# LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS IN WHICH ALL CENTRALISERS OF NONZERO ELEMENTS ARE ZERO ALGEBRAS

#### DAVID A. TOWERS

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with generalising the results for Lie CT-algebras to Leibniz algebras. In some cases our results give a generalisation even for the case of a Lie algebra. Results on A-algebras are used to show every Leibniz CT-algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic different from 2,3 is solvable or is isomorphic to  $sl_2(F)$ . A characterisation is then given for solvable Leibniz CT-algebras. It is also shown that the class of solvable Leibniz CT-algebras is factor closed.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

An algebra L over a field F is called a *Leibniz algebra* if, for every  $x,y,z\in L,$  we have

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] - [[x, z], y].$$

In other words, the right multiplication operator  $R_x : L \to L : y \mapsto [y, x]$  is a derivation of L. As a result such algebras are sometimes called *right* Leibniz algebras, and there is a corresponding notion of *left* Leibniz algebras, which satisfy

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + [y, [x, z]].$$

Clearly, the opposite of a right (left) Leibniz algebra is a left (right) Leibniz algebra, so, in most situations, it does not matter which definition we use.

Every Lie algebra is a Leibniz algebra and every Leibniz algebra satisfying [x, x] = 0 for every element is a Lie algebra. They were introduced in 1965 by Bloh ([3]) who called them *D*-algebras, though they attracted more widespread interest, and acquired their current name, through work by Loday and Pirashvili ([8], [9]). They have natural connections to a variety of areas, including algebraic *K*-theory, classical algebraic topology, differential geometry, homological algebra, loop spaces, noncommutative geometry and physics. A number of structural results have been obtained as analogues of corresponding results in Lie algebras.

The Leibniz kernel is the set  $I = \text{span}\{x^2 : x \in L\}$ . Then I is the smallest ideal of L such that L/I is a Lie algebra. Also [L, I] = 0.

We define the following series:

$$L^1 = L, \ L^{k+1} = [L^k, L] \ (k \ge 1)$$

and

$$L^{(0)} = L, \ L^{(k+1)} = [L^{(k)}, L^{(k)}] \ (k \ge 0)$$

Then L is nilpotent of class n (resp. solvable of derived length n) if  $L^{n+1} = 0$  but  $L^n \neq 0$  (resp.  $L^{(n)} = 0$  but  $L^{(n-1)} \neq 0$ ) for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is straightforward to

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 17A32, 17B05, 17B20, 17B30, 17B50.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Leibniz algebra, centraliser, A-algebra, CT-algebra, solvable, completely solvable.

check that L is nilpotent of class n precisely when every product of n + 1 elements of L is zero, but some product of n elements is non-zero.

The centraliser of an element x of an algebra A is  $C_A(x) = \{a \in A \mid ax = xa = 0\}$ ; if the algebra A is clear we will simply write C(x). An algebra A is called centraliser transitive, or a CT-algebra if  $x \in C(y)$  and  $y \in C(z)$  imply that  $x \in C(z)$ . Such algebras, where A is a Lie algebra, have been studied by Klep and Moravec ([7]) in 2010, by Arzhantsev, Makedonskii, and Petravchuk ([7]) in 2011, and by Gorbatsevic ([5]) in 2016. In the last two of these references they are shown to have applications to the classification of finite-dimensional subalgebras in polynomial Lie algebras of rank one and to Lie algebras of vector fields whose orbits are one-dimensional. A similar notion for groups was defined and studied by Suzuki ([11]) in 1957. He proved that every finite nonabelian simple CT-group is isomorphic to some  $PSL(2, 2^f)$ , where f > 1. Suzuki's result is considered to have been one of the key stones in the proof of the Odd Order Theorem by Feit and Thompson ([4]).

Note that  $x \in C(y)$  if and only if  $y \in C(x)$ . It is clear that CT-algebras are subalgebra closed. The *centre* of an algebra A is  $Z(A) = \{z \in A \mid za = az = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A\}$ . We call A a zero algebra if A = Z(A). The following lemma makes clear the title of the paper.

**Lemma 1.1.** The algebra A is a CT-algebra if and only if C(x) is a zero algebra for all  $0 \neq x \in L$ .

*Proof.* Let A be a CT-algebra and let  $y, z \in C(x)$ . Then  $y \in C(x)$  and  $x \in C(z)$ , so  $y \in C(z)$ ; that is yz = zy = 0.

Conversely, suppose that C(x) is a zero algebra for all  $x \neq 0$ , and let  $x \in C(y)$  and  $y \in C(z)$ . Then  $x, z \in C(y)$ , so xz = zx = 0, whence  $x \in C(z)$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** If A is a CT-algebra and  $Z(A) \neq 0$ , then A is a zero algebra.

