

1 **Skin microbiome of coral reef fish is highly variable and driven by host phylogeny and**
2 **diet**

3
4

5 Marlène Chiarello*^{1,2}, Jean-Christophe Auguet¹, Yvan Bettarel¹, Corinne Bouvier¹, Thomas
6 Claverie^{1,3}, Nicholas AJ Graham⁴, Fabien Rieuvilleneuve¹, Elliott Sucre^{1,3}, Thierry Bouvier^{1#},
7 and Sébastien Villéger^{1#}

8

9 # co-senior authorship

10 *Corresponding author: marlene.chiarello@gmail.com

11

12 **Affiliations:**

13 ¹Marine Biodiversity, Exploitation and Conservation (MARBEC), Université de Montpellier,
14 CNRS, IRD, IFREMER, Place Eugène Bataillon, Case 093, 34 095 Montpellier Cedex 5,
15 France

16 ²Université de Toulouse, Laboratoire Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Environnement, Toulouse,
17 France

18 ³Centre Universitaire de Formation et de Recherche de Mayotte, Dombéni, Mayotte, France

19 ⁴Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 **ABSTRACT**

36

37 **Background**

38 The surface of marine animals is covered by abundant and diversified microbial communities,
39 which have major roles for the health of their host. While such microbiomes have been deeply
40 examined in marine invertebrates such as corals and sponges, the microbiomes living on
41 marine vertebrates have received less attention. Specifically, the diversity of these
42 microbiomes, their variability among species and their drivers are still mostly unknown,
43 especially among the fish species living on coral reefs that contribute to key ecosystem
44 services while they are increasingly affected by human activities. Here, we investigated these
45 knowledge gaps analyzing the skin microbiome of 138 fish individuals belonging to 44 coral
46 reef fish species living in the same area.

47

48 **Results**

49 Prokaryotic communities living on the skin of coral reef fishes are highly diverse, with on
50 average more than 600 OTUs per fish, and differ from planktonic microbes. Skin
51 microbiomes varied between fish individual and species, and interspecific differences were
52 slightly coupled to the phylogenetic affiliation of the host and its ecological traits.
53 Importantly, fish species hosting the highest microbial diversity were also the most vulnerable
54 to fishing.

55

56 **Conclusions**

57 These results highlight that coral reef biodiversity is greater than previously appreciated, since
58 the high diversity of macro-organisms supports a highly diversified microbial community.
59 This suggest that beyond the loss of coral reefs-associated macroscopic species, anthropic
60 activities on coral reefs could also lead to a loss of still unexplored host-associated microbial
61 diversity, which urgently needs to be assessed.

62

63

64 **Keywords (btw 3 and 10)**

65 Tropical, Teleost, microbiota, phylogenetic diversity, phylosymbiosis, phylogenetic signal

66 BACKGROUND

67

68 Lots of animals host abundant and diverse microbial communities, called microbiomes [1–5].
69 These microbiomes are crucial for their host’s fitness, as they regulate metabolism, enhance
70 nutrients absorption, educate and regulate the immune system, and protect against pathogens
71 [6]. Microbiomes are also distinct between host species [3, 7, 8], and these differences are
72 sometimes related to host ecological traits: for instance the gut microbiome of terrestrial
73 vertebrates is linked to host diet [7]. Differences in microbiomes could also be correlated with
74 evolutionary distance between hosts, with closely related species tending to host more similar
75 microbiomes, a pattern called “phylosymbiosis” [9–11]. This pattern was reported for gut
76 microbiomes of various animal clades, such as terrestrial mammals and insects [11, 12], but
77 also for skin microbiomes of mammals belonging to *Artiodactyla* (even-toed ungulates
78 including giraffe, goat and camel) and *Perissodactyla* (odd-toed ungulates including horse
79 and rhinoceros) [13]. Phylosymbiosis could be driven by an increased phenotypic divergence
80 between hosts that are phylogenetically distinct [12], by vertical transmission of some
81 microbial lineages across hosts generations [11], and/or coevolution of microbes with their
82 host (*e.g.* a giant bacteria inhabiting surgeonfishes’ guts having phylogenetic relationships
83 congruent with those of their hosts, *i.e.* cophylogeny) [14]).

84 By contrast to the numerous studies on gut microbiomes, the skin microbiomes of most
85 animal taxa are underexplored, especially those of marine vertebrates which are surrounded
86 by highly abundant and diverse planktonic microbes (viruses, bacteria, *Archaea* and
87 eukaryotes) in the seawater [15]. These planktonic reservoirs of microbes have potential to
88 colonize vertebrate skin and potentially cause infections. Consequently, surface microbiomes
89 of marine animals may be crucial for protection against pathogens. For instance coral surface
90 mucus host bacterial species which are able to protect their host against pathogens by
91 inhibiting enzymatic activities and secreting antimicrobial compounds [16–20].

92 However, the skin microbiome of marine fishes, which constitute the most diverse group of
93 vertebrates [21], remains largely unknown with the exception of a few temperate species [3,
94 22]. More specifically, there is currently no knowledge about the factors explaining the
95 diversity and the variability of skin microbiomes of tropical reef fishes. Many fish species are
96 facing increasing threat, mainly due to human activities [23]. Understanding fish-microbes
97 interactions in their natural environment is essential to further assess consequences of
98 disturbances on such interactions, and consequences for host’s wild populations [24].

99 Here, we analyzed the prokaryotic microbiome of 44 fish species from the coral reefs of
100 Mayotte Island (Western Indian Ocean) using metabarcoding of the V4 region of the 16S
101 rRNA gene. We assessed the effect of host's ecological traits and evolutionary legacy on the
102 structure and diversity of its associated microbiome.

103

104 **RESULTS**

105

106 We sampled the skin microbiome of 138 individuals of 44 species of fish and 35 planktonic
107 communities in a fringing reef and in an inner barrier reef around Mayotte Island (France).
108 The two sampling sites were separated by 15 km. (See supplementary Information S1 and
109 **“Study area and sampling procedure”** in the Methods section for more details). Fish species
110 represented 5 orders and 22 families, including the main ecological groups dominating coral
111 reefs. Biodiversity of microbial communities was assessed using phylogenetic entropy
112 (Allen's index), which takes into account both the phylogenetic affiliation of prokaryotic
113 OTUs and their relative abundance [25]. Dissimilarity between microbial communities was
114 assessed using W-Unifrac, which, as Allen's index, is accounting for the relative abundance
115 of phylogenetic lineages [26]. See **“Computing phylogenetic diversity”** in the Methods
116 section for more details. As fish species were represented by one to six individuals (S1),
117 statistical tests assessing the effect of host species phylogenetic affiliation or ecological traits
118 on fish skin microbiome were carried out using two different methodologies: Method A based
119 on 999 random subsamples of 1 individual per fish species, and Method B based on averaged
120 relative abundances of prokaryotic OTUs recovered on all individuals of each species (See
121 **“Determinants of dissimilarity between skin microbiomes”** in the Methods section).

122

123 **Coral reef fishes host a high microbial diversity on their skin**

124 A total of 10,430 prokaryotic 97%-similarity OTUs were found on fishes, representing 34
125 archaeal and bacterial classes and 19 phyla. In contrast, 2,210 OTUs representing 17 classes
126 and 11 microbial phyla were found in planktonic communities. Phylogenetic entropy of the
127 skin microbiome of each fish individual was on average 1.4 times higher than in a planktonic
128 sample (Kruskal-Wallis test, $P=0.003$, Figure 1 and S2). The 35 planktonic communities
129 combined hosted microbial phylogenetic entropy lower than all 100 randomly chosen of 35
130 fish microbiomes, which hosted on average 3 times higher phylogenetic entropy than
131 planktonic communities (S2).

