| 1  | Linking species thermal tolerance to elevational range shifts in upland dung beetles             |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  | Ali J. Birkett <sup>1*</sup> , George Alan Blackburn <sup>1</sup> and Rosa Menéndez <sup>1</sup> |
| 4  | 1. Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, Lancashire, LA1 4YQ,           |
| 5  | UK   |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | * Correspondence: A. J. Birkett, Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University,             |
| 8  | Lancaster, Lancashire, LA1 4YQ, UK (Email: <u>ali.j.birkett@gmail.com</u> ); Orcid id:           |
| 9  | orcid.org/0000-0002-5161-9896  |
| 10 |  |

#### 12 Abstract

13 Climate warming has been proposed as the main cause of the recent range shifts seen in many 14 species. Although species' thermal tolerances are thought to play a key role in determining 15 responses to climate change, especially in ectotherms, empirical evidence is still limited. We 16 investigate the connection between species' thermal tolerances, elevational range and shifts in 17 the lower elevational limit of dung beetle species (Coleoptera, Aphodiidea) in an upland 18 region in the northwest of England. We measured thermal tolerances in the laboratory, and 19 used current and historical distribution data to test specific hypotheses about the area's three 20 dominant species, particularly the species most likely to suffer from warming: Agollinus 21 lapponum. We found marked differences between species in their minimum and maximum 22 thermal tolerance and in their elevational range and patterns of abundance. Overall, 23 differences in thermal limits among species matched the abundance patterns along the 24 elevation gradient expected if distributions were constrained by climate. A. lapponum 25 abundance increased with elevation and this species showed lower maximum and minimum 26 thermal limits than Acrossus depressus, for which abundance declined with elevation. 27 Consistent with lower tolerance to high temperature, we recorded an uphill retreat of the low 28 elevation limit of A. lapponum (177 m over 57 years) in line with the increase in summer 29 temperature observed in the region over the same period. Moreover, this species has been 30 replaced at low and mid-elevations by the other two warm-tolerant species (A. depressus and 31 Agrilinus ater). Our results provide empirical evidence that species' thermal tolerance 32 constrains elevational ranges and contributes to explain the observed responses to climate 33 warming. A mechanistic understanding of how climate change directly affects species, such as the one presented here, will provide a robust base to inform predictions of how individual 34 35 species and whole assemblages may change in the future.

## 37 Introduction

38 Species' ability to tolerate low and high temperatures can be crucial in determining their 39 latitudinal and elevational distribution (Gaston and Chown 1999, Addo-Bediako et al. 2000, 40 Deutsch et al. 2008, Sunday et al. 2012, Buckley et al. 2014, Sunday et al. 2014). This is 41 particularly true for ectotherms as their body temperature regulation is entirely reliant on 42 external temperatures (Deutsch et al. 2008, Sunday et al. 2011, Wilson and Maclean 2011, 43 Buckley et al. 2013, Khaliq et al. 2014) and temperature can affect egg and larval survival 44 and adult fecundity as well as metabolic processes (Klok and Chown 2001, Bowler and 45 Terblanche 2008, Somero 2010, Radchuk et al. 2013). Thus, species with low minimum and 46 maximum thermal tolerance have been found to be prevalent in cold environments at high elevations and latitudes in various regions (Addo-Bediako et al. 2000, Sørensen et al. 2005, 47 48 Buckley et al. 2013, Warren and Chick 2013). 49 This relationship between geographic range and physiological tolerance has led to the

50 suggestion that species or populations most likely to persist under, or even benefit from, 51 climate change are those with high maximum thermal tolerance limits (Deutsch et al. 2008, 52 Khaliq et al. 2014). Indeed, numerous studies have reported expansions towards higher 53 latitudes and elevations of species from warmer regions, as climate warming is increasing the 54 availability of suitable habitat in areas that were previously too cold for the species to survive (e.g. Parmesan et al. 1999, Lobo and Halffter 2000, Konvicka et al. 2003, Parmesan and 55 56 Yohe 2003, Wilson et al. 2005, Franco et al. 2006, Chen et al. 2011, Hill et al. 2011). 57 On the other hand, species adapted to cold climates are predicted to suffer from warming if 58 rising temperatures exceed species maximum thermal limits (Thomas et al. 2004, Menéndez 59 2007, Colwell et al. 2008, García-Robledo et al. 2016). This in turn will result in local

60 extinction of populations at lower latitudes and elevations as has been reported for several 61 groups of ectotherms (e.g. Wilson et al. 2005, Franco et al. 2006, Hickling et al. 2006, Dingemanse and Kalkman 2008, Merrill et al. 2008, Chen et al. 2011, Wilson and Maclean 62 63 2011, Sunday et al. 2012, Menéndez et al. 2014). The connection between maximum thermal 64 tolerance and climate driven extinctions appears to be intuitive but, as highlighted by many 65 authors, there is still little empirical evidence supporting this connection (e.g.Cahill et al. 66 2013, Kaspari et al. 2015, García-Robledo et al. 2016). A recent review by Cahill et al. (2014) found that only a few studies of terrestrial ectotherms successfully identified thermal 67 68 tolerance limits as a proximate cause of the climate related shift reported at the lower/warm 69 range boundary. Thus this is a critical gap in our current understanding of the implications of 70 future climate change (Thomas et al. 2004, Thomas et al. 2006). 71 In this paper we investigate the connection between species thermal tolerances, elevational 72 range and shifts in the lower elevational limit of dung beetle species in an upland region in 73 northern England. We focus particularly on the elevational distribution of the northern dung 74 beetle Agoliinus lapponum (Gyllenhal, 1808) which has historically been the dominant 75 species in the study region (White 1960, Pearson and White 1963) but which has declined 76 recently; and compare it with two other dung beetle species, Agrilinus ater (De Geer, 1774) 77 and Acrossus depressus (Kugelann, 1792), that have become more abundant in the region. A. 78 *lapponum* is restricted to northern latitudes in Europe and reaches its southern geographic 79 limit in the British uplands (White 1960, Key 1982), hence populations in Britain are likely to 80 be particularly susceptible to local extinction caused by climate change (Franco et al. 2006). 81 A. ater and A. depressus are more widespread species both reaching their southern limits 82 much further south in Europe. We tested the specific hypotheses that the species with the lowest minimum and maximum thermal tolerance limits: (1) will be more abundant at high 83

elevations, unlike the species that are able to tolerate the highest temperatures; (2) has shifted
its lower elevational limit uphill in line with changes in temperature observed in the study
region during the last 50 years; and (3) has declined in abundance at low and middle
elevations, where the other two species have become more dominant in the community as
they are better able to cope with the increase in temperature.