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq x \in Z(A)$ . Then A = C(x) is a zero algebra.

We call an algebra A an A-algebra if every nilpotent subalgebra of A is a zero algebra. Then CT-algebras are a subclass of the class of A-algebras.

**Lemma 1.3.** Every CT-algebra is an A-algebra.

*Proof.* Let N be a nilpotent CT-algebra. Then  $Z(N) \neq 0$ , so the result follows from Lemma 1.2..

The next section is concerned with generalising the results for Lie CT-algebras to Leibniz algebras. In some cases our results give a generalisation even for the case of a Lie algebra. Leibniz A-algebras were studied by Towers in [12]. The results proved there are used to show every Leibniz CT-algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic different from 2,3 is solvable or is isomorphic to  $sl_2(F)$ ; the previously recorded result for Lie algebras asumed characteristic zero. A characterisation is then given for solvable Leibniz CT-algebras. It is also shown that the class of solvable Leibniz CT-algebras is factor closed.

From now on, L will denote a finite-dimensional Leibniz algebra over a general field F (unless specified otherwise). The notation  $\oplus$  will denote an algebra direct sum, whereas + will denote a direct sum of the underlying vector space structure alone.

### 2. Main results

The following lemma will prove useful.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let L = A + Fx be a Leibniz CT-algebra over a field F, where A is a zero ideal of L and  $x^2 \in A$ . Then, either L is a zero algebra or  $R_x|_A$  has no zero eigenvalue in F and Ax = A.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R_x|_A$  has a zero eigenvalue in F. Let  $0 \neq y \in A$  be a corresponding eigenvector, so yx = 0. If xy = 0, we have that L = C(y) is a zero algebra. So suppose that  $xy \neq 0$ . Then

$$(xy)x = x(yx) + x^2y = 0$$
 and  $x(xy) = x^2y - (xy)x = 0$ .

Thus, L = C(xy) is a zero algebra again.

Suppose next that  $R_x|_A$  has no zero eigenvalue in F. Let  $L = L_0 + L_1$  be the Fitting decomposition of L relative to  $R_x$ . Then  $L_1 \subseteq A$ . Suppose that  $B = L_0 \cap A \neq 0$  and that  $R_x^n(B) = 0$ ,  $R_x^{n-1}(B) \neq 0$ . Let  $0 \neq y \in R_x^{n-1}(B)$ . Then yx = 0 and  $R_x$  has a zero eigenvalue in F, a contradiction. It follows that B = 0 and so  $A = L_1$  and Ax = A.

Cyclic Leibniz algebras, L, are generated by a single element. In this case L has a basis  $a, a^2, \ldots, a^n (n > 1)$  and product  $a^n a = \alpha_2 a^2 + \ldots + \alpha_n a^n$ . Let T be the matrix for  $R_a$  with respect to the above basis. Then T is the companion matrix for  $p(x) = x^n - \alpha_n x^{n-1} - \ldots - \alpha_2 x = p_1(x)^{n_1} \ldots p_r(x)^{n_r}$ , where the  $p_j$  are the distinct irreducible factors of p(x). Then we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let L be a cyclic Leibniz algebra. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) L is a CT-algebra;
- (ii) L is an A-algebra; and
- (iii)  $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ , and then  $L = L^2 + F(a^n \alpha_n a^{n-1} \cdots \alpha_2 a)$  and we can take  $p_1(x)^{n_1} = x$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is given by [12, Theorem 12]. Lemma 1.3 gives that (i) implies (ii), so it simply remains to show that (iii) implies (i).

So suppose that L is as described in (iii). Put  $b = a^n - \alpha_n a^{n-1} - \cdots - \alpha_2 a$ . Then it is easy to check that  $b^2 = 0$  and  $R_b|_{L^2}$  has no zero eigenvalue in F. Let  $x = n + \lambda b$ where  $n \in L^2$  and  $\lambda \in F$ . Now  $bL^2 = bI = 0$ , so straightforward calculations show that

$$C(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{rrr} L^2 & \text{if} & \lambda = 0, n \neq 0\\ Fb & \text{if} & \lambda \neq 0, n = 0\\ 0 & \text{if} & \lambda \neq 0, n \neq 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

Hence L is a CT-algebra

Note that the above result shows that we may not have xA = A in Lemma 2.1. For, if L is a cyclic Leibniz A-algebra, then Ix = I, but xI = 0.

