

Please cite this paper as:

Schaer, O., Kourentzes, N. and Fildes, R. 2018. Demand forecasting with user-generated online information. Lancaster University Management School, Management Science Working Paper 2018:2, 1-41.



Lancaster University  
Management School

## Management Science

Working Paper 2018:2

# Online supplement: Demand forecasting with user-generated online information

Oliver Schaer, Nikolaos Kourentzes and Robert Fildes

*The Department of Management Science  
Lancaster University Management School  
Lancaster LA1 4YX  
UK*

© Oliver Schaer, Nikolaos Kourentzes and Robert Fildes  
All rights reserved. Short sections of text, not to exceed  
two paragraphs, may be quoted without explicit permission,  
provided that full acknowledgment is given.

LUMS home page: <http://www.lums.lancs.ac.uk>

# Online supplement: Demand forecasting with user-generated online information

Oliver Schaer<sup>a,\*</sup>, Nikolaos Kourentzes<sup>a</sup>, Robert Fildes<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Management Science, Lancaster University Management School, UK*

---

---

## A. Supporting tables for the literature review

The following Tables A1 to A5 provide detailed insights on the literature surveyed for each of the forecasting applications used in Section 2. For each reviewed study we list the *target variable*, data *source*, identified *best model* and *benchmarks* used. Studies with distinct datasets have been split into multiple lines. The column *type* names the internet platform and the column *measure* indicates the nature of the data, e.g. volume or sentiment information. We also report the data *frequency*, number of *series*, *maximum lag* the user generated information variable is incorporated and *forecast horizon*. The last column reports the relative *maximum error reduction* achieved, compared to the best performing benchmark without any. All forecasting model abbreviations are listed in Table A6.

---

\*Correspondance: O Schaer, Department of Management Science, Lancaster University Management School, Lancaster, Lancashire, LA1 4YX, UK. Tel.: +44 1524 592911

*Email addresses:* [o.schaer@lancaster.ac.uk](mailto:o.schaer@lancaster.ac.uk) (Oliver Schaer), [n.kourentzes@lancaster.ac.uk](mailto:n.kourentzes@lancaster.ac.uk) (Nikolaos Kourentzes), [r.fildes@lancaster.ac.uk](mailto:r.fildes@lancaster.ac.uk) (Robert Fildes)

Table A1: Forecasting economic indicators

Paper	Target Variable	Type*	Measure <sup>§</sup>	Best model	Benchmark model(s)	Freq. <sup>†</sup>	num. series	max. lag	max. horizon	max. % err. red.
Choi and Varian (2009) <sup>a,c</sup>	Home sales USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	AR	m	1	0	1	12% MAE
	Retail sales USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>sARX</b>	sAR	m	1	0	1	18% MAE
Vosen and Schmidt (2011) <sup>c,d</sup>	Private consumption USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	AR, ARX	m	1	3	1	76% RMSE
Choi and Varian (2012) <sup>a,c</sup>	Motor vehicles and parts USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>sARX</b>	sAR	m	1	0	1	10.5% MAE
	Unemployment benefits claims USA	GTD	Pop.	AR	<b>ARX</b>	w	1	0	1	AR better
	Consumer confidence Index AUS	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	AR	m	1	0	1	9.3% MAE
Barreira et al. (2013) <sup>a</sup>	Unemployment rate (var. countries)	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	AR	m	4	2	36	13.4% RMSE
Scott and Varian (2015) <sup>a,b,c,d</sup>	Consumer sentiment USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>BSTS</b>	AR, LR	m	1	0	1	13.5% MAPE
	Gun sales USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>BSTS</b>	BSTS	m	1	0	1	55.9% MAE
Wu and Brynjolfsson (2015) <sup>a,c,d</sup>	Home sales USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	ARX	q	1	1	1	2.9% MAE
	Home price index USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	ARX	q	1	1	1	7.1% MAE
Brynjolfsson et al. (2016) <sup>a,c</sup>	Unemployment benefits claims USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	AR	w	1	0	1	3.9% MAE
Li (2016) <sup>a,c</sup>	Unemployment benefits claims USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>DFM</b>	AR, DFM, RW	w	1	2	2	0.04% RMSE
Limnios and You (2016) <sup>c</sup>	Home prices USA	GTD	Pop.	DW	<b>ARX</b> , ARX, DW	m	1	6	1	DW better
	Price-rent ratio USA	GTD	Pop.	IAC	<b>ARX</b> , ARX, IAC	m	1	6	1	IAC better
Smith (2016) <sup>a,c,d</sup>	Unemployment rate GBR	GTD	Pop.	<b>AR-MIDAS</b>	RW w. drift, Survey	m/w	1	1	1	11% RMSE
D'Amuri and Marcucci (2017) <sup>c,d</sup>	Unemployment rate USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	AR, ARX, SETAR, LSTAR, AAR	m	1	4	12	39% RMSE
Bulut (2017) <sup>a,c,d</sup>	Exchange rate movements	GTD	Pop.	RW	<b>LRX</b> , LRX	m	11	0	1	RW better
Elshehry et al. (2017) <sup>c</sup>	Crude oil price	GTD; TWR; GDELT; WIA	Pop.; Vol.; Val. <sup>2</sup>	<b>ARIMAXARIMA</b>	<b>LRX</b> , LRX	d	1	3	1	88.9% MAPE
Yu et al. (2018) <sup>c</sup>	Oil consumption	GTD	Pop.	<b>SVR</b>	LR, SVR, ELM, NN	m	1	6	1	1.4% MAPE

