

1 **Logging and soil nutrients independently explain plant trait expression in tropical forests**

2 Sabine Both^{1*}, Terhi Riutta^{2,3}, C. E. Timothy Paine⁴, Dafydd M. O. Elias^{5,6}, Rudi Chino⁷, Annuar Jain⁸,
3 David Johnson⁹, Ully H. Kritzler⁹, Marianne Kuntz¹, Noreen Majalap-Lee¹⁰, Nora Mielke¹, Milenka X.
4 Montoya Pillco¹, Nicholas J. Ostle^{5,6}, Yit A. Teh¹, Yadvinder Malhi², David F. R. P. Burslem¹

5
6 ¹Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Aberdeen, 23 St Machar Drive,
7 Aberdeen, AB24 3UU, United Kingdom

8 ² Environmental Change Institute, School of Geography and the Environment, University of Oxford,
9 South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3QY, United Kingdom

10 ³ Imperial College London, Department of Life Sciences, Silwood Park Campus, Buckhurst Road,
11 Ascot, SL5 7PY, United Kingdom

12 ⁴ Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, United Kingdom

13 ⁵ Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Bailrigg, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, United Kingdom

14 ⁶ Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Lancaster Environment Centre, Library Avenue, Bailrigg, Lancaster,
15 LA1 4AP, United Kingdom

16 ⁷ Instituto de Ciencias de la Naturaleza, Territorio y Energías Renovables, Pontificia Universidad
17 Católica del Perú, Lima, Perú

18 ⁸ The South East Asia Rainforest Research Partnership (SEARRP), Danum Valley Field Centre, PO Box
19 60282, 91112, Lahad Datu, Sabah, Malaysia

20 ⁹ School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road,
21 Manchester, M13 9PT, United Kingdom

22 ¹⁰ Forest Research Centre, Peti Surat 1407, 90715 Sandakan, Sabah, Malaysia

23

24 *Author for correspondence:

25 Sabine Both

26 Tel: +44 1224274257

27 Email: sboth@une.edu.au

28 [Current address: Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, 2351 Armidale,](#)

29 [Australia](#)

30

31 **Total word count** (excluding summary, references and legends): 4989

32 Summary: 195

33 Introduction: 1008

34 Materials and Methods: 1500

35 Results: 855
36 Discussion: 1439
37 Acknowledgements: 181
38 No. of Figures: 4
39 No. of Tables: 2
40 No of Supporting Information files: 1 (Fig. S1; Table S1–S4)
41

42 **Summary**

- 43 • Plant functional traits regulate ecosystem functions but little is known about how co-occurring
44 gradients of land use and edaphic conditions influence their expression. We test how gradients of
45 logging disturbance and soil properties relate to community-weighted mean traits in logged and
46 old-growth tropical forests in Borneo.
- 47 • In eight 1 ha plots, we studied 32 physical, chemical and physiological traits from 284 tree species
48 and measured long-term soil nutrient supplies and plant-available nutrients.
- 49 • Logged plots had greater values for traits that drive carbon capture and growth, whilst old-growth
50 forests had greater values for structural and persistence traits. Although disturbance was the
51 primary driver of trait expression, soil nutrients explained a statistically independent axis of
52 variation linked to leaf size and nutrient concentration. Soil characteristics influenced trait
53 expression via nutrient availability, nutrient pools and pH.
- 54 • Our finding, that traits have dissimilar responses to land use and soil resource availability, provides
55 robust evidence for the need to consider the abiotic context of logging when predicting plant
56 functional diversity across human-modified tropical forests. The detection of two independent
57 axes was facilitated by the measurement of many more functional traits than have been examined
58 in previous studies.

59
60 **Keywords:** anthropogenic disturbance, Borneo, functional diversity, functional traits, land use, Rao's
61 Q, tropical rainforest, variance partitioning

62

Commented [EDM1]: A suggestion: As reviewer 1 wanted recommendations of previously overlooked traits why not put them here in one sentence as this is an important outcome:

And we recommend inclusion of x... traits in future studies

63 **Introduction**

64 The differential expression of plant functional traits influences key ecosystem functions (Cornwell *et al.* 2008; De Deyn *et al.* 2008; Fortunel *et al.* 2009; Finegan *et al.* 2015). Trait expression varies across
65 landscapes due to anthropogenic disturbance, soil characteristics, and other abiotic factors such as
66 climate (Ordoñez *et al.* 2009; Baraloto *et al.* 2012; Fortunel *et al.* 2014a; Dent & Burslem 2016). Fertile
67 soils are associated with traits conferring rapid nutrient acquisition and use, which support fast growth
68 rates, whereas nutrient-poor soils are often associated with conservative strategies for the
69 maintenance of long-lived tissues (Aerts & Chapin 2000; Ordoñez *et al.* 2009; Jager *et al.* 2015).
70 Anthropogenic disturbances pervade ecosystems worldwide (Hansen *et al.* 2013) and can affect trait
71 expression in seeds, leaves and woody tissue (Gómez-González *et al.* 2011; Baraloto *et al.* 2012;
72 Carreño-Rocabado *et al.* 2012). Substantial effort has been dedicated to characterising the
73 independent effects of human disturbance (McIntyre *et al.* 1999; Mouillot *et al.* 2013a; Buzzard *et al.*
74 2016) and environmental gradients (Fortunel *et al.* 2014b; Fyllas *et al.* 2017) on plant trait expression
75 and ecosystem function. However, in practice, communities are influenced by multiple factors
76 simultaneously, and the effects of disturbance may vary along environmental gradients such as
77 nutrient availability.
78

79 Comprehensively analysing trait expression in response to multiple gradients is challenging but
80 essential for predicting the ecosystem-level consequences of anthropogenic disturbance. The leaf
81 economics spectrum (Wright *et al.* 2004; Díaz *et al.* 2016) suggests that the increase in resource
82 availability associated with disturbance and soil fertility will select for similar leaf trait syndromes.
83 Therefore, functional traits of plant communities should converge at the extremes of environmental
84 gradients. Evidence from tropical tree communities suggests that foliar concentrations of N and P and
85 specific leaf area increase in response to gradients of both disturbance (Baraloto *et al.* 2012; Carreño-
86 Rocabado *et al.* 2012; Carreño-Rocabado *et al.* 2016) and soil nutrient availability (Fyllas *et al.* 2009;
87 Fortunel *et al.* 2014a; Apaza *et al.* 2015; Jager *et al.* 2015; Turnbull *et al.* 2016; Van der Sande *et al.*
88 2016). Similarly, leaf dry matter content and branch and stem wood density decrease with both
89 disturbance (Verburg & van Eijk-Bos 2003; Baraloto *et al.* 2012; Carreño-Rocabado *et al.* 2012;
90 Carreño-Rocabado *et al.* 2016) and soil nutrients (Ordoñez *et al.* 2009; Fortunel *et al.* 2014b; Jager *et al.*
91 *et al.* 2015). All these studies, however, share twolimitations: they did not examine the influence of
92 multiple factors on trait expression, and they used a restricted set of traits. Moreover, many did not
93 consider traits associated with ecologically important processes, such as structural and defence
94 compounds (important for herbivory and hence trophic interactions); photosynthetic activity
95 (essential for biomass production); and leaf $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values (provides insight into sources and use of

96 nitrogen). Our understanding about the links between trait sensitivity to anthropogenic disturbance,
97 soil properties, and ecosystem processes in tropical forests therefore remains incomplete.

98 The consequences of changes in community structure and diversity for ecosystem service
99 provision are determined by the impacts of disturbance on community-level trait expression, which is
100 a function of the type and intensity of disturbance. For example, in South American tropical forests,
101 disturbance due to logging and silvicultural activity increases light availability and triggers the
102 recruitment of species with traits that promote rapid growth rates (Baraloto *et al.* 2012; Carreño-
103 Rocabado *et al.* 2012). We expect a similar response to logging in Southeast Asian tropical forest. We
104 build upon these studies to additionally determine the influence of soil properties, including nutrient
105 availability on functional traits.

