1	Biochar and its Importance on Nutrient Dynamics in Soil and Plant: A Review					
2						
3	Md Zahangir Hossain <sup>1,2,3</sup> , Md Mezbaul Bahar <sup>1</sup> , Binoy Sarkar <sup>4</sup> , Scott Wilfred Donne <sup>5</sup> , Young Sik Ok <sup>6</sup> ,					
4	Kumuduni Niroshika Palansooriya <sup>6</sup> , Mary Beth Kirkham <sup>7</sup> , Saikat Chowdhury <sup>8</sup> and Nanthi Bolan <sup>1,2*</sup>					
5						
6						
7						
8	<sup>1</sup> Global Centre for Environmental Remediation, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia					
9	<sup>2</sup> CRC for High Performance Soils, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia					
10	<sup>3</sup> Agrotechnology Discipline, Khulna University, Khulna-9208, Bangladesh					
11	<sup>4</sup> Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, United Kingdom					
12	<sup>5</sup> Discipline of Chemistry, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia					
13	<sup>6</sup> O-Jeong Eco-Resilience Institute & Division of Environmental Science and Ecological Engineering, Korea					
14	University, Seoul 02841, Republic of Korea					
15	<sup>7</sup> Department of Agronomy, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506-5501 USA					
16	<sup>8</sup> Department of Soil Science, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh					
17						
18						
19						
20						
21	*Corresponding Author: <u>nanthi.bolan@newcastle.edu.au</u>					
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						

31	Contents
32	Abstract
33	1. Introduction
34	2. Production and characteristics of biochar
35	3. Effect of biochar on soil properties
36	3.1 Physical
37	3.2 Chemical
38	3.3 Biological
39	4. Biochar as a nutrient source
40	4.1 Primary nutrients
41	4.2 Secondary nutrients
42	4.3 Trace elements
43	5. Effect of biochar on nutrient reactions in soil and uptake by plants
44	5.1 Nutrient retention
45	5.2 Nutrient leaching
46	5.3 Gaseous emission
47	5.4 Uptake and assimilation by plants
48	5.5 Nutrient use efficiency
49	6. Conclusions and future research recommendations
50	
51	
52	
53	

54	Highlights							
55	•	Biochar's role as a potential source of plant macro- and micro-nutrients reviewed.						
56	•	Biochar increases plant nutrient availability in soils.						
57	•	Biochar influences soil physical, chemical and biological properties.						
58	•	Biochar enhances nutrient use efficiency and uptake by plants by decreasing nutrient loss.						
59								
60								
61								
62								
63								
64								
65								
66								
67								
68								
69								
70								
71								
72								
73								
74								
75								
76								
77								
78								
79								
80								
81								
82								
83								

## 84 Abstract

Biochar, an environmentally friendly soil conditioner, is produced using several thermochemical processes. It has unique characteristics like high surface area, porosity, and surface charges. This paper reviews the fertilizer value of biochar, and its effects on soil properties, and nutrient use efficiency of crops. Biochar serves as an important source of plant nutrients, especially nitrogen in biochar produced from manures and wastes at low temperature ( $\leq$ 400 °C). The phosphorus, potassium, and other nutrient contents are higher in manure/waste biochars than those in crop residues and woody biochars. The nutrient contents and pH of biochar are positively correlated with pyrolysis temperature, except for nitrogen content. Biochar improves the nutrient retention capacity of soil, which depends on porosity and surface charge of biochar. Biochar increases nitrogen retention in soil by reducing leaching and gaseous loss, and also increases phosphorus availability by decreasing the leaching process in soil. However, for potassium and other nutrients, biochar shows inconsistent (positive and negative) impacts on soil. After addition of biochar, porosity, aggregate stability, and amount of water held in soil increase and bulk density decreases. Mostly, biochar increases soil pH and, thus, influences nutrient availability for plants. Biochar also alters soil biological properties by increasing microbial populations, enzyme activity, soil respiration, and microbial biomass. Finally, nutrient use efficiency and nutrient uptake improve with application of biochar to soil. Thus, biochar can be a potential nutrient reservoir for plants and a good amendment to improve soil properties.

102	Keywords: Biochar,	Nutrients, Manure,	Soil pro	perties, N	lutrient use	efficiency
-----	--------------------	--------------------	----------	------------	--------------	------------

# 114 **1.** Introduction

In recent decades, application of biochar to soil has drawn attention from the scientific community. Research has 115 116 focused on its cost-effectiveness and environmentally friendly features, such as enhancing carbon sequestration 117 and remediating contaminated soil. Biochar can influence nutrients in soil in several ways: (i) as a source of nutrients for plants and soil microorganisms (Li et al. 2017b); (ii) as a nutrient sink, thereby impacting the mobility 118 119 and bioavailability of nutrients (Gul and Whalen 2016); and (iii) as a soil conditioner, thereby altering soil 120 properties that influence the reactions and cycling of nutrients in the soil (Lusiba et al. 2017). As a source, biochar 121 can supply nutrients such as nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), and other trace elements inherently 122 present in the original feedstock used for biochar production (Purakayastha et al. 2019). While some nitrogen and 123 sulfur in the feedstock materials are lost through gaseous emission during pyrolysis (Al-Wabel et al. 2013; Leng 124 et al. 2020), most nutrients are released during the weathering of biochar in soil, and they become available for 125 plant uptake (Zhao et al. 2018). The nutrient content of biochar depends on the nature of the feedstock materials 126 and the pyrolytic conditions. Biochars derived from manure- and biosolid-based feedstock materials generally 127 contain higher levels of N and P than those derived from wood- and straw-based feedstock materials (El-Naggar 128 et al. 2019a; Purakayastha et al. 2019). While the N content decreases with increasing pyrolytic temperature 129 through gaseous emission (Leng et al. 2020), the P and K contents increase due to an increase in ash content 130 (Christel et al. 2016; Tomczyk et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2013). As a nutrient sink, biochar can retain nutrients, 131 thereby reducing their losses through leaching and gaseous emission. The nutrient retention capacity of biochar 132 depends on its porosity and surface charge (cation and anion exchange capacity) (Yu et al. 2018). Biochar 133 application reduces the loss of N, P, and K through leaching, and N through nitrous oxide emission (Beusch et al. 134 2019; Yao et al. 2012; Yuan et al. 2016). However, the loss of N through ammonia emission depends mainly on 135 the pH of the biochar; biochar with a slightly acidic or near-neutral pH reduce ammonia volatilization from soil 136 (Mandal et al. 2019; Mandal et al. 2018).

137

Biochar application influences various soil properties including pH, bulk density, cation exchange capacity, water retention, and biological activity. These changes in soil properties are likely to impact nutrient reactions on soil particles and microbial transformation of nutrients (Mandal et al. 2018). Upon application to the soil, biochar improves soil fertility and crop productivity by increasing the soil nutrient contents and the mobility of nutrients. It enhances microbial activity (Meier et al. 2019), improves aeration, and water retention (Kambo and Dutta 2015; Razzaghi et al. 2020), buffers soil reactions (Laghari et al. 2016), reduces bulk density (Yan et al. 2019a), and maintains soil aggregate structure (Zhang et al. 2020). Moreover, biochar reduces nutrient leaching and loss of
nutrients by volatilization through altering the soil pH and by enhancing the ion exchange capacity (DeLuca et al.
2015). Biochar can change the soil microbial community composition (Ducey et al. 2013), and thus it impacts
nutrient cycling and uptake by plants (Lehmann et al. 2011). Biochar decreases nitrification in soil resulting in
reduced nitrate leaching (Igalavithana et al. 2016). Fig. 1 shows a conceptual framework depicting various impacts
of biochar on soil and plants.

150

151 Many reviews have been published about the importance of biochar for soil health, crop production, and problem 152 soils (Agegnehu et al. 2017; Al-Wabel et al. 2018; Dai et al. 2017; Ding et al. 2017; Ding et al. 2016; El-Naggar 153 et al. 2019b; Juriga and Šimanský 2018; Laghari et al. 2016; Lone et al. 2015; Muhammad et al. 2018; Munoz et 154 al. 2016; Palansooriya et al. 2019; Shaaban et al. 2018; Yu et al. 2019), soil carbon sequestration (Sarfraz et al. 155 2019), availability of N, P, and K (Liu et al. 2019a), and decreasing drought and salinity stress in plants (Ali et al. 156 2017). Reviews and meta-analyses also have been published focussing on soil N dynamics such as available N 157 (Nguyen et al. 2017b), leaching and gaseous emissions of N (Borchard et al. 2019; Cai and Akiyama 2017), and 158 the overall soil-N cycle (Liu et al. 2018). However, there is no review concerning the ability of biochar to retain 159 multiple nutrients in soil through reducing gaseous and leaching losses and, thus, enhance plant growth. This 160 paper focusses on: (i) effect of biochar on soil properties, (ii) biochar as a nutrient source, and (iii) impact of 161 biochar on nutrient reactions in soil and uptake by plants.

162

163

# 2. Production and characteristics of biochar

164 The term *char* means output from disintegration of organic and inorganic materials. Biochar and charcoal have 165 been synonymously used but can be differentiated by their use, because charcoal is used for energy, whereas 166 biochar is considered for carbon sequestration and environmental applications. Biochar is also called as 167 'pyrochar,' because it is produced by the pyrolysis of biomass (Ralebitso-Senior and Orr 2016). The typical 168 definition of biochar, as stated by the International Biochar Initiative (IBI), is 'a solid material obtained from the 169 thermochemical conversion of biomass in an oxygen-limited environment' (IBI 2015). The production and soil 170 application of biochar are related to the 'terra-preta' (black earth) soils of Amazon region, which are important 171 because of their high productivity. After the characterization of these soils, the scientific community recognized 172 that biochar has properties similar to the terra-preta soils. Thereafter, much work was done related to biochar and its application in the soil. Generally, biochar is produced from a range of biomasses (e.g., manure, wood, crop, 173

and industrial residues) at temperatures less than 900 °C and under oxygen-limited pyrolytic conditions (Zhang
et al. 2019e). However, recent studies have shown that biochar can also be produced by other thermochemical
processes, e.g., hydrothermal carbonization, gasification, torrefaction, and microwave-assisted pyrolysis (Kambo

- and Dutta 2015; Vithanage et al. 2017; Yuan et al. 2017).
- 178

The characteristics of biochar are influenced by the feedstock and heating conditions (Joseph and Taylor 2014; Laghari et al. 2016; Li et al. 2017b; Ralebitso-Senior and Orr 2016; Yuan et al. 2017). The physical and chemical properties also depend on other factors such as heating rate, kiln pressure, the composition of the atmosphere (N or CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere in the kiln), and the type of pre- or post-treatment of biochar (Joseph and Taylor 2014). The important properties of biochar are presented in Fig. 2. Based on the ash composition and its properties, biochar can be divided into the following three main groups (Joseph and Taylor 2014).

- i) Biochar produced from biomass with minimum ash content (<3–5%), such as wood, nut shells,</li>
  bamboo, and some seeds (e.g., apricots). These hard biochars have large porosity, surface area (SA),
  and hold more water than biochars in other groups.
- 188 ii) Biochar produced from biomasses containing medium ash content between 5 to 13%, which include
  189 most agricultural wastes, bark, and high-quality green waste (i.e., with low contamination of plastics,
  190 soil, and metals).
- 191 iii) Biochar produced from biomasses with high ash contents (>13%), such as manures, sludges,
  192 wastepaper, municipal waste, and rice husks.
- 193

194 The physical characteristics of biochar, especially the surface area and pore size/volume/distribution, are 195 controlled by the pyrolytic conditions and the nature of feedstock. For example, under high-temperature pyrolytic 196 conditions (>550° C), biochar is characterised by having a large surface area and a high aromaticity (Ralebitso-197 Senior and Orr 2016). However, at pyrolysis under low temperatures (200-400°C), biochar is characterised by 198 having more oxygen-containing functional groups, such as -COOH, -OH, C=O, phenolic -OH and -CHO groups, 199 which stimulate nutrient exchange and, thus, improve soil fertility (Mandal et al. 2020; Ralebitso-Senior and Orr 200 2016). The characteristics of biochar are important for its uses. For example, biochar with a low surface area is 201 less suitable for soil health improvement than that with a high surface area. 202

203

204

# **3.** Effect of biochar on soil properties

The changes in soil properties resulting from biochar application are likely to impact nutrient reactions andmicrobial transformation of nutrients. Fig. 3 summarizes these processes.

207

# 208 3.1 Physical properties

209 Owing to special characters (such as high surface area and porosity), biochar application influences soil physical 210 properties (Fu et al. 2019; Greenberg et al. 2019; Horák et al. 2019; Oladele 2019; Zhang et al. 2020). The effect 211 of biochar on various soil physical properties that are likely to impact nutrient interactions in soil are summarized 212 in Table 1. For example, in a 4-year field study, peanut-shell biochar altered soil properties by increasing water-213 stable aggregates (WSA) (Du et al. 2018), and rice straw biochar increased aggregate stability from 1 to 17% 214 (Peng et al. 2011). In addition, biochar rate is positively correlated with WSA. For instance, Oladele (2019) 215 reported that addition of rice husk biochar increased WSA at various soil depths over three years. The author 216 found that with 3, 6, and 12 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of biochar application, WSA increased by 10, 18, and 23%, respectively, at the 217 0-10 cm depth, and by 16, 20, and 26%, respectively, at the 10-20 cm soil depth compared to no biochar 218 application in the first year. After three years, WSA increased by 22 and 24% at the 0-10 and 10-20 cm depths, 219 respectively. Moreover, the application of rice husk biochar (10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) increased soil porosity by decreasing bulk 220 density and increased available water in a sandy clay loam soil (Laghari et al. 2016). Li et al. (2018) said that 221 maize straw biochar reduced soil bulk density and improved soil porosity in a semi-arid region. In a pot study, 222 Prapagdee and Tawinteung (2017) concluded that cassava stem biochar increased soil porosity, which was in line 223 with Fu et al. (2019) who found in a field trial that biochar dose was positively correlated with soil porosity. Li et 224 al. (2018) conducted a study on the impact of maize straw biochar on soil properties in a tomato field in a semiarid region of China. The authors found that application of biochar at 10, 20, 40, and 60 t ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the soil 225 226 porosity from 42.5% to 48, 50, 55, and 56%, respectively, and reduced the bulk density of a sandy loam soil. The 227 application of biochar reduces bulk density of soil regardless of soil types, study environments, biochar application 228 rate, or production conditions (Table 1).

229

Addition of biochar has been shown to increase the ability of soil to hold water (Yadav et al. 2018). Razzaghi et al. (2020) did a meta-analysis on the effect of biochar on soil water retention and found that the ability of soil to hold water increased, especially in coarse-textured soils, Peake et al. (2014) reported that biochar had a positive impact on the ability of loamy sand and sandy loam soils to hold water. The ability of soil to hold water has

234 increased with increasing biochar application rates (Greenberg et al. 2019; Oladele 2019). Biochar reduced the 235 tensile strength and cracks of a surface soil (Mandal et al. 2020), and suppressed soil shrinkage by increasing the 236 ability of the soil to hold water; thus, soil structure was improved (Fu et al. 2019). Nair et al. (2017) observed that 237 biochar improved soil water retention, reduced bulk density, and stabilized soil organic matter. Additionally, it 238 was confirmed that there were hydrophilic functional groups on the surface and pores of biochar with a high 239 affinity for water; biochar application was shown to increase soil water retention more in a sandy soil than a loamy 240 soil or a clay soil (Mandal et al. 2020). Biochar also showed a positive impact on surface area of soil (Anawar et 241 al. 2015), which varied with biochar types (Tomczyk et al. 2020). For example, biochar (10%) amended soil had 242 3 times higher surface area than untreated soil (Tomczyk et al. 2019). Therefore, irrespective of soil types, 243 experimental conditions, biochar types, pyrolytic temperatures, and application rates, biochar has positive impacts 244 on soil physical properties. Moreover, the above discussion shows that the soil physical properties are interlinked 245 and influence each other.

246

# 247 **3.2** Chemical properties

248 Biochar application has been shown to impact soil chemical properties such as pH, electrical conductivity (EC), 249 and cation exchange capacity (CEC). These soil chemical properties influence nutrient interactions in soil. The 250 impacts of biochar on selected chemical properties of soils are summarised in Table 2. Soil pH can be altered by 251 incorporation of biochar into soil, thereby contributing to alterations in nutrient availability. The pH of biochar is 252 an important character for its use in agriculture as a soil conditioner. Biochar pH is dependent on the rate of the 253 carbonization process, pyrolytic temperature, and feedstock type (Weber and Quicker 2018). Biochar also 254 generates organic acids during pyrolysis of biomasses that influence the pH of the final product (Cheng et al. 255 2018). Biochars generally have a pH range of 6.52–12.64 (Table 4), and the pH values positively correlate with 256 the pyrolytic temperature (Fig. 4). Biochar has an alkaline nature due to the presence of alkali and alkaline metals 257 in feedstocks that are not volatilized during pyrolysis (Yang et al. 2018). Application of alkaline biochar tends to 258 increase the pH of acidic and neutral soils (Buss et al. 2016). The alkalinity of biochar depends on three important 259 factors: a) organic functional groups; b) carbonate content, and c) inorganic alkali content (Lee et al. 2013). The 260 concentration of base cations in biochar is strongly correlated with biochar alkalinity, which is not a simple 261 function of biochar's soluble ash content (Fidel et al. 2017). Alkaline biochar can be used as a liming material for 262 neutralizing acid soils (Taskin et al. 2019). However, the soil liming potential of biochar is not consistent across 263 soil and biochar types. For example, application of biochar (at 1% and 2% rate) generated from various types of

264 crop straws (pH value of biochar ranging from 7.69–10.26) in a three-month incubation study decreased the pH 265 of an acidic Ultisol (pH = 4.31) over time (Laghari et al. 2016). However, in a field study, application of a paddy 266 straw-derived biochar (biochar pH was 10.50) to a sandy soil (soil pH = 5.24) increased the pH of the soil by 4.5 267 units compared to the control (El-Naggar et al. 2018b). Moreover, a high dose (50 and 100 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) of biochar (pH 268 = 9.40) increased the pH of an Alfisol and, consequently, reduced exchangeable Al concentration in the soil 269 (Tomczyk et al. 2020). Li et al. (2018) observed that application of biochar (10, 20, 40, and 60 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) had no 270 impact on soil pH in a semi-arid region, which was consistent with the results reported by Werner et al. (2018) 271 who found that the pH of a sandy loam soil was not changed with addition of biochar. Therefore, biochar 272 application to soil could either increase or decrease soil pH based upon the original soil properties (e.g., pH, 273 texture) and biochar pH and alkalinity, as well as the species of crop grown in the biochar-amended soil (Table 274 2).

275

276 Most biochars contain high amounts of soluble salts, and, hence, the EC of biochar is generally higher than most agricultural soils (Igalavithana et al. 2018). Availability of soluble nutrient ions such as NO<sup>3-</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup> could 277 278 be directly related to the soluble salt content and, hence, the EC of biochar when applied to soil. Excess salts or 279 high EC in soil is harmful for plants, because of a decrease in osmotic potential. Therefore, the EC of the soil must 280 be maintained low for desirable nutrient availability and plant growth. Nevertheless, the EC of soil was reported 281 to increase with increasing application rates of biochar (Li et al. (2018). Prapagdee and Tawinteung (2017) found 282 that the EC of soil increased when cassava stem-derived biochar was applied at a rate of 10% (w/w). In a sandy soil (EC = 0.07 dS m<sup>-1</sup>), the EC was increased by 385, 100, and 71% with the addition of paddy straw, silver grass 283 284 residue, and umbrella tree residue biochar (30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), respectively (El-Naggar et al. 2018b). However, rice husk biochar (EC =  $2.56 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$ ) had no impact on increasing the EC in the soil (Jatav et al. 2018). 285

286

The CEC of most biochars is higher than that of typical agricultural soils (Sohi et al. 2009; Sohi et al. 2010). The CEC of biochar is attributed to the generation of various functional groups, such as carboxyl and hydroxyl groups, during the pyrolysis of biomass (Tomczyk et al. 2020). Biochar CEC is governed by two important factors: (a) surface oxidation, and (b) adsorption of highly oxidized organic matter onto the biochar surface (Tomczyk et al. 2020). Like pH, CEC of soil can also be altered by biochar application. For instance, in a short-term (11 d) incubation study using an Ultisol, the addition of rice straw-derived biochar at 2.4 t ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the CEC of soil (Peng et al. 2011). In another study, El-Naggar et al. (2018b) showed that the CEC of a sandy soil (CEC = 0.5

294 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup>) increased by 3.00, 1.00, and 0.75 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup> with the application of biochars (at 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> rate) derived 295 from paddy straw, silvergrass residue, and umbrella tree residue, respectively. However, in a sandy loam soil 296 (initial CEC = 10 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup>), the paddy straw-biochar (at 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> rate) increased the CEC by 1.0 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup> only. 297 In another study, biochar derived from wood was found to increase the CEC by as much as 190% in an Anthrosol 298 (initial CEC =  $2.81 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$ ) compared to the control treatment (Tomczyk et al. 2020). Therefore, various types 299 of biochars produced from various feedstocks change the CEC of soils to a different extent (Table 2), and the CEC 300 affects nutrient availability and water retention of soil (Yadav et al. 2018). Moreover, biochar is known to increase 301 the organic carbon content in soil (Table 2) and stimulate C sequestration by suppressing the long-term turnover 302 of soil organic matter (Schofield et al. 2019). The increased organic carbon content, together with improved 303 chemical properties due to biochar application, positively affect the nutrient status in soil.

