1	Distinctive phytohormonal and metabolic profiles of Arabidopsis thaliana and Eutrema
2	salsugineum under similar soil drying

3

Carla Pinheiro^{1,2,*}, Elizabeth Dickinson³, Andrew Marriott³, Isa C. Ribeiro¹, Marta Pintó-4 Marijuan^{1,5}, Carla António^{1,3}, Olfa Zarrouk¹, Maria Manuela Chaves¹, Ian C. Dodd⁶, Sergi 5 Munné-Bosch⁵, Jane Thomas-Oates³, Julie Wilson^{3,4#}. 6 7 ¹ Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Av. da 8 9 República, EAN, 2781-901 Oeiras, Portugal ² DCV - Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, 2829-516 10 11 Caparica, Portugal ³ Department of Chemistry, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, United 12 13 Kingdom ⁴ Department of Mathematics, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, United 14 15 Kingdom ⁵ Department of Evolutionary Biology, Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Facultat de 16 Biologia, Universitat de Barcelona, Avinguda Diagonal 643, 08028 Barcelona, Spain 17 ⁶ The Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom 18 19 20 * Corresponding author: pinheiro@itqb.unl.pt 21 [#] Corresponding author: julie.wilson@york.ac.uk 22 23 24 25 Running title: Arabidopsis and Eutrema responses to soil drying 26

- 27 Abstract
- 28

29 Although plants respond to soil drying via a series of concurrent physiological and molecular 30 events, drought tolerance differs greatly within the plant kingdom. While Eutrema salsugineum 31 (formerly *Thellungiella salsuginea*) is regarded as more stress tolerant than its close relative Arabidopsis thaliana, their responses to soil water deficit have not previously been directly 32 33 compared. To ensure a similar rate of soil drying for the two species, daily soil water depletion 34 was controlled to 5-10 % of the soil water content. While partial stomatal closure occurred 35 earlier in Arabidopsis (Day 4) than Eutrema (from Day 6 onwards), thereafter both species 36 showed similar stomatal sensitivity to drying soil. However, both targeted and untargeted 37 metabolite analysis revealed greater response to drought in Arabidopsis than Eutrema. Early 38 peaks in foliar phytohormone concentrations and different sugar profiles between species were 39 accompanied by opposing patterns in the bioactive cytokinin profiles. Untargeted analysis 40 showed greater metabolic adjustment in Arabidopsis with more statistically significant changes in both early and severe drought stress. The distinct metabolic responses of each species during 41 42 early drought, which occurred prior to leaf water status declining, seemed independent of later 43 stomatal closure in response to drought. The two species also showed distinct water usage, with 44 earlier reduction in water consumption in Eutrema (Day 3) than Arabidopsis (Day 6), likely reflecting temporal differences in growth responses. We propose Arabidopsis as a promising 45 46 model to evaluate the mechanisms responsible for stress-induced growth inhibition under the 47 mild/moderate soil drying that crop plants are typically exposed to. 48 49 Keywords: Bioactive cytokinins; Drought resilience; Metabolite profiles; Redox state;

Rewatering; Stomatal conductance; Unsupervised multivariate analysis.

51

52	Abbreviations		
53	2-IP	2-isopentenyl adenine	
54	ABA	Abscisic acid	
55	ACC	Ethylene precursor 1-amino-cyclopropane-1-carboxyic acid	
56	AscA	Ascorbate (reduced)	
57	Chla	Chlorophyll a	
58	CKs	Cytokinins	
59	DHA	Dehydroascorbate (oxidized)	
60	DHZ	Dihydrozeatin	
61	DHZR	Dihydrozeatin riboside	
62	DTT	Dithiothreitol	
63	Fv/Fm	Leaf chlorophyll fluorescence	
64	GAs	Gibberellins	
65	IAA	Indole-3-acetic acid	
66	IPA	Isopentenyl adenosine	
67	JA	Jasmonic acid	
68	LC-MS	Liquid chromatography - mass sectrometry	
69	LRWC	Leaf relative water content	
70	OA	Osmotic adjustment	
71	OP	Osmotic potential	
72	PCA	Principal components analysis	
73	QC	Quality control	
74	Ζ	Trans-zeatin	
75	ZR	Trans-zeatin riboside	
76	OP100	Osmotic potential at full turgor	
77	ROS	Reactive oxygen species	
78	RRWC	Root relative water content	
79	SA	Salicylic acid	
80	SWC	Soil water content	
81			
82			
83			
84			

85 Introduction

86 In view of climate change, a major goal for the plant biology community is to understand the mechanisms that allow some plants to withstand drought or hot weather. Knowledge of how 87 88 plants survive and reproduce in challenging environmental conditions can allow novel targets 89 to be tested in crop-breeding programs. The well-known model species Arabidopsis thaliana 90 provides information that can be applied to crop systems (Piquerez et al. 2014; Gilliham et al. 91 2017). Using the Columbia accession (Col-0) and its mutants has allowed many stress 92 regulatory and responsive pathways to be deciphered (Koornneef and Meinke, 2010; Osakabe 93 et al. 2014), although its stress resilience has not been fully established. Despite wide ecotypic 94 variation (Montesinos-Navarro et al. 2011; Clauw et al. 2016), Arabidopsis is not expected to 95 cope well in extreme environments (Zhu et al. 2015). Instead, Arabidopsis relatives such as 96 Eutrema salsugineum have been proposed as stress-tolerant models (Orsini et al. 2010; Zhu et 97 al. 2015). Eutrema seems prepared for stress, as its stress-related genes are upregulated in 98 comparison to Arabidopsis even when grown under optimal conditions (Taji et al. 2004; Gong 99 et al. 2005). As with Arabidopsis, Eutrema salsugineum ecotypes from different geographical 100 regions show significant genetic variation (Lee et al. 2016). However, physiological and 101 metabolic responses of Arabidopsis and its stress tolerant relatives to soil water deficit have 102 not been directly compared.

103 Physiological responses to water deficit are modulated by the intensity, duration, and rate of 104 progression of imposed drought (Pinheiro and Chaves, 2011). Extensive research on the 105 stomatal regulation of water loss demonstrates a trade-off between carbon assimilation, 106 efficient water use and leaf cooling capacity (Chaves et al. 2016). Plants can be grouped 107 according to whether they avoid heat (keeping their stomata open for longer) or use water 108 efficiently (closing their stomata sooner, a typical drought-avoidance strategy). However, if 109 plants can avoid the deleterious effects of heat by keeping their stomata open for longer, while 110 maintaining a favourable water status by extracting more water (e.g. by having deep roots), 111 this strategy benefits carbon uptake in addition to the cooling effect. Under drought, 112 Arabidopsis Col-0 closes its stomata at higher soil moisture levels than other Arabidopsis 113 genotypes (Meyre et al. 2001). The two well-studied ecotypes of Eutrema, Shandong and 114 Yukon, can grow under limited soil water availability (Xu et al. 2014; Macleod et al. 2015), 115 but their drought performance, relative to Arabidopsis, is unknown.

116 The two plant species seemingly have distinct water consumption strategies, although it may

117 be difficult to separate species *versus* accession variation. Arabidopsis (Col-0) had relatively 118 higher total transpiration than Eutrema (Shandong) under non-challenging conditions, which 119 was related to its higher relative growth rate (Orsini et al. 2010). Salinity decreased 120 transpiration to a larger extent in Arabidopsis than Eutrema. In addition to these different water 121 consumption strategies, Eutrema and Arabidopsis also had different biochemical composition 122 under non-challenging growth conditions, with foliar sucrose and glucose content higher in 123 Eutrema, while the hormones salicylic acid (SA) and jasmonic acid (JA) were higher in 124 Arabidopsis (Arbona et al. 2010; Pilarska et al. 2016). Furthermore, Eutrema expressed more 125 stress and defence genes than Arabidopsis under non-challenging conditions, which is described as stress priming (e.g. Taji et al. 2004; Gong et al. 2005; Lee et al. 2016). It is 126 127 uncertain whether these biochemical differences regulate differences in transpiration, and 128 consequently different rates of soil water depletion.

129 The metabolic features associated with the initial stages of soil drying are not clear. In 130 Arabidopsis, soil drying partially closes the stomata well before any decrease in carbon 131 assimilation rate (Hummel et al. 2010; Bechtold et al. 2016) or any significant increase in foliar 132 abscisic acid (ABA) content (Bechtold et al. 2016). ABA is described as the main driver 133 controlling plant performance under limited water availability since it induces stomatal closure, 134 but more comprehensive recent studies demonstrated that most of the plant hormones are 135 involved in stress signalling (Müller and Munné-Bosch, 2015). In addition, during the very 136 early stages of water limitation, effects on carbon metabolism (CO₂ assimilation, and sucrose 137 and starch formation and allocation) may be decoupled from stomatal closure (Pinheiro et al. 138 2011; Bechtold et al. 2016). Many players are involved in stress perception and signal 139 transduction leading to large alterations in carbon metabolism (Golldack et al. 2014; Urano et 140 al. 2017). The metabolic balance between several molecules triggers adjustment mechanisms, 141 and when several thresholds are achieved, physiological responses to drought occur (Pinheiro 142 et al. 2011). The integration of multiple environmental signals by sugars, hormones, and 143 reactive oxygen species (ROS) adjusts plant growth and determines whether plants survive or 144 perish under given environmental conditions (Pinheiro and Chaves, 2011; Osakabe et al. 2014). 145 The precise chain of events is not yet defined, and although some pathways and interactions 146 are understood, others are more elusive (Rivas-San Vicente and Plasencia, 2011; Munné-Bosch 147 and Müller, 2013; Ruan, 2014; de Ollas and Dodd, 2016). Although recent reports highlight 148 that stomatal closure is one of the initial events in response to soil drying, many other metabolic 149 adjustments also take place.