106 Tropical lowland forests in Southeast Asia are amongst the most species-rich communities
107 worldwide, but are also the most threatened by logging and conversion to agriculture (Hansen *et al.*
108 2013; Edwards *et al.* 2014; Stibig *et al.* 2014). The high density of commercially valuable species
109 explains the high intensity of logging in Southeast Asian forests (Osman *et al.* 2012; Gaveau *et al.*
110 2014), which suffer rates of extraction that far exceed those in tropical forests elsewhere (see Asner
111 *et al.* 2005 for the Brazilian Amazon and Rutishauser *et al.* 2015 for various sites in the Amazon Basin).
112 Logging creates a spatially patchy disturbance, with gaps and skid trails characterised by high light and
113 temperature distributed among fragments of relatively unmodified forest (Johns 1997). The selective
114 removal of target species, logging-induced mortality and recruitment of pioneer species in disturbed
115 areas affects tree species composition and the pools and fluxes of biomass and nutrients (Cannon *et al.*
116 1998; Verburg & van Eijk-Bos 2003; Pfeifer *et al.* 2016; Riutta *et al.* 2018). Although the magnitude
117 of anthropogenic disturbance is much greater in forests in Southeast Asia than in South America, they
118 have received far less attention regarding the modification of plant functional traits. Specifically, the
119 potential for intense logging to override the effects of environmental gradients, including soil
120 properties, has not been investigated.

121 Here, we measured 32 leaf, wood and physiological traits of 284 tropical tree species to capture
122 community level trait expression in response to selective logging across a gradient of soil properties
123 in species-rich tropical rainforest in Sabah, northern Borneo. We consider traits reflecting nutrient
124 status, light capture, photosynthesis, and allocation to structure and defence, to provide a whole-
125 plant perspective and assess many aspects of functional diversity. We tested the hypothesis that
126 functional trait values and functional diversity shift in response to anthropogenic disturbance and soil
127 properties. We used community-weighted mean (CWM) values of traits to quantify average trait
128 values, and a multi-trait index of functional diversity (FD) to quantify trait variation, which can occur
129 independent of variation in CWM trait values (Ricotta & Moretti 2011).

130 Specifically, we predict that (1) increasing disturbance and soil nutrient availability will increase
131 CWM traits related to tissue nutrient concentrations and carbon assimilation rates, but reduce tissue
132 densities and investments in structural defences. Given the high logging intensity in Southeast Asia,
133 we further predict that (2) a greater proportion of the variance in CWM traits will be explained by
134 logging than by soil properties, emphasizing the pervasive impact of anthropogenic land use on
135 functional trait expression. Finally, due to the high overall tree species richness, we predict that (3)
136 functional diversity will remain high in response to disturbance, despite shifts in overall CWM traits.

137

138 **Material and Methods**

139 *Study sites*

140 Sampling was conducted in eight 1 ha plots in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo. The plots are part of the
141 Global Ecosystems Monitoring (GEM) network of permanent sample plots with intensive, regular
142 carbon cycle measurements (Malhi *et al.* 2015; Riutta *et al.* 2018) and were selected to capture
143 variation in logging intensity across a range of lowland tropical forests in northern Borneo. Old-growth
144 lowland mixed dipterocarp forest plots (hereafter OG) were located in the Maliau Basin Conservation
145 Area (MBCA, two plots) and the Danum Valley Conservation Area (DVCA, two plots), while the other
146 four plots were distributed between these two areas in the selectively logged Kalabakan Forest
147 Reserve (hereafter SL). The four logged plots are part of the Stability of Altered Forest Ecosystem
148 (SAFE) project (Ewers *et al.* 2011). This area has been **logged two times** with the first round of logging
149 in the mid-1970s and subsequent repeated logging during 1990-2008. Approximately 150–179 m³ ha⁻¹
150 of timber was removed over this time period (Struebig *et al.* 2013), bracketing the mean extraction
151 volume across Sabah (152 m³ ha⁻¹, Fisher *et al.* 2011).

152 **All three areas are part of the Yayasan Sabah Forest Management Area** and belong to a formerly
153 connected area of lowland dipterocarp rainforest characterised by high species richness and many
154 tall, emergent trees. The region has a moist tropical climate with an annual daily mean temperature
155 of 26.7 °C and annual precipitation of approximately 2600-2700 mm (Walsh & Newbery 1999).
156 Although the climate is aseasonal there are occasional droughts and dry spells associated with supra-
157 annual El Niño Southern Oscillation events (Walsh & Newbery 1999; Newbery & Lingenfelder 2009).
158 The forest soils in Sabah are mostly orthic Acrisols or Ultisols (for more details see Marsh & Greer
159 1992; Nainar *et al.* 2015).

160

161 *Sampling design*

162 Thirty-two functional traits were measured on 651 individual trees ≥ 10 cm diameter at breast height
163 (dbh) representing 284 species during an intensive sampling campaign from July to December 2015.

Commented [SB2]: Terhi: based on the Ewers et al RoySoc paper 2011, the sites only have been logged twice. Could the number 2-4 been based on your information? Do you have an opinion what statement to use?

Commented [SB3]: Add geographic distance here – is there any GEM/SAFE general information?

164 We combined two strategies to sample the functional trait values in each plot. In the first, weighted
165 basal area strategy, we sampled species that most contributed to the total plot basal area. This
166 approach assumes that species accounting for a larger proportion of plot basal area also make a
167 greater contribution to ecosystem functioning. Species were ranked based on their contribution to
168 total basal area at the most recent census for each plot (2011 to 2015), which ranged from 10.9–41.8
169 m² ha⁻¹. All species that contributed to 70% of plot basal area (in decreasing order of species basal
170 area) were identified for sampling. In 57% of cases only one individual per species occurred; otherwise,
171 the individual with the greatest dbh within a species was sampled. This strategy disproportionately
172 sampled large-statured and abundant species. To ensure that smaller and potentially rare species
173 were also represented, we adopted a second strategy: stratified random and taxon-independent
174 sampling of all trees ≥ 10 cm dbh in three randomly selected 20 × 20 m subplots within each 1 ha plot.
175 As this strategy allowed for repeated samples of the same species, as well as sampling from all height
176 strata, it contained understory and shaded trees. This combination of different sampling strategies
177 provided a comprehensive representation of the tree community (Paine *et al.* 2015) and resulted in
178 an overall representation of > 90% of the total basal area per plot (except one plot with 65%) and 51–
179 71% of the species ≥ 10 cm dbh (Fig. S1).

180

181 *Soil properties*

182 We measured total nutrients and exchangeable nutrient pools to estimate both long-term nutrient
183 supply as well as plant-available forms. Two randomly located soil cores were taken per plot in 2014–
184 2015. Surface soil (0–10 cm) was analysed for pH, cation exchange capacity and total concentrations
185 of P, C, N, Mg, K and Ca using the protocols of Quesada *et al.* (2010, 2012). To assess availability of K,
186 Ca, Mg, P, NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ we measured nutrient supply rates using in-situ ion exchange membranes
187 (PRSTM Probes, Western AG, Saskatoon, Canada). To account for spatial variability we installed four
188 probe pairs (each composed of one cation and anion probe) vertically at corners of three 50 × 50 cm
189 quadrats to 10 cm depth within each of the three subplots used in the stratified random sampling.
190 These were collected after two weeks, washed with distilled water and sent to the manufacturer for
191 analysis. They pooled the four probe pairs from each quadrat prior to elution with 0.5M HCl for 1 hr,
192 yielding 72 samples. NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ were measured colorimetrically using automated flow injection
193 analysis (FIA). All other elements were analysed using Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry
194 (ICP-MS). Results are reported as supply rates over the burial period (micrograms/10 cm²/14 days).

195

196 *Trait measurements*

197 From each target tree, we attempted to sample a fully sunlit canopy branch and a fully shaded branch;
198 however, branches of only one type were available for most trees (91%) because it was uncommon
199 for large canopy trees to possess fully shaded branches and for small understorey trees to have fully
200 sunlit branches. Branch samples were collected by tree climbing or by cutting from the ground with
201 telescopic branch cutters. Target tree height ranged from 2.3 to 78.1 m, and sample height ranged
202 from 2.3 m to 53 m. Branches were approximately 2-4 cm in diameter and provided sufficient leaf
203 material for all analyses. Photosynthetic activity was only measured on trees selected by the basal
204 area sampling strategy due to time constraints (N = 298), whereas all other functional traits were
205 determined on all trees. Undamaged mature leaves were collected and cleaned with water for
206 subsequent analyses. Fresh and dry leaf weight, (specific) leaf area, leaf thickness, leaf dry matter
207 content (LDMC), (specific) force to punch and branch wood density were determined in a field
208 laboratory. Dried bulked and milled leaf material was used for determination of Ca, K, Mg, P, C and N
209 concentrations, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ stable isotope composition, cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin
210 concentrations. Analyses of pigments (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and carotenoids), phenols and
211 tannins were conducted on 0.7 cm diameter leaf discs punched from fresh leaves immediately after
212 field collection and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Herbarium voucher specimens were taken for
213 identification of trees and were deposited in the herbarium at Danum Valley Field Centre. All trait
214 measurements follow standardised protocols (Pérez-Harguindeguy *et al.* 2013), and detailed methods
215 and an overview of sampling and replication are provided in Table S1.

