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#### Abstract

A dynamical effect of coherent backscattering is predicted theoretically and supported by computer simulations: The distribution of single-mode delay times of waves reflected by a disordered waveguide depends on whether the incident and detected modes are the same or not. The change amounts to a rescaling of the distribution by a factor close to $\sqrt{2}$. This effect appears only if the length of the waveguide exceeds the localization length; there is no effect of coherent backscattering on the delay times in the diffusive regime.


Coherent backscattering refers to the systematic constructive interference of waves reflected from a medium with randomly located scatterers. The constructive interference occurs in a narrow cone around the angle of incidence, and is a fundamental consequence of time-reversal symmetry [1]. The resulting peak in the angular dependence of the reflected intensity is a generic wave effect: It has been observed using light waves [2] and acoustic waves [3], for classical and quantum scatterers [4], in passive and active media [5].

These studies mainly addressed static properties. Dynamic aspects of wave propagation in random media are now entering the focus of attention [6-9], and the work on acoustic waves [3] has started to study the connection with the coherent backscattering effect. The key observable in the dynamic experiments [6] is the derivative $\phi^{\prime}=\mathrm{d} \phi / \mathrm{d} \omega$ of the phase $\phi$ of the wave amplitude with respect to the frequency $\omega$. The quantity $\phi^{\prime}$ has the dimension of a time and is interpreted as a delay time. Van Tiggelen et al. [7] have developed a statistical theory for the distribution of the delay time $\phi^{\prime}$ and the intensity $I$ in a waveguide geometry (where angles of incidence are discretized as modes). Although the theory was worked out mainly for the case of transmission, the implications for reflection are that the distribution $P\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$ does not depend on whether the detected mode $n$ is the same as the incident mode $m$ or not. This is in contrast with $P(I)$, which is rescaled by a factor of $1 / 2$ when $n$ becomes equal to $m$-so that the mean $\bar{I}$ becomes twice as large. Hence it appears that no coherent backscattering effect exists for $P\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$.

What we will demonstrate here is that this is true only if wave localization may be disregarded. Previous studies $[6,7]$ dealt with the diffusive regime of waveguide lengths $L$ below the localization length $\xi$. Here we consider the localized regime $L>\xi$ (assuming that also the
absorption length $\xi_{\mathrm{a}}>\xi$ ). The distribution of reflected intensity is insensitive to the presence or absence of localization, being given in both regimes by Rayleigh's law:

$$
P(I)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
N e^{-N I}, & \text { if } & n \neq m  \tag{1}\\
\frac{1}{2} N e^{-N I / 2}, & \text { if } & n=m
\end{array}\right.
$$

(for unit incident intensity). In contrast, we find that the delay-time distribution changes markedly as one enters the localized regime, decaying more slowly for large $\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|$. Moreover, a coherent backscattering effect appears: For $L>\xi$ the peak of $P\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$ is higher for $n=m$ than for $n \neq m$ by a factor which is close to $\sqrt{2}$. We present a complete analytical theory, compare it with numerical simulations, and offer a qualitative argument for this unexpected dynamical effect of coherent backscattering.

Let us begin with a more precise formulation of the problem. We consider a disordered medium (mean free path $l$ ) in a quasi-one-dimensional waveguide geometry (length $L$ much greater than the width $W$, with $N \gg 1$ propagating modes at frequency $\omega$ ) and study the correlator $\rho_{n m}$ of the reflected wave amplitudes at two nearby frequencies $\omega \pm \frac{1}{2} \delta \omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n m}=r_{n m}\left(\omega+\frac{1}{2} \delta \omega\right) r_{n m}^{*}\left(\omega-\frac{1}{2} \delta \omega\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The indices $n$ and $m$ specify the detected and incident mode, respectively. (We assume singlemode excitation and detection.) The amplitudes $r_{n m}$ form the $N \times N$ reflection matrix $r$. In the localized regime (localization length $\xi \simeq N l$ smaller than both $L$ and the absorption length $\xi_{\mathrm{a}}$ ), the matrix $r$ is approximately unitary because transmission is negligibly small. We assume time-reversal symmetry (no magneto-optical effects), so that $r$ is also symmetric. Following Genack et al. [6,7], we define the single-mode (or single-channel) delay time $\phi^{\prime}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n m}^{\prime}=\lim _{\delta \omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{\operatorname{Im} \rho_{n m}}{\delta \omega I_{n m}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{n m}=\left|r_{n m}(\omega)\right|^{2}$ is the intensity of the reflected wave in the detected mode for unit incident intensity. In the following we will drop the indices $n$ and $m$, so as not to overburden the notation. We seek the joint distribution function $P\left(I, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ in an ensemble of different realizations of disorder.