89

# 90 Methods

91 Study area

92 The study was carried out at the Moor House World Biosphere Reserve in the North Pennines, northern England (Fig. 1). This is an internationally important upland research site 93 (Holden and Rose 2011) of c. 900 km<sup>2</sup> and with an elevation range from c. 200 m to 893 m 94 above sea level (a.s.l.) at the top of the Cross Fell (54°42'10"N, 2°29'14"W). The dominant 95 vegetation types are acid grassland, *Calluna vulgaris* heathland and mire (JNCC 1990), and 96 97 the area is regularly grazed by sheep from April to October. The dung beetle community is 98 dominated by members of Aphodiidae family (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Table 99 A1), which feed and reproduce inside the dung (Hanski 1991).

# 100 Species abundance along the elevational gradient

101 We assess the relationship between species abundance and elevation across the study area for

102 the three dominant dung beetle species: Agoliinus lapponum (Gyllenhal, 1808), Agrilinus ater

103 (De Geer, 1774) and Acrossus depressus (Kugelann, 1792) (Supplementary material

104 Appendix 1, Table A1). To replicate methods used historically at the site (White 1960,

105 Pearson and White 1963) from which data were incorporated into this study (see below),

106 beetles were collected by hand sorting from naturally occurring sheep dung (sampling effort

standardised to 30 minutes per visit per site) during May, June and July in 2008 and 2013 in a 107 108 total of 20 sites along three elevation transects (Fig.1), following the same routes as used in 109 the historic survey. The abundance of each species at a particular site was calculated by 110 pooling together the data from both survey years (2008 and 2013). The relationship between 111 the abundance of a species and elevation was tested using Generalised linear models with 112 negative binomial error structure to account for overdispersion (Zuur et al. 2009, Thomas et 113 al. 2013), considering elevation as a quadratic or a linear term during model selection. For 114 A.lapponum, due to the observed zero values at low elevations, we fitted an exponential 115 function with log (x+1) transformation. These analyses were carried out with MASS package 116 (Venables and Ripley 2002) in R (R Core Team 2016).

### 117 Change in the elevational range of Agoliinus lapponum

118 Historic elevational distribution data in the study area were only available for A. lapponum 119 from a survey carried out in 1956 (White 1960, Pearson and White 1963). During the 1956 120 survey ("historic survey" hereafter) beetles were collected in July by hand sorting from 121 naturally occurring sheep dung at 21 sites from 275 m to 610 m a.s.l. (Pearson and White 122 1963). The information from this survey included the name and elevation of the sampling 123 localities (no specific coordinates), the abundance of A. lapponum at each sampling site and a 124 measure of sampling effort (total number of dung beetles collected at each site). We compared the historic data with those collected during June and July in 2008 and 2013 125 126 (hereafter referred to as "current surveys") at 20 sites from 292 m to 810 m a.s.l. as described 127 above (Fig. 1). We recorded the abundance of all species, and in each surveyed year (2008 and 2013) data from the two sampling months were pooled per site for analysis. 128 129 We calculated changes in the lower elevational limit of A. lapponum between the historic and 130 the current surveys as the difference between the minimum elevations occupied by the

131 species in each year (1956 versus 2008 and 1956 versus 2013). Changes in the upper 132 elevational limit were not measured as the historic survey did not sample the whole elevation 133 gradient present in the region. To assess the accuracy of each survey in detecting the actual 134 limit of the species in each year we used the likelihood ratio (LR) method described by 135 (Rowe et al. 2010). This determines the likelihood of failing to find the species beyond its 136 observed range with a known number of sampling opportunities (the total number of 137 individuals collected outside the observed range of the species). Thus, the LR for a particular 138 elevation (*i*) where the species has not been found is defined as:

139  $LR_i = (1-[(F-2)/(S-2)])^{-Gi}$ 

140 where F is the number of individuals of the target species captured over its observed range,  $G_i$ 141 is the total number of individuals of all species captured at elevation *i* where the target 142 species was not captured and S is the sum of the total number of individuals of all species 143 captured along the observed range of the target species and in elevation *i*. A cut off of LR> 8 144 was used as strong evidence that the target species do not occur beyond the observed range 145 and as an indication that the real limit of the species has been successfully identified in each 146 surveyed year (Royall 1997).

To assess changes in *A. lapponum* abundance between survey periods, we analysed the effect of elevation on the proportional abundance of *A. lapponum* at each sampling locality during the historic survey and the current surveys (data from 2008 and 2013 pooled together) using Generalised Linear Models (using *lme4* package (Bates et al. 2015) in R) with a binomial error distribution (binomial proportion).

152 Regional changes in climate

153 We obtained climate data for the period 1956 to 2013 from the Moor House meteorological 154 station (560 m a.s.l.) in the centre of the study area (Fig. 1) and provided by the UK Environmental Change Network (<<u>http://www.ecn.ac.uk</u>>). For each year, we obtained data 155 156 for three temperature variables: the mean annual temperature, the mean temperature of the 157 coldest months (January-February) and the mean temperature of the warmest months (July-158 August) as well as the annual rainfall. Changes in climate between survey periods were 159 calculated from the predicted values generated by the significant linear regression between 160 the variables (temperature or rainfall) and time (year). Changes in the isotherm between 161 survey periods was calculated using the adiabatic lapse rate at Moor House (decrease in air 162 temperature with increase in elevation) provided by Holden and Rose (2011).

## 163 *Thermal tolerance*

164 We assessed thermal tolerance for the three species (A. lapponum, A. ater and A. depressus), which are the dominant species in the study area (see Supplementary material Appendix 1, 165 166 Table A1). We measured the critical thermal minimum  $(CT_{min})$  and maximum  $(CT_{max})$  for 167 each species as the temperature at which adult beetles suffered total paralysis (loss of ability 168 to move any legs, not including convulsions). Beetles of each species were collected from the 169 field along the southerly elevation transect (Fig. 1). Ten individuals (five for CT<sub>max</sub> and five 170 for CT<sub>min</sub> assays) of each species were taken from each of the elevations at which that species was abundant (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Table A2). We placed five beetles of the 171 172 same species in individual 35 mm diameter petri dishes in two rows in the middle shelf of a 173 Sanjo MIR553 cooled incubator. We set the incubator at a constant starting temperature of 30 °C for CT<sub>max</sub>, and 15 °C for CT<sub>min</sub> assays, and as initial ambient temperature is known to 174 175 affect thermal tolerance estimates (Terblanche et al. 2007), the beetles were left in these 176 conditions for five minutes to acclimatise before beginning the tests. These temperatures are

