Palladium-Catalysed Construction of All-Carbon Quaternary Centres with Propargylic Electrophiles: Challenges in the Simultaneous Control of Regio-, Chemo- and Enantioselectivity

Miles Kenny^a Sybrin P. Schröder^b Nicholas J. Taylor^b Paula Jackson^b Daniel J. Kitson^a Vilius Franckevičius*^a

^a Department of Chemistry, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YB, UK.

^b Department of Chemistry, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, UK.

* indicates the main/corresponding author.

v.franckevicius@lancaster.ac.uk

 $R^{1} + R^{3} + CO_{2} + CO_{2} + CO_{2} + CO_{2} + R^{3} +$

Received: Accepted: Published onli

Abstract This article describes the palladium-catalysed three-component coupling of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds with nucleophiles and propargylic electrophiles for the generation of quaternary all-carbon centres in a single step, which necessitates the simultaneous control of regio-, chemo- and enantioselectivity. The use of propargyl enol carbonates, the source of two of the components, was found to be essential in maintaining high levels of regiocontrol and chemoselectivity, whereas a careful analysis of pK_a trends of O-, C- and N-nucleophiles as the other coupling partner indicates that the highest levels of selectivity are likely to be obtained with relatively acidic species, such as phenols, 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds and aromatic N-heterocycles. Finally, studies towards the development of the catalytic enantioselective and allylic alkylation are disclosed.

Key words Palladium, enantioselective catalysis, quaternary centres, propargylic electrophiles, 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds

To facilitate drug discovery programmes, the pharmaceutical industry has historically relied upon tapping into compound screening libraries which are strongly biased towards molecules that are rich in aromatic character and flat structural features.1 These properties are associated with low shape diversity, poorer solubility, higher toxicity and, therefore, higher levels of attrition during drug development.² To alleviate this effect, there is currently a strong drive to enhance the efficiency of drug discovery processes by utilising molecules with greater 3D complexity, increased levels of saturation and more chiral centres.3 These changes can result in better solubility profiles, higher selectivity of binding and lower toxicity,⁴ as well as more efficient sampling of larger areas of chemical space.5 Quaternary all-carbon centres inherently offer scope for maximal exploration of 3D chemical space through chain growth in all four directions from the sp³ tetrahedral carbon atom. However, quaternary all-carbon centres are severely sterically crowded, making the catalytic enantioselective construction of such centres a formidable synthetic challenge and an active area of research.⁶ As such, the development of new catalytic methodologies for the installation of quaternary centres remains essential towards enabling access to novel 3D building blocks for drug discovery.

Our group has had a long-standing interest in the reactivity of propargylic electrophiles under palladium catalysis, the broad reactivity profiles that propargylic electrophiles exhibit, and the opportunities to exploit this type of reactivity in the construction of quaternary all-carbon centres. In reactions with a palladium(0) catalyst (A, Scheme 1), propargylic electrophiles, such as carbonate **1**, lead to the formation of η^3 - π -propargylpalladium(II) intermediate **2** via oxidative addition and subsequent decarboxylation.⁷ Although species 2 can take part in propargylation and allenylation reactions,⁸ the process generating the most complexity is one that allows the coupling of two nucleophiles with 2.9 Such a process typically requires the use of soft nucleophiles,10 the first of which undergoes addition at the central carbon atom in 2 and affords transient palladacyclobutene species 3.11 Protonation of 3 by the conjugate acid of the second nucleophile gives rise to η^{3} - π allylpalladium(II) intermediate 4, where the initial palladacyclobutene 3 formation and protonation may be synchronous.7b In the final mechanistic step, allylic alkylation at one of the termini in 4 affords product 5, in which one nucleophile has undergone alkenylation and the second, allylic alkylation. Unfortunately, the direct one-pot coupling of η^3 - π propargylpalladium(II) intermediate 2 with two independent nucleophiles is extremely challenging owing to several selectivity issues (B, Scheme 1).12 Firstly, the order of addition of the two nucleophiles must be controlled so that only one of the two cross-coupled products 5 or 6 is made (regioselectivity). Secondly, homo-coupling of just one nucleophile, leading to 7 and 8, must also be prevented (chemoselectivity).



Typically, these selectivity challenges can be overcome by employing tethering strategies in cyclisation reactions (C, Scheme 1). For example, if one of the nucleophiles is tethered to the propargylic electrophile in 9,¹³ then alkenylation of the tethered nucleophile is likely to occur prior to alkenylation of the external nucleophile due to the intramolecular nature of the cyclisation reaction. Allylic alkylation of the second nucleophile then follows at either the less or the more substituted position to afford 10 or 11, respectively. An alternative approach is the use of tethered bis-nucleophiles **12**.¹⁴ In such a process, a clear differentiation between the two nucleophiles in 12 must be achieved if the order of addition is to be accurately controlled. If one of the nucleophiles is more acidic than the other, then it should preferentially undergo the initial deprotonation and, therefore, alkenylation, followed by intramolecular allylic alkylation of the second nucleophile to afford 13. Alternatively, if the acidity is similar, a strong steric bias between the two nucleophiles or a distinct difference in nucleophilicity could favour the initial addition of one nucleophile over the other. Both approaches enable the control of regioselectivity, whereas homo-coupling is prevented through intramolecularity.

To exploit the use of palladium catalysis in the generation of quaternary all-carbon centres from propargylic electrophiles, our group discovered a method that enables the coupling of nucleophiles to afford *linear* products whilst maintaining high levels of regiocontrol and chemoselectivity. This approach involves the use of propargylic enol carbonates **14** (D, Scheme 1), derived from 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds. The palladium-catalysed reaction proceeds *via* intermediate **15** following oxidative addition and decarboxylation, in which the η^3 - π -

propargylpalladium(II) electrophile remains tightly associated with the enolate nucleophile.¹⁵ This process results in the selective intramolecular alkenylation of the enolate and intermolecular allylic alkylation of the external nucleophile to afford linear products of type 16 with high regio- and chemoselectivity, in which a quaternary all-carbon centre has been installed. We surmised that, in the presence of a chiral ligand on palladium, the use of a non-symmetrical enolate could result in the enantioselective construction of a quaternary all-carbon centre in 17 via alkenylation (E, Scheme 1). Similarly, the use of a non-symmetrical external carbonbased nucleophile could lead to the enantioselective installation of a quaternary carbon centre by means of allylic alkylation (18). Finally, the use of two non-symmetrical carbon-based nucleophiles could, in principle, pave the way to the enantioselective construction of two all-carbon quaternary centres in a single step (19). However, to achieve both high efficiency and stereoselectivity in any of the above enantioselective processes, all control elements, namely, regio-, chemo- and enantioselectivity, must be simultaneously controlled. Indeed, there are very few examples reported of the enantioselective construction of quaternary all-carbon centres by means of alkenvlation using the palladium-catalysed coupling reaction of propargylic electrophiles with nucleophiles,16 typically affording only moderate levels of enantioselectivity. The induction of enantioselectivity at the allylic alkylation step of the mechanism has been studied using catechol,¹⁷ and β -ketoester nucleophiles;¹⁸ however, there are no examples in which a quaternary all-carbon centre had been installed enantioselectively.



Scheme 2 Regio- and chemoselective coupling of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds with phenols. Yields of isolated product. Isomer 20 shown. Regioselectivity (rr) ratios of 20:21 were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude product mixtures. ^{*a*} Combined yield of 20 and 21 isolated as a mixture.

This article describes our investigations into the utility of this methodology and the challenges involved in controlling selectivity in the palladium-catalysed coupling of nucleophiles with propargylic electrophiles.

Phenol Nucleophiles. Our investigation began with the coupling of highly stabilised enolates, derived from cyclic 1,3-diketones (1,3-cyclohexanedione, pK_a 10 in DMSO),¹⁹ with phenols as nucleophiles (phenol, pK_a 18 in DMSO),¹⁹ to afford desired product **20** and/or its regioisomer **21** (Scheme 2). In this one-pot process, an all-carbon quaternary centre is formed along with new C–C and C–O bonds.¹⁵ Upon optimisation, we found that Xantphos, a large-bite-angle ligand, was most suitable in this reaction and, in all cases, no homo-coupling of nucleophiles was observed. The use of monodentate or smaller bite-angle bidentate ligands leads to severe erosion of both reaction efficiency and selectivity.¹⁵ In the presence of phenols, 1,3-cyclohexanedione products **20a** and **20b** were formed with

moderate to good regioselectivity; however, the reaction with 2-naphthol as the nucleophile was not selective (20c). The coupling of 5-membered 1,3-diketones with phenol to afford 20d and 20e also gave different levels of regioselectivity. It can be reasoned that the highly stabilised nature of cyclic 1,3diketone enolates can result in lower levels of regioselectivity either due to the lower nucleophilicity of the enolate, inhibiting the required intramolecular alkenylation process in 15 (vide supra, Scheme 1), or dissociation of the palladium complex from the highly stabilised enolate, paving the way to an uncontrolled order of addition of the two nucleophiles. In contrast, the use of propargyl carbonates, derived from less acidic acyclic 1,3-dicarbonyls (pKa 13-16 in DMSO),19 gave excellent regioselectivity in most cases (20f-k) in the coupling with phenol, presumably due to the tighter association of the enolate with the intermediate palladium complex following decarboxylation. Excellent regioselectivity was maintained with electron-rich phenols (20l and 20m), and no chemoselectivity issues were observed in the presence of other unprotected nucleophiles (20n and 20o), whereby allylic alkylation of the phenol functionality takes place exclusively owing to its higher acidity. In contrast, regioselectivity was low when electron-deficient phenols were utilised. For example, nitro-, trifluoromethyl- and pyridyl-substituted phenols afforded 20p-t with low regioselectivity. Similarly, halogenated phenols gave rise to 20u-w with moderate selectivity, with the surprising exception of fluorinesubstituted 20x, which was isolated with complete regioselectivity. Given that electron-poor phenols are more acidic than their electron-rich counterparts (4-nitrophenol, pKa 11 DMSO),²⁰ and as acidic as, if not more than, acyclic 1,3dicarbonyl compounds, it is likely that the enolate formed in situ after decarboxylation undergoes protonation by the phenol nucleophile, which leads to the dissociation of the palladium complex and, thus, loss of regiocontrol.