The single-mode delay time $\phi^{\prime}$ is a linear combination of the Wigner-Smith [10] delay times $\tau_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, N)$, which are the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
-i r^{\dagger} \frac{\mathrm{d} r}{\mathrm{~d} \omega}=U^{\dagger} \operatorname{diag}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{N}\right) U \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The matrix of eigenvectors $U$ is unitary for a unitary reflection matrix.) For small $\delta \omega$ we can expand

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(\omega \pm \frac{1}{2} \delta \omega\right)=U^{\mathrm{T}} U \pm \frac{1}{2} i \delta \omega U^{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{diag}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{N}\right) U, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}=\operatorname{Re} \frac{A_{1}}{A_{0}}, \quad I=\left|A_{0}\right|^{2}, \quad A_{k}=\sum_{i} \tau_{i}^{k} u_{i} v_{i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have abbreviated $u_{i}=U_{i m}, v_{i}=U_{i n}$.
The distribution of the Wigner-Smith delay times for this problem was determined recently [11]. In terms of the rates $\mu_{i}=1 / \tau_{i}$ it has the form of the Laguerre ensemble of random-matrix theory,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\left\{\mu_{i}\right\}\right) \propto \prod_{i<j}\left|\mu_{i}-\mu_{j}\right| \prod_{k} \Theta\left(\mu_{k}\right) e^{-\gamma(N+1) \mu_{k}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta(x)=1$ for $x>0$ and 0 for $x<0$. The parameter $\gamma=\alpha l / c$ (with wave velocity c) equals the scattering time, multiplied by a numerical coefficient $\alpha=\pi^{2} / 4,8 / 3$ for two-, three-dimensional scattering. (The dimensionality of the scattering inside the quasi-onedimensional waveguide is three in the experiments [6]; two-dimensional scattering applies to the computer simulations presented later, which are performed on a quasi-one-dimensional waveguide constructed from a two-dimensional lattice.) Equation (7) extends the single-mode $(N=1)$ result of refs. [12-14] to any $N$. The matrix $U$ is uniformly distributed in the unitary group. We consider first the typical case $n \neq m$ of different incident and detected modes. (The special case $n=m$ is addressed later.) For $n \neq m$ the vectors $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ become uncorrelated in the large- $N$ limit, and their elements become independent Gaussian random numbers with vanishing mean and variance $\langle | u_{i}^{2}| \rangle=\langle | v_{i}^{2}| \rangle=N^{-1}$.

It is convenient to work momentarily with the weighted delay time $W=\phi^{\prime} I$ and to recover $P\left(I, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ from $P(I, W)$ at the end. The characteristic function $\chi(p, q)=\left\langle e^{-i p I-i q W}\right\rangle$ is the Fourier transform of $P(I, W)$. The average $\langle\cdots\rangle$ is over the vectors $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ and over the set of eigenvalues $\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}$. The average over one of the vectors, say $\boldsymbol{v}$, is easily carried out, because it is a Gaussian integration. The result is a determinant,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi(p, q)=\left\langle\operatorname{det}(1+i H / N)^{-1}\right\rangle  \tag{8}\\
& H=p \boldsymbol{u}^{*} \boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}+\frac{1}{2} q\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}^{*} \boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}+\boldsymbol{u}^{*} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The Hermitian matrix $H$ is a sum of dyadic products of the vectors $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$, with $\bar{u}_{i}=u_{i} \tau_{i}$, and hence has only two non-vanishing eigenvalues $\lambda_{+}$and $\lambda_{-}$. Some straightforward linear algebra gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(q B_{1}+p \pm \sqrt{2 p q B_{1}+q^{2} B_{2}+p^{2}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have defined the spectral moments

