1	The serology of <i>Ebolavirus</i> – a wider geographical range, a
2	wider genus of viruses, or a wider range of virulence?
3	
4	Magdalena Formella & Derek Gatherer‡
5	
6 7	Division of Biomedical & Life Sciences, Faculty of Health & Medicine, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YW, UK
8	
9 10	<i><sup>‡</sup>Corresponding author: <u>d.gatherer@lancaster.ac.uk</u></i>
11 12	Keywords: Ebola virus disease; Filoviridae; <i>Ebolavirus</i> ; serology; Africa; haemorrhagic fever.
13	
14	
15	
16	Word count: Abstract: 229; main text 4541; number of references: 86
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

#### 28 Abstract

29 Viruses of the genus Ebolavirus are the causative agents of Ebola virus disease (EVD), of which there have been only 25 recorded outbreaks since the discovery of Zaire and Sudan 30 31 ebolaviruses in the late 1970s. Until the west African outbreak commencing in late 2013, EVD was confined to an area of central Africa stretching from the coast of Gabon through 32 the Congo river basin and eastward to the Great Lakes. Nevertheless, population serological 33 studies since 1976, most of which were carried out in the first two decades after that date, 34 35 have suggested a wider distribution and more frequent occurrence across tropical Africa. We review this body of work, discussing the various methods employed over the years and 36 37 the degree to which they can currently be regarded as reliable. We conclude that there is 38 adequate evidence for a wider geographical range of exposure to Ebolavirus or related filoviruses and discuss three possibilities that could account for this: a) EVD outbreaks have 39 been misidentified as other diseases in the past; b) unidentified, and clinically milder, 40 species of the genus Ebolavirus circulate over a wider range than the most pathogenic 41 species; c) EVD may be subclinical with a frequency high enough that smaller outbreaks may 42 be unidentified. We conclude that the second option is the most likely and therefore 43 predict the future discovery of other, less virulent, members of the genus *Ebolavirus*. 44

45

### 46 **Ebola virus disease outbreaks**

Zaire ebolavirus (EBOV: WHO, 1978b), Sudan ebolavirus (SUDV: WHO, 1978a) and
Bundibugyo ebolavirus (BDBV: MacNeil *et al.*, 2010) (all family *Filoviridae*; genus *Ebolavirus*)
have together caused 25 outbreaks of high mortality haemorrhagic fever that have been

officially recognised as such by the World Health Organization (WHO) (Table 1). This figure 50 is open to interpretation, as many of the outbreaks are temporally and geographically 51 52 clustered, and some clusters may represent recurrent flare-ups of outbreaks with a single origin. Until the Ebola-Makona strain (Kuhn et al., 2014) outbreak beginning in Guinea in 53 late 2013, collection of virus genome data was relatively sporadic, so data is not available to 54 answer some of the questions that Table 1 might beg. The repeated minor recurrences of 55 56 Ebola virus disease (EVD) after the end of the main wave of the west African outbreak (e.g. 57 Blackley *et al.*, 2016; Diallo *et al.*, 2016), which we know from genome data to have all been Ebola-Makona in origin, might in the past have been classified as a cluster of separate 58 59 outbreaks. Therefore, 25 outbreaks since 1976 must be seen as a ceiling rather than a precise value. 60

61 These EVD outbreaks have ranged in size from single cases to the 11,310 official fatalities 62 associated with EBOV-Makona in west Africa between December 2013 and April 2016. An 63 additional two species in the genus, Reston ebolavirus (RESTV: Geisbert et al., 1992) and Taï Forest ebolavirus (TAFV: Le Guenno et al., 1995) have not been associated with transmission 64 between humans, although TAFV has produced one non-fatal clinical case. Based on the 65 distribution of EVD outbreaks by species before 2013, it is possible to define a geographical 66 range for each virus: EBOV in the Congo Basin and westward to the Atlantic Ocean, SUDV in 67 Uganda and northwards into what is now South Sudan, and BDBV in an intermediate zone 68 69 between the two (Figure 1). The appearance of EBOV-Makona in eastern Guinea in 70 December 2013 presented an additional geographical locus which disturbed the pre-2013 view of EVD as a disease limited to central Africa. The location of RESTV in Asia and TAFV in 71 72 Ivory Coast did not previously affect this picture as neither had been responsible for humanto-human EVD transmission. Defining the true geographical extent of EVD is of great 73

importance, since the absence of west Africa from the previously accepted account of EVD
incidence was a factor in the failure to recognise the disease until the outbreak was already
spreading widely (Moon *et al.*, 2015).

77

### 78 Methods Employed in Ebola Serology

Since the first recorded EVD outbreak, caused by strain EBOV-Mayinga in Yambuku, DRC (then Zaire) in 1976 (WHO, 1978b), sporadic efforts have been made to assess seropositivity in human and animal populations across Africa and occasionally elsewhere. A variety of techniques, sample sizes and study designs have been used, together defining a larger area of tropical Africa where ebolaviruses have left their serological traces (Figure 1 and Table 2).

84 Table 2 shows that of the 30 studies we were able to identify in the literature, 24 consisted of samples collected before 1990. Two studies (Becker et al., 1992; Tignor et al., 1993) used 85 archive samples stored for up to two decades. All pre-1992 studies, with one exception 86 (Boiro et al., 1987), used immunofluorescence (IF). Subsequent studies have all used 87 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Both of these techniques rely on cross-88 89 reaction of serum samples with an antigen immobilised on a slide or in a well. The antigens used for this purpose have also been highly variable, some papers specifying the strain as 90 91 well as the species (e.g. Gonzalez et al., 1989; Meunier et al., 1987; Nakounne et al., 2000; Tignor et al., 1993; Van der Waals et al., 1986), with others merely the species (e.g. 92 Blackburn et al., 1982; Mathiot et al., 1989; Rodhain et al., 1989), and a third category with 93 even fewer details (e.g. Paix et al., 1988; Saluzzo et al., 1980). Many of the studies were 94 also performed in the field, often in remote areas and presumably with limited facilities for 95 96 preventing degradation of both serum samples and laboratory materials. All studies 97 focussed on immunological reactivity, and neutralization of virus was not studied. Under
98 such circumstances, scepticism concerning results is justified, and a further examination of
99 techniques is warranted.

100

### 101 Immunofluorescence

102 The early IF-based methods are described in detail by Johnson et al. (1981b). Virus-infected 103 Vero cells in suspension were ultraviolet-irradiated to inactivate viral infectivity and then 104 dried onto Teflon-coated microscope slides which were fixed in acetone, then gammairradiated to destroy any residual infectivity and further sterilise both the slides and the 105 inside of the slide box. The infection and dilution process was titrated such that an average 106 107 of less than 10% of cells per slide were infected, thus providing an internal negative control. 108 Test samples reacting to all the surface of the slide could therefore be discarded as false positives and only those slides displaying the predicted fluorescence from 5% to 15% of cells 109 would be scored as positive reactions. Negative control sera were also used to differentiate 110 slides producing non-specific reactions and antisera raised against the virus in the laboratory 111 were used as positive controls. In the laboratory setting, Johnson et al. (1981b) stored their 112 113 IF slides at  $-70^{\circ}$ C prior to use, which would be impossible in a field setting.

114 It must be assumed that most of the early IF studies carried out in the field, used slides 115 prepared similarly to those of Johnson *et al.* (1981b). Van der Waals *et al.* (1986) describe 116 some of the associated limitations. Surveying in Liberia in 1981-1982 (Table 2), they 117 emphasise the necessity for pre-incubation of serum with uninfected cells, as well as 118 positive and negative controls and blind scoring. However, having implemented this 119 procedure for reducing false positives, they were able to differentially score EBOV-Mayinga

against SUDV-Boniface (11.8% seropositivity versus 1.6% respectively) and both against 120 121 other viruses (Lassa fever 1.3%, Rift Valley fever 0.4%, Congo-Crimean haemorrhagic fever 4.4% and Marburg virus 1.3%). This capacity, shown in several of the early IF surveys, to 122 differentially score for, and therefore by implication differentially detect, *Ebolavirus* species, 123 provides a plausible internal control for the method. For instance, Ivanoff et al. (1982) 124 found 6% seropositivity to EBOV in their Gabonese samples but "little or no" seropositivity 125 to SUDV and none to Marburg or Lassa viruses. Likewise, Mathiot et al. (1989) produced a 126 127 similar result – 4% to 13% seropositivity to EBOV versus zero to SUDV in Madagascar.

Providing the IF slides satisfied the required controls before leaving the laboratory, and the field surveyors applied positive and negative serum controls in parallel with patient samples, while discarding samples which produced non-specific fluorescence across all cells on the slide, there is no *a priori* reason to reject completely the findings of the early studies. Reservations must remain about preservation of slides and control sera outside the laboratory in potentially hot climates.

