1 Widening global variability in grassland biomass since the 1980s

Author list: Andrew MacDougall^{1,2}, Ellen Esch¹, Qingqing Chen³, Oliver Carroll¹, Colin 3 Bonner¹, Timothy Ohlert⁴, Matthias Siewert², John Sulik⁵, Anna Schweiger⁶, Elizabeth T. Borer⁷, Dilip Naidu⁸, Sumanta Bagchi⁸, Yann Hautier⁹, Peter Wilfahrt⁷, Keith Larson², 5 Johan Olofsson², Elsa Cleland¹⁰, Ranjan Muthukrishnan¹¹, Lydia O'Halloran¹², Juan 6 Alberti¹³, T. Michael Anderson¹⁴, Carlos A. Arnillas¹⁵, Jonathan D. Bakker¹⁶, Isabel C Barrio¹⁷, Lori Biederman¹⁸, Elizabeth H. Boughton¹⁹, Lars A. Brudvig²⁰, Martin 8 Bruschetti¹³, Yvonne Buckley²¹, Miguel N. Bugalho²², Marc W. Cadotte²³, Maria C. 9 Caldeira²⁴, Jane A. Catford²⁵, Carla D'Antonio²⁶, Kendi Davies²⁷, Pedro Daleo¹³, 10 Christopher R. Dickman²⁸, Ian Donohue²¹, Mary Ellyn DuPre²⁹, Kenneth Elgersma³⁰, 11 Nico Eisenhauer^{31,32}, Anu Eskelinen^{31,33,34}, Catalina Estrada³⁵, Philip A. Fay³⁶, Yanhao 12 Feng³⁷, Daniel S. Gruner³⁸, Nicole Hagenah³⁹, Sylvia Haider⁴⁰, Stan Harpole^{31,33, 41}, 13 Erika Hersch-Green⁴², Anke Jentsch⁴³, Kevin Kirkman⁴⁴, Johannes M H Knops⁴⁵, Lauri 14 Laanisto⁴⁶, Lucíola S. Lannes⁴⁷, Ramesh Laungani⁴⁸, Ariuntsetseg Lkhagva⁴⁹, Petr 15 Macek⁵⁰, Jason P. Martina⁵¹, Rebecca L. McCulley⁵², Brett Melbourne²⁷, Rachel 16 Mitchell⁵³, Joslin L. Moore⁵⁴, John W. Morgan⁵⁵, Taofeek O. Muraina⁵⁶, Yujie Niu^{42,57}, 17 Meelis Pärtel⁵⁸, Pablo L. Peri⁵⁹, Sally A. Power⁶⁰, Jodi N. Price⁶¹, Suzanne M. Prober⁶², 18 Zhengwei Ren⁶³, Anita C. Risch⁶⁴, Nicholas G. Smith⁶⁵, Grégory Sonnier¹⁹, Rachel J. 19 Standish⁶⁶, Carly J. Stevens⁶⁷, Michelle Tedder⁴³, Pedro Tognetti⁶⁸, G.F. (Ciska) 20 Veen⁶⁹, Risto Virtanen³⁴, Glenda M. Wardle²⁸, Elizabeth Waring⁷⁰, Amelia A Wolf⁷¹, 21 Laura Yahdjian 68 , Eric W. Seabloom⁷

23 **Affiliations**

22

31

33

35

38

- 24 25 1Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada
- 26 27 2Department of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
- 28 ³ 29 ³ Institute of Ecology, College of Urban and Environmental Science, Peking University, 30 Beijing, China
- 32 4Department of Biology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM
- 34 5Department of Plant Agriculture, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada
- ⁶ Land Resources and Environmetal Sciences, Montana State University, Bozeman, 37 Montana, USA
- 39 7Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, 40 MN USA
- 41 42 8Centre for Ecological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
- ⁹Ecology and Biodiversity Group, Department of Biology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, 45 The Netherlands
- 46 47 10Division of Biological Sciences, University of California - San Diego, San Diego, 48 California, USA
- 49