**Lemma 2.3.** If L is a Leibniz algebra and N is a zero ideal of L, we can consider N as a right L/N-module under the action n(x + N) = nx for all  $x \in L$ ,  $n \in N$ . Then, each element  $R_{x+N}$  with  $x \notin N$  has no zero eigenvalue under this action if and only if  $C_L(n) \subseteq N$  for all  $n \in N$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $R_{x+N}$  has a zero eigenvalue under the action, where  $x \notin N$ . Then, there exists  $0 \neq n \in N$  such that nx = 0. If xn = 0, then  $x \in C_L(n) \setminus N$ . If  $xn \neq 0$ , then  $x \in C_L(xn) \setminus N$ , as in Lemma 2.1 above.

## DAVID A. TOWERS

Conversely, if  $x \in C_L(n) \setminus N$  for some  $n \in N$ , we have nx = 0 and  $R_{x+N}$  has a zero eigenvalue.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let L be a Leibniz algebra and N be a zero ideal of L such that L/N is a CT-algebra and  $C_L(n) \subseteq N$  for all  $0 \neq n \in N$ . Then L is a CT-algebra.

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq x \in L$  and take  $y, z \in C_L(x)$ , so xy = yz = xz = zx = 0. If  $y \in N$ , then  $x \in C_L(y) \subseteq N$ . Hence  $y, z \in C_L(x) \subseteq N$ , giving yz = 0.

So assume that  $y \notin N$ . Then  $yz \in N$ , by the hypothesis. Now

$$x(yz) = (xy)z - (xz)y = 0$$
 and  $(yz)x = y(zx) + (yx)z = 0$ ,

so  $x \in C_L(yz) \subseteq N$ . Thus  $C_L(x) \subseteq N$  and yz = 0. The result follows.

Note that If L is a nilpotent cyclic Leibniz algebra of dimension greater than 1, then L/I is a Lie CT algebra, but L is not a CT-algebra. Next we have the two main classification results.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let L be a Leibniz CT-algebra over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic  $\neq 2, 3$ . Then L is solvable or is isomorphic to  $sl_2(F)$ .

Proof. Since F has cohomological dimension 0, L = R + S, where R is the radical of L and S is a direct sum of ideals isomorphic to  $sl_2(F)$ , by Lemma 1.3 and [12, Theorem 2]. Suppose that  $R \neq 0$ . If  $S \neq 0$ , it is clear from Lemma 1.1 that  $S \cong sl_2(F)$ , and there is an element  $x \in S$  such that  $R_x$  is nilpotent. Then  $R_x$  has a zero eigenvalue and A = N + Fx, where N is the nilradical of L, must be a zero algebra, by Lemma 2.1. Thus,  $x \in C_L(N)$ . But  $C_L(N)$  is an ideal of L, and so  $S \subseteq C_L(N)$ . Pick  $0 \neq y \in N$ . Then  $S \subset C(y)$ , which is a zero algebra. Hence S = 0.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let L be a solvable Leibniz CT-algebra of derived length n + 1. Then

- (i)  $L = A_n + A_{n-1} + \ldots + A_0$ , where  $A_i$  is an a zero subalgebra of L and  $L^{(i)} = A_n + A_{n-1} + \ldots + A_i$  for  $0 \le i \le n$ ;
- (ii) L splits over the nilradical N, which equals  $L^{(n)}$ ; and
- (iii) for every element  $x \in L \setminus N$ ,  $R_x|_N$  has no zero eigenvalue in F, and Nx = N.

*Proof.* (i) We have that  $L = A_n + A_{n-1} + \ldots + A_0$  and  $L^{(i)} = A_n + A_{n-1} + \ldots + A_i$ , by [12, Corollary 1].

(ii) Also,  $N = A_n \oplus (N \cap A_{n-1} \oplus \ldots \oplus N \cap A_0)$  and  $Z(L^{(i)}) = N \cap A_i$  for each  $0 \le i \le n$ , by [12, Theorem 5]. Suppose that  $N \cap A_i \ne 0$  for some  $0 \le i \le n-1$ . Let  $0 \ne x \in N \cap A_i$ . Then  $C_L(x) \supseteq L^{(i)}$ . But  $L^{(i)}$  is not a zero algebra for  $0 \le i \le n-1$ , so we have a contradiction.

(iii) Let  $x \in L \setminus N$ . Then L(x) = N + Fx satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.1, since  $x^2 \in I \subseteq N$ . If L(x) is a zero algebra, then  $x \in C_L(N) = N$ , by [12, Lemma 7], a contradiction. Hence  $R_x|_N$  has no zero eigenvalue in F, and Nx = N, by Lemma 2.1.

