

ON ABELIAN SUBALGEBRAS AND IDEALS OF MAXIMAL
DIMENSION IN SUPERSOLVABLE LIE ALGEBRAS

Manuel Ceballos ¹

Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Universidad de Sevilla
Apartado 1160, 41080, Seville, Spain

and

David A. Towers

Department of Mathematics, Lancaster University
Lancaster LA1 4YF, England

Abstract

In this paper, the main objective is to compare the abelian subalgebras and ideals of maximal dimension for finite-dimensional supersolvable Lie algebras. We characterise the maximal abelian subalgebras of solvable Lie algebras and study solvable Lie algebras containing an abelian subalgebra of codimension 2. Finally, we prove that nilpotent Lie algebras with an abelian subalgebra of codimension 3 contain an abelian ideal with the same dimension, provided that the characteristic of the underlying field is not two. Throughout the paper, we also give several examples to clarify some results.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 17B05, 17B20, 17B30, 17B50.

Key Words and Phrases: Lie algebras, abelian subalgebra, abelian ideal, solvable, supersolvable, nilpotent.

1 Introduction

Nowadays, there exists an extensive body of research of Lie Theory due to its own importance from a theoretical point of view and also due to its

¹Supported by MTM2010-19336 and FEDER

applications to other fields like Engineering, Physics and Applied Mathematics. However, some aspects of Lie algebras remain unknown. Indeed, the classification of nilpotent and solvable Lie algebras is still an open problem, although the classification of certain other types of Lie algebras (like semi-simple and simple ones) were already obtained in 1890, at least over the complex field. In order to make progress on these and other problems, the need for studying different properties of Lie algebras arises. For example, conditions on the lattice of subalgebras of a Lie algebra often lead to information about the Lie algebra itself. Studying abelian subalgebras and ideals of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra constitutes the main goal of this paper.

Throughout L will denote a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field F . The assumptions on F will be specified in each result. Algebra direct sums will be denoted by \oplus , whereas vector space direct sums will be denoted by $\dot{+}$. We consider the following invariants of L :

$$\alpha(L) = \max\{\dim(A) \mid A \text{ is an abelian subalgebra of } L\},$$

$$\beta(L) = \max\{\dim(B) \mid B \text{ is an abelian ideal of } L\}.$$

Both invariants are important for many reasons. For example, they are very useful for the study of Lie algebra contractions and degenerations. There is a large literature, in particular for low-dimensional Lie algebras, see [9, 6, 13, 15, 8], and the references given therein.

The first author dealing with the invariant $\alpha(\mathfrak{g})$ was Schur [14], who studied in 1905 the abelian subalgebras of maximal dimension contained in the Lie algebra of $n \times n$ square matrices. Schur proved that *the maximum number of linearly independent commuting $n \times n$ matrices over an algebraically closed field is $\left\lceil \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rceil + 1$* , which is the maximal dimension of abelian ideals of Borel subalgebras in the general linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ (where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of a real number x). Let us note that this result was obtained only over an algebraically closed field such as the complex number field. Almost forty years later, in 1944, Jacobson [10] gave a simpler proof of Schur's results, extending them from algebraically closed fields to arbitrary fields. This fact allowed several authors to gain insight into the abelian subalgebras of maximal dimension of many different types of Lie algebras.

More specifically, for semisimple Lie algebras \mathfrak{s} the invariant $\alpha(\mathfrak{s})$ has been completely determined by Malcev [12]. Since there are no abelian ideals in \mathfrak{s} , we have $\beta(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$. The value of α for simple Lie algebras is reproduced in table 1. In this paper, we will study several properties of

these invariants and compare them for supersolvable, solvable and nilpotent Lie algebras.

Table 1: The invariant α for simple Lie algebras

\mathfrak{s}	$\dim(\mathfrak{s})$	$\alpha(\mathfrak{s})$
$A_n, n \geq 1$	$n(n+2)$	$\lfloor (\frac{n+1}{2})^2 \rfloor$
B_3	21	5
$B_n, n \geq 4$	$n(2n+1)$	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2} + 1$
$C_n, n \geq 2$	$n(2n+1)$	$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$
$D_n, n \geq 4$	$n(2n-1)$	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
G_2	14	3
F_4	52	9
E_6	78	16
E_7	133	27
E_8	248	36

We shall call L *supersolvable* if there is a chain $0 = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \dots \subset L_{n-1} \subset L_n = L$, where L_i is an i -dimensional ideal of L . The ideals $L^{(k)}$ of the *derived series* are defined by $L^{(0)} = L, L^{(k+1)} = [L^{(k)}, L^{(k)}]$ for $k \geq 0$; we also write L^2 for $L^{(1)}$ and L^3 for $[L^2, L]$. It is well known that every supersolvable Lie algebra is also solvable. Moreover, these classes coincide over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero (Lie's theorem). There are, however, examples of solvable Lie algebras over algebraically closed field of non-zero characteristic which are not supersolvable (see for instance [11, page 53] or [3]). The *Frattini ideal* of L , $\phi(L)$, is the largest ideal of L contained in all maximal subalgebras of L . We will denote the *centre* of L by $Z(L) = \{x \in L : [x, y] = 0, \forall y \in L\}$ and the *centralizer* of a subalgebra A of L by $C_L(A) = \{x \in L : [x, A] = 0\}$. Given a subalgebra A of L , the *core* of A , denoted by A_L , is the largest ideal of L contained in A . The *abelian socle* of L , $\text{Asoc}L$, is the sum of the minimal abelian ideals of L .