134

### 135 Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay

From the early 1990s, IF methods fell into disuse in Ebola serology and were replaced with ELISA. Boiro *et al.* (1987) were the first to implement ELISA in this context. Only brief details are given in their paper, but "l'antigène du virus Ebola" without species or strain specification was bound to polystyrene microplates and incubated with guinea pig IgG to decrease non-specific binding prior to the addition of the study samples. The secondary antibody was peroxidase-conjugated. IF was also performed, and the antigen in that case specified as EBOV. Unlike the earlier IF studies, many of which were performed in the field,

Boiro et al. (1987) carried out their work in the laboratory where their ELISA results could be 143 analysed spectrophotometrically, and the reduction of the number of Ebola serology studies 144 since the 1990s has probably partly been a consequence of this necessary additional 145 technical requirement. Boiro et al. (1987) also present their results very briefly, simply 146 recording that four serum samples judged positive by ELISA also tested positive using IF, out 147 of a total of 138 ELISA-tested and 79 IF-tested samples, within which there were 11 (8.0%) 148 149 and 15 (19%) positives respectively. The difference in positivity between methods is not 150 relevant since the IF tests were done on convalescent patients only whereas the clinical status of the ELISA test subjects is not specified. It is also not clear, apart from the four 151 152 specifically cross-checked samples, if there is any overlap between the two sets.

153 No other comparative study of IF against ELISA for Ebola on the same field sample set has been recorded in the literature. Subsequent studies using ELISA have dealt with the 154 problem of false positives by the use of positive and negative control sera, and in some 155 156 cases sending the samples to other centres for independent cross-checking (Gonzalez et al., 2000) or titrating the threshold for scoring positivity by reference to a sample of unexposed 157 individuals from the USA (Boisen *et al.*, 2015) or France (Nkoghe *et al.*, 2011). Heterologous 158 incubation - e.g. when testing for EBOV, add Marburg-positive serum to remove Marburg-159 specific binding, and vice versa – has also been used (Becker *et al.*, 1992). 160

161

### 162 Laboratory Evidence for Inter-specific Cross-reactivity

Although both IF and ELISA in the field show some evidence of specificity between different viruses within the genus Ebolavirus, several laboratory studies have indicated that some cross-reactivity is likely. A comparative study of ELISA methods using antigens prepared

from all species of the genus Ebolavirus (Macneil et al., 2011), showed cross-reactivity to be 166 167 considerable, but another study considered it to be more limited (Nakayama et al., 2010). In a clinical context, sera from survivors of outbreaks displayed cross-reactivity to 168 recombinant proteins from other filovirus species, which had been bound to a protein 169 microarray (Natesan et al., 2016) and monoclonal antibodies raised against the 170 glycoproteins of BDBV, SUDV and EBOV exhibit some cross-specific in vitro binding. In the 171 case of the anti-BDBV-GP (glycoprotein) antibodies, they were also protective against EBOV 172 173 infection in guinea pigs (Flyak et al., 2016). Conversely heterologous vaccines expressing recombinant EBOV and SUDV glycoprotein are protective against infection with BDBV in 174 macaques (Hensley et al., 2010), and viral-like particles (VLPs) have also been used to 175 generate some cross-specific protection (Warfield et al., 2015). Convalescent sera from the 176 EBOV-Makona outbreak contain antibodies which cross-react with commercial EBOV and 177 178 SUDV nucleoprotein antigens on Western Blot (WHO, 2015), and conversely sera from SUDV 179 patients reacts against EBOV antigens (Sobarzo et al., 2015). The structural basis of crossreactivity between an anti-EBOV antibody and a Marburg virus antigen has also been 180 elucidated (Hashiguchi et al., 2015). 181

182

### 183 Unexpected Results from Ebola Serology Studies

Despite the technical and descriptive issues delineated above, and subsequent *in vitro* findings regarding cross-reactivity, Boiro *et al.* (1987) has become in retrospect a significant paper, in that it presents, along with the IF paper of Van der Waals *et al.* (1986), evidence for the occurrence of EVD in two of the three countries later affected by the 2013-2016 Ebola-Makona outbreak. If the conclusions of these two papers had been more widely

known, it might have served to alert health authorities earlier to the potential cause of the 189 outbreak and avoid the delay that Moon et al. (2015) identify as one of the main factors in 190 the loss of control in the early stages of the epidemic. After the commencement of the 191 192 Ebola-Makona outbreak, Schoepp et al. (2014) tested samples collected in the affected area 193 from 2006 to 2008, using IgG and IgM-capture ELISA methods. The IgM capture method 194 coats the assay plates with anti-human IgM antibody rather than viral antigen. The serum 195 samples are then added to allow the anti-IgM antibody to bind the IgM in the samples, after 196 which the antigen is added. Pre-selecting in this way for the IgM within the study sample helps to reduce the potential for non-specific binding of viral antigen. 197 The 8.2% seropositivity rate for EBOV corresponds well to the 8.0% detected by Boiro et al. (1987), 198 199 suggesting that the original paper is believable. Boisen et al. (2015), using the same clinical 200 source as Schoepp et al. (2014) - the Kenema General Hospital in Sierra Leone - found a 201 higher figure of 22% including samples taken up to March 2014, just prior to the arrival of 202 the EBOV-Makona strain in Kenema.

203 One of the most surprising results in Ebola serology comes from a study performed in 204 Germany (Becker et al., 1992) on an anonymised heterogeneous sample set collected over a 19 year period from 1972 to 1991, comprising contacts of the original Marburg virus 205 206 outbreak patients, routine diagnostic samples from Marburg (western-central Germany), blood donors and others of unspecified origin and a sample set from Greifswald (on the 207 208 Baltic coast of north-east Germany). This is the only study to combine ELISA, IF and Western 209 blot methods. ELISA was carried out in the first instance, and then the positive samples cross-checked with IF and Western blotting with confirmation rates in excess of 66% for all 210 211 antigens tested. The initial ELISAs used heterologous incubation to address the problem of 212 cross-specificity. REBOV has the highest positivity at 3.4% followed by Marburg at 2.6% and

EBOV at 0.85%. The study provides no information about the travel history or birthplace of individuals, which means that the possibility that the signal represents travel-related exposure, rather than autochthonous transmission within Europe, cannot be discounted.

216

217 Candidate Reservoir Serology in Africa

218 Three fruit bat species (Hypsignathus monstrosus, Epomops franqueti, and Myonycteris 219 torquata) have been hypothesised to be the natural reservoir host of EBOV on the basis of detection of viral genomes (Leroy et al., 2005), but the human seropositive zone is wider 220 than their incidence (Figure 1), suggesting that our current knowledge of the animal 221 reservoir is incomplete. Other species of fruit bat with a wider range may be involved, and a 222 223 serological study of Eidolon helvum in Zambia between 2006 and 2013 showed annual 224 seropositivity fluctuating between zero and 6% for any one filovirus, with all species tested (EBOV, SUDV, REBOV, BDBV, TAFV and Marburg virus) occurring at least once over the study 225 period, but different species dominating in different years, and EBOV and SUDV being jointly 226 the most common (Ogawa et al., 2015). Several bat species in Ghana (Hayman et al., 2010; 227 2012) and Gabon (Pourrut et al., 2007; 2009) were also shown to be seropositive. Outside 228 229 of Africa, seropositive bats have been detected in Bangladesh (Olival et al., 2013) and China (Yuan et al., 2012). 230

Of course, serological studies in bats share all the interpretational problems of those in humans. Not all studies have been positive – the first extensive investigation (Leirs *et al.*, 1999) into seropositivity in African mammals, using ELISA, conducted following the 1995 EBOV outbreak in Kikwit, Zaire (Table 1) sampled 3066 specimens drawn from 2493 species

and failed to find any seropositivity including in the fruit bat *Epomops franqueti* from which a
later study isolated a fragment of viral genome (Leroy *et al.*, 2005)

It is known that apes are susceptible to EVD (Walsh *et al.*, 2003) and also have signals of
seropositivity (Becker *et al.*, 1992; Johnson *et al.*, 1981a; 1982; Leroy *et al.*, 2004a; 2004b;
Nidom *et al.*, 2012; Rouquet *et al.*, 2005) but their status seems to be more similar to that of
humans as occasional victims of epidemics, rather than to represent a reservoir population.
Likewise, surveys of other mammals have shown seropositivity in domestic animals (Allela *et al.*, 2005; Stansfield *et al.*, 1982) and limited evidence of virus genomes (Morvan *et al.*, 1999) or disease (Leroy *et al.*, 2004a; Rouquet *et al.*, 2005) in small mammals.