216

217 *Statistical analyses*

218 Replicated leaf-level functional traits were averaged for sun and shade leaves for the few individuals
219 that possessed both to generate a tree-level dataset. Our results were not significantly different for
220 analyses on sun leaves alone, where available, or otherwise shade leaves, so we combined data from
221 sun and shade leaves together. Leaf chemical properties that are most relevant for photosynthetic
222 activity (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, bulk carotenoids, N, and P) were expressed as mass-based as well
223 as area-based values. For 43% of species, multiple individuals were measured and trait values were
224 averaged to result in species-level values. For all analyses, if necessary, species-level leaf traits were
225 log-transformed to improve the normality of residuals. A CWM was calculated for each trait, weighted
226 by the number of individuals of each species in each plot (Pla *et al.* 2012). Values of dark respiration
227 fluxes and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ were converted to positive values for ease of interpretation. To characterise soil
228 properties in relation to land use, we performed a principal component analysis (PCA) of soil chemical

229 properties across the eight plots with the measurements of total concentrations of P, C, N, Mg, K, Ca,
230 exchangeable Mg, K, Ca, NH_4^+ and NO_3^- , extractable P, pH and cation exchange capacity (CEC). To
231 visualise the distribution of CWM traits across forest types and test hypothesis 1, we conducted a PCA
232 using centred and standardised CWM trait values for each study plot.

233 In order to test hypothesis 2, site scores from the first two PCA axes of soil properties, along with a
234 binary variable representing logging history, were used as predictors in linear models to partition the
235 variance in each CWM trait. The first two principal components from the soil PCA were both
236 statistically independent of logging history (linear model: $p \geq 0.48$). Nevertheless, because the three
237 predictors were weakly but non-significantly inter-correlated ($R^2 \leq 0.29$), we used the hierarchical
238 partitioning method of Chevan and Sutherland (1991), as implemented in the hier.part library of R, to
239 estimate the variance in functional traits explained by each. This technique calculates the R^2 of every
240 possible model, then averages over this set of models to allocate the variance explained by each
241 predictor variable. It thereby overcomes the effect of the order that inter-correlated variables enter a
242 model on the inference of variance explained by each variable (Chevan & Sutherland 1991). Tests of
243 significance are less important than partitioning the variance in CWM trait values in this analysis.
244 Nevertheless, given that this analysis generated 99 p-values (3 tests on each of 32 functional traits,
245 plus functional diversity), we corrected the p-values using the false discovery rate (García 2004).

246 To address hypothesis 3, we computed plot-level values of functional diversity (FD) as Rao's quadratic
247 entropy (Rao's Q), which is the sum of the pairwise distances between species in multidimensional
248 trait space weighted by their relative abundance (Rao 1982). It is the functional equivalent of
249 Simpson's diversity index. We compared Rao's Q among forest types with ANOVA. All analyses were
250 performed using R 3.4.0 (R Core Team 2017).

251

252 **Results**

253 *Forest structure, species composition and soil properties of plots*

254 The study plots varied substantially in basal area (BA) and stem density, with BA varying fourfold (10.9
255 $\text{m} - 41.8 \text{ m}^2 \text{ ha}^{-1}$, Table 1). Basal area was significantly lower in the SL plots but the range among plots
256 within each forest type was similar (means: SL 17.8 ± 12.7 , OG $34.8 \pm 14.9 \text{ m}^2 \text{ ha}^{-1}$; ANOVA: $F_{1,6} = 15.26$,
257 $p = 0.008$). Stem density ranged from 331 to 565 ha^{-1} , peaking in plots with intermediate BA but was
258 not associated with logging ($F_{1,6} = 0.02$, $p = 0.90$). Species richness was similar in OG and SL plots,
259 ranging from 124 to 211 tree species ha^{-1} ($F_{1,6} = 0.55$, $p = 0.49$).

260 A PCA of soil chemical properties explained 69.5% on the first two principal axes (Fig. 1). The
261 strongest gradient was defined by variation in total Mg, total P and CEC, whereas the second axis
262 represented a gradient of total C, total N and exchangeable Ca to exchangeable K and NH_4^+ . Nutrient

263 concentrations varied markedly among plots, including 10-fold and 5-fold variation in total Mg and
264 total P concentrations, and 9-fold and 10-fold variation in exchangeable K and NH_4^+ (Table 1). Soil
265 properties differed among plots, but were independent of logging history, indicated by the
266 overlapping distribution of OG and SL plots in the PCA (Fig. 1).

267

268 *Community-weighted mean traits*

269 Major gradients in CWM trait expression were visualised by PCA, with the first two axes
270 explaining 77.7% of the variance in functional traits (Fig. 2). There was a clear differentiation of
271 functional composition between OG and SL plots along the first principal component, indicated by a
272 distinct clustering of the study plots. Tree communities in OG plots were characterised by greater
273 investment in defence and tissue density, whereas SL tree communities expressed higher
274 photosynthetic activity and reduced investment into structural components (Table 2). Old-growth
275 forests were characterized by denser wood and tougher leaves. These traits reflect enhanced
276 structural investment, implying longer leaf life span and slower growth rates. Tree communities in SL
277 forest had higher photosynthetic activity represented by higher CWM values of A_{max} and A_{sat} , and
278 higher R_d . These higher rates of gas exchange were supported by the expression of higher CWM area-
279 based pigment concentrations in SL communities and higher N_a , N_m and P_a concentrations. Tree
280 communities in SL were enriched in ^{13}C compared to OG communities, indicating greater water-use
281 efficiency.

282 The second axis of functional trait space represented tissue nutrient concentrations and leaf
283 area but was independent of logging history (Fig. 2). This axis reflects covariance among CWM values
284 of leaf area, leaf P_m , N_m , Mg_m and Ca_m concentrations, and a negative association of these traits with
285 leaf C_m and tannin concentrations. Variability of these traits within both logged and unlogged forests
286 was high, which suggests that the expression of these traits is driven by underlying soil properties
287 rather than logging history.

288

289 *Variance partitioning*

290 Partitioning the CWM response of traits to logging and the first two principal components of soil
291 properties showed that these factors explained up to 90% of the variation in traits. Overall, the
292 proportion of variance explained was on average 74.4%. (Fig. 3, Table S4). To present these results, ,
293 we group the functional traits based on their main association with leaf nutrients, photosynthesis or
294 structure. Variation in mass-based concentrations of leaf Ca_m , P_m , N_m and K_m and to a lesser extent
295 Mg_m were associated with variation in soil properties, both with soil PC1 and 2. Particularly soil PC1,
296 enveloping a gradient from exchangeable Mg to cation exchange capacity (CEC) and total P, strongly
297 affected the variance in leaf Ca_m , P_m , C_m . However, expressed on area basis, foliar P_a and N_a

Commented [cetp4]: I deleted this text because the variation occurs between plots, not only inside the PCA, I think.

298 concentrations were mainly explained by logging. Variation in SLA and leaf thickness appears to
299 underlie the contrasting response of mass and area based traits. For leaf traits related to
300 photosynthesis, 33.5–78.6% of variance was explained by logging and a much smaller proportion by
301 soil PC1 (1.5–21.8%) or soil PC2 (0.9–35.6%; Table S4). Structural traits were explained by a
302 combination of both logging history and the independent effects of soil properties. Logging explained
303 on average 39.2% of variance in traits reflecting tissue density and structural investment, such as
304 specific force to punch and branch wood density, which had consistently lower values in logged forest
305 plots. Community-weighted mean LDMC was unusual in that it was poorly explained by the predictor
306 variables. In contrast, leaf size, expressed as CWM leaf area and leaf mass, increased with increasing
307 values of soil PC1, which was linked to plots with higher total N and exchangeable Ca concentrations
308 (Fig. 1). There was an increase in CWM tannin concentrations in logged forest plots and at higher
309 values of soil PC1. The CWM values of C_m and N_a were significantly explained by the first principal
310 component of soil properties, and forest type, respectively, whereas other traits were statistically
311 independent of the predictors (Fig. 3)

312

313 *Functional diversity*

314 Functional diversity, expressed as Rao's Q, was similar between forest types (Fig. 4; $F_{1,6} = 0.16$, $p =$
315 0.70), and neither logging nor soil properties explained a significant proportion of its variance (Fig. 3).
316 The main proportion of variance was explained by soil PC2. The negative relationship with soil PC2
317 indicates xxx. Variability in FD was greater among SL than OG forests (Fig. 4), potentially indicating
318 the heterogeneous conditions resulting from logging.