# **Definition 1.** A Leibniz algebra L is called **completely solvable** if $L^2$ is nilpotent.

Over a field of characteristic zero, every solvable Leibniz algebra is completely solvable, and so every solvable Leibniz CT-algebra has derived length at most 2. However, this is not the case over fields of positive characteristic, even for Lie algebras, as the following example, which is taken from [6, pages 52, 53], shows.

4

Example 2.7. Let

<i>e</i> =	0 0 :	1 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$	0	•	•	0 0 $\vdots$	, f =	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\. \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} 0 \ 0 \ 2 \end{array}$	· · · · · · ·	0 0 0	,
	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$	0			•	0	$\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}$			0	0		$\vdots$ p-1	

let F be a field of prime characteristic p and put  $L = Fe + Ff + F^p$  with product  $[a + \mathbf{x}, b + \mathbf{y}] = [a, b] + (\mathbf{x}b - \mathbf{y}a)$  for all  $a, b \in Fe + Ff$ ,  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in F^p$ .

Then, straightforward calculations show that

$$C(\alpha e + \beta f + \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} Ff + F\mathbf{x_1} & \text{if } \alpha = \beta = 0, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x_1} \\ F^p & \text{if } \alpha = \beta = 0, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x_1} \\ F(\alpha e + \beta f + \mathbf{x}) & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0 \\ F(\beta f + \mathbf{x}) + F\mathbf{x_1} & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{x_1} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , and all of these are zero algebras.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let L be a solvable Leibniz CT-algebra over an arbitrary algebraically closed field F. Then the nilradical of L has codimension at most 2 in L.

*Proof.* We have that  $L = N + A_1 + A_0$ , by Theorem 2.6 and [12, Theorem 14]. Suppose  $A_1 \neq 0$ . Let A be a minimal ideal of  $B = N + A_1$ , inside N. Then A is an irreducible B-bimodule, and so  $A_1A = 0$  or ab = -ba for every  $a \in A, b \in B$ , by [2, Lemma 1.9]. In either case, A is a minimal right  $A_1$ -module and A = Fn is one-dimensional, by [10, Lemma 5]. But  $C_B(A) \subseteq N$  has dimension at least dim B - 1, so dim  $A_1 \leq 1$ . The same argument shows that dim  $A_0 \leq 1$ , whence the result.

If the field F in the above result has characteristic zero, then the codimension is at most one. However, over any field of characteristic p > 0, the codimension can be two, even if L is a Lie algebra, as Example 2.7 shows.

If L is a Leibniz algebra and  $y \in L$ , the *left centraliser of* y in L, is  $C_L^{\ell}(y) = \{x \in L \mid xy = 0\}$ . It is easy to check that this a subalgebra of L.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let L be a completely solvable Leibniz CT-algebra. Then, either L is a zero algebra, or  $L = N + A_0$  where N is the nilradical,  $N^2 = A_0^2 = 0$ ,  $R_x|_N$  has no zero eigenvalue and Nx = N for all  $x \in A_0$ . If  $A_0$  and  $A'_0$  are two complements to N in L, then there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $(1 + L_n)(A_0) = A'_0$ .

*Proof.* We have that  $L = N + A_0$  where N is the nilradical and  $N^2 = A_0^2 = 0$ , by Theorem 2.6. Suppose that L is not a zero algebra. Then  $A_0 \neq 0$ ,  $R_x|_N$  has no zero eigenvalue and Nx = N for all  $x \in A_0$ , by Lemma 2.1.

For every  $y \in L \setminus N$  we have  $N = Ny \subseteq Ly \subseteq L^2 \subseteq N$ , so N = Ly. Pick any  $x \in L$ . Then  $xy \in N = Ny$ , so there is an  $n \in N$  such that xy = ny. Thus (x - n)y = 0 and  $x - n \in C_L^{\ell}(y)$ . It follows that  $L = N + C_L^{\ell}(y)$ . Moreover,  $N \cap C_L^{\ell}(y) = 0$ , since y has no zero eigenvalue on N, so  $C_L^{\ell}(y)$  is a complement to N in L.

Let  $A_0$  be any complement to N in L. Then y = n' + a for some  $n' \in N = Ny$ and  $a \in A_0$ . Hence, there is an  $n \in N$  such that n' = -ny and  $a = (1 + L_n)(y)$ . Put  $\theta = 1 + L_n$ , so  $\theta(y) = a$  and  $\theta(C_L^{\ell}(y)) \subseteq C_L^{\ell}(a)$ . But  $A_0 = C_L^{\ell}(a)$  from which the final claim follows.