 ⁵⁰ 148 ⁵⁰ 10 10 1148 Institute of Hydrobiology, Biology Centre of Czech Academy of Sciences, Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic 151 ⁵¹Department of Biology, Texas State University, San Marcos, TX USA 78666 52Department of Plant & Soil Sciences, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA 53School of Earth and Sustainability, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ, USA 157 ⁵⁴Arthur Rylah Institute for Environment Research, Department of Energy, Environment and Climate Action, Heidelberg, Victoria 3084, Australia; School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton VIC 3800, Australia; School of Agriculture, Food and Ecosystem Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia 55Department of Environment and Genetics, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia 56Department of Animal Health and Production, Oyo State College of Agriculture and Technology, Oyo State, Nigeria; current address: Department of Biology, Texas State University, San Marcos, TX USA 78666 169 ⁵⁷College of Grassland Science, Key Laboratory of Grassland Ecosystem of the Ministry of Education, Gansu Agricultural University, Lanzhou, China. 172 ⁵⁸ Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia 174 ⁵⁹ INTA-UNPA-CONICET, Universidad Nacional de la Patagonia, Santa Cruz, Argentina 176 ⁶⁰Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Penrith, New South Wales, Australia 61Gulbali Institute, Charles Sturt University, Albury, New South Wales, 2640, Australia. 62CSIRO Environment, Canberra Australian Capital Territory, Australia 183 ⁶³College of Ecology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China 185 ⁶⁴Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL, Birmensdorf, Switzerland 188 ⁶⁵Department of Biological Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, USA 66Murdoch University, Perth, Western Australia, Australia 192 ⁶⁷ Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK 194 ⁶⁸ IFEVA Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires - CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina

- 197 ⁶⁹Department of Terrestrial Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology, Wageningen, the Netherlands 70Department of Natural Sciences, Northeastern State University, Tahlequah, OK, US 71Department of Integrative Biology, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA *Corresponding author. asm@uoguelph.ca **Abstract:** Global change is associated with variable shifts in the annual production of aboveground plant biomass, suggesting localized sensitivities with unclear causal origins. Combining remotely sensed NDVI data since the 1980s with contemporary field data from 84 grasslands on six continents, we demonstrate a widening divergence in site-level biomass ranging from +51% to -34% globally. Biomass generally increased in warmer, wetter and species-rich sites with longer growing seasons while declining in species-poor arid areas. Phenological changes were widespread, revealing substantive transitions in grassland seasonal cycling. Grazing, nitrogen deposition, and plant invasion were prevalent in some regions but did not predict overall trends. Grasslands are undergoing sizable changes in production, with implications for food security, biodiversity, and carbon storage especially in arid regions where declines are accelerating.
-

Main Text

 Shifting annual production of aboveground peak biomass by vascular plants (hereafter 'biomass') has been observed worldwide in response to global environmental 224 change¹⁻⁵. These shifts differ in magnitude and direction including positive responses (i.e., 'winners and losers'⁶) suggesting localized sensitivities that have been difficult to simultaneously measure and test⁷⁻¹¹. Given the importance of biomass to humanity for food and fuel and the likelihood of intensifying consumption going forward, there is an urgent need to assess its vulnerability to global change especially in areas where yield 229 declines could intensify $12,13$.

 Analyses to date on biomass shifts¹³⁻¹⁵ have mostly relied on remotely sensed data that can be prone to estimation bias and an inability to discern underlying fine-scale drivers⁹⁻¹⁶. This is problematic because plant biomass often varies with combinations of coarse- and fine-scale factors whose interactions can be expressed uniquely by 234 location, even when vegetation structure and climate may seem similar^{8,16}. For example, anthropogenic nitrogen (N) deposition and plant invasion can sometimes 236 dramatically increase biomass especially with warming¹⁷⁻¹⁹ yet some global regions are largely unaffected by one or both factors.