**Boldface** = Model containing online information;

<sup>a</sup> Nowcasting model;

<sup>b</sup> Only insample evaluation;

<sup>c</sup> Rolling origin evaluation;

<sup>d</sup> Statistical model testing;

\* GTD = Google Trends, TWR = Twitter, WIA = Wikipedia;

<sup>§</sup> Rat. = Rating, Pop. = Popularity, Vol. = Volume, Val. = Valence (<sup>1</sup> = Lexicon, <sup>2</sup> = Machine learning, <sup>3</sup> = n-grams, <sup>4</sup> = Self declared);

<sup>†</sup> d = daily, w = weekly, m = monthly.

Table A2: Forecasting financial markets

Paper	Target Variable	Type*	Measure <sup>§</sup>	Best model	Benchmark model(s)	Freq. <sup>†</sup>	num. series	max. lag	max. horizon	max. % err. red.
Bollen et al. (2011) <sup>c,d</sup>	DJIA Index	TWR	Val. <sup>1</sup>	NN	NN	d	1	3	1	7.7% MAPE
Rao and Srivastava (2013) <sup>c</sup>	US Oil Funds Index	GTD; TWR	Pop.; Val. <sup>1</sup>	<b>ARX</b>	AR	w	4	4	1	4.1% MAPE
	DJIA Index	GTD; TWR	Pop.; Val. <sup>1</sup>	<b>ARX</b>	AR	w	4	4	1	26.8% MAPE
	NASDAQ-100 Index	GTD; TWR	Pop.; Val. <sup>1</sup>	<b>ARX</b>	AR	w	4	4	1	2.6% MAPE
	Gold Price	GTD; TWR	Pop.; Val. <sup>1</sup>	<b>ARX</b>	AR	w	2	4	1	1.99% MAPE
	EURO	GTD; TWR	Pop.; Val. <sup>1</sup>	<b>ARX</b>	AR	w	2	4	1	39.8% MAPE
Hamid and Heiden (2015) <sup>c,d</sup>	Index volatility	GTD	Pop.	<b>ESC</b>	AR, HAR, ARFIMA	w	1	1	1	6% MSE
Dimpfl and Jank (2016) <sup>c,d</sup>	Index volatility	GTD	Pop.	<b>VHAR,</b> <b>VAR</b>	AR, HAR	d	1	1	14	3.5% MSE
Bijl et al. (2016) <sup>b,c,d</sup>	Stock returns	GTD	Pop.	<b>LR</b>	LR	d	431	5	1	32% $R^2$
Ho et al. (2017) <sup>c,d</sup>	Stock returns	FOM	Val. <sup>4</sup>	<b>SUR</b>	SUR	d	45	1	1	36.4% MAE
Perlin et al. (2017) <sup>c</sup>	Index returns	GTD	Pop.	<b>VAR</b>	ARMA- GARCH, BH	m	4	5	1	1014% Return

**Boldface** = Model containing online information;

<sup>a</sup> Nowcasting model;

<sup>b</sup> Only insample evaluation;

<sup>c</sup> Rolling origin evaluation;

<sup>d</sup> Statistical model testing;

\* BAU = Baidu, FOM = Forum, GTD = Google Trends, TWR = Twitter;

§ Pop. = Popularity, Vol. = Volume, Val. = Valence (<sup>1</sup> = Lexicon, <sup>2</sup> = Machine learning, <sup>3</sup> = n-grams, <sup>4</sup> = Self declared);

† d = daily, w = weekly, m = monthly, q = quarterly.