304

# **305 3.3 Biological properties**

306 Effects of biochar on various soil biological properties, such as soil respiration, microbial biomass carbon, 307 microbial activity and functions, and soil enzymatic activity, are presented in Table 3. Owing to its porous system, 308 biochar can be a favourable habitat for soil microorganisms including bacteria, mycorrhizal fungi, and 309 actinomycetes (Compant et al. 2010; Prapagdee and Tawinteung 2017). Du et al. (2018) found that peanut-shell 310 biochar (1%) increased microbial populations, microbial biomass, and actinomycetes. However, Wang et al. 311 (2020) reported that a high dose of biochar could show a negative impact and a low dose could have a positive 312 impact on soil microbial communities. The authors suggested that such variation of biochar's effects was due to 313 the toxic effect (chemical stress) of biochar on soil microorganisms when applied at a high rate. However, in 314 numerous studies biochar application exhibited positive effects on soil microbial activities. For example, in a 315 coastal wetland soil, biochar application boosted the soil microbial biomass C and resulted in a low metabolic 316 quotient (Zheng et al. 2018). Zheng et al. (2018) also found a shift of the bacterial community towards low C 317 turnover bacterial taxa (e.g., Actinobacteria and Deltaproteobacteria), which stabilised soil aggregates. In another 318 study over 90 d by growing tobacco plants with biochar application, Cheng et al. (2017) reported that, as the result 319 of biochar application to soil with tobacco, the average populations of Sphingomonadaceae and 320 Pseudomonadaceae bacteria were increased by 18 and 63%, respectively. In the same study, when tobacco plants 321 were not grown, populations of the two bacterial groups in the soil were increased by 46 and 110%, respectively. 322 Moreover, biochar was reported to increase microbial biomass N by 12% (Liu et al. 2018). The effects of biochar 323 on soil microbial community structure and N-cycling bacteria depends on several factors, such as soil type, C/N

ratio, nutrients, pH, and biochar addition rates (Abujabhah et al. 2018). Biochar application increased biological
N fixation by 63% (Lu et al. 2018). Schofield et al. (2019) tested horticultural green waste biochar to retain N in
a sandy loam soil. They found that biochar increased the microbial activity by 73, 84, 214% when applied at rates
of 2, 5 and 10%, respectively.

328

329 Biochar showed positive impacts on soil enzymatic activities (Mierzwa-Hersztek et al. 2016; Ouyang et al. 2014). For instance, addition of biochar (5 and 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) in an Inceptisol increased the dehydrogenase and urease activity 330 by 19 and 44%, respectively (Ameloot et al. 2013; Mierzwa-Hersztek et al. 2016). Similarly, a greenhouse study 331 332 concluded that biochar improved soil enzymatic properties with the application rate up to 6% (Yadav et al. 2018). 333 Biochar also increased P-solubilizing bacterial populations such as Burkholderia-Paraburkholderia, 334 Planctomyces, Sphingomonas, and Singulisphaera, which contributed to improving P availability in a forest soil (mountain acidic red loam soil) (Zhou et al. 2020). However, Haefele et al. (2011) found a negative effect on 335 336 earthworm populations with the addition of rice residue biochar (41.3 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Similarly, Weyers and Spokas 337 (2011) observed a negative effect (short-term) or no effect (long-term) of poultry litter biochar on earthworm 338 activity in soil, which was attributed to a rapid pH change or high ammonia concentration in the soil due to the 339 addition of the biochar (Liesch 2010). Earthworms are highly sensitive to soil pH and ammonia concentration 340 (Saleh et al. 1970).

- 341
- 342

#### 4. Biochar as a source of nutrients

Biochar can be a nutrient source for crop plants. The nutrient content of biochar depends mainly on the nature of
the feedstock materials and the pyrolytic conditions (pyrolytic temperature, residence time, gaseous environment)
(El-Naggar et al. 2019a). Feedstock materials containing high nutrient contents result in nutrient-enriched
biochars. For example, manure and sewage sludge produce nutrient-rich biochars (Table 4).

347

# 348 4.1 Primary nutrients

349 4.1.1 Nitrogen

Nitrogen is one of the most limiting nutrients in soils for plant growth and productivity due to high crop demand for it and to chances of losses by leaching, runoff, and volatilization (Nguyen et al. 2017b). A continuous application of N in available forms is essential for many agricultural soils to maintain production in cropping seasons (Fageria and Baligar 2005). Biochar can be a potential source of N for plants. In addition to organic forms 354 of N (e.g., hydrolyzable–N, water-soluble–N, and nonhydrolyzable–N), biochar also contains inorganic N forms 355 such as NH4<sup>+</sup>-N, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, and N<sub>2</sub>O-N (Liu et al. (2019a). Although N content is low in most biomasses, the N 356 content is mostly increased after pyrolysis due to reducing the mass (mainly the moisture) of the biomass. In the 357 case of N, there could be some losses also during the pyrolysis of biomass due to gaseous emissions of the element. 358 Hence not all forms of N present in the feedstock can be found in the biochar. For example, some amino acids, 359 such as arginine containing amide groups, are mostly converted to ammonia or other gaseous forms of N during 360 biomass pyrolysis, and, consequently, they are lost (Leng et al. 2020). Nitrogen conversion pathways from 361 feedstock-N to biochar-N through the process of pyrolysis are presented in Fig. 4. The existence of metal elements 362 in feedstock can influence the conversion of N-containing compounds and, thus, the amount and forms of N 363 species in final biochar products (Xiao et al. 2018). Table 4 shows that the N content of biochar can be of a wide 364 range (0.24 to 6.8 %). Although, most biochars have low N content (below 1.5 %) (Table 4), the N content is high 365 in a few biochars such as those derived from sewage sludge (6.8%), poultry litter (5.85%), grass waste (4.9%), 366 and microalgae (14.12%) (Chang et al. 2015). Biochar produced from sewage sludge (at 350 °C) had more N 367 (3.17%) than that produced from sugarcane and eucalyptus wastes (1.4 and 0.4%, respectively) (Figueredo et al. 368 2017). Furthermore, N content of biochar decreases with an increase in the pyrolytic temperature (Fig. 5), due to 369 conversion of parts of amino acids into pyridine-N and pyrrolic-N (Leng et al. 2020). Ultimately, the loss of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+-</sup> 370 N as NH<sub>3</sub> occurs through volatilization during pyrolysis (El-Naggar et al. 2019a). For instance, N contents of 371 chicken manure biochar were found to be 2.79, 2.45, and 1.81% when the material was produced at 250, 350 and 372 550 °C, respectively (Xiao et al. 2018). Similarly, N content of maize-straw biochar decreased from 1.25% (300 373 °C) to 1.20% (500 °C) (Song et al. 2018), and that of elephant-grass biochar decreased from 3.87% (400 °C) to 374 2.15% (600 °C) (Ferreira et al. 2018), due to a rise of the pyrolytic temperature. Acidified biochar (pre-pyrolysis) 375 decreased the total N content, which was attributed to volatilization loss of N during pyrolysis (Sahin et al. 2017). 376 However, salt impregnated (chicken manure with CaCl<sub>2</sub> and FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O) biochar slightly increased the total and 377 available NH4<sup>+</sup>-N contents when pyrolyzed at a low temperature (250°C), but at 350 and 550°C, the NH4<sup>+</sup>-N 378 content decreased (Xiao et al. 2018). Xiao et al. (2018) found 0.48, 0.30, and 0.17 g kg<sup>-1</sup> available NH4<sup>+</sup>-N (KCl 379 extractable) in chicken manure biochar following pre-pyrolysis impregnation of the biomass with CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 380 MgCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O, and FeCl<sub>3</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O mineral salts, respectively. Chang et al. (2015) found that N content in a *Chlorella*-381 based algal residue biochar increased from 10.23 to 14.12% when the residence time of pyrolysis was increased 382 from 20–60 min at 500 °C. However, the effect of rising pyrolytic temperature ranging from 300 to 700 °C on the 383 N content of algal biochar was not consistent (Chang et al. 2015). The N-containing components of biochar can

be present on the biochar surfaces and/or inside the pores as nitrates, ammonium salts, or heterocyclic compounds (Grierson et al. 2011). These N components of algal biochar were much higher than other common biochars such as manure and biosolid/sewage sludge derived biochars. Among the inorganic forms of N,  $NO_3^-$ -N and  $N_2O$ -N were increased at a high temperature (800 °C) for pyrolysis,  $NH_4^+$ -N and  $NO_3^-$ -N were decreased drastically at 300 °C, and all inorganic N remained stable at 600 °C (Zhu et al. 2016). Therefore, when producing N-enriched biochar, special care should be taken to decide the pyrolytic temperature and feedstock type.

390

# 391 4.1.2 Phosphorus

392 Like the N content in different biochars, the P content varies over a wide range (0.005–5.9 %) (Table 4). While 393 the N content decreases with pyrolytic temperature, the P content is positively correlated with the pyrolytic 394 temperature (Fig. 5). The increased P content in biochar with increasing pyrolytic temperature can be attributed 395 to the 'concentration effect' resulting from decreased biochar yield with increasing temperature. For example, 396 Xiao et al. (2018) produced biochar from chicken manure at 250, 350, and 550 °C and found corresponding P 397 contents of 1.91, 2.15 and 2.96%, respectively (Table 4). Moreover, the P content also depends on the type of 398 biomass. For instance, P contents in biochar derived from swine solid (5.9%) (Cantrell et al. 2012), chicken 399 manure (2.96%) (Xiao et al. 2018), and poultry litter (2.57%) (Brantley et al. 2016) were greater than those derived 400 from rice husks (0.15%) (Bu et al. 2017) and apple branches (0.18%) (Li and Shangguan 2018). Thus, feedstock 401 selection is an important aspect for producing P-enriched biochar. In addition, the P content of chicken manure 402 biochar increased from 1.91 to 2.96% by increasing the pyrolytic temperature from 250 to 550 °C (Table 4). 403 Biochar with a high ash content contained a high P content (Laghari et al. (2016). In a review on the mineral 404 contents of biochar, Xu et al. (2017) stated that biochar from sewage sludge and poultry litter had higher P contents 405 than biochar from crop residues, animal manures, and woody biochar. They also found that available P (i.e., Olsen-P) in biochar increased from 280 to 676 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> when the pyrolytic temperature increased from 300 to 600 °C. 406 407 Li et al. (2020) found that Olsen-P increased in both pristine and P-laden biochar by 43 and 15%, respectively, 408 when the pyrolytic temperature increased from 350 to 600 °C. The authors also observed that the amount of Olsen-409 P increased in KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> biochars with increase in temperature. In addition, Xiao et al. (2018) found that water-410 extractable P was negatively correlated with the pyrolytic temperature for both pristine and modified biochars, 411 while the Olsen-P was positively correlated with increasing temperature. The authors also observed that the Olsen-412 P decreased when pre-treatment of chicken manure was conducted with different types of salts, because of the 413 formation of insoluble phosphate compounds such as (CaMg)<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>4</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O. Zhang et al. (2019d) found

that Olsen-P and water soluble-P contents were 775.45 and 495.21 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, in an acidified biochar
(700 °C) derived from maize straw.

416

## 417 **4.1.3** Potassium

418 The K content in biochar also varies both with the feedstock type and temperature of pyrolysis (Table 4). For 419 example, poultry litter, chicken manure, rice straw, and bamboo biochar contained more K than biochars made 420 from rice husks, corn stalks, and apple branches. As in the case of P, K content of biochar also increases with 421 increasing pyrolytic temperature (Fig. 5), which can be attributed to the 'concentration effect'. Xiao et al. (2018) 422 found that the K content in chicken manure biochar was increased from 4.16-5.93% when the pyrolytic 423 temperature was increased from 250 to 550 °C (Table 4). Poultry litter-derived biochar contained 3.88 and 5.88% 424 K at pyrolytic temperatures of 400 and 600 °C, respectively (Subedi et al. 2016). Similarly, Vaughn et al. (2018) 425 produced biosolid-biochar at 300, 400, 500, 700, and 900 °C, and the K contents were 3.89, 3.98, 4.06, 4.02, 8.12, 426 and 9.83%, respectively. Karim et al. (2017) evaluated the K-enrichment of banana peduncle biochar produced in 427 the presence of different gases (Ar and O<sub>2</sub>) and plasma with processing times of 3, 5, 7, and 9 min. They found 428 that plasma processing for up to 7 min enriched the biochar with K in both Ar and  $O_2$  environments. For instance, 429 due to Ar gas loading for 7 min, K increased from 8.6 to 28.6% for available K, 3.5 to 11.2% for water soluble K, 430 and 5.1 to 14.7% for exchangeable K. Amin (2016) reported that soluble-K content was 6.05 g kg<sup>-1</sup> in corn cob 431 biochar, and Nguyen et al. (2020) found 8.50 g kg<sup>-1</sup> exchangeable K in rice husk biochar.

432

## 433 4.2 Secondary nutrients

434 As shown in Table 4, contents of secondary nutrients including S, Ca, and Mg are high in animal manure biochar, 435 as reported by Xiao et al. (2018) and Brantley et al. (2016). The Ca contents of animal-manure biochar ranged 436 from 0.40 to 6.15% and that of industrial and municipal waste-derived biochar ranged from 0.37-6.57% (Table 437 4). Biochar derived from crop residues had concentrations of Ca ranging from 0.20–1.57% and that of woody 438 biochar was in the range of 0.05–2.42% (Table 4). However, biochar produced from apple branches had a higher 439 Ca content (2.42%) (Li and Shangguan 2018) than other feedstocks such as barley straw (0.20%) (Jatav et al. 440 2018), sugar maple sawdust (0.50%) (Noyce et al. 2017), and acacia (0.27%) (Arif et al. 2016). The Mg contents 441 of biochar produced at 250-750 °C from various types of biomasses (e.g., animal manure, woody biomass, crop 442 residue) ranged from 0.001-3.78% (Table 4). Most of the animal-manure derived biochars and grass waste biochar 443 contained higher Mg contents than crop-residue biochar and woody biochar (Table 4). Generally, the S content was lowest (0.001–0.32%) in biochar produced from woody biomass followed by waste-derived biochar (0.005–
0.63%) and crop residue-derived biochar (0.07–0.32%) (Table 4). Animal manure biochar contained more S
(0.02–1.36%) than orchard-pruning-biomass-derived biochar (0.005%) (Table 4). The effects of pyrolytic
temperature on the S content of biochars are inconsistent (Table 4), because high temperatures can either increase
S content by the incorporation of S into complex structures or decrease S content due to volatilization loss (AlWabel et al. 2013).

450

# 451 **4.3 Trace elements**

452 Biochar also contains a significant amount of trace element nutrients (micronutrients) such as Fe, Cu, B, Zn, Mn, 453 and Mo. Most of the published literature reports only Fe, Zn, and Cu contents of biochar; few of them mention 454 Mn content; and only few report Mo and B contents (Table 4). Table 4 shows that Fe content in biochar of animal 455 manure was higher (311–7480 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) than biochar from crop residues and woody materials. The Fe content in 456 biochars produced from waste materials was in the range of  $0.009-380 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  (Table 4). Like Fe, animal manure biochar contained more Zn (131-4981 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and Cu (99-2446 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) than waste- and crop-residue derived 457 458 biochars (Table 4). The contents of the micronutrient elements depend on the feedstock type and biochar 459 production temperature. However, the effect of these factors is not consistent for micronutrient contents of biochar 460 products, which can be attributed mainly to the low micronutrient contents in feedstock materials. For instance, eucalyptus green waste biochar produced at 650–750 °C had 7000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Fe (Abujabhah et al. 2016), whereas 461 willow wood waste biochar produced at 550 °C had only 0.05 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Fe (Agegnehu et al. 2016a). Several other 462 463 studies (Brantley et al. 2016; Chen et al. 2018; Li and Shangguan 2018; Miranda et al. 2017; Noyce et al. 2017) 464 also reported that biochar contains a low but significant amount of micronutrients.

465

466

### 5. Effect of biochar on nutrient reactions in soil and uptake by plants

As a sink, biochar can retain nutrients, thereby reducing their losses through leaching and gaseous emission.
Biochar application influences various soil properties including pH, bulk density, CEC, water retention, and
biological activity (section 3), which in turn affect nutrient retention of soils.

470

#### 471 5.1 Nutrient Retention

Biochar can contribute in improving nutrient retention capacity of soil due to its large surface area, porosity, and
presence of both nonpolar and polar surface sites (Ahmad et al. 2014; Hussain et al. 2017; Mukherjee et al. 2011;

474 Yu et al. 2018). The polar sites are likely to increase the soil CEC (Mukherjee et al. 2011). For example, biochar 475 with a high CEC retains more nutrients in soil by reducing nutrient loss through leaching (Tomczyk et al. 2020). 476 Application of biochar also enhances nutrient retention by increasing the soil pH and soil organic matter (Mendez 477 et al. 2012). Nutrient retention and release depend on soil pH (Fig. 6). For instance, Gao et al. (2016) reported that 478 addition of biochar increased NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N retention in soil by 33 and 53%, respectively. Sorrenti et al. 479 (2016) also observed a similar effect of biochar application on soil N. Liu et al. (2017b) proposed three important 480 mechanisms for N retention after biochar application in soil: (i) adsorption of  $NH_4^+$ -N due to the high CEC of 481 biochar, (ii) reduced leaching of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N due to increased ability of the soil to hold water, and (iii) increased 482 microbial immobilization of N in soil by the supply of labile C. Schofield et al. (2019) suggested that high cation 483 and anion exchange capacities of biochar and its ability to retain ions and molecules within the pores further 484 contribute to biochar's enhanced nutrient retention capacity. Hence, biochar produced at high temperature might 485 have a high ability to retain NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N without its leaching to ground water. Sometimes biochar has reduced nutrient 486 retention due to quick decomposition of biochar C (e.g., by 51% within 16 months of application) (Beusch et al. 487 2019). The impacts of various types of biochar and nutrient availability changes in different soils are summarized 488 in Table 5.

489 Owing to porous structure and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N adsorption ability, biochar can play a vital role in slowing down N release 490 from the soil. This statement was supported by Zhang et al. (2017) who reported that the pore space of biochar 491 can facilitate water and nutrient transfer at initial stage of biochar application. The hydrophobic nature of biochar 492 can hinder water transport and thus limit N diffusion (Dong et al., 2020). Moreover, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N adsorption capacity 493 of biochar also influence N release in soil (Hagemann et al., 2017). In recent years, several studies reported that 494 biochar can be used as a slow-release fertilizer. For example, Shi et al. (2020) conducted a pot study and found 495 that biochar-urea composite release N slowly than conventional urea fertilizer and thus it was more effective in 496 NH4+-N retention. This agreement was supported by Sashidhar et al. (2020) who also reported that biochar-based slow-release fertilizer (BSRF) release N slowly by 69.8% over a period of 30 d. Similarly, Hu et al. (2019) and 497 498 Liu et al. (2019d) reported that 59.32% N was released after 84 d and 69.8% N released within 28 d of BSRF 499 application, respectively.

Biochar plays a role for N availability in soil due to two main mechanisms: biotic (fixation, mineralization,
immobilization, denitrification, plant uptake) and abiotic (sorption, volatilization, leaching) (Clough et al. 2013;
Nguyen et al. 2017b). The increase of N availability in soil from biochar application is, therefore, beneficial for
plant growth (Esfandbod et al. 2017; Igalavithana et al. 2016). In addition, negative and neutral impacts of biochar

504 on soil N availability have been reported (Mukherjee and Lal 2014; Nguyen et al. 2017b). For example, addition 505 of rice husk biochar reduced the available N content by 21% (sole biochar) and 15% (biochar + fertilizer) 506 compared to a control soil (Arenosol), which was due to immobilization of N (Werner et al. 2018). Liu et al. 507 (2018) did a meta-analysis and concluded that biochar application decreased NH4<sup>+</sup>-N and NO3<sup>-</sup>-N contents in soil 508 by 6 and 12%, respectively. Therefore, the effects of biochar application on N availability in soil are not consistent 509 as the N availability is governed by rate and type of biochar as well as the soil type (Table 5). For example, under 510 field conditions, the addition of biochar (10 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) plus organic and chemical fertilizers increased N availability 511 in a silty clay loam soil (Arif et al. 2017). In addition, modified biochar (calcium alginate impregnated) also 512 increased the nutrient (N and K) retention in soil, as reported by Wang et al. (2018). Moreover, combined 513 application of biochar and farm yard manure (FYM) improved the nutrient (N and P) retention in soil (Arif et al. 514 2017).