 To date, hypothesized drivers of biomass variability have emphasized combinations of climate change, phenological shifts, N deposition, or local-scale biotic interactions. Climate impacts on biomass can vary based on regional differences in the magnitude of temperature change relative to historic baselines (e.g., greater warming at higher latitudes), shifts in seasonality within and across years (e.g., longer, hotter summers), the magnitude of temperature change relative to the tolerance thresholds of local taxa, and interactions between temperature and precipitation including whether 245 warming sites become wetter or more arid^{20,21}. Phenologically, shifts in the timing of

 seasonal growth can have variable impacts on plant biomass^{8,9,15} - earlier emergence can increase biomass if plants are biologically active for longer periods or reduce it by accelerating seasonal cycling such that growing seasons shorten 22 . The impacts of anthropogenic N deposition on plant biomass and diversity sometimes exceed those of climate and vary by proximity to centers of industry and agriculture¹⁹. Nitrogen deposition often interacts strongly with climate, given linkages among moisture 252 availability, growing period, and N demand by plants^{19.23}. Finally, a multitude of biotic factors can affect biomass differently by location, including among-site variation in plant species richness²⁴, the diversity of plant traits²⁵, levels of plant invasion¹⁷, and over- grazing^{26,27}. Given these diverse and often scale-dependent drivers of biomass change, 256 it is unsurprising that their effects have been difficult to disentangle. Doing so will require a global-level systematic assessment of biomass regulation both within and **among continents** $28,29$.

 Here, we link long-term remotely sensed NDVI data with more contemporary plot data from 84 grasslands on six continents to test drivers of biomass variability in response to global change. These grasslands span a latitudinal gradient from -52 \degree to +78 $^{\circ}$ (four within tropical latitudes), and capture \sim 1.6% of the world's total non-woody vascular flora including ~5% of all Poaceae and ~3% of Cyperaceae (Table S1). Seventy percent of our sites are arid (PET>annual rainfall – Table S1) matching the 265 ratio of arid-to-mesic grasslands globally³⁰, with the highest number of sites in North America (41 sites), followed by Europe (17) Australia (13), South America (6), Africa (4), and Asia (3) (Fig. S1). We classify "grasslands" as low-statured non-forested plant communities. Functionally, grasslands occupy a climatically and edaphically determined tension zone between forest and desert²⁹⁻³¹, regulated by climate seasonality, extreme weather, fire, and human-managed and natural grazing with its total area covering \sim 53

271 million km² globally (excluding Antarctica and Greenland). These regulating processes are associated with variability in grassland production, especially relating to climate³²⁻³⁴. These same processes are being fundamentally re-shaped by global environmental change, resulting in grasslands becoming a bellwether of resilience (or vulnerability) to anthropogenic transformation including shifts in biomass.

 Our remotely sensed data track changes in annual peak biomass from as early as 1986 based on Landsat-derived peak NDVI. Our primary analyses focused on identifying drivers of change in peak NDVI using temporal factors measured during the same interval (1986-2020). These included changes in the timing of three NDVI-based phenophases that can affect peak biomass: vegetation emergence (i.e., start-of-season spectral greening), senescence (i.e., end-of-season spectral browning), and growing season length (duration from greening to browning), as well as inter-annual and inter- seasonal changes in temperature, precipitation, and potential evapotranspiration (PET)³⁵. We also tested NDVI trends in relation to site-level baseline factors calculated from different durations including mean annual precipitation (MAP) and mean annual temperature (MAT) based on hourly modelled temperature data from 1970-2020, and 287 site aridity (the ratio of annual PET: precipitation - 1970-2020). Other baseline factors 288 were anthropogenic N deposition based on modelled data from $2014-2016^{36}$, the number of plant species per site based on the net total of all species detected in the first five years of sampling starting as early as 2007, the percentage of exotic taxa found in each site-level species pool over five years of sampling²⁹, grazing impacts by large mammals determined using offtake comparisons with exclosures at a subset of 46 grasslands, and composite community-level measures of plant traits for the species 294 present at each site³⁷. Using annually sampled aboveground biomass of plants (i.e., combined live, litter, and non-vascular) starting as early as 2007, we examined the

 accuracy of satellite-derived NDVI for predicting grassland biomass, given that there can be estimation biases relating to latitude, elevation, or species richness^{9,16}. Analytically, we tested drivers of remotely sensed peak grassland NDVI by evaluating a series of regression and multivariate models that isolate localized differences based on our various explanatory factors (Table 1).