The structure of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we give some bounds for the invariants α and β . In section 3, we consider the classes of supersolvable, solvable and nilpotent Lie algebras L with $\alpha(L) = n - 1$ or $n - 2$. In particular, we characterise n -dimensional solvable Lie algebras L for which $\alpha(L) = n - 2$ and prove that every supersolvable Lie algebra, L , of dimension n with $\alpha(L) = n - 2$ also satisfies $\beta(L) = n - 2$. In the final section we show the α and β invariants also coincide for nilpotent Lie

algebras L with $\alpha(L) = n - 3$, provided that F has characteristic different from two. We also give an example to show that the restriction on F is necessary.

2 Some bounds on $\alpha(L)$ and $\beta(L)$

First we have a bound on $\beta(L)$ for certain metabelian Lie algebras.

Proposition 2.1 *Let L be a metabelian Lie algebra of dimension n , and suppose that $\dim L^2 = k$. Then $\dim(L/C_L(L^2)) \leq [k^2/4] + 1$. If, further, L splits over L^2 then $\beta(L) \geq n - [k^2/4] - 1$.*

Proof. Let $\text{ad} : L \rightarrow \text{Der } L^2$ be defined by $\text{ad } x(y) = [y, x]$ for all $y \in L^2$. Then ad is a homomorphism with kernel $C_L(L^2)$. It follows that $L/C_L(L^2) \cong D$ where D is an abelian subalgebra of $\text{Der } L^2 \cong \text{gl}(n, F)$. It follows from Schur's Theorem on commuting matrices (see [10]) that $\dim(L/C_L(L^2)) \leq [k^2/4] + 1$.

Now suppose that $L = L^2 \oplus B$ where B is an abelian subalgebra of L . Then $C_L(L^2) = L^2 \oplus B \cap C_L(L^2)$ which is an abelian ideal of L . \square

Next we note that if L has an abelian nilradical and the underlying field is perfect then $\alpha(L)$ and $\beta(L)$ are easily identified. If \bar{F} is the algebraic closure of F we put $\bar{S} = S \otimes_F \bar{F}$ for every subalgebra S of L .

Lemma 2.2 $\alpha(\bar{L}) \geq \alpha(L)$, $\beta(\bar{L}) \geq \beta(L)$.

Lemma 2.3 *Let L be any solvable Lie algebra with nilradical N . Then $C_L(N) \subseteq N$*

Proof. Suppose that $C_L(N) \not\subseteq N$. Then there is a non-trivial abelian ideal $A/(N \cap C_L(N))$ of $L/(N \cap C_L(N))$ inside $C_L(N)/(N \cap C_L(N))$. But now $A^3 \subseteq [A, N] = 0$, so A is a nilpotent ideal of L . It follows that $A \subseteq N \cap C_L(N)$, a contradiction. \square

Theorem 2.4 *If F is a perfect field and L is a solvable Lie algebra with abelian nilradical N then $\alpha(L) = \beta(L) = \dim N$.*

Proof. It is clear that N is the unique maximal abelian ideal of L . Let A be an abelian subalgebra of L of maximal dimension. If $N \subseteq A$, then $A \subseteq C_L(N) = N$, by Lemma 2.3, so $N = A$ and the result is clear, so suppose that $N \not\subseteq A$ and put $U = N + A$.

Consider first the case where F is algebraically closed and $\phi(L) = 0$. Then $N = \text{Asoc}L = Fn_1 + \dots + Fn_r$, where Fn_i is a minimal ideal of L for $1 \leq i \leq r$, by [17, Theorem 7.4]. If $n_i \in A$, then $C_U(n_i) = U$; if $n_i \notin A$ then $\dim C_U(n_i) \geq \dim U - 1$, since $U/C_U(n_i) \cong D$, where D is a subalgebra of $\text{Der } Fn_i$. But

$$N = C_L(N) = C_U(N) = \bigcap_{i=1}^r C_U(Fn_i),$$

so

$$\dim N \geq \dim U - (r - \dim(N \cap A)) = \dim A,$$

and the result holds in this case.

So suppose now that $\phi(L)$ is not necessarily trivial. Then $N/\phi(L)$ is the nilradical of $L/\phi(L)$, by [17, Theorem 6.1], and so $L/\phi(L)$ has abelian nilradical. Also $(A + \phi(L))/\phi(L)$ is an abelian subalgebra of $L/\phi(L)$. It follows from the above that

$$\dim \left(\frac{A + \phi(L)}{\phi(L)} \right) \leq \dim \left(\frac{N}{\phi(L)} \right), \text{ whence } \dim A \leq \dim N.$$

Finally consider the case where F is not necessarily algebraically closed. Since F is perfect, $\overline{N(L)} = N(\overline{L})$, by [4, page 42]. Hence

$$\beta(L) \leq \alpha(L) \leq \alpha(\overline{L}) = \beta(\overline{L}) = \dim N(\overline{L}) = \dim \overline{N(L)} = \dim N(L) = \beta(L)$$

by Lemma 2.2 and the above. \square

We obtain bounds for supersolvable Lie algebras by following a development similar to [16, Lemma 2].