177 tolerated by many ectotherms (Sunday et al. 2011) and were used here to reduce the duration 178 of the experiments and thus prevent beetle exhaustion. If a beetle was not moving during the acclimatisation period it was replaced so that all beetles were active at the start of the assay. 179 180 We then set the incubator to increase (for  $CT_{max}$ ) or decrease (for  $CT_{min}$ ) the temperature automatically at a rate of 0.5 °C/minute, ensuring that all beetles were subject to the same 181 182 rate of temperature change (Terblanche et al. 2007). Temperature inside the incubator was 183 recorded every 30 seconds using a data logger (DS1923 temperature/humidity logger 184 iButton®) located in a 35 mm petri dishes positioned alongside the dishes containing beetles. 185 Beetles were observed constantly and we noted the time at which each beetle reached total 186 paralysis. To calculate the thermal tolerance limit of each individual beetle, we extracted 187 three temperature values from the data logger: the temperature at the exact time of total 188 paralysis and the temperature 30 seconds before and after the total paralysis, from which a 189 mean was calculated. Once all beetles in the incubator had reached paralysis, they were 190 removed from the incubator and stored in ethanol 70% for further measurements. We 191 recorded the length of elytra and sex of each individual. Length of elytra was used as a proxy for body size, as they were positively correlated ( $R^2 = 0.876$ ,  $F_{1:125} = 884.6$ , p < 0.001) and 192 193 elytra length is not affected by expansion of joints and abdomen of beetles preserved in 194 ethanol, making the measurement more reliable. 195 Thermal tolerance data were checked graphically for outliers followed by Dixon Q test using 196 the package *outliers* in R (Komsta 2011) and highly significant outliers (Q > 0.58, p < 0.001)

197 were excluded from the analysis (n = 2). We used Linear Models to test for the effect of sex,

198 elytra length and elevation on CT<sub>max</sub> and CT<sub>min</sub> of each species separately. We tested

- 199 differences among the three dung beetle species on CT<sub>max</sub> and CT<sub>min</sub> using a Linear Mixed
- 200 Model using *lme4* package (Bates et al. 2015) in R, including elevation from which the beetle

201 was collected as a random effect. This was done to control for the effect of elevation on

202 thermal limits as beetles from different species were taken from different elevations (see

203 Supplementary Material Appendix 1, Table A2) due to the natural distribution of species. We

204 used Tukey multiple comparison tests to assess pair-wise differences among species at p <

205 0.05 using *multcomp* package (Hothorn et al. 2008) in R.

206

207 Results

208 Species abundance along the elevational gradient

- Abundance significantly increased with elevation for *A. lapponum* ( $R^2 = 0.82$ , F = 76.81, df
- =1, 16, p < 0.001, log(abundance +1) = 0.013\*elev 4.48) and decreased for A. depressus

211 (pseudo- $R^2$  (explained deviance) = 0.45, df = 16, p < 0.001, abundance = -0.005\*elev +

212 5.90); while, A. ater was more abundant at middle elevations (pseudo- $R^2$  (explained

213 deviance) = 0.42, df = 15, p < 0.011, abundance =  $0.01^*$ elev - 1.46  $10^{-5}*$ elev<sup>2</sup> + 1.71) (Fig. 2).

#### 214 *Change in the elevational range of Agoliinus lapponum*

215 The three surveys accurately detected the lower elevational limit of *A. lapponum* with LR > 8

216 for all recorded absences outside the species elevational range: 14.3 % of surveyed sites in

217 1956, 33.3 % in 2008 and 44.4 % in 2013 (Table S3). The lower elevational limit was located

at 335 m a.s.l. during the historic survey, at 467 m a.s.l. in 2008 and at 512 m a.s.l. in 2013

219 (Fig. 3). This represents an uphill contraction of the lower elevational limit of 132 m in 52

220 years (1956-2008) and 177 m in 57 years (1956-2013).

221 The proportional abundance of A. lapponum significantly increased with elevation in the

historic survey (elevation: *Coeff.* = 0.0705; SE = 0.0122; z-value = 5.79; p < 0.001 and

223 elevation^2: *Coeff.* = -0.00005; SE = 0.00001; z-value = -4.05, p < 0.001), being the most

abundant species over 400 m a.s.l (Fig. 4). The proportional abundance of A. lapponum also

significantly increased with elevation during the current surveys (elev: *Coeff.* = 0.056; SE =

226 0.007; z-value = 8.27; p < 0.001 and elev<sup>2</sup>: Coeff. = - 3  $10^{-5}$ ; SE = 6  $10^{-6}$ ; z-value = -6.07, p <

- 227 0.001) but the species was no longer the dominant species at middle elevations, becoming
- dominant only over 600 m a.s.l (Fig. 4).

### 229 *Changes in regional climate*

- 230 The mean annual temperature at the Moor House meteorological station increased
- 231 significantly during the period 1956-2013 ( $R^2 = 0.17$ ,  $F_{(1,56)} = 12.40$ , p < 0.001, b = 0.0188,
- 232 *intercept* = 31.94; Supplementary material Appendix A, Fig. A1b). This represents an
- 233 increase in mean annual temperature of 0.98 °C (1956-2008) and of 1.07 °C (1956-2013).
- Both the mean temperature of the coldest months ( $R^2 = 0.12$ ,  $F_{(1,56)} = 8.58$ , p = 0.005, b = 0.005

235 0.034, *intercept* = - 67.67; Supplementary material Appendix A, Fig. A1c) and of the

236 warmest months ( $R^2 = 0.13$ ,  $F_{(1,56)} = 9.48$ , p = 0.003, b = 0.026, *intercept* = - 40.09;

- 237 Supplementary material Appendix A, Fig. A1d) also significantly increased between study
- periods. This represents an increase in temperature of 1.78 °C (1956 to 2008) and of 1.95 °C
- 239 (1956-2013) for the coldest months (January-February) and an increase in temperature of
- 240 1.35 °C (1956 to 2008) and of 1.48 °C (1956-2013) for the warmest months (July-August).
- 241 Using the mean adiabatic lapse rate for the study area (annual: 0.77-0.78 °C, Jan-Feb: 0.71-
- 242 0.75 °C ; Jul-Aug: 0.80-0.83 °C per 100 m decrease in elevation) reported by Holden and
- Rose (2011) the temperature increase between study years (1956-2013) equates to 137.4-
- 139.2 m rise in the isotherm for mean annual temperature, 259.9-274.6 m for mean
- temperature of coldest months and 177.9-184.5 m for the mean temperature of the warmest
- 246 months. There was no significant change in annual rainfall over time ( $R^2 = -0.02$ ,  $F_{(1,44)} =$
- 247 0.15, p = 0.701, Supplementary material Appendix A, Fig. A1a).