319

320 **Discussion**

321 Logging profoundly affected the expression of plant functional traits in Bornean tropical forests.
322 Logging was the primary driver of variation in community-weighted mean (CWM) values of functional
323 traits (Fig. 2), and explained more variation than soil properties for 20 of 32 traits (Fig. 3). Similar
324 impacts of logging on functional trait expression have been demonstrated in Neotropical forests
325 (Baraloto *et al.* 2012; Carreño-Rocabado *et al.* 2012), although those studies did not analyse soil-
326 related factors. Together, these studies confirm that CWM traits are highly sensitive to land-use
327 change in tropical forests, but results from our study additionally highlight the considerable relevance
328 of the environmental context for trait expression. This is an important outcome in the light of the fact
329 that logging has impacted over half of all tropical forests globally, and over 70% of forests in Sabah
330 (Bryan *et al.* 2013; Potapov *et al.* 2017). Therefore, the effect of logging on the expression of functional
331 traits is likely to pervade tropical forest landscapes and impact ecosystem processes with cascading

332 effects on other trophic levels. Moreover, logging-associated changes in forest structure and CWM
333 traits drive altered patterns of productivity in tropical forests (Pfeifer *et al.* 2016; Riutta *et al.* 2018).

334 In our study, the principal axis of functional trait space defined a clear gradient from values of
335 traits that maximise carbon capture and growth, which were predominantly expressed in selectively
336 logged forests, to greater allocation to tissue persistence and stability, which were predominantly
337 expressed in old-growth forests. This strong signal of anthropogenic disturbance is partly congruent
338 with the leaf economics spectrum, which differentiates species along a gradient based on leaf traits
339 contributing to resource acquisition and conservation (Wright *et al.* 2004; Díaz *et al.* 2016). Thus,
340 species in logged forest communities expressed higher CWM values of area-based measures of N, P
341 and pigments, whereas old-growth forest communities expressed low CWM values of these traits and
342 higher values of traits conferring structural stability and resistance to herbivory, such as branch wood
343 density and leaf toughness. Supporting hypothesis 1, disturbance enhanced the occurrence of species
344 possessing traits that confer rapid carbon capture and investment in fast growth rates (Baraloto *et al.*
345 2012; Carreño-Rocabado *et al.* 2012, 2016). We add to previous results by additionally demonstrating
346 that logged forest communities expressed higher CWM values of A_{sat} , A_{max} and R_d , whereas old-growth
347 forest communities were characterised by higher values of traits conferring structural stability of wood
348 and leaves.

349 We observed lower CWM values of specific leaf area (SLA) in logged forests, in contrast to
350 results from French Guiana (Baraloto *et al.* 2012), and contrary to the expectation that SLA scales
351 positively with A_{max} , foliar N_a , and foliar P_a concentrations among species (Wright *et al.* 2004). The lack
352 of association between SLA and other leaf-economic traits may be explained by the abundance of
353 pioneer species, which recruit following disturbance and are adapted to resist the more exposed and
354 potentially desiccating conditions created by logging (Hardwick *et al.* 2015). A reduction in SLA may
355 contribute to photosynthetic water-use efficiency, especially when combined with enhanced
356 investment in photosynthetic enzymes, to ensure draw-down of internal CO_2 concentrations at a given
357 stomatal conductance (Reich *et al.* 2003). Greater enrichment of CWM $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of logged forest tree
358 communities demonstrates reduced discrimination for the heavier ^{13}C isotope and provides
359 independent evidence of enhanced, integrated water-use efficiency for trees in this hotter and drier
360 environment (Farquhar *et al.* 1989; Rumman *et al.* 2018). The absence of a shift in SLA in response to
361 logging in French Guianan forests (Baraloto *et al.* 2012) suggests that logging imposes a more extreme
362 environmental contrast for trees occurring in the less seasonal climate of Borneo. Species that have
363 evolved in a seasonal climate such as in French Guiana, may be better adapted to changes in
364 microclimatic conditions, like those driven by logging (Blonder *et al.*, unpublished data). The impact of
365 logging may be more severe in tree communities not adapted to drought and emphasises the potential

366 sensitivity of Bornean forests to future climatic change. Mean temperature for Borneo is predicted to
367 rise by 0.9 – 3.2 °C, annual precipitation is predicted to become increasingly drier in central to western
368 Borneo and wetter in northern and north-western Borneo (ICCP 2013; Scriven *et al.* 2015).

369 We show that trait expression responded independently to logging disturbance and soil
370 properties. Variation in soil properties can be attributed to interactions between underlying soil
371 texture and mineralogy, on one hand, and the impacts of logging disturbances including soil inversion,
372 removal, and compaction on the other (Pinard *et al.* 2000). However, soil properties did not differ
373 systematically between old-growth and selectively logged forests (Fig. 1), owing to variation in
374 underlying soil types and the heterogeneous nature of logging. This allowed us to assess their
375 independent effects on the expression of CWM functional traits. For most of the mass-based nutrient
376 concentrations, variation in soil properties explained more variation in CWM trait values than did
377 logging, whereas traits linked to photosynthesis and carbon capture were more sensitive to logging
378 than to variation in soil properties (Fig. 3). The mechanisms underlying these associations deserve
379 further study. An obvious field of research should be the study of post-logging alterations in the fungal
380 community, in particular the occurrence and diversity of ectomycorrhizal fungi (McGuire *et al.* 2015).
381 They are almost exclusively associated with tree species of the family Dipterocarpaceae, which are
382 also the main family logged for timber (but see Essene *et al.* 2017). The interacting effects between
383 tree species dominance, logging and below-ground microbial diversity and ecosystem functions are
384 largely unknown.

385 Moreover, we provide clear evidence that soil properties act on trait expression in two
386 independent ways; the first axis reflected total pools of nutrients, and the second reflected nutrient
387 availability (Fig. 1). Community-weighted mean values of leaf area, leaf dry mass and foliar
388 concentrations of P_m , P_a , N_m and Ca_m all increased in response to the first axis of variation in soil
389 properties, whereas leaf C_m and tannin concentrations decreased along this gradient (Fig. 3). The
390 second component of soil variation also influenced some leaf traits, particularly $\delta^{13}C$, N_a and P_a
391 concentrations (Table 2, Table S4). Moreover, most traits were influenced either by the first or second
392 axis of variation in soil properties, but rarely both. This finding may reflect a trade-off in how plants
393 interact with local edaphic conditions, as suggested in other systems (Laliberte *et al.* 2015); a key
394 future challenge will be to disentangle the mechanisms underpinning these contrasting responses of
395 functional traits to the soil environment. Our results highlight the need to consider the context
396 dependency of drivers of variation in functional traits. Landscape-level predictions of change in
397 functional trait expression in response to anthropogenic disturbance will need to account for the
398 additional effects of soil properties.

Commented [cetp5]: Add a mention of implications of your results for dynamic global vegetation models here?

399 Despite the large variation in CWM traits, functional diversity (FD) did not differ between logged
400 and old-growth forest, which is consistent with our third hypothesis and with results from Neotropical
401 forests (Fig. 4; Baraloto *et al.* 2012; Carreño-Rocabado *et al.* 2012). This finding emphasises that
402 forests can retain species richness and trait variation, yielding similar FD, despite logging. In line with
403 Mayfield *et al.* (2010), logging did not result in loss of FD but in shifts of numerous CWM trait values,
404 indicating a lower sensitivity of multi-trait FD to these changes (Ricotta & Moretti 2011). FD was more
405 variable among logged forests than old-growth forests (Fig. 4), probably owing to variation in logging
406 history and intensity, which affect forest structure (Cannon *et al.* 1994; Berry *et al.* 2008),
407 microclimatic conditions (Hardwick *et al.* 2015) and ecosystem functions (Mayfield *et al.* 2006; Both
408 *et al.* 2017; Riutta *et al.* 2018). The substantial variance in FD among the disturbed plots highlights the
409 challenge of predicting the impacts of anthropogenic modification on FD in environments where the
410 outcomes may be highly context-dependent (Costantini *et al.* 2016).

411 Assessments of functional composition and diversity at a community scale are critical as human-
412 modified landscapes become more extensive and play an increasing role in the provision of ecosystem
413 services (Berry *et al.* 2010; Gibson *et al.* 2011). However, uncertainty remains over how changes in
414 community trait expression will affect ecosystem functioning and resilience after selective logging and
415 other forms of disturbance (Laliberté *et al.* 2010; Mayfield *et al.* 2010; Edwards *et al.* 2014). Part of
416 that uncertainty arises because rare tree species may contribute substantially to resilience (Mouillot
417 *et al.* 2013b), but tend to be under-represented in traditional sampling designs adopted for measuring
418 ecosystem functions. Our nested sampling design explicitly resolved this issue by selecting both
419 common and rare species across the full range of size classes. We therefore advocate this approach in
420 future assessments of trait expression at the community scale.

