



#### CHANGING CRIME-MIX PATTERNS OF OFFENDING OVER THE LIFE COURSE: A COMPARATIVE STUDY IN ENGLAND & WALES AND THE NETHERLANDS

Amy Elliott and Brian Francis, Lancaster University, UK Arjan Blokland, NSCR and University of Leiden

European Society of Criminology, Prague 2014



# Topics to be covered in this ns<sup>C</sup> presentation:

- Background
- Aims
- Datasets
- Challenges
- Method of Analysis
- Results
- Comparisons





#### Background

- Crime Mix Patterns of criminal behaviour in terms of the nature of their offending.
- Specialised and Versatile Offenders.
- Criminal lifestyle specialisation.
- LCA Latent Class Analysis categorises offenders into offending groups.
- LTA Latent Transition Analysis allows movement of offenders between groups.





#### Background

- LTA of England and Wales and Netherlands conviction data.
- Preliminary results presented last year show that each dataset have versatile and specialist crime mix offending groups.
- Similarities and differences in the make up of crime mix offending groups and transitions for each country.





#### Aims

- Identify any common patterns of offending behaviour between England and Wales and The Netherlands.
- Do the probabilities of transitions vary?
- Early work has used a binary variable to indicate if an offence has occurred.
- Further analysis has now used the *counts* of offences occurring at each time period.
- Contributing to cross national research in Europe



#### Datasets

- Netherlands Data
  - Data from the Criminal Careers and Life-Course Study (CCLS)
  - 4 % random sample of all offenders convicted in 1977 starting at age 12 followed till 2002
- England and Wales Data
  - The Offenders Index (OI) database
  - Conviction histories of offenders in 8 birth cohorts starting at 1953 to 1988
  - Data collected from age 10 and followed to 2008
  - Standard list offences



- Different justice systems
- Different methods of coding offences
- Sampling strategies to align datasets
  - Common start age of offending
  - Similar year of birth distribution
  - Conviction 1977
  - Alignment of offence categories



## **Offence Categories**

Table 1: Num Netherlands						Table 2: Number of offenders convicted for each age period in England and Wales						
Offence	12-16 years	17-21 years	22-26 years	Total	% of total offences	Offence	12-16 years	17-21 years	22-26 years	Total	% of total offences	
Murder/ Violence	99	539	510	1148	14.92	Murder/ Violence	113	368	261	742	13.57	
Firearms	5	108	161	274	3.56	Firearms	16	81	44	141	2.58	
Authority	10	145	127	282	3.67	Authority	11	83	44	138	2.52	
Sexual Offences	102	191	140	433	5.63	Sexual Offences	18	48	25	91	1.66	
Blackmail	7	46	33	86	1.12	Blackmail	3	3	1	7	0.13	
Robbery	19	135	115	269	3.5	Robbery	21	44	20	85	1.55	
Burglary/ Theft	705	1260	866	2831	36.79	Burglary/ Theft	673	1017	593	2283	41.75	
Fraud/ Forgery	82	297	278	657	8.54	Fraud/ Forgery	136	418	271	825	15.09	
Criminal Damage	87	394	275	756	9.83	Criminal Damage	197	414	249	860	15.73	
Drugs	5	185	314	504	6.55	Drugs	5	122	123	250	4.57	
Pub Order	43	257	154	454	5.9	Pub Order	0	23	23	46	0.84	
Total	1164	3557	2973	7694	100	Total	1193	2621	1654	5468	100	

The Burglary/Theft category combines more offences than any other category Note: offenders can contribute to more than one offence category

#### N: 2222 Offenders





## **Method of Analysis**

- Latent Transition Analysis on the 11 binary crime types for the three 5 year age periods
- Five latent *states* chosen using BIC.
- Examine whether an offender is convicted or not for each of the offence categories



### Results of LTA using binary crime type variable

The Netherlands estimated probabilities pjs of an offender in each state having a conviction in the specified offence

Netherlands	State				
	1	2	3	4	5
Size	0.3694	0.2357	0.1949	0.1061	0.0939
Murder/Violence	0.0111	0.1713	0.0951	0.9983	0.0352
Firearms	0	0.0279	0.0444	0.1410	0.1162
Authority	0	0.0442	0.0623	0.1402	0.0518
Sexual Offences	0.0295	0.1233	0.0745	0.0924	0.0070
Blackmail	0.0019	0.0022	0.0190	0.0673	0.0088
Robbery	0	0	0	0.3804	0
Burglary/Theft	0.2236	0.0737	0.9054	0.8082	0.6658
Fraud/Forgery	0.0218	0.0332	0.1642	0.2538	0.2529
Criminal Damage					
	0.0097	0.1169	0.2135	0.329	0.0616
Drugs	0.0097 0.0001	0.1169	0.2135	0.329 0.1601	0.0616
Drugs	0.0001 0.0031	0.0252 0.0560	0.0112 0.1307	0.1601 0.2318	0.5373 0.0392