132

133 In addition to these differences in phylogenetic diversity, fish skin microbiome has also
134 significantly distinct phylogenetic structure than surrounding planktonic communities
135 (PERMANOVA based on W-Unifrac, $P=0.001$, and $R^2=0.14$, Figure 2 and Figure 3). Fit of
136 the neutral model from Sloan and co-workers [27] gave higher goodness of fit and migration
137 rate on planktonic communities than on fish skin microbiomes ($R^2=0.62$ and $m=0.58$ for
138 planktonic communities and $R^2=0.09$ and $m=0.02$ for fish skin microbiomes). Moreover, only
139 10% of OTUs found on fish skin were also detected in at least one planktonic community.
140 Fish skin microbiomes were significantly enriched in *Gammaproteobacteria* ($14\pm 12\%$ of
141 abundance in plankton vs. $38\pm 24\%$ on fishes), especially *Vibrionaceae* ($1\pm 3\%$ vs. $7\pm 11\%$)
142 and *Altermonadales* ($8\pm 10\%$ vs. $10\pm 13\%$), *Rhizobiales* ($0.01\pm 0.03\%$ vs. $3\pm 5\%$) and
143 *Clostridiales* ($0.03\pm 0.04\%$ vs. $3\pm 4\%$) compared to planktonic communities that were enriched
144 in *Cyanobacteria* ($24\pm 12\%$ of abundance in water column vs. $4\pm 8\%$ on fishes),
145 *Rhodobacteraceae* ($7\pm 4\%$ vs. $6\pm 9\%$) and *Flavobacteriaceae* ($9\pm 4\%$ vs. $5\pm 7\%$) (Figure 2 and
146 S3). Bacteria dominated both planktonic and skin-associated communities, as the 75 OTUs
147 identified as Archaea cumulated 1.1% of abundance in planktonic communities and 0.8% in
148 skin-associated communities. 37% of archaeal OTUs were affiliated to the phylum
149 *Thaumarchaeota*, 17% to the phylum *Euryarchaeota*, and all other OTUs remained
150 unclassified. *Thaumarchaeota* were mostly affiliated to the Marine Group I (9 OTUs out of
151 28 *Thaumarchaeota*) and South-African Gold Mine Group 1 (4 OTUs). *Euryarchaeota* were
152 mostly classified into *Thermoplasmata* (5 OTUs out of 13 *Euryarchaeota*), *Methanomicrobia*
153 (4 OTUs) and *Halobacteria* (3 OTUs). While not represented in figure S4 because of their
154 small effect size, *Thaumarchaeota*, *Thermoplasmata* and *Halobacteria* were significantly
155 more abundant in fish skin microbiomes (see the table in S3 for their respective effect sizes).
156 Skin microbiomes of a few fish species (see S1 and S7) were dominated by other prokaryotic
157 classes (Figure 2). For instance *Chaetodon auriga* hosted the highest relative abundance in
158 *Alphaproteobacteria* ($64.6\pm 29\%$ of relative abundance) and the lowest relative abundance in
159 *Gammaproteobacteria* ($2.8\pm 0.07\%$) in the entire dataset. *Corythoichthys flavofasciatus*, the
160 only member of order *Syngnatiiformes*, was the most enriched in *Cyanobacteria* ($46.9\pm 13.1\%$)
161 and second most depleted in *Gammaproteobacteria* ($7.5\pm 0.03\%$). *Pomacanthus imperator*
162 was the most enriched in *Clostridia* ($16.5\pm 4.7\%$) and the most depleted in
163 *Alphaproteobacteria* ($1.0\pm 1.1\%$). *Naso unicornis* was the second most enriched in *Clostridia*
164 (15.2%), and the most depleted in *Cyanobacteria* and *Alphaproteobacteria* (0% and 2.9%).
165 The two *Epiphidae* species (*Platax teira* and *Platax orbicularis*) were the most enriched in

166 *Flavobacteriia* (37.7% and 21.3±29.3%). The only member of family *Monacanthidae*
167 (*Cantherhines pardalis*) was the most enriched in *Sphingobacteriia* (34.3%).

168

169 Phylogenetic entropy varied significantly among fish species (Kruskal-Wallis test performed
170 on Allen's index of the 34 species represented by at least 2 individuals, $P=0.007$).

171 Phylogenetic entropy of fish skin microbiome varied among species of the same family from
172 1.02-fold factor (*Scorpaenidae*) to a 4.5-fold factor (*Mullidae*), and varied among individuals
173 of the same species from 1.1-fold factor (*Pterocaesio trilienata*) to a 15.8-fold factor
174 (*Chaetodon lunula*).

175 Using method A, interspecific differences of phylogenetic diversity of fish skin microbiome
176 were significantly related to phylogenetic distances between fishes ($P<0.05$) in 49% of the
177 999 subsamples (*i.e.* Moran's I autocorrelation tests after subsampling of one fish individual
178 per fish species; Moran's $I=0.02\pm 0.0$). Similar level of autocorrelation was obtained using
179 method B (*i.e.* averaged microbiomes) and test was significant ($I=0.02$, $P=0.05$). None of the
180 two methods raised a significant phylogenetic signal using Pagel's Lambda (S4).

181

182 **Dissimilarity among fish skin microbiomes**

183 Dissimilarity among fish skin microbiomes was significantly higher than the one between
184 planktonic communities (Kruskal-Wallis performed on W-Unifrac, $P<0.01$, Figure 3A), with
185 pairwise W-Unifrac dissimilarities averaging 0.71 ± 0.11 for skin, and 0.34 ± 0.12 for plankton.
186 No OTU was recovered on skin of all fish individuals. Interspecific W-Unifrac dissimilarities
187 of skin microbiome were on average 1.3 times higher than intraspecific ones (Figure 3B).
188 Similarly, PERMANOVA performed on the 34 species represented by at least 2 individuals
189 showed a significant effect of host species on its associated skin microbiome ($P=0.001$, $R^2=$
190 0.44), demonstrating higher variability of skin microbiome between fish species compared to
191 variability between individuals from the same species.

192

193 Additional PERMANOVAs performed on only fish species sampled on both reef types
194 showed that host species had a higher effect size ($R^2=0.32$) than reef type ($R^2=0.03$, S5). Reef
195 type (fringing *vs.* barrier) and environmental parameters (depth, swelling, weather, turbidity,
196 temperature, conductivity, salinity and total dissolved solids, see S1) measured in both sites
197 during sampling had a weak, although significant, effect on fish skin microbiome (separated
198 PERMANOVAs performed on each parameter presented in S5, $P<0.05$, $R^2=0.03\pm 0.00$).

199 By contrast, planktonic communities showed higher dissimilarity between reef types
200 (PERMANOVA performed on W-Unifrac, $P=0.001$ $R^2=0.27$) and stronger response to
201 environmental parameters (effect sizes of separated PERMANOVAs, $P<0.05$, $R^2=$
202 0.20 ± 0.09).

203
204 Correlation between interspecific differences in the skin microbiomes and phylogenetic
205 distances between host fish species raised different results depending on the methodology
206 used (See “**Determinants of dissimilarity between skin microbiomes**” in Methods section).
207 Method A, involving subsamples of one fish individual per fish species before performing a
208 Mantel test, did not detect any significant correlation between microbial and phylogenetic
209 distances (Mantel test on W-Unifrac, $R=0.01\pm 0.04$ and $P<0.05$ in 0 of the 999 tested
210 subsamples, Figure 4A). Method B, which consisted in averaging microbial relative
211 abundance across individuals of each fish species before computing W-Unifrac detected a
212 significant correlation between microbial and phylogenetic distances (Mantel test: $R=0.13$,
213 $P=0.04$ Figure 4B). When considering only the 29 species containing at least 3 individuals
214 correlation was even higher ($R=0.20$, $P=0.03$, Figure 4C). However, both methods did not
215 detect any correlation between microbial distances and host phylogeny at higher phylogenetic
216 levels (S6), even on the subset of 29 species containing at least 3 individuals.

217
218 Interspecific differences in the skin microbiomes (assessed using both methods A and B) were
219 not significantly predicted by body size, schooling, period of activity, mobility, and position
220 in the water column of the host. The only trait with significant effect on fish skin microbiome
221 was diet (PERMANOVAs performed on W-Unifrac; Method A: $P<0.05$ in 88% of 999
222 subsamples, $R^2=0.18$; Method B: $P=0.002$ and $R^2=0.20$) (S7 and S8). However the surface
223 microbiome of sessile invertebrates sampled at the same period and on the same sites as fishes
224 was not significantly closer to sessile invertebrates-eating fishes than to fishes having other
225 diets (S9).

226 227 **Assessing core skin microbiome of fish species**

228 Among the 29 fish species that were sampled at least three times, from 0 to 110 core OTUs
229 were recovered per species (*i.e.* OTUs that were recovered on the skin of all individuals of at
230 least one species), making a total of 307 OTUs across all fish species (S10). These OTUs
231 were mainly *Gammaproteobacteria* (16% of core OTUs and 3.6 ± 5.7 % of relative abundance
232 when present), unclassified OTUs (14.7% of core OTUs and 0.08 ± 4.7 % of relative abundance

233 when present), and *Alphaproteobacteria* (13.7% of core OTUs and $1.9 \pm 5.3\%$ of relative
234 abundance when present). Around 47% of fish core OTUs (making on average $29.1 \pm 24\%$ of
235 cumulated relative abundance in fish skin microbiome) were also detected in planktonic
236 communities, where they had a cumulated relative abundance of 80.6%.
237 The number of core OTUs and the number of individuals sampled were negatively correlated
238 (Pearson's correlation test, $P = 0.005$, $\rho = -0.50$). Additionally, there was no correlation
239 between the average OTU richness on each species and the number of core OTUs recovered
240 (Pearson's correlation test, $P = 0.26$). Relative abundances of core OTUs recovered on each
241 species were not correlated to host phylogeny (Moran's I and Pagel's Lambda on relative
242 abundances of core OTUs, $P = 1$ across all core OTUs).