1,3-Dicarbonyl Nucleophiles. Given the success in controlling the regioselectivity in the coupling of acyclic 1,3-diketones with relatively electron-rich phenols, we sought to explore whether the analogous coupling could be extended by replacing the phenol nucleophile with a 1,3-dicarbonyl compound (Scheme 3).²¹ Indeed, this reaction process was found to be very efficient using DPEphos as the large-bite-angle ligand, enabling the formation of two all-carbon quaternary carbon centres and two new C-C bonds in a single step with complete regiocontrol and good chemoselectivity (23a-d) despite the structural similarities between the two nucleophiles. Given that high levels of regiocontrol are imparted by the tight association of the $\eta^3 - \pi$ propargylpalladium(II) intermediate with the enolate after decarboxylation of 14, this reaction process becomes *regioswitchable* by utising an enol carbonate of 1,3-dicarbonyl nucleophile 22, thus paving the way to regioisomeric products 23e-h. Substitution of the 1,3-diketone nucleophile was tolerated to afford coupled products 23i-k, as well as regioisomers 23n and 23o; only a complex mixture of products was obtained in the formation of 23p. By analogy to electrondeficient phenols, the use of a significantly more acidic 1,3cyclohexanedione nucleophile in the formation of 231 afforded a mixture of products, with nucleophile homo-coupling being the major pathway. However, the selectivity in the formation of regioisomer 23q in the regioswitched process was higher. When an unsubstituted 1,3-diketone was utilised as a nucleophile, 23m was isolated in 56% yield, but the synthesis of its regioisomer 23r was not successful, presumably due to the presence of an acidic proton in the product structure. This process was extended to the use of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds other than 1,3-diketones, and all products 23s-w were formed with complete regioselectivity. Since the 1,3-dicarbonyls tested are less acidic than 1,3-diketones, this process is analogous to the use of electron-rich phenols as nucleophiles, which had also afforded products with complete regioselectivity (vide supra, Scheme 2). Excellent selectivity was obtained in the formation of regioisomers 23x-aa, with the exception of 23ab, which resulted in a complex mixture of products.

N-Nucleophiles. In addition to *O*- and *C*-nucleophiles, we discovered that indole, an aromatic *N*-heterocycle, can also be readily deprotonated and alkylated as a coupling partner to afford **24a** with complete regio- and chemoselectivity (Scheme

4).²² However, given that aromatic *N*-heterocycles are typically less acidic than phenols (indole, pKa 21; pyrrole, pKa 23, both in DMSO),19 a higher reaction temperature was required to facilitate deprotonation. Indeed, product 24b was obtained in relatively high yield, presumably due to the higher acidity of the indole nucleophile which bears an electron-withdrawing ester substituent. In contrast, electron-donating substitution on the indole unit negatively impacted on the efficiency of the reaction (24c). The use of carbazole as the nucleophile was successful (24d), and the reaction scope could also be extended to the use of propargyl enol carbonates derived from β -ketoesters (24e). The coupling process was broadened to the use of pyrrole as an analogue to indole (24f), albeit an electron-withdrawing substituent was essential to obtain a high yield of product (24g-j). Crucially, all reactions proceeded with complete regio- and chemoselectivity owing to both the tight association of the η^3 - π -propargylpalladium(II) intermediate with the enolate following decarboxylation of 14 and the lack of protonation of the enolate by the *N*-heterocyclic nucleophile given the substantial difference in pK_a . This methodology was readily extended to other aromatic Nheterocycles, including azaindole, imidazole, benzimidazole and pyrazole, affording 24k-n, respectively, with complete regiocontrol. Unfortunately, a much less acidic saturated cyclic amine as nucleophile failed to couple (240).

Acidity Trends. The palladium-catalysed coupling of propargyl enol carbonates derived from 1,3-dicarbonyls relied upon the use of phenols (phenol, pK_a 18 in DMSO),¹⁹ 1,3dicarbonyl compounds (p K_a 13–16),¹⁹ and aromatic Nheterocyclic nucleophiles (pKa 19-23, in DMSO)19 to obtain high levels of regiocontrol. As such, we set out to investigate the utility of nucleophiles outside of this pK_a range. Based on the previous cases of low regioselectivity, where the external nucleophile was more acidic than the conjugate acid of the enolate, such as electron-deficient phenols (vide supra, Scheme 2), several other acidic species as nucleophiles were tested (Scheme 5). In this context, carboxylic acids as nucleophiles, which display similar acidity to electron-deficient phenols (pKa 11-12 in DMSO),¹⁹ did afford products **25a-c**, however, regioselectivity was again low and in line with the previous observation that undesired protonation of the enolate occurs prior to the alkenylation step. By increasing the acidity of the external nucleophile further, such as using *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, the reaction process was even more difficult to control (25d), leading to a complex mixture of products. On the other side of the pK_a range, the reactivity of *C*-nucleophiles **27–30**, all less acidic than phenols and 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds (e.g. cyclohexanone, pK_a 26 in DMSO),¹⁹ was tested. If the reaction were to proceed through the previously postulated mechanism (vide supra, Scheme 1), then deprotonation of a much less acidic nucleophile would be required. Unfortunately, the reaction with ketone 27 was unsuccessful and a complex mixture of products was obtained. To enhance the acidity of the carbonyl functionality and attempt to facilitate deprotonation, we explored cyclohexenone (28), which had been precedented to participate in an intramolecular coupling reaction,^{14c} furanone **29**, which would give an aromatic anion upon deprotonation that would be more stable than an enolate of a saturated lactone, and α -difluorinated ester **30**, which is more acidic than a simple ester due to the electronwithdrawing nature of the fluorine atoms. In all three cases, only a complex mixture of products was observed. It was, therefore, apparent that, overall, in the coupling of 1,3-

dicarbonyl compounds with nucleophiles, high reaction efficiency and selectivity is most likely to be achieved with relatively acidic nucleophiles ($pK_a \sim 13-23$ in DMSO).



Scheme 3 Regioswitchable coupling of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds. Yields of isolated isomer **23**. Isomer **23** shown. Regioselectivity (rr) and chemoselectivity (chemo) ratios were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude product mixtures. n.d. = not determined due to overlapping signals. ^{*a*} Refers to homo-coupling of **14**. ^{*b*} Refers to homo-coupling of **22**. ^{*c*} Homo-coupled **22** was the major product isolated in 27% yield (**23Ib**,

see the Experimental section)



Scheme 4 Regio- and chemoselective coupling of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds with *N*-nucleophiles. Yields of isolated isomer **24**. Regioselectivity (rr) ratios were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude product mixtures. n.d. = not determined due to overlapping signals. No homo-coupling (chemoselectivity) of **14** or the *N*-nucleophile was observed in all cases.



Scheme 5 Investigation of acidity trends. Isomer **25** shown. Regioselectivity (rr) and diastereoselectivity (dr) ratios were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude product mixtures. n.d. = not determined due to overlapping

signals. No homo-coupling (chemoselectivity) of **31** or the external nucleophile was observed in all cases. ^{*a*} Combined yield of **25** and **26** isolated as a mixture.

Diastereoselective Synthesis. A crucial aspect of this project was the construction of quaternary all-carbon centres in a stereoselective manner. By utilising propargyl enol carbonate **31** and β -ketoester **32**, two stereogenic quaternary centres were installed in a single step (Scheme 6). However, while the reaction conditions enabled the concerted control of regio- and chemoselectivity in the formation of 33, no induction of diastereoselectivity was observed, potentially due to the remoteness of the two chiral centres and the acyclic nature of 1,3-dicarbonyl compound 32. This observation gave an indication that the enantioselective installation of a stereogenic centre at the alkenylation step of the mechanism while relying on diastereocontrol to construct the second stereogenic centre at the allylic alkylation stage was likely to pose challenges. To avoid this difficulty, the next stage of the investigation focused on the enantioselective installation of a single stereogenic centre, either by means of alkenylation or allylic alkylation. Notwithstanding, such a process was still likely to be extremely demanding because to achieve both high levels of enantioselectivity and yield of the desired product, the simultaneous control of not only stereoselectivity, but also regio- and chemoselectivity is required.



Scheme 7 Enantioselective alkenylation. Yields of isolated isomer **34**. Isomer **34** shown. Enantiomeric excess (ee) values were determined by chiral HPLC. Regioselectivity (rr) and chemoselectivity (chemo) ratios were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude product mixtures. n.d. = not determined due to overlapping signals. ^{*a*} Refers to homo-coupling of **14**. ^{*b*} Refers to homo-coupling of external NuH.

Enantioselective Alkenvlation. The enantioselective construction of a stereogenic all-carbon quaternary centre in 34 by means of a chiral ligand sphere around the palladium centre necessitates stereocontrol at the alkenylation step of enolate 15 following decarboxylation (Scheme 7). In this context, 30 chiral bisphosphines were screened, including the BINAP, SEGPHOS, BIPHEP, P-PHOS, PhanePhos, Trost, PHOX and phosphoramidite ligand families, and reaction parameters, including solvent, time and temperature, carefully optimised (see Supporting Information for ligand structures and full optimisation details). (R)-Xylyl-P-PHOS (35) was identified as the optimal ligand in terms of yield and selectivity. Although 23g was formed in 57% yield, enantioselectivity was low (21% ee). Similar levels of enantioselectivity were observed in the formation of 23n and 34a, but product yields were low due to inadequate levels of control of either regioor chemoselectivity. In addition to the use of 1,3-dicarbonyls, phenol and pyrrole nucleophiles were utilised, both of which afforded **20h** and **24g** in 19% ee whilst maintaining full regioand chemoselectivity.

Enantioselective Allylic Alkylation. The enantioselective construction of a quaternary all-carbon centre *via* the allylic alkylation of intermediate **38** was developed in a fashion analogous to the enantioselective alkenylation process (Scheme 8), where again the simultaneous control of regio-, chemo- and stereoselectivity was essential. Following a screen of chiral ligands for palladium (see Supporting Information for ligand structures and full optimisation details), (*R*)-Tol-BINAP (**37**) was found to give the best balance of reaction efficiency and enantioselectivity. Using this ligand and 1,3-diketone **22** with a defined enolate geometry upon deprotonation, product **23c** was formed in a good yield owing to complete regiocontrol and good chemoselectivity; however, enantioselectivity was low (27% ee).



Scheme 8 Enantioselective allylic alkylation. Yields of isolated isomer **36**. Isomer **36** shown. Enantiomeric excess (ee) values were determined by chiral HPLC. Regioselectivity (rr) and chemoselectivity (chemo) ratios were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude product mixtures. ^{*a*} Refers to homo-coupling of **14**. ^{*b*} Refers to homo-coupling of **22**.

When a β -ketoester was employed as a nucleophile, **36a** was formed with complete regiocontrol, but the yield was low due to modest chemoselectivity; enantioselectivity was poor. All attempts to extend this process to a broader range of substrates by exploring substitution led to disappointing results: the attempted formation of **36b** afforded a complex mixture of products, indicating low levels of selectivity, whereas **36c** and **23i** were not formed due to the corresponding propargyl enol carbonates only undergoing decarboxylation and failing to take part in the desired reaction process. Based on these results, it is apparent that the contemporaneous control of regio-, chemoand stereoselectivity in the palladium-catalysed intermolecular coupling of nucleophiles in the presence of propargylic electrophiles remains to be notoriously difficult.