Lemma 2.5 *Let L be a supersolvable Lie algebra and let A be a maximal abelian ideal of L . Then $C_L(A) = A$.*

Proof. We have that $C_L(A)$ is an ideal of L . Suppose that $C_L(A) \neq A$. Let B/A be a minimal ideal of L/A with $B \subset C_L(A)$. Then, for some $b \in B$, $B = A + Fb$, which is an abelian ideal of L , contradicting the maximality of A . The result follows. \square

Proposition 2.6 *Let L be a supersolvable Lie algebra, A any maximal abelian ideal of L . Suppose $\dim A \leq k$. Then L/A is isomorphic to a Lie algebra of $k \times k$ lower triangular matrices.*

Proof. Let $\text{ad} : L \rightarrow \text{Der } A$ be defined by $\text{ad } x(y) = [y, x]$. Then ad is a homomorphism with kernel $C_L(A) = A$, by Lemma 2.5. Since L is supersolvable there is a flag of ideals $0 = A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \dots \subset A_k = A$ of L . Choose a basis e_1, \dots, e_k for A with $e_i \in A_i$. With respect to this basis the action of L on A is represented by $k \times k$ lower triangular matrices, since $[A_i, L] \subseteq A_i$ for each $0 \leq i \leq k$. \square

Corollary 2.7 *Let L be a supersolvable Lie algebra with a maximal abelian ideal A of dimension at most k . Then $\dim L \leq \frac{k(k+3)}{2}$ and L has derived length at most $k + 1$.*

Proof. The Lie algebra of $k \times k$ lower triangular matrices has dimension $\frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ and derived length k . \square

Corollary 2.8 *Let L be a supersolvable Lie algebra of dimension n . Then*

$$\beta(L) \geq \left\lceil \frac{\sqrt{8n+9}-3}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Corollary 2.9 *Let L be a non-abelian solvable Lie algebra of dimension n over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Then*

$$\left\lceil \frac{\sqrt{8n+9}-3}{2} \right\rceil \leq \alpha(L) \leq n - 1.$$

Proof. Simply use Corollary 2.8 and [7, Proposition 2.5]. \square

3 Supersolvable Lie algebras with $\alpha(L) = n - 1$ or $n - 2$

Proposition 3.1 *Let L be a supersolvable Lie algebra and let A be an abelian subalgebra of L . Suppose that $K = A + Fe_1$ is a subalgebra of L , and that there is an $x \in L$ such that $[x, K] \subseteq K$, but $[x, A] \not\subseteq A$. Then K^2 is one dimensional and $Z(K)$ has codimension at most one in A .*

Proof. Let e_2, \dots, e_k be a basis for A such that $e_1 = [x, e_2]$, say. Let $[x, e_j] = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_{ji} e_i$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then $[e_2, [x, e_j]] = \alpha_{j1} [e_2, e_1]$, so, for $2 \leq j \leq k$,

$$0 = [x, [e_2, e_j]] = -[e_2, [e_j, x]] - [e_j, [x, e_2]] = \alpha_{j1} [e_2, e_1] - [e_j, e_1].$$

Hence $[e_1, e_j] = \alpha_{j1}[e_1, e_2]$. It follows that $K^2 = F[e_1, e_2]$. Put $v_j = \alpha_{j1}e_2 - e_j$ for $3 \leq j \leq k$. Then $v_3, \dots, v_k \in Z(K) \cap A$. \square

The above result deals with the case where an abelian subalgebra of maximal dimension has codimension one in an ideal of L .

Corollary 3.2 *Let L be a supersolvable Lie algebra and let A be an abelian subalgebra of maximal dimension in L . If $A \subset K$ where K is an ideal of L and A has codimension 1 in K , then $\alpha(L) = \beta(L)$.*

Proof. If A is an ideal of L then the result is clear, so suppose that it is not an ideal of L . With the same notation as Proposition 3.1 the hypotheses of that result are satisfied. Then $v_3, \dots, v_k \in Z(K)$; in fact, the maximality of A gives $Z(K) = Fv_3 + \dots + Fv_k$. Let $B/(Fv_3 + \dots + Fv_k)$ be a chief factor of L with $B \subset K$. Then B is an abelian ideal of L with the same dimension as A . The result follows. \square

Next we consider the situation where L has a maximal subalgebra that is abelian: first when L is any non-abelian Lie algebra and F is algebraically closed, and then when L is solvable but F is arbitrary.

Proposition 3.3 *Let L be a non-abelian Lie algebra of dimension n over an algebraically closed field F of any characteristic. Then L has a maximal subalgebra M that is abelian if and only if L has an abelian ideal of codimension one in L . So $\alpha(L) = \beta(L) = n - 1$*

Proof. Suppose first that L has a maximal subalgebra M that is abelian. If M is an ideal of L we have finished. So suppose that M is self-idealising, in which case it is a Cartan subalgebra of L . Let $L = M \dot{+} L_1(M)$ be the Fitting decomposition of L relative to M . Then $\{(\text{ad } m)|_{L_1(M)} : m \in M\}$ is a set of simultaneously triangulable linear mappings. So, there exists $0 \neq b \in L_1(M)$ such that $[m, b] = \lambda(m)b$ for every $m \in M$, where $\lambda(m) \in F$. Then we have that $M + Fb$ is a subalgebra of L strictly containing M , whence $M \dot{+} Fb = L$ and $L^2 = Fb$. But now $\dim(L/C_L(L^2)) = 1$ and $C_L(L^2) = L^2 \dot{+} M \cap C_L(L^2)$ which is an abelian ideal of codimension one in L .