243

244 **DISCUSSION**

245

246 **Skin of coral reef fishes host a highly diverse microbiomes**

247 Assessing the diversity of skin microbiome for 138 fishes inhabiting coral reefs revealed that
248 a fish individual hosts as many as 600 OTUs and that the 44 fish species sampled host a total
249 of 10,430 prokaryotic OTUs. Fish skin microbiomes hosted OTUs representing nearly twice
250 more prokaryotic classes and phyla than planktonic communities. In addition to this high
251 taxonomic diversity, the skin microbiome of each individual was phylogenetically more
252 diverse than the planktonic community found in seawater (Figure 1), and the phylogenetic
253 entropy of the 35 combined planktonic communities sampled in our study hosted only the
254 third of the phylogenetic entropy found on 35 randomly chosen fish individuals
255 (Supplementary Information S2).

256 These results demonstrate that skin-associated microbiomes of tropical fishes host more
257 microbial lineages than planktonic communities, and also that microbes abundant on skin are
258 phylogenetically more distinct than those abundant in plankton. Such a high diversity of skin-
259 associated microbial communities could be driven by the complexity of habitats available at
260 fish surface, which are essentially alive tissues showing a specific and complex immune
261 system [28], covered by a viscous, nutrient-rich mucus [29], whose composition is yet not
262 well studied in numerous species. Tropical reefs are usually oligotrophic, and water column
263 usually depleted in nutrients and organic matter. In these conditions, surface mucus may act
264 as a growth media for microbes, as it has been hypothesised in the case of coral mucus [30].
265 In the case of fishes, estimates of cultural bacterial abundance were of 10^2 to 10^4 bacteria per
266 square centimetre of skin [31], *i.e.* in approximately 0.003 to 0.01 mL of fish mucus [32],

267 giving 10^4 to 10^6 culturable bacteria per milliliter (mL) of fish mucus, suggesting a possible
268 enrichment of bacterial abundances compared to seawater (containing a total of 10^6 bacteria
269 per mL, whose 0.1 to 1% are culturable [15]). However, diversity of abiotic and biotic
270 conditions on tropical fish skin still remain largely unknown and thus should be assessed in
271 future studies to unravel niches available for microbes [22].

272

273 **Prokaryotic composition**

274 Fish skin microbiome was largely dominated by Bacteria, totalizing more than 99% of OTU
275 abundance, and especially *Gammaproteobacteria*. Previous studies also revealed high
276 abundances of this bacterial class in teleost skin microbiome from temperate waters [3, 22], in
277 surface mucus of corals [33], and on the skin of marine mammals [4, 34]. Besides reporting
278 the dominant bacteria taxa present on fish skin, we also reported for the first time archaeal
279 diversity of fish skin microbiome. The few archaeal lineages found on fishes included
280 *Thaumarchaeota*, which is also the main archaeal phylum found on human skin [35]. Further
281 investigations using specific primers are yet needed to explore this archaeal diversity more
282 [deeply, as primers used here are likely more efficient for recovery of bacterial diversity than](#)
283 [archaeal diversity \[36\].](#)

284

285 Fish skin microbiome was species-specific, both in terms of prokaryotic diversity (Figure 2)
286 and in terms of structure of the prokaryotic community (Figure 3). To further test if species
287 phylogenetic affiliation would drive both interspecific differences of microbial diversity and
288 structure, we compared two different methods that seemed to be equally suitable to focus on
289 drivers of interspecific variability of skin microbiome. The first one (Method A), previously
290 used by Groussin and co-workers [11], involved a random subsampling of one individual per
291 species before statistical analyses. The second one (method B), previously used by Brooks
292 and co-workers [12], involved averaging microbial relative abundances of prokaryotic OTUs
293 found on individuals of the same species.

294

295 **Fish skin prokaryotic diversity**

296 The two methods (A and B) yielded overall similar results concerning the drivers of
297 interspecific differences of fish skin microbial diversity, identifying a slight trend of
298 correlation between fish species phylogeny and prokaryotic phylogenetic entropy (*i.e.*
299 phylogenetic signal, Figure 2). However, Moran's *I* autocorrelation measure was very low
300 (Moran's *I* = 0.02 for both methods), meaning that phylogenetic signal along fish phylogeny

301 was weak. This weakness of phylogenetic signal was confirmed by measures using Pagel's
302 Lambda, which did not detect any significant phylogenetic signal (S4).
303 The weakness of such correlation was partly driven by the high heterogeneity in microbial
304 diversity between individuals belonging to the same family. For instance, microbiome
305 phylogenetic entropy varied by a ~4-fold factor between the two *Mullidae* species
306 (*Parupeneus trifasciatus* and *P. cyclostomus*, which diverged less than 15 Mya). Therefore,
307 fish species host different levels of microbial phylogenetic diversity, and these differences are
308 only weakly phylogenetically conserved. This contrasts with a study on the whole
309 microbiome of 20 marine sponges species, which showed a strong correlation between
310 microbial diversity and host phylogeny [37]. To our knowledge, apart from ours, this study is
311 the only one that tested such correlation. Differences of pattern may be related to the smaller
312 phylogenetic scales studied here (8 to 130 Mya) compared to the divergence times between
313 sponge species (up to 680 Mya in Easson & Thacker's study according to
314 <http://timetree.org/>). Moreover, the study from Easson & Thacker focused on the entire
315 sponge microbiome, which is mainly located inside a tissue called *mesohyl* [38]. Such internal
316 buffered microenvironment differs with fish surface, which is influenced by both surrounding
317 biotic (*e.g.* grazing, viral lysis) and abiotic conditions (*e.g.* salinity), as well as plankton
318 immigration [39].

319

320 **Fish skin prokaryotic structure**

321 *Phylosymbiosis*

322 Besides diversity, phylogenetic structure of fish skin microbiome was also highly variable
323 among fishes (Figure 2 and 3). Strikingly, no OTU was recovered in all individuals. Such
324 high variability of skin microbiome confirms findings reported for temperate fish species [3,
325 22]. Additionally, variability of skin microbiome was significantly lower between individuals
326 from the same species than between individuals of different species, demonstrating a species-
327 specificity of tropical fish skin microbiome (Figure 3). Thus, similar to fishes from other
328 ecosystems [3, 22], coral reef fish species host distinct microbial phylogenetic lineages.
329 Previous studies reported phylosymbiosis for the gut microbiome of terrestrial animals
330 (mammals [11], hominids [9], insects [12], birds [40]) and whole microbiomes of tropical
331 sponges [37], and cophylogeny between surgeonfishes (*Acanthuridae*) and a bacterial
332 symbiont [14]. To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating a possible
333 phylosymbiosis pattern for the skin microbiome of marine fishes. The two statistical methods
334 used identified contrasting results. The first one (Method A) involving repeated random

335 subsampling of one individual per species, revealed no significant phylosymbiosis pattern.
336 The second one, involving averaging microbial relative abundances of prokaryotic OTUs
337 found on individuals of the same species (method B), did detect a significant phylosymbiosis
338 pattern (Figure 4).

339

340 Fish skin microbiome is characterized by an important intraspecific microbial variability [22].
341 In our dataset, while being 1.3 times lower than the interspecific variability (Figure 3),
342 intraspecific variability might have blurred phylosymbiosis signal detection in method A, by
343 considering one individual per species per subsample. However, the absence of any
344 correlation between microbial distances and host phylogeny at higher phylogenetic levels
345 using both methods (S6), and the moderate R-value of Mantel test performed using Method B
346 (up to 0.2, which is lower than correlation coefficients found in gut microbiomes of terrestrial
347 mammals by Groussin and coworkers using method A and similar statistical tests [11]),
348 suggests that, if such a phylosymbiosis pattern exists in the skin microbiome of marine fishes,
349 it remains low compared to other microbiomes.

350

351 Such weak phylosymbiosis pattern in fish skin microbiome may be related to the plasticity of
352 fish immune system. Indeed, Malmstrom et al. [41] revealed that the number of copies of
353 histo-incompatibility genes MHC I and MHC II, which encode proteins that detect non-self
354 antigens and trigger an immune response, varies drastically among teleost fishes, and that
355 differences between species were not strongly associated with their phylogenetic relationship.
356 In addition, differences in skin immunology could occur between individuals (*e.g.* between
357 starved and nourished individuals [42], between healthy and infected individuals [43], and
358 between juveniles and adults [44]). Therefore, differences in the immune systems of fish
359 could explain the high levels of both intra- and interspecific variability in skin microbiomes as
360 well as the absence of a strong phylogenetic signal. However, it is now required to assess the
361 phylogenetic conservatism of fish immune system, using *e.g.* histo-compatibility genes
362 sequencing and/or genomic approaches. The effect of immune system on fish skin
363 microbiome also needs further investigation. A possibility would be the use of immunomics
364 techniques (*e.g.* antibody microarrays) [45] combined with microbial 16S RNA sequencing in
365 order to measure the effect of immune variations across individuals and species on active
366 skin-associated microbes.

