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Abstract—The diversity of cloud offerings motivated the proposition of cloud modelling languages (CMLs) to abstract complexities related to selection of cloud services. However, current CMLs lack the support for modelling service level objectives (SLOs) that are required for the customer applications. Consequently, we propose an application- and provider-independent SLO modelling language (SLO-ML) to enable customers to specify the required SLOs. We also sketch the architecture to realise SLO-ML.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The growing expansion of the cloud market poses a challenge to its customers who are already overwhelmed with a wide choice of cloud service [1]. The scale as well as diversity of the range of offerings, and their real time performance variation are adding more challenges to the selection decision [2], [3]. One approach to facilitate such decision is to base the selection on provider guarantees regarding service performance that is defined as a set of service level objectives (SLOs) that are part of the service level agreements (SLAs).

In order to make it easier for customers to select services and deploy applications, cloud modelling languages (CMLs) were proposed (e.g., [4]). They provide means for high level description of a cloud application’s topology, then automate their deployment. With respect to SLO modelling, a few of the proposed CMLs support such modelling through standards that are designed primarily for providers to specify their services levels (e.g., WS-Policy). In other words, they lack support for customer-oriented SLOs modelling.

As a result, we propose a design of a new language for SLO modelling, SLO-ML, that provides a comprehensive syntax for capturing service level requirements, supporting all SLOs currently used by IaaS providers and those specified in industry standards. SLO-ML provides customers with a high level of abstraction, whereby they can specify SLOs for required cloud services regardless of the low level details of those services. More importantly, SLO-ML supports applications in both single- and multi-cloud environments. In addition, we present the architecture of cloud brokerage system that realises the SLO-ML based selection of services.

II. SLO MODELLING LANGUAGE

The key aim of SLO-ML is to provide a comprehensive syntax to capture all possible SLOs that customers may require to specify service levels of their applications, taking into account the requirement for multi-cloud deployment. For this purpose, SLO-ML enables customers to specify SLOs for each application component. Therefore, SLO-ML supports SLO specification in both single- and multi-cloud applications.

A. Design principles

The design of SLO-ML is based on the following principles:

1) Customer-oriented. SLO-ML is designed to enable customers to specify their high-level operational requirements in a simple declarative syntax.
2) Independence. To avoid vendor lock-in, SLO specification should be independent of cloud service specification. Furthermore, it needs to be independent of cloud application development technology and implementation details.
3) Abstraction. Customers should be able to specify SLOs regardless of the required type of cloud service, such as SaaS, PaaS, FaaS, etc.
4) Separation of concerns. It should be possible to maintain and adapt isolated SLO specification at an application component level. For example, a load-balancing component’s SLOs should be separate from those of a data storage element.
5) Mapping SLOs. A high-level SLOs which specified by users should be broken down to low-level ones, and then further mapped to the application component level. For example, the response time of a three-tier application consists of processing time for each layer.

B. Key elements of SLO-ML

We adopt JSON syntax for representing SLOs. The elements of the current syntax are: Names, Value types, Units, and Operators. An illustrative example is given in Listing 1.

A unique keyword name is used to refer to each SLO. The keywords are self-explanatory, making it simple for developers to understand. For example, the keyword Response_Time is used to refer to the response time SLO.

SLO-ML supports three types of the SLO values: scalar, interval, and categorical. The scalar type is used to specify a numerical value (e.g., availability = 0.9999). The interval type is used to specify an upper- and lower-bound of SLO value (e.g., response time between 5ms and 10ms). Categorical types provide a higher level of abstraction for SLO value specification, allowing customers to specify a category (e.g.,
Our immediate next step is to address the implementation of the brokerage system. This includes developing mechanisms for (i) mapping between cloud service SLAs of different providers, (ii) monitoring cloud service performance using low-level metrics, and (iii) mapping low-level metrics to high-level SLOs required by cloud customers.
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