421 We demonstrate a consistent shift in community-level trait expression in response to logging,
422 reflecting a transition from an old-growth forest dominated by individuals with resource conserving,
423 structurally persistent tissues to logged forests manifesting greater capacity for carbon assimilation
424 and vegetative growth. Strikingly, there was a second, independent, axis of functional trait variation
425 reflecting variation in soil properties (i.e. nutrient availability and chemistry including pH), which
426 explained variation in leaf size and mass-based foliar nutrient concentrations. The elucidation of these
427 orthogonal dimensions of plant trait variation was made possible by the measurement of numerous
428 functionally relevant traits and by their consideration at the community level, as well as by the
429 inclusion of rare species. These results provide a basis for predicting how pervasive logging
430 disturbance combines with natural gradients to determine trait expression and ecosystem functioning
431 across human-modified tropical landscapes.

432

433 **Acknowledgements**

434 We acknowledge financial support by the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NE/K016253/1),
435 with additional support through an ERC Advanced Investigator Award to YM (GEM-TRAIT; 321131).
436 We are indebted to the Sabah Biodiversity Council, Yayasan Sabah, the Maliau Basin and Danum Valley
437 Management Committees, the Institute for Tropical Biology and Conservation at the University of
438 Malaysia, Sabah, and the Sabah Forest Research Centre at Sepilok. We thank Glen Reynolds and the
439 South East Asia Rainforest Research Partnership (SEARRP). This study was supported by funding from
440 the Sime Darby Foundation to the Stability of Altered Forest Ecosystems (SAFE) Project. This project
441 would not have been possible without the indispensable support from dozens of research assistants.
442 The support from Laura Kruitbos, Unding Jami, Lisa P. Bentley, Benjamin Blonder, Puikiat Hoo, Palasiah
443 Jotan, Alexander Shenkin and Chun Xing Wong is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Bernadus Bala
444 Ola, Bill McDonald, Alexander Karolus and MinSheng Khoo for species identification. This publication
445 is a contribution from the UK NERC-funded Biodiversity And Land-use Impacts on Tropical Ecosystem
446 Function (BALI) consortium (<http://bali.hmtf.info>) through its Human Modified Tropical Forests
447 thematic programme.

448

449 **Author contributions:** SB, DB and YM designed the study with input from DJ, NO and YAT. SB, DE, TR,
450 UHK, RC and MMP collected field data, SB, RC, DE, AJ, UHK, MK, NML, NM and MMP conducted
451 laboratory analyses. SB and CETP analysed the data. SB led the writing of the manuscript with
452 contributions from all co-authors. YAT, DB, DJ, YM and NO secured the funding. All authors declare no
453 conflict of interest.

454

455 **References**

- 456 Aerts, R. & Chapin III, F.S. (2000). The Mineral Nutrition of Wild Plants Revisited: A Re-evaluation of
457 Processes and Patterns. *Advances in Ecological Research*, 30, 26–27
- 458 Apaza-Quevedo, A., Lippok, D., Hensen, I., Schleunig, M. & Both, S. (2015). Elevation, Topography,
459 and Edge Effects Drive Functional Composition of Woody Plant Species in Tropical Montane Forests.
460 *Biotropica*, 47, 449–458
- 461 Asner, G.P., Knapp, D.E., Broadbent, E.N., Oliveira, P.J.C., Keller, M. & Silva, J.N. (2005). Selective
462 Logging in the Brazilian Amazon. *Science*, 310, 480–482
- 463 Baraloto, C., Hérault, B., Paine, C.E.T., Massot, H., Blanc, L., Bonal, D., *et al.* (2012). Contrasting
464 taxonomic and functional responses of a tropical tree community to selective logging. *J. Appl. Ecol.*,
465 49, 861–870
- 466 Berry, N.J., Phillips, O.L., Ong, R.C. & Hamer, K.C. (2008). Impacts of selective logging on tree diversity
467 across a rainforest landscape: the importance of spatial scale. *Landsc. Ecol.*, 23, 915–929
- 468 Berry, N.J., Phillips, O.L., Lewis, S.L., Hill, J.K., Edwards, D.P., Tawatao, N.B., *et al.* (2010). The high value
469 of logged tropical forests: Lessons from northern Borneo. *Biodivers. Conserv.*, 19, 985–997
- 470 Both, S., Elias, D.M.O., Kritzler, U.H., Ostle, N.J. & Johnson, D. (2017). Land use not litter quality is a
471 stronger driver of decomposition in hyper-diverse tropical forest. *Ecol. Evol.*, 7, 9307–9318
- 472 Bryan, J.E., Shearman, P.L., Asner, G.P., Knapp, D.E., Aoro, G. & Lokes, B. (2013). Extreme differences
473 in forest degradation in Borneo: comparing practices in Sarawak, Sabah, and Brunei. *PLoS One*, 8(7),
474 p.e69679
- 475 Buzzard, V., Hulshof, C.M., Birt, T., Violle, C. & Enquist, B.J. (2016). Re-growing a tropical dry forest :
476 functional plant trait composition and community assembly during succession. *Funct. Ecol.*, 30,
477 1006–1013
- 478 Cannon, C., Kartawinata, K., Leighton, M. & Peart David, R. (1994). The structure of lowland rainforest
479 after selective logging in West Kalimantan, Indonesia. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 67, 49–68
- 480 Cannon, C.H., Peart, D.R. & Leighton, M. (1998). Tree Species Diversity in Commercially Logged
481 Bornean Rainforest. *Science*, 281, 1366–1368
- 482 Carreño-Rocabado, G., Peña-Claros, M., Bongers, F., Alarcón, A., Licona, J.C. & Poorter, L. (2012).
483 Effects of disturbance intensity on species and functional diversity in a tropical forest. *J. Ecol.*, 100,
484 1453–1463
- 485 Carreño-Rocabado, G., Peña-Claros, M., Bongers, F., Díaz, S., Quétier, F., Chuvifña, J., *et al.* (2016). Land-
486 use intensification effects on functional properties in tropical plant communities. *Ecol. Appl.*, 26,
487 174–189
- 488 Chevan, A. & Sutherland, M. (1991). Hierarchical Partitioning. *Am. Stat.*, 45, 90–96

Commented [SB6]: I'll format appropriately

489 Cornwell, W.K., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Amatangelo, K., Dorrepaal, E., Eviner, V.T., Godoy, O., *et al.* (2008).
490 Plant species traits are the predominant control on litter decomposition rates within biomes
491 worldwide. *Ecol. Lett.*, 11, 1065–1071

492 Costantini, D., Edwards, D.P. & Simons, M.J.P. (2016). Life after logging in tropical forests of Borneo: A
493 meta-analysis. *Biol. Conserv.*, 196, 182–188

494 Díaz, S., Kattge, J., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Wright, I.J., Lavorel, S., Dray, S., *et al.* (2016). The global
495 spectrum of plant form and function. *Nature*, 529, 167–171

496 Dent, D.H. & Burslem, D.F.R.P. (2016). Leaf traits of dipterocarp species with contrasting distributions
497 across a gradient of nutrient and light availability. *Plant Ecol. Divers.*, 9, 1–13

498 De Deyn, G.B., Cornelissen, J.H.C. & Bardgett, R.D. (2008). Plant functional traits and soil carbon
499 sequestration in contrasting biomes. *Ecol. Lett.*, 11, 516–531

500 Edwards, F.A., Edwards, D.P., Larsen, T.H., Hsu, W.W., Benedick, S., Chung, A., *et al.* (2014). Does
501 logging and forest conversion to oil palm agriculture alter functional diversity in a biodiversity
502 hotspot? *Anim. Conserv.*, 17, 163–173

503 Essene, A.L., Shek, K.L., Lewis, J.D., Peay, K., McGuire, K.L. 2017. Soil type has a stronger role than
504 dipterocarp host species in shaping the ectomycorrhizal fungal community in a Bornean lowland
505 tropical rain forest. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8:1828.