244

### 245 Human Serological and Clinical Ranges in Africa

However, if the serological signal in humans represents the true geographical range of the 246 247 genus *Ebolavirus*, this begs the question as to why EVD outbreaks were not more widely distributed prior to 2013. The serological signal is consistent over time; some African 248 249 countries having been sampled extensively in terms of number of studies, geographical 250 range and variety of different reporting research groups. The Central African Republic (CAR) 251 has been the subject of seven published studies, carried out between 1979 and 1997 (Figure 1; Table 2). Several sites have been sampled more than once, with maximum seropositivity 252 at 23%, despite no recorded EVD outbreak having occurred in the CAR. Prior to the first EVD 253 254 outbreak in Gabon in 1994, that country was the subject of a sero-surveys in 1980 and 1985-255 1987 indicating a maximum seropositivity of 22% (Table 2) and identifying seropositive 256 subjects in some of the areas where EVD subsequently broke out in 1994-1996 and 2001-257 2002 (Figure 1). All three countries involved in the 2013-2016 EBOV-Makona outbreak had

given positive signals over four studies from 1981 to 2011, three of which involved patients
with haemorrhagic fevers of unknown aetiology (Table 2).

We therefore next discuss three scenarios that could account for the discrepancy between EVD's range when defined clinically versus serologically, and their implications both for our understanding of the biology of the genus *Ebolavirus* and future risk assessment.

263

# Hypothesis 1: EVD outbreaks have been misidentified as other diseases in the past

Although the international response to the EBOV-Makona outbreak was criticised on several counts (Moon *et al.*, 2015), there was much media interest from the beginning. A similar level of media interest was stimulated in 1995 by the EBOV-Kikwit outbreak (Garrett, 2001), and recognition of the potential seriousness of EVD outbreaks and consequent surveillance began almost as soon as EBOV and SUDV were discovered in the late 1970s (Table 3). Under these circumstances, it perhaps seems unlikely that an EVD outbreak could have passed completely unnoticed.

However, this would presumably not apply to outbreaks occurring before the discovery of
EBOV in 1976. One potential event in that category was the 1961-1962 outbreak in Ethiopia
of a disease described at the time as yellow fever (Tignor *et al.*, 1993). Even after 1976,
some of the population sero-surveys identified clusters of patients with fevers, for instance
in Kenya (Johnson *et al.*, 1983b; 1986) and west Africa (Boiro *et al.*, 1987; Boisen *et al.*,
2015; Schoepp *et al.*, 2014) which may represent small EVD outbreaks that escaped official
classification, speculation being particularly focussed on Guinea in 1982-1983 (Balde, 2014;

Boiro *et al.*, 1987). Corroboration of this hypothesis would require work to be done by medical historians to identify previous disease outbreaks that may have been unrecognised EVD. A similar effort was undertaken in the 1980s to identify traces in the literature of potential cases of pre-1979 HIV-1 infection in Africa, without much success. Our knowledge of the pre-history of AIDS comes largely from retrospective serology and phylogenetic reconstruction, and this may also remain the case for EVD.

286

## 287 Hypothesis 2: The genus *Ebolavirus* is larger than currently known, and

### includes milder species with a wider geographical range

The finding of seropositive individuals does not necessarily indicate that those individuals 289 have been exposed to EBOV, BDBV or SUDV. As reviewed above, reports of cross-specificity 290 in vitro make it likely that some of the serological tests detect other members of the genus 291 292 Ebolavirus or related filoviruses. Human population serology may simply indicate the 293 geographical range of the genus or the family as a whole. The discovery of TAFV in 1994, 294 still limited to a single case in humans, illustrates that very rare Ebolavirus species do exist, 295 so it is plausible that more species diversity remains to be discovered. These hypothetical extra members of the genus Ebolavirus would presumably be relatively mild compared to 296 EBOV, BDBV and SUDV, and thus have not produced any recognised outbreaks of EVD. TAFV 297 produced a "dengue-like syndrome" in its single human case (Le Guenno et al., 1995). 298

299

### 300 Hypothesis 3: EVD may be subclinical with a frequency high enough that 301 smaller outbreaks may be unidentified

Post-outbreak sero-surveys (Table 3) conducted in the wake of prior EVD events (Table 1) 302 303 have often shown localised high levels of seropositivity. Some settlements in the vicinity of the second Zaire outbreak of 1977 had seropositivity at 56% (Van der Groen & Pattyn, 1979) 304 and 32% was recorded in parts of Gabon exposed to the 2001-2002 outbreak (Nkoghe et al., 305 306 2011). These numbers would appear to be too large to be simply representative of known patients, and suggest a larger body of affected individuals, some of whom possibly might 307 308 have been sub-clinical. However, Jezek et al. (1999), returning in the early 1980s to the 309 scene of the 1976 and 1977 EBOV outbreaks in Zaire, detected 60% seropositivity in recovered patients, but only 1% in the general population, suggesting that the bulk of 310 311 seropositivity is due to those who have had a recognised previous EVD attack. On the other 312 hand, these same authors also scored asymptomatic contacts at 18% seropositive. Other studies on asymptomatic contacts of known cases have given seropositivity scores as high as 313 314 32% for the 1979 SUDV outbreak (Baron et al., 1983) and 50% for the 1996 Gabon EBOV 315 outbreak (Leroy et al., 2000). In the latter study, viral RNA was also isolated from 7 out of the 11 seropositive asymptomatic contacts, but from none of 13 seronegative contacts. A 316 317 meta-analysis by Dean et al. (2016) covering many of the studies in Tables 2 and 3, estimated that 14-40% of EBOV infections are asymptomatic. 318

However, these studies do not conclusively prove that the asymptomatic contacts contracted sub-clinical EVD, despite the circumstantial implication. Becquart *et al.* (2014) compared sera from asymptomatic seropositive individuals with equivalent samples from symptomatic survivors showing that IgG responses were qualitatively different in each group. The asymptomatic group displayed greater response to EBOV VP40 (40 kDa protein), whereas the survivors known to have been infected with EBOV had their greatest IgG response to GP. This might be consistent with the seropositive individuals within the

asymptomatic group having been previously infected with a non-EBOV filovirus, perhaps
one with greater sequence/antigenic similarity to EBOV in its VP40 than its GP.
Alternatively, it might indicate some variation in the immune response that contributes to
the asymptomatic state.

The EBOV-Makona outbreak of 2013-2016 produced 28,616 official confirmed or suspected 330 331 cases, allowing a far more extensive investigation of seropositivity in a human population than had previously been possible. Asymptomatic relatives of Ebola-Makona victims were 332 333 routinely identified as seropositive, as high as 65% for the later stages of the outbreak in Sierra Leone (de La Vega et al., 2015). Of course, it must be noted that the stigma 334 associated with EVD, recognised in both the EBOV 1995 and SUDV 2000 outbreaks 335 (Kinsman, 2012) and emerging as a major factor in the EBOV-Makona outbreak 336 337 (Karamouzian & Hategekimana, 2015), may have led to a reluctance among the contacts of EVD cases to admit their own symptoms. Those subsequently classified as asymptomatic 338 339 contacts may therefore have been true survivors. Gignoux et al. (2015) used a statistical comparison of two databases of patients covering Montserrado, Liberia from June to August 340 2014 to estimate that the true number of clinical EVD cases was 3-fold higher than the 341 reported number. It is unclear however if this is due to administrative deficiencies or 342 deliberate under-reporting. 343

344

### 345 **Conclusions and Future Prospects**

346 The serological footprint of Ebola is wider than expected from our knowledge of EVD 347 outbreaks. Each sero-survey must be considered on its own merits, as a variety of methods

have been used over the years, with differing degrees of technical sophistication and attention to controls for false positives. Nevertheless, the most recent experiments remain generally supportive of the idea that contact between humans and some viruses of the genus *Ebolavirus*, although not necessarily any of the known ones, has occurred in tropical Africa outside of known outbreak zones. Caution must be exercised before making similar statements concerning Europe and Asia. The former has no recent study and seropositive signals in the latter may be accounted for by RESTV.

355 Each of the three scenarios listed here can draw on some support from the data. Hypothesis 3 - a widespread occurrence of asymptomatic EBOV infections - would perhaps 356 be the most troubling, as this would imply that EBOV is far more common than previously 357 appreciated, and across a wide area of Africa, presenting the possibility that full-blown EVD 358 359 crises may arise at any time. It does, however, beg the question of why some EBOV outbreaks would consist largely of asymptomatic cases, in contrast to a more typical 360 361 devastating EVD episode. Nevertheless, wherever efforts have been made to assess exposure to the virus among asymptomatic contacts, most studies have figures in excess of 362 18% (Table 3). 363

Hypothesis 1 - more clinically conventional, but nevertheless missed, EVD outbreaks - may therefore require fewer assumptions. However, it requires us to explain why our postulated extra EVD outbreaks have not been detected, especially after 1976 when surveillance for haemorrhagic fevers intensified. There may be evidence in the colonial medical literature of outbreaks that were classified according to the diseases known at the time, but which now in retrospect may seem more probably to be EVD outbreaks. However, the serological traces in modern human populations of such outbreaks would be confined to the very

elderly, and we need to account for unexplained Ebola seropositivity in younger individualstoo.