In conclusion, this research programme has enabled us to identify and explore the utility of propargyl enol carbonates, derived from 1,3-dicarbonyls, in the palladium-catalysed crosscoupling with nucleophiles for the construction of quaternary all-carbon centres. We have successfully developed chemical processes for the decarboxylative coupling of enolates with a range of nucleophiles with high levels of regio- and chemoselectivity owing to the tight association of the η^{3} - π propargylpalladium(II) intermediate with the enolate following decarboxylation. A careful analysis of acidity trends of nucleophiles has indicated that relatively acidic nucleophiles (p $K_a \sim 13-23$ in DMSO), such as phenols, 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds and aromatic N-heterocycles, give rise to excellent levels of regiocontrol. The use of more acidic nucleophiles, such as electron-deficient phenols, cyclic 1,3-diketones and carboxylic acids, results in low regioselectivity, presumably owing to the premature protonation of the intermediate enolate and, thus, dissociation of the palladium metal centre from the resulting neutral enol. Similarly, less acidic carbon-based nucleophiles, such as unstabilised enolates derived from ketones and esters, failed to take part in the desired coupling process. Crucially, this approach has enabled us to explore the opportunities for the catalytic enantioselective construction of quaternary all-carbon stereogenic centres. Both

enantioselective alkenylation and allylic alkylation processes were explored; however, despite extensive screens of chiral ligands, the need for simultaneous control of regio-, chemo- and stereoselectivity was a formidable challenge to overcome. While it was possible to retain high levels of regiocontrol and chemoselectivity in many cases, the levels of enantioselectivity were generally low. The stereoselective installation of quaternary carbon centres by means of palladium-catalysed coupling of nucleophiles with propargylic electrophiles remains to be a major challenge for synthetic chemists, yet offers ample opportunities for its broader development and application.

Γhe experimental section has no title; please leave this line here.

All commercially available starting materials were used as received without further purification. Solvents were of reagent grade and dried prior to use. Petrol refers to the fraction of petroleum ether that boils between 40 and 60 °C. All reactions were performed under an argon atmosphere in oven dry glassware. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography using pre-coated silica gel plates with a fluorescent indicator (254 nm) and visualized by UV light (254 nm) and by staining with potassium permanganate or aqueous acidic ammonium molybdate(IV) solutions. Flash column chromatography was carried out using Fisher silica gel (60 Å particle size, 230-400 mesh). NMR spectra were recorded on either 400 or 300 MHz instruments (1H NMR at 400 and 300 MHz, respectively, and $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR at 100 and 75 MHz, respectively) in CDCl₃. Residual solvent CHCl₃ was referenced at 7.26 ppm for ¹H NMR spectra, and the central resonance of CDCl₃ was referenced to 77.0 ppm for $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra. IR spectra were recorded on an FTIR spectrometer as a neat film. High resolution mass spectrometry data were recorded using electron spray ionisation on an LCMS-IT-TOF mass spectrometer. Melting points were uncorrected.

The following compounds have been previously reported in reactions using phenols:¹⁵ **20a**, **20d**, **20f**, **20h**-**j**, **20l**-**p** and **20x**; 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds:²¹ **23a**, **23c**-**g**, **23i**, **23j**, **23n**, **23t**-**v**, **23z**, **23aa**, **33** and **36a**; *N*-heterocycles:²² **24a-n**. With the exception of **39**, **41** and **43-45**, syntheses of all propargyl enol carbonates **14** and **31** have been reported and appropriate references are provided in each case below.

Procedures

Method A. Carbonate **14** (0.24 mmol), $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (11 mg, 0.012 mmol), Xantphos (13.9 mg, 0.024 mmol) and the phenol nucleophile (0.26 mmol) were added to a dried tube under argon. The tube was fitted with a septum and purged further with argon. 1,4-Dioxane (1.5 mL) was

added and the sealed tube was added to an oil bath preheated to 80 °C. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 2 hours, then cooled to room temperature, concentrated *in vacuo* and purified by flash column chromatography. Ratio **20:21** was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude product mixture prior to purification.

Method B. Carbonate **14** (0.24 mmol), $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (11 mg, 0.012 mmol), DPEphos (13.1 mg, 0.024 mmol) and the 1,3-dicarbonyl nucleophile (0.24 mmol) were added to a dried tube under argon. The tube was fitted with a septum and purged further with argon. 1,4-Dioxane (1.5 mL) was added and the sealed tube was added to an oil bath preheated to 80 °C. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 2 hours, then cooled to room temperature, concentrated *in vacuo* and purified by flash column chromatography. Regioselectivity and chemoselectivity ratios were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude product mixture prior to purification.

Method C. Carbonate **14** (0.24 mmol), $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (11 mg, 0.012 mmol), Xantphos (13.9 mg, 0.024 mmol) and the *N*-heterocyclic nucleophile (0.24 mmol) were added to a dried tube under argon. The tube was fitted with a septum and purged further with argon. Toluene (1.5 mL) was added and the sealed tube was added to an oil bath preheated to 120 °C. The mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 2 hours, then cooled to room temperature, concentrated *in vacuo* and purified by flash column chromatography.

Method D. Carbonate **14** (0.24 mmol), $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (11 mg, 0.012 mmol), Xantphos (13.9 mg, 0.024 mmol) and the carboxylic acid nucleophile (0.24 mmol) were added to a dried tube under argon. The tube was fitted with a septum and purged further with argon. 1,4-Dioxane (1.5 mL) was added and the sealed tube was added to an oil bath preheated to 80 °C. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 2 hours, then cooled to room temperature, concentrated *in vacuo* and purified by flash column chromatography. Ratio **25:26** was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude product mixture prior to purification.

2-(3-(2,5-Dimethylphenoxy)prop-1-en-2-yl)-2-methylcyclohexane-1,3-dione (20b):

Following method A, carbonate 14^{15} (50 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 2,5-dimethylphenol (32 mg, 0.26 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 19:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **20b** and **21b** in a 13:1 ratio (48 mg, 72%) as an orange oil. R_F 0.36 [Petrol:EtOAc 3:1].

IR (film): 2878, 1703, 1673 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, resonances due to **20b** quoted): δ = 7.00 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 5.52 (t, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (s, 1H), 4.39 (s, 2H), 2.86 (ddd, *J* = 16.5, 8.5, 5.4 Hz, 2H), 2.60 (ddd, *J* = 16.6, 8.0, 5.0 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.19-2.09 (m, 1H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 1.90-1.77 (m, 1H), 1.45 (s, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, resonances due to 20b quoted): δ = 207.9, 156.2, 143.8, 136.8, 130.7, 123.9, 122.0, 116.6, 113.0, 70.0, 68.7, 38.7, 21.4, 19.5, 17.7, 16.0.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₂₂O₃: 309.1461; found: 309.1448.

2-Methyl-2-(3-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)prop-1-en-2-yl)cyclohexane 1,3-dione (20c) and 2-methyl-2-(2-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)allyl)cyclohexane yloxy)allyl)cyclohexane 1,3-dione (21c):

Following method A, carbonate **14**¹⁵ (50 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 2-naphthol (37 mg, 0.26 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 19:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **20c** and **21c** in a 1.6:1 ratio (50 mg, 67%) as an orange oil. R_F 0.25 [Petrol:EtOAc 3:1].

IR (film): 3348, 1669, 1554 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, isomer **21c** annotated by an asterisk): δ = 8.26-6.75 (m, 7H and 7H*), 5.63 (t, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 1H*), 5.26 (s, 1H*), 4.62 (s, 2H*), 4.12 (dd, *J* = 2.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.16 (s, 2H), 2.86-2.65 (m, 4H and 2H*), 2.65-2.56 (m, 2H*), 2.13-2.00 (m, 1H*), 1.99-1.86 (m, 2H), 1.87-1.77 (m, 1H*), 1.51 (s, 3H*), 1.39 (s, 3H).

 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of **20c** and **21c**): δ = 210.4, 208.4, 158.6, 151.9, 150.3, 143.3, 135.0, 134.9, 127.9, 127.7, 126.6 (2C), 126.4, 126.4, 126.0, 125.9, 125.2, 125.0, 122.1, 121.9, 120.4, 117.5, 117.2, 108.6, 105.8, 89.4, 69.4, 69.2, 62.4, 40.1, 38.7, 38.0, 22.2, 20.1, 17.8, 17.5.

HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₂₀O₃: 309.1485; found: 309.1474.



3-Oxo-2-methylcyclopent-1-enyl prop-2-ynyl carbonate (39):

A suspension of sodium hydride (60 wt%, 220 mg, 5.5 mmol) in mineral oil was washed with petrol (2 mL). Tetrahydrofuran (25 mL) was added and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. 2-Methyl-1,3-cyclopentadione (560 mg, 5.0 mmol) was added as a solid. After stirring at 0 °C for 10 minutes, propargyl chloroformate (539 μ L, 5.5 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred at this temperature for 1.5 hours. The reaction was quenched by addition of aq. HCl (10%, 50 mL) and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with aq. NaHCO₃ (3 x 50 mL), brine (100 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1] afforded carbonate **39** (590 mg, 61%) as a pale yellow oil. R_F 0.17 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

IR (film): 3353, 2883, 2097, 1750, 1642 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.81 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 2H), 2.87 (ddd, *J* = 9.2, 4.0, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.53-2.48 (m, 2H), 1.63 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 205.5, 174.7, 149.9, 125.8, 76.7, 75.8, 56.3, 34.2, 26.4, 6.3.

HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₀H₁₀O₄: 195.0652; found: 195.0654.

2-Methyl-2-(3-phenoxyprop-1-en-2-yl)cyclopentane-1,3-dione (20e) and 2-methyl-2-(2-phenoxyallyl)cyclopentane-1,3-dione (21e):

Following method A, carbonate **39** (47 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with phenol (25 mg, 0.26 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **20e** and **21e** in a 2.0:1 ratio (34 mg, 58%) as a clear oil. R_F 0.25 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

IR (film): 3017, 2883, 2828, 1739, 1698, 1623, 1572 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, isomer **21e** annotated by an asterisk): δ = 7.30 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.25-7.21 (m, 2H), 7.12 (tt, *J* = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H*), 6.95 (tt, *J* = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 2H*), 6.90-6.86 (m, 2H*), 6.78-6.73 (m, 2H), 5.48 (t, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (t, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (t, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 2H), 4.15-4.12 (m, 1H*), 3.82 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H*), 2.85 (s, 4H), 2.82 (s, 2H*), 2.75 (dt, *J* = 10.0, 2.4 Hz, 4H*), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.15 (s, 3H*).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, isomer **21e** annotated by an asterisk): δ = 215.8*, 213.3, 157.7*, 157.3, 153.9*, 141.5, 129.7, 129.6, 124.8*, 121.7*, 120.8*, 116.6, 114.2, 89.5*, 68.9, 59.5, 53.9*, 39.6*, 34.8*, 34.7, 20.7*, 18.7.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₆O₃: 267.0992; found: 267.0989.



2-Benzoylcyclopentanone (40)²³

To a stirred solution of cyclopentanone (4.42 mL, 50 mmol) and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (476 mg, 2.5 mmol) in toluene (100 mL) was added pyrrolidine (6.15 mL, 75 mmol). The mixture was heated to reflux at 110 °C under Dean-Stark conditions for 16 hours, then allowed to cool to

room temperature. The mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* to afford the crude enamine (6.31 g) as a dark orange oil.