The converse is clear. \square

The following is a generalisation of [18, Proposition 3.1]

Proposition 3.4 *Let L be a solvable Lie algebra. Then L has a maximal subalgebra M that is abelian if and only if either*

(i) L has an abelian ideal of codimension one in L ; or

(ii) $L^{(2)} = \phi(L) = Z(L)$, $L^2/L^{(2)}$ is a chief factor of L , and L splits over L^2 .

Proof. Suppose first that L has a maximal subalgebra M that is abelian. If M is an ideal of L we have case (i). So suppose that M is self-idealising, in which case it is a Cartan subalgebra of L . There is a $k \geq 1$ such that $L^{(k)} \not\subseteq M$ but $L^{(k+1)} \subseteq M$. Then $L = M + L^{(k)}$ which implies that $L^2 \subseteq L^{(k)}$. It follows that $L^{(2)} \subseteq M$. If S is a subalgebra of L denote by \bar{S} its image under the canonical homomorphism onto $L/L^{(2)}$. Then \bar{M} is a Cartan subalgebra of \bar{L} and \bar{L} has a Fitting decomposition $\bar{L} = \bar{M} \dot{+} \bar{L}_1$. Now $\bar{L}_1 \subseteq \bar{L}^2 = \bar{L}^2$ which is abelian, so \bar{L}_1 is an ideal of \bar{L} . Moreover, since \bar{M} is a maximal subalgebra of \bar{L} , \bar{L}_1 is a minimal ideal of \bar{L} and $\bar{L}^2 = \bar{L}_1$. It follows that $L^2/L^{(2)}$ is a chief factor of L . Clearly $\phi(\bar{L}) = 0$, whence $\phi(L) \subseteq L^{(2)}$. Also $L = M + L^2$, so letting C be a subspace of M such that $M = C \dot{+} (M \cap L^2)$ we see that C is a subalgebra of L and L splits over L^2 . Next $[M, L^{(2)}] \subseteq M^2 = 0$, so $M \subseteq C_L(L^{(2)})$. Since M is a self-idealising maximal subalgebra of L and $C_L(L^{(2)})$ is an ideal of L , we have $C_L(L^{(2)}) = L$, whence $L^{(2)} = Z(L)$. Finally, this means that L^2 is nilpotent, giving $L^{(2)} = \phi(L^2) \subseteq \phi(L)$, by [17, Lemma 4.1 and Section 5], whence $\phi(L) = L^{(2)}$.

Consider now the converse. If (i) holds the converse is clear. So suppose that (ii) holds. Then $L = C \dot{+} L^2$ where C is an abelian subalgebra of L . Put $M = C + L^{(2)}$, so M is clearly abelian. Let $M \subseteq T \subseteq L$. Then $\bar{M} \subseteq \bar{T} \subseteq \bar{L}$. But $\bar{L} = \bar{M} \dot{+} \bar{L}^2$ and \bar{L}^2 is a minimal abelian ideal of \bar{L} . So $\bar{M} \neq \bar{T}$ implies that $\bar{T} = \bar{L}$. It follows that M is a maximal subalgebra of L . \square

Next we characterise solvable Lie algebras L whose biggest abelian subalgebras have codimension two in L .

Theorem 3.5 *Let L be a solvable Lie algebra of dimension n with $\alpha(L) = n - 2$, and let A be an abelian subalgebra of dimension $n - 2$. Then one of the following occurs:*

(i) $\beta(L) = n - 2$;

(ii) $L = L^2 \dot{+} B$, where B is an abelian subalgebra of L , L^2 is the three-dimensional Heisenberg algebra, $L^{(2)} = \phi(L) = Z(L)$ and $L^2/Z(L)$ is a two-dimensional chief factor of L (in which case $\beta(L) \leq n - 3$);

(iii) A has codimension one in the nilradical, N , of L , which itself has codimension one in L . Moreover, N^2 is one dimensional, $Z(N)$ is an abelian ideal of maximal dimension and $\beta(L) = n - 3$.

Proof. Let A be a maximal abelian subalgebra of L of dimension $n - 2$ and suppose that (i) doesn't hold. Suppose first that A is a maximal subalgebra of L . Then L is as in Proposition 3.4(ii) and A is a Cartan subalgebra of L . Let $L = A \dot{+} L_1$ be the Fitting decomposition of L relative to A . Then $L_1 \subseteq L^2$ and $\dim L_1 = 2$. Let $L_1 = Fx + Fy$. If $[x, y] = 0$ then L_1 is an ideal of L and L/L_1 is abelian, so $L^2 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L^2$. This yields that L is metabelian and L^2 is a two dimensional minimal ideal over which L splits. It follows from Proposition 2.1 that $\beta(L) \geq n - 2$, a contradiction.

If $[x, y] \neq 0$, then $L^2 = F[x, y] + L_1$ and $F[x, y] \subseteq L^{(2)} = Z(L)$, so $F[x, y] = Z(L)$ and we have case (ii). Let C be a maximal abelian ideal of L . Then $Z(L) \subseteq C$ and $L^2 \not\subseteq C$. It follows that $C \cap L^2 = Z(L)$. If $\dim C = n - 2$, then $\dim(L^2 + C) = \dim L^2 + \dim C - \dim C \cap L^2 = 3 + n - 2 - 1 = n$, so $L = L^2 + C$. But then $L^2 = Z(L)$, a contradiction. Hence, $\beta(L) \leq n - 3$.