A compromise between hypotheses 1 and 3 may be possible, if EVD outbreaks are normally very small and localised with a high proportion of asymptomatic cases. The documented EVD outbreaks since 1976 would then represent the extreme end of a probability distribution, being only those outbreaks large enough to present sufficient fatal cases to attract attention. This however, would require an answer as to why known EVD outbreaks, until 2013, were all in a relatively restricted region of central Africa comprising the Congo Basin and areas to its east and west, whereas the Ebola seropositivity signal is far wider.

380 Hypothesis 2 - the existence of other members of the genus *Ebolavirus* - may therefore be the least problematic answer, as it does not require any revision of our understanding of 381 EVD as caused by three virulent, and until 2013 solely central African, species in that genus. 382 383 The relatively little that we know concerning RESTV and TAFV is consistent with the idea of 384 reduced pathogenicity in humans of some species of *Ebolavirus*. Where cross-reactivity 385 experiments have been performed, there appears to be an indication that exposure to one member of the genus Ebolavirus can produce antibodies that will bind other members to a 386 387 greater (Flyak et al., 2016; Hashiguchi et al., 2015; Hensley et al., 2010; Macneil et al., 2011; 388 Natesan et al., 2016; WHO, 2015) or lesser (Nakayama et al., 2010) degree.

There is therefore considerable justification for a renewal of the Ebola sero-survey research programme, which has atrophied since the 1990s. This should be coupled with a deep sequencing initiative in candidate reservoir hosts, especially bats. Discovery of new members of the genus *Ebolavirus* could account for the widespread seropositivity among humans. Since even current standard immunological tests based on antigen-antibody

binding cannot distinguish different species of Ebolavirus with absolute reliability, 394 methodological research is required to make the next generation of serology techniques as 395 396 precise as those currently based on genome sequencing. The same concerns apply to other viral genera, for instance Flavivirus, where Zika and dengue exhibit cross-reactivity 397 398 (Dejnirattisai et al., 2016; Priyamvada et al., 2016). Conclusions about Zika's clinical occurrence prior to the beginning of the large Pacific/Americas outbreak are therefore both 399 400 crucial to assessment of the degree of herd immunity to Zika and based on potentially 401 unreliable data.

402

### 403 Acknowledgements and Data Access Statement

DG is funded by an Early Career Small Grant from Lancaster University to study Ebola diagnostics. No raw data was produced as part of this study. We thank Luigi Sedda (Lancaster) for assistance with Figure 1.

407

### 408 **References**

- Allela, L., Boury, O., Pouillot, R., Delicat, A., Yaba, P., Kumulungui, B., Rouquet, P., Gonzalez, J. P. &
   Leroy, E. M. (2005). Ebola virus antibody prevalence in dogs and human risk. *Emerging infectious diseases* 11, 385-390.
- Balde, C. (2014). Ebola : le virus est en Guinée depuis 1982, révèle un médecin: . In
   <u>http://wwwvisionguineeinfo/2014/10/16/ebola-le-virus-est-en-guinee-depuis-1982-revele-</u>
   un-medecin/.
- Baron, R. C., McCormick, J. B. & Zubeir, O. A. (1983). Ebola virus disease in southern Sudan: hospital
  dissemination and intrafamilial spread. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 61, 9971003.
- Becker, S., Feldmann, H., Will, C. & Slenczka, W. (1992). Evidence for occurrence of filovirus antibodies in humans and imported monkeys: do subclinical filovirus infections occur worldwide? *Medical microbiology and immunology* 181, 43-55.
- Becquart, P., Mahlakoiv, T., Nkoghe, D. & Leroy, E. M. (2014). Identification of continuous human B cell epitopes in the VP35, VP40, nucleoprotein and glycoprotein of Ebola virus. *PloS one* 9,
   e96360.
- Becquart, P., Wauquier, N., Mahlakoiv, T., Nkoghe, D., Padilla, C., Souris, M., Ollomo, B., Gonzalez,
   J. P., De Lamballerie, X., Kazanji, M. & Leroy, E. M. (2010). High prevalence of both humoral

- and cellular immunity to Zaire ebolavirus among rural populations in Gabon. *PloS one* 5,
  e9126.
- Bertherat, E., Renaut, A., Nabias, R., Dubreuil, G. & Georges-Courbot, M. C. (1999). Leptospirosis
   and Ebola virus infection in five gold-panning villages in northeastern Gabon. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene* 60, 610-615.
- Blackburn, N. K., Searle, L. & Taylor, P. (1982). Viral haemorrhagic fever antibodies in Zimbabwe
  schoolchildren. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 76, 803805.
- 434 Blackley, D. J., Wiley, M. R., Ladner, J. T., Fallah, M., Lo, T., Gilbert, M. L., Gregory, C., D'Ambrozio, 435 J., Coulter, S., Mate, S., Balogun, Z., Kugelman, J., Nwachukwu, W., Prieto, K., Yeiah, A., 436 Amegashie, F., Kearney, B., Wisniewski, M., Saindon, J., Schroth, G., Fakoli, L., Diclaro, J. 437 W., 2nd, Kuhn, J. H., Hensley, L. E., Jahrling, P. B., Stroher, U., Nichol, S. T., Massaquoi, M., 438 Kateh, F., Clement, P., Gasasira, A., Bolay, F., Monroe, S. S., Rambaut, A., Sanchez-439 Lockhart, M., Scott Laney, A., Nyenswah, T., Christie, A. & Palacios, G. (2016). Reduced 440 evolutionary rate in reemerged Ebola virus transmission chains. Science advances 2, 441 e1600378.
- Boiro, I., Lomonossov, N. N., Sotsinski, V. A., Constantinov, O. K., Tkachenko, E. A., Inapogui, A. P.
  Balde, C. (1987). [Clinico-epidemiologic and laboratory research on hemorrhagic fevers in Guinea]. Bulletin de la Societe de pathologie exotique et de ses filiales 80, 607-612.
- 445 Boisen, M. L., Schieffelin, J. S., Goba, A., Oottamasathien, D., Jones, A. B., Shaffer, J. G., Hastie, K. 446 M., Hartnett, J. N., Momoh, M., Fullah, M., Gabiki, M., Safa, S., Zandonatti, M., Fusco, M., 447 Bornholdt, Z., Abelson, D., Gire, S. K., Andersen, K. G., Tariyal, R., Stremlau, M., Cross, R. 448 W., Geisbert, J. B., Pitts, K. R., Geisbert, T. W., Kulakoski, P., Wilson, R. B., Henderson, L., 449 Sabeti, P. C., Grant, D. S., Garry, R. F., Saphire, E. O., Branco, L. M. & Khan, S. H. (2015). 450 Multiple circulating infections can mimic the early stages of viral hemorrhagic fevers and 451 possible human exposure to filoviruses in Sierra Leone prior to the 2014 outbreak. Viral 452 *immunology* **28**, 19-31.
- Bouree, P. & Bergmann, J. F. (1983). Ebola virus infection in man: a serological and epidemiological
   survey in the Cameroons. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene* 32, 1465 1466.
- Busico, K. M., Marshall, K. L., Ksiazek, T. G., Roels, T. H., Fleerackers, Y., Feldmann, H., Khan, A. S.
  & Peters, C. J. (1999). Prevalence of IgG antibodies to Ebola virus in individuals during an Ebola outbreak, Democratic Republic of the Congo, 1995. *The Journal of infectious diseases* 179 Suppl 1, S102-107.
- de La Vega, M. A., Caleo, G., Audet, J., Qiu, X., Kozak, R. A., Brooks, J. I., Kern, S., Wolz, A.,
  Sprecher, A., Greig, J., Lokuge, K., Kargbo, D. K., Kargbo, B., Di Caro, A., Grolla, A., Kobasa,
  D., Strong, J. E., Ippolito, G., Van Herp, M. & Kobinger, G. P. (2015). Ebola viral load at
  diagnosis associates with patient outcome and outbreak evolution. *The Journal of clinical investigation* 125, 4421-4428.
- Dean, N. E., Halloran, M. E., Yang, Y. & Longini, I. M. (2016). Transmissibility and Pathogenicity of
   Ebola Virus: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Household Secondary Attack Rate
   and Asymptomatic Infection. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the* Infectious Diseases Society of America 62, 1277-1286.
- 469 Dejnirattisai, W., Supasa, P., Wongwiwat, W., Rouvinski, A., Barba-Spaeth, G., Duangchinda, T.,
  470 Sakuntabhai, A., Cao-Lormeau, V. M., Malasit, P., Rey, F. A., Mongkolsapaya, J. & Screaton,
  471 G. R. (2016). Dengue virus sero-cross-reactivity drives antibody-dependent enhancement of
  472 infection with zika virus. *Nature immunology* 17, 1102-1108.
- Diallo, B., Sissoko, D., Loman, N. J., Bah, H. A., Bah, H., Worrell, M. C., Conde, L. S., Sacko, R.,
  Mesfin, S., Loua, A., Kalonda, J. K., Erondu, N. A., Dahl, B. A., Handrick, S., Goodfellow, I.,
  Meredith, L. W., Cotten, M., Jah, U., Guetiya Wadoum, R. E., Rollin, P., Magassouba, N.,
  Malvy, D., Anglaret, X., Carroll, M. W., Aylward, R. B., Djingarey, M. H., Diarra, A.,