To a stirred solution of the crude enamine (4.17 g, 30 mmol) in toluene (80 mL) was added triethylamine (5.82 mL, 42 mmol) and benzoyl chloride (4.88 mL, 42 mmol). The mixture was heated to reflux at 110 °C for 1.5 hours, then allowed to cool to room temperature. Aq. HCl (10%, 30 mL) was added and the mixture was heated to reflux at 110 °C for 30 minutes, then allowed to cool to room temperature. Another portion of aq. HCl (10%, 20 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (100 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 49:1-9:1] afforded a mixture of three compounds as a yellow solid. The major component was the enol tautomer of diketone **40**. *R*_F 0.66 [Petrol:EtOAc 3:1].

IR (film): 2985, 2938, 1717, 1624 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.12 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 7.79-7.75 (m, 1H), 7.48-7.44 (m, 2H), 2.87 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.50 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 2.01-1.92 (m, 2H), (signal due to OH not observed).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 210.6, 171.8, 133.8, 130.7, 128.6, 128.4, 109.4, 37.7, 28.4, 21.4.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₂O₂: 211.0730; found: 211.0730.

(2-Oxocyclopentylidene)(phenyl)methyl prop-2-ynyl carbonate (41)

A suspension of sodium hydride (60 wt%, 117 mg, 2.93 mmol) in mineral oil was washed with petrol (2 mL). Tetrahydrofuran (20 mL) was added and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. A solution of 2benzoylcyclopentanone (**40**, 500 mg, 2.66 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (2 mL) was added dropwise. After stirring at 0 °C for 10 minutes, propargyl chloroformate (286 μ L, 2.93 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred at this temperature for 1 hour. The reaction was quenched by addition of aq. HCl (10%, 20 mL) and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 19:1-4:1] afforded carbonate **41** (320 mg, 10% from cyclopentanone) as a brown oil. *R_F* 0.34 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

IR (film): 3287, 2967, 2130, 1766, 1715, 1619 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.60-7.56 (m, 2H), 7.44-7.41 (m, 3H), 4.83 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 2H), 2.94 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.57 (t, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.95 (quint, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 204.9, 151.7, 147.6, 133.5, 130.4, 128.4, 128.1, 124.7, 76.6, 76.0, 56.0, 39.2, 30.3, 20.5.

2-Benzoyl-2-(3-phenoxyprop-1-en-2-yl)cyclopentanone (20g):

Following method A, carbonate **41** (64.8 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with phenol (25 mg, 0.26 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 49:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **20g** and dibenzylideneacetone (42 mg, ratio **20g**:dba 13:1, corresponding to 40.0 mg of **20g**, 52%) as a yellow oil. R_F 0.66 [Petrol:EtOAc 3:1].

IR (film): 3078, 2967, 2926, 2891, 1748, 1667, 1597, 1495 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.08 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (tt, *J* = 7.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 7.4 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (tt, *J* = 7.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 5.54 (t, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (s, 1H), 4.77 (d, *J* = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, *J* = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 2.86-2.79 (m, 1H), 2.53-2.43 (m, 2H), 2.41-2.30 (m, 1H), 2.08-1.97 (m, 1H), 1.95-1.82 (m, 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 212.3, 196.8, 158.0, 142.2, 134.6, 133.0, 130.1, 129.4, 128.1, 121.1, 116.8, 114.4, 70.4, 69.0, 37.8, 34.3, 19.4.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₂₀O₃: 343.1305; found: 343.1293.

$\label{eq:2-Acetyl-2-(3-phenoxyprop-1-en-2-yl)cyclohexanone~(20h): 15}$

Following method A, carbonate $31^{\rm 15}$ (53.3 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with phenol (25 mg, 0.26 mmol). Flash column chromatography

Enantioselective catalysis: carbonate **14**¹⁵ (35.5 mg, 0.16 mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃ (7.3 mg, 0.008 mmol), (*R*)-Xylyl-P-PHOS (**35**, 7.3 mg, 0.0096 mmol) and phenol (15 mg, 0.16 mmol) were added to a dried tube under argon. The tube was fitted with a septum and purged further with argon. Tetrahydrofuran (1 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 2 hours, then concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 19:1-9:1] afforded **20h** (19 mg, 44%).

Chiral HPLC: AD-H column, 1 mL/min, [Hexane:IPA 9:1], t_A (major) = 6.0 min, t_B (minor) = 6.5 min, 19% ee.

[α]_{D²⁵} +0.8 (*c* 0.1, CHCl₃, 19% ee).



4-Oxo-3-phenylpent-2-en-2-yl prop-2-ynyl carbonate (43)

A suspension of sodium hydride (60 wt% in mineral oil, 74.8 mg, 1.87 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (15 mL) was cooled to 0 °C. A solution of **42**²⁴ (300 mg, 1.70 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (5 mL) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 10 minutes. Propargyl chloroformate (182 µL, 1.87 mmol) was added dropwise and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 hours. The reaction was quenched by the addition of aq. HCl (1 N, 10 mL) and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 25 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (30 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1] afforded **43** (279 mg, 57%) as a pale green solid. *R*_F 0.16 [Petrol:EtOAc 2:1]; m.p. 46–48 °C.

IR (film): 3270, 2989, 2126, 1763, 1686, 1612 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.41-7.30 (m, 3H), 7.25-7.21 (m, 2H), 4.81 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 2H), 2.58 (t, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.91 (s, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 198.2, 151.1, 150.7, 134.8, 131.1, 129.3, 128.8, 128.0, 76.4, 76.1, 55.9, 30.6, 18.4.

HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₄O₄: 259.0962; found: 259.0965.

3-(3-Phenoxyprop-1-en-2-yl)-3-phenylpentane-2,4-dione (20k) and **3-(2-phenoxyallyl)-3-phenylpentane-2,4-dione** (21k):

Following method A, carbonate **43** (62 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with phenol (25 mg, 0.26 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 19:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **20k**, dba and **21k** in a 4.0:1.5:1 ratio (29 mg, corresponding to 25.5 mg of **20k** and **21k**, 35%) as a yellow oil. R_F 0.48 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

IR (film): 3014, 2983, 1681, 1627, 1573 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, resonances due to **21k** annotated by an asterisk): δ = 7.44-7.18 (m), 6.94 (tt, *J* = 7.6, 1.2 Hz), 6.89-6.84 (m) (10H and 10H*), 5.85 (t, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (s, 1H), 4.66 (t, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H*), 3.83 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H*), 3.35 (s, 2H*), 2.21 (s, 6H and 6H*).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 205.1, 204.9, 158.8, 158.2, 143.3, 142.9, 135.6, 134.7, 130.5, 129.5, 129.4, 128.9, 128.4, 128.2, 128.2, 127.5, 125.4, 124.5, 121.5, 121.2, 121.1, 114.6, 74.1, 72.7, 68.9, 38.9, 29.7, 28.1.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₂₀O₃: 331.1305; found: 331.1292.

2-Isobutyryl-2-(3-(4-nitrophenoxy)prop-1-en-2-yl)cyclohexanone (20q) and 2-isobutyryl-2-(2-(4-nitrophenoxy)allyl)cyclohexanone (21q):

Following method A, carbonate 14^{15} (60 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 4-nitrophenol (36 mg, 0.26 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 49:1-19:1] afforded **20q** (23 mg, 28%) as a clear oil, R_F 0.23 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1], and **21q** (18.5 mg, 22%) as a clear oil, R_F 0.35 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

20q: IR (film): 3335, 2896, 2829, 1687, 1569 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.19 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 2H), 5.65 (t, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (s, 1H), 4.70 (dt, *J* = 12.9 Hz, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, *J* = 12.9 Hz, 1H), 3.05 (sept, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (dt, *J* = 14.0, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.54-2.43 (m, 2H), 2.16-2.08 (m, 1H), 1.95-1.79 (m, 3H), 1.79-1.68 (m, 1H), 1.11 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.06 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 213.5, 209.4, 163.3, 141.7, 140.3, 125.9, 118.9, 114.7, 72.5, 69.4, 41.2, 36.7, 32.7, 26.8, 22.1, 20.8, 20.7.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₂₃NO₅: 368.1468; found: 368.1464.

21q: IR (film): 2895, 2828, 1669, 1622, 1586, 1567 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.22 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 4.40 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (d, *J* = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (sept, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (d, *J* = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (dtd, *J* = 13.9, 4.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (dtd, *J* = 13.9, 4.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (ddd, *J* = 14.4, 12.0, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.05-1.96 (m, 1H), 1.86-1.77 (m, 2H), 1.75-1.64 (m, 1H), 1.55-1.45 (m, 1H), 1.05 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.99 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 210.8, 208.9, 160.0, 157.4, 143.8, 125.7, 120.5, 95.4, 66.6, 42.3, 38.7, 36.4, 33.7, 26.9, 22.2, 21.2, 20.1.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₂₃NO₅: 368.1468; found: 368.1461.

2-Acetyl-2-(3-(4-nitrophenoxy)prop-1-en-2-yl)-3,4-

dihydronaphthalen-1(2*H*)-one (20r) and 2-acetyl-2-(2-(4-nitrophenoxy)allyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1(2*H*)-one (21r):

Following method A, carbonate **14**¹⁵ (65 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 4-nitrophenol (36 mg, 0.26 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 19:1-9:1-4:1] afforded **20r** (35.5 mg, 41%) as a dark yellow solid, R_F 0.15 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1], m.p. 124–126 °C, and **21r** (38.5 mg, 44%) as a clear oil, R_F 0.30 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

20r: IR (film): 3009, 2908, 1685, 1647, 1571, 1492, 1477, 1320 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.16 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 2H), 8.12 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (td, *J* = 5.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (td, *J* = 7.6, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 5.59 (s, 1H), 5.21 (s, 1H), 4.77 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (dd, *J* = 12.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (t, *J* = 13.6 Hz, 2H), 2.73 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.43-2.37 (m, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 205.6, 195.8, 162.9, 143.0, 141.8, 140.2, 134.0, 131.9, 128.7, 127.9, 127.0, 125.9, 119.8, 114.6, 70.2, 67.9, 29.6, 28.2, 25.8.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₉NO₅: 366.1336; found: 366.1333.

21r: IR (film): 3029, 2888, 2807, 1683, 1648, 1567, 1495, 1467, 1431 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.21 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.27-3.14 (m, 2H), 2.93 (dt, *J* = 17.6, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (dt, *J* = 14.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 2.08 (ddd, *J* = 16.4, 11.2, 5.2 Hz, 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 204.2, 196.2, 160.1, 157.1, 143.8, 143.7, 134.1, 131.8, 129.0, 127.9, 126.8, 125.7, 120.0, 96.8, 62.8, 39.3, 29.4, 27.1, 25.8.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₉NO₅: 388.1155; found: 388.1148.