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}=\sum_{i}\left|u_{i}\right|^{2} \tau_{i}^{k} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting determinant is $\operatorname{det}(1+H / N)^{-1}=\left(1+\lambda_{+} / N\right)^{-1}\left(1+\lambda_{-} / N\right)^{-1}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(p, q)=\left\langle\left[1+\frac{i p}{N}+\frac{i q}{N} B_{1}+\frac{q^{2}}{4 N^{2}}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}^{2}\right)\right]^{-1}\right\rangle \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

An inverse Fourier transform, followed by a change of variables from $I, W$ to $I, \phi^{\prime}$, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(I, \phi^{\prime}\right)=\Theta(I)\left(N^{3} I / \pi\right)^{1 / 2} e^{-N I}\left\langle\left(B_{2}-B_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left[-N I \frac{\left(\phi^{\prime}-B_{1}\right)^{2}}{B_{2}-B_{1}^{2}}\right]\right\rangle \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The average is over the spectral moments $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, which depend on the $u_{i}$ 's and $\tau_{i}$ 's via eq. (11).

This result in the localized regime is to be compared with the result of diffusion theory [6,7],

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{diff}}\left(I, \phi^{\prime}\right)=\Theta(I)\left(N^{3} I / \pi\right)^{1 / 2} e^{-N I}\left(Q \bar{\phi}^{\prime 2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left[-N I \frac{\left(\phi^{\prime}-\bar{\phi}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{Q \bar{\phi}^{\prime 2}}\right] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants are given by $Q \simeq L / l$ and $\bar{\phi}^{\prime} \simeq L / c$ up to numerical coefficients of order unity [15]. Comparison of eqs. (13) and (14) shows that the two distributions would be
identical if statistical fluctuations in the spectral moments $B_{1}, B_{2}$ could be ignored. However, as we shall see shortly, the distribution $P\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ is very broad, so that fluctuations cannot be ignored. The large fluctuations are a consequence of the high density of anomalously large Wigner-Smith delay times $\tau_{i}$ in the Laguerre ensemble (7), and are related to the penetration of the wave deep into the localized regions. The large $\tau_{i}$ 's are eliminated in the diffusive regime $L \lesssim \xi$, because then the finiteness of the system is felt. In that case $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ can be replaced by their ensemble averages, and the Gaussian theory $[6,7]$ is recovered. (The same applies if the absorption length $\xi_{\mathrm{a}} \lesssim \xi$.)

To determine how the statistical fluctuations in the spectral moments alter $P\left(I, \phi^{\prime}\right)$, we need the joint distribution $P\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$. This can be calculated by applying the random-matrix technique of refs. $[16,17]$ to the Laguerre ensemble. The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)=\Theta\left(B_{1}\right) \Theta\left(B_{2}\right) \exp \left[-\frac{N B_{1}^{2}}{B_{2}}\right] \times \\
& \times\left[\frac{B_{1}^{2} \gamma N^{3}}{B_{2}^{4}}\left(B_{2}+\gamma N^{2} B_{1}\right) \exp \left[-\frac{2 \gamma N}{B_{1}}\right]-\frac{\gamma^{3} N^{5}}{4 B_{2}^{5}}\left(2 B_{2}^{2}-4 B_{1}^{2} B_{2} N+B_{1}^{4} N^{2}\right) \operatorname{Ei}\left(-\frac{2 \gamma N}{B_{1}}\right)\right], \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Ei}(x)$ is the exponential-integral function. The most probable values are $B_{1} \sim \gamma N$, $B_{2} \sim \gamma^{2} N^{3}$, while the mean values $\left\langle B_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle B_{2}\right\rangle$ diverge - demonstrating the presence of large fluctuations. The distribution $P\left(I, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ follows from eq. (13) by integrating over $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ with weight given by eq. (15). This is an exact result in the large- $N$ limit.