367

368 *Environmental factors*

369 Fishes included in this study were sampled less than 15 km apart, and reef type (fringing vs.
370 barrier) explained less than 3% of the dissimilarity in skin microbiome of fishes found in both
371 habitats, while fish species explained around 30% (S5). Similarly, environmental parameters
372 measured on both sites during the period of sampling explained around 3% of fish skin
373 microbiome dissimilarity (S1). By contrast, reef types explained around 20% of variability of
374 planktonic communities (S5). Fitting a neutral model for microbial dispersion on fish skin
375 microbiomes and planktonic communities showed a much better fit of neutral model on
376 planktonic communities than on skin microbiome ($R^2=0.62$ and 0.09 , respectively), and very
377 high dispersion rate between water samples compared to the one between fish species ($m=$
378 0.58 and 0.02 , respectively). Hence, contrary to planktonic communities, the variability in
379 skin microbiome found among species is unlikely driven by the environmental factors
380 measured and is thus rather driven by host-specific factors.

381

382 *Ecological traits*

383 We finally tested whether the phylogenetic structure of the skin microbiome could be
384 predicted by key ecological traits of fishes (S7). The only trait that yielded a consistently
385 significant effect across both A and B methods was diet ($R^2=0.18$ and 0.20 for methods A and
386 B, respectively, S8). Such an effect was not due to a transfer of microbial cells from sessile
387 invertebrates to sessile invertebrates-eating fishes (S9). Although it has been proven that diet
388 shapes the gut microbiome of other vertebrates, including teleostean fishes, at both
389 interspecific [7, 11, 46–48] and intraspecific scales [7, 49–51], this is the first report of an
390 effect of species diet on the skin microbiome. An explanation could be an indirect transfer
391 from fishes' faeces to their skin. However the gut microbiome of the thousands of coral reef
392 fishes [21, 52] is still largely unknown (but see [53] for *Acanthuridae* from the Red Sea).
393 Another explanation would be that fishes having different diets produce different surface
394 mucus. Accordingly, one study showed that different butterflyfishes produce distinct
395 metabolites in their gill mucus, and that diet was the predominant factor explaining such
396 differences [54]. Another study focused on tropical reef fish also showed that gill microbiome
397 was partially influenced by diet [55]. These findings suggest that the different metabolites
398 present in fish alimentation sources may alter the mucus composition of the consumer, by
399 modification of its physiology and/or by assimilation of certain metabolites and exudation in
400 mucus, which would in turn alter microbial community composition in fish gills. Gill and skin
401 mucus are both produced by goblet cells, share several similar components, and may thus be
402 altered by similar pathways [56, 57]. Therefore, as in the case of gill mucus, diet may induce

403 the production of distinct skin mucus, which may drive skin microbiome structure.
404 Assessment of the metabolites present in skin mucus and the effect of fish diet at both inter-
405 and intraspecific scale are now needed to confirm such hypothesis.

406

407 **Revealing the core microbiome of tropical reef fish species**

408 Skin microbiome of marine fishes is a dynamic assemblage, which composition varies across
409 time [58]. In that context, assessing the stable component of microbiomes, *i.e.* the core
410 microbiome, is essential to characterize durable interactions between hosts fishes and their
411 microbial partners, as well as predicting eventual alterations of a healthy community facing
412 perturbations [59]. The core microbiome was defined as microbial OTUs that are present on
413 all individuals of a given species [60] (S10). We identified a total of 307 OTUs belonging to
414 such core microbiomes, which belonged mainly to the *Gammaproteobacteria* class. The core
415 fraction of fish microbiome contributed to on average $29.1 \pm 24\%$ of microbial abundance
416 across the 29 species considered (S10). We observed a strong negative correlation between
417 the number of individuals sampled in each species and the number of core OTUs. Indeed, in
418 fish species that were the most extensively sampled (5 individuals and more), only 0 to 10
419 core OTUs were recovered (while 2 to 110 core OTUs were recovered in other species),
420 potentially indicating that a more extensive sampling of such fish species may prevent to
421 recover the same OTU from all individuals, which is regularly observed in studies exploring
422 core microbiome of other marine organisms [61] and highlights the high intraspecific
423 variability of fish skin microbiome. Core microbiome is often considered to be adapted to
424 niches at host's surface that do not vary across host environmental range or condition, and/or
425 that could be more likely vertically transmitted [61, 62], therefore being more likely to follow
426 a phylosymbiosis pattern. However, as here near 50% of core OTUs were also detected in
427 planktonic communities, where they cumulated 80% of relative abundance (while only 10%
428 of all OTUs detected in fishes were also detected in planktonic communities), such fraction of
429 OTUs partly reflects the microbes able to colonize all environments available on a coral reef.
430 Accordingly, we here detected no phylogenetic signal among any of these core OTUs,
431 reinforcing the idea that they would more likely reflect the common environment of all fishes
432 than a specific niche on fish skin.

433

434 **CONCLUSION**

435

436 Here, we report that the high fish biodiversity on coral reefs supports a high biodiversity of
437 microbial species because each fish species hosts a high and unique diversity of microbes.
438 Comparing different methodologies, we also reveal that fish skin microbial diversity is driven
439 by host phylogeny and diet. Contrasting results across methodologies giving a different
440 weight to intraspecific variability of fish skin microbiome underline the importance of such
441 variability that may prevent the detection of certain drivers if sampling effort is insufficient.
442 The weak phyllosymbiosis pattern observed here has important consequences for the
443 conservation of microbial diversity associated to fishes since protecting a few species of each
444 clade does not prevent loss of a unique fraction of microbial diversity. These findings raise
445 the need for a comprehensive assessment of the whole microbial biodiversity associated to
446 coral reefs that are vanishing at an accelerating rate [63].

447

448

449

450 **METHODS**

451

452 **Study area and sampling procedure**

453 Fish sampling was conducted on November 2015 (17th to 27th) on coral reefs around
454 Mayotte Island (France), located in the Western part of the Indian Ocean. The Mayotte lagoon
455 is the third largest lagoon in the world and houses 195 km of coral reefs and more than 700
456 fish species [64]. Fish were sampled from two sites in the South West of the lagoon: a
457 fringing reef (S12°54'17.46'', E44°58'15.72''), and the inner slope of the barrier reef
458 (S12°57'33.72'', E45°04'49.38''). Both sites are far from cities, were at a good ecological
459 state at the time of sampling with more than 50% coral cover and abundant fish communities
460 including predators such as groupers and barracudas. Environmental parameters were
461 recorded on each site each sampling day (S1).

462 The most abundant species of ecologically and phylogenetically contrasted fish families were
463 sampled at each site (within a radius of 50m), including representatives from the families
464 *Acanthuridae*, *Balistidae*, *Chaetodontidae*, *Labridae*, *Pomacanthidae*, *Pomacentridae*,
465 *Scaridae* and *Scorpaenidae*. In order to take into account intraspecific variability of skin
466 microbiome, up to 5 adult individuals of each species were sampled in each site.

467 In order to avoid contamination during sampling, fishes were caught using speargun and hook
468 line and killed immediately after capture by cervical dislocation (following the European
469 directive 2010/63/UE). Fishes were handled only by the mouth using a clamp and all

470 participants wore gloves. After death, individuals were laid down, and skin microbiome was
471 sampled by swabbing the entire untouched side of the body (from back of operculum to
472 caudal peduncle, *i.e.* head not included) using buccal swabs (SK-2S swabs, Isohelix, UK). A
473 total of 138 fishes were sampled for their skin microbiome. They belonged to 44 species with
474 29 species represented by at least three individuals (Supplementary Information S1) and 10
475 species represented by a single individual. Species belonged to 5 orders and 22 families, with
476 35 species belonging to Perciformes (S1).

477 To assess planktonic diversity in the two sites, a total of thirty six 200-mL seawater samples
478 were collected at the sea surface (9 samples) and at 30 cm from the seabed (9 samples), stored
479 in an electric cooler, and filtrated at the end of the day through a 47 mm 0.2 μm
480 polycarbonate membrane (Whatman, Clifton, USA). The membranes were then placed in
481 sterile cryotubes. One surface water sample taken on the fringing reef could not be amplified
482 during subsequent steps, and was removed, making a total of 35 water samples included in
483 this study. All samples were stored at -5°C in an electric cooler during the day and remained
484 frozen until DNA extraction.

485

486 **16S rRNA gene amplification and sequencing**

487 Swabs and water membranes were incubated during 30 minutes at 37°C in 570 μL of lysis
488 buffer from Maxwell® Buccal Swab LEV DNA kits (Promega Corporation, Madison, USA)
489 and 2 μL of 37.5-KU. μL^{-1} Ready-Lyse lysozyme™ (Epicentre Technologies, Madison,
490 USA). Then, 30 μl of proteinase K (from manufacturer's kit) were added and tubes were
491 incubated overnight at 56°C . The totality of the solution was then placed in the kit for
492 extraction.