2-Acetyl-2-(3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy)prop-1-en-2-
yl)cyclohexan-1-one(20s)and2-acetyl-2-(2-(4-
(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy)allyl)cyclohexan-1-one
(21s):

Following method A, carbonate 31^{15} (53.3 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenol (42 mg, 0.26 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 19:1-9:1] afforded **20s** (26.5 mg, 32%) as

a clear oil, R_F 0.39 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1], and an inseparable mixture of **21s** and dba in a 9.4:1 ratio (21.5 mg, corresponding to 20 mg of **21s**, 25%) as a pale yellow oil, R_F 0.50 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

20s: IR (film): 3037, 2902, 2824, 1687, 1617, 1589, 1566 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.64 (t, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (s, 1H), 4.62 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (dd, *J* = 12.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.63-2.49 (m, 2H), 2.45 (dddd, *J* = 14.8, 7.2, 3.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.11-2.02 (m, 1H), 1.98-1.74 (m, 3H), 1.73-1.61 (m, 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 209.2, 206.7, 160.6, 141.5, 126.9 (q, J = 3.7 Hz), 123.3 (q, J = 32.6 Hz), 119.4, 114.6, 71.6, 69.4, 40.9, 32.8, 27.1, 27.0, 21.8, (signal due to CF₃ not observed).

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₉F₃O₃: 363.1179; found: 363.1175.

21s: IR (film): 3070, 3018, 2900, 2827, 1670, 1619, 1589 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.59 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.23 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dq, *J* = 14.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (dtd, *J* = 13.6, 4.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (td, *J* = 12.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 2.09-1.98 (m, 1H), 1.89-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.68 (tt, *J* = 12.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.43 (ddd, *J* = 13.6, 12.0, 4.4 Hz, 1H).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 209.0, 204.3, 158.2, 157.2, 127.0 (q, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 126.5 (q, *J* = 32.5 Hz), 121.1, 93.0, 66.7, 41.9, 39.2, 34.3, 27.4, 26.7, 22.2, (signal due to CF₃ not observed).

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₉F₃O₃: 363.1179; found: 363.1169.

2-Acetyl-2-(3-(pyridin-3-yloxy)prop-1-en-2-yl)cyclohexan-1-one (20t) and 2-acetyl-2-(2-(pyridin-3-yloxy)allyl)cyclohexan-1-one (21t):

Following method A, carbonate **31**¹⁵ (53.3 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 3-hydroxypyridine (25 mg, 0.26 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 2:1-1:1] afforded **20t** (21 mg, 32%) as a brown oil, R_F 0.16 [Petrol:EtOAc 1:1], and **21t** (9 mg, 14%) as a dark orange solid, R_F 0.34 [Petrol:EtOAc 1:1], m.p. 61–63 °C.

20t: IR (film): 2894, 2822, 1687, 1669, 1550 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.32-8.24 (m, 1H), 8.21 (dd, *J* = 3.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.21 (m, 2H), 5.64 (t, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (s, 1H), 4.63 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (dd, *J* = 12.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.61-2.50 (m, 2H), 2.46 (ddq, *J* = 14.0, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 2.06 (dddd, *J* = 14.4, 9.6, 4.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 1.97-1.86 (m, 1H), 1.86-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.72-1.60 (m, 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 209.1, 206.8, 154.6, 142.1, 141.4, 137.8, 124.5, 121.5, 119.4, 71.7, 69.5, 40.9, 32.8, 27.0, 26.9, 21.9.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₉NO₃: 274.1438; found: 274.1429.

21t: IR (film): 2898, 2824, 1672, 1618 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.41 (br s, 1H), 8.32 (br s, 1H), 7.33 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 2.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.30-7.26 (m, 1H), 4.17 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dq, *J* = 14.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (dtd, *J* = 13.6, 4.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.51 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (ddd, *J* = 14.0, 13.2, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 2.09-1.99 (m, 1H), 1.92-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.68 (qt, *J* = 12.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.43 (ddd, *J* = 14.0, 12.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 209.0, 204.2, 159.0, 150.9, 145.9, 143.8, 128.8, 124.1, 91.7, 66.7, 41.9, 39.5, 34.3, 27.4, 26.7, 22.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₉NO₃: 274.1438; found: 274.1436.

2-Acetyl-2-(3-(3-chlorophenoxy)prop-1-en-2-yl)cyclohexan-1-one (20u) and 2-acetyl-2-(2-(3-chlorophenoxy)allyl)cyclohexan-1-one (21u):

Following method A, carbonate **31**¹⁵ (53.3 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 3-chlorophenol (33.4 mg, 0.26 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 19:1-9:1] afforded **20u** (34 mg, 46%) as a pale yellow oil, R_F 0.36 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1], and an inseparable mixture of

21u and dba in a 1.6:1 ratio (19 mg, corresponding to 13 mg of **21u**, 18%) as a yellow oil, R_F 0.46 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

20u: IR (film): 3024, 2899, 2823, 1687, 1618, 1570 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.17 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (ddd, *J* = 7.6, 1.6, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.87, (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 2.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.64 (t, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (s, 1H), 4.55 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (dd, *J* = 12.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 2.65-2.47 (m, 2H), 2.43 (dddd, *J* = 14.4, 7.6, 3.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.06 (dddd, *J* = 14.4, 8.8, 3.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 1.95-1.61 (m, 4H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 209.3, 206.7, 158.8, 141.6, 134.8, 130.2, 121.3, 119.5, 115.0, 113.0, 71.6, 69.4, 40.8, 32.6, 27.1 (2C), 21.8.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₉ClO₃: 307.1095; found: 307.1094.

21u: IR (film): 2890, 2806, 1671, 1626, 1566 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.25 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (ddd, *J* = 8.0, 2.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (ddd, *J* = 8.0, 2.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dq, *J* = 14.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (dtd, *J* = 13.6, 3.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (td, *J* = 13.6, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 2.08-1.99 (m, 1H), 1.90-1.73 (m, 2H), 1.67 (tt, *J* = 12.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.42 (ddd, *J* = 14.0, 12.4, 4.4 Hz, 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 209.1, 204.2, 158.6, 155.0, 134.8, 130.4, 124.8, 121.7, 119.6, 91.8, 66.7, 41.9, 39.2, 34.2, 27.4, 26.7, 22.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₉ClO₃: 329.0915; found: 329.0911.

$\label{eq:2-Acetyl-2-(3-(2-chlorophenoxy)prop-1-en-2-yl)cyclohexan-1-one} (20v) \ \ and \ \ 2-acetyl-2-(2-(2-chlorophenoxy)allyl)cyclohexan-1-one (21v):$

Following method A, carbonate **31**¹⁵ (53.3 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 2-chlorophenol (27 μ L, 0.26 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 19:1-9:1] afforded **20v** (39 mg, 53%) as a yellow oil, *R*_F 0.28 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1], and an inseparable mixture of **21v** and dba in a 1.2:1 ratio (23 mg, corresponding to 12 mg of **21v**, 16%) as a yellow oil, *R*_F 0.40 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

20v: IR (film): 3020, 2900, 2822, 1673, 1564 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.33 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (td, *J* = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (t, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (s, 1H), 4.64 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (dd, *J* = 12.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 2.64-2.43 (m, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 2.16-2.07 (m, 1H), 1.96-1.75 (m, 3H), 1.73-1.61 (m, 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 209.2, 206.8, 153.7, 141.3, 130.2, 127.7, 122.7, 121.6, 119.7, 113.4, 71.8, 69.7, 40.9, 32.7, 27.1, 27.0, 21.9.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₉ClO₃: 307.1095; found: 307.1088.

21v: IR (film): 3015, 2897, 2824, 1672, 1626, 1596, 1567 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.38 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (ddd, *J* = 8.0, 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.12-7.06 (m, 1H), 7.03 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (d, *J* = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (dq, *J* = 14.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (dtd, *J* = 14.0, 4.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (ddd, *J* = 13.6, 12.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 2.08-1.98 (m, 1H), 1.95-1.75 (m, 2H), 1.68 (qt, *J* = 12.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.50 (ddd, *J* = 14.0, 12.4, 4.4 Hz, 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 209.0, 204.6, 157.8, 150.2, 130.5, 128.0, 127.0, 125.8, 123.3, 90.6, 66.7, 41.7, 39.1, 34.1, 27.3, 26.7, 22.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₉ClO₃: 329.0915; found: 329.0905.

2-Acetyl-2-(3-(2-bromophenoxy)prop-1-en-2-yl)cyclohexan-1-one (20w) and 2-acetyl-2-(2-(2-bromophenoxy)allyl)cyclohexan-1-one (21w):

Following method A, carbonate **31**¹⁵ (53.3 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 2-bromophenol (30 μ L, 0.26 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 19:1-9:1] afforded **20w** (41 mg, 49%) as a pale yellow oil,

 R_F 0.29 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1], and an inseparable mixture of **21w** and dba in a 1.8:1 ratio (21 mg, corresponding to 9.5 mg of **21w**, 11%) as a yellow solid, R_F 0.40 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1], m.p. 46–49 °C.

20w: IR (film): 2898, 2822, 1673 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.51 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (td, *J* = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.76 (t, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (s, 1H), 4.63 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 2.64-2.44 (m, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 2.17-2.07 (m, 1H), 1.96-1.75 (m, 3H), 1.73-1.60 (m, 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 209.1, 206.9, 154.6, 141.1, 133.3, 128.4, 122.1, 119.6, 113.3, 111.9, 71.8, 69.6, 40.9, 32.8, 27.1, 27.0, 21.9.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₉BrO₃: 351.0590; found: 351.0580.

21w: IR (film): 2895, 1672, 1596 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.55 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.30-7.25 (m, 1H), 7.06-6.99 (m, 2H), 4.13 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dq, *J* = 14.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (dtd, *J* = 14.0, 4.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.31-2.19 (m, 1H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 2.10-1.98 (m, 1H), 1.95-1.61 (m, 3H), 1.51 (ddd, *J* = 14.0, 12.4, 4.4 Hz, 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 209.0, 204.6, 157.8, 151.4, 133.5, 128.7, 126.1, 123.3, 116.2, 90.8, 66.8, 41.7, 39.2, 34.2, 27.3, 26.8, 22.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₉BrO₃: 373.0410; found: 373.0399.

3-(3-(1-Isobutyryl-2-oxocyclohexyl)prop-1-en-2-yl)-3methylpentane-2,4-dione (23b):

Following method B, carbonate **14**²¹ (47.1 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 2-isobutyrylcyclohexanone (40 μ L, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1] afforded **23b** (36 mg, 47%) as a yellow solid. *R*_F 0.25 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1]; m.p. 40–42 °C.

IR (film): 2972, 2931, 2875, 1694, 1641 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.02 (q, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (q, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (sept, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.54-2.39 (m, 5H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.79-1.60 (m, 5H), 1.55 (s, 3H), 1.10 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.98 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 214.8, 209.9, 207.6, 207.4, 141.4, 116.9, 71.9, 67.6, 41.5, 36.0, 35.7, 34.3, 27.2, 27.1, 27.1, 22.0, 21.0, 20.6, 18.8.

HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₂₈O₄: 321.2060; found: 321.2047.