515

516 Biochar can be a reserve stock for P in soils (Dai et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2016). For instance, with the 517 incorporation of sugar maple and red pine biochar, available P was found to be three times higher in a sand than 518 in sandy loam and silty sand soils (Noyce et al. 2017). Several studies showed that soil amended with biochar 519 increases P bioavailability and plant growth (Arif et al. 2017; Beheshti et al. 2017; Biederman et al. 2017; Brantley 520 et al. 2016; Effhymiou et al. 2018; Houben et al. 2017). The changes of P availability in soil, as impacted by 521 biochar application, are presented in the Table 5. Like N, the availability of P is changed with the addition of 522 biochar and it depends on the biochar and soil. The majority of the studies report that the availability of P is 523 increased with the application of biochar. However, some researchers showed decreased availability of P after 524 biochar addition (Table 5). Modified or fortified biochars increase the P retention capacity of soil. For instance, 525 Wu et al. (2019a) studied the mechanism of inorganic P adsorption under field conditions in saline-alkaline soil. 526 The authors found that MgO-biochar showed 1.46 times more phosphate adsorption than pristine biochar due to 527 electrostatic attraction, precipitation, and exchangeable anions. Thus, modified biochar increased the availability 528 of P in soil. Several studies (Atkinson et al. 2010; Glaser et al. 2002; Major et al. 2010) reported that application 529 of alkaline biochar to acidic soils increased K content in soils. This is in agreement with DeLuca et al. (2015) and 530 Lehmann et al. (2003) who reported that the bioavailability of K was increased with addition of biochar. Usually 531 the availability of K in soil is increased with the addition of biochar irrespective of the study, although some 532 negative impacts of biochar on the availability of K in soil have been reported (Table 5). The addition of biochar 533 (10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) increased the Mg content in a loamy-sand soil (Lusiba et al. 2017).

534

535 The impacts of biochar on nutrient retention in soil are mostly positive. For instance, biochar increased Ca and 536 Mg availability in soil and, thus, boosted crop yield (Hussain et al. 2017) which was previously supported by 537 Abujabhah et al. (2016) who found that woody biochar had a significant impact on exchangeable Ca, Mg, and Na 538 in black clay loam, red loam, and brown sandy loam soils. Moreover, the Ca availability increased in soil even at 539 a low rate of biochar application (1.25%); however, no change in S availability was observed (Eykelbosh et al. 540 2014). The availability of Ca, Mg, and S increased or decreased due to incorporation of biochar in soil, as shown 541 in Table 5. A few studies (Lu et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2013) state that biochar alters the bioavailability of trace 542 elements in soils (Beesley et al. 2011). For example, woody biochar improved the availability of micronutrients 543 (B and Mo) (Hussain et al. 2017), whereas the addition of mixed hardwood-derived biochar did not influence the 544 Cu and Zn content (Cai and Chang 2016). The Fe and Al contents were decreased by biochar addition in sandy 545 soils, but biochar had no impact in silt or clay soils (El-Naggar et al. 2018c). However, addition of hardwood-546 derived biochar increased Fe and Mn availability, but it had no effect on Zn and Cu availability (Ippolito et al. 547 2014). Noyce et al. (2017) showed a positive effect of biochar on Mn and Na contents in sand, sandy loam, and 548 silty sand soils. The availability of micronutrients is influenced by the application of biochar to soil (Table 5), and 549 feedstock and type of soil are important in determining micronutrient availability.

550

## 551 5.2 Nutrient Leaching

## 552 5.2.1 Nitrogen

553 Nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) leaching is a major reason for loss of N from soils and causes groundwater pollution (Cheng et al. 554 2018). Surface properties of biochar facilitate the adsorption of ions in the soil solution. Electrostatic and capillary 555 forces on the surface of biochar reduce nutrient leaching from soils. For instance, the application of Brazilian 556 pepperwood biochar reduced NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> leaching by 34% through adsorption (Yao et al. 2012). Soil amended with 557 biochar can adsorb  $NO_3^-$  through its anion exchange sites, thereby reducing N losses and increasing  $NO_3^-$ 558 retention. Moreover, woody biochar application can decrease nutrient leaching through increasing water retention, 559 as reported by Lehmann et al. (2003). Biochar has the capacity to retain inorganic N ions and, therefore, it reduces 560 N leaching and runoff in soils (Steiner et al. 2008). Fig. 7 shows that the application of biochar reduced  $NO_3^-$ 561 leaching by 26%. Cao et al. (2019) showed that biochar derived from apple branches reduced leaching of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N by 9.9-68.7% and nitrogen-oxide flux by 6.3-19.2%. Application of mixed hardwood biochar decreased N 562 563 leaching by 11% in Midwestern agricultural soils (Laird et al. 2010), 72% in sub-alkaline soils of an apple orchard (Ventura et al. 2013), and 46% in a tropical Arenosol (Beusch et al. 2019). Cheng et al. (2018) conducted an incubation study and found that  $NO_3^-$ -N leaching was decreased, but NH<sub>4</sub>-N leaching was increased, in biocharamended soil due to reducing the CEC in biochar with increasing temperature.

567

# 568 **5.2.2** Phosphorus

569 Excessive application of P fertilisers has resulted in the leaching of P from agricultural fields to aquatic systems 570 (Karunanithi et al. 2015; Loganathan et al. 2014). Biochar has proven to alter P availability in soils by reducing P 571 leaching through sorption/adsorption. In a column study, biochar produced from Brazilian pepperwood at 600 °C 572 reduced the total amount of phosphate by about 20.6% in biochar-amended soil (Yao et al. 2012). Doydora et al. 573 (2011) found that the application of peanut hull biochar increased the amount of phosphate in the soil solution by 574 39%. The possible mechanisms suggested for the influence of biochar on P availability are change in soil pH and 575 subsequent influence on the interaction of P with other cations and enhanced retention through anion exchange and P precipitation (Atkinson et al. 2010). In natural environments, P is strongly adsorbed onto the surface of 576 577 Fe(III)-(hydr)oxides in soils (Jaisi et al. 2010). Cui et al. (2011) showed that addition of biochars reduced the 578 amount (30-40%) of P sorbed onto ferrihydrite (the most effective Fe-oxide for P adsorption), which likely improved in P availability in soil. The biochars magnetized with Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sup>2+</sup> enhanced phosphate sorption, compared 579 580 to non-magnetic char (Chen et al. 2011). Leaching of P is reduced by absorbing it on the surface of biochar 581 (Biederman and Harpole 2013). Biochar with a large surface area has high adsorption capacity for the ionic forms 582 of P. So, biochar can reduce ortho-P leaching from nutrient-rich soil and influences P availability (Gul and Whalen 583 2016; Hussain et al. 2017).

584

#### 585 5.2.3 Other nutrients

586 Leaching of nutrients depends on soil type, physico-chemical properties of the biochar, and the pyrolytic 587 temperature (Cheng et al. 2018; Yuan et al. 2016). For example, sewage sludge biochar produced at 500 and 700 588 °C reduced the leaching loss of K in a Typic Plinthudult soil more than that of biochar produced at 300 °C (Yuan 589 et al. 2016). Biochar can increase leaching of K in crop fields for the short term (Angst et al. 2014; Guo et al. 590 2013), which results in ground water pollution. For example, application of wood biochar in an acidic and low 591 fertile soil resulted in leaching of K, Ca, and Mg to the 60 cm depth, but concentrations gradually decreased to 592 the 120 cm depth (Major et al. 2012). This might be related to variation in nutrient uptake by plants at different 593 depths. Addition of biochar resulted in increased K leaching by 65% below the A1 horizon (Hardie et al. 2015),

which was attributed to a high amount of soluble K in the biochar. Biochar-induced leaching loss of Ca decreased with increasing temperature of biochar production (Cheng et al. 2018). Thus, leaching of nutrients in biochar amended soil depends of several factors, including biochar type and rate of application, soil type, and depth of soil. Long-term field studies are needed to investigate the effect of biochar on nutrient leaching.

598

### 599 5.3 Gaseous emission

600 Nitrogen in soil is lost through leaching and gaseous emission of ammonia  $(NH_3)$  and nitrous oxide  $(N_2O)$ . 601 Inorganic N is reduced in soil mainly through NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization (Liu et al. 2017b). More than 85% NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N is 602 lost from soil due to gaseous emission (Esfandbod et al. 2017). It is necessary to reduce the loss of N from soil 603 for plant growth and development. The physical and chemical characteristics of biochar influence their 604 effectiveness in controlling NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization. Biochar addition to a highly alkaline soil decreased soil pH thereby 605 reducing NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization (Mandal et al. 2016). The NH<sub>3</sub> adsorbed by biochar can, subsequently, become 606 available for plants (Taghizadeh-Toosi et al. 2012). Biochar addition has often been shown to decrease total N<sub>2</sub>O 607 emission from soils treated with N sources such as manure, urea, and compost (Bruun et al. 2011; Singh et al. 608 2010; Spokas et al. 2009). Denitrification is the biological process leading to increased N<sub>2</sub>O emission from soil. 609 A decrease in denitrification is likely to occur due to adsorption of inorganic N ( $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_3^-$ ) to biochar surfaces, 610 thus reducing the substrate for denitrification (Taghizadeh-Toosi et al. 2012). Complete denitrification leading to 611 N<sub>2</sub> emission due to biochar addition was explained by enhanced anaerobic conditions (Taghizadeh-Toosi et al. 612 2012), presence of labile C in biochar, elevated soil pH, and enhanced microbial activity (Anderson et al. 2011). 613 Lehmann et al. (2006) hypothesized that biochar could reduce N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by inducing microbial 614 immobilization of mineral N in the soil. According to Lu et al. (2018) and Nguyen et al. (2016) biochar inhibited 615 denitrification and thus decreased NO and N<sub>2</sub>O emission by 32%. However, biochar could temporarily increase 616 volatilization of N by 19% as NH<sub>3</sub>, which will be ultimately deposited into the soil (Fig. 7). However, Cayuela et 617 al. (2014) carried out a meta-analysis and showed about a 54% reduction in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with biochar 618 application. Biochar reduced the cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, the N<sub>2</sub>O-N emission factor, and the yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O 619 emissions by 5–39, 16–67, and 14–53%, respectively (Li et al. 2017a). The addition of biochar reduced N<sub>2</sub>O 620 emissions by 15% from acidic soil in a vegetable field (Wang et al. 2015). In a study by Fungo et al. (2019), 621 addition of biochar reduced cumulative emissions of  $NH_3$  and  $N_2O$  by 47% and 22%, respectively, over three 622 years, which indicated that biochar has a residual effect on gaseous emissions of N.

623

624

#### 5.4 Uptake and assimilation of nutrients

# 625 **5.5** Nitrogen

626 The impact of biochar on nutrient concentration, uptake, and crop growth and development are presented in Table 627 6. Biochar application to soil influences N uptake in plants. For example, Amin and Eissa (2017) studied the 628 impact of biochar on N and P use efficiency of zucchini plants (*Cucurbita pepo*) grown in a calcareous soil. They 629 found that the fruit N content increased by 39.23% over the control with the lowest (6.3 g/pot) biochar rate, 630 whereas, with increasing the rate of biochar addition by 12.6 and 25.5 g/pot, the N content decreased by 7.45% 631 and 13.73%, respectively, which was attributed to 'dilution' effect caused by increased yield. However, Werner 632 et al. (2018) showed that sole biochar and biochar with NPK fertilizer decreased N concentration in plants by 20 633 and 15%, respectively, which they attributed to immobilization of N in soil. In the USA, Sistani et al. (2019) 634 investigated the effect of hardwood biochar on corn yield and greenhouse gas emission under field conditions in 635 silt loam soil. They found higher N concentration in biomass in the first year of the study, which was a dry period, 636 whereas in the second and third years, which had favourable moisture conditions, N concentration was lower than 637 in the control treatment. Application of biochar has been shown to increase N uptake by 11% (Fig. 7). However, 638 a few studies (Akoto-Danso et al. 2018; Kang et al. 2018) stated the negative impacts of biochar on N 639 concentration and uptake by plants. Results are variable. Mandal et al. (2016) reported that biochar increased N 640 uptake by 76.11% over the control soil, while Nguyen et al. (2016) found no impact on N uptake with the addition 641 of rice husk biochar up to 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>.

642

#### 643 5.6 Phosphorus

644 Plants take up P as monovalent or divalent anions ( $H_2PO_4^-$  or  $HPO_4^{2-}$ ), but the availability of these ions may be 645 below the required level for plant growth if they are physically and chemically bonded in soils (Noyce et al. 2017). 646 Addition of biochar increased the P concentration of lettuce leaves (Biederman and Harpole 2013; Gunes et al. 647 2014). Other studies support this observation (Arif et al. 2017; Shepherd et al. 2017; Werner et al. 2018). Residual 648 biochar plus microbial inoculation with and without P-fertilizer increased by 20-52% the P content of maize 649 (Rafique et al. 2020). The impact of biochar on P uptake is mostly positive and few studies show a negative impact 650 (Table 6). For instance, incorporation of various types of biochars (empty fruit bunch, sewage sludge, and chicken 651 litter) at different levels (5–40 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) increased P uptake by 23–2096% (Table 6). Biochar plus chemical fertilizer 652 increased P and K uptake more than biochar alone (Sistani et al. 2019). However, biochar has been shown to 653 reduce P uptake by plants (Kang et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2017a) and thus decrease crop yield, which might be due to the phytotoxic effects of wood biochar (Liu et al. 2017a). Table 5 gives information on P uptake with differentbiochars.

656

# 657 5.7 Potassium

658 Biochar addition plus N-fertilizer was positively correlated with K content in sunflower plants, and the treatments 659 improved plant growth and development (Pfister and Saha 2017). Fazal and Bano (2016) did an experiment under 660 axenic conditions in a growth chamber to evaluate the role of biochar, Pseudomonas sp., and chemical fertilizer 661 on uptake of K by maize. They observed that K content was increased in maize by 46, 47, and 3% with addition 662 of only biochar, biochar + Pseudomonas sp., and biochar + chemical fertilisers, respectively. Biochar can be used 663 as an effective K-fertilizer in terms of its economic, environmental, and slow-release properties (Oh et al. 2014). 664 The concentration of K in plants grown in soil with biochar application has increased up to 112.27% (Table 6). 665 Addition of biochar at 10% increased K in stems, leaves, nut shells, and roots (Prapagdee and Tawinteung 2017). 666 Mycorrhizal inoculation in biochar amended soil increased K content by 11–20% and K uptake by 69% (Rafique 667 et al. 2020). Most studies report that the uptake of K is stimulated due to the addition of biochar (Table 6). 668 However, a few negative impacts of K uptake are presented in the Table 6.

669

#### 670 5.7.1 Other nutrients

Addition of poultry manure biochar decreased Ca and Mg concentrations in lettuce (Gunes et al. 2014). But,
biochar (1%) increased Ca and Mg concentration in chicory (*Cichorium intybus*). Concentration of Ca, Mg, and
S increased after 50 t ha<sup>-1</sup> biochar addition (Noyce et al. 2017). Application of woody biochar increased the uptake
of micronutrients (iron, copper, zinc and manganese) in soil (Gao et al. 2016). Table 6 shows concentrations of
Ca, Mg, and micronutrients after biochar addition.

676

# 677 5.8 Nutrient use efficiency

The nutrient use efficiency can be defined as yield or biomass per unit input (fertilizer, nutrient content) (Reich et al. 2014; Sarkar and Baishya 2017). It depends upon the soil, plant, and environment (Reich et al. 2014). Biochar can contribute to nutrient use efficiency in plants, both directly through increased nutrient uptake and indirectly by decreasing the loss of nutrients through leaching and gaseous emissions. Several studies (Cao et al. 2019; Coelho et al. 2018; Li et al. 2017a; Nguyen et al. 2017a; Yu et al. 2017; Yu et al. 2018) report that application of biochar increases N uptake, thereby increasing N use efficiency (NUE) in crops. Addition of wood biochar (10 t

684 ha<sup>-1</sup>) in an alkaline soil improved P use efficiency (PUE) of both wheat and maize (Arif et al. 2017). Zhang et al. 685 (2020) reported that biochar increased NUE (20-53%) and PUE (38-230%), compared to N fertilization, in a rice-686 wheat rotation during a 6-year field experiment. Application of woody biochar (20%) increased NUE of green 687 bean crops (Prapagdee and Tawinteung 2017). Indirectly, biochar increased NUE by reducing leaching of 688 nutrients (Cheng et al. 2018), decreasing gas emissions (Li et al. 2017a), and increasing soil organic carbon (Arif 689 et al. 2017). Addition of biochar (up to 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) increased NUE and PUE by 90 and 191%, respectively (Table 690 6). Application of several types of biochars (coffee waste, Dalbergia sissoo, acacia prunings, maize stalk, chicken 691 litter, mixed wood, and cuttings of acacia) at different levels  $(2-30 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$  increased the NUE (65–90%) and PUE 692 (44–150%) (Table 6). Nonetheless, application of mixed (70% Norway spruce + 30% European beech) biochar 693 in field crops reduced NUE by 6.09-8.01%, (Table 6) which was due to the presence of polyaromatic hydrocarbons 694 (PAHs) in biochar that reduced the N availability for plants (Haider et al. 2017). Usually, biochar improves NUE 695 in plants (Li et al. 2017a).

696

697

# 6. Conclusion and Future Research Recommendations

698 Biochar can be an important source of plant nutrients and can supply macro-nutrients, secondary nutrients, and 699 micronutrients to plants. Biochar has unique physical and chemical properties that influence nutrient interactions 700 in soil by altering soil properties including pH and CEC. The availability of nutrients in soil with biochar mainly 701 depends on the feedstock type of the biochar, pyrolytic conditions, rate of biochar addition to soil, and the type of 702 soil. Animal manures and waste-derived biochars have higher N, P, and K contents than crop residues and woody 703 biochars. Moreover, manure and waste (municipal and industrial) derived biochars contain more micronutrients 704 than crop residues and woody biochars. Availability of most nutrients are positively correlated with the pyrolytic 705 temperature, except N and S, and that is because of volatilization loss. The effect of biochar on Ca, Mg, and 706 micronutrient (Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn) uptake show inconsistent results. Biochar can retain P, K, and other nutrients in 707 soil by decreasing their leaching loss. Biochar usually improves nutrient use efficiency in plants.

708

709 The following are recommendations for future research:

- 710 ✓ Long-term field studies are needed rather than pot or column studies to understand the impact of biochar
  711 in soil.
- 712 ✓ The feedstock selection and application rate should be studied in relation to availability of nutrients.

- 713
- 8 Methods to increase the N content of biochar should be considered, for example by adjusting the pyrolytic
- 714 conditions, because N is reduced by increasing the pyrolysis temperature.
- 715 ✓ The availability of P as a result of different pyrolytic temperatures needs to be studied.
- 716 ✓ Studies are needed to understand the interaction of biochar and microbes and how they affect nutrient
- 717 transformation.
- 718

#### 719 Acknowledgements

- 720 MZH acknowledges scholarship from the University of Newcastle, Australia, and Cooperative Research Centre
- 721 for High Performance Soils (Soil CRC).
- 722

# 723 References

- Abbas A, Yaseen M, Khalid M, Naveed M, Aziz MZ, Hamid Y, Saleem M (2017) Effect of biochar-amended
   urea on nitrogen economy of soil for improving the growth and yield of wheat (Triticum Aestivum L.)
   under field condition J Plant Nutr 40:2303-2311 doi:10.1080/01904167.2016.1267746
- Abdulrahman DK, Othman R, Saud HM (2016) Effects of Empty Fruit Bunch Biochar and Nitrogen-Fixing
   Bacteria on Soil Properties and Growth of Sweet Corn Malay J Soil Sci 20:177-194
- Abiven S, Hund A, Martinsen V, Cornelissen G (2015) Biochar amendment increases maize root surface areas
   and branching: a shovelomics study in Zambia Plant Soil 395:45-55 doi:10.1007/s11104-015-2533-2
- Abujabhah IS, Doyle R, Bound SA, Bowman JP (2016) The effect of biochar loading rates on soil fertility, soil
   biomass, potential nitrification, and soil community metabolic profiles in three different soils J Soils Sed
   16:2211-2222 doi:10.1007/s11368-016-1411-8
- Abujabhah IS, Doyle RB, Bound SA, Bowman JP (2018) Assessment of bacterial community composition,
   methanotrophic and nitrogen-cycling bacteria in three soils with different biochar application rates J Soils
   Sed 18:148-158 doi:10.1007/s11368-017-1733-1
- Agegnehu G, Bass AM, Nelson PN, Bird MI (2016a) Benefits of biochar, compost and biochar-compost for soil
   quality, maize yield and greenhouse gas emissions in a tropical agricultural soil Sci Total Environ
   543:295-306 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.054
- Agegnehu G, Nelson PN, Bird MI (2016b) Crop yield, plant nutrient uptake and soil physicochemical properties
   under organic soil amendments and nitrogen fertilization on Nitisols Soil and Tillage Research 160:1-13
   doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2016.02.003
- Agegnehu G, Nelson PN, Bird MI (2016c) The effects of biochar, compost and their mixture and nitrogen fertilizer
   on yield and nitrogen use efficiency of barley grown on a Nitisol in the highlands of Ethiopia Sci Total
   Environ 569:869-879 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.033
- Agegnehu G, Srivastava AK, Bird MI (2017) The role of biochar and biochar-compost in improving soil quality
   and crop performance: A review Applied Soil Ecology 119:156-170 doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.06.008
- Ahmad M et al. (2014) Biochar as a sorbent for contaminant management in soil and water: A review
   Chemosphere 99:19-33 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.10.071
- Akoto-Danso EK et al. (2018) Agronomic effects of biochar and wastewater irrigation in urban crop production
   of Tamale, northern Ghana Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems doi:10.1007/s10705-018-9926-6
- Al-Wabel MI, Al-Omran A, El-Naggar AH, Nadeem M, Usman ARA (2013) Pyrolysis temperature induced
   changes in characteristics and chemical composition of biochar produced from conocarpus wastes
   Bioresour Technol 131:374-379 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.12.165