249 The elevation from which the beetle was collected had a significant positive effect on  $CT_{max}$ 

of *A. depressus* and a negative effect on CT<sub>min</sub> of *A. ater* (Table 1, Supplementary material

- 251 Appendix A, Fig. A2). There was also a negative relationship between  $CT_{max}$  and elevation
- for A. *lapponum*, though this was only marginally approaching significance (p = 0.059, Table
- 253 1, Supplementary material Appendix A, Fig. A2). Elytra length and sex did not significantly
- affect either CT<sub>max</sub> or CT<sub>min</sub> of any species (Table 1). Dung beetle species significantly differ
- in their thermal tolerance, both  $CT_{max}$  ( $\chi^2 = 81.87$ , p < 0.001) and  $CT_{min}$  ( $\chi^2 = 46.61$ , p <
- 256 0.001).  $CT_{max}$  was lowest for A. *lapponum* (median = 41.2 °C; interquartile range = 1.4),
- intermediate for *A. depressus* (median = 42.3 °C; interquartile range = 1.2) and highest for *A.*
- 258 *ater* (median = 44.4 °C; interquartile range = 0.7), while CT<sub>min</sub> was lowest for A. *lapponum*
- 259 (median = -0.1 °C; interquartile range = 1.0) and A. *ater* (median = -0.4 °C; interquartile

range = 0.8) and significantly higher for A. depressus (median = 1.2 °C; interquartile range =

- 261 1) (Fig. 5).
- 262

#### 263 Discussion

Our results show that the lower elevational limit of the dung beetle A. lapponum has retreated 264 265 uphill over the last 57 years consistent with the level of warming experienced in the region during the same period. It is now locally absent below 500 m and has been replaced as the 266 267 dominant species at middle elevation sites by two other dung beetle species (A. depressus and 268 A. ater). The three dung beetle species differed significantly in their thermal tolerance, with A. lapponum showing the lowest CT<sub>max</sub> of the three study species and lower CT<sub>min</sub> compared 269 270 to A. depressus. These results support the hypothesis that physiological tolerance to high 271 temperature could be a proximate cause of the decline of A. lapponum in the study region.

#### 272 Thermal tolerance and elevational range

273 We found clear differences in thermal limits among the three dung beetle species for both 274 CT<sub>max</sub> and CT<sub>min</sub>. Species also differed in their elevational range, showing contrasting 275 patterns of abundance along the elevational gradient. Overall, differences in thermal limits 276 among species matched the elevational range occupied by each species if distributions were 277 constrained by the ability of species to tolerate the environmental conditions (temperature) at 278 each elevation. Recent reviews highlight that species from different latitudes and elevations 279 differ widely in CT<sub>min</sub> and CT<sub>max</sub>, with species from high elevations able to tolerate colder 280 temperatures but showing lower tolerance to high temperatures than lowland species (Sunday 281 et al. 2011, García-Robledo et al. 2016). 282 In our study system, A. lapponum which is now absent from low elevations and more 283 abundant towards high elevation, showed the lowest tolerance to high temperatures of the 284 three species but also a low CT<sub>min</sub>. In contrast, A. depressus, which is more abundant towards 285 low elevations, has significantly higher CT<sub>max</sub> and CT<sub>min</sub> than A. lapponum. These results 286 support the hypothesis that, at least for these two species, thermal tolerances are likely 287 constraining their distribution along the environmental gradient. Adults of A. depressus were 288 unable to move at temperatures below 1 °C during our experimental assays, while most A. 289 lapponum adults were still moving even at lower temperatures. In our study area, 290 temperatures at 700 m or above can easily drop below 1 °C even in the summer (personal 291 observation). In addition, differences in CT<sub>max</sub> between the two species, significantly higher 292 for A.depressus than A. lapponum, were consistent with their occupancy and abundance at 293 low elevations. Warren and Chick (2013) found similar patterns of distribution and thermal 294 tolerance differences between two ant species in the Appalachian Mountains; with a clear

dominance of the cold-habitat species at high elevations and a replacement by the warm-habitat species at low elevations.

We found contrasting results for the third species, A. ater. Despite tolerating temperatures as 297 298 low as those tolerated by A.lapponum and even higher temperatures than tolerated by 299 A.depressus, its abundance declined both at high and low elevations and peaked at mid-300 elevations, where neither A. lapponum nor A. depressus were highly abundant (Fig. 2). Both 301 abiotic (climate) and biotic (species interactions) factors have been reported to shape species 302 geographic ranges (Gaston and Chown 1999, Parmesan 2006, Sunday et al. 2012, Sunday et 303 al. 2014) and competition between species at lower elevations has been suggested as the 304 constraining factor for the elevational distribution of some Alpine plants (Hautier et al. 2009, 305 Lenoir et al. 2010). Thus, local abundance of A. ater in the study region could potentially be 306 constrained by the abundance of potential competitor species. Adults of Aphodius species 307 have been shown to aggregate more intra- than inter-specifically at the dung pat level as a 308 mechanism to reduce species competition and promote coexistence (Hutton and Giller 2004). 309 Females of A. ater have been reported to actively avoid laying eggs in dung pats containing 310 large numbers of other coprophagous insects like fly larvae (Hirschberger and Degro 1996), 311 likely to avoid competition. We found this species, often in large numbers, in dung deposits 312 that contained no other dung beetles, supporting the idea that beetles avoid dung pats 313 colonised by other insects. However, high intraspecific density during larval development 314 results in smaller beetles that have lower fecundity (Hirschberger 1999), thus avoiding 315 interspecific competition could result in higher levels of intraspecific competition thus 316 constraining population growth.

317 Range shifts, regional climate warming and thermal tolerances

318 Our results show that the lower elevation limit of the dung beetle A. lapponum has retreated 319 uphill by around 177 m, which is consistent with the expected retreat resulting from the 320 increase in temperature during the warmest months (177.9 to 184.5 m shift in the isotherm 321 based on mean temperature of July and August). The results agreed with those reported for 322 other cold-adapted species, including dung beetles (Menéndez et al. 2014), in other mountain 323 regions (Wilson, et al. 2005, Moritz, et al. 2008). At the microclimate scale, maximum 324 temperatures at sward level have been reported to be considerable higher than air 325 temperatures (up to 14 °C higher reported by Bennie et al. 2008) and to increase in southerly-326 facing slopes and in short vegetation (Suggitt et al. 2011). Indeed Sunday et al. (2014) found 327 that the temperatures experienced by ectotherms (operative body temperature) in open 328 habitats often exceed maximum ambient temperatures by over 20 °C in many regions. The 329 average maximum daily air temperature recorded at 556 m (data from the meteorological 330 station) during July 2013 was 19.3 °C, which could represent a potential exposure to 331 temperatures closer to the maximum tolerated by A. lapponum adults (average  $CT_{max} = 41.3$ 332 °C), particularly as maximum temperature within dung has been recorded to be considerably 333 higher than air temperature (Matthiessen and Palmer 1988). Thus, the 1-3 °C lower CT<sub>max</sub> of 334 A. lapponum in comparison to the other two species could result in them falling outside 335 thermal limits during the warmest months of the year more often today than in the past, 336 constraining their ability to survive at low elevations. Additionally, larval stages of several 337 Aphodius species have been shown to have lower tolerance to high temperatures than adults 338 (Landin 1961), suggesting that other critical life stages could be even more severely affected 339 by warming, driving rapid population decline (Bowler and Terblanche 2008, Radchuk, et al. 340 2013). The similarity between the extent of the retreat and the uphill shift in the July-August 341 isotherm (the hottest months of the year) over the same period provides support that climate