- Formenty, P., Keita, S., Gunther, S., Rambaut, A. & Duraffour, S. (2016). Resurgence of
  Ebola virus disease in Guinea linked to a survivor with virus persistence in seminal fluid for
  more than 500 days. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*.
- Flyak, A. I., Shen, X., Murin, C. D., Turner, H. L., David, J. A., Fusco, M. L., Lampley, R., Kose, N.,
  Ilinykh, P. A., Kuzmina, N., Branchizio, A., King, H., Brown, L., Bryan, C., Davidson, E.,
  Doranz, B. J., Slaughter, J. C., Sapparapu, G., Klages, C., Ksiazek, T. G., Saphire, E. O., Ward,
  A. B., Bukreyev, A. & Crowe, J. E., Jr. (2016). Cross-Reactive and Potent Neutralizing
  Antibody Responses in Human Survivors of Natural Ebolavirus Infection. *Cell* 164, 392-405.
- 486 Garrett, L. (2001). Landa-landa. An Ebola virus epidemic in Zaire proves public health is imperilled
   487 by corruption. In *Betrayal of Trust The Collapse of Global Public Health*. Oxford: Oxford
   488 University Press.
- Geisbert, T. W., Jahrling, P. B., Hanes, M. A. & Zack, P. M. (1992). Association of Ebola-related
   Reston virus particles and antigen with tissue lesions of monkeys imported to the United
   States. *Journal of comparative pathology* 106, 137-152.
- 492 Gignoux, E., Idowu, R., Bawo, L., Hurum, L., Sprecher, A., Bastard, M. & Porten, K. (2015). Use of
   493 Capture-Recapture to Estimate Underreporting of Ebola Virus Disease, Montserrado County,
   494 Liberia. *Emerging infectious diseases* 21, 2265-2267.
- Gonzalez, J. P., Josse, R., Johnson, E. D., Merlin, M., Georges, A. J., Abandja, J., Danyod, M.,
   Delaporte, E., Dupont, A., Ghogomu, A. & et al. (1989). Antibody prevalence against
   haemorrhagic fever viruses in randomized representative Central African populations.
   *Research in virology* 140, 319-331.
- 499 Gonzalez, J. P., Nakoune, E., Slenczka, W., Vidal, P. & Morvan, J. M. (2000). Ebola and Marburg
   500 virus antibody prevalence in selected populations of the Central African Republic. *Microbes* 501 and infection / Institut Pasteur 2, 39-44.
- Hashiguchi, T., Fusco, M. L., Bornholdt, Z. A., Lee, J. E., Flyak, A. I., Matsuoka, R., Kohda, D., Yanagi,
   Y., Hammel, M., Crowe, J. E., Jr. & Saphire, E. O. (2015). Structural basis for Marburg virus
   neutralization by a cross-reactive human antibody. *Cell* 160, 904-912.
- Hayman, D. T., Emmerich, P., Yu, M., Wang, L. F., Suu-Ire, R., Fooks, A. R., Cunningham, A. A. &
   Wood, J. L. (2010). Long-term survival of an urban fruit bat seropositive for Ebola and Lagos
   bat viruses. *PloS one* 5, e11978.
- Hayman, D. T., Yu, M., Crameri, G., Wang, L. F., Suu-Ire, R., Wood, J. L. & Cunningham, A. A. (2012).
  Ebola virus antibodies in fruit bats, Ghana, West Africa. *Emerging infectious diseases* 18, 1207-1209.
- Heffernan, R. T., Pambo, B., Hatchett, R. J., Leman, P. A., Swanepoel, R. & Ryder, R. W. (2005). Low
   seroprevalence of IgG antibodies to Ebola virus in an epidemic zone: Ogooue-Ivindo region,
   Northeastern Gabon, 1997. *The Journal of infectious diseases* 191, 964-968.
- Hensley, L. E., Mulangu, S., Asiedu, C., Johnson, J., Honko, A. N., Stanley, D., Fabozzi, G., Nichol, S.
   T., Ksiazek, T. G., Rollin, P. E., Wahl-Jensen, V., Bailey, M., Jahrling, P. B., Roederer, M.,
   Koup, R. A. & Sullivan, N. J. (2010). Demonstration of cross-protective vaccine immunity
   against an emerging pathogenic Ebolavirus Species. *PLoS pathogens* 6, e1000904.
- Heymann, D. L., Weisfeld, J. S., Webb, P. A., Johnson, K. M., Cairns, T. & Berquist, H. (1980). Ebola
  hemorrhagic fever: Tandala, Zaire, 1977-1978. *The Journal of infectious diseases* 142, 372376.
- Ivanoff, B., Duquesnoy, P., Languillat, G., Saluzzo, J. F., Georges, A., Gonzalez, J. P. & McCormick, J.
   (1982). Haemorrhagic fever in Gabon. I. Incidence of Lassa, Ebola and Marburg viruses in
   Haut-Ogooue. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 76, 719 720.
- 525 Jezek, Z., Szczeniowski, M. Y., Muyembe-Tamfum, J. J., McCormick, J. B. & Heymann, D. L. (1999). 526 Ebola between outbreaks: intensified Ebola hemorrhagic fever surveillance in the

- 527 Democratic Republic of the Congo, 1981-1985. *The Journal of infectious diseases* **179 Suppl** 528 **1**, S60-64.
- Johnson, B. K., Gitau, L. G., Gichogo, A., Tukei, P. M., Else, J. G., Suleman, M. A. & Kimani, R.
  (1981a). Marburg and Ebola virus antibodies in Kenyan primates. *Lancet* 1, 1420-1421.
- Johnson, B. K., Gitau, L. G., Gichogo, A., Tukei, P. M., Else, J. G., Suleman, M. A., Kimani, R. & Sayer,
   P. D. (1982). Marburg, Ebola and Rift Valley Fever virus antibodies in East African primates.
   *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 76, 307-310.
- Johnson, B. K., Ocheng, D., Gichogo, A., Okiro, M., Libondo, D., Tukei, P. M., Ho, M., Mugambi, M.,
   Timms, G. L. & French, M. (1983a). Antibodies against haemorrhagic fever viruses in Kenya
   populations. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 77, 731-733.
- Johnson, B. K., Ocheng, D., Gitau, L. G., Gichogo, A., Tukei, P. M., Ngindu, A., Langatt, A., Smith, D.
   H., Johnson, K. M., Kiley, M. P., Swanepoel, R. & Isaacson, M. (1983b). Viral haemorrhagic
   fever surveillance in Kenya, 1980-1981. *Tropical and geographical medicine* 35, 43-47.
- Johnson, B. K., Wambui, C., Ocheng, D., Gichogo, A., Oogo, S., Libondo, D., Gitau, L. G., Tukei, P. M.
   & Johnson, E. D. (1986). Seasonal variation in antibodies against Ebola virus in Kenyan fever
   patients. *Lancet* 1, 1160.
- Johnson, E. D., Gonzalez, J. P. & Georges, A. (1993a). Filovirus activity among selected ethnic groups
   inhabiting the tropical forest of equatorial Africa. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 87, 536-538.
- Johnson, E. D., Gonzalez, J. P. & Georges, A. (1993b). Haemorrhagic fever virus activity in equatorial
   Africa: distribution and prevalence of filovirus reactive antibody in the Central African
   Republic. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 87, 530-535.
- Johnson, K. M., Elliott, L. H. & Heymann, D. L. (1981b). Preparation of polyvalent viral
   immunofluorescent intracellular antigens and use in human serosurveys. *Journal of clinical microbiology* 14, 527-529.
- Karamouzian, M. & Hategekimana, C. (2015). Ebola treatment and prevention are not the only
   battles: understanding Ebola-related fear and stigma. *International journal of health policy* and management 4, 55-56.
- 555 **Kinsman, J. (2012).** "A time of fear": local, national, and international responses to a large Ebola outbreak in Uganda. *Globalization and health* **8**, 15.
- 557 Kuhn, J. H., Andersen, K. G., Baize, S., Bao, Y., Bavari, S., Berthet, N., Blinkova, O., Brister, J. R., 558 Clawson, A. N., Fair, J., Gabriel, M., Garry, R. F., Gire, S. K., Goba, A., Gonzalez, J. P., 559 Gunther, S., Happi, C. T., Jahrling, P. B., Kapetshi, J., Kobinger, G., Kugelman, J. R., Leroy, E. 560 M., Maganga, G. D., Mbala, P. K., Moses, L. M., Muyembe-Tamfum, J. J., N'Faly, M., Nichol, 561 S. T., Omilabu, S. A., Palacios, G., Park, D. J., Paweska, J. T., Radoshitzky, S. R., Rossi, C. A., 562 Sabeti, P. C., Schieffelin, J. S., Schoepp, R. J., Sealfon, R., Swanepoel, R., Towner, J. S., 563 Wada, J., Wauquier, N., Yozwiak, N. L. & Formenty, P. (2014). Nomenclature- and database-564 compatible names for the two Ebola virus variants that emerged in Guinea and the 565 Democratic Republic of the Congo in 2014. Viruses 6, 4760-4799.
- Le Guenno, B., Formenty, P., Wyers, M., Gounon, P., Walker, F. & Boesch, C. (1995). Isolation and
   partial characterisation of a new strain of Ebola virus. *Lancet* 345, 1271-1274.
- Leirs, H., Mills, J. N., Krebs, J. W., Childs, J. E., Akaibe, D., Woollen, N., Ludwig, G., Peters, C. J. &
   Ksiazek, T. G. (1999). Search for the Ebola virus reservoir in Kikwit, Democratic Republic of
   the Congo: reflections on a vertebrate collection. *The Journal of infectious diseases* 179
   Suppl 1, S155-163.
- Leroy, E. M., Baize, S., Volchkov, V. E., Fisher-Hoch, S. P., Georges-Courbot, M. C., Lansoud Soukate, J., Capron, M., Debre, P., McCormick, J. B. & Georges, A. J. (2000). Human
   asymptomatic Ebola infection and strong inflammatory response. *Lancet* 355, 2210-2215.
- Leroy, E. M., Kumulungui, B., Pourrut, X., Rouquet, P., Hassanin, A., Yaba, P., Delicat, A., Paweska,
  J. T., Gonzalez, J. P. & Swanepoel, R. (2005). Fruit bats as reservoirs of Ebola virus. *Nature*438, 575-576.