Table A3: Forecasting public health and environment

Paper	Target Variable	Type*	Measure <sup>§</sup>	Best model	Benchmark model(s)	Freq. <sup>†</sup>	num. series	max. lag	max. horizon	max. % err. red.
Ginsberg et al. (2009) <sup>a,c</sup>	Influenza outbreak USA	GTD	Pop. Val. <sup>3</sup>	<b>LR</b>	-	w	1	0	1	-
Lampos and Cristianini (2012) <sup>a,c</sup>	Influenza outbreak GBR	TWR	Val. <sup>3</sup>	<b>LR</b>	-	w	3	0	1	-
	Daily Rainfall GBR	TWR	Val. <sup>3</sup>	<b>LR</b>	-	d	5	0	1	-
Won et al. (2013)	Suicide events in Korea	BLG	Val. <sup>1</sup>	<b>ARX</b>	-	3-day bins	1	1	121	-
Araz et al. (2014) <sup>c</sup>	Influenza outbreak Nebraska	GTD	Pop.	<b>LR</b>	sARIMA, HW	w	1	1	1	72.8% RMSE
Lazer et al. (2014) <sup>a,c</sup>	Influenza outbreak USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	AR	w	10	3	1	25.4% MAE
Preis and Moat (2014) <sup>a,c</sup>	Influenza outbreak USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	AR	w	1	0	1	21.3% MAE
Santillana et al. (2015) <sup>c</sup>	Influenza outbreak USA	GTD; TWR	Pop.; Vol.	<b>ABT</b>	<b>LR, SVM,</b> AR	w	1	4	2	38.7% MAPE
Brynjolfsson et al. (2016) <sup>a,c</sup>	Influenza outbreak USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>LR</b>	AR	w	1	3	1	9.9% MAE
Chen et al. (2017) <sup>c</sup>	Smog health hazard China	WEO	Val. <sup>1</sup>	<b>NN</b>	NN, SVM, RF	d	8	0	1	26.0% RMSE
Xu et al. (2017) <sup>a,c</sup>	Influenza outbreak Hong Kong	GTD	Pop.	<b>BMA</b>	<b>ARIMAX,</b> <b>GLM, NN</b>	w	1	0	2	-

**Boldface** = Model containing online information;

<sup>a</sup> Nowcasting model;

<sup>b</sup> Only insample evaluation;

<sup>c</sup> Rolling origin evaluation;

<sup>d</sup> Statistical model testing;

\* BLG = Blog, GTD = Google Trends, TWR = Twitter, WEO = Weibo;

§ Pop. = Popularity, Vol. = Volume, Val. = Valence (<sup>1</sup> = Lexicon, <sup>2</sup> = Machine learning, <sup>3</sup> = n-grams, <sup>4</sup> = Self declared);

† d = daily, w = weekly.