342 warming, through physiological constraints, is driving the observed changes in range (Cahill, 343 et al. 2013, Cahill, et al. 2014). Moreover, temperatures during the coldest months of the year 344 (January-February) have also increased, likely benefiting cold sensitive species, such as A. 345 *depressus*, and resulting in the observed uphill expansion recorded for this species. 346 Attributing lowland contractions to direct physiological effects of climate change on 347 organisms is always difficult due to the many confounding factors that can lead to population 348 extinction at low elevations, including loss of suitable habitat (Forister, et al. 2010) or 349 resources (Memmott, et al. 2007) and changes in species interactions (le Roux, et al. 2012). 350 Changes in resource availability (sheep dung) are unlikely to have played a role in the 351 observed decline of A. lapponum, as in our study region dung availability decreases with 352 elevation (Househam 2008), while A. lapponum abundance showed the opposite trend. 353 Competition imposed by new arriving warm-tolerant species, expanding as a result of climate 354 change, has been suggested as an explanation for the decline of cold-adapted species (Wethey 355 2002, Durance and Ormerod 2010). Our results show that A. lapponum has been replaced at 356 low and middle elevations by the other two dung beetle species, which are likely to be 357 benefiting from winter warming. Warren and Chick (2013) report a similar replacement for 358 ant species in the Appalachian Mountains, where the cold-tolerant species has been replaced 359 by a warm-tolerant species at low elevations, potentially as a result of competition or 360 hybridisation. Evidence of competition exclusion in temperate dung beetle communities are 361 limited (Finn and Gittings 2003), and though our data suggest the species most likely to have 362 been affected by competition in this case is A. *ater*, we cannot rule out that the increase in 363 abundance of A. depressus may have imposed higher levels of interspecific competition to A. 364 lapponum at low and middle elevations. Adults of A. depressus appear earlier in the season than A. lapponum which could give this species a competitive advantage in egg laying and 365

366 larval development. However, further experimental work is required to fully understand the 367 contribution of direct (thermal tolerance) and indirect (through species interactions) effects of 368 climate change on the observed decline of this and other cold-adapted species. Whatever the 369 mechanisms, our results show that if climate continues to warm as predicted, the persistence 370 of this cold-adapted species in the study region may depend on its ability to adapt 371 physiologically in response to rising temperatures (Angilletta Jr, et al. 2002, Colwell, et al. 372 2008, García-Robledo, et al. 2016). The evolutionary adaptations of ectotherms to rising 373 temperatures appears to be slow (Hoffmann, et al. 2013), and we found only a weak 374 indication (p = 0.059) of A. lapponum populations from lower elevations being able to 375 tolerate higher temperatures than those at high elevations. As A. lapponum occurs further 376 north and is abundant in Scotland, regional extinction from the UK is unlikely for this species 377 even under the more extreme climate change scenario (+4 °C by the end of the century, 378 Murphy et al. 2009), but local extirpation from the study region is much more likely, if local 379 adaptation does not occur. 380 In conclusion, our results provide evidence for the connection between thermal tolerances 381 and elevational range but more importantly for the detrimental effects of climate warming on 382 upland species through upper thermal constraints. A mechanistic understanding of how 383 climate change directly affects species, such as the one presented here, will provide a robust 384 base to inform predictions of how individual species and whole assemblages may change in 385 the future.

386

## 387 Acknowledgements

We thank Michelle Househam for her contribution to the dung beetle survey in 2008. The MoorHouse study area was located within the Environmental Change Network (ECN) site and we

are grateful to ECN, and especially to Rob Rose (CEH, Lancaster), for access to the site, permit
to collect the beetles and the climate data. We thank the anonymous reviewers and subject
editor for their helpful feedback. AJB was supported by a PhD scholarship funded by the UK
Natural Environment Research Council (NERC).

### 394 Literature cited

- Addo-Bediako, A. et al. 2000. Thermal tolerance, climatic variability and latitude. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 267: 739-745.
- 397 Angilletta Jr, M. J. et al. 2002. The evolution of thermal physiology in ectotherms. Journal of
- 398 Thermal Biology 27: 249-268.
- Bates, D. et al. 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. Journal of Statistical
  Software 67: 1-48.
- Bennie, J. et al. 2008. Slope, aspect and climate: Spatially explicit and implicit models of
  topographic microclimate in chalk grassland. Ecological Modelling 216: 47-59.
- 403 Bowler, K. and Terblanche, J. S. 2008. Insect thermal tolerance: what is the role of ontogeny,
- 404 ageing and senescence? Biological Reviews 83: 339-355.
- 405 Buckley, L. B. et al. 2013. Ectotherm Thermal Stress and Specialization Across Altitude and
- 406 Latitude. Integrative and Comparative Biology 53: 571-581.
- 407 Buckley, L. B. et al. 2014. Phenotypic clines, energy balances and ecological responses to
- 408 climate change. Journal of Animal Ecology 83: 41-50.
- 409 Cahill, A. E. et al. 2013. How does climate change cause extinction? Proceedings of the Royal
- 410 Society B: Biological Sciences 280: 20121890.
- 411 Cahill, A. E. et al. 2014. Causes of warm-edge range limits: systematic review, proximate
- 412 factors and implications for climate change. Journal of Biogeography 41: 429-442.

- 413 Chen, I. C. et al. 2011. Rapid Range Shifts of Species Associated with High Levels of Climate
  414 Warming. Science 333: 1024-1026.
- 415 Colwell, R. K. et al. 2008. Global Warming, Elevational Range Shifts, and Lowland Biotic
- 416 Attrition in the Wet Tropics. Science 322: 258-261.
- 417 Deutsch, C. A. et al. 2008. Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across latitude.
- 418 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105: 6668-6672.
- 419 Dingemanse, N. J. and Kalkman, V. J. 2008. Changing temperature regimes have advanced the
- 420 phenology of Odonata in the Netherlands. Ecological Entomology 33: 394-402.
- 421 Durance, I. and Ormerod, S. J. 2010. Evidence for the role of climate in the local extinction of
- 422 a cool-water triclad. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 29: 1367-1378.
- 423 Finn, J. A. and Gittings, T. 2003. A review of competition in north temperate dung beetle
- 424 communities. Ecological Entomology 28: 1-13.
- 425 Forister, M. L. et al. 2010. Compounded effects of climate change and habitat alteration shift
- 426 patterns of butterfly diversity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107: 2088-427 2092.
- Franco, A. M. A. et al. 2006. Impacts of climate warming and habitat loss on extinctions at
  species' low-latitude range boundaries. Global Change Biology 12: 1545-1553.
- 430 García-Robledo, C. et al. 2016. Limited tolerance by insects to high temperatures across
- 431 tropical elevational gradients and the implications of global warming for extinction. -
- 432 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113: 680-685
- Gaston, K. J. and Chown, S. L. 1999. Elevation and Climatic Tolerance: A Test Using Dung
  Beetles. Oikos 86: 584-590.
- 435 Hanski, I. 1991. Chapter 1. The dung insect community. In: I. Hanski and Y. Cambefort (eds),
- 436 Dung Beetle Ecology. Princeton University Press, pp. 5-21.

