.1425.

15 Neher, E. Corrections for liquid junction potentials in patch clamp experiments. *Methods in Enzymology* 1992; 207; 123-131.

16 Zhao, Y., Yarov-Yarovoy, V., Scheuer, T. & Catterall, W. A. A gating hinge in Na⁺ channels: A molecular switch for electrical signaling. *Neuron* 2004 41; 859-865; doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(04)00116-3.

17 Ren, D. J. *et al.* A prokaryotic voltage-gated sodium channel. *Science* 2001; 294; 2372-2375; doi:10.1126/science.1065635.

18 Nicke, A., Rettinger, J. & Schmalzing, G. Monomeric and dimeric byproducts are the principal functional elements of higher order P2X(1) concatamers. *Molecular Pharmacology* 2003; 63; 243-252; doi:10.1124/mol.63.1.243.

19 Alzayady, K. J. *et al.* Functional Inositol 1,4,5-Trisphosphate Receptors Assembled from Concatenated Homo- and Heteromeric Subunits. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2013; 288; 29772-29784; doi:10.1074/jbc.M113.502203.

20 Baumann, S. W., Baur, R. & Sigel, E. Subunit arrangement of gamma-aminobutyric acid type a receptors. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2001; 276; 36275-36280; doi:10.1074/jbc.M105240200.

21 Ahmad, M., Hirz, M., Pichler, H. & Schwab, H. Protein expression in *Pichia pastoris*: recent achievements and perspectives for heterologous protein production. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 2014; 98; 5301-5317; doi:10.1007/s00253-014-5732-5.

22 Sula, A. *et al.* The complete structure of an activated open sodium channel. *Nature Communications* 2017; 8; doi:10.1038/ncomms14205.

23 Kaufman, I. K., Luchinsky, D. G., Gibby, W. A. T., McClintock, P. V. E. & Eisenberg, R. S. Putative resolution of the EEEE selectivity paradox in L-type Ca²⁺ and bacterial Na⁺ biological ion channels. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics-Theory and Experiment* 2016; 5; 054027; doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2016/05/054027.

24 Flood, E., Boiteux, C. & Allen, T. W. Selective ion permeation involves complexation with carboxylates and lysine in a model human sodium channel. *PLoS Computational Biology* 2018; 12; 14(9):e1006398; doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006398.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Western blot analyses of total cell protein extracted from (A) CHO, (B) HEK293T, (C) yeast and (D) bacterial cells expressing NaChBac#2 oligomers. (A) Upper panel shows reaction to anti-Myc antibody; lower panel is loading control and shows reaction to anti- β -actin antibody. Empty vector (EV) control was the plasmid of pCDNA4. (B) Upper panels show reaction to anti-Xpress antibody; lower panel is loading control and shows reaction to anti- β -actin antibody. EV control was pCDNA4. (C) Upper panel shows reaction to anti-Myc antibody; lower panel is loading control and shows reaction to anti-GAPDH antibody. EV control was pYES2. (D) Upper panel shows reaction to anti-Myc antibody; lower panel is loading control and shows reaction to anti-GAPDH antibody. EV control was pTBX1.

Figure 2. Western blot analyses of total cell protein extracted from (A) CHO and (B and C) HEK293T cells expressing NavMs oligomers. Upper panels show reaction to anti-Myc or Xpress antibody; lower panels are loading control and show reaction to anti- β -actin antibody. EV control was the plasmid of pCDNA4. Arrows indicate expected sizes of intact oligomers.

Figure 3. Current density and kinetic properties of NavMs expressed in HEK293T cells. (A) Typical whole cell currents recorded from HEK293 cells transfected to express (i) monomeric, (ii) tetrameric and (iii) trimeric NavMs. (iv) Typical recording from CHO cells expressing trimeric NavMs. Currents were recorded in response to stepping the voltage from 40 mV to -60 mV in -20 mV step from a V_{hold} of -140 mV. (B) Mean peak current density from HEK293 cells expressing tetrameric (closed squares; $n = 7$), and monomeric (open squares; $n = 6$) NavMs. Error bars represent SEM. (C) Activation kinetic properties (determined from fitting an exponential power function). Currents result from V_{hold} of -140 mV. Error bars represent SEM.