150 This research aimed to elucidate the impact of gradually declining soil water availability on leaf metabolism by directly comparing Arabidopsis (Col-0) and Eutrema (Shandong) under 151 152 slowly imposed progressive soil water deficit. As small changes in soil water content (10-15 153 %) affect not only leaf conductance but also plant metabolism (Davies et al. 1990; Pinheiro et 154 al. 2011), we used both untargeted metabolite analysis and targeted metabolite/biochemical 155 analyses to explore the physiological and metabolic adjustments prior to significant stomatal 156 closure. Although Arabidopsis and Eutrema show distinctive responses, Arabidopsis is able to 157 keep spending water for longer and could therefore provide a good model to study stress 158 responses under the soil drying conditions that crop plants are typically exposed to.

159

160 Materials and methods

161 Arabidopsis thaliana (Col-0) and Eutrema salsugineum (Shandong) seeds were soaked and 162 stratified at 4 °C for 4 or 14 days, respectively. Eutrema seeds were kindly donated by Arie 163 Altman (The Hebrew University of Jerusalem) and Arabidopsis seeds were purchased from 164 ABRC (Arabidopsis Biological Research Center, Columbus USA). Eutrema salsugineum is 165 the current designation of *Thellungiella salsuginea* (Integrated Taxonomic Information System on-line database, www.itis.gov; The International Plant Names Index, www.ipni.org). Seeds 166 were then transferred to pots (300 mL) containing a 1:1 mixture of coarse sand and peat 167 168 (Shamrock). Plants were grown under controlled conditions, under a 12 h photoperiod, temperatures ranging from 20 to 24 °C, with a 60-70 % relative humidity and 169 photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) of 250-300 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (SON-T Agro 400w, 170 Phillips). Plants were watered every day with demineralized water to 85 % of soil water content 171 172 (SWC). SWC was monitored daily and is defined as: SWC = [(pot weight - pot weight with173 totally dried substrate)] / [(pot weight at drained capacity – pot weight with totally dried 174 substrate)] x 100. Drought stress treatments were imposed when plants had 8 to 10 fully 175 expanded leaves (40 days for Eutrema and 36 days for Arabidopsis) and had covered the 176 surface of the pots (thereby minimising evaporation from the soil). Plant growth increased 177 during the experiment by 2.9 g fresh weight (FW) for Arabidopsis and 2.5 g FW for Eutrema 178 (on average), corresponding to less than 0.8 % error in estimating SWC (Fig. 1).

Preliminary drought experiments, in which water was withheld, showed faster soil water depletion and more rapid stomatal closure in Arabidopsis (Suppl. Fig. S1). Similarly, higher transpiration rates of Arabidopsis were previously reported (Orsini et al. 2010). Stomatal 182 conductance of the two species was differentially sensitive to soil drying (Suppl. Fig. S1c and
183 c). Within the 45-55 % SWC range, Arabidopsis showed greater stomatal closure than Eutrema,
184 but below 40 % SWC both species showed similar stomatal sensitivity to soil water deficit and
185 were severely affected by drought. However, analysis of covariance demonstrated no
186 significant species x SWC interaction, with both species showing a similar relationship
187 between % gs vs soil water content (Suppl. Fig. S1c).

To compare stress duration and intensity effects on plant responses, the rate of soil water depletion was controlled to 5-10 % of the SWC per day by pre-dawn irrigation (Fig. 1). Even when controlling the SWC, Arabidopsis consumed more water than Eutrema, as indicated by the greater divergence between SWC measured at maximum soil water deficit (symbols) and the SWC to which the pot was re-turned to pre-dawn ("stress" line in Fig. 1). This greater water use of Arabidopsis was most prominent between Days 3 and 7.

194 Plants were harvested 0 (last day of watering), 1, 3, 5 and 12 days after beginning the 195 experiment, corresponding to 75 %, 66 %, 45 %, and 12 % SWC, respectively. Samples were 196 also taken the day after re-watering (1 d). Six biological replicates were obtained at each time-197 point, except for Day 1 controls for which there were only five biological replicates, providing 65 samples of each plant species. At the beginning of the assay, the most recently expanded 198 199 two-three leaflets were identified and used for physiological and water status measurements. 200 For the biochemical analysis, and when analysing severe drought and early rewatering, only 201 non-senescent leaflets were used, i.e. the younger leaflets. Samples for biochemical (hormone, 202 carbohydrate, pigment and oxidative status) analysis were immediately frozen in liquid 203 nitrogen and kept at -80 °C until further extraction and analysis. Samples for osmotic potential 204 and for RWC were then collected.

205 Leaf conductance, water status and osmotic adjustment

Stomatal conductance was measured 2-3 h after the beginning of the photoperiod in five plants per treatment using a portable gas exchange photosynthesis system coupled to a 6400-15 chamber (1 cm² diameter cuvette, Li-6400, Li-Cor, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). Three to five measurements were made per plant on the most recently expanded leaf.

Leaf and root samples were taken 4 h after the beginning of the photoperiod. Leaf discs (3 mm diameter) and total roots were weighed to obtain fresh weight (FW), placed in darkened petri dishes containing distilled water for 2 h to fully hydrate, then re-weighed to obtain turgid

- 213 weight (TW), and then dried at 80 °C for 48 h to obtain dry weight (DW). Leaf (LRWC) and
- 214 root (RRWC) relative water content were calculated as: $RWC = [(FW DW) \times 100 / (TW DW) \times 100$
- 215 DW)].
- 216 Leaf osmotic potential (ψ s) was evaluated from leaf disks (8 mm, n = 5-6), frozen and stored
- at -80 °C. The leaf osmotic potential was measured with an HR-33T dew point microvoltmeter
- and C-52 sample chambers (Wescor, Inc., Logan, UT, USA). The osmotic potential was
- adjusted to the LRWC to calculate the osmotic potential at full turgor (OP100), and the osmotic
- adjustment was calculated as previously described (Turner et al. 2007).

221 Phytohormone quantification via LC-MS targeted analysis

Freeze-dried shoots (50 mg) were used to extract and quantify the following hormones (Müller and Munné-Bosch, 2011): auxin (indole-3-acetic acid: IAA), gibberellins (GA1, 4, 9, 19, 20, 24), cytokinin (CK) compounds (*trans*-zeatin: Z; *trans*-zeatin riboside: ZR; 2-isopentenyl adenine: 2iP; isopentenyl adenosine: IPA; dihydrozeatin: DHZ; dihydrozeatin riboside: DHZR), and stress-related phytohormones (ABA; JA; SA; and ethylene precursor 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxyic acid: ACC).

228 Extraction was performed in methanol solutions containing 1 % glacial acetic acid, using the 229 following standards: d5-IAA, d6-2-isopentenyl adenine (d6-2iP), d6-IPA, d6-ABA, d5-JA, d4-230 SA, d4-ACC, d2-GA1, d2-GA4, d2-GA9, d2-GA19, d2-GA20 and d2-GA24; d5-Z and d5-ZR were 231 used as standards for Z, DHZ, ZR, and DHZR. After adding 170 µL of the extraction solution 232 and 30 μ L of a solution containing 100 ppm of the standards in the same solvent, the materials 233 were mixed in a vortex mixer for 5 s and exposed to ultrasound for 30 min, followed by 234 centrifugation at 9,500 g for 10 min. The supernatant was removed and the residue was washed 235 twice with 100 μ L of the solvent solution. The supernatant and washes were combined and 236 filtered through PTFE 0.22 µm filter paper (Waters, Milford, MA) and 5 µL aliquots were 237 analysed using a UPLC-ESI-MS/MS (Acquity UPLC System from Waters, Milford, MA) and 238 tandem MS/MS experiments were performed on an API 3000 triple quadrupole mass 239 spectrometer (PE Sciex, Concord, Ont., Canada) using a HALO[™] C18 column (2.1 × 75 mm, 2.7 µm) (Advanced Materials Technology, Inc. Wilmington, DE) and a binary mobile phase 240 241 system composed of (A) water modified with 0.05 % glacial acetic acid and (B) acetonitrile 242 modified with 0.05 % glacial acetic acid. Quantification was performed by preparing a 243 calibration curve including each of the analysed compounds and calculating the 244 compound/standard ratio using AnalystTM software (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City,