- 437 Hautier, Y. et al. 2009. Changes in reproductive investment with altitude in an alpine plant. -
- 438 Journal of Plant Ecology 2: 125-134.
- Hickling, R. et al. 2006. The distributions of a wide range of taxonomic groups are expanding
  polewards. Global Change Biology 12: 450-455.
- 441 Hill, J. K. et al. 2011. Climate Change and Evolutionary Adaptations at Species' Range
  442 Margins. Annual Review of Entomology 56: 143-159.
- 443 Hirschberger, P. and Degro, H. 1996. Oviposition of the dung beetle *Aphodius ater* in relation
- 444 to the abundance of yellow dungfly larvae (*Scataphaga sterocoraria*). Ecological
  445 Entomology 21: 352-357.
- 446 Hirschberger, P. 1999. Larval population density affects female weight and fecundity in the
- 447 dung beetle Aphodius ater. Ecological Entomology 24: 316-322.
- 448 Hoffmann, A. A. et al. 2013. Upper thermal limits in terrestrial ectotherms: how constrained449 are they? Functional Ecology 27: 934-949.
- 450 Holden, J. and Rose, R. 2011. Temperature and surface lapse rate change: a study of the UK's
- 451 longest upland instrumental record. International Journal of Climatology 31: 907-919.
- 452 Hothorn, T. et al. 2008. Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. Biometrical453 Journal 50: 346-63.
- 454 Househam, M. 2008. Altitudinal Changes of a Boreal Dung Beetle in Britain: Effects of
- 455 Climate Change. In: Lancaster Environment Centre. Lancaster University.
- Hutton, S. A. and Giller, P. S. 2004. Intra- and interspecific aggregation of north temperate
  dung beetles on standardised and natural dung pads: the influence of spatial scale. Ecological
  Entomology 29: 594-605.
- JNCC 1990. Handbook for Phase 1 habitat survey a technique for environmental audit. -NHBS.

- Kaspari, M. et al. 2015. Thermal adaptation generates a diversity of thermal limits in a
  rainforest ant community. Global Change Biology 21: 1092-1102.
- Key, R. S. 1982. Cluster analysis of dung inhabiting beetle communities from different
  altitudes in Jostedalen, South-West Norway. Fauna Norvegica Serie B 29: 24-33.
- 465 Khaliq, I. et al. 2014. Global variation in thermal tolerances and vulnerability of endotherms
- 466 to climate change. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 281: 1471–2954.
- 467 Klok, C. J. and Chown, S. L. 2001. Critical thermal limits, temperature tolerance and water
- 468 balance of a sub-Antarctic kelp fly, Paractora dreuxi (Diptera: Helcomyzidae). Journal of
- 469 Insect Physiology 47: 95-109.
- 470 Komsta, L. 2011. outliers: Tests for outliers. R package version 0.14. In.
- 471 Konvicka, M. et al. 2003. Uphill shifts in distribution of butterflies in the Czech Republic:
- 472 effects of changing climate detected on a regional scale. Global Ecology and Biogeography473 12: 403-410.
- 474 Landin, B.-O. 1961. Ecological Studies on Dung-beetles:(Col. Scarabaeidae). In:
  475 Entomologiska sällskapet.
- 476 le Roux, P. C. et al. 2012. Biotic interactions affect the elevational ranges of high-latitude plant
  477 species. Ecography 35: 1048-1056.
- 478 Lenoir, J. et al. 2010. Going against the flow: potential mechanisms for unexpected downslope
  479 range shifts in a warming climate. Ecography 33: 295-303.
- Lobo, J. M. and Halffter, G. 2000. Biogeographical and ecological factors affecting the
  altitudinal variation of mountainous communities of coprophagous beetles (Coleoptera :
  Scarabaeoidea): a comparative study. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 93:
  115-126.

- 484 Matthiessen, J. and Palmer, M. 1988. Prediction of temperatures in cattle dung for estimating
- 485 development times of coprophilous organisms. Bull. Entomol. Res. 782: 235-240.
- 486 Memmott, J. et al. 2007. Global warming and the disruption of plant-pollinator interactions. -
- 487 Ecology Letters 10: 710-717.
- 488 Menéndez, R. 2007. How are insects responding to global warming? Tijdschrift voor
  489 Entomologie 150: 355–365.
- 490 Menéndez, R. et al. 2014. Climate change and elevational range shifts: evidence from dung
- 491 beetles in two European mountain ranges. Global Ecology and Biogeography 23: 646–657.
- 492 Merrill, R. M. et al. 2008. Combined effects of climate and biotic interactions on the elevational
- 493 range of a phytophagous insect. Journal of Animal Ecology 77: 145-155.
- 494 Moritz, C. et al. 2008. Impact of a Century of Climate Change on Small-Mammal Communities
- 495 in Yosemite National Park, USA. Science 322: 261-264.
- 496 Murphy, J. et al. 2009. UK climate projections science report: climate change projections. -
- 497 Meteorological Office Hadley Centre. <u>http://ukclimateprojections.metoffice.gov.uk</u>.
- 498 Parmesan, C. et al. 1999. Poleward shifts in geographical ranges of butterfly species associated
- 499 with regional warming. Nature 399: 579-583.
- 500 Parmesan, C. and Yohe, G. 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts
- 501 across natural systems. Nature 421: 37-42.
- 502 Parmesan, C. 2006. Ecological and Evolutionary Responses to Recent Climate Change. -
- 503 Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 37: 637-669.
- 504 Pearson, R. G. and White, E. 1963. Observations on the altitudinal distribution of Aphodius
- 505 *lapponum* Gyll. (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae) at several places in Great Britain. Entomologist's
- 506 Monthly Magazine 99: 181-183.

507 R Core Team 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. - In: R
508 Foundation for Statistical Computing.

- 509 Radchuk, V. et al. 2013. Each life stage matters: the importance of assessing the response to
- 510 climate change over the complete life cycle in butterflies. Journal of Animal Ecology 82: 275-
- 511 285.
- Rowe, R. J. et al. 2010. Range dynamics of small mammals along an elevational gradient over
  an 80-year interval. Global Change Biology 16: 2930-2943.
- 514 Royall, R. M. 1997. Statistical evidence: a likelihood paradigm. Chapman & Hall, London.
- 515 Somero, G. N. 2010. The physiology of climate change: how potentials for acclimatization and
- 516 genetic adaptation will determine 'winners' and 'losers'. The Journal of Experimental517 Biology 213: 912-920.
- Sørensen, J. G. et al. 2005. Altitudinal variation for stress resistance traits and thermal
  adaptation in adult Drosophila buzzatii from the New World. Journal of Evolutionary Biology
  18: 829-837.
- 521 Suggitt, A. J. et al. 2011. Habitat microclimates drive fine-scale variation in extreme
  522 temperatures. Oikos 120: 1–8
- 523 Sunday, J. M. et al. 2011. Global analysis of thermal tolerance and latitude in ectotherms. -
- 524 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 278: 1823-1830.
- Sunday, J. M. et al. 2012. Thermal tolerance and the global redistribution of animals. NatureClim. Change 2: 686-690.
- 527 Sunday, J. M. et al. 2014. Thermal-safety margins and the necessity of thermoregulatory
- 528 behavior across latitude and elevation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
- 529 Terblanche, J. S. et al. 2007. Critical thermal limits depend on methodological context. -
- 530 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 274: 2935-2943.