England and Wales estimated probabilities pjs of an offender in each state having a conviction in the specified offence

E&W	State				
	1	2	3	4	5
Size	0.3129	0.1914	0.1880	0.1828	0.1250
Murder/Violence	0.0082	0.2478	0.044	0.0397	0.3664
Firearms	0	0.0395	0.0025	0.0036	0.0998
Authority	0.0025	0.0514	0.006	0.0028	0.082
Sexual Offences	0	0.0354	0.0028	0.0107	0.0351
Blackmail	0	0.0013	0.0007	0.0017	0.0028
Robbery	0	0.0111	0.0047	0.0098	0.0637
Burglary/Theft	0.0019	0.093	0.9992	0.1046	0.9374
Fraud/Forgery	0.0192	0.1494	0.1557	0.0376	0.4243
Criminal Damage	0.0155	0.2459	0.0818	0.071	0.39
Drugs	0.0026	0.1094	0.005	0.0015	0.1164
Public Order	0	0.0255	0	0	0.0162
Figures in lighter sh	nading ar	e greater i	than or eq	ual to 0.1	but less
than 0.5; those in c	larker sh	ading are	greater or	equal to (	).5.



#### **Crime Mix Groups**

#### (binary crime types)

Netherlands	Crime Mix Groups
1 -	Non-offending/low offending
2 –	Low violence, sexual offences and criminal damage offending
3 –	Burglary and theft offending
4 -	Versatile and serious offending
5 -	Drugs and burglary/theft offending

E+W	Crime Mix Groups
1 -	Non offending/low offending
2 –	Low violence, drugs, fraud/forgery, criminal damage offending
3 -	Burglary theft offending
4 -	Low burglary theft offending / non-offending
5 -	Versatile and higher offending



England and Wales estimated transition probabilities from one age period to the next

Netherlands estimated transition probabilities from one age period to the next

LANCASTER UNIVERSITY

		17-21 years							17-21 years				
	State	1	2	3	4	5		State	1	2	3	4	5
	1	0.1006	0.2901	0.3694	0.1207	0.1192		1	0.3406	0.0024	0.6044	0.0001	0.0526
	2	0.003	0.9764	0.0044	0.0146	0.0015		2	0.0038	0.0071	0.0017	0.8336	0.1538
12-16 years	3	0.0002	0.172	0.3541	0.435	0.0387	12-16 years	3	0.0006	0.0012	0.0691	0.5246	0.4045
	4	0.0017	0.2258	0.3826	0.3875	0.0024		4	0.0286	0.8112	0.0008	0.0001	0.1594
	5	0.0141	0.035	0.0127	0.0107	0.9276		5	0.0231	0.1309	0.0004	0.0144	0.8311
		22-26 years											
		22-26 yea	nrs						22-26 yea	rs			
	State	22-26 yea	nrs 2	3	4	5		State	22-26 yea	rs 2	3	4	5
	State 1			3 0.1442	4 0.1741	5 0.6691		State 1		-	3 0.5241	4 0.0002	5 0.0011
		1	2						1	2			
17-21 years	1	1 0.0022	2 0.0105	0.1442	0.1741	0.6691	17-21 years	1	1 0.0001	2 0.4746	0.5241	0.0002	0.0011
17-21 years	1 2	1 0.0022 0.1742	2 0.0105 0.8246	0.1442 0.0002	0.1741 0.0004	0.6691 0.0005	17-21 years	1 2	1 0.0001 0.5676	2 0.4746 0.3513	0.5241 0.0104	0.0002 0.0474	0.0011 0.0233



# Summary of LTA using binary crime type variable

- Both datasets show desistance from all four latent states in the second transition
- High probability of movement into the burglary/theft group from the non offending group at age 17-21 years.
- Small number of offenders who show escalation with early onset.
- Offenders who start in the most versatile offending group appear to persist with offending
- Evidence of late onset offenders
- Big differences in initial state sizes particularly for non offending group

# Results of LTA using counts of ns<sup>Cr</sup> offences in five year periods

The Netherlands estimated mean number of offences for each

#### offence category in a 5 year period

LANCASTER UNIVERSITY

**Netherlands** State 2 3 1 4 5 Size 0.2236 0.0879 0.3513 0.2098 0.1274 **Murder/Violence** 0.0105 0.2557 0.0636 0.9614 0.2676 **Firearms** 0.0002 0.0021 0.1581 0.182 0.043 Authority 0.0003 0.0613 0.0173 0.1779 0.0703 Sexual Offences 0.0534 0.0076 0.0286 0.1827 0.1161 Blackmail 0.002 0.0051 0.004 0.0728 0.0185 Robberv 0.2578 0.0928 0.0001 0.0155 0.0005 **Burglary/Theft** 0.1749 1.0875 2.209 1.8243 0.1991 Fraud/Forgerv 0.0182 0.034 0.1106 0.2976 0.4207 **Criminal Damage** 0.0097 0.1382 0.1214 0.5308 0.0555 Drugs 0.0786 0.8347 0.0031 0.0763 0.0023 **Public Order** 0.0005 0.0775 0.0585 0.3716 0.0285 Sum of offences 1.5212 3.8025 0.2481 1.0884 5.2317