Note that the above result mirrors the corresponding result in Lie algebras (see [7, Theorem 3]). However, in that result, the complements are conjugate under the inner automorphism 1 + adn. In our result,  $1 + L_a$  is not an automorphism, in general, as the following example shows.

**Example 2.10.** Let L be the two-dimensional solvable cyclic Leibniz algebra with basis  $a, a^2$  and  $a^2a = a^2$ . It is easy to see that this is a CT-algebra. Put  $\theta = 1 + L_{a^2}$ . Then  $\theta(a^2) = a^2$ , whereas  $\theta(a)\theta(a) = (a + a^2)(a + a^2) = a^2 + a^2$ .

It would have been better if we could have taken  $\theta = 1 + R_n$ , which is an automorphism. However, if N = I (as in Example 2.10 above),  $\theta(A_0) = A_0$ . Finally, we have that solvable Leibniz CT-algebras are factor-closed.

**Theorem 2.11.** Let L be a solvable Leibniz CT-algebra and let J be an ideal of L. Then L/J is a CT-algebra.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $J \not\subseteq N$  and let  $x \in J \setminus N$ . Then  $N = Nx \subseteq J$ , by Lemma 2.1, so  $J \subset N$  or  $N \subseteq J$ . We use induction on the derived length k of L. Suppose first that k = 2, so L is completely solvable. If  $N \subseteq J$ , we have that L/N is a zero algebra and so L/J is a CT-algebra.

So suppose now that  $J \subset N$ . A similar argument to that used in Lemma 2.1 also shows that J = Jx for all  $x \notin N$ . Let  $x + J \in C_{L/J}(n + J)$  where  $n \in N \setminus J$  and suppose that  $x \notin N$ . Then  $nx \in J = Jx$ , so nx = jx for some  $j \in J$ . Thus (n - j)x = 0. But now n = j, since L is a CT-algebra, and this is a contradiction. It follows that  $C_{L/J}(n + J) \subseteq N/J$ . Now  $L/N \cong (L/J)/(N/J)$  is a CT-algebra and hence so is L/J, by Proposition 2.4.

So suppose the result holds whenever  $k \leq m$  and let L have derived length m+1. Then  $L = N \dot{+} B$  for some subalgebra B of derived length m of L, by Theorem 2.6 (ii). Now  $L/N \cong B$  is a CT-algebra, by the inductive hypothesis. If  $N \subseteq J$ , then  $L/J \cong (L/N)/(J/N) \cong B/B \cap J$ , which is a CT-algebra, by the inductive hypothesis. If  $J \subset N$ , then L/J is a CT-algebra as in paragraph two above.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.12.** Let L be any Leibniz CT-algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $\neq 2, 3$ , and let J be an ideal of L. Then L/J is a CT-algebra.

*Proof.* This is immediate from Theorems 2.5 and 2.11.

## References

- I.V.Arzhantsev, E. A. Makedonskii, and A. P. Petravchuk, 'Finite-dimensional subalgebras in polynomial Lie algebras of rank one', Ukrainian Math. J. 63 (5) (2011), 708712.
- [2] D. Barnes, 'Some theorems on Leibniz algebras', Comm. Alg. 39 (2011), 2463-2472
- [3] A. Bloh, 'On a generalization of the concept of Lie algebra'. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 165 (1965), 471–473.
- [4] W. Feit, J. G. Thompson, 'Solvability of groups of odd order'. Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 7751029.
- [5] V.V. Gorbatsevich, 'Lie algebras with abelian centralizers', Math. Notes 101 (5) (2017), 795-801; translated from Mat. Zametki 101 (5) (2017), 690-699.
- [6] N. Jacobson, Lie algebras. New York: Dover Publ. (1979).
- [7] I. Klep and P. Moravec, 'Lie algebras with abelian centralizers', Algebra Colloq. 17(4) (2010), 629–636.

6

- [8] J.-L. Loday, 'Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algbres de Leibniz'. Enseign. Math. (2) 39 (34) (1993), 269–293.
- [9] J.-L. Loday and T. Pirashvili, 'Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology', Math. Annalen 296 (1) (1993) 139–158.
- [10] H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner, 'Modular Lie algebras and their representations', New York: Marcel Dekker Inc. (1988).
- [11] M. Suzuki, 'The nonexistence of a certain type of simple groups of odd order', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 686695.
- [12] D.A.Towers, 'Leibniz A-algebras', Comm. Math. 38 (2020), 103-121.
- [13] L. Weisner, 'Groups in which the normaliser of every element except identity is abelian', Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1925), 413416.

Lancaster University, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, LA1 4YF Lancaster, ENGLAND

Email address: d.towers@lancaster.ac.uk