So suppose that A is not a maximal subalgebra of L . Then $A \subset M \subset L$, where $\dim M = n - 1$. Moreover, there is such a subalgebra A of M which is an ideal of M , by [7, Proposition 3.1]. Suppose first that A does not act nilpotently on L . Then the Fitting decomposition of L relative to A is $L = M \dot{+} L_1$, and L_1 is a one-dimensional ideal of L . Put $B = A \dot{+} L_1$, which is an ideal of L . Then $C_B(L_1)$ has codimension one in B and so is an abelian ideal of codimension two in L . It follows that $\beta(L) = n - 2$, a contradiction.

Finally, suppose that A is an ideal of M and that A acts nilpotently on L . Then there is a $k \geq 0$ such that $L(\text{ad } A)^k \not\subseteq M$ but $L(\text{ad } A)^{k+1} \subseteq M$. Let $x \in L(\text{ad } A)^k \setminus M$, so $L = M \dot{+} Fx$. Suppose first that M is not an ideal of L . Then the core of M , M_L has codimension one in M , by [1, Theorem 3.1]. If $A = M_L$ then we have case (i), so suppose that $A \neq M_L$ and $M = A + M_L$. Then $[A, x] \subseteq M$ which implies that $[L, A] \subseteq M$ and $[L, M] = [L, M_L] + [L, A] \subseteq M$; that is, M is an ideal of L .

Let N be the nilradical of L . If $N \subseteq A$ then $A \subseteq C_L(N) \subseteq N$, so $N = A$ and we have case (i) again. If $A \subset N$ then $N = L$ or we can assume that $N = M$. If $A \not\subseteq N$ and $N \not\subseteq A$ then either $A + N = L$, in which case L is nilpotent, or we can assume that $A + N = M$, in which case M is a nilpotent ideal of L and so $M = N$. If L is nilpotent, then we have case (i), by [7, Proposition 5.1].

So suppose that $L = N + Fe_1$, $N = A + Fe_2$ and $A = Fe_3 + \dots + Fe_n$. If A is an ideal of L then we have case (i) again, so suppose further that $[e_1, e_3] = e_2$ and $[e_1, e_j] = \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_{ji} e_i$ for $j \geq 2$. Following the proof of

Proposition 3.1, we get $[e_2, e_j] = \alpha_{j2}[e_2, e_3]$ for $j \geq 3$ and $N^2 = F[e_2, e_3]$. Let $[e_2, e_3] = \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i e_i$. Then $[[e_2, e_3], e_3] = \lambda_2[e_2, e_3]$, and nilpotency of N implies that $\lambda_2 = 0$. It follows that $[e_2, e_3] \in A$. Similarly, $[e_2, [e_2, e_3]] = \mu[e_2, e_3]$ (where $\mu = \lambda_3 + \sum_{j=4}^n \lambda_j \alpha_{j2}$), whence $\mu = 0$. We thus have that $N^3 = 0$. Put $v_j = \alpha_{j2}e_3 - e_j$ for $j \geq 3$. Then $Fv_3 + \dots + Fv_n \subseteq Z(N)$, which is an abelian ideal of L . This is case (iii). \square

Corollary 3.6 *Let L be a supersolvable Lie algebra of dimension n with $\alpha(L) = n - 2$. Then $\beta(L) = n - 2$.*

Proof. We use the same notation as in Theorem 3.5 and show that cases (ii) and (iii) cannot occur. Clearly case (ii) cannot occur, since in that case $L^2/Z(L)$ is a two-dimensional minimal ideal of $L/Z(L)$. So suppose that case (iii) occurs. Then $\dim Z(N) = n - 3$. Since L is supersolvable, there is an ideal $B \subset N$ of L with $\dim(B/Z(N)) = 1$. But clearly B is abelian, contradicting the maximality of $Z(N)$. \square

Note that algebras of the type described in Theorem 3.5 (ii) and (iii) do exist over the real field, as the following examples show.

EXAMPLE 3.1 *Let L be the four-dimensional Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} with basis e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 and non-zero products*

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, \quad [e_1, e_3] = -e_2, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_4.$$

Then this algebra is as described in Theorem 3.5(ii). For $L^2 = \mathbb{R}e_2 + \mathbb{R}e_3 + \mathbb{R}e_4$ is the three-dimensional Heisenberg algebra, $L = L^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_1$, $L^{(2)} = \mathbb{R}e_4 = Z(L) = \phi(L)$, and $L^2/Z(L)$ is a two-dimensional chief factor of L . This algebra has $\alpha(L) = 2$ and $\beta(L) = 1$. We could take $A = \mathbb{R}e_1 + \mathbb{R}e_4$, for example, but $\mathbb{R}e_4$ is the unique maximal abelian ideal of L .

EXAMPLE 3.2 *Let L be the four-dimensional Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} with basis e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 and non-zero products*

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_2 - e_3, \quad [e_1, e_4] = 2e_4, \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_2 + e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_4.$$

Then this algebra is as described in Theorem 3.5(iii). For we could take $A = \mathbb{R}e_3 + \mathbb{R}e_4$, $N = \mathbb{R}e_2 + A$, so $N^2 = \mathbb{R}e_4$, $Z(N) = \mathbb{R}e_4$. We have $\alpha(L) = 2$ and $\beta(L) = 1$.