506 Ewers, R.M., Didham, R.K., Fahrig, L., Ferraz, G., Hector, A., Holt, R.D., *et al.* (2011). A large-scale forest
507 fragmentation experiment: the Stability of Altered Forest Ecosystems Project. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc.*
508 *B Biol. Sci.*, 366, 3292–3302

509 Farquhar, G.D., Ehleringer, J.R. & Hubick, K.T. (1989). Carbon Isotope Discrimination and
510 Photosynthesis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.*, 40, 503–537

511 Finegan, B., Pena-Claros, M., de Oliveira, A., Ascarrunz, N., Bret-Harte, M.S., Carreño-Rocabado, G., *et*
512 *al.* (2015). Does functional trait diversity predict above-ground biomass and productivity of tropical
513 forests? Testing three alternative hypotheses. *J. Ecol.*, 103, 191–201

514 Fisher, B., Edwards, D.P., Giam, X. & Wilcove, D.S. (2011). The high costs of conserving Southeast Asia's
515 lowland rainforests. *Front. Ecol. Environ.*, 9, 329–334

516 Fortunel, C., Garnier, E. & Joffre, R. (2009). Leaf traits capture the effects of land use changes and
517 climate on litter decomposability of grasslands across Europe. *Ecology*, 90, 598–611

518 Fortunel, C., Paine, C.E.T., Fine, P.V.A., Kraft, N.J.B. & Baraloto, C. (2014a). Environmental factors
519 predict community functional composition in Amazonian forests. *J. Ecol.*, 102, 145–155

520 Fortunel, C., Ruelle, J., Beauchêne, J., Fine, P.V.A. & Baraloto, C. (2014b). Wood specific gravity and
521 anatomy of branches and roots in 113 Amazonian rainforest tree species across environmental
522 gradients. *New Phytol.*, 202, 79–94

523 Fyllas, N.M., Patiño, S., Baker, T.R., Nardoto, G.B., Martinelli, L.A., Quesada, C.A., *et al.* (2009). Basin-
524 wide variations in foliar properties of Amazonian forest: phylogeny, soils and climate.
525 *Biogeosciences*, 6, 2677–2708

526 Fyllas, N.M., Bentley, L.P., Shenkin, A., Asner, G.P., Atkin, O.K., Farfan-Rios, W., *et al.* (2017). Solar
527 radiation and functional traits explain the decline of forest primary productivity along a tropical
528 elevation gradient. *Ecol. Lett.*, 20, 730–740

529 García, L. V. 2004. Escaping the Bonferroni iron claw in ecological studies. *Oikos* 105:657–663.
530

531 Gaveau, D.L.A., Sloan, S., Molidena, E., Yaen, H., Sheil, D., Abram, N.K., *et al.* (2014). Four Decades of
532 Forest Persistence, Clearance and Logging on Borneo. *PLoS One*, 9, 1–11

533 Gibson, L., Lee, T.M., Koh, L.P., Brook, B.W., Gardner, T. a., Barlow, J., *et al.* (2011). Primary forests are
534 irreplaceable for sustaining tropical biodiversity. *Nature*, 478, 378–381

535 Gómez-González, S., Torres-Díaz, C., Bustos-Schindler, C. & Gianoli, E. (2011). Anthropogenic fire
536 drives the evolution of seed traits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 108, 18743–18747

537 Hansen, M.C.C., Potapov, P. V, Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S.A., Tyukavina, A., *et al.* (2013).
538 High-Resolution Global Maps of 21st-Century Forest Cover Change. *Science*, 342, 850–854

539 Hardwick, S.R., Toumi, R., Pfeifer, M., Turner, E.C., Nilus, R. & Ewers, R.M. (2015). The relationship
540 between leaf area index and microclimate in tropical forest and oil palm plantation: Forest
541 disturbance drives changes in microclimate. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 201, 187–195

542 IPCC, 2013. Climate Change 2013 – The Physical Science Basis. In: Stocker, T.F., Qin,
543 D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Nauels, J.A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley,
544 P.M. (Eds.), The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the
545 Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
546 Cambridge University Press, UK, Cambridge. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.

547 Jager, M.M., Richardson, S.J., Bellingham, P.J., Clearwater, M.J. & Laughlin, D.C. (2015). Soil fertility
548 induces coordinated responses of multiple independent functional traits. *J. Ecol.*, 103, 374–385

549 Laliberté, E. & Legendre, P. (2010). A distance-based framework for measuring functional diversity
550 from multiple traits. *Ecology*, 91, 299–305

551 Laliberté, E., Lambers, H., Burgess, T.I. & Wright, S.J. (2015). Phosphorus limitation, soil-borne
552 pathogens and the coexistence of plant species in hyperdiverse forests and shrublands. *New
553 Phytol.*, 206(2), 507–521

554 Malhi, Y., Doughty, C.E., Goldsmith, G.R., Metcalfe, D.B., Girardin, C.A., Marthews, T.R. , *et al.* (2015).
555 The linkages between photosynthesis, productivity, growth and biomass in lowland Amazonian
556 forests. *Glob Chang Biol*, 21(6), 2283–2295

557 Marsh, W. & Greer, A.G. (1992). Forest land-use in Sabah, Malaysia: an introduction to Danum Valley.
558 *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B.*, 335, 331–339

559 Mayfield, M.M., Ackerly, D. & Daily, G.C. (2006). The diversity and conservation of plant reproductive
560 and dispersal functional traits in human-dominated tropical landscapes. *J. Ecol.*, 94, 522–536

561 Mayfield, M.M., Bonser, S.P., Morgan, J.W., Aubin, I., McNamara, S. & Vesk, P.A. (2010). What does
562 species richness tell us about functional trait diversity? Predictions and evidence for responses of
563 species and functional trait diversity to land-use change. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.*, 19, 423–431

564 McGuire, K.L., D'Angelo, H., Brearley, F.Q., Gedallovich, S.M., Babar, N., Yang, N., Gillikin, C.M.,
565 Gradoville, R., Bateman, C., Turner, B.L. and Mansor, P., 2015. Responses of soil fungi to logging and
566 oil palm agriculture in Southeast Asian tropical forests. *Microbial ecology*, 69(4), pp.733-747.

567 McIntyre, S., Lavorel, S., Landsberg, J. & Forbes, T.D.A. (1999). Disturbance response in vegetation –
568 towards a global perspective on functional traits. *J. Veg. Sci.*, 10, 621–630

569 Moullot, D., Graham, N.A.J., Villéger, S., Mason, N.W.H. & Bellwood, D.R. (2013a). A functional
570 approach reveals community responses to disturbances. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, 28, 167–177

571 Moullot, D., Bellwood, D.R., Baraloto, C., Chave, J., Galzin, R., Harmelin-Vivien, M., *et al.* (2013b). Rare
572 Species Support Vulnerable Functions in High-Diversity Ecosystems. *PLoS Biol* 11(5), 1–11

573 Nainar, A., Bidin, K., Walsh, R.P., Ewers, R.M. & Reynolds, G. (2015). Variations in suspended sediment
574 yield and dynamics in catchments of differing land-use in Sabah. *Transactions on Science and
575 Technology*, 2(1), 1–19

576 Newbery, D.M. & Lingenfelder, M. (2009). Plurality of tree species responses to drought perturbation
577 in Bornean tropical rain forest. *Plant Ecol.*, 201, 147–167

578 Ordoñez, J.C., Bodegom, P.M. Van, Witte, J.M., Wright, I.J., Reich, P.B. & Aerts, R. (2009). A global
579 study of relationships between leaf traits, climate and soil measures of nutrient fertility. *Glob. Ecol.
580 Biogeogr.*, 18, 137–149

581 Osman, R., Phua, M., Ling, Z.Y. & Kamlun, K.U. (2012). Monitoring of Deforestation Rate and Trend in
582 Sabah between 1990 and 2008 Using Multitemporal Landsat Data. *J. For. Sci.*, 28, 144–151

583 Paine, C.E.T., Baraloto, C. & Díaz, S. (2015). Optimal strategies for sampling functional traits in species-
584 rich forests. *Funct. Ecol.*, 29, 1325–1331

585 Pérez-Harguindeguy, N., Díaz, S., Garnier, E., Lavorel, S., Poorter, H., Jaureguiberry, P., *et al.* (2013).
586 New Handbook for standardized measurement of plant functional traits worldwide. *Aust. J. Bot.*,
587 61, 167–234

588 Pfeifer, M., Kor, L., Nilus, R., Turner, E., Cusack, J., Lysenko, I., *et al.* (2016). Mapping the structure of
589 Borneo's tropical forests across a degradation gradient. *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 176, 84–97

590 Pinard, M.A., Barker, M.G., & Tay, J. (2000). Soil disturbance and post-logging forest recovery on
591 bulldozer paths in Sabah, Malaysia. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 130(1-3), 213–225

592 Pla, L., Casanoves, F. & Di Rienzo, J. (2012). Functional diversity indices. In *Quantifying Functional*
593 *Biodiversity* (pp. 27–51). Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands

594 Potapov, P., Hansen, M.C., Laestadius, L., Turubanova, S., Yaroshenko, A., Thies, C., *et al.* (2017). The
595 last frontiers of wilderness: Tracking loss of intact forest landscapes from 2000 to 2013. *Sci. Adv.*, 3,
596 1–13

597 Quesada, C.A., Lloyd, J., Schwarz, M., Patiño, S., Baker, T.R., Czimczik, C.I., *et al.* (2010). Variations in
598 chemical and physical properties of Amazon forest soils in relation to their genesis. *Biogeosciences*,
599 7, 1515–1541.