- 531 Thomas, C. D. et al. 2004. Extinction risk from climate change. Nature 427: 145-148.
- 532 Thomas, C. D. et al. 2006. Range retractions and extinction in the face of climate warming. -
- 533 Trends in Ecology & Comp. Evolution 21: 415-416.
- 534 Thomas, R. et al. 2013. Data analysis with R statistical software: A guidebook for scientists. -
- 535 Eco-explore.
- 536 Venables, W. N. and Ripley, B. D. 2002. Modern Applied Statistics with S. Springer.
- 537 Warren, R. J. and Chick, L. 2013. Upward ant distribution shift corresponds with minimum,
- not maximum, temperature tolerance. Global Change Biology 19: 2082-2088.
- 539 Wethey, D. S. 2002. Biogeography, Competition, and Microclimate: The Barnacle Chthamalus
- 540 fragilis in New England. Integrative and Comparative Biology 42: 872-880.
- 541 White, E. 1960. The natural history of some species of Aphodius (Col., Scarabaeidae) in the
- 542 northern Pennines. Entomologist's Monthly Magazine 96: 25–30.
- 543 Wilson, R. et al. 2005. Changes to the elevational limits and extent of species ranges associated
- 544 with climate change. Ecology Letters 8: 1138-1146.
- 545 Wilson, R. and Maclean, I. D. 2011. Recent evidence for the climate change threat to
- 546 Lepidoptera and other insects. Journal of Insect Conservation 15: 259-268.
- 547 Zuur, A. F. et al. 2009. Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R. Springer.

Table 1. Results from linear models (F-value provided) assessing the effect of beetle elytra length, sex and the elevation of the source population on (a)  $CT_{max}$  and (b)  $CT_{min}$  of the three dominant dung beetle species (*Agoliinus lapponum*, *Agrilinus ater* and *Acrossus depressus*. Significant effects are highlighted bold.

553 (a) CT<sub>max</sub>

|               | <i>A. lapponum</i> (n = 20) |      | A. depressus $(n = 19)$ |       | <i>A. ater</i> (n = 25) |         |       |      |         |
|---------------|-----------------------------|------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------------|---------|-------|------|---------|
|               | F                           | d.f. | p value                 | F     | d.f.                    | p value | F     | d.f. | p value |
| Elytra length | 0.047                       | 1    | 0.831                   | 0.357 | 1                       | 0.558   | 0.798 | 1    | 0.381   |
| Sex           | 0.876                       | 1    | 0.362                   | 1.52  | 1                       | 0.234   | 0.203 | 1    | 0.657   |
| Elevation     | 4.061                       | 1    | 0.059                   | 4.607 | 1                       | 0.047   | 0.000 | 1    | 0.997   |

554

# 555 (b) CT<sub>min</sub>

|               | A. lapponum (n = 19) |      | A. depressus $(n = 19)$ |       | <i>A. ater</i> (n = 25) |         |       |      |         |
|---------------|----------------------|------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------------|---------|-------|------|---------|
|               | F                    | d.f. | p value                 | F     | d.f.                    | p value | F     | d.f. | p value |
| Elytra length | 0.007                | 1    | 0.936                   | 0.944 | 1                       | 0.335   | 0.082 | 1    | 0.777   |
| Sex           | 0.881                | 1    | 0.361                   | 0.313 | 1                       | 0.583   | 0.156 | 1    | 0.696   |
| Elevation     | 0.004                | 1    | 0.953                   | 0.076 | 17                      | 0.787   | 20.44 | 1    | < 0.001 |





Figure 1. Location in the northern UK (inset) and digital elevation model of Moor House World
Biosphere Reserve study area. The location of the meteorological station at 560 m (star) and
the 2008 & 2013 dung beetle elevational distribution survey sampling sites (circles) are shown.





565 Figure 2. Current abundance along the elevational gradient for the three dung beetle species (a) *Agoliinus lapponum*; (b) *Acrossus depressus* and

566 (c) Agrilinus ater in the study area (abundance per site for 2008 and 2013 surveys pooled together). Lines represent significant trends.



Figure 3. Elevational distribution of *Agoliinus lapponum* in the study area in each survey year.
Observed occupancy with elevation (white circles indicate absences and black circles
presences) and change in lower elevational limit (m) between the historic survey (1956) and
the two current surveys (2008 and 2013) are displayed.



575 Figure 4. Proportional abundance of *Agoliinus lapponum* by elevation in the historic survey 576 (black circles, data from 1956) and in the current surveys (grey circles, data from 2008 and 577 2013 pooled together). Dashed lines depict significant fitted GLM models for each survey 578 period including both linear and quadratic effects of elevation.



Figure 5. Maximum (CTmax) and minimum (CTmin) thermal tolerance limits ( $^{\circ}$ C) of the three dung beetle species (*Agoliinus lapponum*, *Acrossus depressus* and *Agrilinus ater*). Boxplots displaying the median, the first and third quartile and the maximum and minimum values. Different letters denote significant differences between species at p < 0.05.

Table A1. Dung beetle species and the number of individuals collected during the surveys in 2008 and 2013 in the Moor House study area. Shown in bold are the three focal species of the study (*Acrossus depressus*, *Agoliinus lapponum* and *Agrilinus ater*).

| Species                                | Number of individuals |
|--|-----------------------|
| APHODIIDAE                             |                       |
| Acrossus depressus (Kugelann 1792)     | 701                   |
| Acrossus rufipes (Linnaeus 1758)       | 74                    |
| Agoliinus lapponum (Gyllenhal 1808)    | 1282                  |
| Agrilinus rufus (Moll 1782)            | 25                    |
| Agrilinus ater (De Geer 1774)          | 1524                  |
| Agrilinus constans (Duftschmid 1805)   | 56                    |
| Aphodius fimetarius (Linnaeus 1758)    | 75                    |
| Esymus merdarius (Fabricius 1775)      | 9                     |
| Melinopterus prodromus (Brahm 1790)    | 27                    |
| Nimbus contaminatus (Herbst 1783)      | 136                   |
| Planolinus borealis (Gyllenhal 1827)   | 10                    |
| Planolinus uliginosus (Hardy 1847)     | 21                    |
| Teuchestes fossor (Linnaeus 1758)      | 4                     |
| Aphodiidae sp                          | 2                     |
| GEOTRUPIDAE                            |                       |
| Anoplotrupes stercorosus (Scriba 1791) | 1                     |
| Geotrupes stercorarius (Linnaeus 1758) | 2                     |