4 Nilpotent Lie algebras with $\alpha(L) = n - 3$

When L is nilpotent of dimension n and $\alpha(L) = n - 3$ we obtain that $\alpha(L) = \beta(L)$, but only when F has characteristic different from two.

Theorem 4.1 *Let L be a nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension n with $\alpha(L) = n - 3$. Then $\beta(L) = n - 3$.*

Proof. Let A be an abelian subalgebra of L with $\dim A = n - 3$, let N be a maximal subalgebra containing A and suppose that A is not an ideal of L . Then N is an ideal of L , and A is a maximal abelian subalgebra of N of codimension 2 in N . By [7, Proposition 7] we can assume that A is an ideal of N . Let e_4, \dots, e_n be any basis for A and $L = Fe_1 + N$. We may suppose that $e_3 = [e_1, e_4] \notin A$; set $M = Fe_3 + A$. If $[e_1, M] \subseteq M$, then M is an ideal of L , and the result follows from Corollary 3.2. Hence there exists k such that $e_2 = [e_1, e_k] \notin M$ where $3 \leq k \leq n$ and $k \neq 4$. Clearly, $N = Fe_2 + M$. Let $[e_1, e_j] = \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_{ji}e_i$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} [e_3, e_j] &= [[e_1, e_4], e_j] = -[[e_4, e_j], e_1] - [[e_j, e_1], e_4] \\ &= \alpha_{j2}[e_2, e_4] + \alpha_{j3}[e_3, e_4] \text{ for } j \geq 4. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Put $u_j = e_j - \alpha_{j3}e_4$ for $j \geq 5$. Then $[e_3, u_j] = \alpha_{j2}[e_2, e_4]$ for $j \geq 5$. Therefore, we can choose the elements e_5, \dots, e_n in our basis so that

$$[e_3, e_j] = \alpha_{j2}[e_2, e_4] \text{ and } \alpha_{j3} = 0 \text{ for } j \geq 5. \quad (3)$$

Case 1 Suppose that $\alpha_{j2} = 0$ for all $j \geq 4$. So $[e_3, e_j] = 0$ for $j \geq 5$, $[L, A] \subseteq M$ and we can put $e_2 = [e_1, e_3]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [e_2, e_j] &= [[e_1, e_3], e_j] = -[[e_3, e_j], e_1] - [[e_j, e_1], e_3] \\ &= \alpha_{j4}[e_4, e_3] \text{ for } j \geq 5. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

If $\alpha_{j4} = 0$ for all $j \geq 5$, then $\dim Z(N) \geq n - 4$, and if we choose $B/Z(N)$ to be a chief factor of L with $B \subset N$, B is an abelian ideal of L with $\dim B \geq n - 3$.

So suppose that $\alpha_{54} \neq 0$, say. Put $v_j = \alpha_{54}e_j - \alpha_{j4}e_5$ for $j \geq 6$. Then $[e_2, v_j] = 0$ for $j \geq 6$ and $\dim Z(N) \geq n - 5$. So, we can choose the terms e_6, \dots, e_n in the initial basis such that they belong to $Z(N)$. Let us note

that N^2 is spanned by $[e_2, e_3]$, $[e_2, e_4]$ and $[e_3, e_4]$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, [e_2, e_5]] &= -[e_2, [e_5, e_1]] - [e_5, [e_1, e_2]] \\ &= \alpha_{54}[e_2, e_4] + \alpha_{55}[e_2, e_5] + \alpha_{22}[e_2, e_5], \text{ and} \\ [e_1, [e_4, e_3]] &= -[e_4, [e_3, e_1]] - [e_3, [e_1, e_4]] \\ &= [e_4, e_2]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5) that

$$2\alpha_{54}[e_2, e_4] = (\alpha_{55} + \alpha_{22})\alpha_{54}[e_3, e_4],$$

so $\dim N^2 \leq 2$. Let $B/Z(N)$ be a chief factor of L with $B \subset N$. Then B is an abelian ideal of L of dimension at least $n-4$. Suppose that $\dim B = n-4$ and that this is a maximal abelian ideal of L . Then $C_L(B) = B$. Put $B = Z(N) + Fb$. Now $[e_3, b]$, $[e_4, b]$, $[e_5, b] \in N^2$. Since $\dim N^2 \leq 2$ these elements are linearly dependent. Hence we have $\beta_3[e_3, b] + \beta_4[e_4, b] + \beta_5[e_5, b] = 0$ for some $\beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5 \in F$, not all zero. It follows that $\beta_3e_3 + \beta_4e_4 + \beta_5e_5 \in C_L(B) = B$, whence $B = F(\beta_3e_3 + \beta_4e_4 + \beta_5e_5) + Z(N)$. Now $e_5 \in C_L(B) = B$, so $B = Z(N) + Fe_5$. But then $e_4 \in C_L(B) \setminus B$, a contradiction. It follows that there is an abelian ideal of dimension $n-3$.