- Leroy, E. M., Rouquet, P., Formenty, P., Souquiere, S., Kilbourne, A., Froment, J. M., Bermejo, M.,
   Smit, S., Karesh, W., Swanepoel, R., Zaki, S. R. & Rollin, P. E. (2004a). Multiple Ebola virus
   transmission events and rapid decline of central African wildlife. *Science* 303, 387-390.
- Leroy, E. M., Telfer, P., Kumulungui, B., Yaba, P., Rouquet, P., Roques, P., Gonzalez, J. P., Ksiazek, T.
   G., Rollin, P. E. & Nerrienet, E. (2004b). A serological survey of Ebola virus infection in central African nonhuman primates. *The Journal of infectious diseases* 190, 1895-1899.
- MacNeil, A., Farnon, E. C., Wamala, J., Okware, S., Cannon, D. L., Reed, Z., Towner, J. S., Tappero, J.
   W., Lutwama, J., Downing, R., Nichol, S. T., Ksiazek, T. G. & Rollin, P. E. (2010). Proportion of deaths and clinical features in Bundibugyo Ebola virus infection, Uganda. *Emerging infectious diseases* 16, 1969-1972.
- 588 **Macneil, A., Reed, Z. & Rollin, P. E. (2011).** Serologic cross-reactivity of human IgM and IgG 589 antibodies to five species of Ebola virus. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* **5**, e1175.
- Mathiot, C. C., Fontenille, D., Georges, A. J. & Coulanges, P. (1989). Antibodies to haemorrhagic
   fever viruses in Madagascar populations. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 83, 407-409.
- Meunier, D. M., Johnson, E. D., Gonzalez, J. P., Georges-Courbot, M. C., Madelon, M. C. & Georges,
   A. J. (1987). [Current serologic data on viral hemorrhagic fevers in the Central African
   Republic]. Bulletin de la Societe de pathologie exotique et de ses filiales 80, 51-61.
- Moon, S., Sridhar, D., Pate, M. A., Jha, A. K., Clinton, C., Delaunay, S., Edwin, V., Fallah, M., Fidler,
  D. P., Garrett, L., Goosby, E., Gostin, L. O., Heymann, D. L., Lee, K., Leung, G. M., Morrison,
  J. S., Saavedra, J., Tanner, M., Leigh, J. A., Hawkins, B., Woskie, L. R. & Piot, P. (2015). Will
  Ebola change the game? Ten essential reforms before the next pandemic. The report of the
  Harvard-LSHTM Independent Panel on the Global Response to Ebola. *Lancet* 386, 2204-2221.
- Morvan, J. M., Deubel, V., Gounon, P., Nakoune, E., Barriere, P., Murri, S., Perpete, O., Selekon, B.,
   Coudrier, D., Gautier-Hion, A., Colyn, M. & Volehkov, V. (1999). Identification of Ebola virus
   sequences present as RNA or DNA in organs of terrestrial small mammals of the Central
   African Republic. *Microbes and infection / Institut Pasteur* 1, 1193-1201.
- Mulangu, S., Borchert, M., Paweska, J., Tshomba, A., Afounde, A., Kulidri, A., Swanepoel, R.,
   Muyembe-Tamfum, J. J. & Van der Stuyft, P. (2016). High prevalence of IgG antibodies to
   Ebola virus in the Efe pygmy population in the Watsa region, Democratic Republic of the
   Congo. BMC infectious diseases 16, 263.
- Nakayama, E., Yokoyama, A., Miyamoto, H., Igarashi, M., Kishida, N., Matsuno, K., Marzi, A.,
   Feldmann, H., Ito, K., Saijo, M. & Takada, A. (2010). Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
   for detection of filovirus species-specific antibodies. *Clinical and vaccine immunology : CVI* 17, 1723-1728.
- Nakounne, E., Selekon, B. & Morvan, J. (2000). [Microbiological surveillance: viral hemorrhagic
   fever in Central African Republic: current serological data in man]. *Bull Soc Pathol Exot* 93, 340-347.
- Natesan, M., Jensen, S. M., Keasey, S. L., Kamata, T., Kuehne, A. I., Stonier, S. W., Lutwama, J. J.,
   Lobel, L., Dye, J. M. & Ulrich, R. G. (2016). Human Survivors of Disease Outbreaks Caused by
   Ebola or Marburg Virus Exhibit Cross-Reactive and Long-Lived Antibody Responses. *Clinical* and vaccine immunology : CVI 23, 717-724.
- Nidom, C. A., Nakayama, E., Nidom, R. V., Alamudi, M. Y., Daulay, S., Dharmayanti, I. N., Dachlan,
   Y. P., Amin, M., Igarashi, M., Miyamoto, H., Yoshida, R. & Takada, A. (2012). Serological
   evidence of Ebola virus infection in Indonesian orangutans. *PloS one* 7, e40740.
- Nkoghe, D., Padilla, C., Becquart, P., Wauquier, N., Moussavou, G., Akue, J. P., Ollomo, B., Pourrut,
   X., Souris, M., Kazanji, M., Gonzalez, J. P. & Leroy, E. (2011). Risk factors for Zaire
   ebolavirus--specific IgG in rural Gabonese populations. *The Journal of infectious diseases* 204
   Suppl 3, S768-775.
- Ogawa, H., Miyamoto, H., Nakayama, E., Yoshida, R., Nakamura, I., Sawa, H., Ishii, A., Thomas, Y.,
   Nakagawa, E., Matsuno, K., Kajihara, M., Maruyama, J., Nao, N., Muramatsu, M., Kuroda,