245 CA). The results were expressed on a dry weight (DW) basis.

246 Ascorbate oxidative status

247 Ascorbate reduced and oxidized forms were determined by a plate-reader method (Queval and 248 Noctor, 2007) with slight modifications. Briefly, lyophilised leaves (20 mg DW) were placed 249 in a microcentrifuge tube with two tungsten balls and ground under liquid nitrogen in a Retsch 250 MM300 Bead Mill Cell Disrupter (Retsch GmbH & Co Haan Germany). Subsequently, 1 mL 251 of extraction buffer (6 % meta-phosphoric acid) was added, vortexed for 1 min and clarified 252 by centrifugation at 10,000 g (10 min, 4°C). Finally, extracts were neutralized and adequately 253 diluted before spectrophotometric readings on a 96 well quartz microplate (Hellma Hispania 254 SL, Badalona, Spain). The levels of ascorbate (AscA) (reduced) and dehydroascorbate (DHA) 255 (oxidized) were determined using ascorbate oxidase (AO) and dithiothreitol (DTT), 256 respectively (Foyer et al. 1983). AO specifically oxidizes all AscA in the sample. Therefore, 257 the decrease in O.D. at 265 nm is related to AscA content. Alternatively, when the samples are 258 incubated with DTT, DHA is reduced to AscA and the increase in O.D. is proportional to the 259 initial DHA content. The ascorbate oxidative status was estimated as DHA/(DHA + AscA).

260 **Photosynthetic pigments quantification**

261 For pigment extraction, lyophilised leaf samples (15 mg DW) were placed in a microcentrifuge tube with two tungsten balls, ground under liquid nitrogen in a Retsch MM300 Bead Mill Cell 262 263 Disrupter (Retsch GmbH & Co Haan Germany), and extracted with ice-cold 80 % acetone (v/v). After centrifuging at 6,500 g for 10 min at 4 °C, the supernatant was collected and the 264 265 pellet was re-extracted with the same solvent until it was colourless. Then, supernatants were pooled and analysed spectrophotometrically. Specific absorption coefficients in 80 % acetone 266 267 previously reported were used to quantify chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids 268 (Lichtenthaler and Buschmann, 2001).

269 Extraction of water soluble carbohydrates and starch

Water-soluble carbohydrates were extracted from freeze-dried leaf material following a chloroform:methanol method previously described (Antonio et al. 2008). Briefly, 50 mg DW of leaf material was ground in liquid nitrogen and extracted with 250 μ L ice-cold chloroform: methanol (3:7, v/v), vortex-mixed and incubated at -20 °C for 2 h. After incubation, samples were extracted twice with ice-cold water, and after centrifugation at 17,900 *g* at 4 °C for 10 min, the upper phases were collected and pooled. The combined supernatants containing the water-soluble carbohydrates were evaporated to dryness using a centrifugal concentrator (Savant SpeedVac Plus SC110A, Thermo Electron Corporation, Runcorn, UK). Samples were reconstituted in 100 μ L water and centrifuged at 6,800 g at 20 °C for 30 min, followed by LC-MS analysis.

For starch analysis, the pellet resulting from the chloroform:methanol extraction was washed twice with water. Ten volumes of water were added to the pellet, boiled for 3 min, and autoclaved at 130 °C for 1 h. After cooling, samples were incubated with 6 U amyloglucosidase (Roche Applied Science, Amadora, Portugal) for 2 h at pH 4.8 and 60 °C. Starch was quantified in the supernatant using a starch enzymatic quantification kit (n° 10207748035, R-Biopharm Aktiengesellschaft, Darmstadt, Germany) and by making use of the Hatterscheid and Willenbrink modification as previously described (Pinheiro et al. 2001).

287 Untargeted LC-MS analysis of the water-soluble carbohydrate fraction

288 Arabidopsis and Eutrema samples were analysed as separate cohorts. In each case, samples 289 were randomized and run in batches of eight or nine with the injection of a pooled sample 290 between batches for quality control (QC). LC-MS analyses were performed on a Dionex U3000 291 2D HPLC system coupled to a Bruker maXis UHR-Q-TOF MS with an ESI interface. Analytes 292 were detected in the negative ion mode using the following MS parameters: capillary voltage, 293 4500 V; nebulizer gas, 2 Bar; drying gas, 8.0 L/min; drying temperature, 200°C, and collision 294 energy, -10.0 eV. Mass spectra were acquired over the scan range m/z 50-1000. Chromatographic separation was carried out using a porous graphitic carbon (PGC) 295 296 HypercarbTM column (5 µm, 100 mm × 4.6 mm; Thermo Electron, Runcorn, Cheshire, UK) at a flow rate of 600 μ L min⁻¹. All samples were reconstituted with 500 μ L deionised water with 297 298 a further 50-fold dilution in deionised water to prevent signal saturation and to minimise matrix 299 effects. The sample injection volume was 20 µL and the PGC column was used at ambient 300 temperature (25 °C). The binary mobile phase was composed of (A) water modified with 0.1 301 % (v/v) formic acid (FA) and (B) acetonitrile modified with 0.1 % FA. The gradient elution 302 was as follows: 0-4 min maintained at 2 % B; 4-7 min, 2 to 8 % B; 7-10 min 8-25 % B and 303 maintained for 3 min, followed by column regeneration and re-equilibration: 13-19 min, 25 to 304 40 % B; 19-19.5 min, 40 to 50 % B held for 1 min; 20.5-21 min 50 to 99 % B held for 2 min; 305 23-25 min 99 to 2 % B and maintained for 10 min. All solvents were purchased from Fisher 306 Scientific except FA, which was purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

307 Statistical analysis

Raw LC-MS data were pre-processed using Progenesis QI (Nonlinear Dynamics, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK). Mass spectra were aligned by retention time and normalized to the same total ion count before peak picking was performed to provide a matrix of potential metabolites for each observation, annotated by the accurate mass (m/z between 50 and 1000) and retention time (between 1 and 30 min) of the corresponding peak. In total, 53208 and 33032 peaks were recorded for Arabidopsis and Eutrema, respectively, and were used as variables in multivariate and univariate analyses.

When the Eutrema data were scaled to unit variance to allow smaller variables to contribute to the analysis, differences between batches became apparent, with the last two batches differing substantially from the rest (Suppl. Fig. S2a). Liquid chromatography-mass spectra are often acquired batch-wise to allow necessary calibrations and cleaning of the instrument. However, this may introduce further sources of variation, such as differences in the conditions under which data for individual batches is acquired. Quality control (QC) samples are frequently employed to both judge and correct for this variation.

322 However, batch correction using the QC observations increased inter-batch variation as the 323 change in observations between batches was often not well-represented by the change in 324 corresponding QCs. Therefore, background correction for each variable was performed 325 (Rusilowicz et al. 2016; Wehrens et al. 2016). This method identifies a background trend, using 326 experimental observations as well as the QCs, with which to adjust the intensities. The run 327 order for data collection was randomized, but by chance a disproportionate number of early-328 stress observations occurred in batch 3 and several late-stress observations in batch 4. With the 329 exception of these two batches, which were combined, we used a separate trend for each batch, 330 obtained as a moving median with a window width of 5 observations. The effectiveness of 331 batch correction was assessed using the Bhattacharrya distance (Wehrens et al. 2016). In 332 addition, an outlier that dominated the variance after scaling was removed before calculating 333 the trend. Control correction was also performed on each variable to remove differences due 334 to growth. For each day of harvest, this was achieved by subtracting the median over the six 335 control replicates from the corresponding variable in the water-stressed observations for that 336 day. The Arabidopsis data showed no obvious differences between batches (Suppl. Fig. S3), 337 and therefore, batch correction was deemed unnecessary but control correction was performed 338 to prevent differences due to growth from masking early-stress characteristics. Principal 339 components analysis (PCA) was used for unsupervised multivariate analysis with both

unscaled data and after scaling to unit variance to prevent high content metabolites dominatingthe analysis.

342 To identify patterns in metabolites over time, k-means cluster analysis was performed with the 343 control-corrected time-series for both datasets. The initial clusters obtained were filtered using 344 the sum of squared values to remove the time-series for metabolites that did not differ 345 appreciably between drought and control observations, i.e. where all values in the control-346 corrected time-series were close to zero. Cluster analyses of the remaining time-series (with 347 various values of k) showed the largest cluster to consist of time-series with small random 348 fluctuations (essentially flat with random noise) rather than any temporal trend. We therefore 349 introduced an iterative filtering process to reduce the number of time-series, leaving small 350 clusters of time-series with very consistent patterns over time. In each iteration, k-means 351 clustering with k = 15 was performed and the largest cluster removed before the next analysis. 352 After four iterations, 46 time-series remained and were clustered using k-means with k = 9.

Univariate analyses were performed using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U-test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). Three-way group comparisons were carried out (early stress/late stress/rewatered and Days 1, 3 and 5 for each species) with one-way ANOVA and Tukey's honest significant difference (HSD) correction for multiple pairwise testing. Data correction methods were implemented using C code written in-house and statistical analyses were performed in the R platform, version 2.13.1 (R Core Team, 2016) or in Matlab (The MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA).