Case 2 Suppose that $\alpha_{52} \neq 0$, say, so $[e_1, e_5] \notin M$. Put $e_2 = [e_1, e_5]$, so that $\alpha_{52} = 1$, $\alpha_{5j} = 0$ for $j \neq 2$; put also $v_j = e_j - \alpha_{j2}e_5$ for $j \geq 6$. Then $[e_3, v_j] = 0$ for $j \geq 6$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, v_j] &= [e_1, e_j] - \alpha_{j2}[e_1, e_5] \\ &\in A \text{ for } j \geq 6, \quad \text{using (3)} \end{aligned}$$

so $[e_2, v_j] = [[e_1, e_5], v_j] = -[[e_5, v_j], e_1] - [[v_j, e_1], e_5] = 0$ for $j \geq 6$. So again $\dim Z(N) \geq n-5$, we can choose e_6, \dots, e_n in our original basis to belong to $Z(N)$, and N^2 is spanned by $[e_2, e_3]$, $[e_2, e_4]$, $[e_2, e_5]$ and $[e_3, e_4]$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, [e_2, e_4]] &= -[e_2, [e_4, e_1]] - [e_4, [e_1, e_2]] \\ &= [e_2, e_3] + \alpha_{22}[e_2, e_4] + \alpha_{23}[e_3, e_4], \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, [e_3, e_5]] &= -[e_3, [e_5, e_1]] - [e_5, [e_1, e_3]] \\ &= [e_3, e_2] + \alpha_{32}[e_2, e_5] + \alpha_{33}[e_3, e_5]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Since $[e_3, e_5] = [e_2, e_4]$ this yields

$$2[e_2, e_3] = (\alpha_{33} - \alpha_{22})[e_2, e_4] - \alpha_{23}[e_3, e_4] + \alpha_{32}[e_2, e_5], \quad (8)$$

so N^2 is spanned by $[e_2, e_4]$, $[e_2, e_5]$ and $[e_3, e_4]$.

We have $N^2 \subseteq A$, since $\dim N/A = 2$. Suppose first that $N^2 \not\subseteq Z(N)$. Then choose $B \subseteq N^2 + Z(N)$ such that $B/Z(N)$ is a chief factor of L . Then $B \subset A$ and $A \subseteq C_L(B) \setminus B$. It follows that L has an abelian ideal of dimension $n - 3$.

So consider now the case where $N^3 = 0$. We have $\dim L/(L^2 + Z(N)) \leq 3$ since $e_2, e_3 \in L^2$. Suppose first that $\dim L/(L^2 + Z(N)) = 3$, so that $L^2 + Z(N) = Fe_2 + Fe_3 + Z(N) = D$, say. This is an ideal of L , so $\alpha_{24} = \alpha_{25} = \alpha_{34} = \alpha_{35} = 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, [e_2, e_3]] &= -[e_2, [e_3, e_1]] - [e_3, [e_1, e_2]] \\ &= \alpha_{33}[e_2, e_3] + \alpha_{22}[e_2, e_3] \\ &= (\alpha_{33} + \alpha_{22})[e_2, e_3]. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Since L is nilpotent we must have $\alpha_{33} = -\alpha_{22}$. Now

$$\dim([L, D] + Z(N))/Z(N) \leq 1,$$

so $[e_1, e_3] + Z(N) = \lambda[e_1, e_2] + Z(N)$ for some $\lambda \in F$. It follows that $\alpha_{32} = \lambda\alpha_{22}$, $-\alpha_{22} = \alpha_{33} = \lambda\alpha_{23}$ and $B = F(e_3 - \lambda e_2) + Z(N)$ is an abelian ideal of L . Suppose first that $\lambda \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &[e_3 - \lambda e_2, \lambda e_2 + e_3 - \lambda\alpha_{23}e_4 - \alpha_{32}e_5] \\ &= -2\lambda[e_2, e_3] + (\lambda^2\alpha_{23} - \alpha_{32})[e_2, e_4] - \lambda\alpha_{23}[e_3, e_4] + \lambda\alpha_{32}[e_2, e_5] \\ &= \lambda(-2[e_2, e_3] + (\alpha_{33} - \alpha_{22})[e_2, e_4] - \alpha_{23}[e_3, e_4] + \alpha_{32}[e_2, e_5]) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

using (8). It follows that $C_L(B) \neq B$, so B is not a maximal abelian ideal of L and the result holds.

If $\lambda = 0$ then $\alpha_{32} = \alpha_{33} = \alpha_{22} = 0$ and (8) becomes $2[e_2, e_3] = -\alpha_{23}[e_3, e_4]$. But this implies that $[2e_2 - \alpha_{23}e_4, e_3] = 0$, and $C_L(B) \neq B$ again.

So suppose now that $\dim L/(L^2 + Z(N)) = 2$. Then there is an $n_1 \in N$ such that $L = Fe_1 + Fn_1 + Fn_2 + Fn_3 + Fn_4 + Z(N)$ where $n_2 = [e_1, n_1]$, $n_3 = [e_1, n_2]$, $n_4 = [e_1, n_3]$, $[e_1, n_4] \in Z(N)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, [n_1, n_2]] &= -[n_1, [n_2, e_1]] - [n_2, [e_1, n_1]] = [n_1, n_3] \\ [e_1, [n_1, n_3]] &= -[n_1, [n_3, e_1]] - [n_3, [e_1, n_1]] = [n_1, n_4] + [n_2, n_3] \\ [e_1, [n_1, n_4]] &= -[n_1, [n_4, e_1]] - [n_4, [e_1, n_1]] = [n_2, n_4] \\ [e_1, [n_2, n_4]] &= -[n_2, [n_4, e_1]] - [n_4, [e_1, n_2]] = [n_3, n_4] \\ [e_1, [n_2, n_3]] &= -[n_2, [n_3, e_1]] - [n_3, [e_1, n_2]] = [n_2, n_4]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\dim N^2 \leq 3$ we have

$$0 = [e_1, [e_1, [e_1, [n_1, n_2]]]] = 2[n_2, n_4].$$

Clearly $B = Fn_4 + Z(N)$ is an abelian ideal, and $n_2 \in C_L(B) \setminus B$, which completes the proof. \square