Table A4: Forecasting services

Paper	Target Variable	Type*	Measure <sup>§</sup>	Best model	Benchmark model(s)	Freq. <sup>†</sup>	num. series	max. lag	max. horizon	max. % err. red.
Choi and Varian (2009) <sup>a,b</sup>	Visitor arrivals to HK	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	-	m	9	0	-	-
Pan et al. (2012) <sup>c</sup>	Hotel room demand	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	AR, ARIMA, RW	w	1	1	1	27% MAPE
Hand and Judge (2012)	Cinema admissions	GTD	Pop.	<b>sARX</b>	<b>sARX</b>	m	1	0	-	-
Bangwayo-Skeete and Skeete (2015) <sup>c,d</sup>	Tourist arrivals in the Caribbean	GTD	Pop.	<b>AR-ARIMA</b>	AR, sARIMA	m/w	5	-	12	-
Bughin (2015) <sup>a,c</sup>	Telecom sales in BEL	GTD; TWR	Pop.; Val.	<b>ARX</b>	AR	m	9	2	1	21% RMSE
Kim and Shin (2016) <sup>c</sup>	Air passengers	NAR	Pop.	<b>LR</b>	-	m	1	8	8	-
Önder and Gunter (2016) <sup>c,d</sup>	Tourist arrivals to Vienna	GTD	Pop.	<b>ADL</b>	sAR, HW, sRW	m	7	12	12	56.4% MAE
Pan and Yang (2016) <sup>c,d</sup>	Hotel occupancy	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARMAX</b>	ARMA, MSDR	w	1	2	2	4.73% MAPE
Peng et al. (2016) <sup>c</sup>	Tourist volume Jiuzhai Valley	BAU	Vol.	<b>ARX</b>	<b>ARX</b>	d	1	3	1	-
Rivera (2016)	Hotel registrations Puerto Rico	GTD	Pop.	<b>DLM, HW</b>	sRW, HW, sARIMA	m	1	1	12	9.18% MAPE
Huang et al. (2017) <sup>a,c</sup>	Tourist volume Forbidden City	BAU	Vol.	<b>ARX</b>	ARIMA, ARX	d	1	2	1	14.5% RMSE
Li et al. (2017) <sup>c</sup>	Tourist arrivals to Beijing	BAU	Vol.	<b>ARMAX</b>	ARMA, <b>ARMAX</b>	w	1	5	4	37% MAPE
Önder (2017) <sup>c,d</sup>	Tourist arrivals to Countries	GTD	Pop.	<b>ADL</b>	AR, HW, RW	m	6	12	12	3% MAE
Padhi and Pati (2017) <sup>c</sup>	Tourist arrivals to Cities	GTD	Pop.	<b>ADL</b>	AR, HW, RW	m	10	12	12	36.7% MAE
	Tourist arrivals to Kerala	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARIMAX</b>	ARIMA, <b>ARX, VARX</b>	m	1	4	4	53.8% MAPE
Park et al. (2017) <sup>c,d</sup>	Tourist arrivals to South Korea	GTD	Pop.	<b>sARIMAX</b>	sARIMAX, HW	m	1	6	1	16.7% MAE
Zeynalov (2017) <sup>c</sup>	Tourist arrivals to Prague	GTD	Pop.	<b>AR-ARIMA</b>	ARIMA, <b>ARIMAX</b>	m/w	1	1	1	19.7% MAE
	Overnight stays in Prague	GTD	Pop.	<b>MIDAS</b>	ARIMA, <b>AR-ARIMA, MIDAS</b>	m/w	1	1	1	8.5% MAE

**Boldface** = Model containing online information;

<sup>a</sup> Nowcasting model;

<sup>b</sup> Only insample evaluation;

<sup>c</sup> Rolling origin evaluation;

<sup>d</sup> Statistical model testing;

\* BAU = Baidu, GTD = Google Trends, NAR = Naver, TWR = Twitter;

§ Pop. = Popularity, Vol. = Volume, Val. = Valence;

† d = daily, w = weekly, m = monthly, q = quarterly.