Results and Discussion

 We observed a four-fold divergence in the slope of change in annual NDVI- estimated biomass over the past several decades (Fig. 1). This amounted to biomass shifts ranging from a maximum gain of 51% to a 34% decline (Figs. 1, S1). Overall, 56% of sites increased significantly, especially in the Arctic, the Pacific Northwest of North America, and western Europe. Other sites from both hemispheres declined, all of which were arid, including grasslands in Australia, Argentina, and parts of central and southwestern North America especially California. 36% of grasslands showed no significant shift in biomass, regardless of phenological change, warming, altered precipitation, N deposition, or plant invasion. Such geographically variable long-term changes in non-woody NDVI-estimated grassland biomass, including both sizeable increases^{14,38-42} and declines even within the same continent (Figs. S1. S2), are 313 consistent with previous observations especially from the Arctic^{9,10,15}. We report this variability to be a global phenomenon affecting many grasslands.

 We found that variability in shifting NDVI-estimated biomass was primarily explained by increases in growing season length, especially in combination with warming (Figs. 2, S2, S3; Table 1). Between the late 1980s and the late 2010s, growing 318 seasons increased by an average of nine days (1986-90: \bar{x} = 157 days [SE = 3]; 2016-319 20: \bar{x} = 166 days [SE = 2]). In total, 87% of sites had significant changes in the timing of the onset of greening, the onset of browning, and/or the length of the growing season

 since 1986 (e.g. Fig S4), indicating that many grasslands globally are undergoing substantive shifts in seasonal cycling. Longer growing seasons have been implicated as a warming response in grasslands and some cropping systems, and a causal factor of increased biomass based on the assumption that plants are active for longer periods²². Conversely, advancing greening, elevated production, or moisture limitation in arid environments can sometimes accelerate leaf physiological processes relating to photosynthesis that, in turn, shorten the growing season by triggering earlier senescence^{22,23}. In total, 39% of sites had both earlier emergence and delayed senescence thereby creating longer growing seasons (Fig. S4) – it was these grasslands with the longest growing seasons that tended in experience that largest increases in NDVI-estimated biomass. However, many other sites responded differently such that there was no consistent global relationship between changes to the timing of emergence and senescence (i.e., starting earlier does not predict the timing of 334 senescence: $F_{1,83} = 0.95$, p = 0.33; Fig. S4). For example, 19% of sites with earlier emergence in spring experienced earlier spectral browning thereby shortening the growing season, which has been observed previously in arid areas²³ and parts of the Arctic^{45,46} including sites analyzed in this study. In total, variability in the connection between shifting phenology and changes in peak biomass reinforce that there can be powerful global trends in grassland responses to environmental change (e.g., longer growing seasons at many sites), but also localized and divergent outcomes sometimes regulated by different factors.

 All sites showed temperature increases since the mid-1980s (i.e., all 84 temporal slopes for temperature were positive - Fig. S5, S6). However, the impacts of warming on growing season length were not universal, instead depending on the distinction between sites with the highest temperature increases but relatively low MATs (e.g., the

 Arctic, Patagonia, and the alpine steppes of the Mongolian and Tibetan Plateaus) versus those with the highest MATs but less overall warming (e.g., arid grasslands of Australia, southwestern North America, Africa, and South America). (Figs. S2-S6). The former were more often in the Northern Hemisphere, in line with observations that global warming to date has been more pronounced north of the equator (i.e., interhemispheric temperature asymmetry⁴⁷) (Figs. 3, S6). These sites with the highest warming also tended to be characterized by both high MAP and large increases in annual rainfall - the wettest sites generally are becoming both wetter and warmer. This is consistent with the ability of warmer air to support more moisture, as has been observed with increasing snowfall in a warming Arctic⁴⁵. Conversely, sites with higher baseline MATs, less warming, and reduced NDVI were all arid grasslands that tended to undergo a shortening of growing season length, usually relating to an earlier onset of spectral browning in summer (Figs. S6, S7). Not all arid sites experienced declining biomass - only 32% of 59 arid sites had negative temporal slopes in NDVI even though 58% of all sites had less precipitation, increases in PET, or both (Figs. S1, S6, S7). Our observed warming trends were more seasonal than annual, especially in the spring and summer months – this contributed to the tight connection between growing season length and temperature (Fig. S2). We examined changes to seasonal and annual temperatures by site from 1986, which is near the beginning of Landsat data collection while also capturing the onset of accelerating contemporary planetary warming that continues to the present day^{34,45}. In testing the magnitude of seasonal and annual changes, we found that seasonal changes were more prevalent with 37% of sites having significantly warmer spring temperatures and 47% having warmer summers (e.g., Fig. S8). In contrast, only a subset of sites had significant increases in annual warming despite all slopes being positive. This decoupling of frequent seasonal