- M., Simulundu, E., Changula, K., Hang'ombe, B., Namangala, B., Nambota, A., Katampi, J.,
  Igarashi, M., Ito, K., Feldmann, H., Sugimoto, C., Moonga, L., Mweene, A. & Takada, A.
  (2015). Seroepidemiological Prevalence of Multiple Species of Filoviruses in Fruit Bats
  (Eidolon helvum) Migrating in Africa. *The Journal of infectious diseases* 212 Suppl 2, S101108.
- Olival, K. J., Islam, A., Yu, M., Anthony, S. J., Epstein, J. H., Khan, S. A., Khan, S. U., Crameri, G.,
   Wang, L. F., Lipkin, W. I., Luby, S. P. & Daszak, P. (2013). Ebola virus antibodies in fruit bats,
   bangladesh. *Emerging infectious diseases* 19, 270-273.
- Paix, M. A., Poveda, J. D., Malvy, D., Bailly, C., Merlin, M. & Fleury, H. J. (1988). [Serological study
   of the virus responsible for hemorrhagic fever in an urban population of Cameroon]. Bulletin
   de la Societe de pathologie exotique et de ses filiales 81, 679-682.
- Pourrut, X., Delicat, A., Rollin, P. E., Ksiazek, T. G., Gonzalez, J. P. & Leroy, E. M. (2007). Spatial and
   temporal patterns of Zaire ebolavirus antibody prevalence in the possible reservoir bat
   species. *The Journal of infectious diseases* 196 Suppl 2, S176-183.
- Pourrut, X., Souris, M., Towner, J. S., Rollin, P. E., Nichol, S. T., Gonzalez, J. P. & Leroy, E. (2009).
   Large serological survey showing cocirculation of Ebola and Marburg viruses in Gabonese bat
   populations, and a high seroprevalence of both viruses in Rousettus aegyptiacus. *BMC infectious diseases* 9, 159.
- Priyamvada, L., Quicke, K. M., Hudson, W. H., Onlamoon, N., Sewatanon, J., Edupuganti, S.,
  Pattanapanyasat, K., Chokephaibulkit, K., Mulligan, M. J., Wilson, P. C., Ahmed, R., Suthar,
  M. S. & Wrammert, J. (2016). Human antibody responses after dengue virus infection are
  highly cross-reactive to Zika virus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*United States of America 113, 7852-7857.
- Rodhain, F., Gonzalez, J. P., Mercier, E., Helynck, B., Larouze, B. & Hannoun, C. (1989). Arbovirus
   infections and viral haemorrhagic fevers in Uganda: a serological survey in Karamoja district,
   1984. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 83, 851-854.
- Rouquet, P., Froment, J. M., Bermejo, M., Kilbourn, A., Karesh, W., Reed, P., Kumulungui, B., Yaba,
   P., Delicat, A., Rollin, P. E. & Leroy, E. M. (2005). Wild animal mortality monitoring and
   human Ebola outbreaks, Gabon and Republic of Congo, 2001-2003. *Emerging infectious diseases* 11, 283-290.
- Rowe, A. K., Bertolli, J., Khan, A. S., Mukunu, R., Muyembe-Tamfum, J. J., Bressler, D., Williams, A.
  J., Peters, C. J., Rodriguez, L., Feldmann, H., Nichol, S. T., Rollin, P. E. & Ksiazek, T. G.
  (1999). Clinical, virologic, and immunologic follow-up of convalescent Ebola hemorrhagic
  fever patients and their household contacts, Kikwit, Democratic Republic of the Congo.
  Commission de Lutte contre les Epidemies a Kikwit. *The Journal of infectious diseases* 179
  Suppl 1, S28-35.
- Saluzzo, J. F., Gonzalez, J. P., Herve, J. P., Georges, A. J. & Johnson, K. M. (1980). [Preliminary note
  on the presence of antibodies to Ebola virus in the human population in the eastern part of
  the Central African Republic]. Bulletin de la Societe de pathologie exotique et de ses filiales
  73, 238-241.
- Schoepp, R. J., Rossi, C. A., Khan, S. H., Goba, A. & Fair, J. N. (2014). Undiagnosed acute viral febrile
   illnesses, Sierra Leone. *Emerging infectious diseases* 20, 1176-1182.
- Sobarzo, A., Eskira, Y., Herbert, A. S., Kuehne, A. I., Stonier, S. W., Ochayon, D. E., Fedida-Metula,
   S., Balinandi, S., Kislev, Y., Tali, N., Lewis, E. C., Lutwama, J. J., Dye, J. M., Yavelsky, V. &
   Lobel, L. (2015). Immune memory to Sudan virus: comparison between two separate disease
   outbreaks. *Viruses* 7, 37-51.
- Stansfield, S. K., Scribner, C. L., Kaminski, R. M., Cairns, T., McCormick, J. B. & Johnson, K. M.
  (1982). Antibody to Ebola virus in guinea pigs: Tandala, Zaire. *The Journal of infectious diseases* 146, 483-486.

- Tignor, G. H., Casals, J. & Shope, R. E. (1993). The yellow fever epidemic in Ethiopia, 1961-1962:
   retrospective serological evidence for concomitant Ebola or Ebola-like virus infection.
   *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 87, 162.
- Tomori, O., Bertolli, J., Rollin, P. E., Fleerackers, Y., Guimard, Y., De Roo, A., Feldmann, H., Burt, F.,
   Swanepoel, R., Killian, S., Khan, A. S., Tshioko, K., Bwaka, M., Ndambe, R., Peters, C. J. &
   Ksiazek, T. G. (1999). Serologic survey among hospital and health center workers during the
   Ebola hemorrhagic fever outbreak in Kikwit, Democratic Republic of the Congo, 1995. *The* Journal of infectious diseases 179 Suppl 1, S98-101.
- Tomori, O., Fabiyi, A., Sorungbe, A., Smith, A. & McCormick, J. B. (1988). Viral hemorrhagic fever
   antibodies in Nigerian populations. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene* 38, 407-410.
- 689 Van der Groen, G. & Pattyn, S. R. (1979). Measurement of antibodies to Ebola virus in human sera
   690 from N. W.-Zaire. *Annales de la Societe belge de medecine tropicale* 59, 87-92.
- Van der Waals, F. W., Pomeroy, K. L., Goudsmit, J., Asher, D. M. & Gajdusek, D. C. (1986).
   Hemorrhagic fever virus infections in an isolated rainforest area of central Liberia.
   Limitations of the indirect immunofluorescence slide test for antibody screening in Africa.
   Tropical and geographical medicine 38, 209-214.
- Walsh, P. D., Abernethy, K. A., Bermejo, M., Beyers, R., De Wachter, P., Akou, M. E., Huijbregts, B.,
  Mambounga, D. I., Toham, A. K., Kilbourn, A. M., Lahm, S. A., Latour, S., Maisels, F., Mbina,
  C., Mihindou, Y., Obiang, S. N., Effa, E. N., Starkey, M. P., Telfer, P., Thibault, M., Tutin, C.
  E., White, L. J. & Wilkie, D. S. (2003). Catastrophic ape decline in western equatorial Africa. *Nature* 422, 611-614.
- Warfield, K. L., Dye, J. M., Wells, J. B., Unfer, R. C., Holtsberg, F. W., Shulenin, S., Vu, H., Swenson,
   D. L., Bavari, S. & Aman, M. J. (2015). Homologous and heterologous protection of
   nonhuman primates by Ebola and Sudan virus-like particles. *PloS one* 10, e0118881.
- WHO (1978a). Ebola haemorrhagic fever in Sudan, 1976. Report of a WHO/International Study
   Team. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 56, 247-270.
- WHO (1978b). Ebola haemorrhagic fever in Zaire, 1976. Bulletin of the World Health Organization
   56, 271-293.
- WHO (2015). WHO collaborative study to assess the suitability of an interim standard for antibodies
   to Ebola virus: Expert Committee on Biological Standardization: Geneva, 12 to 16 October
   2015. In <u>http://appswhoint/iris/handle/10665/197777</u>.
- 710 WHO (2016). Ebola virus disease: <u>http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs103/en/</u>.
- Woodruff, P. W., Morrill, J. C., Burans, J. P., Hyams, K. C. & Woody, J. N. (1988). A study of viral and
   rickettsial exposure and causes of fever in Juba, southern Sudan. *Transactions of the Royal* Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 82, 761-766.
- Yuan, J., Zhang, Y., Li, J., Wang, L. F. & Shi, Z. (2012). Serological evidence of ebolavirus infection in
   bats, China. *Virology journal* 9, 236.
- 716
- 717

### 718 Figure Legend

719

720	Figure 1:	Locations of EVD	outbreaks and o	f seropositive	human populations	prior to
-----	-----------	------------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	----------

721 known outbreaks. Black square: EBOV outbreak; ochre square: BDBV outbreak; purple

square: SUDV outbreak; blue square: TAFV case; red circle: seropositive result in a
population survey prior to outbreak in that area; dotted line: range of three fruit bat species
implicated as EBOV reservoirs. Squares mark epicentres of outbreaks, total range was often
larger. Seropositive populations sampled after EVD outbreaks in same region are not shown
(for which see Table 3).