Table A2. Number of individuals of the three species (*Agoliinus lapponum*, *Agrilinus ater* and *Acrossus depressus*) used in thermal tolerance assays, by the elevation from which they were collected along the southerly transect of the Moor House study site (Fig. 1). Data in brackets are the total number of individuals recorded of each species at the corresponding 100 m elevation band during the 2008 and 2013 surveys. Elytra length range also provided as proxy for body size.

| Source        | A. lapponum  | A. depressus | A. ater      |  |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| elevation (m  |              |              |              |  |
| a.s.l.)       |              |              |              |  |
| 335           | (0)          | 10 (212)     | 10 (303)     |  |
| 443           | (0)          | 10 (131)     | 10 (182)     |  |
| 512           | 10 (17)      | 10 (136)     | 10 (422)     |  |
| 582           | 10 (237)     | 10 (105)     | 10 (270)     |  |
| 727           | 10 (361)     | (56)         | 10 (179)     |  |
| 782           | 10 (799)     | (16)         | (41)         |  |
| Elytra length | 4.5 – 6.5 mm | 5.8 – 7.6 mm | 3.5 – 5.2 mm |  |

Table A3. Abundance and likelihood ratio (LR) of *Agoliinus lapponum* along the elevational gradient in (a) 1956, (b) 2008 and (c) 2013 surveys. LR for elevations outside the recorded species range is calculated based on the abundance of the species across its observed range relative to the overall sampling effort at that elevation (total number of beetles collected). Values of LR above 8 indicate strong evidence that the target species do not occur at that elevation (Rowe, et al. 2010).

| Elevation (m a.s.l.) | Total captured individuals | A. lapponum abundance | LR                      |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| 275                  | 94                         | 0                     | 9.3 x10 <sup>19</sup>   |
| 305                  | 56                         | 0                     | $2.7 \text{ x} 10^{12}$ |
| 320                  | 106                        | 0                     | $1.6 \times 10^{22}$    |
| 335                  | 47                         | 4                     | -                       |
| 335                  | 54                         | 6                     | -                       |
| 365                  | 94                         | 0                     | -                       |
| 365                  | 36                         | 3                     | -                       |
| 365                  | 82                         | 16                    | -                       |
| 395                  | 63                         | 27                    | -                       |
| 410                  | 76                         | 0                     | -                       |
| 425                  | 46                         | 40                    | -                       |
| 425                  | 55                         | 27                    | -                       |
| 425                  | 48                         | 35                    | -                       |
| 440                  | 63                         | 17                    | -                       |
| 440                  | 42                         | 0                     | -                       |
| 440                  | 37                         | 2                     | -                       |
| 455                  | 40                         | 36                    | -                       |
| 470                  | 63                         | 42                    | -                       |
| 490                  | 38                         | 38                    | -                       |
| 580                  | 62                         | 56                    | -                       |
| 610                  | 102                        | 94                    | -                       |
| Total                | 1304                       | 443                   |                         |

## a) 1956 survey

# b) 2008 survey

| Elevation (m a.s.l.) | Total captured individuals | A. lapponum abundance | LR                    |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 292                  | 83                         | 0                     | 4.9 x10 <sup>17</sup> |
| 314                  | 53                         | 0                     | 3.9 x10 <sup>11</sup> |
| 335                  | 115                        | 0                     | $7.0 	ext{ x10}^{23}$ |
| 397                  | 61                         | 0                     | 1.8 x10 <sup>13</sup> |
| 399                  | 44                         | 0                     | 5.1 x10 <sup>09</sup> |
| 443                  | 82                         | 0                     | 3.1 x10 <sup>17</sup> |
| 467                  | 107                        | 2                     | -                     |
| 478                  | 255                        | 0                     | -                     |
| 512                  | 124                        | 2                     | -                     |
| 539                  | 119                        | 26                    | -                     |
| 562                  | 46                         | 10                    | -                     |
| 582                  | 88                         | 15                    | -                     |
| 671                  | 134                        | 72                    | -                     |
| 673                  | 74                         | 56                    | -                     |
| 727                  | 58                         | 15                    | -                     |
| 778                  | 131                        | 125                   | -                     |
| 782                  | 177                        | 170                   | -                     |
| 810                  | 94                         | 86                    | -                     |
| Total                | 1845                       | 579                   |                       |

# c) 2013 survey

| Elevation (m a.s.l.) | Total captured individuals | A. lapponum abundance | LR                      |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| 292                  | 149                        | 0                     | $4 \text{ x} 10^{53}$   |
| 314                  | 72                         | 0                     | $1.6 	ext{ x10}^{28}$   |
| 335                  | 107                        | 0                     | $1.9 \text{ x} 10^{40}$ |
| 397                  | 32                         | 0                     | $1.4 \text{ x} 10^{13}$ |
| 399                  | 79                         | 0                     | $4.9 	ext{ x10}^{30}$   |
| 443                  | 85                         | 0                     | $6.2 	ext{ x10}^{32}$   |
| 467                  | 76                         | 0                     | $4.2 	ext{ x10}^{29}$   |
| 478                  | 85                         | 0                     | $6.2 	ext{ x10}^{32}$   |
| 512                  | 134                        | 13                    | -                       |

| 539   | 92   | 34  | - |
|-------|------|-----|---|
| 562   | 104  | 88  | - |
| 582   | 195  | 64  | - |
| 671   | 141  | 83  | - |
| 673   | 110  | 102 | - |
| 727   | 95   | 33  | - |
| 778   | 68   | 64  | - |
| 782   | 226  | 214 | - |
| 810   | 167  | 140 | - |
| Total | 2017 | 835 |   |



Figure A1. Climate trends from 1956 to 2013, showing (a) mean annual rainfall, (b) mean annual temperature in °C (c) mean temperature of the coldest months (January-February) in °C and (c) mean temperature of the warmest months (July-August) in °C. Lines depict significant linear trend over time. Data were recorded at the meteorological station situated in the study area at 560 m a.s.l. (Fig. 1), and provided by the UK Environmental Change Network (<<u>http://www.ecn.ac.uk</u>>). For the period of 1980-91 there is a gap in the climate records so not rainfall data are available for this period, temperature data for this period have been calculated from a nearby station 6.6 km away, situated at similar elevation and habitat type (see

Holden & Rose 2011 for more details on how the data were calibrated to make all data comparable for the period 1956-2013).



Figure A2. Relationship between the elevation from which the beetle was collected and the maximum (CTmax: top) and minimum (CTmin: bottom) thermal limits (°C) of each individual of (a) *Agoliinus lapponum*, (b) *Acrossus depressus* and (c) *Agrilinus ater* tested. Lines depict significant trend.