For the discussion we concentrate on the distribution $P\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} I P\left(I, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ of the singlemode delay time by itself, which takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} B_{1} \mathrm{~d} B_{2} \frac{P\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)\left(B_{2}-B_{1}^{2}\right)}{2\left(B_{2}+\phi^{\prime 2}-2 B_{1} \phi^{\prime}\right)^{3 / 2}} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compare this distribution in the localized regime with the result of diffusion theory [6, 7],

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{diff}}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)=\left(Q / 2 \bar{\phi}^{\prime}\right)\left[Q+\left(\phi^{\prime} / \bar{\phi}^{\prime}-1\right)^{2}\right]^{-3 / 2} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the localized regime the value $\phi_{\text {peak }}^{\prime} \simeq \gamma N$ at the centre of the peak of $P\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$ is much smaller than the width of the peak $\Delta \phi^{\prime} \simeq \gamma N^{3 / 2} \simeq \phi_{\text {peak }}^{\prime}(\xi / l)^{1 / 2}$. This holds also in the diffusive regime, where $\phi_{\text {peak }}^{\prime}=\bar{\phi}^{\prime}$ and $\Delta \phi^{\prime} \simeq \phi_{\text {peak }}^{\prime}(L / l)^{1 / 2}$. However, the mean $\left\langle\phi^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle B_{1}\right\rangle$ diverges for $P$, but is finite (equal to $\overline{\phi^{\prime}}$ ) for $P_{\text {diff }}$. In the tails $P$ decays $\propto\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{-2}$, while $P_{\text {diff }} \propto\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{-3}$.

These features in the localized regime emerge in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ of our analytic calculations. For finite $L$ the far tail of the distribution $P\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$ is suppressed, beyond an exponentially large cut-off at $\phi^{\prime} \gtrsim \gamma e^{L / \xi}[8]$. As a consequence, the mean delay time is finite for finite $L$ also in the localized regime, and diverges eventually in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$.

The transition from the diffusive to the localized regime with increasing $L$ is illustrated in fig. 1. The data points are obtained from the numerical simulation of scattering of a scalar wave by a two-dimensional random medium, in a quasi-one-dimensional waveguide geometry. The reflection matrices $r\left(\omega \pm \frac{1}{2} \delta \omega\right)$ are computed by applying the method of recursive Green functions [18] to the Helmholtz equation on a square lattice (lattice constant $a$ ). The width $W=100 a$ and the frequency $\omega=1.4 c / a$ are chosen such that there are $N=50$ propagating modes. The mean free path $l=14.0 a$ is found from the formula $T=(1+s)^{-1}$ for the


Fig. 1 - Distribution of the single-mode delay time $\phi^{\prime}$ in the diffusive regime (a), intermediate regime (b), and localized regime (c). The results of numerical simulations (data points) are compared to the prediction (17) of diffusion theory [6,7] (dashed curve) and the prediction (16) for the localized regime (solid curve). Panel (d) shows a logarithmic plot of the tails of the distributions in the diffusive and localized regime. The inset depicts a quasi-one-dimensional waveguide with randomly located scatterers. These are all results for different incident and detected modes $n \neq m$.
transmission probability in the diffusive regime $s \lesssim N$, where $s=2 L / \pi l$ for the present case of two-dimensional scattering. The corresponding localization length $\xi=N L / s=1100 a$. The parameter $\gamma=46.3 a / c$ is found from $\bar{\phi}^{\prime}$ in the diffusive regime [19]. The relationship between the parameters $\gamma, \bar{\phi}^{\prime}$, and $Q$ appearing in $P$ and $P_{\text {diff }}$ is given by [15]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}^{\prime}=\gamma \frac{s(3+2 s)}{3(1+s)}, \quad Q=\frac{8 s^{3}+28 s^{2}+30 s+15}{5(2 s+3)^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fig. 1, the same set of parameters is used for all lengths to plot the distributions $P$ (solid curve) and $P_{\text {diff }}$ (dashed). The numerical data agrees very well with the analytical predictions in their respective regimes of validity.