600 Quesada, C.A., Phillips, O.L., Schwarz, M., Czimczik, C.I., Baker, T.R., Patiño, S., *et al.* (2012). Basin-wide
601 variations in Amazon forest structure and function are mediated by both soils and climate.
602 *Biogeosciences*, 9, 2203–2246

603 R Core Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
604 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria

605 Rao, R.C. (1982). Diversity and Dissimilarity Coefficients: A Unified Approach. *Theor. Popul. Biol.*, 21,
606 24–43

607 Reich, P. B., Wright, I. J., Cavender-Bares, J., Craine, J. M., Oleksyn, J., Westoby, M., *et al.* (2003). The
608 evolution of plant functional variation: traits, spectra, and strategies. *International Journal of Plant*
609 *Sciences*, 164(S3), S143–S164

610 Ricotta, C., & Moretti, M. (2011). CWM and Rao's quadratic diversity: a unified framework for
611 functional ecology. *Oecologia*, 167(1), 181–188

612 Riutta, T., Malhi, Y., Kho, L. K., Marthews, T. R., Huaraca Huasco, W., Khoo, M., *et al.* (2018). Logging
613 disturbance shifts net primary productivity and its allocation in Bornean tropical forests. *Glob*
614 *Change Biol.*, 00, 1–16

615 Rumman, R., Atkin, O.K., Bloomfield, K.J. & Eamus, D. (2018). Variation in bulk-leaf ¹³C discrimination,
616 leaf traits and water-use efficiency–trait relationships along a continental-scale climate gradient in
617 Australia. *Glob Change Biol.*, 24, 1186–1200

618 Rutishauser, E., Héroult, B., Baraloto, C., Blanc, L., Descroix, L., Sotta, E.D., Ferreira, J., Kanashiro, M.,
619 Mazzei, L., d'Oliveira, M.V. and De Oliveira, L.C., 2015. Rapid tree carbon stock recovery in managed
620 Amazonian forests. *Current Biology*, 25(18), pp.R787-R788

621 Scriven, S.A., Hodgson, J.A., McClean, C.J. and Hill, J.K., 2015. Protected areas in Borneo may fail to
622 conserve tropical forest biodiversity under climate change. *Biological Conservation*, 184, pp.414-
623 423.

624 Stibig, H.J., Achard, F., Carboni, S., Rasi, R. & Miittinen, J. (2014). Change in tropical forest cover of
625 Southeast Asia from 1990 to 2010. *Biogeosciences*, 11, 247–258

626 Struebig, M.J., Turner, A., Giles, E., Lasmana, F., Tollington, S., Bernard, H., *et al.* (2013). Quantifying
627 the Biodiversity Value of Repeatedly Logged Rainforests: Gradient and Comparative Approaches
628 from Borneo. *Adv. Ecol. Res.* 1st edn. Elsevier Ltd.

629 Turnbull, M.H., Griffin, K.L., Fyllas, N.M., Lloyd, J., Meir, P. & Atkin, O.K. (2016). Separating species and
630 environmental determinants of leaf functional traits in temperate rainforest plants along a soil-
631 development chronosequence. *Funct. Plant Biol.*, 43, 751–765

632 Van der Sande, M.T., Arets, E.J.M.M., Pena-Claros, M., Luciana de Avila, A., Roopsind, A., Mazzei, L., *et*
633 *al.* (2016). Old-growth Neotropical forests are shifting in species and trait composition. *Ecol.*
634 *Monogr.*, 86, 228–243

635 Verburg, R. & van Eijk, C. (2003). Effects of selective logging on tree diversity, composition and plant
636 functional type patterns in a Bornean rain forest. *J. Veg. Sci.*, 14, 99

637 Walsh, R.P.D. & Newbery, D.M. (1999). The ecoclimatology of Danum, Sabah, in the context of the
638 world's rainforest regions, with particular reference to dry periods and their impact. *Philos. Trans.*
639 *R. Soc. B-Biological Sci.*, 354, 1869–1883

640 Wright, I.J., Westoby, M., Reich, P.B., Oleksyn, J., Ackerly, D.D., Baruch, Z., *et al.* (2004). The worldwide
641 leaf economics spectrum. *Nature*, 428, 821–827

642

643 **Figure captions**

644

645 Figure 1: Principal component analysis (PCA) of plot-level soil properties. The highest loadings on the
646 first axis are CEC (12.6%), total Mg (12.3%) and total P (12.2%). The highest loadings on the second
647 axis are exchangeable K (12.0%), total N (11.1%) and exchangeable Ca (11.1%). See Table S2 for all PCA
648 loadings.

649

650 Figure 2: Principal component analysis (PCA) of plot-level community-weighted mean functional traits.
651 Plots cluster by logging history, with increased values of traits that maximise carbon capture and
652 growth in logged forest communities and greater allocation to tissue persistence and structural
653 stability in old-growth forests. The highest loadings on the first axis are chlorophyll b_m (4.44%), N_a
654 (4.37%), branch wood density (4.25%), A_{sat} (4.25%), A_{max} (4.21%) and SLA (4.17%). The highest loadings
655 on second axis are P_m (7.45%), LA (7.02%), tannins (6.22%), C_m (5.88%) and leaf dry weight (5.75%).
656 Mass-based nutrients are denoted by superscript “m” and area-based values by superscript “a”. See
657 Table S3 for all PCA loadings.

658

659 Figure 3: Proportion of variance in community-weighted mean functional trait values explained by
660 forest type and the first two principal components of soil properties (Fig. 1). Functional traits are
661 grouped by the ecosystem function to which they most contribute. Statistical significance is derived
662 from linear regression models following false discovery rate correction, asterisks indicate $p < 0.05$, ‘+’
663 and ‘-’ indicate the direction of the relationship. For forest type ‘+’ indicates that trait values were
664 greater in selectively logged than old-growth forests (i.e. positive with first PC axis). For variance
665 explained by soil, ‘+’ indicates positive relationship with the respective PC axis. See Table 2 and Table
666 S4 for detailed results.

667

668 Figure 4: Box-and-whisker plots showing the median, upper and lower quartile of functional diversity
669 calculated as Rao’s Q with no significant difference between forest type (ANOVA: $F_{1,6} = 0.16$, $p = 0.70$).

670 **Tables**

671 Table 1: Study plot description and soil properties, plots are listed with decreasing basal area. Basal
 672 area, stem density and number of tree species refers to all tree individuals ≥ 10 cm dbh.

Plot name	Location	Total basal area [m ² ha ⁻¹]	Stem density	Number of species	C [%]	N [%]	Total P [mg kg ⁻¹]	Total Ca [mg kg ⁻¹]	Total K [mg kg ⁻¹]	Total Mg [mg kg ⁻¹]	eCEC [mmol ⁺ kg ⁻¹]	pH (H ₂ O)	NO ₃ ⁻ [μ g 10 cm ² 14 days ⁻¹]	NH ₄ ⁺ [μ g 10 cm ² 14 days ⁻¹]	Exchangable Ca [μ g 10 cm ² 14 days ⁻¹]	Exchangable Mg [μ g 10 cm ² 14 days ⁻¹]	Exchangable K [μ g 10 cm ² 14 days ⁻¹]	Extractable
Old-growth forest (OG)																		
MLA-01	MBCA	41.8	396	141	1.67	0.14	163.01	50.06	101.03	39.67	36.44	3.95	59.6 ± 45.2	10.5 ± 3.11	237 ± 130	152 ± 80.6	265 ± 154	0.23 ± 0.2
MLA-02	MBCA	37.3	484	170	2.00	0.17	266.44	194.68	80.62	99.41	43.18	4.25	184 ± 103	6.37 ± 1.52	417 ± 476	303 ± 215	151 ± 80.2	0.433 ± 0.3
DAN-04	DVCA	30.8	456	128	1.80	0.18	557.21	603.28	16.12	389.63	63.88	5.81	75.2 ± 57.2	11.9 ± 12.7	480 ± 596	235 ± 187	268 ± 158	0.177 ± 0.1
DAN-05	DVCA	29.1	413	144	1.84	0.17	255.59	140.50	77.03	180.37	44.90	4.46	154 ± 164	5 ± 1.88	737 ± 682	271 ± 183	305 ± 269	1.03 ± 1.8
Selectively logged forest (SL)																		
SAF-03	SAFE project	25.8	565	211	1.89	0.16	231.05	40.42	115.55	38.65	35.66	3.68	83.8 ± 63.7	26.4 ± 44.9	392 ± 265	318 ± 201	332 ± 308	8.51 ± 12
SAF-04	SAFE project	19.5	465	188	7.15	0.32	117.07	107.71	67.21	41.36	14.55	3.90	83.4 ± 60.5	2.68 ± 4.32	1.35*10 ³ ± 621	310 ± 94.1	45.1 ± 58	1.18 ± 1.2
SAF-02	SAFE project	14.8	416	124	1.51	0.12	137.33	160.50	105.86	41.80	49.38	4.04	340 ± 239	23.9 ± 33.2	182 ± 187	191 ± 173	401 ± 239	0.464 ± 0.2
SAF-01	SAFE project	10.9	331	129	3.08	0.28	375.15	887.03	96.37	266.36	70.76	4.66	20 ± 17.5	16.8 ± 18.7	212 ± 269	79 ± 67.6	245 ± 90.6	3.03 ± 2.4