### 728 Tables

Year	Country	Species	Cases	Deaths	CFR
	Guinea, Liberia, Sierra				_
2013-2016 Leone		EBOV	28,616	11,310	40%
2014	DRC (Zaire)	EBOV	66	49	74%
2012	DRC (Zaire)	BDBV	57	29	51%
2012	Uganda	SUDV	7	4	57%
2012	Uganda	SUDV	24	17	71%
2011	Uganda	SUDV	1	1	100%
2008	DRC (Zaire)	EBOV	32	14	44%
2007	Uganda	BDBV	149	37	25%
2007	DRC (Zaire)	EBOV	264	187	71%
2005	Congo	EBOV	12	10	83%
2004	Sudan	SUDV	17	7	41%
2003	Congo	EBOV	35	29	83%
2003	Congo	EBOV	143	128	90%
2001-2002	Congo	EBOV	59	44	75%
2001-2002	Gabon	EBOV	65	53	82%
2000	Uganda	SUDV	425	224	53%
1996	South Africa (ex-Gabon)	EBOV	1	1	100%
1996	Gabon	EBOV	60	45	75%
1996	Gabon	EBOV	31	21	68%
1995	Zaire	EBOV	315	254	81%
1994	1994 Gabon		52	31	60%
1979	Sudan	SUDV	34	22	65%
1977	Zaire	EBOV	1	1	100%
1976	Sudan	SUDV	284	151	53%
1976	Zaire	EBOV	318	280	88%

731 Table 1: The 25 officially declared outbreaks of EVD from 1976 to 2016. CFR: case fatality

- rate. EBOV: Zaire ebolavirus; SUDV: Sudan ebolavirus; BDBV: Bundibugyo ebolavirus. Data
- 733 from WHO (2016)
- 734

Sampling date	Region	Method	% seropositive	Reference
1961-1962	8 locations, Ethiopia	IF – Mayinga	7-39	Tignor <i>et al.</i> (1993)
1972-1991	Germany	ELISA/IF/WB*	Filovirus 7, EBOV 1	Becker <i>et al.</i> (1992)
1979	Bangassou, CAR	IF	3	Saluzzo <i>et al.</i> (1980)
1980	Franceville, Gabon	IF – EBOV	6	Ivanoff <i>et al.</i> (1982)
1980	5 locations, Cameroon	IF	3-14	Bouree and Bergmann (1983)
1980	4 locations, Zimbabwe	IF – EBOV	1-3	Blackburn <i>et al.</i> (1982)
1980-1981	Nzoia, Kenya	IF – EBOV	1 (patients)	Johnson <i>et al.</i> (1983b)
1981-1982	Grand Bassa, Liberia	IF – Mayinga/Boniface	EBOV 12, SUDV 2	Van der Waals <i>et al.</i> (1986)
1982-1983	Madina-Oula, Guinea	ELISA/IF – EBOV	19 (patients), 8	Boiro <i>et al.</i> (1987)
pre-1983	6 locations, Kenya	IF – Mayinga/SUDV	EBOV 1-7, SUDV <1	Johnson <i>et al.</i> (1983a)
1983	Awash Valley, Ethiopia	IF – Mayinga	30	Tignor <i>et al.</i> (1993)
1984	4 locations, Uganda	IF – EBOV/SUDV	EBOV 3, SUDV 3	Rodhain <i>et al.</i> (1989)
1984-1985	13 locations, CAR	IF - filovirus†	Filovirus 4-23	Meunier <i>et al.</i> (1987)
1984-1985	Kenya	IF – EBOV/SUDV	10 (patients)	Johnson <i>et al.</i> (1986)
1985	Nkongsamba, Cameroon	IF	2	Paix <i>et al.</i> (1988)
1985-1987	N'Djamena, Chad	IF – Mayinga/Boniface	4	Gonzalez <i>et al.</i> (1989)
1985-1987	3 locations, Cameroon	IF – Mayinga/Boniface	2-11	Gonzalez <i>et al.</i> (1989)
1985-1987	Bangui, CAR	IF – Mayinga/Boniface	33	Gonzalez <i>et al.</i> (1989)
1985-1987	Bioco/Nsork, Equatorial Guinea	IF – Mayinga/Boniface	16	Gonzalez <i>et al.</i> (1989)
1985-1987	5 locations, Gabon	IF – Mayinga/Boniface	7-22	Gonzalez <i>et al.</i> (1989)
1985-1987	2 locations, Congo	IF – Mayinga/Boniface	6-8	Gonzalez <i>et al.</i> (1989)
pre-1987	Benue-Gongola, Nigeria	IF – EBOV/SUDV	2	Tomori <i>et al.</i> (1988)
1987	Mongoumba, CAR	IF – EBOV/SUDV	18	Johnson <i>et al.</i> (1993a)
pre-1988	Madagascar	IF – EBOV/SUDV	EBOV 4-13, SUDV 0	Mathiot <i>et al.</i> (1989)
pre-1992	4 locations, CAR	IF – Mayinga/Boniface	EBOV 1-9, SUDV 19- 27	Johnson <i>et al</i> . (1993b)
1992-1996	4 locations, CAR	ELISA – Mayinga	4-7	Gonzalez <i>et al.</i> (2000)
1992-1997	3 locations, CAR	ELISA – Mayinga	2-13	Nakounne <i>et al.</i> (2000)
2002	Watsa, DRC	ELISA – EBOV	19	Mulangu <i>et al.</i> (2016)
2006-2008	Kenema, Sierra Leone	ELISA – EBOV	9 (patients)	Schoepp <i>et al.</i> (2014)
2011-2014	Kenema, Sierra Leone	ELISA – Mayinga‡	22 (patients)	Boisen <i>et al.</i> (2015)

Table 2: Sero-surveys with positive results conducted in regions of Africa where no EVD 736 737 outbreak has occurred, or prior to the occurrence of EVD in that region. Where a variety of locations were sampled, the range of seropositivity is given. CAR: Central African Republic; 738 DRC: Democratic Republic of Congo. IF: immunofluorescence; ELISA: enzyme-linked 739 740 immunosorbent assay; WB: Western blot. Where EBOV and SUDV were assayed separately, corresponding values are given. Species or strain of Ebolavirus antigen used also given 741 when specified in publication. "Patients" indicates that the subjects were suffering from a 742 743 haemorrhagic fever at the time of the test. Where no specific date for the survey is given in the paper, submission date of the paper is used as "pre-19nn". \*Becker et al. (1992) used 744 Mayinga (EBOV), RESTV and Musoke (Marburgvirus). †Meunier et al. (1987) used Mayinga, 745 Boniface (SUDV) and Musoke (*Marburgvirus*). ‡purified antigens, otherwise whole virus. 746

Sampling		Assoc. outbreak			%	Reference
date	Region	(see Table 1)	Method	% general pop.	contacts	
						Van der Groen and
1972-1978	N.W. Zaire	EBOV 1977	IF	13-56		Pattyn (1979)
	Yambuku area,					WHO (1978b)
1976-1977	Zaire	EBOV 1976	IF	<1	2.5	
						Heymann <i>et al.</i>
1978	Tandala area, Zaire	EBOV 1977	IF	4-10		(1980)
	Nzara/Yambio,					Baron <i>et al.</i> (1983)
1979	Sudan	SUDV 1976, 1979	IF	18	32	
						Stansfield et al.
1981	Tandala, Zaire	EBOV 1977	IF	5		(1982)
				60 (patients),		Jezek <i>et al.</i> (1999)
1981-1985	Sud-Ubangi, Zaire	EBOV 1976, 1977	IF	<1	18	
						Woodruff et al.
1986	Juba, Sudan	SUDV 1976, 1979	IF	5 (patients)		(1988)
1995	Kikwit, Zaire	EBOV 1995	ELISA	3		Tomori <i>et al.</i> (1999)
1995	Kikwit, Zaire	EBOV 1995	ELISA	2-18		Busico <i>et al.</i> (1999)
1995	Bandundu, Zaire	EBOV 1995			3	(Rowe <i>et al.,</i> 1999)
1996	N. Gabon	EBOV 1996	ELISA/WB		50	Leroy <i>et al.</i> (2000)
	Ogooue-Ivindo,					Bertherat et al.
1996	Gabon	EBOV 1996	ELISA	10		(1999)
	Ogooue-Ivindo,					Heffernan <i>et al.</i>
1997	Gabon	EBOV 1996	ELISA	1		(2005)
2005-2008	Gabon	EBOV 2001-2002	ELISA	3-21		Becquart <i>et al.</i>

						(2010)
						Nkoghe <i>et al.</i>
2005-2008	Gabon	EBOV 2001-2002	ELISA	<1-32		(2011)
Kailahun, Sierra						de La Vega <i>et al.</i>
2014	Leone	EBOV 2013-2016	ELISA		20-65	(2015)

748

Table 3: Sero-surveys with positive results conducted in regions of Africa subsequent to an EVD outbreak, divided into general population seropositivity, and contacts of known cases where available. Where a variety of locations were sampled, the range of seropositivity is given. IF: immunofluorescence; ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; WB: Western blot. "Patients" indicates that the subjects were suffering from a haemorrhagic fever at the time of the test.