- Al-Wabel MI, Hussain Q, Usman ARA, Ahmad M, Abduljabbar A, Sallam AS, Ok YS (2018) Impact of biochar
   properties on soil conditions and agricultural sustainability: A review Land Degradation & Development
   29:2124-2161 doi:10.1002/ldr.2829
- Ali S et al. (2017) Biochar soil amendment on alleviation of drought and salt stress in plants: a critical review
   Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 24:12700-12712 doi:10.1007/s11356-017-8904-x
- Aller D, Mazur R, Moore K, Hintz R, Laird D, Horton R (2017) Biochar Age and Crop Rotation Impacts on Soil
   Quality Soil Sci Soc Am J 81 doi:10.2136/sssaj2017.01.0010
- Alotaibi KD, Schoenau JJ (2019) Addition of biochar to a sandy desert soil: Effect on crop growth,water retention
   and selected properties Agronomy 9 doi:10.3390/agronomy9060327
- Ameloot N et al. (2013) Short-term CO2 and N2O emissions and microbial properties of biochar amended sandy
   loam soils Soil Biol Biochem 57:401-410 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.10.025
- Amin AA (2016) Impact of Corn Cob Biochar on Potassium Status and Wheat Growth in a Calcareous Sandy Soil
   Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 47:2026-2033 doi:10.1080/00103624.2016.1225081
- Amin AA, Eissa MA (2017) Biochar effects on nitrogen and phosphorus use efficiencies of zucchini plants grown
   in a calcareous sandy J Soil Sci Plant Nut 17:912-921
- Anawar HM, Akter F, Solaiman ZM, Strezov V (2015) Biochar: An Emerging Panacea for Remediation of Soil
   Contaminants from Mining, Industry and Sewage Wastes Pedosphere 25:654-665 doi:Doi
   10.1016/S1002-0160(15)30046-1
- Anderson CR, Condron LM, Clough TJ, Fiers M, Stewart A, Hill RA, Sherlock RR (2011) Biochar induced soil
   microbial community change: Implications for biogeochemical cycling of carbon, nitrogen and
   phosphorus Pedobiologia 54:309-320 doi:10.1016/j.pedobi.2011.07.005
- Angst TE, Six J, Reay DS, Sohi SP (2014) Impact of pine chip biochar on trace greenhouse gas emissions and
   soil nutrient dynamics in an annual ryegrass system in California Agric, Ecosyst Environ 191:17-26
   doi:10.1016/j.agee.2014.03.009
- Anyanwu IN, Alo MN, Onyekwere AM, Crosse JD, Nworie O, Chamba EB (2018) Influence of biochar aged in
   acidic soil on ecosystem engineers and two tropical agricultural plants Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 153:116 126 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.02.005
- Are KS, Adelana AO, Fademi IO, Aina OA (2018) Improving physical properties of degraded soil: Potential of
   poultry manure and biochar Agriculture and Natural Resources doi:10.1016/j.anres.2018.03.009
- Arif M, Ali K, Jan MT, Shah Z, Jones DL, Quilliam RS (2016) Integration of biochar with animal manure and nitrogen for improving maize yields and soil properties in calcareous semi-arid agroecosystems Field Crops Res 195:28-35 doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2016.05.011
- Arif M, Ilyas M, Riaz M, Ali K, Shan K, Haq IU, Fahad S (2017) Biochar improves phosphorus use efficiency of organic-inorganic fertilizers, maize-wheat productivity and soil quality in a low fertility alkaline soil
   Field Crops Res 214:25-37 doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2017.08.018
- Atkinson CJ, Fitzgerald JD, Hipps NA (2010) Potential mechanisms for achieving agricultural benefits from
   biochar application to temperate soils: a review Plant Soil 337:1-18 doi:10.1007/s11104-010-0464-5
- Aung A, Han SH, Youn WB, Meng L, Cho MS, Park BB (2018) Biochar effects on the seedling quality of Quercus serrata and Prunus sargentii in a containerized production system Forest Science and Technology:1-7 doi:10.1080/21580103.2018.1471011
- Awad YM et al. (2017) Biochar, a potential hydroponic growth substrate, enhances the nutritional status and
   growth of leafy vegetables Journal of Cleaner Production 156:581-588
   doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.04.070
- Backer RGM, Saeed W, Seguin P, Smith DL (2017) Root traits and nitrogen fertilizer recovery efficiency of corn
   grown in biochar-amended soil under greenhouse conditions Plant Soil 415:465-477
   doi:10.1007/s11104-017-3180-6
- Baechle B, Davis AS, Pittelkow CM (2018) Potential Nitrogen Losses in Relation to Spatially Distinct Soil
   Management History and Biochar Addition Journal of Environmental Quality 47:62-69
   doi:10.2134/jeq2017.06.0246
- Baiga R, Rao BKR (2017) Effects of biochar, urea and their co-application on nitrogen mineralization in soil and
   growth of Chinese cabbage Soil Use and Management 33:54-61 doi:10.1111/sum.12328

- Baronti S et al. (2014) Impact of biochar application on plant water relations in Vitis vinifera (L.) Eur J Agron
   53:38-44 doi:10.1016/j.eja.2013.11.003
- Bashir S, Shaaban M, Mehmood S, Zhu J, Fu Q, Hu H (2018) Efficiency of C3 and C4 Plant Derived-Biochar for
   Cd Mobility, Nutrient Cycling and Microbial Biomass in Contaminated Soil Bull Environ Contam
   Toxicol:1-5 doi:10.1007/s00128-018-2332-6
- Batista E et al. (2018) Effect of surface and porosity of biochar on water holding capacity aiming indirectly at
   preservation of the Amazon biome Sci Rep 8:10677 doi:10.1038/s41598-018-28794-z
- Beesley L, Moreno-Jimenez E, Gomez-Eyles JL, Harris E, Robinson B, Sizmur T (2011) A review of biochars'
  potential role in the remediation, revegetation and restoration of contaminated soils Environ Pollut
  159:3269-3282 doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2011.07.023
- Beheshti M, Etesami H, Alikhani HA (2017) Interaction study of biochar with phosphate-solubilizing bacterium
   on phosphorus availability in calcareous soil Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 63:1572-1581
   doi:10.1080/03650340.2017.1295138
- Beusch C, Cierjacks A, Bohm J, Mertens J, Bischoff WA, de Araujo JC, Kaupenjohann M (2019) Biochar vs.
  clay: Comparison of their effects on nutrient retention of a tropical Arenosol Geoderma 337:524-535
  doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.09.043
- Biederman LA, Harpole WS (2013) Biochar and its effects on plant productivity and nutrient cycling: a metaanalysis Global Change Biology Bioenergy 5:202-214 doi:10.1111/gcbb.12037
- Biederman LA, Phelps J, Ross B, Polzin M, Harpole WS (2017) Biochar and manure alter few aspects of prairie
   development: A field test Agr Ecosyst Environ 236:78-87 doi:10.1016/j.agee.2016.11.016
- Borchard N et al. (2019) Biochar, soil and land-use interactions that reduce nitrate leaching and N2O emissions:
   A meta-analysis Sci Total Environ 651:2354-2364 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.060
- Brantley KE, Savin MC, Brye KR, Longer DE (2016) Nutrient availability and corn growth in a poultry litter
  biochar-amended loam soil in a greenhouse experiment Soil Use and Management 32:279-288
  doi:10.1111/sum.12296
- Bruun EW, Müller-Stöver D, Ambus P, Hauggaard-Nielsen H (2011) Application of biochar to soil and N2O
   emissions: potential effects of blending fast-pyrolysis biochar with anaerobically digested slurry Eur J
   Soil Sci 62:581-589 doi:doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2011.01377.x
- Bu XL, Xue JH, Zhao CX, Wu YB, Han FY (2017) Nutrient Leaching and Retention in Riparian Soils as
  Influenced by Rice Husk Biochar Addition Soil Science 182:241-247
  doi:10.1097/ss.0000000000217
- Buss W, Graham MC, Shepherd JG, Mašek O (2016) Suitability of marginal biomass-derived biochars for soil
   amendment Sci Total Environ 547:314-322 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.148
- Butnan S, Deenik JL, Toomsan B, Antal MJ, Vityakon P (2015) Biochar characteristics and application rates
  affecting corn growth and properties of soils contrasting in texture and mineralogy Geoderma 237238:105-116 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.08.010
- Butnan S, Deenik JL, Toomsan B, Vityakon P (2018) Biochar properties affecting carbon stability in soils
   contrasting in texture and mineralogy Agriculture and Natural Resources
   doi:10.1016/j.anres.2018.03.002
- Cai Y, Chang SX (2016) Biochar Effects on Soil Fertility and Nutrient Cycling. In: Ok YS, Uchimiya SM, Chang
  SX, Bolan N (eds) Biochar: Production, Characterization, and Applications. 1st edn. CRC Press. Taylor
  & Francis group, 6000 Broken Sound Parkway NW, Suite 300 Boca Raton, FL 33487-2742, pp 246-271
- Cai YJ, Akiyama H (2017) Effects of inhibitors and biochar on nitrous oxide emissions, nitrate leaching, and plant
   nitrogen uptake from urine patches of grazing animals on grasslands: a meta-analysis Soil Sci Plant Nutr
   63:405-414 doi:10.1080/00380768.2017.1367627
- Cantrell KB, Hunt PG, Uchimiya M, Novak JM, Ro KS (2012) Impact of pyrolysis temperature and manure
   source on physicochemical characteristics of biochar Bioresour Technol 107:419-428
   doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.11.084
- Cao H et al. (2019) Biochar can increase nitrogen use efficiency of Malus hupehensis by modulating nitrate
   reduction of soil and root Applied Soil Ecology 135:25-32 doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.11.002

- Cayuela ML, van Zwieten L, Singh BP, Jeffery S, Roig A, Sánchez-Monedero MA (2014) Biochar's role in mitigating soil nitrous oxide emissions: A review and meta-analysis Agric, Ecosyst Environ 191:5-16 doi:10.1016/j.agee.2013.10.009
- Ch'ng HY, Ahmed OH, Ab Majid NM, Jalloh MB (2017) Reducing Soil Phosphorus Fixation to Improve Yield
   of Maize on a Tropical Acid Soil Using Compost and Biochar Derived from Agro-Industrial Wastes
   Compost Sci Util 205:82-94 doi:10.1080/1065657x.2016.1202795
- Chang Y-M, Tsai W-T, Li M-H (2015) Chemical characterization of char derived from slow pyrolysis of
   microalgal residue Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis 111:88-93
   doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2014.12.004
- Chen B, Chen Z, Lv S (2011) A novel magnetic biochar efficiently sorbs organic pollutants and phosphate
   Bioresour Technol 102:716-723 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.08.067
- Chen H, Ma J, Wei J, Gong X, Yu X, Guo H, Zhao Y (2018) Biochar increases plant growth and alters microbial
   communities via regulating the moisture and temperature of green roof substrates Sci Total Environ
   635:333-342 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.127
- Cheng HG, Jones DL, Hill P, Bastami MS, Tu CL (2018) Influence of biochar produced from different pyrolysis
   temperature on nutrient retention and leaching Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 64:850-859
   doi:10.1080/03650340.2017.1384545
- Cheng J, Lee X, Gao W, Chen Y, Pan W, Tang Y (2017) Effect of biochar on the bioavailability of difenoconazole
   and microbial community composition in a pesticide-contaminated soil Applied Soil Ecology 121:185 192 doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.10.009
- Christel W, Bruun S, Magid J, Kwapinski W, Jensen LS (2016) Pig slurry acidification, separation technology
  and thermal conversion affect phosphorus availability in soil amended with the derived solid fractions,
  chars or ashes Plant Soil 401:93-107 doi:10.1007/s11104-015-2519-0
- Clark M, Hastings MG, Ryals R (2019) Soil carbon and nitrogen dynamics in two agricultural soils amended with
   manure-derived biochar Journal of Environmental Quality 48:727-734 doi:10.2134/jeq2018.10.0384
- Clough T, Condron L, Kammann C, Müller C (2013) A Review of Biochar and Soil Nitrogen Dynamics
   Agronomy 3:275-293 doi:10.3390/agronomy3020275
- Coelho MA, Fusconi R, Pinheiro L, Ramos IC, Ferreira AS (2018) The combination of compost or biochar with
   urea and NBPT can improve nitrogen-use efficiency in maize Anais Da Academia Brasileira De Ciencias
   90:1695-1703 doi:10.1590/0001-3765201820170416
- Compant S, Clément C, Sessitsch A (2010) Plant growth-promoting bacteria in the rhizo- and endosphere of
   plants: Their role, colonization, mechanisms involved and prospects for utilization Soil Biol Biochem
   42:669-678 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.11.024
- Cordovil CMDS, Pinto R, Silva B, Sas-Paszt L, Sakrabani R, Skiba UM (2019) The Impact of Woody Biochar
   on Microbial Processes in Conventionally and Organically Managed Arable soils Commun Soil Sci Plant
   Anal 50:1387-1402 doi:10.1080/00103624.2019.1614609
- Cui HJ, Wang MK, Fu ML, Ci E (2011) Enhancing phosphorus availability in phosphorus-fertilized zones by
   reducing phosphate adsorbed on ferrihydrite using rice straw-derived biochar J Soils Sed 11:1135-1141
   doi:10.1007/s11368-011-0405-9
- Cui YF, Meng J, Wang QX, Zhang WM, Cheng XY, Chen WF (2017) Effects of straw and biochar addition on
   soil nitrogen, carbon, and super rice yield in cold waterlogged paddy soils of North China Journal of
   Integrative Agriculture 16:1064-1074 doi:10.1016/s2095-3119(16)61578-2
- Bai LC, Li H, Tan FR, Zhu NM, He MX, Hu GQ (2016) Biochar: a potential route for recycling of phosphorus
   in agricultural residues Global Change Biology Bioenergy 8:852-858 doi:10.1111/gcbb.12365
- Dai Z, Zhang X, Tang C, Muhammad N, Wu J, Brookes PC, Xu J (2017) Potential role of biochars in decreasing
   soil acidification A critical review Sci Total Environ 581-582:601-611
   doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.12.169
- de Figueiredo CC, Farias WM, Coser TR, Monteiro de Paula A, Sartori da Silva MR, Paz-Ferreiro J (2019)
   Sewage sludge biochar alters root colonization of mycorrhizal fungi in a soil cultivated with corn Eur J
   Soil Biol 93 doi:10.1016/j.ejsobi.2019.103092

- 906 DeLuca TH, Gundale MJ, MacKenzie MD, Jones DL (2015) Biochar effects on soil nutrient transformations. In:
   907 Lehmann JJ, S. (ed) Biochar for environmental management: science. technology and implementation.
   908 2nd edn. Taylor and Francis, New York, USA,, pp 421-454
- Dewi WS, Wahyuningsih GI, Syamsiyah J, Mujiyo Dynamics of N-NH4 +, N-NO3 -, and total soil nitrogen in paddy field with azolla and biochar. In: IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2018. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/142/1/012014
- 912 Ding Y et al. (2017) Potential Benefits of Biochar in Agricultural Soils: A Review Pedosphere 27:645-661
   913 doi:10.1016/s1002-0160(17)60375-8
- Ding Y et al. (2016) Biochar to improve soil fertility. A review Agronomy for Sustainable Development 36 doi:10.1007/s13593-016-0372-z
- 916 Dong X, Singh BP, Li G, Lin Q, Zhao X (2018) Biochar application constrained native soil organic carbon
   917 accumulation from wheat residue inputs in a long-term wheat-maize cropping system Agric, Ecosyst
   918 Environ 252:200-207 doi:10.1016/j.agee.2017.08.026
- Dong, D., Wang, C., Van Zwieten, L., Wang, H.L., Jiang, P.K., Zhou, M.M., Wu, W.X., (2020). An effective
  biochar-based slow-release fertilizer for reducing nitrogen loss in paddy fields. J. Soils Sed. 20, 30273040.
- 922 Doydora SA, Cabrera ML, Das KC, Gaskin JW, Sonon LS, Miller WP (2011) Release of Nitrogen and Phosphorus
   923 from Poultry Litter Amended with Acidified Biochar Int J Env Res Public Health 8:1491-1502
   924 doi:10.3390/ijerph8051491
- Du ZJ, Xiao YT, Qi XB, Liu YA, Fan XY, Li ZY (2018) Peanut-Shell Biochar and Biogas Slurry Improve Soil
   Properties in the North China Plain: A Four-Year Field Study Scientific Reports 8 doi:10.1038/s41598 018-31942-0
- Ducey TF, Ippolito JA, Cantrell KB, Novak JM, Lentz RD (2013) Addition of activated switchgrass biochar to
   an aridic subsoil increases microbial nitrogen cycling gene abundances Applied Soil Ecology 65:65-72
   doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2013.01.006
- Efthymiou A, Grønlund M, Müller-Stöver DS, Jakobsen I (2018) Augmentation of the phosphorus fertilizer value
   of biochar by inoculation of wheat with selected Penicillium strains Soil Biol Biochem 116:139-147
   doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.10.006
- Biochar influences soil carbon pools and facilitates interactions with soil: A field
   investigation Land Degradation & Development doi:10.1002/ldr.2896
- El-Naggar A et al. (2019a) Biochar composition-dependent impacts on soil nutrient release, carbon mineralization,
   and potential environmental risk: A review J Environ Manage 241:458-467
   doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.02.044
- Bi-Naggar A et al. (2018b) Influence of soil properties and feedstocks on biochar potential for carbon
   mineralization and improvement of infertile soils Geoderma 332:100-108
   doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.06.017
- El-Naggar A et al. (2019b) Biochar application to low fertility soils: A review of current status, and future
   prospects Geoderma 337:536-554 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.09.034
- El-Naggar A, Shaheen SM, Ok YS, Rinklebe J (2018c) Biochar affects the dissolved and colloidal concentrations
   of Cd, Cu, Ni, and Zn and their phytoavailability and potential mobility in a mining soil under dynamic
   redox-conditions Sci Total Environ 624:1059-1071 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.190
- Elbashier MMA, Xiaohou S, Ali AAS, Mohmmed A (2018) Effect of digestate and biochar amendments on
   photosynthesis rate, growth parameters, water use efficiency and yield of Chinese Melon (Cucumis melo
   L.) under saline irrigation Agronomy 8 doi:10.3390/agronomy8020022
- Elshaikh NA, Zhipeng L, Dongli S, Timm LC (2018) Increasing the okra salt threshold value with biochar
   amendments Journal of Plant Interactions 13:51-63 doi:10.1080/17429145.2017.1418914
- 952 Enders A, Hanley K, Whitman T, Joseph S, Lehmann J (2012) Characterization of biochars to evaluate
   953 recalcitrance and agronomic performance Bioresour Technol 114:644-653
   954 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.03.022
- Esfandbod M et al. (2017) Aged acidic biochar increases nitrogen retention and decreases ammonia volatilization
   in alkaline bauxite residue sand Ecol Eng 98:157-165 doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.10.077

- 957 Eykelbosh AJ, Johnson MS, Santos de Queiroz E, Dalmagro HJ, Guimaraes Couto E (2014) Biochar from
   958 sugarcane filtercake reduces soil CO2 emissions relative to raw residue and improves water retention
   959 and nutrient availability in a highly-weathered tropical soil PLoS One 9:e98523
   960 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098523
- 961 Fageria NK, Baligar VC (2005) Enhancing Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Crop Plants. In: Advances in Agronomy,
   962 vol 88. Academic Press, pp 97-185. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(05)88004-6
- Farhangi-Abriz S, Torabian S (2018) Effect of biochar on growth and ion contents of bean plant under saline
   condition Environ Sci Pollut Res Int doi:10.1007/s11356-018-1446-z
- Faria WM, de Figueiredo CC, Coser TR, Vale AT, Schneider BG (2017) Is sewage sludge biochar capable of
   replacing inorganic fertilizers for corn production? Evidence from a two-year field experiment Archives
   of Agronomy and Soil Science:1-15 doi:10.1080/03650340.2017.1360488
- Fazal A, Bano A (2016) Role of Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR), Biochar, and Chemical Fertilizer
   under Salinity Stress Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 47:1985-1993 doi:10.1080/00103624.2016.1216562
- 970 Ferreira SD, Manera C, Silvestre WP, Pauletti GF, Altafini CR, Godinho M (2018) Use of Biochar Produced from
   971 Elephant Grass by Pyrolysis in a Screw Reactor as a Soil Amendment Waste Biomass Valori:1-12
   972 doi:10.1007/s12649-018-0347-1
- Fidel RB, Laird DA, Thompson ML, Lawrinenko M (2017) Characterization and quantification of biochar
   alkalinity Chemosphere 167:367-373 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.09.151
- 975 Figueredo NAd, Costa LMd, Melo LCA, Siebeneichlerd EA, Tronto J (2017) Characterization of biochars from
   976 different sources and evaluation of release of nutrients and contaminants Revista CiÊncia AgronÔmica
   977 48 doi:10.5935/1806-6690.20170046
- 978 Fu Q et al. (2019) Effects of biochar application during different periods on soil structures and water retention in
   979 seasonally frozen soil areas Sci Total Environ 694 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133732
- Fungo B, Lehmann J, Kalbitz K, Thiongo M, Tenywa M, Okeyo I, Neufeldt H (2019) Ammonia and nitrous oxide
   emissions from a field Ultisol amended with tithonia green manure, urea, and biochar Biol Fertility Soils
   55:135-148 doi:10.1007/s00374-018-01338-3
- Gao S, Hoffman-Krull K, Bidwell AL, DeLuca TH (2016) Locally produced wood biochar increases nutrient
   retention and availability in agricultural soils of the San Juan Islands, USA Agr Ecosyst Environ 233:43 54 doi:10.1016/j.agee.2016.08.028
- Gavili E, Moosavi AA, Moradi Choghamarani F (2018) Cattle manure biochar potential for ameliorating soil
   physical characteristics and spinach response under drought Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science:1 14 doi:10.1080/03650340.2018.1453925
- Gerdelidani AF, Hosseini HM (2018) Effects of sugar cane bagasse biochar and spent mushroom compost on
   phosphorus fractionation in calcareous soils Soil Research 56:136-144 doi:10.1071/SR17091
- Ghorbani M, Asadi H, Abrishamkesh S (2019) Effects of rice husk biochar on selected soil properties and nitrate
   leaching in loamy sand and clay soil International Soil and Water Conservation Research 7:258-265
   doi:10.1016/j.iswcr.2019.05.005
- Glaser B, Lehmann J, Zech W (2002) Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly weathered soils in
   the tropics with charcoal a review Biol Fertility Soils 35:219-230 doi:10.1007/s00374-002-0466-4
- Gonzaga MIS, de Souza DCF, de Almeida AQ, Mackowiak C, Lima ID, Santos JCD, de Andrade RS (2019)
   Nitrogen and phosphorus uptake efficiency in Indian mustard cultivated during three growth cycles in a
   copper contaminated soil treated with biochar Ciencia Rural 49 doi:10.1590/0103-8478cr20170592
- Gonzaga MIS, Mackowiak C, de Almeida AQ, de Carvalho Junior JIT, Andrade KR (2018) Positive and negative
   effects of biochar from coconut husks, orange bagasse and pine wood chips on maize (Zea mays L.)
   growth and nutrition Catena 162:414-420 doi:10.1016/j.catena.2017.10.018
- Greenberg I, Kaiser M, Polifka S, Wiedner K, Glaser B, Ludwig B (2019) The effect of biochar with biogas digestate or mineral fertilizer on fertility, aggregation and organic carbon content of a sandy soil: Results of a temperate field experiment J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 182:824-835 doi:10.1002/jpln.201800496
- Grierson S, Strezov V, Shah P (2011) Properties of oil and char derived from slow pyrolysis of Tetraselmis chui
   Bioresour Technol 102:8232-8240 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.06.010
- Gul S, Whalen JK (2016) Biochemical cycling of nitrogen and phosphorus in biochar-amended soils Soil Biology
   & Biochemistry 103:1-15 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.08.001