360 Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) discriminated possible species difference in stomatal361 sensitivity to drying soil.

362

363 Results

364 Stomatal sensitivity to drying soil and plant water status

Under well-watered conditions, stomatal conductance (gs) of both species exceeded 0.11 mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Suppl. Fig. S4a). Since gs of well-watered plants varied from day to day, gs of plants in drying soil was normalised according to the average well-watered values of each species. As the soil dried (Fig. 2), partial stomatal closure of Arabidopsis and Eutrema was detected on Days 4 and 6, respectively (Fig. 2). Within the 45-55 % SWC range, Arabidopsis showed greater stomatal closure than Eutrema, but below 40 % SWC both species showed similar stomatal sensitivity to soil water deficit and were severely affected by drought. Stomatal
conductance responded sluggishly to re-watering, with limited recovery (Suppl. Fig. S4a).
Across the entire experiment, both species showed a similar relationship between % gs *vs* soil
water content, with analysis of covariance demonstrating no significant species x SWC
interaction (Suppl. Fig. S4b). Thus, both species showed similar stomatal sensitivity to drying
soil.

Initial stomatal closure was not associated with decreased leaf water status, i.e. lower cell volume did not trigger early stomatal closure (Sack et al. 2018). On imposing soil water deficit, leaf RWC transiently decreased on Day 3 in Arabidopsis (supplementary table S1), but no significant differences in Eutrema leaf (and root) RWC were detected until Day 5 (supplementary table S2). Although statistically significant (at the 95% confidence level), its small magnitude (~4%) could be within the method error or due to daily fluctuations.

In contrast to plant water status, the water consumption patterns changed very early on, but were not temporally correlated with stomatal closure. Compared with its well-watered control, Eutrema started to lose less water from Day 3 onwards (3 days before any significant stomatal closure), as indicated by the slope of the soil RWC% line for plants in drying soil (Fig. 1). In contrast, Arabidopsis used less water from Day 6 onwards (two days after partial stomatal closure occurred). This suggests that earlier growth inhibition of Eutrema decreased whole plant water loss was independent of changes in plant water status.

By Day 12, leaf RWC of both species had declined to very low values (< 20 %) and leaflets
selected for water status measurements (those most recently expanded at the onset of the assay)
were severely wilted and exhibited senescence symptoms. Lower leaf chlorophyll fluorescence
(Fv/Fm) and lower chlorophyll a content indicated photoinhibition and/or leaf senescence
(Kalaji et al. 2016).

Despite the severity of the stress imposed, root water status of both species recovered within 24 h of re-watering. Root RWC of Eutrema was similar to those of the well-watered controls, while the root RWC of Arabidopsis was ~90 % of that of the controls. However, leaf RWC remained low, only ~50 % and ~40 % of the well-watered control values in Eutrema and Arabidopsis respectively (supplementary tables S1 and S2). In addition, Fv/Fm tended to increase in Eutrema, but values were unaffected in Arabidopsis (supplementary tables S1 and S2).

402 Untargeted metabolite analysis

403 The responses to soil water depletion in Arabidopsis and Eutrema were analysed via untargeted 404 LC-MS, making use of the water-soluble fraction. After batch correction of the Eutrema data, 405 PCA of the control corrected and scaled data grouped according to drought-stress duration for 406 both species (Fig. 3). Moreover, PCA of unscaled data showed that most of the variance is due 407 to large differences between early-stress (Days 1, 3 and 5) and late-stress (Days 12 and 13) 408 observations. Statistical separation of late-stress effects was not related to differing sample 409 water content, since comparable dry weights were used and the resulting data normalised 410 before statistical analysis.

In addition, an iterative k-means algorithm filtered out the largest clusters to leave those
comprising more unusual, and potentially more informative, patterns (Suppl. Fig. S5).
Hierarchical clustering with the 46 time-series selected by the k-means analysis (Fig. 4)
allowed the similarities (or differences) between the associated metabolites to be visualised.

415 Eutrema responds with small changes to early drought

When considering only the early-stress observations, the PCA scores plot shows clear grouping
by stress duration for both plant species (Fig. 5). Distinctive metabolic signatures were
obtained even for early days with limited soil drying (< 20 % change in SWC at Day 3).

419 In both Arabidopsis and Eutrema, inspection of the PCA loadings showed that many variables 420 contribute to the separation of each of the early stress days. Thus, metabolic separation between 421 sampling dates is due to the cumulative changes arising from small contributions of many 422 metabolites. However, the two species react differently to similar decrease in the soil water 423 availability. More metabolites responded to early drought stress in Arabidopsis, with 428 424 variables showing statistically significant differences between Days 1, 3 and 5 (P < 0.01; 36 with P < 0.001) in comparison to 35 in Eutrema (P < 0.01; 4 with P < 0.001). However, none 425 426 of the variables that consistently differed between the early days corresponded to those 427 identified as late-stress markers (such as sucrose), showing different metabolism during early 428 and late drought.

429 Severe drought causes larger metabolic alterations in Arabidopsis than in Eutrema

Late-stress markers for both Arabidopsis and Eutrema included peaks that were identified as
the carbohydrates sucrose and raffinose, by comparison with authentic standards of these
molecules (Table 1). Sucrose significantly increased and raffinose significantly decreased (*P*

433 < 0.001) in late stress (Day 12) and on re-watering (Day 13). A significant decrease was found 434 for features with m/z values of 341 and 387, most probably a hexose disaccharide. A feature 435 with m/z 711, also decreasing significantly, is tentatively assigned to stachyose, known to co-436 elute with raffinose (Antonio et al. 2008). Soil water deficit significantly (P < 0.00001) 437 decreased two co-eluting features (with m/z 191 and m/z 405) in both plant species The feature 438 with m/z 191 was assigned to citric acid, following tandem mass spectrometry (MS²) analysis 439 and comparison of the fragmentation pattern in both METLIN (www.metlin.scripps.edu) and 440 PRIMe (www.prime.psc.riken.jp) metabolomics databases. The co-eluting feature at m/z 405 on MS² produced a single fragment at m/z 191.0185, that was tentatively assigned as the 441 442 [2M-2H+Na]⁻ charge-sharing dimer of citric acid (accurate mass 405.0287). Univariate 443 analyses (after multiple test correction) indicated that 607 variables significantly (P < 0.0001) 444 differed between late-stress observations and controls in Arabidopsis, in comparison to just 445 171 in Eutrema.

446 In the cluster analysis, three clusters tend to decrease over time, including the response of 447 raffinose (Suppl. Fig. S5e-g), which was more extreme in Arabidopsis than Eutrema, therefore 448 occurring in a different cluster. Although the different ionic forms of citric acid from both 449 Arabidopsis and Eutrema group together (Suppl. Fig. S5f), a difference in the trend between 450 the two different plant species can be seen, with Eutrema showing an early increase before the 451 overall decrease. Citric acid decreased in response to late and severe drought, as previously 452 observed in lupin and Eutrema (Pinheiro et al. 2004; MacLeod et al. 2015). The final two 453 clusters (Suppl. Fig. S5h and i) show the response profiles of (unknown) compounds that are 454 significantly greater than or lower than the controls throughout the time-series, notably all from 455 Arabidopsis, and are good candidates for further studies.

456 In contrast, four clusters tended to increase rapidly in late drought; the scale of the response 457 accounts for the difference between these four clusters. They mostly comprise the differing 458 ionic forms of sucrose. In Arabidopsis, unknown compounds with m/z 133 and m/z 288 459 exhibited a very similar pattern to sucrose (Suppl. Fig. S5a-d). The most extreme responses 460 result in separate clusters consisting of just one or two observations Suppl. (Fig. S5c and d). 461 For each sucrose ionic species, the response for Days 12 and 13 is more extreme for 462 Arabidopsis than for Eutrema. In both plant species, a further unknown with m/z 195 also 463 clusters with sucrose, and re-watering causes a greater response than during late stress.

464 Targeted biochemical analysis

Although severe drought decreased the biomass of both species, Arabidopsis (22% decrease) was less sensitive than Eutrema (38% decrease) (supplementary tables S1 and S2). The growth reduction was accompanied by starch remobilization, supporting the hypothesis of carbon reserve reallocation. Although osmotic adjustment was detected under severe drought and rewatering in Eutrema (supplementary table S2), it was only detected in Arabidopsis on rewatering (supplementary table S1).