The restriction on the characteristic in the above result is necessary, as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 4.1 *Let L be the nine-dimensional Lie algebra, over any field F of characteristic two, with basis $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8, e_9$ and non-zero products*

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= e_6, & [e_1, e_3] &= e_2, & [e_1, e_4] &= e_3, & [e_1, e_5] &= e_4, & [e_1, e_8] &= e_7, \\ [e_1, e_9] &= e_8, & [e_2, e_3] &= e_7, & [e_2, e_4] &= e_8, & [e_2, e_5] &= e_9, & [e_3, e_4] &= e_9. \end{aligned}$$

This is a nilpotent Lie algebra whose abelian subalgebras of maximal dimension are

$$F(e_3 + \lambda e_4) + Fe_5 + Fe_6 + Fe_7 + Fe_8 + Fe_9 \quad \text{and}$$

$$F(\lambda e_3 + e_4) + Fe_5 + Fe_6 + Fe_7 + Fe_8 + Fe_9 \quad (\lambda \in F),$$

so $\alpha(L) = 6$. However, none of these are ideals of L ; in fact the abelian ideal of maximal dimension is

$$Fe_2 + Fe_6 + Fe_7 + Fe_8 + Fe_9,$$

so $\beta(L) = 5$.

Note that the above example is valid over an algebraically closed field of characteristic two, and so shows that [7, Proposition 2.5] does not hold over such fields, even when L is nilpotent.

The results above prompt the following questions.

1. Does Theorem 4.1 hold for supersolvable Lie algebras L ?
2. Let L be a supersolvable/nilpotent Lie algebra with $\alpha(L) = n - k$ containing an abelian subalgebra A of maximal dimension, and let N be a maximal subalgebra containing A that is an ideal of L .
 - (i) Is it true that $\dim Z(N) \geq n - 2k + 1$?
 - (ii) Is it true that $\dim N^2 \leq k - 1$?
 - (iii) If they are true, do 1 and 2 imply that $\beta(L) = n - k$?

However, we have seen that restrictions on the underlying field are necessary for any of these to be true.

References

- [1] R. K. AMAYO, ‘Quasi-ideals of Lie algebras II’, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **33** (1979), 37–64.
- [2] L. AUSLANDER AND J. BREZIN, ‘Almost Algebraic Lie Algebras’, J. Algebra **8** (1968), 295–313.
- [3] D.W. BARNES AND M.L. NEWELL, ‘Some theorems on saturated homomorphs of soluble Lie algebras’, Math. Zeit. **115** (1970), 179–187.
- [4] N. BOURBAKI, ‘Elements of Mathematics, Lie Groups and Lie Algebras’, Chapters 1-3, Springer (1989).
- [5] K. BOWMAN, D. A. TOWERS AND V. R. VAREA, ‘Two Generator Subalgebras Of Lie Algebras’ Linear Multilinear Algebra **55**(5) (2007), 429–438.
- [6] D. BURDE, C. STEINHOFF, ‘Classification of orbit closures of 4-dimensional complex Lie algebras’, J. Algebra **214**(2) (1999), 729–739.
- [7] D. BURDE AND M. CEBALLOS, ‘Abelian ideals of maximal dimension for solvable Lie algebras’, *ArXiv: 0911.2995v1 [math.RA]* (2009).
- [8] V. V. GORBATSEVICH, ‘On the level of some solvable Lie algebras’, Siberian Math. J. **39** (5) (1998), 872–883.
- [9] F. GRUNEWALD, J. O’HALLORAN, ‘Varieties of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension less than six’, J. Algebra **112** (2) (1988), 315–325.
- [10] N. JACOBSON, ‘Schur’s Theorems on Commutative Matrices’, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1944), 431–436.
- [11] N. JACOBSON, ‘Lie algebras’, New York: Dover Publ. (1979).
- [12] A. MALCEV, ‘Commutative subalgebras of semi-simple Lie algebras’, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **1951**(40) (1951), 15 pp.
- [13] M. NESTERENKO, R. POPOVYCH, ‘Contractions of low-dimensional Lie algebras’, J. Math. Phys. **47** (12) (2006), 123515, 45 pp.
- [14] I. SCHUR, ‘Zur Theorie vertauschbarer Matrizen’, J. Reine. Angew. Math, **130** (1905), 66–76.

- [15] C. SEELEY, ‘Degenerations of 6–dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras over \mathbb{C} ’, *Comm. in Algebra* **18** (1990), 3493–3505.
- [16] I. STEWART, ‘Bounds for the dimensions of certain Lie algebras’, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **3** (1971), 731–732.
- [17] D. A. TOWERS, ‘A Frattini Theory for Algebras’, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **27** (1973), 440–462.
- [18] D. A. TOWERS, ‘The index complex of a maximal subalgebra of a Lie algebra’, *Proc. Edin. Math. Soc.* **54** (2011), 531–542.