673

674 **Table 2:** Results from linear regression models from which the explained variance was generated, factors are the categorical 'forest type' (OG – old-growth,
675 SL – selectively logged), and continuous 'soil PC1' and 'soil PC2'. For analyses values of dark respiration R_d fluxes and $\delta^{13}C$ were converted to positive values
676 for ease of interpretation, here untransformed values are shown. For abbreviations and description of the functional traits, see table S1.

677

Commented [SB7]: I'll pimp up that table

Functional trait	CWM trait value (95% confidence intervals)		Forest type			Soil PC1		Soil PC2			
	OG	SL	F value	p-value		F value	p-value	F value	p-value		
hemicellulose_perc	12.3 (11.4 - 13.1)	11.8 (11 - 12.6)	1.7284	0.4371	ns	0.7672	0.5839	ns	0.27	0.725	ns
cellulose_perc	22.5 (20.9 - 24)	20.9 (19.4 - 22.4)	3.3431	0.3055	ns	0.3132	0.725	ns	0.995	0.538	ns
lignin_recalitrants_perc	19.4 (17.3 - 21.4)	17.3 (15.3 - 19.2)	2.7065	0.3403	ns	3.333	0.3055	ns	0.0803	0.8265	ns
Total_tannin_mg_gDW	8.56 (7.7 - 9.41)	9.41 (8.6 - 10.2)	8.8879	0.1586	ns	8.0687	0.1626	ns	0.0043	0.9508	ns
Total_phenol_mg_gDW	36.4 (33.7 - 39.1)	42.7 (40.2 - 45.3)	29.9599	0.0641	ns	5.0043	0.2147	ns	2.762	0.3403	ns
WD_B	0.564 (0.528 - 0.599)	0.493 (0.46 - 0.526)	15.3085	0.1227	ns	2.4421	0.3608	ns	1.5223	0.4406	ns
LDMC_mg_g_mean	416 (391 - 440)	410 (387 - 433)	0.1426	0.78	ns	0.3322	0.725	ns	0.0786	0.8265	ns
Fp_N_mm_mean	0.266 (0.227 - 0.311)	0.212 (0.183 - 0.247)	7.611	0.1626	ns	0.2997	0.725	ns	0.2597	0.725	ns
specific_Fp_mean	1.23 (1.03 - 1.47)	0.889 (0.751 - 1.05)	13.209	0.1285	ns	0.4308	0.686	ns	0.013	0.9337	ns
leaf_thickness_mm_mean	0.221 (0.209 - 0.233)	0.236 (0.224 - 0.249)	7.1956	0.1697	ns	0.2132	0.7434	ns	2.3189	0.3712	ns
dry_weight_mg_mean	922 (784 - 1.08e+03)	1.08e+03 (930 - 1.27e+03)	2.0771	0.4013	ns	10.6002	0.1385	ns	6.1195	0.1891	ns
LA_mm2_mean	1.37e+04 (1.13e+04 - 1.65e+04)	1.31e+04 (1.1e+04 - 1.57e+04)	1.6779	0.4371	ns	11.1158	0.1367	ns	1.8983	0.4249	ns
SLA_mm2_mg_mean	16.3 (15.3 - 17.4)	13.2 (12.2 - 14.1)	52.0691	0.057	ns	3.0363	0.3225	ns	5.338	0.2081	ns
chl_a_mg.g	2.62 (2.54 - 2.71)	2.49 (2.41 - 2.57)	13.8526	0.1285	ns	0.967	0.539	ns	0.0201	0.922	ns
chl_a_mg.mm2	0.00018 (0.000169 - 0.000192)	0.000206 (0.000195 - 0.000217)	29.1407	0.0641	ns	1.4573	0.4408	ns	7.0602	0.1697	ns
chl_b_mg.g	1.09 (1.05 - 1.13)	0.97 (0.931 - 1.01)	40.3793	0.0622	ns	0.0054	0.9508	ns	2.6047	0.3462	ns
chl_b_mg.mm2	7.42e-05 (6.79e-05 - 8.04e-05)	7.95e-05 (7.36e-05 - 8.55e-05)	5.5521	0.2032	ns	1.6784	0.4371	ns	1.3329	0.4618	ns
carot_mg.g	0.687 (0.667 - 0.708)	0.667 (0.647 - 0.687)	6.3526	0.1891	ns	1.5548	0.4406	ns	0.2638	0.725	ns
carot_mg.mm2	4.74e-05 (4.47e-05 - 5.02e-05)	5.54e-05 (5.28e-05 - 5.79e-05)	47.7257	0.057	ns	1.7074	0.4371	ns	7.9565	0.1626	ns
Asat_mean	4.08 (2.66 - 5.5)	7.03 (5.69 - 8.38)	20.2667	0.0936	ns	0.2841	0.725	ns	4.4952	0.2333	ns
Amax_mean	11.7 (8.94 - 14.5)	18 (15.4 - 20.6)	23.2366	0.0844	ns	0.8777	0.5526	ns	4.2675	0.2424	ns
DR_mean	1.03 (0.871 - 1.19)	1.25 (1.09 - 1.4)	7.7079	0.1626	ns	1.546	0.4406	ns	5.7587	0.199	ns
N_mg.mm2	0.128 (0.12 - 0.136)	0.166 (0.158 - 0.174)	97.6994	0.0343	*	0.5198	0.6654	ns	19.7036	0.0936	ns
total_P_mg.mm2	6.62e-05 (6.21e-05 - 7.06e-05)	8.04e-05 (7.57e-05 - 8.54e-05)	33.2076	0.0641	ns	12.2667	0.1294	ns	10.3913	0.1385	ns
X13C	32.4 (32.1 - 32.8)	31.4 (31.1 - 31.8)	28.7751	0.0641	ns	8.7471	0.1586	ns	11.3558	0.1367	ns
C_perc	44.6 (44.3 - 44.9)	44.7 (44.4 - 45)	12.2663	0.1294	ns	89.7169	0.0343	*	0.2403	0.7309	ns
total_P_mg.g	0.998 (0.912 - 1.09)	0.99 (0.909 - 1.08)	1.4839	0.4408	ns	13.2189	0.1285	ns	0.444	0.686	ns
N_perc	1.83 (1.74 - 1.91)	1.97 (1.89 - 2.05)	9.1914	0.1586	ns	7.7457	0.1626	ns	4.523	0.2333	ns
total_K_mg.g	9.99 (8.4 - 11.9)	10.8 (9.13 - 12.7)	0.9229	0.5454	ns	0.3208	0.725	ns	2.7576	0.3403	ns
total_Mg_mg.g	2.57 (2.06 - 3.2)	2.41 (1.96 - 2.97)	0.7237	0.5925	ns	1.2751	0.4687	ns	0.4897	0.672	ns
total_Ca_mg.g	7.09 (6.12 - 8.2)	6.6 (5.75 - 7.59)	6.1143	0.1891	ns	17.5554	0.1051	ns	1.5777	0.4406	ns
X15N	1.64 (0.966 - 2.32)	0.846 (0.204 - 1.49)	5.032	0.2147	ns	0.1854	0.7495	ns	0.5269	0.6654	ns
RaoQ	0.791 (0.735 - 0.847)	0.789 (0.736 - 0.842)	0.1971	0.748	ns	0.1187	0.7961	ns	3.0601	0.3225	ns