3-(3-(2-Acetyl-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)prop-1-en-2-yl)-3-methylpentane-2,4-dione $(23c)^{\rm :21}$

Carbonate 14^{21} (23.5 mg, 0.12 mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃ (5.5 mg, 0.006 mmol), DPEphos (6.5 mg, 0.012 mmol) and 2-acetyl-1-tetralone (26.7 mg, 0.12 mmol) were added to a dried tube under argon. The tube was fitted with a septum and purged further with argon. 1,4-Dioxane (1.5 mL) was added and the sealed tube was added to an oil bath preheated to 80 °C. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 2 hours, then cooled to room temperature and concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **23c** and homocoupled **14** in a 14:1 ratio (34.8 mg, corresponding to 32 mg of **23c**, 79%) as a yellow oil. *R_F* 0.33 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

Enantioselective catalysis: carbonate 14^{21} (31.3 mg, 0.16 mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃ (7.3 mg, 0.008 mmol), (*R*)-Tol-BINAP (**37**, 6.5 mg, 0.0096 mmol) and 2-acetyl-1-tetralone (30 mg, 0.16 mmol) were added to a dried tube under argon. The tube was fitted with a septum and purged further with argon. 1,4-Dioxane (1 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 hours, then concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1] afforded **23c** (37 mg, 68%).

Chiral HPLC: OD-H column, 1 mL/min, [Hexane:IPA 9:1], t_A (minor) = 11.2 min, t_B (minor) = 12.9 min, 27% ee.

 $[\alpha]_{D^{25}}$ +1.4 (*c* 0.1, CHCl₃, 27% ee).

$\label{eq:2-Acetyl-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl}allyl)-3-methylpentane-2,4-dione~(23g):^{21}$

Following method B, carbonate **14**²¹ (64.8 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 3-methyl-2,4-pentanedione (28 μ L, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1] afforded **23g** (58 mg, 71%) as a yellow oil. *R_F* 0.34 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

Enantioselective catalysis: carbonate 14^{21} (43.2 mg, 0.16 mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃ (7.3 mg, 0.008 mmol), (*R*)-Xylyl-P-PHOS (35, 7.3 mg, 0.0096 mmol) and 3-methyl-2,4-pentanedione (19 µL, 0.16 mmol) were added to a dried tube under argon. The tube was fitted with a septum and purged further with argon. Tetrahydrofuran (1 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 2 hours, then concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1] afforded **23g** (30 mg, 57%).

Chiral HPLC: AD-H column, 1 mL/min, [Hexane:IPA 9:1], t_A (minor) = 10.7 min, t_B (major) = 12.6 min, 21% ee.

[α]_{D²⁵} -1.3 (*c* 0.1, CHCl₃, 21% ee).

3,6-Diacetyl-3-benzyl-6-methyl-4-methyleneoctane-2,7-dione (23h):

Following method B, carbonate **14**¹⁵ (65.3 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 3-methyl-2,4-pentanedione (28 μ L, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **23h** and homo-coupled **14** in a 6.5:1 ratio (21 mg, corresponding to 17.5 mg of **23h**, 21%) as a yellow solid. *R_F* 0.37 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1]; m.p. 70–72 °C.

IR (film): 3384, 2993, 2927, 1692, 1641, 1500 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.24-7.17 (m, 3H), 7.06 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 5.18 (q, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (q, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 2.64 (t, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 2.15 (s, 6H), 2.11 (s, 6H), 1.41 (s, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 206.9, 205.8, 141.2, 136.1, 129.7, 128.4, 127.0, 117.5, 78.0, 66.0, 37.8, 35.6, 28.4, 26.2, 18.0.

HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₂₆O₄: 343.1904; found: 343.1898.

3,6-Diacetyl-3-methyl-4-methylene-6-phenyloctane-2,7-dione (23k):

Following method B, carbonate 14^{21} (47.1 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 3-phenyl-2,4-pentanedione (42 mg, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **23k** and homo-coupled **14** in a 4.8:1 ratio (35 mg, corresponding to 28.2 mg of **23k**, 36%) as a red oil. *R*_F 0.22 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

IR (film): 3058, 2983, 2926, 1692, 1641, 1500 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.36-7.27 (m, 5H), 5.08 (q, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (q, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 3.03 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 2.21 (s, 6H), 2.20 (s, 6H), 1.61 (s, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 207.5, 205.8, 141.1, 136.1, 129.0, 128.2, 128.0, 117.1, 72.8, 71.8, 35.2, 28.1, 27.0, 19.0.

HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₂₄O₄: 329.1747; found: 329.1750.



2,2'-(Prop-2-ene-1,2-diyl)bis(2-methylcyclohexane-1,3-dione) (23lb):

Following method B, carbonate 14^{21} (47.1 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 2-methyl-1,3-cyclohexadione (30.2 mg, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of homo-coupled **22** (**23lb**), **23l**, its regioisomer **23q**, and homo-coupled **14** in a 9.0:4.0:1.2:1 ratio (39 mg, corresponding to 20 mg of **23lb**, 27%) as an orange solid. *R_F* 0.29 [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1]; m.p. 63–65 °C.

IR (film): 3368, 2939, 1723, 1686, 1638 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, resonances due to **23lb** quoted): δ = 4.67 (q, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (q, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 2.96-2.87 (m, 2H), 2.84-2.75 (m, 2H), 2.72-2.57 (m, 3H), 2.54-2.50 (m, 1H), 2.48 (t, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 2H), 2.18-2.13 (m, 2H), 1.60-1.58 (m, 2H), 1.33-1.31 (m, 6H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, resonances due to 23lb quoted): δ = 209.1, 208.1, 144.9, 113.0, 73.5, 63.2, 38.7, 37.5, 36.0, 26.7, 17.5, 17.5, 17.4.

HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₂₂O₄: 291.1591; found: 291.1580.

3-Acetyl-6-(1-hydroxyethylidene)-3-methyl-4-methyleneoctane-2,7-dione (23m):

Following method B, carbonate 14^{21} (47.1 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with acetylacetone (25 µL, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **23m** and homocoupled **14** in a 10:1 ratio (37 mg, corresponding to 33.6 mg of **23m**, 56%) as a yellow solid. R_F 0.27 [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1]; m.p. 63–65 °C.

IR (film): 2983, 2926, 1704, 1639, 1562 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 16.88 (s, 1H), 5.08 (t, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.01 (t, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 2.21 (s, 6H), 2.06 (s, 6H), 1.64 (s, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 207.1, 192.3, 144.5, 114.5, 106.0, 71.0, 30.7, 26.9, 22.7, 18.9.

HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₂₀O₄: 253.1456; found: 253.1442.

Following method B, carbonate 14^{21} (61.9 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 3-methyl-2,4-pentanedione (28 µL, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **23n** and homo-coupled **14** in a 5.3:1 ratio (61 mg, corresponding to 50 mg of **23n**, 63%) as a red solid. *R_F* 0.26 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1]; m.p. 73–76 °C.

Enantioselective catalysis: carbonate **14**²¹ (45.8 mg, 0.16 mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃ (7.3 mg, 0.008 mmol), (*R*)-Xylyl-P-PHOS (**35**, 7.3 mg, 0.0096 mmol) and 3-methyl-2,4-pentanedione (19 μ L, 0.16 mmol) were added to a dried tube under argon. The tube was fitted with a septum and purged further with argon. Tetrahydrofuran (1 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 2 hours, then concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1] afforded **23n** (18 mg, 34%).

Chiral HPLC: OD-H column, 1 mL/min, [Hexane:IPA 9:1], t_A (major) = 7.7 min, t_B (minor) = 8.5 min, 19% ee.

[α]_{D²⁵} +1.3 (*c* 0.1, CHCl₃, 19% ee).

Ethyl 3,3,6-triacetyl-6-methyl-4-methylene-7-oxooctanoate (23o):

Following method B, carbonate **14**¹⁵ (50 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 3-methyl-2,4-pentanedione (28 μ L, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **230**, homo-coupled **22**, and the regioisomer of **230** in a 16:1.2:1 ratio (38 mg, corresponding to 33.5 mg of **230**, 41%) as a green oil. R_F 0.31 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

IR (film): 2983, 2935, 1697, 1638 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.09 (q, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (q, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (q, *J* = 4.1 Hz, 2H), 3.05 (s, 2H), 2.54 (t, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 2H), 2.25 (s, 6H), 2.11 (s, 6H), 1.40 (s, 3H), 1.25 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 206.4, 204.8, 170.6, 140.2, 117.2, 73.5, 65.3, 61.2, 37.7, 36.0, 28.1, 26.2, 18.0, 14.0.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₂₆O₆: 361.1622; found: 361.1606.

2-(4-Acetyl-4-methyl-5-oxohex-1-en-2-yl)-2-methylcyclohexane-1,3-dione (23q):

Following method B, carbonate **14**¹⁵ (50 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 3-methyl-2,4-pentanedione (28 μ L, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1] afforded **23q** (29 mg, 43%) as a yellow solid. *R*_F 0.31 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1]; m.p. 42–44 °C.

IR (film): 3382, 2994, 2950, 2942, 1722, 1692, 1634 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.89 (q, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (q, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 2.85-2.74 (m, 2H), 2.62-2.53 (m, 2H), 2.47 (t, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 2H), 2.15-2.12 (m, 1H), 2.10 (s, 6H), 1.83-1.80 (m, 1H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 208.0, 206.6, 142.8, 114.8, 73.2, 65.9, 38.5, 36.2, 26.2, 18.9, 18.2, 17.4.

HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₂₂O₄: 279.1591; found: 279.1585.

Ethyl 2,5-diacetyl-2,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-6-oxoheptanoate (23s):

Following method B, carbonate **14**²¹ (47.1 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with ethyl 2-methyl acetoacetate (34 μ L, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1] afforded **23s** (27 mg, 38%) as a brown oil. *R_F* 0.29 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

IR (film): 2983, 2931, 1701, 1653 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.01-4.99 (m, 2H), 4.18 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (dt, *J* = 18.1, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (dt, *J* = 17.7, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 207.2, 207.1, 205.0, 172.7, 142.4, 116.0, 72.0, 61.8, 58.7, 36.2, 27.3, 27.0, 26.0, 19.0, 18.6, 13.9.

HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₂₄O₅: 297.1697; found: 297.1684.

3-(3-(3-Acetyl-2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)prop-1-en-2-yl)-3methylpentane-2,4-dione (23w):

Following method B, carbonate 14^{21} (47.1 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with α -acetylbutyrolactone (30.8 mg, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **23w**, homo-coupled **14** and homo-coupled **22** in a 6.8:2.2:1 ratio (35 mg, corresponding to 23.0 mg of **23w**, 34%) as a yellow oil. R_F 0.15 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

IR (film): 2981, 2924, 2855, 1759, 1701 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.02 (q, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (q, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.38-4.24 (m, 2H), 3.13-3.00 (m, 2H), 3.01 (dt, *J* = 18.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.43-2.33 (m, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 1.59 (s, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 206.6, 206.4, 203.3, 174.7, 142.1, 115.6, 71.5, 66.9, 60.5, 37.7, 29.7, 27.0, 27.0, 25.7, 18.9.

HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₂₀O₅: 281.1384; found: 281.1348.