493 DNA extraction was performed using the Maxwell® 16 Bench-top extraction system
494 following manufacturer's instructions, and eluted in 50 μL of elution buffer.

495 The V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified using the prokaryotic primers modified
496 for Illumina sequencing 515F (5'-C TTT CCC TAC ACG ACG CTC TTC CGA TCT - GTG
497 CCA GCM GCC GCG GTA A- 3')[65] and the modified version of 806R by Apprill et al.[66]
498 (5' - G GAG TTC AGA CGT GTG CTC TTC CGA TCT - GGA CTA CNV GGG TWT
499 CTA AT - 3'), with PuRe *Taq* Ready-To-Go PCR Beads (Amersham Biosciences, Freiburg,
500 Germany) using 1 μL of extracted DNA and 0.4 μM of each primer as follows: initial
501 denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min and
502 72°C for 1 min, ending with a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Equimolar amounts of
503 sample DNA extracted from each sample site were separately pooled and sequenced in two

504 separated runs by an external laboratory (INRA GeT-PlaGE platform, Toulouse, France) on
505 an Illumina platform using the 2x250 bp MiSeq chemistry. Seven PCR blanks were included
506 in each sequencing run in order to assess the presence of contaminants, which were removed
507 during subsequent steps of sequence processing.

508

509 **Sequence processing to define OTUs and their phylogenetic relationships**

510 Sequence processing was performed following the SOP of Kozich et al for MiSeq [67],
511 https://www.mothur.org/wiki/MiSeq_SOP, 2017) using Mothur [68]. After assembly of
512 paired reads in each run, sequences of both runs were merged and sequences with an
513 abnormal length (outside a range of 250-300 bp) were removed. Sequences were aligned
514 along the SILVA reference database [69] release 128. Chimeras were removed using
515 UCHIME [70]. Filtered sequences were then classified using the SILVA reference taxonomy
516 and the non-prokaryotic ones were removed. 10,877 sequences from 173 samples were kept
517 after the cleaning process, ranging from 2,450 to 43,306 sequences per sample. After this,
518 2,000 sequences were sub-sampled within each sample in order to correct the uneven
519 sequencing efficiency among samples. Sequences were then grouped into Operational
520 Taxonomic Units (OTUs) using a 97% cutoff parameter, and the relative abundance of all
521 OTUs was computed using number of sequences. Relative abundances of OTUs recovered
522 from blank samples were then subtracted to their respective relative abundance in all other
523 samples. Rarefaction curves obtained from all samples are provided in S11. Non-parametric
524 Chao's coverage estimator was calculated using *entropart* R-package, and averaged
525 0.93 ± 0.05 across all samples.

526

527 The dominant sequence for each OTU was selected as reference and added into the SILVA
528 reference phylogenetic tree (release 128) using the ARB parsimony insertion tool [71]. The
529 full phylogenetic tree was then pruned using the *ape* R-package to remove all but the added
530 sequences, while keeping the topology of the tree. A chronogram was then adjusted to the
531 phylogenetic tree using PATHd8 [72]. The divergence time between *Archaea* and *Bacteria*
532 was fixed at 3.8 Ga. The minimum divergence time between *Euryarchaeota* and other
533 *Archaea* was set to 2.7 Ga [73], and the maximum age of apparition of *Thermoplamatales*
534 was set to 2.32 Ga [73]. The minimum age of apparition of Cyanobacteria was set to 2.5 Ga
535 [74]. The minimum divergence time between *Rickettsiales* and the rest of
536 *Alphaproteobacteria* sequences was set at 1.6 Ga, following Groussin et al. [11]. Finally the

537 divergence times between *Chromatiaceae* and other *Gammaproteobacteria*, was set to
538 minimum 1.64 Ga [75].

539

540 Fish skin microbiome and planktonic communities harbored high proportions of unclassified
541 microbial taxa. Using the Mothur taxonomic affiliation method, as many as 60% of the
542 11,583 recovered OTUs in both fish skin microbiome and planktonic communities could not
543 be classified at class level and 46% could not even be classified at phylum level. These OTUs
544 ranged from 0 to 34% of total abundance in a sample. We refined the taxonomic affiliation of
545 the most frequent unclassified OTUs (*i.e.* the 571 OTUs that were unclassified at phylum
546 level and that were recovered in at least 5 samples and/or contributed to more than 1% of
547 abundance in at least one sample) using the ARB parsimony insertion tool and the SILVA
548 backbone tree (v128) (Fig 3). 177 of them belonged to classes that were not detected during
549 OTU classification by Mothur. See supplementary Information S12 for the prokaryotic classes
550 relative abundances using Mothur's classification, and the refined classification of the 571
551 initially unclassified OTUs.

552

553 **Computing phylogenetic diversity**

554 We measured phylogenetic entropy accounting for the relative abundance of OTUs, using
555 Allen's index [25], which is a phylogenetic extension of Shannon's taxonomic entropy index.
556 Allen's index was computed using our own R-function (<https://github.com/marlenec/chao>,
557 $q=1$) based on the entropart R-package [76]. Allen's index increases when the most abundant
558 OTUs are phylogenetically distant.

559 Phylogenetic dissimilarities between pairs of microbial assemblages were assessed using the
560 abundance weighted Unifrac index (W-Unifrac) computed using the GUniFrac R-package
561 [77]. Phylogenetic dissimilarity indices accounting for structure ranges from 0 when
562 assemblages share the same dominant phylogenetic lineages to 1 when assemblages are or
563 dominated by phylogenetically distant OTUs.

564

565 **Fish phylogeny and ecological traits**

566 Phylogenetic relationships between studied fish species were extracted from a published time-
567 calibrated phylogeny containing 7,822 fish species, covering all Actinopterygian orders [78].
568 Out of the 44 fish species, 13 were not present in the phylogenetic tree, and were manually
569 grafted next to their closest species accordingly to literature. One species (*Cephalopholis*
570 *argus*) was incorrectly branched next to *Scaridae* in the initial tree, and was therefore also

571 grafted next to its closest relative (see S13).

572 The ecology of the 44 species was described using a set of 6 categorical traits describing body
573 size at fish maturity, mobility, period of activity, schooling behaviour, position in water
574 column and diet. Values were taken from a global database of functional traits for 6,316
575 tropical reef fishes [79]. The distribution of trait values among the 44 studied species is
576 described in S7.

577

578 **A and B methodologies used to test interspecific drivers of fish skin microbiomes**

579 Measures of the correlation between fish ecological traits or phylogeny and the diversity and
580 dissimilarity of their associated microbiomes were performed using two complementary
581 methodologies. Method A involved computing diversity indices and statistical tests on 999
582 random subsamples of 1 individual for each of the 44 species to account for intraspecific
583 variability. Method B involved averaging prokaryotic OTUs relative abundance observed
584 among individuals from each species before computing diversity and dissimilarity indices and
585 associated statistical tests on these species microbiomes.

586

587 **Determinants of microbial diversity**

588 The comparison of phylogenetic entropy obtained in planktonic samples and fish skin
589 microbiomes was done using a Kruskal-Wallis test (999 permutations) in *vegan* R-package.
590 To fairly compare planktonic diversity to the one of the fish skin microbiome, we computed
591 the phylogenetic entropy of 35 randomly chosen individuals (100 bootstrap replicates) before
592 comparison to one found in the whole planktonic community (35 samples) (see S2).

593 The comparison of phylogenetic richness and phylogenetic entropy between fish species was
594 done using a Kruskal-Wallis test (999 permutations) based on the 34 fish species that
595 contained at least 2 individuals (128 individuals).

596 To test if closely related fish species have more similar levels of phylogenetic entropy values
597 than expected by chance, we computed both Moran's I , which is used as an autocorrelation
598 measure of trait variation along a phylogenetic tree, and Pagel's Lambda, which is a measure
599 of conformity of observed traits distribution to a model of Brownian trait evolution. To
600 calculate Moran's I , we used the inverse of divergence times between fish species as a
601 measure of phylogenetic proximity [80]. Then Moran's I observed value was compared to the
602 ones obtained when shuffling diversity values 999 times on the phylogenetic tree using
603 *adephylo* R-package. Observed Pagel's Lambda was calculated using the function
604 'fitContinuous' from *geiger* R-package and compared to the ones obtained when shuffling

605 diversity values 500 times (due to extensive calculation time) on the phylogenetic tree. These
606 tests were performed using the two methodologies described above (see “**A and B**
607 **methodologies**”).