- Gunes A, Inal A, Taskin MB, Sahin O, Kaya EC, Atakol A (2014) Effect of phosphorus-enriched biochar and poultry manure on growth and mineral composition of lettuce (Lactuca sativa L. cv.) grown in alkaline soil Soil Use and Management 30:182-188 doi:10.1111/sum.12114
- 1012 Guo Y, Tang H, Li G, Xie D (2013) Effects of Cow Dung Biochar Amendment on Adsorption and Leaching of
   1013 Nutrient from an Acid Yellow Soil Irrigated with Biogas Slurry Water, Air, Soil Pollut 225
   1014 doi:10.1007/s11270-013-1820-x
- Haefele SM, Konboon Y, Wongboon W, Amarante S, Maarifat AA, Pfeiffer EM, Knoblauch C (2011) Effects
  and fate of biochar from rice residues in rice-based systems Field Crops Res 121:430-440
  doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2011.01.014
- Hagemann, N., Kammann, C.I., Schmidt, H.-P., Kappler, A., Behrens, S., (2017). Nitrate capture and slow release
   in biochar amended compost and soil. PLOS ONE 12, e0171214.
- Haider G, Steffens D, Moser G, Müller C, Kammann CI (2017) Biochar reduced nitrate leaching and improved
   soil moisture content without yield improvements in a four-year field study Agric, Ecosyst Environ
   237:80-94 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.12.019
- Hardie MA, Oliver G, Clothier BE, Bound SA, Green SA, Close DC (2015) Effect of Biochar on Nutrient
   Leaching in a Young Apple Orchard J Environ Qual 44:1273-1282 doi:10.2134/jeq2015.02.0068
- Herrmann L, Lesueur D, Robin A, Robain H, Wiriyakitnateekul W, Bräu L (2019) Impact of biochar application
   dose on soil microbial communities associated with rubber trees in North East Thailand Sci Total Environ
   689:970-979 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.441
- Hilioti Z, Michailof CM, Valasiadis D, Iliopoulou EF, Koidou V, Lappas AA (2017) Characterization of castor
   plant-derived biochars and their effects as soil amendments on seedlings Biomass Bioenergy 105:96-106
   doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2017.06.022
- Horák J, Šimanský V, Igaz D (2019) Biochar and biochar with N fertilizer impact on soil physical properties in a
   silty loam Haplic Luvisol J Ecol Eng 20:31-38 doi:10.12911/22998993/109857
- Houben D, Hardy B, Faucon MP, Cornelis JT (2017) Effect of biochar on phosphorus bioavailability in an acidic
   silt loam soil Biotechnol Agron Soc 21:209-217
- Hu, P., Zhang, Y.H., Liu, L.P., Wang, X.K., Luan, X.L., Ma, X., Chu, P.K., Zhou, J.C., Zhao, P.D., (2019).
  Biochar/struvite composite as a novel potential material for slow release of N and P. Environ Sci Pollut
  R 26, 17152-17162.
- Huang R et al. (2019) Structural changes of soil organic matter and the linkage to rhizosphere bacterial
   communities with biochar amendment in manure fertilized soils Sci Total Environ 692:333-343
   doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.262
- Hussain M et al. (2017) Biochar for crop production: potential benefits and risks J Soils Sed 17:685-716
   doi:10.1007/s11368-016-1360-2
- 1043 IBI (2015) Standardized Product Definition and Product Testing Guidelines for Biochar 6 That Is Used in Soil.
   1044 International Biochar Initiative,
- Igalavithana AD et al. (2018) Advances and future directions of biochar characterization methods and applications
   Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 47:2275-2330 doi:10.1080/10643389.2017.1421844
- Igalavithana AD et al. (2016) The Effects of Biochar Amendment on Soil Fertility. In: Agricultural and
   Environmental Applications of Biochar: Advances and Barriers. SSSA Special Publication.
   doi:10.2136/sssaspecpub63.2014.0040
- Ippolito JA, Stromberger ME, Lentz RD, Dungan RS (2014) Hardwood biochar influences calcareous soil
   physicochemical and microbiological status J Environ Qual 43:681-689 doi:10.2134/jeq2013.08.0324
- Jaisi DP, Blake RE, Kukkadapu RK (2010) Fractionation of oxygen isotopes in phosphate during its interactions
   with iron oxides Geochim Cosmochim Acta 74:1309-1319 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2009.11.010
- Jatav HS, Singh SK, Singh Y, Kumar O (2018) Biochar and Sewage Sludge Application Increases Yield and
   Micronutrient Uptake in Rice (Oryza sativa L.) Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal:1-12
   doi:10.1080/00103624.2018.1474900
- Jin H, Capareda S, Chang Z, Gao J, Xu Y, Zhang J (2014) Biochar pyrolytically produced from municipal solid
   wastes for aqueous As(V) removal: adsorption property and its improvement with KOH activation
   Bioresour Technol 169:622-629 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.06.103

- Joseph S, Taylor P (2014) The production and application of biochar in soils. In: Waldron K (ed) Advances in Biorefineries: Biomass and Waste Supply Chain Exploitation. Woodhead Publishing Limited, Elsevier, 80 High Street, Sawston, Cambridge, CB22 3HJ, UK, pp 525-555. doi:10.1533/9780857097385.2.525
- Juriga M, Šimanský V (2018) Effect of biochar on soil structure review Acta fytotechnica et zootechnica 21:11 1064 19 doi:10.15414/afz.2018.21.01.11-19
- Kambo HS, Dutta A (2015) A comparative review of biochar and hydrochar in terms of production, physico chemical properties and applications Renew Sust Energ Rev 45:359-378 doi:10.1016/j.rser.2015.01.050
- 1067 Kang SW, Kim SH, Park JH, Seo DC, Ok YS, Cho JS (2018) Effect of biochar derived from barley straw on soil
   1068 physicochemical properties, crop growth, and nitrous oxide emission in an upland field in South Korea
   1069 Environ Sci Pollut R:1-9 doi:10.1007/s11356-018-1888-3
- 1070 Karim AA, Kumar M, Singh SK, Panda CR, Mishra BK (2017) Potassium enriched biochar production by thermal
   1071 plasma processing of banana peduncle for soil application Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis
   1072 123:165-172 doi:10.1016/j.jaap.2016.12.009
- 1073 Karunanithi R et al. (2015) Chapter Three Phosphorus Recovery and Reuse from Waste Streams. In: Sparks DL
  1074 (ed) Advances in Agronomy, vol 131. Academic Press, pp 173-250.
  1075 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2014.12.005
- 1076 Kasak K et al. (2018) Biochar enhances plant growth and nutrient removal in horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands Sci Total Environ 639:67-74 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.146
- 1078 Khanmohammadi Z, Afyuni M, Mosaddeghi MR (2017) Effect of sewage sludge and its biochar on chemical
   1079 properties of two calcareous soils and maize shoot yield Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 63:198 1080 212 doi:10.1080/03650340.2016.1210787
- 1081 Kondrlova E, Horak J, Igaz D (2018) Effect of biochar and nutrient amendment on vegetative growth of spring
   1082 barley (Hordeum vulgare L. var. Malz) Australian Journal of Crop Science 12:178-184
   1083 doi:10.21475/ajcs.18.12.02.pne476
- Laghari M et al. (2016) Recent developments in biochar as an effective tool for agricultural soil management: a
   review J Sci Food Agric 96:4840-4849 doi:10.1002/jsfa.7753
- Laird D, Fleming P, Wang B, Horton R, Karlen D (2010) Biochar impact on nutrient leaching from a Midwestern agricultural soil Geoderma 158:436-442 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.05.012
- Lamb MC, Sorensen RB, Butts CL (2018) Crop response to biochar under differing irrigation levels in the southeastern USA Journal of Crop Improvement 32:305-317 doi:10.1080/15427528.2018.1425791
- Lee Y, Eum P-R-B, Ryu C, Park Y-K, Jung J-H, Hyun S (2013) Characteristics of biochar produced from slow
   pyrolysis of Geodae-Uksae 1 Bioresour Technol 130:345-350
   doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.12.012
- Lehmann J, da Silva JP, Steiner C, Nehls T, Zech W, Glaser B (2003) Nutrient availability and leaching in an
   archaeological Anthrosol and a Ferralsol of the Central Amazon basin: fertilizer, manure and charcoal
   amendments Plant Soil 249:343-357 doi:Doi 10.1023/A:1022833116184
- Lehmann J, Gaunt J, Rondon M (2006) Bio-char Sequestration in Terrestrial Ecosystems A Review Mitigation
   and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 11:403-427 doi:10.1007/s11027-005-9006-5
- Lehmann J, Rillig MC, Thies J, Masiello CA, Hockaday WC, Crowley D (2011) Biochar effects on soil biota A
   review Soil Biology & Biochemistry 43:1812-1836 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.022
- Leng LJ et al. (2020) Nitrogen containing functional groups of biochar: An overview Bioresour Technol 298
   doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122286
- Li B, Bi ZC, Xiong ZQ (2017a) Dynamic responses of nitrous oxide emission and nitrogen use efficiency to nitrogen and biochar amendment in an intensified vegetable field in southeastern China Global Change Biology Bioenergy 9:400-413 doi:10.1111/gcbb.12356
- Li CJ, Xiong YW, Qu ZY, Xu X, Huang QZ, Huang GH (2018) Impact of biochar addition on soil properties and
   water-fertilizer productivity of tomato in semi-arid region of Inner Mongolia, China Geoderma 331:100 108 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.06.014
- Li H, Dong X, da Silva EB, de Oliveira LM, Chen Y, Ma LQ (2017b) Mechanisms of metal sorption by biochars:
   Biochar characteristics and modifications Chemosphere 178:466-478
   doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.03.072

- Li H, Li Y, Xu Y, Lu X (2020) Biochar phosphorus fertilizer effects on soil phosphorus availability Chemosphere
   244 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125471
- Li M et al. (2019) Three-year field observation of biochar-mediated changes in soil organic carbon and microbial
   activity Journal of Environmental Quality 48:717-726 doi:10.2134/jeq2018.10.0354
- Li S, Shangguan Z (2018) Positive effects of apple branch biochar on wheat yield only appear at a low application
   rate, regardless of nitrogen and water conditions J Soils Sed:1-9 doi:10.1007/s11368-018-1994-3
- Liesch AM (2010) Impact of two different biochars on earthworm growth and survival Annals of environmental
   science v. 4:pp. 1-0-2010 v.2014
- Lim T-J, Spokas K (2018) Impact of Biochar Particle Shape and Size on Saturated Hydraulic Properties of Soil
   Korean Journal of Environmental Agriculture 37:1-8 doi:10.5338/kjea.2018.37.1.09
- Lima JRDS et al. (2018) Effect of biochar on physicochemical properties of a sandy soil and maize growth in a
   greenhouse experiment Geoderma 319:14-23 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.12.033
- Liu C, Liu F, Ravnskov S, Rubaek GH, Sun Z, Andersen MN (2017a) Impact of Wood Biochar and Its Interactions
   with Mycorrhizal Fungi, Phosphorus Fertilization and Irrigation Strategies on Potato Growth Journal of
   Agronomy and Crop Science 203:131-145 doi:10.1111/jac.12185
- Liu LY, Tan ZX, Gong HB, Huang QY (2019a) Migration and Transformation Mechanisms of Nutrient Elements
   (N, P, K) within Biochar in Straw-Biochar-Soil-Plant Systems: A Review. ACS Sustainable Chemistry
   & Engineering 7:22-32 doi:10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b04253
- Liu Q et al. (2018) How does biochar influence soil N cycle? A meta-analysis Plant Soil 426:211-225
   doi:10.1007/s11104-018-3619-4
- Liu X et al. (2019b) Impact of biochar amendment on the abundance and structure of diazotrophic community in
   an alkaline soil Sci Total Environ 688:944-951 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.293
- Liu Z, He T, Cao T, Yang T, Meng J, Chen W (2017b) Effects of biochar application on nitrogen leaching,
   ammonia volatilization and nitrogen use efficiency in two distinct soils J Soil Sci Plant Nut:0-0
   doi:10.4067/s0718-95162017005000037
- Liu Z et al. (2019c) The responses of soil organic carbon mineralization and microbial communities to fresh and
   aged biochar soil amendments GCB Bioenergy doi:10.1111/gcbb.12644
- Liu, X., Liao, J., Song, H., Yang, Y., Guan, C., Zhang, Z., (2019d). A Biochar-Based Route for Environmentally
   Friendly Controlled Release of Nitrogen: Urea-Loaded Biochar and Bentonite Composite. Sci Rep-Uk
   9, 9548.
- Loganathan P, Vigneswaran S, Kandasamy J, Bolan NS (2014) Removal and Recovery of Phosphate From Water
   Using Sorption Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 44:847-907 doi:10.1080/10643389.2012.741311
- Lone AH, Najar GR, Ganie MA, Sofi JA, Ali T (2015) Biochar for Sustainable Soil Health: A Review of Prospects
   and Concerns Pedosphere 25:639-653 doi:10.1016/s1002-0160(15)30045-x
- 1145 Lopez-Capel E et al. (2016) Biochar properties, 1st edn. Routledge, Tailor and Francis, London and New York
- 1146Lu H, Wang Y, Liu Y, Wang Y, He L, Zhong Z, Yang S (2018) Effects of Water-Washed Biochar on Soil1147Properties, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, and Rice Yield Clean Soil, Air, Water 461148doi:10.1002/clen.201700143
- Lu K et al. (2014) Effect of bamboo and rice straw biochars on the bioavailability of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn to Sedum
   plumbizincicola Agric, Ecosyst Environ 191:124-132 doi:10.1016/j.agee.2014.04.010
- Lusiba S, Odhiambo J, Ogola J (2017) Effect of biochar and phosphorus fertilizer application on soil fertility: soil
   physical and chemical properties Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 63:477-490
   doi:10.1080/03650340.2016.1218477
- Macdonald LM, Farrell M, Van Zwieten L, Krull ES (2014) Plant growth responses to biochar addition: an
   Australian soils perspective Biol Fertility Soils 50:1035-1045 doi:10.1007/s00374-014-0921-z
- Madiba OF, Solaiman ZM, Carson JK, Murphy DV (2016) Biochar increases availability and uptake of
   phosphorus to wheat under leaching conditions Biol Fertility Soils 52:439-446 doi:10.1007/s00374-016 1099-3
- Major J, Rondon M, Molina D, Riha SJ, Lehmann J (2010) Maize yield and nutrition during 4 years after biochar
   application to a Colombian savanna oxisol Plant Soil 333:117-128 doi:10.1007/s11104-010-0327-0
- Major J, Rondon M, Molina D, Riha SJ, Lehmann J (2012) Nutrient leaching in a Colombian savanna Oxisol
   amended with biochar J Environ Qual 41:1076-1086 doi:10.2134/jeq2011.0128

- Mandal S, Donner E, Smith E, Sarkar B, Lombi E (2019) Biochar with near-neutral pH reduces ammonia
   volatilization and improves plant growth in a soil-plant system: A closed chamber experiment Sci Total
   Environ 697:134114 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134114
- Mandal S, Donner E, Vasileiadis S, Skinner W, Smith E, Lombi E (2018) The effect of biochar feedstock,
   pyrolysis temperature, and application rate on the reduction of ammonia volatilisation from biochar amended soil Sci Total Environ 627:942-950 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.312
- Mandal S, Pu S, Adhikari S, Ma H, Kim D-H, Bai Y, Hou D (2020) Progress and future prospects in biochar
   composites: Application and reflection in the soil environment Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol:1-53
   doi:10.1080/10643389.2020.1713030
- Mandal S, Thangarajan R, Bolan NS, Sarkar B, Khan N, Ok YS, Naidu R (2016) Biochar-induced concomitant
   decrease in ammonia volatilization and increase in nitrogen use efficiency by wheat Chemosphere
   1174 142:120-127 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.04.086
- Mavi MS, Singh G, Singh BP, Sekhon BS, Choudhary OP, Sagi S, Berry R (2018) Interactive effects of riceresidue biochar and N-fertilizer on soil functions and crop biomass in contrasting soils J Soil Sci Plant Nut:0-0 doi:10.4067/s0718-95162018005000201
- Meier S et al. (2019) Effects of three biochars on copper immobilization and soil microbial communities in a
   metal-contaminated soil using a metallophyte and two agricultural plants Environ Geochem Health
   doi:10.1007/s10653-019-00436-x
- Melo TM et al. (2018) Plant and soil responses to hydrothermally converted sewage sludge (sewchar)
   Chemosphere 206:338-348 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.04.178
- 1183Mendez A, Gomez A, Paz-Ferreiro J, Gasco G (2012) Effects of sewage sludge biochar on plant metal availability1184after application to a Mediterranean soil Chemosphere1185doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.05.092
- Mierzwa-Hersztek M, Gondek K, Baran A (2016) Effect of poultry litter biochar on soil enzymatic activity,
   ecotoxicity and plant growth Applied Soil Ecology 105:144-150 doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.04.006
- Miranda ND, Pimenta AS, da Silva GGC, Oliveira EMM, de Carvalho MAB (2017) Biochar as Soil Conditioner
   in the Succession of Upland Rice and Cowpea Fertilized with Nitrogen Rev Caatinga 30:313-323
   doi:10.1590/1983-21252017v30n206rc
- Mitchell K, French E, Beckerman J, Iyer-Pascuzzi A, Volenec J, Gibson K (2018) Biochar alters the root systems
   of large crabgrass HortScience 53:354-359 doi:10.21273/HORTSCI12690-17
- Muhammad N et al. (2018) Biochar for sustainable soil and environment: a comprehensive review Arabian Journal
   of Geosciences 11:1-14 doi:10.1007/s12517-018-4074-5
- 1195 Mukherjee A, Lal R (2014) The biochar dilemma Soil Research 52 doi:10.1071/sr13359
- Mukherjee A, Zimmerman AR, Harris W (2011) Surface chemistry variations among a series of laboratory produced biochars Geoderma 163:247-255 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.04.021
- Munoz C, Gongora S, Zagal E (2016) Use of biochar as a soil amendment: a brief review Chilean Journal of
   Agricultural & Animal Sciences 32:37-47
- Nair VD, Nair PKR, Dari B, Freitas AM, Chatterjee N, Pinheiro FM (2017) Biochar in the Agroecosystem–
   Climate-Change–Sustainability Nexus Frontiers in Plant Science 8 doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.02051
- Nguyen BT, Phan BT, Nguyen TX, Nguyen VN, Tran TV, Bach QV (2020) Contrastive nutrient leaching from
   two differently textured paddy soils as influenced by biochar addition J Soils Sed 20:297-307
   doi:10.1007/s11368-019-02366-8
- Nguyen DH, Scheer C, Rowlings DW, Grace PR (2016) Rice husk biochar and crop residue amendment in subtropical cropping soils: effect on biomass production, nitrogen use efficiency and greenhouse gas emissions Biol Fertility Soils 52:261-270 doi:10.1007/s00374-015-1074-4
- Nguyen TTN et al. (2017a) Short-term effects of organo-mineral biochar and organic fertilisers on nitrogen cycling, plant photosynthesis, and nitrogen use efficiency J Soils Sed 17:2763-2774 doi:10.1007/s11368-017-1839-5
- Nguyen TTN et al. (2018) The effects of short term, long term and reapplication of biochar on soil bacteria Sci
   Total Environ 636:142-151 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.278
- Nguyen TTN et al. (2017b) Effects of biochar on soil available inorganic nitrogen: A review and meta-analysis
   Geoderma 288:79-96 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.11.004