471 To characterize in more detail the responses to soil water depletion in Arabidopsis and 472 Eutrema, various biochemical parameters (Table 2) were measured during early drought. PCA 473 analysis with all biochemical parameters for both species (Suppl. Fig. S7) showed the greatest 474 source of variance to be the separation of late/severe drought and re-watered observations, as 475 in the untargeted analyses. Without variable scaling, loadings plots showed a large influence 476 of the variables with the greatest mean values (leaf RWC, osmotic potential (OP) and starch) 477 in the total variance. After scaling to unit-variance, the separation of late stress/re-watered 478 observations is still seen along the first principal component, although accounting for far less 479 of the total variance. In Arabidopsis, variables from re-watered samples were closer to those 480 from early-day observations. In Eutrema, the difference between late stress and re-watering is 481 only apparent along the second component, which represents less variance and more similar metabolic status. These findings suggest: 1) Arabidopsis responds faster to soil water 482 483 availability; and/or 2) Eutrema requires prolonged stimulus to reprogram its metabolism.

484 **Consistent biochemical changes in both Arabidopsis and Eutrema**

Under severe stress, some parameters, including ascorbic acid (AscA), leaf chlorophyll 485 486 fluorescence (Fv/Fm) and chlorophyll a (Chla), have similar patterns in the two species (Fig. 487 S8 & S9). We did not detect significant changes in carotenoid content, but decreased 488 chlorophyll a content indicates that chlorophyll degrades faster than carotenoids (Lichtenthaler 489 and Buschmann, 2001). Ascorbate content significantly decreased under severe drought (43% 490 in Eutrema; 24% in Arabidopsis), suggesting senescence programs were already activated 491 (Noctor et al. 2014) although the sampled leaves did not show visible symptoms of senescence. 492 A further decrease in ascorbate on rewatering (55% in Eutrema; 52% in Arabidopsis) indicates 493 the senescence program was still active.

In contrast to most hormone responses to soil drying, which are quite distinct in the two species(Table 2), SA was found to decrease significantly in both species.

496 Distinct biochemical changes between Arabidopsis and Eutrema

While some metabolites showed minimal (< two-fold) differences between species, starch, JA and ZR were more abundant in Arabidopsis, and IAA and DHA were more abundant in Eutrema (supplementary tables S1 and S2). Severe drought increased content of the ethylene precursor ACC by 70 % in Eutrema, but had no effect in Arabidopsis, suggesting ethyleneindependent stomatal closure as both species showed similar stomatal sensitivity to drying soil. In contrast, re-watering Eutrema returned ACC levels to well-watered values, while profoundly increasing ACC content in Arabidopsis.

Several CK species including ZR and 2-iP, long distance translocation forms of CKs (Kieber and Schaller, 2014), as well as IPA (2-iP precursor) accumulated in Arabidopsis but not in Eutrema during late stress (Fig. 6). In contrast, re-watering returned content of these CKs to well-watered values in Arabidopsis, while stimulating their accumulation in Eutrema. IPA and 2-iP are precursors of Z, one of the most active CK forms (Hirose et al, 2008; Kieber and Schaller, 2014). However, the mobilization (metabolism and/or translocation) of these CKs in Arabidopsis was not reflected in higher Z levels.

511 Species-dependent hormonal responses during early stress

ABA, JA, SA and GA profiles are clearly different for the two plant species between Days 1 and 5 (Table 3, Fig. 7). Despite daily irrigation to ensure a similar rate of soil drying in the two species, soil water deficit increased foliar ABA content of Arabidopsis, but not Eutrema, on Day 5. Foliar JA content transiently increased on Day 3 only in Arabidopsis, preceding increased ABA accumulation on Day 5. Similarly, SA content transiently increased on Day 3 in Arabidopsis (Fig. 7).

518 In Eutrema, changes in leaf RWC and ABA occurred after Day 5, with foliar ABA accumulation in Eutrema occurring below 45 % SWC. Species differences could be associated 519 520 with the osmotic potential (OP) and the redox state regulation, as significant changes were 521 observed in Eutrema, but not in Arabidopsis (Fig. 8). Decreased OP in Eutrema at Day 3 may 522 maintain turgor, thereby removing the stimulus for ABA synthesis (Sack et al. 2018). The 523 opposing trends seen in AscA and DHA for Days 3 and 5 in Eutrema may induce signalling patterns that prevent ABA accumulation. In Arabidopsis, ABA increased at Day 5, but there 524 525 were no significant changes in AscA or DHA until Day 5.

526 Altered GA metabolism also supports the hypothesis that Arabidopsis responds differently than

- 527 Eutrema to soil water availability. Two precursors of the bioactive GA4 (GA24, GA9; Fig.
- 528 7&8, Table 3) showed altered profiles in Arabidopsis but not in Eutrema; with increased GA24
- and GA9 contents at Day 5 indicating GA4 deactivation, a growth inhibitory signal.
- 530

531 Discussion

Transpiration data indicate more conservative water use in Eutrema than Arabidopsis although 532 533 Arabidopsis had greater stomatal sensitivity to drying soil within a certain SWC range. 534 Decreased transpiration of Eutrema prior to any significant stomatal closure supports the 535 hypothesis that growth inhibition is the first response to soil water deficit as transpiration is 536 considered a proxy for growth (Tardieu et al. 2010; Maurel et al. 2016). The soil water content 537 threshold perceived as a stress signal is higher in Eutrema, which may be a result of stress priming. While instantaneous measurements of gs at the same time of the day indicate no 538 539 stomatal response in Eutrema, the number of hours per day that stomata are open may be 540 affected. Leaf expansion is also under biophysical control, and decreased water fluxes to 541 expanding cells will reduce growth (Tardieu et al. 2010; Maurel et al. 2016). Together, these 542 data suggest species differences in regulating water consumption, implying distinct integration 543 of environmental signals and regulation of stomatal closure in Eutrema and Arabidopsis.

544 The significantly higher water consumption of Arabidopsis between Days 3 and 7 triggered 545 enhanced foliar ABA accumulation, potentially mediating stomatal closure. However, a 546 temporal decoupling of foliar ABA accumulation from stomatal closure was detected, as in 547 previous reports (Pinheiro et al. 2011; Bechtold et al. 2016). For both species, partial stomata 548 closure occurred before ABA concentration changed significantly. Direct hydraulic regulation 549 of stomatal conductance, or water-deficit stimulation of localised foliar ABA accumulation 550 provide alternative hypotheses for stomatal closure. Thus ABA quantification at the guard cell 551 level (Harris and Outlaw, 1991) is needed to better understand the regulation of stomatal 552 conductance. Several other hormones, notably JA and SA, may also regulate stomatal 553 conductance (Arbona et al. 2010; Rivas-San Vicente and Plasencia, 2011; de Ollas and Dodd, 554 2016). While early stress affects ABA, JA and SA concentrations in Arabidopsis, only SA 555 concentrations change in Eutrema. Thus under similar rates of soil drying, the two species show 556 distinct hormonal balance.

557 The distinct metabolic responses between the two species can also be related to phytohormonal

558 responses. Eutrema's limited metabolic response can be related to slower metabolism, reflecting a stress priming effect. An alternative hypothesis could be that Eutrema slows its 559 560 metabolism much earlier as a stress avoidance strategy (Tardieu, 2012). Taken together with 561 the differing transpiration response, the larger changes in Arabidopsis suggest different 562 metabolic strategies to deal with the progressive decline in soil water availability. Compared 563 to Eutrema, the more "optimistic" strategy of Arabidopsis Col-0 maintains biomass production 564 under mild stress and/or under deficit irrigation (Skirycz et al. 2011). It will be important to 565 determine whether growth is maintained, both above and below ground, and if reserves are 566 reallocated as the mechanisms that limit biomass accumulation under mild stress are poorly 567 understood (Pinheiro and Chaves, 2011; Skirycz et al. 2011).

568 During severe and prolonged drought, more than three times as many variables differed 569 significantly in Arabidopsis than Eutrema, suggesting that Arabidopsis adjusts its metabolism 570 more extensively. An alternative view is that larger changes in Arabidopsis indicate less active 571 metabolism, since metabolites accumulate because the plant has no capacity to use them. Thus 572 greater sucrose accumulation in Arabidopsis is a typical drought response (Peters et al. 2007; 573 Antonio et al. 2008; Pinheiro and Chaves, 2011; Granda and Camarero, 2017). Greater sugar 574 availability occurs since CO₂ assimilation is not limited as much as growth. Thus carbon is 575 available but plants are unable to use it, termed "sink limitation" or passive accumulation 576 (Granda and Camarero, 2017). Alternatively, higher sugar content may reflect their use in 577 osmoregulation, maintaining cell integrity and providing readily available carbon to resume 578 growth (active reserve storage concept; Granda and Camarero, 2017) when re-watered. This 579 regulatory mechanism integrates carbon availability and its use within the plant (Pinheiro and 580 Chaves, 2011), diverting photoassimilates to other biochemical pathways (than growth) to 581 withstand severe drought and/or resume growth whenever possible.