Ethyl 2-methyl-3-((prop-2-ynyloxy)carbonyloxy)but-2-enoate (44):

A suspension of sodium hydride (60 wt% in mineral oil, 92.3 mg, 2.31 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (10 mL) was cooled to 0 °C. A solution of 2-methyl ethyl acetoacetate (300 μ L, 2.1 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (5 mL) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 10 minutes. Propargyl chloroformate (225 μ L, 2.31 mmol) was added dropwise and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 hours. The reaction was quenched by the addition of aq. HCl (1 N, 20 mL) and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 15 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (20 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 19:1] afforded **44** (200 mg, 45%) as a clear oil. *R_F* 0.65 [Petrol:EtOAc 7:1].

IR (film): 3368, 2939, 2122, 1723, 1686, 1638 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.79 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 4.18 (q, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.55 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.05 (q, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.90 (q, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.27 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 166.2, 152.1, 151.3, 117.0, 76.6, 76.0, 60.9, 55.8, 18.1, 14.5, 14.0.

HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₄O₅: 227.0914; found: 227.0916.

Ethyl 2,5-diacetyl-2,5-dimethyl-3-methylene-6-oxoheptanoate (23x):

Following method B, carbonate **44** (54.3 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 3-methyl-2,4-pentanedione (28 μ L, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **23x**, homo-coupled **22** and homo-coupled **14** in a 16:1.3:1 ratio (34 mg, corresponding to 30 mg of **23x**, 42%) as a yellow oil. R_F 0.35 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

IR (film): 2935, 2873, 1694, 1641, 1556 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.00 (q, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (q, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.70 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.55 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.27 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 207.1, 206.9, 204.8, 171.4, 142.0, 114.7, 66.4, 66.3, 61.6, 36.1, 27.0, 26.3, 26.2, 19.9, 17.8, 14.0.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₂₄O₅: 319.1516; found: 319.1524.



3-(Methylsulfonyl)but-2-en-2-yl prop-2-ynyl carbonate (45):

A suspension of sodium hydride (60 wt% in mineral oil, 30.4 mg, 0.76 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (30 mL) was cooled to 0 °C. A solution of 3-(methylsulfonyl)butan-2-one²⁵ (104 mg, 0.69 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (5 mL) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 10 minutes. Propargyl chloroformate (74 μ L, 0.76 mmol) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 10 was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 hours. The reaction was quenched by the addition of aq. HCl (1 N, 10 mL) and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (10 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1-1:1] afforded **45** (101 mg, 64%) as a white solid. R_F 0.10 [Petrol:EtOAc 1:1]; m.p. 75–77 °C.

IR (film): 3293, 2929, 2137, 1763, 1668 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.78 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 2H), 2.99 (s, 3H), 2.58 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 2.13 (q, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 2.03 (q, J = 1.7 Hz, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 152.7, 150.8, 127.7, 76.4, 76.0, 56.3, 42.6, 18.3, 13.5.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₉H₁₂SO₅: 255.0298; found: 255.0291.

6-Acetyl-3,6-dimethyl-4-methylene-3-(methylsulfonyl)octane-2,7-dione (23y):

Following method B, carbonate **45** (55.7 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with 3-methyl-2,4-pentanedione (28 μ L, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-1:1] afforded **23y** (15 mg, 21%) as a green oil. *R_F* 0.10 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

IR (film): 2927, 2855, 1712, 1695, 1634 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.23 (q, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (q, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (s, 3H), 2.96-2.94 (m, 1H), 2.93-2.91 (m, 1H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.86 (s, 3H), 1.48 (s, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 207.1, 207.0, 202.7, 137.2, 121.1, 80.2, 65.7, 37.8, 35.7, 27.2, 26.7, 26.2, 18.9, 15.6.

LRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₂₂SO₅: 303.1261; found: 303.0790.

Methyl 1-(2-(1-acetyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)allyl)-1*H*-pyrrole-2carboxylate (24g):²²

Following method C, carbonate **31**¹⁵ (53 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with methyl-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (30 mg, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1] afforded **24g** (59 mg, 81%) as a yellow solid. R_F 0.43 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1]; m.p. 104–106 °C.

Enantioselective catalysis: carbonate **31**¹⁵ (35.5 mg, 0.16 mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃ (7.3 mg, 0.008 mmol), (*R*)-Xylyl-P-PHOS (**35**, 7.3 mg, 0.0096 mmol) and methyl-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (20 mg, 0.16 mmol) were added to a dried tube under argon. The tube was fitted with a septum and purged further with argon. Tetrahydrofuran (1 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 2 hours, then concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1] afforded **24g** (39 mg, 80%).

Chiral HPLC: OD-H column, 1 mL/min, [Hexane:IPA 19:1], t_A (minor) = 8.7 min, t_B (major) = 9.1 min, 19% ee.

[α]_{D²⁵} -0.5 (*c* 0.1, CHCl₃, 19% ee).

2-(1-Acetyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)allyl benzoate (25a) and 3-(1-acetyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)prop-1-en-2-yl benzoate (26a):

Following method D, carbonate **31** (53.3 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with benzoic acid (29.3 mg, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 19:1-9:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **25a** and **26a** in a 1.7:1 ratio (32 mg, 44%) as a clear oil. R_F 0.26 [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1].

IR (film): 2931, 2866, 17171, 1698 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, isomer **26a** annotated by an asterisk): δ = 8.03-7.92 (m, 2H and 2H*), 7.62-7.51 (m, 1H and 1H*), 7.50-7.38 (m, 2H and 2H*), 5.67 (s, 1H), 5.21 (s, 1H), 4.96 (s, 1H*), 4.90 (s, 1H*), 4.89 (d, *J* = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, *J* = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H*), 2.76 (d, *J* = 15.2 Hz, 1H*), 2.71-2.57 (m, 1H and 1H*), 2.54-2.34 (m, 2H and 1H*), 2.23 (s, 3H), 2.22-2.08 (m, 1H and 1H*), 2.03 (s, 3H*), 2.03-1.93 (m, 1H*), 1.92-1.57 (m, 4H and 3H*), 1.56-1.43 (m, 1H*).

 $^{13}\mathsf{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 209.2, 208.4, 206.5, 204.6, 165.9, 164.4, 151.4, 141.4, 133.5, 133.2, 129.9, 129.6, 129.5, 129.3, 128.5, 128.4, 120.0, 106.4, 71.8, 66.6, 65.4, 41.6, 40.6, 38.8, 33.8, 32.3, 27.3, 27.1, 27.1, 26.4, 22.1, 21.7.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₂₀O₄: 323.1254; found: 323.1251.

2-(1-Acetyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)allyl pivalate (25b) and 3-(1-acetyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)prop-1-en-2-yl pivalate (26b):

Following method D, carbonate **31** (53.3 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with pivalic acid (24.5 mg, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 19:1-9:1] afforded an inseparable mixture of **25b** and **26b** in a 1.7:1 ratio (29 mg, 43%) as clear oily crystals. R_F 0.62 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

IR (film): 2956, 2874, 1732, 1717, 1696 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, isomer **26b** annotated by an asterisk): $\delta = 5.54$ (s, 1H), 5.14 (s, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H*), 4.73 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H*), 4.63 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (d, J = 15.3 Hz, 1H*), 2.67-2.21 (m, 3H and 4H*), 2.21 (s, 3H), 2.10 (s, 3H*), 2.09-1.92 (m, 1H and 1H*), 1.92-1.77 (m, 2H), 1.77-1.57 (m, 2H and 3H*), 1.20 (s, 9H*), 1.16 (s, 9H).

 ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 209.3, 208.4, 206.3, 204.7, 177.9, 176.5, 151.8, 141.7, 120.8, 105.4, 71.6, 66.6, 65.2, 41.7, 40.5, 39.0, 38.7, 38.3, 33.7, 32.0, 27.5, 27.2, 27.0, 27.0, 26.9, 26.5, 22.1, 21.6.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₂₄O₄: 303.1567; found: 303.1558.

2-(2-(1-Acetyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)allyl)1-(tert-butyl)(2S)-pyrrolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate(25c)and2-(3-(1-acetyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)prop-1-en-2-yl)1-(tert-butyl)(2S)-pyrrolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate(26c):

Following method D, carbonate **31** (53.3 mg, 0.24 mmol) was reacted with *N*-Boc-L-proline (52 mg, 0.24 mmol). Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1] afforded **25c** (46 mg, 49%) as a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers as a sticky pale yellow oil, R_F 0.06 [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1], and **26c** (14 mg, 15%) as a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers as a sticky pale yellow oil, R_F 0.07 [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1].

25c: IR (film): 2974, 1752, 1696 cm⁻¹.

 ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of diastereoisomers and rotamers): δ = 5.61-5.49 (m, 1H), 5.19-5.10 (m, 1H), 4.78-4.48 (m, 2H), 4.39-4.15 (m, 1H), 3.60-3.30 (m, 2H), 2.68-2.52 (m, 1H), 2.52-2.40 (m, 1H), 2.40-2.29 (m, 1H), 2.27-2.09 (m, 4H), 2.07-1.78 (m, 6H), 1.78-1.61 (m, 2H), 1.47-1.40 (m, 9H).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of diastereoisomers and rotamers): δ = 209.3, 209.2, 209.0, 208.9, 206.7, 206.4, 206.4, 172.4, 172.4, 172.3, 171.0, 170.9, 154.3, 154.3, 153.7, 153.6, 141.4, 141.3, 141.1, 141.0, 120.0, 119.8, 119.7, 119.2, 78.0, 79.8, 71.8, 71.7, 71.6, 59.1, 59.1, 59.0, 59.0, 58.9, 58.8, 46.6, 46.3, 40.8, 40.6, 40.6, 32.5, 32.3, 32.0, 30.8, 30.7, 30.7, 29.8, 29.7, 28.4, 28.3, 27.4, 27.2, 27.1, 27.1, 27.1, 27.0, 27.0, 24.4, 24.4, 24.3, 23.7, 23.6, 21.7, 21.6, 21.6.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₃₁NO₆: 416.2044; found: 416.2029.

26c: IR (film): 2942, 2874, 1760, 1696 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of diastereoisomers and rotamers): δ = 4.99-4.91 (m, 1H), 4.77 (s, 1H), 4.29-4.14 (m, 1H), 3.60-3.32 (m, 2H), 2.93-2.78 (m, 1H), 2.72-2.43 (m, 4H), 2.30-2.17 (m, 2H), 2.17-2.09 (m, 3H), 2.07-1.81 (m, 4H), 1.81-1.57 (m, 3H), 1.51-1.38 (m, 9H).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of diastereoisomers and rotamers): δ = 208.6, 208.4, 205.4, 204.6, 170.9, 170.7, 170.6, 154.3, 153.7, 153.7, 151.2, 151.1, 151.1, 105.6, 105.1, 105.1, 80.1, 79.8, 66.7, 66.6, 59.2, 59.2, 59.0, 46.6, 46.4, 41.7, 41.6, 38.8, 38.7, 38.4, 38.2, 33.8, 33.8, 33.6, 30.5, 29.5, 28.4, 28.4, 27.0, 26.5, 26.4, 24.4, 23.6, 22.1.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₃₁NO₆: 416.2044; found: 416.2045.