- Noyce GL, Jones T, Fulthorpe R, Basiliko N (2017) Phosphorus uptake and availability and short-term seedling
   growth in three Ontario soils amended with ash and biochar Can J Soil Sci 97:678-691 doi:10.1139/cjss 2017-0007
- 1218 Oh TK, Shinogi Y, Lee SJ, Choi B (2014) Utilization of biochar impregnated with anaerobically digested slurry
   1219 as slow-release fertilizer J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 177:97-103 doi:10.1002/jpln.201200487
- Oladele SO (2019) Changes in physicochemical properties and quality index of an Alfisol after three years of rice
   husk biochar amendment in rainfed rice Maize cropping sequence Geoderma 353:359-371
   doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.06.038
- Ouyang L, Tang Q, Yu L, Zhang R (2014) Effects of amendment of different biochars on soil enzyme activities
   related to carbon mineralisation Soil Research 52:706-716 doi:https://doi.org/10.1071/SR14075
- 1225Palansooriya KN, Ok YS, Awad YM, Lee SS, Sung J-K, Koutsospyros A, Moon DH (2019) Impacts of biochar1226application on upland agriculture: A review J Environ Manage 234:52-641227doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.12.085
- Pandit NR, Mulder J, Hale SE, Zimmerman AR, Pandit BH, Cornelissen G (2018) Multi-year double cropping
   biochar field trials in Nepal: Finding the optimal biochar dose through agronomic trials and cost-benefit
   analysis Sci Total Environ 637-638:1333-1341 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.107
- Peake LR, Reid BJ, Tang X (2014) Quantifying the influence of biochar on the physical and hydrological
   properties of dissimilar soils Geoderma 235-236:182-190 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.07.002
- Peng C, Li Q, Zhang Z, Wu Z, Song X, Zhou G, Song X (2019) Biochar amendment changes the effects of nitrogen deposition on soil enzyme activities in a Moso bamboo plantation Journal of Forest Research 24:275-284 doi:10.1080/13416979.2019.1646970
- Peng X, Ye LL, Wang CH, Zhou H, Sun B (2011) Temperature- and duration-dependent rice straw-derived
   biochar: Characteristics and its effects on soil properties of an Ultisol in southern China Soil and Tillage
   Research 112:159-166 doi:10.1016/j.still.2011.01.002
- Pfister M, Saha S (2017) Effects of biochar and fertilizer management on sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.)
   feedstock and soil properties Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 63:651-662
   doi:10.1080/03650340.2016.1228894
- Prakongkep N, Gilkes RJ, Wiriyakitnateekul W (2015) Forms and solubility of plant nutrient elements in tropical
   plant waste biochars J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 178:732-740 doi:10.1002/jpln.201500001
- Prapagdee S, Tawinteung N (2017) Effects of biochar on enhanced nutrient use efficiency of green bean, Vigna radiata L Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 24:9460-9467 doi:10.1007/s11356-017-8633-1
- Purakayastha TJ et al. (2019) A review on biochar modulated soil condition improvements and nutrient dynamics
   concerning crop yields: Pathways to climate change mitigation and global food security Chemosphere
   227:345-365 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.03.170
- Purakayastha TJ, Das KC, Gaskin J, Harris K, Smith JL, Kumari S (2016) Effect of pyrolysis temperatures on
   stability and priming effects of C3 and C4 biochars applied to two different soils Soil and Tillage
   Research 155:107-115 doi:10.1016/j.still.2015.07.011
- Purakayastha TJ, Kumari S, Pathak H (2015) Characterisation, stability, and microbial effects of four biochars
   produced from crop residues Geoderma 239-240:293-303 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.11.009
- Rafique M, Ortas I, Rizwan M, Chaudhary HJ, Gurmani AR, Munis MFH (2020) Residual effects of biochar and phosphorus on growth and nutrient accumulation by maize (Zea mays L.) amended with microbes in texturally different soils Chemosphere 238 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124710
- Ralebitso-Senior TK, Orr CH (2016) Microbial Ecology Analysis of Biochar-Augmented Soils: Setting the Scene.
   In: Ralebitso-Senior TKO, C. H. (ed) Biochar Application: Essential Soil Microbial Ecology. 1st edn.
   Elsevier, Radarweg 29, PO Box 211, 1000 AE Amsterdam, Netherlands The Boulevard, Langford Lane,
   Kidlington, Oxford OX5 1GB, UK 50 Hampshire Street, 5th Floor, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA, p 330
- Razzaghi F, Obour PB, Arthur E (2020) Does biochar improve soil water retention? A systematic review and
   meta-analysis Geoderma 361 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.114055
- Reich M, Aghajanzadeh T, De Kok LJ (2014) Physiological Basis of Plant Nutrient Use Efficiency Concepts,
   Opportunities and Challenges for Its Improvement. In: Hawkesford ML, Kopriva K, Luit J. De Kok LJ
   (eds), Nutrient Efficiency in Plants: Concepts and Aproaches.

- Rodriguez-Vila A, Forjan R, Guedes R, Covelo E (2017) Nutrient phytoavailability in a mine soil amended with
   technosol and biochar and vegetated with Brassica juncea J Soils Sed 17:1653-1661 doi:10.1007/s11368 016-1643-7
- Sadegh-Zadeh F, Tolekolai SF, Bahmanyar MA, Emadi M (2018) Application of Biochar and Compost for
   Enhancement of Rice (Oryza Sativa L.) Grain Yield in Calcareous Sandy Soil Commun Soil Sci Plant
   Anal 49:552-566 doi:10.1080/00103624.2018.1431272
- Sahin O, Taskin MB, Kaya EC, Atakol O, Emir E, Inal A, Gunes A (2017) Effect of acid modification of biochar
   on nutrient availability and maize growth in a calcareous soil Soil Use and Management 33:447-456
   doi:10.1111/sum.12360
- Saleh SM, Harris RF, Allen ON (1970) Fate of Bacillus thuringiensis in soil: effect of soil pH and organic
   amendment Canadian Journal of Microbiology 16:677-680 doi:10.1139/m70-116
- Sarfraz R, Hussain A, Sabir A, Ben Fekih I, Ditta A, Xing S (2019) Role of biochar and plant growth promoting
   rhizobacteria to enhance soil carbon sequestration-a review Environ Monit Assess 191:251
   doi:10.1007/s10661-019-7400-9
- Sarkar D, Baishya LK (2017) Essential Plant Nutrients: Uptake, Use Efficiency, and Management. In: Naeem M,
   Ansari AA, Gill SS (eds). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-58841-4
- Sashidhar P, Kochar M, Singh B, Gupta M, Cahill D, Adholeya A, Dubey M (2020) Biochar for delivery of agri inputs: Current status and future perspectives Sci Total Environ 703
   doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134892
- Schofield HK, Pettitt TR, Tappin AD, Rollinson GK, Fitzsimons MF (2019) Biochar incorporation increased
   nitrogen and carbon retention in a waste-derived soil Sci Total Environ 690:1228-1236
   doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.116
- Shaaban M et al. (2018) A concise review of biochar application to agricultural soils to improve soil conditions
   and fight pollution J Environ Manage 228:429-440 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.09.006
- Shahbaz AK et al. (2018) Improvement in productivity, nutritional quality, and antioxidative defense mechanisms
   of sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) and maize (Zea mays L.) in nickel contaminated soil amended with
   different biochar and zeolite ratios J Environ Manage 218:256-270 doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.04.046
- Shepherd JG, Buss W, Sohi SP, Heal KV (2017) Bioavailability of phosphorus, other nutrients and potentially
   toxic elements from marginal biomass-derived biochar assessed in barley (Hordeum vulgare) growth
   experiments Sci Total Environ 584-585:448-457 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.028
- 1296Shi RY, Ni N, Nkoh JN, Li JY, Xu RK, Qian W (2019) Beneficial dual role of biochars in inhibiting soil1297acidification resulting from nitrification Chemosphere1298doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.06.030
- Shi, W., Ju, Y.Y., Bian, R.J., Li, L.Q., Joseph, S., Mitchell, D.R.G., Munroe, P., Taherymoosavi, S., Pan, G.X.,
  (2020). Biochar bound urea boosts plant growth and reduces nitrogen leaching. Sci. Total Environ. 701.
- Si L, Xie Y, Ma Q, Wu L (2018) The Short-Term Effects of Rice Straw Biochar, Nitrogen and Phosphorus
   Fertilizer on Rice Yield and Soil Properties in a Cold Waterlogged Paddy Field Sustainability 10
   doi:10.3390/su10020537
- Singh BP, Hatton BJ, Singh B, Cowie AL, Kathuria A (2010) Influence of Biochars on Nitrous Oxide Emission
   and Nitrogen Leaching from Two Contrasting Soils Journal of Environmental Quality 39:1224-1235
   doi:10.2134/jeq2009.0138
- Sistani KR, Simmons JR, Jn-Baptiste M, Novak JM (2019) Poultry Litter, Biochar, and Fertilizer Effect on Corn
   Yield, Nutrient Uptake, N2O and CO2 Emissions Environments 6 doi:10.3390/environments6050055
- Sohi S, Lopez-Capel E, Krull E, Bol R (2009) Biochar, climate change and soil: A review to guide future research
   vol 05. CSIRO,
- Sohi SP, Krull E, Lopez-Capel E, Bol R (2010) A Review of Biochar and Its Use and Function in Soil. In:
  Advances in Agronomy, vol 105. Advances in Agronomy. pp 47-82. doi:10.1016/s0065-2113(10)050029
- Song D, Tang J, Xi X, Zhang S, Liang G, Zhou W, Wang X (2018) Responses of soil nutrients and microbial activities to additions of maize straw biochar and chemical fertilization in a calcareous soil Eur J Soil Biol 84:1-10 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2017.11.003
- Sorrenti G, Ventura M, Toselli M (2016) Effect of biochar on nutrient retention and nectarine tree performance:
   A three-year field trial J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 179:336-346 doi:10.1002/jpln.201500497
- Speratti AB, Johnson MS, Sousa HM, Dalmagro HJ, Couto EG (2018) Biochars from local agricultural waste
   residues contribute to soil quality and plant growth in a Cerrado region (Brazil) Arenosol GCB Bioenergy
   10:272-286 doi:10.1111/gcbb.12489
- Spokas KA, Koskinen WC, Baker JM, Reicosky DC (2009) Impacts of woodchip biochar additions on greenhouse
   gas production and sorption/degradation of two herbicides in a Minnesota soil Chemosphere 77:574-581
   doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.06.053
- Steiner C, Glaser B, Geraldes Teixeira W, Lehmann J, Blum WEH, Zech W (2008) Nitrogen retention and plant
   uptake on a highly weathered central Amazonian Ferralsol amended with compost and charcoal J Plant
   Nutr Soil Sci 171:893-899 doi:10.1002/jpln.200625199
- Subedi R, Taupe N, Pelissetti S, Petruzzelli L, Bertora C, Leahy JJ, Grignani C (2016) Greenhouse gas emissions
   and soil properties following amendment with manure-derived biochars: Influence of pyrolysis
   temperature and feedstock type J Environ Manage 166:73-83 doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.10.007
- Taghizadeh-Toosi A, Clough TJ, Sherlock RR, Condron LM (2012) Biochar adsorbed ammonia is bioavailable
   Plant Soil 350:57-69 doi:10.1007/s11104-011-0870-3
- Tarin MWK et al. (2019) Effects of different biochars ammendments on physiochemical properties of soil and
   root morphological attributes of Fokenia Hodginsii (Fujian cypress) Appl Ecol Environ Res 17:11107 11120 doi:10.15666/aeer/1705\_1110711120
- Taskin E, de Castro Bueno C, Allegretta I, Terzano R, Rosa AH, Loffredo E (2019) Multianalytical
   characterization of biochar and hydrochar produced from waste biomasses for environmental and
   agricultural applications Chemosphere 233:422-430 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.05.204
- Tian X, Wang L, Hou Y, Wang H, Tsang YF, Wu J (2019) Responses of Soil Microbial Community Structure
   and Activity to Incorporation of Straws and Straw Biochars and Their Effects on Soil Respiration and
   Soil Organic Carbon Turnover Pedosphere 29:492-503 doi:10.1016/S1002-0160(19)60813-1
- Tomczyk A, Boguta P, Sokolowska Z (2019) Biochar efficiency in copper removal from Haplic soils (vol 16, pg
   4899, 2019) International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology 16:4913-4913
   doi:10.1007/s13762-019-02434-z
- Tomczyk A, Sokolowska Z, Boguta P (2020) Biochar physicochemical properties: pyrolysis temperature and
   feedstock kind effects Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio-Technology 19:191-215
   doi:10.1007/s11157-020-09523-3
- 1348 Vaughn SF, Dinelli FD, Kenar JA, Jackson MA, Thomas AJ, Peterson SC (2018) Physical and chemical properties
   1349 of pyrolyzed biosolids for utilization in sand-based turfgrass rootzones Waste Management
   1350 doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2018.04.009
- 1351 Ventura M, Sorrenti G, Panzacchi P, George E, Tonon G (2013) Biochar Reduces Short-Term Nitrate Leaching
   1352 from A Horizon in an Apple Orchard Journal of Environmental Quality 42:76-82
   1353 doi:10.2134/jeq2012.0250
- 1354 Vithanage M et al. (2017) Interaction of arsenic with biochar in soil and water: A critical review Carbon 113:219 1355 230 doi:10.1016/j.carbon.2016.11.032
- Wang B, Gao B, Zimmerman AR, Zheng Y, Lyu H (2018) Novel biochar-impregnated calcium alginate beads
   with improved water holding and nutrient retention properties J Environ Manage 209:105-111
   doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.12.041
- Wang D, Felice ML, Scow KM (2020) Impacts and interactions of biochar and biosolids on agricultural soil
   microbial communities during dry and wet-dry cycles Applied Soil Ecology 152:103570
   doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103570
- Wang G, Govinden R, Chenia HY, Ma Y, Guo D, Ren G (2019) Suppression of Phytophthora blight of pepper by
   biochar amendment is associated with improved soil bacterial properties Biol Fertility Soils 55:813-824
   doi:10.1007/s00374-019-01391-6
- Wang J et al. (2015) Effects of biochar amendment on greenhouse gas emissions, net ecosystem carbon budget
  and properties of an acidic soil under intensive vegetable production Soil Use and Management 31:375383 doi:10.1111/sum.12202

- Wang T, Camps-Arbestain M, Hedley M (2013) The fate of phosphorus of ash-rich biochars in a soil-plant system
   Plant Soil 375:61-74 doi:10.1007/s11104-013-1938-z
- 1370 Weber K, Quicker P (2018) Properties of biochar Fuel 217:240-261 doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2017.12.054
- Werner S, Katzl K, Wichern M, Buerkert A, Steiner C, Marschner B (2018) Agronomic benefits of biochar as a
  soil amendment after its use as waste water filtration medium Environ Pollut 233:561-568
  doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2017.10.048
- Weyers SL, Spokas KA (2011) Impact of Biochar on Earthworm Populations: A Review Applied and
   Environmental Soil Science 2011:541592 doi:10.1155/2011/541592
- Wrobel-Tobiszewska A, Boersma M, Sargison J, Adams P, Jarick S (2015) An economic analysis of biochar
   production using residues from Eucalypt plantations Biomass Bioenergy 81:177-182
   doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2015.06.015
- 1379 Wu LP, Wei CB, Zhang SR, Wang YD, Kuzyakov Y, Ding XD (2019a) MgO-modified biochar increases
   1380 phosphate retention and rice yields in saline-alkaline soil Journal of Cleaner Production 235:901-909
   1381 doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.07.043
- 1382 Wu Z, Zhang X, Dong Y, Li B, Xiong Z (2019b) Biochar amendment reduced greenhouse gas intensities in the
   rice-wheat rotation system: six-year field observation and meta-analysis Agricultural and Forest
   1384 Meteorology 278 doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.107625
- 1385 Xiao R et al. (2018) Biochar produced from mineral salt-impregnated chicken manure: Fertility properties and
   1386 potential for carbon sequestration Waste Manage 78:802-810 doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2018.06.047
- 1387 Xu X, Zhao Y, Sima J, Zhao L, Masek O, Cao X (2017) Indispensable role of biochar-inherent mineral
   1388 constituents in its environmental applications: A review Bioresour Technol 241:887-899
   1389 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.06.023
- 1390 Xu Y et al. (2019) A further inquiry into co-pyrolysis of straws with manures for heavy metal immobilization in
   1391 manure-derived biochars J Hazard Mater 380 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.120870
- Yadav V, Khare P, Deshmukh Y, Shanker K, Nigam N, Karak T (2018) Performance of biochar derived from
   Cymbopogon winterianus waste at two temperatures on soil properties and growth of Bacopa monneri
   Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 49:2741-2764 doi:10.1080/00103624.2018.1538371
- Yan Q et al. (2019a) Effects of maize straw-derived biochar application on soil temperature, water conditions and
   growth of winter wheat Eur J Soil Sci 70:1280-1289 doi:10.1111/ejss.12863
- Yan S et al. (2019b) Biochar application on paddy and purple soils in southern China: Soil carbon and biotic
   activity Royal Society Open Science 6 doi:10.1098/rsos.181499
- Yang X et al. (2018) Characterization of bioenergy biochar and its utilization for metal/metalloid immobilization
   in contaminated soil Sci Total Environ 640-641:704-713 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.298
- Yao Q, Liu JJ, Yu ZH, Li YS, Jin J, Liu XB, Wang GH (2017) Three years of biochar amendment alters soil physiochemical properties and fungal community composition in a black soil of northeast China Soil Biology & Biochemistry 110:56-67 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.03.005
- Yao Y, Gao B, Zhang M, Inyang M, Zimmerman AR (2012) Effect of biochar amendment on sorption and leaching of nitrate, ammonium, and phosphate in a sandy soil Chemosphere 89:1467-1471 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.06.002
- Yu H et al. (2019) Biochar amendment improves crop production in problem soils: A review J Environ Manage
   232:8-21 doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.10.117
- Yu L, Lu X, He Y, Brookes PC, Liao H, Xu JM (2017) Combined biochar and nitrogen fertilizer reduces soil
  acidity and promotes nutrient use efficiency by soybean crop J Soils Sed 17:599-610
  doi:10.1007/s11368-016-1447-9
- 1412Yu X et al. (2018) Combined effects of straw-derived biochar and bio-based polymer-coated urea on nitrogen use1413efficiency and cotton yield Chemical Speciation & Bioavailability:1-111414doi:10.1080/09542299.2018.1518730
- Yuan HR, Lu T, Wang YZ, Chen Y, Lei TZ (2016) Sewage sludge biochar: Nutrient composition and its effect
   on the leaching of soil nutrients Geoderma 267:17-23 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.12.020
- Yuan Y, Bolan N, Prevoteau A, Vithanage M, Biswas JK, Ok YS, Wang H (2017) Applications of biochar in redox-mediated reactions Bioresour Technol doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.06.154

- Yue Y, Cui L, Lin Q, Li G, Zhao X (2017) Efficiency of sewage sludge biochar in improving urban soil properties
   and promoting grass growth Chemosphere 173:551-556 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.01.096
- 1421 Zhang H et al. (2019a) Effect of Straw and Straw Biochar on the Community Structure and Diversity of Ammonia 1422 oxidizing Bacteria and Archaea in Rice-wheat Rotation Ecosystems Sci Rep-Uk 9 doi:10.1038/s41598 1423 019-45877-7
- 1424 Zhang HZ, Chen CR, Gray EM, Boyd SE, Yang H, Zhang DK (2016) Roles of biochar in improving phosphorus
   1425 availability in soils: A phosphate adsorbent and a source of available phosphorus Geoderma 276:1-6
   1426 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.04.020
- 1427 Zhang M, Riaz M, Zhang L, Xia H, El-desouki Z, Jiang C (2019b) Response of fungal communities in different
   soils to biochar and chemical fertilizers under simulated rainfall conditions Sci Total Environ 691:654 663 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.151
- 1430 Zhang Q-Z, Wang X-H, Du Z-L, Liu X-R, Wang Y-D (2013) Impact of biochar on nitrate accumulation in an
   1431 alkaline soil Soil Research 51:521-528 doi:https://doi.org/10.1071/SR13153
- 1432 Zhang Q, Song Y, Wu Z, Yan X, Gunina A, Kuzyakov Y, Xiong Z (2020) Effects of six-year biochar amendment
   1433 on soil aggregation, crop growth, and nitrogen and phosphorus use efficiencies in a rice-wheat rotation
   1434 Journal of Cleaner Production 242 doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118435
- 1435 Zhang X, Duan P, Wu Z, Xiong Z (2019c) Aged biochar stimulated ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria 1436 derived N2O and NO production in an acidic vegetable soil Sci Total Environ 687:433-440
   1437 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.128
- 1438 Zhang X, Li H, Li M, Wen G, Hu Z (2019d) Influence of individual and combined application of biochar, Bacillus
   1439 megaterium, and phosphatase on phosphorus availability in calcareous soil J Soils Sed
   1440 doi:10.1007/s11368-019-02338-y
- Zhang, X.Y., Gao, B., Creamer, A.E., Cao, C.C., Li, Y.C., (2017). Adsorption of VOCs onto engineered carbon
   materials: A review. J. Hazard. Mater. 338, 102-123.
- 1443 Zhang Z, Zhu Z, Shen B, Liu L (2019e) Insights into biochar and hydrochar production and applications: A review
   1444 Energy 171:581-598 doi:10.1016/j.energy.2019.01.035
- I445 Zhao YH, Zhao L, Mei YY, Li FY, Cao XD (2018) Release of nutrients and heavy metals from biochar-amended
   soil under environmentally relevant conditions Environ Sci Pollut R 25:2517-2527 doi:10.1007/s11356 1447 017-0668-9
- I448 Zheng H, Wang X, Luo X, Wang Z, Xing B (2018) Biochar-induced negative carbon mineralization priming
   effects in a coastal wetland soil: Roles of soil aggregation and microbial modulation Sci Total Environ
   610-611:951-960 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.166
- 1451Zheng J et al. (2017) Biochar compound fertilizer increases nitrogen productivity and economic benefits but1452decreases carbon emission of maize production Agric, Ecosyst Environ 241:70-781453doi:10.1016/j.agee.2017.02.034
- 1454 Zhou CF, Heal K, Tigabu M, Xia LD, Hu HY, Yin DY, Ma XQ (2020) Biochar addition to forest plantation soil
  1455 enhances phosphorus availability and soil bacterial community diversity For Ecol Manage 455
  1456 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2019.117635
- 1457Zhu X et al. (2016) Tracking the conversion of nitrogen during pyrolysis of antibiotic mycelial fermentation1458residues using XPS and TG-FTIR-MS technology Environ Pollut 211:20-271459doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.12.032
- 1460
- 1461
- 1462
- 1463
- 1464
- 1465
- 1466