582 Traditionally, it has been argued that only resurrection plants can survive such severe drought, 583 i.e. recover from leaf RWC values below 20% (Dinakar and Bartels, 2013). Since leaf RWC 584 was determined in the most recently expanded leaves at the beginning of the assay (see 585 Materials and Methods), these older leaves were severely wilted and senescent after 12 days, 586 while younger leaves visually maintained turgor. Several reports indicate that Arabidopsis Col-587 0 plants are able to recover from severe drought, with 30% of Col-0 plants surviving exposure to 15% SWC and severe wilting (Sun et al. 2013) while 20% of severely wilted Col-0 plants 588 589 survived SWCs < 20% (Zhao et al. 2016). Moreover, Col-0 plants with 40-50% leaf RWC

recovered from drought (Meyre et al. 2001; Tran et al. 2007; Kosma et al. 2009; Koffler et al. 2014) while some plants recovered from 20% leaf RWC although the survival percentage was very low (Lü et al. 2012; Nguyen et al. 2016). In contrast to Arabidopsis, Eutrema Shandong plants recovered from drought if the leaf RWC declined to 50%, but not 30% (Dedrick 2007). Since our measurements were made only 1 day after rewatering and no plants were available to evaluate long-term recovery, irreversible damage cannot be ruled out.

- 596 Nevertheless, the two species showed opposing CK profiles, suggesting distinct metabolic 597 status. During late stress, bioactive CKs, like ZR and 2-iP (Hirose et al, 2008; Kieber and 598 Schaller, 2014), as well as IPA (2-iP precursor) accumulated in Arabidopsis but not in Eutrema. 599 In contrast, re-watering returned the content of these CKs to well-watered values in 600 Arabidopsis, while stimulating their accumulation in Eutrema. Decreased levels of bioactive 601 CKs due to severe and prolonged drought stress have been associated with better performance 602 under drought, in mutants with decreased levels of bioactive CKs achieved via overexpression 603 of CKX genes or by inactivating IPT genes (Ha et al. 2012). Since these mutant lines show 604 reduced growth under optimal conditions, it can be argued that their water requirements are 605 lower than those of the WT. However, while lower transpiration is described for some CKX 606 mutants (Farber et al. 2016), *ipt* mutants show similar water consumption (Nishiyama et al. 607 2011). On the other hand, senescence-induced IPT overexpression maintained bioactive CK 608 content as the soil dries (Rivero et al. 2007; Xu et al. 2017), without reducing growth (Rivero 609 et al. 2007). Nevertheless, re-watering increased bioactive CKs in these drought-tolerant 610 transgenics (Rivero et al. 2007) and similarly Eutrema had CK profiles concordant with a 611 drought tolerant plant. As Arabidopsis and Eutrema showed similar stomatal sensitivity to re-612 watering, the differential CK profiles suggests CK-independent stomatal regulation at that 613 time.
- 614

615 Conclusions

616 Slowly imposed drought induced different physiological and metabolic responses in 617 Arabidopsis and Eutrema. Arabidopsis showed greater metabolic adjustment with ABA, JA 618 and SA contents increasing early in Arabidopsis. Although greater soil drying was necessary 619 to initiate partial stomatal closure in Eutrema, water use (in comparison to controls) decreased 620 earlier than in Arabidopsis, with growth differences likely responsible. Eutrema rapid response 621 possibly occurring because it is already primed against low-level stress. Under severe and prolonged drought, conserved metabolic responses (increased sucrose and decreased raffinoseand citric acid) co-occurred with near-complete stomatal closure in both species.

624 Species differences in physiological and metabolic responses and their timing indicate 625 alternative strategies to physiologically adjust to soil drying, likely reflecting adaptations to 626 their respective niches. Better understanding these mechanisms is crucial to select genotypes 627 with more stable growth under stress, with favourable ideotypes depending on where the plant 628 is to be grown. Conservative water use allowing greater survival is a relevant selection criterion 629 in arid or semi-arid regions. Alternatively, in moderate climates with milder droughts, plant 630 production can be boosted if stress has little impact on growth (Skirycz et al. 2011; Tardieu, 631 2012), with higher stomatal conductance in these conditions maintaining growth and biomass 632 accumulation (Tardieu, 2012). Thus Arabidopsis seems a promising model to evaluate the 633 mechanisms responsible for stress-induced growth inhibition under the mild/moderate soil 634 drying that crop plants are typically exposed to.

635

636 Acknowledgements

637 Eutrema seeds were kindly donated by Arie Altman (The Hebrew University of Jerusalem). 638 Annie Storther, Catarina Bicho and Mafalda Rodrigues are acknowledged for their valuable 639 assistance with sampling. The York Centre of Excellence in Mass Spectrometry was created 640 thanks to a major capital investment through Science City York, supported by Yorkshire Forward with funds from the Northern Way Initiative, and subsequently received additional 641 642 support from the EPSRC (EP/K039660/1; EP/M028127/1). CP acknowledges Cândido Pinto 643 Ricardo continuous support. ASM's studentship was funded by the Biotechnology and 644 Biological Sciences Research Council. ED thanks the Daphne Jackson Trust for a Fellowship 645 funded by the Royal Society of Chemistry and the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences 646 Research Council. CA gratefully acknowledges support from Fundação para a Ciência e a 647 Tecnologia (FCT, Portugal) through the FCT Investigator Programme 648 (IF/00376/2012/CP0165/CT0003). OZ was supported by postdoctoral fellowship from FCT 649 (SFRH/BPD/111693/2015). This work was supported by the ITQB NOVA R&D GREEN-it 650 'Bioresources for sustainability' (UID/Multi/04551/2013).

651

652 References

- Antonio C, Pinheiro C, Chaves MM, Ricardo CP, Ortuño MF, Thomas-Oates J (2008) Analysis
- of carbohydrates in *Lupinus albus* stems on imposition of water deficit, using porous graphitic
- 655 carbon liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr A656 1187: 111-18
- Arbona V, Argamasilla R, Gómez-Cadenas A (2010) Common and divergent physiological,
 hormonal and metabolic responses of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Thellungiella halophila* to
 water and salt stress. J Plant Physiol 167: 1342-50
- 660 Bechtold U, Penfold CA, Jenkins DJ, et al. (2016) Time-series transcriptomics reveals that
- 661 AGAMOUS-LIKE22 affects primary metabolism and developmental processes in drought-
- 662 stressed Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 28: 345-66
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful
 approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc Series B Methodol 57: 289–300
- 665 Chaves MMM, Costa JMM, Zarrouk O, Pinheiro C, Lopes CMM, Pereira JSS
- 666 (2016).Controlling stomatal aperture in semi-arid regions the dilemma of saving water or
- being cool? Plant Sci 251: 54–64
- 668 Clauw P, Coppens F, Korte A, et al. (2016) Leaf growth response to mild drought: natural
 669 variation in Arabidopsis sheds light on trait architecture. Plant Cell 28: 2417-34
- Davies WJ, Mansfield TA, Hetherington AM (1990) Sensing of soil water status and the
 regulation of plant growth and development. Plant Cell Environ13: 709–19
- de Ollas C, Dodd IC (2016) Physiological impacts of ABA-JA interactions under water-
- 673 limitation. Plant Mol Biol 91: 641–650
- 674 Dedrick J (2007) Physiological and biochemical responses of Yukon and Shandong
 675 *Thellungiella* to water deficits. MSc Thesis (McMaster University)
- Dinakar C, Bartels B (2013) Desiccation tolerance in resurrection plants: new insights from
 transcriptome, proteome, and metabolome analysis. Front Plant Sci 4: 482
- Farber M, Attia Z, Weiss D (2016) Cytokinin activity increases stomatal density and
 transpiration rate in tomato. J Exp Bot 67:6351-62
- Foyer C, Rowell J, Walker D (1983) Measurement of the ascorbate content of spinach leaf
 protoplasts and chloroplasts during illumination. Planta 157: 239–44
- 682 Gilliham M, Able JA, Roy SJ (2017) Translating knowledge about abiotic stress tolerance to
 683 breeding programmes. Plant J 90: 898-917
- 684 Golldack D, Li C, Mohan H, Probst N (2014) Tolerance to drought and salt stress in plants:
- 685 Unraveling the signaling networks. Front Plant Sci 5:151