England and Wales mean number of offences for each offence

category in a 5 year period

5&W	State				
	1	2	3	4	5
Size	0.6311	0.1862	0.0905	0.0486	0.0436
Murder/Violence	0.0449	0.1865	1.1948	1.1152	0.223
Firearms	0.0021	0.0135	0.0964	0.19	0.0789
Authority	0.013	0.0059	0.1252	0.1638	0.0491
Sexual Offences	0.0109	0.041	0.1054	0.0648	0.014
Blackmail	0.0003	0.005	0.0012	0.0043	0.0057
Robbery	0.0043	0.0783	0.05	0.1038	0.0241
Burglary/Theft	0.3414	3.6452	0.9477	8.1592	1.4893
Fraud/Forgery	0.0657	0.3812	0.195	1.6518	1.4575
Criminal Damage	0.0738	0.3106	0.8147	1.2089	0.2113
Orugs	0.0133	0.0042	0.0325	0.1839	1.2951
Public Order	0.0011	0.0009	0.0735	0.0231	0.0037
Sum of offences	0.5708	4.6723	3.6364	12.8688	4.8517



#### **Crime Mix Groups** (Counts of crime types)

n

Netherlands	Crime Mix Groups
1-	Non-offending
2 –	Low rate offending (violence, sexual offences and criminal damage)
3 –	Low rate Burglary and theft offending
4 -	High rate versatile and serious offending
5 –	Mid rate drugs and burglary/theft offending

E&W	Crime Mix Groups
1-	Non-offending
2 –	Low rate burglary and theft offending
3 –	Low rate versatile
4 -	High rate versatile and serious offending
5 –	Mid rate drugs and burglary/theft offending



#### ns Transition Probabilities

Netherlands estimated transition probabilities from one age period to the next

England and Wales estimated transition probabilities from one age period to the next

-		45.04											
		17-21 yea	rs		-				17-21 yea	rs			
	State	1	2	3	4	5		State	1	2	3	4	5
	1	0.1169	0.343	0.3386	0.0914	0.1101		1	0.6688	0.1336	0.14	0.012	0.0456
	2	0.003	0.9884	0.006	0.0018	0.0008		2	0.2421	0.3486	0.1908	0.1819	0.0365
12-16 years	3	0.0002	0.0631	0.2767	0.5955	0.0646	12-16 years	3	0.0035	0.0128	0.5168	0.3613	0.1057
	4	0.0018	0.0117	0.298	0.6875	0.001		4	0.1095	0.0875	0.1081	0.6938	0.001
	5	0.1003	0.1244	0.1596	0.1528	0.4629		5	0.0654	0.6976	0.0703	0.1009	0.0657
	22-26 years												
		22-26 yea	rs						22-26 yea	rs			
	State	22-26 yea	rs 2	3	4	5		State	22-26 yea 1	rs 2	3	4	5
	State 1	22-26 yea 1 0.0006		3 0.0015	4 0.001	5 0.4025		State 1	<b>22-26 yea</b> 1 0.8909		3 0.037	4 0.0021	5 0.0485
		1	2						1	2			_
17-21 years	1	1 0.0006	2 0.5944	0.0015	0.001	0.4025	17-21 years	1	1 0.8909	2 0.0215	0.037	0.0021	0.0485
17-21 years	1 2	1 0.0006 0.2987	2 0.5944 0.7007	0.0015 0.0003	0.001 0.0001	0.4025	17-21 years	1 2	1 0.8909 0.4211	2 0.0215 0.3265	0.037 0.0816	0.0021 0.0795	0.0485 0.0913





### **Binary vs Count**

- Similarities
  - Biggest group always non-offending
  - Common crime mix groups in all models
  - Majority of offending occurs at 17-21 years
  - De-escalation and desistance mainly occurs in second transition
  - Small number of offenders who start in the corresponding higher rate and versatile offending group show persistence and continue to offend.





#### **Binary vs Count**

- Differences
  - Model output statistics BIC value varies
  - More information gained from using the counts of offences.
  - England and Wales has fewer offenders convicted over the three age periods in the binary model than the Netherlands
  - Possible to identify that England and Wales has higher frequency of offences using the count model





#### **End of Presentation**

a.elliott1@lancaster.ac.uk