#### 1467 List of Figures

- 1468 Figure 1: Conceptual framework for impact of biochar on soils and plants
- 1469 Figure 2: Properties of biochar [modified from (Igalavithana et al. 2018; Xu et al. 2017)]
- 1470 Figure 3: Influence of biochar on soil properties [adapted from Lopez-Capel et al. (2016)]
- 1471 Figure 4: Nitrogen conversion pathways from feedstock-N to biochar-N through the pyrolytic process (Leng et
- 1472 al. 2020)
- 1473 Figure 5: Impact of feedstock and pyrolytic temperature on chemical properties of biochar (data obtained from
- 1474 Table 1)
- 1475 Figure 6: pH-dependent association and dissociation of nutrients from biochar (Sashidhar et al. 2020)
- 1476 Figure 7: Conceptual framework of the biochar mediated N cycle [modified from Liu et al. (2018)]
- 1477

#### 1478 List of Tables

- 1479 Table 1: Effect of biochar on soil physical properties
- 1480 Table 2: Effect of biochar on selected soil chemical properties
- 1481 Table 3: Effect of biochar on soil biological properties
- 1482 Table 4: pH and nutrient contents of biochar produced at different pyrolytic temperatures
- 1483 Table 5: Biochar and nutrient availability changes in different soils
- 1484 Table 6: Impact of biochar on different crops
- 1485

#### 1486 Figures



#### 1487

1488 Figure 1: Conceptual framework for impact of biochar on soils and plants



1491 Figure 2: Properties of biochar [modified from (Igalavithana et al. 2018; Xu et al. 2017)]

# **Influence of Biochar on Soil**



1493

1494 Figure 3: Influence of biochar on soil properties [adapted from Lopez-Capel et al. (2016)]





1497 Figure 4: Nitrogen conversion pathways from feedstock-N to biochar-N through pyrolysis process (Leng et al.

1498 2020)



1501 Figure 5: Impact of feedstock and pyrolysis temperature on biochar chemical properties (data obtained from

- 1502 Table 1)







1510 Figure 7: Conceptual framework of biochar mediated N cycle [modified from Liu et al. (2018)]

#### 1512 Tables

## Table 1: Effect of biochar on soil physical properties

Biochar	PT(°C)	Application rate (t/ha)	Soil type	Aggregate stability (%)	Temperati	ure (°C)	Porosity (	%)	Water con	tent (%)	Bulk dens	ity (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	Reference
					Control	Amended	Control	Amended	Control	Amended	Control	Amended	
Wheat straw	400	20 40	Irragric Anthrosols	43-48							1.21	1.15 1.14	Zhang et al. (2020)
Maize straw	550	20 40 60	Silt loam		8.5	8.8 8.9 9.0			21.5	23.3 23.4 23.1	1.34	1.29 1.26 1.22	Yan et al. (2019)
Rice husk	350- 400	3 6 12	Alfisol	10 18 23							1.60	1.55 1.51 1.44	Oladele (2019)
Paper fiber sludge + grain husks	550	10 20	Haplic Luvisol		17.2	17.5 17.3			15	15.5 17.2			Horák et al. (2019)
Green cuttings	650	3 40	Sandy Cambisol								1.30	1.30 1.27	Greenberg et al. (2019)
Corn straw	500	3 6 9 12	-				49	50 52 54 58					Fu et al. (2019)
Date palm residue	300 400 500 600	8	Loamy sand						25	50 42.5 35 32.5			Alotaibi and Schoenau (2019)
Macadamia nutshell		3	Sand								1.68	1.61	Lim and Spokas (2018)
Pine chip	-	3	Sand								1.68	1.58	Lim and Spokas (2018)
Maize straw	400- 500	10 20 40 60	Sandy loam				42.5	48 50 55 56			1.53	1.40 1.32 1.20 1.18	Li et al. (2018)
Barley straw	400	10	Loam				51	55			1.4	1.3	Kang et al. (2018)
Poultry manure	450	5	Alfisol	18							1.60	1.44	Are et al. (2018)

Biochar	PT(°C)	Application rate (t/ha)	Soil type	pН		CEC (cmol	/kg)	OM (%)		Reference
				Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	
Wheat straw	500	20	Irragric	7.00	7.10			2.57	3.28	Wu et al.
		40	Anthrosols		7.40				3.97	(2019)
Bamboo	450	11.25	-	4.67	4.80			0.7	1.25	Tarin et al.
		45			4.95				1.90	(2019)
		180			5.30				3.55	
Hardwood	420	11.25	-	4.67	4.70			0.7	1.13	Tarin et al.
		45			4.90				2.25	(2019)
		180			5.15				4.50	
Rice straw	500	11.25	-	4.67	4.90			0.7	1.00	Tarin et al.
		45			4.95				1.90	(2019)
		180			5.45				2.55	
Rice straw	400	72	Ultisol	5.00	4.80					Shi et al.
	100									(2019)
Peanut straw	400	72	Ultisol	5.80	5.30					Shi et al. (2019)
Rice husk	500	22.5	Туріс	6.71	6.84	12.17	13.28	1.90	2.33	Ghorbani et
		67.5	Hapludalfs		7.20		14.44		3.22	al. (2019)
Rice husk	500	22.5	Туріс	4.36	4.76	5.71	6.87	0.91	2.03	Ghorbani et
		67.5	Hapludepts		5.06		7.40		2.45	al. (2019)
Chicken	535	6.43	Aquic	6.69	6.81	6.28	7.01			Clark et al.
manure			Hapludults							(2019)
Chicken	535	4.23	Туріс	5.10	5.61	11.3	12.1			Clark et al.
manure			Hapludalfs							(2019)
Winter grass	450	45	Entisol	7.70	7.80	14.3	18.2	0.86	1.21	Yadav et al.
		90			7.80		23.9		3.45	(2018)
		135			7.90		27.4		3.97	
		180			7.90		29.6		6.55	
Winter grass	850	45	Entisol	7.70	7.90	14.3	17.2	0.86	0.86	Yadav et al.
-		90			8.00		20.2		2.07	(2018)
		135			8.10		24.3		3.97	
		180			8.30		27.1		6.03	

## 1514Table 2: Effect of biochar on selected soil chemical properties

# **1517**Table 3: Effect of biochar on soil biological properties

Biochar (rate)	Temp. (°C)	Soil type	Study	Biological properties or microbial response	References
Wheat straw (1%)	400	Fimi-Orthic Anthrosol Ferralic Cambisol	Incubation	<ul> <li>fresh biochar reduced ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) but increased ammonia- oxidizing bacteria (AOB) gene populations in acidic soil</li> <li>aged biochar increased AOA- and AOB- in both soils</li> </ul>	Zhang et al. (2019c)
Peanut shell (2%)	400	Yellow-brown Fluvo-aquic Luo Black	Incubation	<ul> <li>increased bacterial diversity but decreased fungal diversity</li> <li><i>Fusurium</i> population reduced by biochar plus chemical fertilizers</li> </ul>	Zhang et al. (2019b)
Rice straw	500	Sandy loam	Field	no effect on AOA but AOB abundance and diversity increased	Zhang et al. (2019a)
Rice straw	350 480	Clinosol	Field	Lactobacillales and Bacteroidales population increased	Yan et al. (2019)
Corn straw (1.33%)	500	Sandy loam	Pot	• improved antagonistic percentage and antagonistic ability of <i>Bacillus</i> spp. And <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	Wang et al. (2019)
Straw of reed, smooth grass and rice	450	Clay	Pot	<ul> <li>increased microbial biomass</li> <li>decreased microbial activity and soil respiration</li> </ul>	Tian et al. (2019)
Moso bamboo (20 and 40 t/ha)	600	Ferrisol	Field	reduced urease and acid phosphatase activities	Peng et al. (2019)
Chicken manure, oat hull, pine bark (3%)	300 500 600	Alfisol		• increased basal respiration and dehydrogenase (DHA) activity and modified microbial communities.	Meier et al. (2019)
Wheat straw (40 t/ha)	350- 550	Anthrosol	Incubation	<ul> <li>fresh biochar increased microbial biomass C (MBC)</li> <li>aged biochar decreased Gram-positive/Gram-negative ratio</li> </ul>	Liu et al. (2019b)
Rice straw (4 and 20 t/ha)	550- 650	Vertisol	Field	• increased the nifH (nitrogenase iron protein) gene abundance and altered the community structure of soil diazotrophs.	Liu et al. (2019a)
Corn straw (2.4, 6 and 12 t/ha)	400	Inceptisol	Field	<ul> <li>improved growth of Gram-positive bacteria and fungi</li> <li>increased MBC and influenced the soil microbial community structure</li> </ul>	Li et al. (2019)
Wheat stalk (1 and 5%)	650	Ge-Eutric Gleysols		<ul> <li>strengthened network connectivity among rhizosphere bacteria</li> <li>improved linkage between rhizosphere bacteria and soil C</li> </ul>	Huang et al. (2019)

Bamboo biomass (5, 10 20 t/ha)	350- 400		Field	•	reduced the Proteobacterial community in soils	Herrmann et al. (2019)
Sewage sludge (15 t/ha)	300 500	Red-Yellow Latosol	Field	•	increased mycorrhizal colonization in corn plant	de Figueiredo et al. (2019)
Conifer wood chips (5 and 10%)	280	Cambisol	Incubation	•	decreased DHA, $\beta$ -glucosidase and phosphatase activities	Cordovil et al. (2019)

Feedstock	PT <sup>1</sup> ( °C)	pН	С	N			C/ N	Р			К	Ca	Mg	S	Zn	Cu	Fe	Mn	Мо	В	Reference
				TN	Available kg <sup>-1</sup> )	NH4-N (g		T P	Available	e P (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )											
					H <sub>2</sub> O	KCl			H <sub>2</sub> O	Olsen-P											
					extract	extract			extract			%						g/kg			-
Manure												70					IIIg	2/ Kg			
Chicken manure	250	7.66	34.55	2.79	0.07	0.16	12	1.91	5.08	6.76	4.16	1.98	2.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xiao et al. (2018)
Chicken manure	350	8.95	29.21	2.45	0.07	-	12	2.15	4.57	8.42	4.93	2.17	2.84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xiao et al. (2018)
Chicken manure	550	10.24	23.65	1.81	-	-	13	2.96	2.93	8.74	5.93	3.03	3.78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xiao et al. (2018)
Chicken manure-Ca*	250	7.84	30.00	2.85	0.27	0.48	11	1.83	2.49	3.17	4.14	4.05	1.67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xiao et al. (2018)
Chicken manure-Ca*	350	9.32	26.68	2.44	0.01	0.03	11	2.21	1.23	8.68	4.87	4.91	2.18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xiao et al. (2018)
Chicken manure-Ca*	550	10.61	24.73	1.96	-	-	13	3.06	-	1.22	6.03	5.91	2.67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xiao et al. (2018)
Chicken manure-Mg <sup>#</sup>	250	7.35	26.40	2.43	0.40	0.30	11	2.05	5.65	6.98	3.92	2.24	4.17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xiao et al. (2018)
Chicken manure-Mg <sup>#</sup>	350	9.17	26.22	2.42	-	-	11	2.67	3.33	8.36	5.03	2.81	4.73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xiao et al. (2018)
Chicken manure-Mg <sup>#</sup>	550	10.32	27.04	2.06	-	-	13	3.03	0.05	1.27	5.88	3.09	5.22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xiao et al. (2018)
Chicken manure-Fe <sup>@</sup>	250	5.75	28.26	2.91	0.44	0.17	10	2.01	1.27	1.23	3.92	2.03	2.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xiao et al. (2018)
Chicken manure-Fe@	350	5.72	26.44	2.45	0.02	-	11	2.44	1.24	1.23	5.06	2.53	2.88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xiao et al. (2018)
Chicken manure-Fe <sup>@</sup>	550	6.68	27.13	2.17	-	-	13	3.10	0.09	0.51	5.95	3.18	3.87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xiao et al. (2018)
Chicken manure	450	7.7	38.3	2.0			19	1.2			1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Madiba et al. (2016)
Poultry litter	500- 520	9.30	33.72	3.39			10	2.57			5.24	4.54	1.26	1.36	829.5 0	583	-	715			Brantley et al. (2016)
Poultry Litter	400	9.5	52.1	5.85			9	1.22			3.88	2.83	1.73	0.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	Subedi et al. (2016)
Poultry Litter	600	10.4	52.8	4.0			13	1.54			5.88	3.59	2.4	0.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	Subedi et al. (2016)
Poultry litter	350	8.70	51.1	4.45			11	2.08			4.85	2.66	0.94	0.61	712	213	13200	640	11	-	Cantrell et al. (2012)
Poultry litter	400	7.70	38.3	2.0			19	0.90			1.0	2.5	0.30	-	238	57	2695	265	5	-	Macdonald et al. (2014)
Poultry litter	700	10.3	45.9	2.07			22	3.12			7.4	0.40	1.45	0.63	1010	310	18900	948	13	-	Cantrell et al. (2012)
Turkey litter	350	8.00	49.3	4.07			12	2.62			4.01	4.04	0.85	0.55	690	535	27800	710	7.16		Cantrell et al. (2012)

## 1520Table 4: pH and nutrient contents of biochar produced at different pyrolysis temperature

Turkey litter	700	9.90	44.8	1.94			23	3.63	5.59	5.61	1.24	0.41	909	762	36500	986	10.1		Cantrell et al. (2012)
Cow manure	300	8.59	41.02	0.71			58	0.19	0.26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Beheshti et al. (2017)
Bull manure	300	8.20	60.6	1.3			47	0.3	0.20	0.94	0.40	0.11	162	-	376	137	-	-	Enders et al.
Bull manure	600	9.50	76.0	0.8			95	0.3	0.36	0.94	0.51	0.10	193	-	311	165	-	-	(2012) Enders et al.
Digested dairy	400	9.22	57.7	0.24			24	0	1.66	2.26	0.97	0.27	131	-	1656	145	-	-	(2012) Enders et al.
manure Digested dairy	600	9.94	59.4	0.23			0 25	5 0.8	1.49	2.65	0.85	0.29	200	-	2356	191	-	-	(2012) Enders et al.
manure							8	3											(2012)
Dairy manure	350	9.2	55.8	1.51			37	1.0	1.43	2.67	1.22	0.11	361	99	26700	525	7.8	-	Cantrell et al. (2012)
Dairy manure	700	9.9	56.7	0.24			23 6	1.6 9	2.31	4.48	2.06	0.15	423	163	44800	867	10	-	Cantrell et al. (2012)
Swine manure	300	9.11	32.58	2.80			12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xu et al. (2019)
Swine manure	500	11.02	28.43	2.21			13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xu et al. (2019)
Swine manure	700	12.64	28.23	1.42			20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Xu et al. (2019)
Swine manure	400	7.6	54.9	2.23		1	24. 6	0.9 8	1.62	2.03	1.57	0.02	-	-	-	-	-	-	Subedi et al. (2016)
Swine manure	600	11.4	57.9	1.79			32. 4	1.5 5	3.53	2.89	2.13	0.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	Subedi et al. (2016)
Pig manure	500	9.90	42.7	-			4	4.3 9	3.56	3.47	2.80	-	1010	780	6960	1230	-	-	Zhao et al. (2018)
Swine solids	350	8.40	51.5	3.54			15	9 3.8 9	1.78	3.91	2.44	0.80	3181	1538	48400	1453	18.3	-	Cantrell et al. (2012)
Swine solids	700	9.50	44.1	2.61			17	5.9	2.57	6.15	3.69	0.85	4981	2446	74800	2240	27.4		Cantrell et al. (2012)
Crop residue				1															(2012)
Rice husk	450	8.53	39.90	0.54		7	74	0.1 6	0.58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Bu et al. (2017)
Rice husk	-	9.50	-	0.1		-		0.1 5	0.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Jatav et al. (2018)
Barley straw	400	8.02	71.50	1.3			55	-	-	0.20	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	Kang et al. (2018)
Rice straw	550- 650	9.71	44.27	0.64			69	0.0 9	2.82	-	-	0.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	Si et al. (2018)
Wheat straw	300	7.15	52.12	0.2			26 1	0.2 7	0.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Beheshti et al. (2017)
Wheat straw	350- 550	9.60	-	1.05		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Zheng et al. (2017)
Wheat chaff	450	8.40	53.1	2.2		2	24	0.4 0	3.40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Madiba et al. (2016)
Maize straw	300	9.84	-	1.25		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Song et al. (2018)
Maize straw	450	10.47	-	1.22		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Song et al. (2018)
Maize straw	600	11.37	-	1.21	<u>├</u>			-	 _	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Song et al. (2018)
Corn stalks	500-	8.87	71.50	0.69		1	10	-	 1.61	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	Yao et al. (2017)
Com Starks	600	0.07	/1.50	0.07		4													140 01 41. (2017)

Wheat straw and peanut shell	500	10.20	83.40	1.5		56	-		-	-	-	0.30	-	-	-	-	-	-	El-Naggar et al. (2018)
Elephant grass	400	-	63.86	3.87		17	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ferreira et al. (2018)
Elephant grass	500	-	74.85	2.08		36	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ferreira et al. (2018)
Elephant grass	600	-	82.23	2.15		38	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ferreira et al. (2018)
Kunai grass	500	10.20	55.00	0.7		79	0.1 0		0.46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Baiga and Rao (2017)
Switch grass	400	-	73.10	1.35		54	-		-	-	-	0.32	-	-	-	-	-	-	Purakayastha et al. (2016)
Corn stover	300	7.33	59.5	1.16		51	0.1 4		1.71	0.65	0.59	0.07	132	-	963	142	-	-	Enders et al. (2012)
Corn stover	600	9.95	69.80	1.01		69	0.1 8		2.46	0.94	0.86	0.08	70	-	1362	226	-	-	Enders et al. (2012)
Soybean	500	-	-	-		-	0.0 6		3.78	1.57	1.17	0.11	28	-	699	58	-	-	Enders et al. (2012)
Pearl millet	400	10.60	64	1.1		58	0.1 6		2.52	1.47	1.06	0.22	-	-	-	-	-	-	Purakayastha et al. (2015)
Wood																			
Sugar maple sawdust	450	7.22	80.00	0.32		25 0	0.0 2		0.32	0.50	0.06	-	23.90	5.01	49.70	368	-	-	Noyce et al. (2017)
<i>Eucalyptus</i> <i>camaldulensis</i> Traditional kiln	350	6.52	61.86	-		-	0.0 05		0.51	0.54	0.04				500				Butnan et al. (2015); Butnan et al. (2018)
Eucalyptus camaldulensis Flash carbinization	800	8.92	81.50	-		-	0.0 9		0.78	1.04	0.06	-	-	-	229	-	-	-	Butnan et al. (2015)
Apple branch	450	9.67	67.01	0.57		11 8	0.1 8		0.60	2.42	0.32	-	37.30	9.90	5745. 80	91.50	-	-	Li and Shangguan (2018)
Castor stalk	550	-	43.18	1.57		27	0.2		0.62	0.90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Hilioti et al. (2017)
Bamboo	600	9.84	70.90	0.41		17 3	0.1 1		2.78	-	-	0.46	-	-	-	-	-	-	Lu et al. (2018)
Hardwood	550	7.80	76.00	0.22		34 5	0.0 2		-	-	-	0.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nguyen et al. (2018)
Cashew wood residue	-	-	-	0.94		-	0.0 1		0.13	-	-	-	18.45	10.21	185.0 4	32.27	-	-	Miranda et al. (2017)
Hardwood	600- 650	7.00	76.60	0.38		20 1	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Aller et al. (2017)
Eucalypt green waste	650- 750	7.30	79.00	0.26		30 3	0.0 4		0.03	0.05	0.02	0.08	200	12	7000	180	-	6.10	Abujabhah et al. (2016)
Willow wood waste	550	8.30	47.50	0.38		12 5	-		-	-	-	0.19	83.50	2.55	0.05	110	< 0.30	9.25	Agegnehu et al. (2016)
Acacia	400- 500	7.01	57.80	1.02		57	1.1 4		-	0.27	0.001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Arif et al. (2016)