- Gong Q, Li P, Ma S, Indu Rupassara S, Bohnert HJ (2005) Salinity stress adaptation
 competence in the extremophile *Thellungiella halophila* in comparison with its relative *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J 44: 826-39
- 689 Granda E, Camarero JJ (2017) Drought reduces growth and stimulates sugar accumulation:
 690 new evidence of environmentally driven non-structural carbohydrate use. Tree Physiol 37:
 691 997-1000
- 692 Ha S, Vankova R, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K, Tran L-SP (2012) Cytokinins:
- 693 metabolism and function in plant adaptation to environmental stresses. Trends Plant Sci 17:694 172-79
- Harris MJ, Outlaw WH (1991) Rapid adjustment of guard-cell abscisic acid levels to current
 leaf-water status. Plant Physiol 95: 171-73
- Hirose N, Takei K, Kuroha T, et al. (2008) Regulation of cytokinin biosynthesis,
 compartmentalization and translocation. J Exp Bot 59: 75–83
- 699 Hummel I, Pantin F, Sulpice R, et al. (2010) Arabidopsis plants acclimate to water deficit at
- low cost through changes of carbon usage: an integrated perspective using growth,
 metabolite, enzyme, and gene expression analysis. Plant Physiol 154: 357-72
- Kalaji HM, Schansker G, Brestic M, et al. (2017) Frequently asked questions about chlorophyll
 fluorescence, the sequel. Photosynth Res 132: 13-66
- 704 Kieber JJ, Schaller GE (2014) Cytokinins. The Arabidopsis book 12: e0168
- 705 Koffler BE, Luschin-Ebengreuth N, Stabentheiner E, Müller M, Zechmann B (2014)
- Compartment specific response of antioxidants to drought stress in Arabidopsis. Plant Sci227: 133-44
- Koornneef M, Meinke D (2010) The development of Arabidopsis as a model plant. Plant J 61:
 909-21
- 710 Kosma DK, Bourdenx B, Bernard A, et al. (2009) The impact of water deficiency on leaf
- 711 cuticle lipids of Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 151: 1918-29
- Lee YP, Funk C, Erban A, Kopka J, Köhl KI, Zuther E, Hincha DK (2016) Salt stress responses
- in a geographically diverse collection of *Eutrema/Thellungiella* spp. accessions. Func Plant
 Biol 43: 590-606
- 715 Lichtenthaler HK, Buschmann C (2001) Chlorophylls and carotenoids: measurement and
- characterization by UV-VIS Spectroscopy. Current Protocols in Food Analytical Chemistry.
- 717 F:F4:F4.3
- Lü S, Zhao H, Marais DLD, et al. (2012) Arabidopsis *ECERIFERUM9* involvement in cuticle

- formation and maintenance of plant water status. Plant Physiol 159: 930-44
- 720 MacLeod MJ, Dedrick J, Ashton C, Sung WW, Champigny MJ, Weretilnyk EA (2015)
- 721 Exposure of two Eutrema salsugineum (Thellungiella salsuginea) accessions to water
- deficits reveals different coping strategies in response to drought. Physiol Plant 155: 267-80
- Maurel C, Verdoucq L, Rodrigues O (2016) Aquaporins and plant transpiration. Plant Cell Env
- 724 39: 2580-87
- 725 Meyre D, Leonardi A, Brisson G, Vartanian N (2011) Drought-adaptive mechanisms involved
- in the escape/tolerance strategies of Arabidopsis Landsberg erecta and Columbia ecotypesand their F1 reciprocal progeny. J Plant Physiol 158: 1145-52
- Montesinos-Navarro A, Wig J, Xavier Pico F, Tonsor SJ (2011) Arabidopsis thaliana
 populations show clinal variation in a climatic gradient associated with altitude. New
 Phytologist 189: 282-94
- 731 Müller M, Munné-Bosch S (2011) Rapid and sensitive hormonal profiling of complex plant
- samples by liquid chromatography coupled to electrospray ionization tandem massspectrometry. Plant Methods 7: 37
- Müller M, Munné-Bosch S (2015) Ethylene response factors: A key regulatory hub in hormone
 and stress signaling. Plant Physiol 169: 32-41
- Munné-Bosch S, Müller M (2013) Hormonal cross-talk in plant development and stress
 responses. Front Plant Sci 4 :529
- 738 Nguyen KH, Ha CV, Nishiyama R, et al. (2016) Arabidopsis type B cytokinin response
- regulators ARR1, ARR10, and ARR12 negatively regulate plant responses to drought. PNAS113: 3090-95
- 741 Nishiyama R, Watanabe Y, Fujita Y, Le DT, et al. (2011) Analysis of cytokinin mutants and
- regulation of cytokinin metabolic genes reveals important regulatory roles of cytokinins in
- drought, salt and abscisic acid responses, and abscisic acid biosynthesis. Plant Cell 23: 216983
- Noctor G, Mhamdi A, Foyer CH (2014). The roles of reactive oxygen metabolism in drought:
 Not so cut and dried. Plant Physiol 164: 1636-48
- 747 Orsini F, D'Urzo MP, Inan G, Serra S, et al. (2010) A comparative study of salt tolerance
 748 parameters in 11 wild relatives of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J Exp Bot 61: 3787-98
- Osakabe Y, Osakabe K, Shinozaki K, Tran L-SP (2014) Response of plants to water stress.
 Front Plant Sci 5: 86
- 751 Peters S, Mundree SG, Thomson JA, Farrant JM, Keller F (2007) Protection mechanisms in

- the resurrection plant *Xerophyta viscosa* (Baker): both sucrose and raffinose family
 oligosaccharides (RFOs) accumulate in leaves in response to water deficit. J Exp Bot 58:
- 754 1947-56
- Pilarska M, Wiciarz M, Ivan Jajić I, et al. (2016) A different pattern of production and
 scavenging of reactive oxygen species in halophytic *Eutrema salsugineum (Thellungiella salsuginea*) plants in comparison to *Arabidopsis thaliana* and its relation to salt stress
 signaling. Front Plant Sci 7: 1179
- 759 Pinheiro C, Antonio C, Ortuno MF, Dobrev PI, Hartung W, Thomas-Oates J, Ricardo CP,
- Vankova R, Chaves MM, Wilson JC (2011) Initial water deficit effects on *Lupinus albus*
- photosynthetic performance, carbon metabolism, and hormonal balance: metabolic
 reorganization prior to early stress responses. J Exp Bot 62: 4965-74
- Pinheiro C, Chaves MM, Ricardo CP (2001) Alterations in carbon and nitrogen metabolism
 induced by water deficit in the stems and leaves of *Lupinus albus* L. J Exp Bot 52: 1063-70
- Pinheiro C, Chaves MM (2011) Photosynthesis and drought: Can we make metabolic
 connections from available data? J Exp Bot 62: 869-82
- Pinheiro C, Passarinho JA, Ricardo CP (2004) Effect of drought and rewatering on the
 metabolism of *Lupinus albus* organs. J Plant Physiol 161: 1203-10
- Piquerez SJM, Harvey SE, Beynon JL, Ntoukakis V (2014) Improving crop disease resistance:
 lessons from research on Arabidopsis and tomato. Front Plant Sci 5: 671
- 771 Queval G, Noctor G (2007) A plate reader method for the measurement of NAD, NADP,
- glutathione, and ascorbate in tissue extracts: Application to redox profiling during
 Arabidopsis rosette development. Anal Biochem 363: 58–69
- R Core Team (2016) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria
- 776 Rivas-San Vicente M, Plasencia J (2011) Salicylic acid beyond defence: its role in plant growth
- and development. J Exp Bot 62: 3321-38
- Rivero RM, Kojima M, Gepstein A, et al. (2007) Delayed leaf senescence induces extreme
 drought tolerance in a flowering plant. PNAS 104: 19631-36
- Ruan YL (2014) Sucrose metabolism: gateway to diverse carbon use and sugar signaling. Annu
 Rev Plant Biol 65: 33-67
- 782 Rusilowicz M, Dickinson M, Charlton A, O'Keefe S, Wilson J (2016) A batch correction
- 783 method for liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry data that does not depend on quality
- 784 control samples. Metabolomics 12: 56.

- Sack L, John GP, Buckley TN (2018) ABA accumulation in dehydrating leaves is associated
 with decline in cell volume not turgor pressure. Plant Physiol 176: 489-95
- 787 Skirycz A, Vandenbroucke K, Clauw P, et al. (2011) Survival and growth of Arabidopsis plants
 788 given limited water are not equal. Nat Biotechnol 29: 212-14
- Sun X, Luo X, Sun M, et al. (2014) A Glycine soja 14-3-3 protein GsGF140 participates in
- stomatal and root hair development and drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell
- 791 Physiol 55: 99-118
- 792 Taji T, Ohsumi C, Iuchi S, Seki M, et al. (2002) Important roles of drought- and cold-inducible
- genes for galactinol synthase in stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J 29: 417-26
- Taji T, Seki M, Satou M, Sakurai T, et al. (2004) Comparative genomics in salt tolerance
- between Arabidopsis and Arabidopsis-related halophyte salt cress using Arabidopsis
 microarray. Plant Physiol 135: 1697-709
- Tardieu F, Parent B, Simonneau T (2010) Control of leaf growth by abscisic acid: hydraulic or
 non-hydraulic processes? Plant Cell Env 33: 636-47
- Tardieu F (2012) Any trait or trait-related allele can confer drought tolerance: just design the
 right drought scenario. J Exp Bot 63: 25-31
- 801 Tran LSP, Urao T, Qin F, Maruyama K, Kakimoto T, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K
- 802 (2007) Functional analysis of AHK1/ATHK1 and cytokinin receptor histidine kinases in
- response to abscisic acid, drought, and salt stress in Arabidopsis. PNAS 104: 20623-28
- Turner NC, Abbo S, Berger JD, Chaturvedi SK, French RJ, Ludwig C, et al. (2007) Osmotic
- adjustment in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) results in no yield benefit under terminal drought.
 J Exp Bot 58: 187-94
- 807 Urano K, Maruyama K, Jikumaru Y, Kamiya Y, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K (2017)
- Analysis of plant hormone profiles in response to moderate dehydration stress. Plant J 90: 17-36
- Wehrens R, Hageman JA, van Eeuwijk F, Kooke R, et al. (2016) Improved batch correction in
 untargeted MS-based metabolomics. Metabolomics 12: 88
- 812 Xu X, Feng J, Lü S, Lohrey GT, An H, Zhou Y, Jenks MA (2014) Leaf cuticular lipids on the
- 813 Shandong and Yukon ecotypes of saltwater cress, *Eutrema salsugineum*, and their response
 814 to water deficiency and impact on cuticle permeability. Physiol Plant 151: 446-58
- 815 Xu Y, Burgess P, Huang B (2017) Transcriptional regulation of hormone-synthesis and
- 816 signaling pathways by overexpressing cytokinin-synthesis contributes to improved drought
- tolerance in creeping bentgrass. Physiol Plant 161: 235-56