608

609 **Determinants of dissimilarity between skin microbiomes**

610 The comparison of the structure of fish-associated microbial communities and the planktonic
611 ones was performed on the full dataset using a permutational multivariate ANOVA
612 (PERMANOVA) performed on dissimilarity values (W-Unifrac) using *vegan* R-package. To
613 assess how each microbial clade contributed to the dissimilarity between planktonic and skin-
614 associated microbial communities, we performed a LefSe analysis [81]. LefSe provides
615 Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) scores for the bacterial clades contributing the most to
616 the differences between communities (S3).

617 The assessment of the effect of fish species on skin microbial community structure was done
618 using a PERMANOVA on the dissimilarities between individuals (n=128) of the 34 species
619 that contained at least 2 individuals. To assess the effect of reef type on the fish skin
620 microbiome, we performed a PERMANOVA on the 16 species for which we sampled at least
621 one representative on both reef types (total of 74 individuals). To compare the effects of reef
622 type and fish species on its microbiome, both factors, as well as the interaction between them,
623 were included in the analysis (S5). The effect of environmental parameters measured on the
624 field the day of sampling of each individual (minimum and maximum depth, height of the
625 swells, sunshine, water turbidity, ambient and water temperatures, and water’s conductivity,
626 salinity and Total Dissolved Solids, S1) was measured using a separated PERMANOVA for
627 each parameter.

628 In order to test whether fish skin microbial composition could be explained by a neutral
629 model of species dispersion and extinction, we fitted the neutral model from Sloan et al [27]
630 on OTU abundances found in skin fish microbiome and planktonic communities using the R-
631 script from Burns and coworkers [82]. This analysis was performed using method B only.

632 The correlation between interspecific dissimilarities and hosts’ phylogeny (phylosymbiosis)
633 was measured using Mantel tests based on Pearson’s coefficient, using *vegan* R-package and
634 999 permutations. This analysis was performed using the two methods described above (A
635 and B).

636

637 In order to assess the effects of host phylogeny at higher phylogenetic levels than OTUs, we
638 used the Beta Diversity Through Time (BTDD) approach developed by Groussin et al. [11],

639 which computes various beta-diversity indices at different time periods (slices) along the
640 bacterial phylogenetic tree. We went back in time this way until 900 Mya, which corresponds
641 approximately to divergence between bacterial orders, and computed Bray-Curtis index at
642 each slice of 100 Mya. At each slice, correlation between pairwise beta-diversity values and
643 host phylogeny was tested using a Mantel test based on Pearson's coefficient and 999
644 permutations. This analysis was performed using both methods described above (see "**A and**
645 **B methodologies**"). For this analysis, due to extensive computation time, method A was
646 performed using only 500 subsamples instead of 999.

647 The effect of fish ecological traits was assessed using PERMANOVAs, using both methods
648 described above (see "**A and B methodologies**"). The ecological traits were ordered in the
649 model according to their independent contribution (greatest to least) to the total variability.

650

651 For all analyses involving dataset subsampling (method A), results were reported as the
652 percentage of significant P-values ($P < 0.05$) obtained in all subsamples, and when useful, the
653 mean standard deviation of the statistic among all subsamples.

654

655 **Core microbiomes**

656 Core OTUs for each species were defined as OTUs that were shared by all individuals of the
657 same species (S10). Correlation between the number of core OTUs and the number of
658 individuals sampled and the average OTUs richness of each species was measured using two
659 separate Pearson's correlation tests.

660 To test if closely related fish species had more similar levels core OTUs abundances than
661 expected by chance, we computed both Moran's *I* and Pagel's Lambda on each core OTUs
662 relative abundance distribution and compared observed values to the ones obtained when
663 shuffling relative abundances on fish phylogenetic tree ($n=999$ and 500 permutations for
664 Moran's *I* and Pagel's Lambda, respectively), see "**Determinants of microbial diversity**" for
665 more details. P-values were subsequently corrected for multiple testing using Bonferroni
666 formula.

667

668

669

670

671

672

673 **DECLARATIONS**

674

675 **Ethical approval**

676 Fish sampling authorization was provided by the Mayotte's directorate of maritime affairs

677 (permit N°12/UTM/2015).

678

679 **Consent for publication**

680 Not applicable

681

682 **Availability of data and material**

683 Sequence data will be available upon publication in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive

684 database under the biosample numbers SAMN08041369-SAMN08041541.

685

686 **Competing interests**

687 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

688

689 **Funding**

690 This project was funded by the TOTAL Foundation (Project MICMAC). The funders had no

691 role in study design, sampling and analysis decision to publish, or preparation of the

692 manuscript.

693

694 **Authors' contribution**

695 Conceptualization, M. C., T.B. and S.V.; Methodology, M.C., C.B. N.A.G., T.B. and S.V.;

696 Investigation, M.C., J-C.A., C.B., T.C, F.R., E.S. and S.V; Formal Analysis, M.C.; Writing–

697 Original Draft, M.C.; Writing–Review & Editing, M.C., J-C.A., Y.B., C.B., T.C., N.A.G.,

698 F.R., E.S., T.B and S.V.; Data Curation, M.C.; Funding Acquisition, T.B.; Supervision, T.B.

699 and S.V

700

701 **Acknowledgements**

702 Not applicable

703

704

705

706

707 **REFERENCES**

- 708 1. Grice EA, Kong HH, Conlan S, Deming CB, Davis J, Young AC, et al. Topographical and
709 Temporal Diversity of the Human Skin Microbiome. *Science*. 2009;324:1190–2.
- 710 2. Wahl M, Goecke F, Labes A, Dobretsov S, Weinberger F. The Second Skin: Ecological
711 Role of Epibiotic Biofilms on Marine Organisms. *Front Microbiol*. 2012;3.
712 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2012.00292.
- 713 3. Larsen A, Tao Z, Bullard SA, Arias CR. Diversity of the skin microbiota of fishes:
714 evidence for host species specificity. *Fems Microbiol Ecol*. 2013;85:483–94.
- 715 4. Apprill A, Robbins J, Eren AM, Pack AA, Reveillaud J, Mattila D, et al. Humpback Whale
716 Populations Share a Core Skin Bacterial Community: Towards a Health Index for Marine
717 Mammals? *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9:e90785.
- 718 5. Bourne DG, Morrow KM, Webster NS. Insights into the Coral Microbiome:
719 Underpinning the Health and Resilience of Reef Ecosystems. *Annu Rev Microbiol*.
720 2016;70:317–40.
- 721 6. Koskella B, Hall LJ, Metcalf CJE. The microbiome beyond the horizon of ecological and
722 evolutionary theory. *Nat Ecol Evol*. 2017;:1.
- 723 7. Muegge BD, Kuczynski J, Knights D, Clemente JC, González A, Fontana L, et al. Diet
724 Drives Convergence in Gut Microbiome Functions Across Mammalian Phylogeny and
725 Within Humans. *Science*. 2011;332:970–4.
- 726 8. McKenzie VJ, Bowers RM, Fierer N, Knight R, Lauber CL. Co-habiting amphibian
727 species harbor unique skin bacterial communities in wild populations. *ISME J*.
728 2012;6:588–96.
- 729 9. Ochman H, Worobey M, Kuo C-H, Ndjango J-BN, Peeters M, Hahn BH, et al.
730 Evolutionary Relationships of Wild Hominids Recapitulated by Gut Microbial
731 Communities. *PLOS Biol*. 2010;8:e1000546.
- 732 10. Phillips CD, Phelan G, Dowd SE, McDonough MM, Ferguson AW, Delton Hanson J, et
733 al. Microbiome analysis among bats describes influences of host phylogeny, life history,
734 physiology and geography. *Mol Ecol*. 2012;21:2617–27.
- 735 11. Groussin M, Mazel F, Sanders JG, Smillie CS, Lavergne S, Thuiller W, et al. Unraveling
736 the processes shaping mammalian gut microbiomes over evolutionary time. *Nat*
737 *Commun*. 2017;8. doi:10.1038/ncomms14319.
- 738 12. Brooks AW, Kohl KD, Brucker RM, Opstal EJ van, Bordenstein SR. Phylosymbiosis:
739 Relationships and Functional Effects of Microbial Communities across Host Evolutionary
740 History. *PLOS Biol*. 2016;14:e2000225.
- 741 13. Ross AA, Müller KM, Weese JS, Neufeld JD. Comprehensive skin microbiome analysis
742 reveals the uniqueness of human skin and evidence for phylosymbiosis within the class
743 Mammalia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2018;:201801302.
- 744 14. Miyake S, Ngugi DK, Stingl U. Phylogenetic Diversity, Distribution, and Cophylogeny
745 of Giant Bacteria (*Epulopiscium*) with their Surgeonfish Hosts in the Red Sea. *Front*
746 *Microbiol*. 2016;7. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.00285.
- 747 15. Kirchman DL. *Microbial Ecology of the Oceans*. John Wiley & Sons; 2010.
- 748 16. Rypien KL, Ward JR, Azam F. Antagonistic interactions among coral-associated
749 bacteria. *Environ Microbiol*. 2010;12:28–39.
- 750 17. Boutin S, Bernatchez L, Audet C, Derôme N. Antagonistic effect of indigenous skin
751 bacteria of brook charr (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) against *Flavobacterium columnare* and *F.*
752 *psychrophilum*. *Vet Microbiol*. 2012;155:355–61.
- 753 18. Krediet CJ, Ritchie KB, Paul VJ, Teplitski M. Coral-associated micro-organisms and
754 their roles in promoting coral health and thwarting diseases. *Proc R Soc B-Biol Sci*.
755 2013;280:20122328.