Macadamia shell	450-	8.76	78.03	0.43		18	0.2		2.19	0.37	0.17	-	-	-	1211	-	-	-	Wrobel-
	480					1	4												Tobiszewska et al. (2015)
Industrial and M	unicipal	Waste							•										•
Sugarcane bagasse	450- 500	8.79	63.27	0.67		94	0.0 7		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Gerdelidani and Hosseini (2018)
Sugarcane bagasse	350	-	59	4		15	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Batista et al. (2018)
Castor cake	550	-	50.81	3.73		14	1.0 7		1.23	0.37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Hilioti et al. (2017)
Sewage sludge	350	8.15	34.56	2.7		13	1.7 0		0.26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Khanmohammadi et al. (2017)
Sewage sludge	500	7.30	43.0	6.8		6	0.1 1		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Gonzaga et al. (2019)
Sewage sludge	500	8.10	26.6	-		-	1.7 0		0.52	6.57	0.64	-	1520	380	22100	450	-	-	Zhao et al. (2018)
Sewage sludge	500	8.70	15.26	1.73		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yue et al. (2017)
Orchard pruning biomass	500	9.80	77.8	0.91		63. 5	0.2 3		1.39	2.5	2.87	0.005	0.01	0.009	0.033	0.008	-	-	Baronti et al. (2014)
Leave waste	500	9.00	60.7	1.1		55	0.2 1		1.08	5.46	0.36	0.10	70	-	1504	555	-	-	Enders et al. (2012)
Grass waste	500	9.60	53.5	4.9		11	1.2 0		6.13	2.06	0.63	0.63	150	-	1557	360	-	-	Enders et al. (2012)
Food waste	400	8.27	52.4	3.65		14	0.0		1.46	5.17	0.53	0.08	39	-	4431	179	-	-	Enders et al. (2012)
Orange bagasse	500	10.00	72.3	2.55		28	0.0 5		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Gonzaga et al. (2019)
Coffee waste	400- 500	8.7	79	0.7		11 3	0.0 3		0.35	0.40	0.08	0.03	45	15	150	40	-	-	Prakongkep et al. (2015)
Bagasse	400- 500	8.7	71	0.6		11 8	0.0 8		0.43	1.20	0.21	0.03	400	15	4800	300	-	-	Prakongkep et al. (2015)
Sugarcane filtercake	575	9.85	36.7	1.3		28	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Eykelbosh et al. (2014)
Municipal solid waste	400	8.00	48.6	1.3		37	-		-	-	-	0.1	149	63	-	-	-	-	Jin et al. (2014)
Municipal solid waste	500	8.50	59.5	1.4		43	-		-	-	-	-	213	101	-	-	-	-	Jin et al. (2014)
Municipal solid waste	600	9.00	70.1	1.3		54	-		-	-	-	0.1	356	157	-	-	-	-	Jin et al. (2014)

**1521**  $1 = Pyrolysis temperature *= CaCl_2 # = MgCl_2.6H_2O, @ = FeCl_3.6H_2O$ 

Expt.	Soil type/test crop	BC source	PT	BC rate				Nutrient avail	lability chang	es over con	trol (%)			References
condition			(°C)		С	NO <sub>3</sub> -N	NH <sub>4</sub> -N	Tot. N	Avail. P	Tot. P	К	Secondary	Minor	
		Woody												
Incubatio n	Silty clay loam	Yellow pine	550	10 Mg/ha		2.04 (-)								(Baechle et al., 2018)
Pot	Khorat and Wahiawa/maize	upper branches of eucalyptus trees	350 and 800	1, 2, 3, 4%	708.54 (+) 271.90 (+)						145.24(+ ) 13(+)	Ca(268) (133.53) (+) Mg(106.14)(+ ) Mg(89.49)(-)	Mn(311.61) (+)	Butnan et al. (2018)
Field	Silt-clay/wheat	Apple branch	450	0, 1, 2, 4, 6%		48.66- 256.49(+ ) 72.52- 85.53(-)	46.42(+) 18.38(-)		32.34– 51.41(+) 13.70– 35.13(0-)					Li and Shangguan (2018)
Pot	Yellow loamy/rice	Bamboo	600	0.16 kg/pot	228.41 (+)	22.61(+)	41(+)	9.51(+)	3.54(-)		191.13(+ )			Lu et al. (2018)
Field	Ferralsol/Forage peanut	Hardwood	550	10 t/ha	21.60( +)	42.75(+)	24.06(-)	2.63(+)						Nguyen et al. (2018)
Field	Silty loam/maize- mustard	Eupatorium adenophorum	450- 500	5, 10, 15, 20, 40 t/ha	175.69 (+)			11(+)	422.4(+)		80.95(+)	Ca(78.26)(+) Mg(60.66)(+)		Pandit et al. (2018)
Pot	Brassica juncea	Oak wood	400	5%							61535.07 (+)	Mg(1158.92)( +)	Mn(2702)( +) Cu, Fe(-)	Rodriguez- Vila et al. (2017)
Pot	Sand, sandy loam and silty sand/sugar maple and red pine	Sugar maple sawdust	450	5, 20, 50 t/ha	64.5(+)				0.97(-)		92.5(+)	Ca(3)(-) Mg(4.05)(-)	Mn(17.1)(+ )	Noyce et al. (2017)
Greenho use	Yellow Latosol/rice and cowpea	Cashew wood residue		3.5, 7, 10 t/ha	19.4(-)					28.97( +)	46.12(+)	Ca and Mg(3.39)(-)		Miranda et al. (2017)
Field	Clay and loamy sand/chickpea	Acacia nilotica Eucalyptus obliqua	450- 550	0, 5, 10, 20 t/ha	57.52( +)			33.33(+)		42.17(-	5.13(+)	Ca(3.38)(-) Mg(3.57)(+)		Lusiba et al. (2017)
Greenho use	Courval sandy loam	Softwood chips	500	20 Mg/ha			15.16(+)							Backer et al. (2017)
Field	Fine-loamy, mixed, superactive/corn, soybean and switchgrass	Hardwood	600- 650	22.4 t/ha	37(+)			26(+)			11 (+)			Aller et al. (2017)

## 1526Table 5: Biochar and nutrient availability changes in different soils

Pot	Loam; sandy loam; clay loam	Eucalypt green waste	650- 750	2.5, 5, 10%		103(+) 110(+) 207(+)	53 (-) 32 (-) 61 (-)				8(+) 16(+)	Ca(19)(+) Mg(+)	Na(28) (+) Al(68)(-) Fe(13)(-) Cu(16) (-) B(40)(-)	Abujabhah et al. (2016)
Field	Dark reddish brown Ferrasol; Red Ferrosol/Maize	Willow wood waste	550	10 t/ha	43- 73(+)	10(+)	36(+)		59- 117(+)			Ca (31-54)(+)	Al (37.5)(-)	Agegnehu et al. (2016a)
Field	Acidic Eutric Nitisol/Barley	Stem, bark and branches of Acacia wood	Earth klin	2, 10 t/ha	30 (+)			15(+)		29(+)	17(+)	Ca (23)(+) Mg (16) (+)		Agegnehu et al. (2016b)
Field	Silty clay loam/maize	Acacia	400- 500	25, 50 t/ha	483.33 (+)			66.67(+)		200 (+)				Arif et al. (2016)
Field	Loam/Chinese cabbage	Crop residue Barley straw	400	10 t/ha				20.86(+)	9.76(+)		24(+)	Ca(9.81)(+) Mg(32.26)(+)		Kang et al. (2018)
Field	Silt loam/rice	Rice straw	550- 650		0.40(+)			1.90(+)	32(+)		22.79(+)	Ca(2.47) (+) Mg(4.80)(-)		Si et al. (2018)
Pot	Calcareous	Maize straw	300 450 600	1%	247.41 (+)			42.37(+)	105.32(+		469.73(+ )			Song et al. (2018)
Field	Sandy loam/wheat-maize	Wheat straw	350 550	40, 50, 100%	7.66(+)			16.46(+)	119.10(+ )					Zheng et al. (2017)
Field	Clay loam/soybean- maize	Corn stalks	500- 600	0, 2, 4, 8%	349.26 (+)	119.35(+	2.22(-)	120.39(+ )	15.78(+)	17.86( +)	9.11(+)			Yao et al. (2017)
Greenho use	Alluvial soil/rice	Rice husk		2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 15, 20 t/ha	70(+)								Fe(54.63)(+ ) Cu(192.99) (+) Zn(162.64) (+) Mn(87.37)( +)	Jatav et al. (2018)
Soil column	Riparian	Rice husk	450	1, 2, 3, 10%		88.11(+)	53.35(-)	58.64(+)	85.05(+)					Bu et al. (2017)
Pot	Calcareous sandy/wheat	Corn cobs		20, 40, 60 Mg/ha	166.67 (+)				25.51(+)		75.78(+)			Amin (2016)
Incubatio n		Elephant grass	400 500 600	5, 15 g/L	2.11(+)					16619. 75(+)	3122.03(+)	Ca(45.6)(+) Mg(460)(+) S(1579.71)(+)	Zn(17.72)(- ) Cu(20.25)(- ) B(114.28)(- )	Ferreira et al. (2018)

													Mn(60.98)( +)	
Microcos m	Silty clay loam	Rice straw, rice hull, and Maize stover	500	1.5, 3%	16.86( +)		161.90(+)			140 (+)	122.61(+ )			Bashir et al. (2018)
Field	Fluvisol/wheat- maize	Rice husks (70%) and cotton seed hulls (30%)	400	30, 60, 90 t/ha	29- 41.5(-)									Dong et al. (2018)
Field	Farmland	Wheat straw and peanut shell	500	8 t/ha							10.53(-)	Ca(1.80)(-) Mg(3.37) (+)		El-Naggar et al. (2018)
Incubatio n	Clay loam, loam and sandy loam	Sugar cane bagasse	450- 500						23.72- 63.67(+)					Gerdelidani and Hosseini (2018)
Greenho use	Loamy, kaolinitic, thermic Grossarenic Kandiudult/maize	Coconut husks, orange bagasse and pine woodchips	500	5, 10, 20, 60 t/ha				30.39(+)	21.88(-) 13.28(+)	18.51( +)				Gonzaga et al. (2018)
Pot	Loamy/turf grass	Sewage sludge	500	0, 1, 5, 10, 20, 50%	4443.6 5(+)			6209.09( +)	3819.88( +)		740.77(+ )			Yue et al. (2017)
Greenho use	Calcareous	Sewage sludge	350	7.3, 14.5, 29 Mg/ha		16.11(+)		1.18(+)	32.79(+)		2.79(+)			Khanmoham madi et al. (2017)
Incubatio n	Silt loam	<i>Miscanthus</i> straws, coffee husks and woody material	600	1, 3%					75(+)					Houben et al. (2017)
Growth chamber	Commercial/tomat o and castor bean	Castor cake and castor stalks	550	1, 5%				59.30(-) 9.05(+)		81.20(+)			Zn(32.74)( +) Mn(25.19)( -) Cu(25.52)(- ) Fe(6.50)(-) Fe(17.51)(+ )	Hilioti et al. (2017)
Incubatio n and greenhou se	Sandy clay loam/Chinese cabbage	Kunai grass	500		1900(-)	75(+)							,	Baiga and Rao (2017)
		Others		-			-							
Incubatio n	Calcareous	Wheat straw and cow manure	300 and 500	5, 10 t/ha						290.91 (+)				Beheshti et al. (2017)
Greenho use	Loam/maize	Poultry litter	500- 520	5, 10 Mg/ha				55.77(+)		27.27( +)		Ca(4.35)(-) S(75)(+)	Mn (52.67)(-)	Brantley et al. (2016)
Pot	Sandy/wheat	Wood and peanut shell –	450	1,2%					208(+)					Madiba et al. (2016)

			Chicken manure -						
			wheat						
			chaff						
Scr	een	Organic/Rice	unknown	0, 2 t/ha	1.72(+)	4.45(-)			Dewi et al.
hou	ise								(2018)

## Table 6: Impact of biochar on different crops

Expt./crop	Source of biochar and application rate	PT (°C)	Changes over control (%)		Crop growth (%)	References			
			Nutrient concentration	Nutrient uptake	NUE	Crop yield		-	
						grain	biomass		
Field/various	Rice husk (20 t/ha)	500	N(5.20)(-), (2.11)(+), P(11)(+)				9-15 (+)		Akoto-Danso et al. (2018)
Incubation and germination/rice and tomato	Rice husk (0.5, 1, 5, 10, 25, 50%)	480						Seedling emergence(17-20)(-)	Anyanwu et al. (2018)
Germination/Quercus serrata and Prunus sargentii	Oak tree and bamboo()	700-800 1200						Seedling quality index(8.3- 19.9)(+)	Aung et al. (2018)
Roof and ground/Ryegrass, Sedum lineare and cucumber	Sewage sludge(5, 10, 15, 20%,)						54-54.2(+)	Promoted plant growth	Chen et al. (2018)
Screenhouse/Rice	Unknown(2 t/ha)						6.3-13.3(+)		Dewi et al. (2018)
Pot/Chinese melon	Pinewood(5%)							Plant height, No. of leaves and stem dia (43, 192. 60, 66.5)(+), respectively	Elbashier et al. (2018)
Greenhouse/Okra	Wheat straw(5, 10%)	350-550						Plant growth increased; salinity threshold level(81.2)(+)	Elshaikh et al. (2018)
Pot/Bean	Maple residue(5, 10%)	560	K(28.57), Ca(6.20), Mg(10)(+)				20.22(+)	Plant growth increased	Farhangi-Abriz and Torabian (2018)
Spinach	Cattle manure(1.25, 2.5, 5%)	600					51(+)	Stomatal conductance(11- 63)(+)	Gavili et al. (2018)
Greenhouse/Maize	Coconut husks, orange bagasse and pine wood chip(5, 10, 20 and 60 t/ha)	500	N(0.88), P(0.15)(+)				90(+)		Gonzaga et al. (2018)
Greenhouse/Rice	Rice husk((2.5, 5.0, 7.5 10, 15, 20 t/ha))			Fe(480), Cu(570), Zn(336), Mn(322)(+)		8.5(+)	7.5(+)	Panicle length, grain/panicle, test weight, (78.37), (85.33), (34.55)(+), respectively	Jatav et al. (2018)
Field/Chinese cabbage	Barley straw(10 t/ha)	400	N(0.43), P(0.08), K(0.28)(+)	N, P, K (-)			64.9(+)		Kang et al. (2018)
Mesocosm/ Broadleaf cattail	Alder(95%), birch, oak, linden and willow(10%)						170(+)		Kasak et al. (2018)

Field/Spring barley	paper fiber sludge and grain husks (10, 20 t/ha)	550				77.78(+)	44(+)	Plant height(23.79)(+)	Kondrlova et al. (2018)
Field/Corn, cotton, peanut,	Re oak(22.4, 44.8 t/ha)	450-600				33(+)			Lamb et al. (2018)
Pot/Wheat	Apple branch(1,2,4,6%)	450				7.4-12(+) 6.25- 21.83(-)			Li and Shangguan (2018)
Greenhouse/Maize	Coffee ground and coffee husk(4, 8, 12 and 16 t/ha)	530			N(71), P(44)(+)			Improve plant growth	Lima et al. (2018)
Pot/Rice	Bamboo(0.16kg/pot)	600				81.82(+)	58.82(+)		Lu et al. (2018)
Pot/Wheat-maize	Rice residue(10, 20, 40 t/ha)						40(+)		Mavi et al. (2018)
Pot/Bean	Biosolid(4, 8, 16, 32 t/ha)	190		P, Ca, Zn (+)			96-112(+)		Melo et al. (2018)
Growth chamber/Crabgrass	Mixture of softwoods and loblolly pine + switchgrass (2%)	450					72.72(+)		Mitchell et al. (2018)
Field/Maize-Mustard	Euplatoriumadenophlor um(5,10,15,25,40 t/ha)	400-500				50- 134(+)			Pandit et al. (2018)
Greenhouse/Rice	Rice straw and sugarcane bagasse(0.3, 0.9%)	350				260- 321(+)			Sadegh-Zadeh et al. (2018)
Pot/Sunflower, Maize	Miscanthus (25, 50, 75%)	350				33-50(+)	42-70(+)	Physiology, biochemistry and antioxidant defense(+)	Shahbaz et al. (2018)
Field/Rice	Rice straw(2.25 t/ha)	550-650				33(+)	20-29.4(+)	Grains/panicle (72.7)(+)	Si et al. (2018)
Greenhouse/Maize	Cotton husks, eucalyptus residue, sugarcane filtercake, swine manure (1, 2, 3, 4%)						20(+)		Speratti et al. (2018)
Field/Wheat	Wood of <i>Dalbergia</i> sissoo (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10%)	500-700	N (25-48)(+)	N(50)(+)	N(65)(+)	38(+)	19(+)		Abbas et al. (2017)
Pot/Zuccini	Maize stalk(6.3, 12.6, 25.5 g/pot)	400			N(90.03)(+	26.7- 195(+)			Amin and Eissa (2017)
Field/Maize-wheat	Acacia prunings(10 t/ha)	1000			P(69.23- 150)(+)	18-24(+)		Plant height, grains/panicle, 1000-grain weight and harvest index (+)	Arif et al. (2017)
Hydroponics/leafy vegetables	Rice husk(1:1 ratio)	500	Ca, Mg, Mn, Zn(120- 350)(+)	N(12)(+)			100-140(+) 55.8-87.1(-)	Shoot length (49)(-) Shoot and leaves number(200)(+)	Awad et al. (2017)
Greenhouse/Corn	Softwood chips(20 t/ha)	500	N(15.5)(+)				17(+)	Total root length (18)(+) Specific root length(5)(+)	Backer et al. (2017)

								Tissue density(7)(-)	
Pot/Chinese cabbage	Kunai grass(10 t/ha)	500		N(14.89)(+)		48.92(+)	35.67(+)		Baiga and Rao (2017)
Field/Maize	Chicken litter(10, 20 t/ha)	550	N(31.90), P(256.25), K(112.27), Ca(20.82), Mg(11.76)(+) Fe(72.5)(-)	N(706.62), P(2096.34), K(1189.68), Ca(674.15), Mg(550.63), Fe(212.93)(+)	P(190.96)( +)	339.23(+	512.70(+)		Ch'ng et al. (2017)
Field/Rice	Rice straw(2, 40 t/ha)	400-500				10(+)		Grains/panicle (5.20)(+) Seed setting rate (3.05)(+) 1000-grain weight (1.05)(+) No. of effective tillers/hill(1.95)(+)	Cui et al. (2017)
Field/Corn	Sewage sludge(15 t/ha)	300 and 500		N(49.27), P(98.73), K(31.83), Ca(58.92), Mg(96.90), S(53.93), Cu(85.71), Zn(127.27), Fe(14.89), Mn(50)(+)		33.33- 46.67(+)			Faria et al. (2017)
Field/major crops & cover crops	Norway spruce (70%) +European Beech (30%) (15, 30 t/ha)	550-600	K(16)(+) Mn(25-42)(-)		N(6.09- 8.01)(-)	9.18- 11.00(-)	11.68- 25.68(-)	Plant height (7-14)(-)	Haider et al. (2017)
Greenhouse/Maize	Sewage sludge(7.3, 14.5, 29 t/ha)	350	N(6.14), P(15.39)(-) K(1.46)(+) Fe(10.07), Zn(17.52), Cu(12.22), Mn(1.54)(-)	N(9.66), P(23.26), K(2.84)(-)			11.67(-)		Khanmohammadi et al. (2017)
Pot/ Sugar maple and Red pine	Maple sawdust and wood ash(5, 20, 50 t/ha)	450	N(1.5), P(28.03), K(46.96), Ca(1.83), Mg(7.22), S(28.57)(+)				20(+)		Noyce et al. (2017)
Glasshouse/Corn	Empty fruit bunch (0, 5, 10, 15 and 20 t/ha)	350-450	N(148), P(236), K(185) Ca(181), Mg(154)(+)	N(564), P(666), K(678) Ca(600), Mg(500)			67-150(+)		Abdulrahman et al. (2016)
Field/Maize	Maize cobs (4 t/ha)	350				154- 425(+)	152-246(+)		Abiven et al. (2015)
Field/Maize	Willow wood waste (2.5, 10 t/ha)	550	N(5-14), P(11-41)(+) δ <sup>15</sup> N (1.3-2.2 times)	$\delta^{13}$ C (10.9-11 times)		10-29(+)	12-18(+)		Agegnehu ,Bass , et al. (2016)
Field/Barley	Stem, bark and branches of Acacia wood (2, 10 t/ha)	350-450	N(6.5-11)(+) $\delta^{15}N (1.2 \text{ times})$	N(37-64)(+)	N(45)(+)	30-79(+)	56-176(+)		Agegnehu ,Nelson , et al. (2016b)

Field/Barley	Stem, bark and branches	N(39), P(11), K(11)(+)		48(+)	52(+)	Agegnehu ,Nelson ,
	of Acacia wood (2, 10					et al. (2016a)
	t/ha)					l