- 818 Zhao Y, Chan Z, Gao J, et al. (2016) ABA receptor PYL9 promotes drought resistance and leaf
- 819 senescence. PNAS 113: 1949-54
- 820 Zhu J-K. (2015). The Next Top Models: Extreme Farming. Cell 163: 18–20

822 Figure Legends

823 Fig. 1 Soil water content (SWC, %) after imposing water deficit and on re-watering (shaded area). To ensure a similar rate of soil drying for the two species, daily soil water depletion was 824 825 controlled to 5-10 % of the soil water content by partial water replacement. Dashed lines show 826 SWC after this partial water replacement, whereas solid lines show SWC before partial water 827 replacement to visualise daily water consumption. Data show the means \pm standard error of 6 pots (except Day 1 with 5 pots). For pre-irrigation SWC, significance levels were calculated 828 829 using the Mann–Whitney U test. Significant differences are denoted by asterisks (* P < 0.05. 830 ** *P* < 0.01, *** *P* < 0.001).

Fig. 2 Leaf stomatal conductance (as a % of the control plants) plotted against SWC. Mean values (of 3 to 5 biological replicates) are shown with only positive standard errors for clarity. Significant results, as determined by Mann-Whitney U test, are denoted by asterisks (* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001). ANCOVA for each main effect (treatment and species) and their interaction is presented in supplementary Fig. S4B.

Fig. 3 PCA plots showing the scores for the first two principal components obtained for the untargeted metabolomic analysis coloured by experimental group and the day of harvest, for Arabidopsis (a) and Eutrema (b). For both plant species, the data have been scaled to unit variance and control corrected. In the case of Eutrema only, batch correction has also been performed.

Fig. 4 Dendrogram obtained from hierarchical clustering of the 46 time-series selected by the iterative k-means analysis of the metabolite data. The clusters are coloured and annotated A-I according to the clusters identified in the k-means analysis (Suppl. Fig. S5). Metabolites within clusters are labelled as follows: S= sucrose; R = raffinose; St = stachyose; CA = citric acid; U = unassigned hexose disaccharide.

Fig. 5 PCA scores plots for the first two principal components obtained from scaled earlystress observations (Days 1, 3 and 5) in the untargeted analysis after control correction for a
Arabidopsis and b Eutrema. The observations are coloured according to the day of harvest,
showing that the clustering of observations is related to drought duration.

Fig. 6 Cytokinins during early (Days 1, 3, 5) and late (Day 12) stress and on re-watering (Day 13). Mean values and \pm standard error of 6 biological replicates (except for Day 1 where n =5). The mean values after control correction (i.e. the mean value for the controls has been subtracted) are represented. In Arabidopsis, ZR, 2iP and IPA peak at late stress and decrease on re-watering. However, in Eutrema, these hormones show a slight decrease in late stress and increase dramatically on re-watering. Arabidopsis: dark grey; Eutrema: light grey. Significant results are shown in Table 2.

Fig. 7 Biochemical parameters with a statistically significant change in early drought stress in Arabidopsis but not in Eutrema. The mean difference from well-watered plants for leaf RWC and the hormones ABA, JA, SA and GA24 are shown with error bars representing the standard error. The mean values after control correction (i.e. the mean value for the controls has been subtracted) are represented. Arabidopsis: dark grey; Eutrema: light grey. Significant results are shown in Table 3.

Fig. 8 Biochemical parameters with a significant change in early stress in Eutrema but not in Arabidopsis. The mean measurement for osmotic potential, DHA, AscA, 2iP and GA9 are shown with error bars representing the standard error of the observations. The mean values after control correction (i.e. the mean value for the controls has been subtracted) are represented. Arabidopsis: dark grey; Eutrema: light grey. Significant results are shown in Table 3.

Suppl. Table S1 Biochemical parameters for both control (WW) and stressed (WD) observations in Arabidopsis. Data are the means \pm standard error of 6 biological replicates, except for Day 1 (n = 5). Asterisks in the third row show parameters with a significant difference between WW and WD for a particular day (obtained using Mann-Whitney tests). Asterisks in the final column show days that are significantly different from earlier days (using Tukey's HSD test) with the specific days given in parentheses. Here, asterisks denote *** P <0.001, ** P < 0.01 and * P < 0.05.

Suppl. Table S2 Biochemical parameters for both control (WW) and stressed (WD) observations in Eutrema. Data are the means \pm standard error of 6 biological replicates, except for Day 1 (n = 5). Asterisks in the third row show parameters with a significant difference between WW and WD for a particular day (obtained using Mann-Whitney tests). Asterisks in the final column show days that are significantly different from earlier days (using Tukey's HSD test) with the specific days given in parentheses. Here, asterisks denote *** P < 0.001, ** P < 0.01 and * P < 0.05.

885 **Suppl. Table S3** Biochemical parameters for both control (WW) and stressed (WD) 886 observations in Arabidopsis. Samples were control-corrected (see Methods section). Data 887 shown are the means \pm standard error of 6 biological replicates, except for Day 1 (n = 5).

888 **Suppl. Table S4** Biochemical parameters for both control (WW) and stressed (WD) 889 observations in Eutrema. Samples were control corrected (see). Data shown are the means \pm 890 standard error of 6 biological replicates, except for Day 1 (n = 5).

Suppl. Fig. S1. Preliminary drought assay. a Soil water content (SWC, %) progression during the assay for Eutrema and Arabidopsis. b Leaf stomatal conductance (% of the control gs) as a function of the SWC. For controls, percentage gs was calculated relative to day 0; for treatments, percentage gs was calculated relative to the control for the same day. The 80 % gs level was achieved on different days: by Day 4 in Arabidopsis and by Day 6 in Eutrema. c Regression line fit % gs vs soil water content. Each point represents a single measurement.

Suppl. Fig. S2 PCA plots showing the scores for the first two principal components obtained
for the Eutrema data after scaling to unit variance with the observations coloured by batch. a
Before batch correction, clustering within batches can be seen and, in particular, batches 7 and
8 cluster separately. b After batch correction, differences between batches are no longer

901 apparent.

Suppl. Fig. S3 PCA scores for the first two principal components obtained for the Arabidopsis
data after scaling to unit variance. The observations are coloured by data collection batch and
no obvious differences between batches can be seen, so that batch correction is not necessary.

905 **Suppl. Fig. S4 a** Leaf stomatal conductance of Arabidopsis and Eutrema after imposing water 906 deficit and on re-watering (shaded area). **b** Regression line fitting % gs vs soil water content. 907 Each point represents a single measurement and p-values were determined by ANCOVA for 908 each main effect (treatment and species) and their interaction (ns: not significant; *** P <909 0.001).

910 Suppl. Fig. S5 The nine clusters obtained with k-means analysis of the 46 time-series 911 remaining after iterative filtering of the metabolite data. Clusters a-d include several sucrose 912 species. Cluster e includes raffinose and cluster f includes citric acid.

913 **Suppl. Fig. S6** Heatmap showing the similarity of the 46 time-series selected by iterative k-914 means analysis of the metabolite data. Metabolites are labelled as follows: S = sucrose; R =915 raffinose; St = stachyose; CA = citric acid; U = unassigned hexose disaccharide.

916 Suppl. Fig. S7 PCA plots of the biochemical parameters for both control (WW) and treatment
917 (WD) observations in Arabidopsis and Eutrema after control correction. a unscaled variables.b
918 scaled variables.

919 **Suppl. Fig. S8** Bar charts showing physiological and biochemical parameters in early- (Days 920 1, 3 and 5) and late-drought stress and on re-watering (Day 13) after control correction. Error 921 bars show the standard error between observations (n = 6 biological replicates, except for Day 922 1, n = 5). Dark grey: Arabidopsis; light grey: Eutrema. ANOVA results are presented in Table 923 2.

924 **Suppl. Fig. S9** Line plots showing physiological and biochemical parameters in early-drought 925 stress (Days 1, 3 and 5) after control correction. Error bars show the standard error between 926 observations (n = 6 biological replicates, except for Day 1, n = 5). Dark grey: Arabidopsis; 927 light grey: Eutrema. ANOVA results are presented in Table 3.