- 756 19. Krediet CJ, Ritchie KB, Alagely A, Teplitski M. Members of native coral microbiota
757 inhibit glycosidases and thwart colonization of coral mucus by an opportunistic
758 pathogen. *ISME J.* 2013;7:980–90.
- 759 20. Lowrey L, Woodhams DC, Tacchi L, Salinas I. Topographical Mapping of the Rainbow
760 Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Microbiome Reveals a Diverse Bacterial Community with
761 Antifungal Properties in the Skin. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2015;81:6915–25.
- 762 21. Nelson JS, Grande TC, Wilson MVH. *Fishes of the World.* John Wiley & Sons; 2016.
- 763 22. Chiarello M, Villéger S, Bouvier C, Bettarel Y, Bouvier T. High diversity of skin-
764 associated bacterial communities of marine fishes is promoted by their high variability
765 among body parts, individuals and species. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 2015;;fiv061.
- 766 23. Graham NAJ, Chabanet P, Evans RD, Jennings S, Letourneur Y, Aaron MacNeil M, et al.
767 Extinction vulnerability of coral reef fishes. *Ecol Lett.* 2011;14:341–8.
- 768 24. Apprill A. Marine animal microbiomes: towards understanding host-microbiome
769 interactions in a changing ocean. *Front Mar Sci.* 2017;4. doi:10.3389/fmars.2017.00222.
- 770 25. Allen B, Kon M, Bar-Yam Y. A New Phylogenetic Diversity Measure Generalizing the
771 Shannon Index and Its Application to Phyllostomid Bats. *Am Nat.* 2009;174:236–43.
- 772 26. Lozupone CA, Hamady M, Kelley ST, Knight R. Quantitative and Qualitative β
773 Diversity Measures Lead to Different Insights into Factors That Structure Microbial
774 Communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2007;73:1576–85.
- 775 27. Sloan WT, Lunn M, Woodcock S, Head IM, Nee S, Curtis TP. Quantifying the roles of
776 immigration and chance in shaping prokaryote community structure. *Environ Microbiol.*
777 2006;8:732–40.
- 778 28. Ángeles Esteban M. An Overview of the Immunological Defenses in Fish Skin. *ISRN*
779 *Immunol.* 2012;2012:1–29.
- 780 29. Fengsrud Brinchmann M. Immune relevant molecules identified in the skin mucus of
781 fish using -omics technologies. *Mol Biosyst.* 2016;12:2056–63.
- 782 30. Brown BE, Bythell JC. Perspectives on mucus secretion in reef corals. *Mar Ecol Prog*
783 *Ser.* 2005;296:291–309.
- 784 31. Benhamed S, Guardiola FA, Mars M, Esteban MÁ. Pathogen bacteria adhesion to skin
785 mucus of fishes. *Vet Microbiol.* 2014;171:1–12.
- 786 32. Shephard KL. Functions for fish mucus. *Rev Fish Biol Fish.* 1994;4:401–29.
- 787 33. McKew BA, Dumbrell AJ, Daud SD, Hepburn L, Thorpe E, Mogensen L, et al.
788 Characterization of Geographically Distinct Bacterial Communities Associated with Coral
789 Mucus Produced by *Acropora* spp. and *Porites* spp. *Appl Environ Microbiol.*
790 2012;78:5229–37.
- 791 34. Chiarello M, Villéger S, Bouvier C, Auguet JC, Bouvier T. Captive bottlenose dolphins
792 and killer whales harbor a species-specific skin microbiota that varies among
793 individuals. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7:15269.
- 794 35. Probst AJ, Auerbach AK, Moissl-Eichinger C. Archaea on Human Skin. *Plos One.*
795 2013;8:e65388.
- 796 36. Koskinen K, Pausan MR, Perras AK, Beck M, Bang C, Mora M, et al. First Insights into
797 the Diverse Human Archaeome: Specific Detection of Archaea in the Gastrointestinal
798 Tract, Lung, and Nose and on Skin. *mBio.* 2017;8:e00824-17.
- 799 37. Easson CG, Thacker RW. Phylogenetic signal in the community structure of host-
800 specific microbiomes of tropical marine sponges. *Front Microbiol.* 2014;5.
801 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2014.00532.
- 802 38. Hentschel U, Piel J, Degnan SM, Taylor MW. Genomic insights into the marine sponge
803 microbiome. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2012;10:641–54.
- 804 39. Schmidt VT, Smith KF, Melvin DW, Amaral-Zettler LA. Community assembly of a

805 euryhaline fish microbiome during salinity acclimation. *Mol Ecol.* 2015;24:2537–50.
806 40. Kropáčková L, Těšický M, Albrecht T, Kubovčíak J, Čížková D, Tomášek O, et al.
807 Codiversification of gastrointestinal microbiota and phylogeny in passerines is not
808 explained by ecological divergence. *Mol Ecol.* 2017;26:5292–304.
809 41. Malmstrøm M, Matschiner M, Tørresen OK, Star B, Snipen LG, Hansen TF, et al.
810 Evolution of the immune system influences speciation rates in teleost fishes. *Nat Genet.*
811 2016;48:1204–10.
812 42. Caruso G, Maricchiolo G, Micale V, Genovese L, Caruso R, Denaro MG. Physiological
813 responses to starvation in the European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*): effects on
814 haematological, biochemical, non-specific immune parameters and skin structures. *Fish*
815 *Physiol Biochem.* 2010;36:71–83.
816 43. Lindenstrøm T, Secombes CJ, Buchmann K. Expression of immune response genes in
817 rainbow trout skin induced by *Gyrodactylus derjavini* infections. *Vet Immunol*
818 *Immunopathol.* 2004;97:137–48.
819 44. Grøntvedt RN, Espelid S. Immunoglobulin producing cells in the spotted wolffish
820 (*Anarhichas minor* Olafsen): localization in adults and during juvenile development. *Dev*
821 *Comp Immunol.* 2003;27:569–78.
822 45. Braga-Neto UM, Marques ETAJ. From Functional Genomics to Functional
823 Immunomics: New Challenges, Old Problems, Big Rewards. *PLOS Comput Biol.*
824 2006;2:e81.
825 46. Ley RE, Hamady M, Lozupone C, Turnbaugh PJ, Ramey RR, Bircher JS, et al. Evolution
826 of Mammals and Their Gut Microbes. *Science.* 2008;320:1647–51.
827 47. Sullam KE, Essinger SD, Lozupone CA, O’connor MP, Rosen GL, Knight R, et al.
828 Environmental and ecological factors that shape the gut bacterial communities of fish: a
829 meta-analysis. *Mol Ecol.* 2012;21:3363–78.
830 48. Sanders JG, Beichman AC, Roman J, Scott JJ, Emerson D, McCarthy JJ, et al. Baleen
831 whales host a unique gut microbiome with similarities to both carnivores and
832 herbivores. *Nat Commun.* 2015;6:8285.
833 49. Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C, Bittinger K, Chen Y-Y, Keilbaugh SA, et al. Linking Long-
834 Term Dietary Patterns with Gut Microbial Enterotypes. *Science.* 2011;334:105–8.
835 50. Sullam KE, Rubin BE, Dalton CM, Kilham SS, Flecker AS, Russell JA. Divergence across
836 diet, time and populations rules out parallel evolution in the gut microbiomes of
837 Trinidadian guppies. *ISME J.* 2015;9:1508–22.
838 51. Williams CL, Dill-McFarland KA, Vandewege MW, Sparks DL, Willard ST, Kouba AJ, et
839 al. Dietary Shifts May Trigger Dysbiosis and Mucous Stools in Giant Pandas (*Ailuropoda*
840 *melanoleuca*). *Front Microbiol.* 2016;7. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.00661.
841 52. Kulbicki M, Parravicini V, Bellwood DR, Arias-González E, Chabanet P, Floeter SR, et
842 al. Global Biogeography of Reef Fishes: A Hierarchical Quantitative Delineation of
843 Regions. *PLOS ONE.* 2013;8:e81847.
844 53. Miyake S, Ngugi DK, Stingl U. Diet strongly influences the gut microbiota of
845 surgeonfishes. *Mol Ecol.* 2015;24:656–72.
846 54. Reverter M, Sasal P, Banaigs B, Lecchini D, Lecellier G, Tapissier-Bontemps N. Fish
847 mucus metabolome reveals fish life-history traits. *Coral Reefs.* 2017;36:463–75.
848 55. Pratte ZA, Besson M, Hollman RD, Stewart FJ. The gills of reef fish support a distinct
849 microbiome influenced by host-specific factors. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2018.
850 56. Valdenegro-Vega VA, Crosbie P, Bridle A, Leef M, Wilson R, Nowak BF. Differentially
851 expressed proteins in gill and skin mucus of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) affected by
852 amoebic gill disease. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2014;40:69–77.
853 57. Ledy K, Giambérini L, Pihan JC. Mucous cell responses in gill and skin of brown trout

854 *Salmo trutta fario* in acidic, aluminium-containing stream water. *Dis Aquat Organ.*
855 2003;56:235–40.