We now turn to the case $n=m$ of equal-mode excitation and detection. The vectors $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ in eq. (6) are then identical, and we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}=\operatorname{Re} \frac{C_{1}}{C_{0}}, \quad I=\left|C_{0}\right|^{2}, \quad C_{k}=\sum_{i} \tau_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The joint distribution function of the complex numbers $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ can be calculated in the


Fig. 2 - Same as fig. 1, but now comparing the case $n \neq m$ of different incident and detected modes (solid circles) with the equal-mode case $n=m$ (open circles). The curve for $n=m$ in the right panel is calculated from eqs. (19) and (20).
same way as $P\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$. We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(C_{0}, C_{1}\right) \propto \exp \left[-N\left|C_{0}\right|^{2} / 2\right] \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x x^{2} e^{-x}\left(1+\frac{\left|C_{1}\right|^{2} x^{2}}{\gamma^{2} N^{2}}-\frac{2 x}{\gamma N} \operatorname{Re} C_{0} C_{1}^{*}\right)^{-5 / 2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maximal value $P\left(\phi_{\text {peak }}^{\prime}\right)=\sqrt{2 / \pi N^{3} \gamma^{2}}$ for $n=m$ is larger than the maximum of $P\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$ for $n \neq m$ by a factor $\sqrt{2} \times \frac{4096}{1371 \pi}=1.35$ in the large- $N$ limit. This is in contrast to the diffusive regime, where there is no difference in the distributions of single-mode delay times for $n=m$ and $n \neq m$. Our analytical expectations are again in excellent agreement with the numerical simulations, presented in fig. 2.

In order to explain the coherent backscattering enhancement of the peak of $P\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$ in qualitative terms, we compare eq. (19) for $n=m$ with the corresponding relation (6) for $n \neq m$. The quantities $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$, as well as the quantities $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$, become mutually independent in the large- $N$ limit. (The cross-term $(\gamma N)^{-1} \operatorname{Re} C_{0} C_{1}^{*}$ in eq. (20) is of order $N^{-1 / 2}$ because $C_{0} \sim N^{-1 / 2}$ and $C_{1} \sim \gamma N$.) The main contribution to the enhancement of the peak height, namely the factor of $\sqrt{2}$, has the same origin as the factor-of-two enhancement of the mean intensity $\bar{I}$. More precisely, the relation $P\left(A_{0}\right)=\sqrt{2} P\left(\sqrt{2} C_{0}\right)$ leads to a rescaling of $P(I)$ for $n=m$ by a factor of $1 / 2$ (see eq. (1)) and to a rescaling of $P\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$ by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$. The remaining factor of $\frac{4096}{1371 \pi}=0.95$ comes from the difference in the distributions $P\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $P\left(C_{1}\right)$. These distributions turn out to be very similar, hence the factor is close to unity. The asymptotic independence of $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ (as well as of $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ ) is another consequence of the strong fluctuations originating from the high density of anomalously large Wigner-Smith delay times $\tau_{i}$. In the diffusive regime the corresponding quantities are strongly correlated, and the coherent backscattering enhancement of the intensity affects both in the same way. Because only their ratio features in $\phi^{\prime}$, this effect cancels and no difference is observed in $P_{\mathrm{diff}}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$ for $n=m$ and $n \neq m$.

In conclusion, we have discovered a dynamical effect of coherent backscattering that requires localization for its existence. Computer simulations confirm our prediction, which now awaits experimental observation.
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