Table A5: Forecasting consumer goods

Paper	Target Variable	Type*	Measure <sup>§</sup>	Best model	Benchmark model(s)	Freq. <sup>†</sup>	num. series	max. lag	max. horizon	max. % err. red.
Brand or company level										
Choi and Varian (2009) <sup>a,c</sup>	Car sales USA	GTD	Pop.	<b>sARX</b>	sAR	m	27	0	1	12.5% MAE
Seebach et al. (2011) <sup>c</sup>	Car sales DEU	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	AR, RW	m	2	6	3	50.3% MAE
Barreira et al. (2013) <sup>a,c,d</sup>	Car sales var. countries	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	AR	m	4	0	36	8.2% RMSE
Carnière-Swallow and Labbé (2013) <sup>a,c,d</sup>	Car sales CHL	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	AR, ARMA	m	9	18d	1	-
Skodda and Benthaus (2015) <sup>c</sup>	Car sales DEU	GTD; TWR	Pop.; Val.tnotel	<b>LR</b>	<b>LR</b>	m	2	6	1	-
Fantazzini and Toktamysova (2015) <sup>c,d</sup>	Car sales DEU	GTD	Pop.	<b>VECM, VAR, BVAR</b>	RW w. drift, AR, VARX, VECMX, BVARX, LSTAR, ESTAR, AAR	m	22	12	24	66% MSE
Cui et al. (2017) <sup>c,d</sup>	Online apparel sales	FBK	Vol.; Val. <sup>2</sup>	<b>RF</b>	RF, LR, SVM, GB, Company Forecast	d	1	7	7	20.5% MAPE
Jun et al. (2017)	Netbook sales	GTD; NAR	Pop.; Pop.	<b>HW</b>	<b>Analogy, sRW, MA</b>	q	1	0	1	HW better
Geva et al. (2017) <sup>c,d</sup>	Car sales USA	GTD; FOM	Pop.; Vol.; Val. <sup>1</sup>	<b>NN</b>	sARX, NN	m	23	2	1	5.6% MAPE
Product level										
Boone et al. (2015) <sup>a,b</sup>	Specialty-food SKUs sales	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	ARX	w	2	0	-	5.3% RMSE
Schneider and Gupta (2016) <sup>c</sup>	Tablet sales	ORW	Rat.; Val. <sup>2</sup>	<b>LR</b>	<b>SVM, LR</b>	w	231	0	1	77.2% MAPE
See-To and Ngai (2016) <sup>a,c</sup>	Fashion sales	ORW	Val. <sup>1</sup>	<b>ARX</b>	MA	$\frac{1}{2}$ d	2527	0	1	44.2% RMSE
Geva et al. (2017) <sup>c,d</sup>	Car sales USA	GTD; FOM	Pop.; Vol.; Val. <sup>1</sup>	<b>sARX</b>	sARX	m	78	2	1	1.9% MAPE
Jun et al. (2017)	Nintendo Wii sales	GTD; NAR	Pop.; Pop.	<b>HW</b>	<b>Analogy, sRW, MA</b>	q	3	0	1	HW better
Lau et al. (2017) <sup>c</sup>	E-commerce platform USA	GTD; ORW	Val. <sup>1</sup>	<b>PELM</b>	<b>LR, SVM, ELM</b>	w	11428	1	1	-
Boone et al. (2018) <sup>c</sup>	E-commerce platform CHN	BAU; ORW	Val. <sup>1</sup>	<b>PELM</b>	<b>LR, SVM, ELM</b>	w	8115	1	1	-
Boone et al. (2018) <sup>c</sup>	Specialty-food SKUs sales	GTD	Pop.	<b>ARX</b>	ARX	w	5	1	1	7.66%

**Boldface** = Model containing online information;

<sup>a</sup> Nowcasting model;

<sup>b</sup> Only insample evaluation;

<sup>c</sup> Rolling origin evaluation;

<sup>d</sup> Statistical model testing;

\* BAU = Baidu, FBK = Facebook, FOM = Forum, GTD = Google Trends, NAR = Naver, ORW = Online Reviews, TWR = Twitter;

§ Rat. = Rating, Pop. = Popularity, Vol. = Volume, Val. = Valence (<sup>1</sup> = Lexicon, <sup>2</sup> = Machine learning, <sup>3</sup> = n-grams, <sup>4</sup> = Self declared);

† d = daily, w = weekly, m = monthly, q = quarterly.

Table A6: Abbreviations of forecasting models

Model	Abbreviation
AAR	Additive AR
ABT	AdaBoost
ADL	Autoregressive Distributed Lag
AR	Autoregressive
ARFIMA	AR Fractional Integrated Moving Average
ARIMA	AR Integrated Moving Average
BH	Buy and Hold strategy
BMA	Bayesian Model Averaging
BSTS	Bayesian Structural Time Series
BVAR	Bayesian VAR
DLM	Dynamic Linear Model
DW	Dieci and Westerhoff Model
ELM	Extreme Learning Machine
ESC	Empirical Similarity Concept
IAC	Housing a la Iacoviello
GARCH	Generalised AR Conditional Heteroskedasticity
GB	Gradient Boosting
GLM	Generalised Linear Model
HAR	Heterogeneous AR
HW	Holt Winter
LR	Linear Regression
LSTAR	Logistic Smooth Transition AR
MA	Moving Average
MSDR	Markov Switching Dynamic Regression
NN	Neural Network
PELM	Parallel co-evolutionary ELM
RF	Random Forest
RW	Random Walk
SETAR	Self-Exciting Threshold AR
SUR	Seemingly Unrelated Regression
SVM	Support Vector Machine
VAR	Vector AR
VECM	Vector Error Correction Model
VHAR	Vector Heterogeneous AR