3-(2-(1-Acetyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)allyl)-3-benzylpentane-2,4-dione (34a):

Enantioselective catalysis: carbonate **31**¹⁵ (35.5 mg, 0.16 mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃ (7.3 mg, 0.008 mmol), (*R*)-Xylyl-P-PHOS (**35**, 7.3 mg, 0.0096 mmol) and 3-benzylpentane-2,4-dione (30.5 mg, 0.16 mmol) were added to a dried tube under argon. The tube was fitted with a septum and purged further with argon. Tetrahydrofuran (1 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 2 hours, then concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1] afforded **34a** (17 mg, 29%) as a clear oil. *R_F* 0.44 [Petrol:EtOAc 4:1].

Chiral HPLC: AD-H column, 1 mL/min, [Hexane:IPA 9:1], t_A (minor) = 8.4 min, t_B (major) = 9.5 min, 19% ee.

 $[\alpha]_{D^{25}}$ +1.0 (*c* 0.1, CHCl₃, 19% ee).

IR (film): 2942, 2206, 1694 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.25-7.19 (m, 3H), 6.99-6.93 (m, 2H), 5.04 (q, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (q, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (dd, *J* = 19.3, 15.0 Hz, 2H), 2.56 (dt, *J* = 19.1, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.52-2.36 (m, 3H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 2.17-2.13 (m, 1H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 2.07-2.00 (m, 1H), 1.90-1.80 (m, 1H), 1.77-1.66 (m, 1H), 1.57-1.46 (m, 2H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 209.1, 207.4, 206.9, 206.6, 141.2, 135.9, 129.6, 128.4, 127.2, 115.8, 73.4, 70.2, 41.0, 38.0, 33.6, 33.0, 27.7, 27.4, 27.1, 26.7, 21.7.

HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₂₃H₂₈O₄: 369.2060; found: 369.2050.

Ethyl 3-(3-acetyl-3-methyl-2-methylene-4-oxopentyl)-4oxochroman-3- carboxylate (36a):²¹

Enantioselective catalysis: carbonate 14^{21} (31.3 mg, 0.16 mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃ (7.3 mg, 0.008 mmol), (*R*)-Tol-BINAP (**37**, 6.5 mg, 0.0096 mmol) and ethyl 4-oxochroman-3-carboxylate²⁶ (35 mg, 0.16 mmol) were added to a dried tube under argon. The tube was fitted with a septum and purged further with argon. 1,4-Dioxane (1 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 hours, then concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash column chromatography [Petrol:EtOAc 9:1-4:1] afforded **36a** (21 mg, 35%). *R_F* 0.42 [Petrol:EtOAc 5:1].

Chiral HPLC: OD-H column, 1 mL/min, [Hexane:IPA 9:1], t_A (major) = 10.1 min, t_B (minor) = 11.2 min, 11% ee.

 $[\alpha]_{D^{25}}$ +0.8 (*c* 0.1, CHCl₃, 11% ee).

Funding Information

We gratefully acknowledge the Royal Society (RG150189, V.F.), the University of York (N.J.T. and V.F.), Lancaster University (M.K. and V.F.), the EU (Erasmus Exchange Programme to S.P.S.), and the Royal Society of Chemistry (Undergraduate Research Bursaries to P.J. and D.J.K.) for financial support.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to Prof Richard Taylor for the generous donation of chemicals and consumables as well as useful discussions. We thank Dr K. Heaton (University of York), Dr D. Rochester (Lancaster University), as well as the EPSRC Mass Spectrometry Service (Swansea, UK) for mass measurements. We also gratefully acknowledge Dr D. Rochester (Lancaster University) for help with HPLC analysis.

Supporting Information

Yes

Primary Data

No

References

(1) Hann, M. M. Med. Chem. Commun. 2011, 2, 349.

(2) Ritchie, T. J.; Macdonald, S. J. F.; Young, R. J.; Pickett, S. D. *Drug Discov. Today* **2011**, 16, 164.

(3) Lovering, F.; Bikker, J.; Humblet, C. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 6752.

(4) Lovering, F. Med. Chem. Commun. 2013, 4, 515.

(5) Hall, R. J.; Mortenson, P. N.; Murray, C. W. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* **2014**, 116, 82.

(6) (a) Douglas, C. J.; Overman, L. E. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2004, 101, 5363. (b) Trost, B. M.; Van Vranken, D. L. *Chem. Rev.* 1996, 96, 395. (c)
Weaver, J. D.; Recio III, A.; Grenning, A. J.; Tunge, J. A. *Chem. Rev.* 2011, 111, 1846. (d) Liu, Y. Y.; Han, S. J.; Liu, W. B.; Stoltz, B. M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, 48, 740.

(7) (a) Ogoshi, S.; Tsutsumi, K.; Kurosawa, H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1995**, 493,
C19. (b) Baize, M. W.; Blosser, P. W.; Plantevin, V.; Schimpff, D. G.; Gallucci, J. C.;
Wojcicki, A. *Organometallics* **1996**, 15, 164. (c) Tsutsumi, K.; Ogoshi, S.;
Nishiguchi, S.; Kurosawa, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, 120, 1938. (d) Tsutsumi,
K.; Kawase, T.; Kakiuchi, K.; Ogoshi, S.; Okada, Y.; Kurosawa, H. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Ipn.* **1999**, 72, 2687.

(8) (a) Tsuji, J.; Mandai, T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, 34, 2589. (b) Ma,
S. M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, 1175. (c) Behenna, D. C.; Mohr, J. T.; Sherden, N.
H.; Marinescu, S. C.; Harned, A. M.; Tani, K.; Seto, M.; Ma, S.; Novak, Z.; Krout, M.
R.; McFadden, R. M.; Roizen, J. L.; Enquist, J. A.; White, D. E.; Levine, S. R.;
Petrova, K. V.; Iwashita, A.; Virgil, S. C.; Stoltz, B. M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, 17,

14199. (d) Daniels, D. S. B.; Jones, A. S.; Thompson, A. L.; Paton, R. S.; Anderson, E. A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53, 1915.

(9) (a) Guo, L.-N.; Duan, X.-H.; Liang, Y.-M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2011, 44, 111. (b)
Yoshida, M. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 2012, 60, 285. (c) Yoshida, M. *Heterocycles*2013, 87, 1835. (d) Hu, X.-H.; Liu, Z.-T.; Shao, L.; Hu, X.-P. *Synthesis* 2015, 47,
913. (e) Franckevičius, V. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2016, 57, 3586.

Biosketches

(10) (a) Tsuji, J.; Watanabe, H.; Minami, I.; Shimizu, I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1985, 107, 2196. (b) Geng, L.; Lu, X. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1990, 31, 111. (c) Geng, L. F.; Lu, X. Y. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 1 1992, 17. (d) Labrosse, J. R.; Lhoste, P.; Sinou, D. *J. Org. Chem.* 2001, 66, 6634.

(11) (a) Yoshida, M.; Fujita, M.; Ihara, M. *Org. Lett.* 2003, 5, 3325. (b) Casey, C.
P.; Nash, J. R.; Yi, C. S.; Selmeczy, A. D.; Chung, S.; Powell, D. R.; Hayashi, R. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998, 120, 722. (c) Horino, Y.; Homura, N.; Inoue, K.;
Yoshikawa, S. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2012, 354, 828.

(12) Nishioka, N.; Koizumi, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2011, 52, 3662.
(13) (a) Duan, X.-H.; Guo, L.-N.; Bi, H.-P.; Liu, X.-Y.; Liang, Y.-M. *Org. Lett.* 2006, 8, 5777. (b) Guo, L.-N.; Duan, X.-H.; Bi, H.-P.; Liu, X.-Y.; Liang, Y.-M. *J. Org. Chem.* 2007, 72, 1538. (c) Bi, H.-P.; Guo, L.-N.; Gou, F.-R.; Duan, X.-H.; Liu, X.-Y.; Liang, Y.-M. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 73, 4713. (d) Yoshida, M.; Morishita, Y.; Fujita, M.; Ihara, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, 45, 1861. (e) Yoshida, M.; Morishita, Y.; Fujita, M.; Fujita, M.; Ihara, M. *Tetrahedron* 2005, 61, 4381. (f) Nemoto, T.; Zhao, Z. D.; Yokosaka, T.; Suzuki, Y.; Wu, R.; Hamada, Y. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, 52, 2217. (g) Iwata, A.; Inuki, S.; Oishi, S.; Fujii, N.; Ohno, H. *Chem. Commun.* 2014, 50, 298.

(14) (a) Yoshida, M.; Higuchi, M.; Shishido, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008, 49, 1678. (b) Yoshida, M.; Higuchi, M.; Shishido, K. *Tetrahedron* 2010, 66, 2675.
(c) Yoshida, M.; Sugimura, C.; Shishido, K. *Org. Lett.* 2011, 13, 3482. (d) Montgomery, T. D.; Nibbs, A. E.; Zhu, Y.; Rawal, V. H. *Org. Lett.* 2014, 16, 3480.
(15) Schröder, S. P.; Taylor, N. J.; Jackson, P.; Franckevičius, V. *Org. Lett.* 2013, 15, 3778.

(16) (a) Nibbs, A. E.; Montgomery, T. D.; Zhu, Y.; Rawal, V. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, 80, 4928. (b) Gao, R.-D.; Liu, C.; Dai, L.-X.; Zhang, W.; You, S.-L. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, 16, 3919.

(17) (a) Labrosse, J. R.; Lhoste, P.; Sinou, D. *Org. Lett.* 2000, 2, 527. (b) Damez,
C.; Labrosse, J. R.; Lhoste, P.; Sinou, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 557. (c)
Dominczak, N.; Damez, C.; Rhers, B.; Labrosse, J.-R.; Lhoste, P.; Kryczka, B.;
Sinou, D. *Tetrahedron* 2005, 61, 2589. (d) Yoshida, M.; Higuchi, M.; Shishido, K. *Org. Lett.* 2009, 11, 4752. (e) Labrosse, J.-R.; Lhoste, P.; Sinou, D. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2002, 1966.

(18) Zhou, Y.; Zhu, F. L.; Liu, Z. T.; Zhou, X. M.; Hu, X. P. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, 18, 2734.

(19) Bordwell, F. G. Acc. Chem. Res. 1988, 21, 456.

(20) Bordwell, F. G.; Branca, J. C.; Hughes, D. L.; Olmstead, W. N. *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, 45, 3305.

(21) Kenny, M.; Christensen, J.; Coles, S. J.; Franckevičius, V. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, 17, 3926.

(22) Kenny, M.; Kitson, D. J.; Franckevičius, V. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 5162.

(23) Matsuo, J. I.; Kawai, H.; Ishibashi, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 3155.

(24) Jiang, Y. W.; Wu, N.; Wu, H. H.; He, M. Y. Synlett 2005, 2731.

(25) Alper, P.; Azimioara, M.; Cow, C.; Epple, R.; Lelais, G.; Mecom, J.; Mutnick, D.; Nikulin, V. **WO 2011/014520**.

(26) Hemavathi, S. N.; Naveen, C. R.; Rai, K. M. L. *Indian J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **2011**, 20, 409.