856 58. Larsen AM, Bullard SA, Womble M, Arias CR. Community Structure of Skin
857 Microbiome of Gulf Killifish, *Fundulus grandis*, Is
858 Driven by Seasonality and Not Exposure to Oiled Sediments in a Louisiana Salt Marsh.
859 *Microb Ecol.* 2015;70:534–44.

860 59. Shade A, Handelsman J. Beyond the Venn diagram: the hunt for a core microbiome.
861 *Environ Microbiol.* 2012;14:4–12.

862 60. Hernandez-Agreda A, Gates RD, Ainsworth TD. Defining the Core Microbiome in
863 Corals' Microbial Soup. *Trends Microbiol.* 2017;25:125–40.

864 61. Hester ER, Barott KL, Nulton J, Vermeij MJ, Rohwer FL. Stable and sporadic symbiotic
865 communities of coral and algal holobionts. *ISME J.* 2016;10:1157–69.

866 62. Shapira M. Gut Microbiotas and Host Evolution: Scaling Up Symbiosis. *Trends Ecol*
867 *Evol.* 2016;31:539–49.

868 63. Hughes TP, Anderson KD, Connolly SR, Heron SF, Kerry JT, Lough JM, et al. Spatial
869 and temporal patterns of mass bleaching of corals in the Anthropocene. *Science.*
870 2018;359:80–3.

871 64. Wickel J, Jamon A, Pinault M, Durville P, Pascale C. Composition et structure des
872 peuplements ichtyologiques marins de l'île de Mayotte (sud-ouest de l'océan Indien).
873 *Société Fr Ichtyologie.* 2014.

874 65. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, Turnbaugh PJ, et
875 al. Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample.
876 *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2011;108 Supplement_1:4516–22.

877 66. Apprill A, McNally S, Parsons R, Weber L. Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA
878 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. *Aquat Microb*
879 *Ecol.* 2015;75:129–37.

880 67. Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD. Development of a Dual-
881 Index Sequencing Strategy and Curation Pipeline for Analyzing Amplicon Sequence Data
882 on the MiSeq Illumina Sequencing Platform. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2013;79:5112–20.

883 68. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, et al.
884 Introducing mothur: Open-Source, Platform-Independent, Community-Supported
885 Software for Describing and Comparing Microbial Communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol.*
886 2009;75:7537–41.

887 69. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal
888 RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic*
889 *Acids Res.* 2013;41:D590–6.

890 70. Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R. UCHIME improves sensitivity and
891 speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics.* 2011;27:2194–200.

892 71. Ludwig W, Strunk O, Westram R, Richter L, Meier H, Yadhukumar, et al. ARB: a
893 software environment for sequence data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2004;32:1363–71.

894 72. Britton T, Anderson CL, Jacquet D, Lundqvist S, Bremer K. Estimating divergence
895 times in large phylogenetic trees. *Syst Biol.* 2007;56:741–52.

896 73. Blank CE. Not so old Archaea – the antiquity of biogeochemical processes in the
897 archaeal domain of life. *Geobiology.* 2009;7:495–514.

898 74. Schirrmeister BE, Vos JM de, Antonelli A, Bagheri HC. Evolution of multicellularity
899 coincided with increased diversification of cyanobacteria and the Great Oxidation Event.
900 *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2013;110:1791–6.

901 75. Brocks JJ, Love GD, Summons RE, Knoll AH, Logan GA, Bowden SA. Biomarker
902 evidence for green and purple sulphur bacteria in a stratified Palaeoproterozoic sea.

903 Nature. 2005;437:866–70.
904 76. Marcon E, Hérault B. entropart: An R Package to Measure and Partition Diversity.
905 2014.
906 <http://www.stats.bris.ac.uk/R/web/packages/entropart/vignettes/entropart.pdf>.
907 Accessed 15 Sep 2014.
908 77. Chen J, ORPHANED M. Package ‘GUniFrac.’ 2012.
909 <http://www.icesi.edu.co/CRAN/web/packages/GUniFrac/GUniFrac.pdf>. Accessed 29
910 Jan 2016.
911 78. Rabosky DL, Santini F, Eastman J, Smith SA, Sidlauskas B, Chang J, et al. Rates of
912 speciation and morphological evolution are correlated across the largest vertebrate
913 radiation. *Nat Commun.* 2013;4:ncomms2958.
914 79. Mouillot D, Villéger S, Parravicini V, Kulbicki M, Arias-González JE, Bender M, et al.
915 Functional over-redundancy and high functional vulnerability in global fish faunas on
916 tropical reefs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2014;111:13757–62.
917 80. Münkemüller T, Lavergne S, Bzeznik B, Dray S, Jombart T, Schiffrers K, et al. How to
918 measure and test phylogenetic signal. *Methods Ecol Evol.* 2012;3:743–56.
919 81. Segata N, Izard J, Waldron L, Gevers D, Miropolsky L, Garrett WS, et al. Metagenomic
920 biomarker discovery and explanation. *Genome Biol.* 2011;12:R60.
921 82. Burns AR, Stephens WZ, Stagaman K, Wong S, Rawls JF, Guillemin K, et al.
922 Contribution of neutral processes to the assembly of gut microbial communities in the
923 zebrafish over host development. *ISME J.* 2016;10:655–64.
924

925 **FIGURES LEGENDS**

926

927 **Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree and mean phylogenetic entropy of 44 fish species.**

928 (A) Phylogenetic tree relating all 44 fish species included in this study adapted from Rabosky
929 et al. (B) Mean phylogenetic entropy of their skin-associated microbial community. Thick
930 bars represent the mean of phylogenetic entropies across individuals belonging to the same
931 fish species and horizontal segments represent the standard deviation across them. Dotted line
932 indicates average phylogenetic entropy across all fish species. Phylogenetic entropy of
933 planktonic communities is illustrated at the top of right panel.
934

935 **Figure 2. Mean class-level composition of fish skin microbiomes and planktonic 936 communities.**

937 The 18 most abundant bacteria classes in all microbial communities are represented with
938 colors. The mean composition of planktonic communities is indicated at the top. Taxonomic
939 affiliation of OTUs was obtained from SILVA classification tool implemented in Mothur, and
940 refined using ARB parsimony tool and SILVA backbone tree. For classification without
941 refinement, see Supplementary Information S12.
942

943 **Figure 3. Dissimilarity between communities.**

944 (A) PCoA plot representing all fish skin microbiomes and planktonic communities included in
945 this study, based on weighted phylogenetic dissimilarity values (W-Unifrac) between
946 communities. Each dot represents one community (*i.e.* a water sample or a fish individual).
947 Shape and color of dots indicate community type and fish taxonomic order. (B) W-Unifrac
948 values, among planktonic communities (n=35 samples), between fish skin microbiomes and
949 planktonic communities (n=173), between individuals of the same fish species (n=34 species
950 with more than 1 individual), and among individuals from different species (n=44 species).
951 Boxes represent the interquartile range dissimilarity values. Thick bars represent the median
952 of dissimilarity values, and vertical segments extend to the fifth and the 95th percentiles of the
953 distribution of values.

954

955 **Figure 4. Phylogenetic dissimilarity (W-Unifrac) between skin-associated microbiomes**
956 **of fishes against the divergence time between species.**

957 (A) Illustration of Method A: one individual per fish species is represented. (B) Illustration of
958 Method B: W-Unifrac computed on averaged OTUs relative abundances across all individuals
959 of each fish species. (C) Same as (B), excepted that only species containing at least 3
960 individuals were represented. [The result of the Mantel test corresponding to each](#)
961 [methodology is displayed on each panel.](#)

962 Fishes are plotted as belonging to the same taxonomic order (dots) or belonging to different
963 orders ('+' sign). Divergence time in millions of years ago (Mya). Note that intraspecific
964 dissimilarities are not shown.

965

966 **ADDITIONAL FILES**

967 Supplementary information S1 to S13 is provided in a single file named
968 “SI_Chiarello_et_al_BMC_Microbiome_2018.docx”. [Supplementary material containing](#)
969 [OTU table, OTU reference sequences and metadata is provided in a file named](#)
970 [“SM_Chiarello_et_al_BMC_Microbiome_2018.zip”.](#)

971