 warming from less common significant annual warming suggests an intensification of within-year inter-seasonal differences as has been reported previously in grasslands, with periods of increasing temperature in some seasons being muted or offset by cooling at other times of the year^{6,48}. For example, we observed cooling spring temperatures in numerous mid-latitude grasslands of central North America and western Europe (Fig. S8) – many of these sites had increasing NDVI. We also saw significant influences of species richness on changes in peak NDVI, although always interacting with phenology or climate (Table 1). Among sites with lengthening growing seasons or warming temperatures, species-rich grasslands generally displayed greater increases in NDVI than species-poor sites (Table 1; Figs. S2, S9). Conversely, for grasslands with shortened growing seasons or less warming (e.g., high MAT sites from the Southern Hemisphere and California), those with below- average richness were predicted to show reduced or less pronounced NDVI increases. These outcomes imply some form of context-dependent functional complementarity, which would be consistent with the intertwined causal factors known to regulate diversity and biomass in grasslands⁴⁹⁻⁵¹. They also reveal an absence of any independent relationship of richness (Fig. 2, S9), and indeed sites with the highest numbers of species (e.g., the African Serengeti) did not necessarily demonstrate increasing NDVI. Such complexities on how richness affects biomass are illustrated when considering the numbers of invasive grassland plants at our sites. Invasion is sometimes linked with large increases in community-level biomass and site-level richness⁵², yet we observed the opposite responses - invaded grasslands were more 393 likely to have declining biomass and fewer species. This likely reflects climatic factors – most invaded sites were in arid regions where biomass trends since the 1980s were

 non-significant or negative. It also likely reflects lower numbers of species – sites 396 dominated by invasive plants had significantly fewer plant taxa ($F_{1,63} = 9.2$, $P = 0.004$).

 Several of our non-temporal hypothesized factors had no detectable effects on changes to grassland NDVI despite often having sizable impacts on biomass at some sites (Table 1). Sites with high levels of N deposition, especially in eastern North America, higher elevation areas of western Europe, and areas of China (Fig. 3), did not show significant changes in NDVI despite the well-described impacts of anthropogenic N increasing grassland biomass¹⁹. Similarly, there was a lack of detectable association between sites with high grazing offtake and changes to NDVI (Table S2). As with N deposition, grazing can significant affect grassland biomass²⁶ and indeed some of our grasslands have substantive differences in biomass between grazed and fenced areas including mesic grasslands of the Northern Hemisphere (sheep meadows in the UK, reindeer barrens in Finland) and arid regions of Australia^{53,54}. However, variation in levels of offtake estimated by our exclosures were unable to predict the likelihood of NDVI change relative to the global-scale impacts of phenology, climate, or species richness (Table S2). Finally, we also failed to detect associations between site-level biomass change and variability in community-wide aggregate measures of plant size (e.g., leaf area index [LAI], height) or foliar nutrients despite a wider spectrum of resource-foraging strategies in plants sometimes being connected to higher biomass³⁷ (Table S3). Of particular note in our study was a lack of association between biomass change and LAI. Remotely estimated LAI is often used to model plant production given 416 the strong connection between canopy density, light capture, and biomass^{55,56}. However, we observed no predictive relationship between community-level LAI and long-term shifts in NDVI despite 90-fold differences in canopy density ranging from

 sparse arid grasslands of Australia and the American southwest to dense high-elevation mesic grasslands of the European Alps (Table S3).

 Combined, the prevalence of grasslands with trends towards higher site-level 422 biomass created a net 13.6% increase among our 84 sites since the 1980s (Fig. 1; $F_{1,83}$ = 91.6, p <0.0001). Longer-term increases in biomass were also detected using our field-measured data (Figs. 4, S10), and aligned with other reports of increasing overall plant biomass with global environmental change³⁸⁻⁴². That being said, this magnitude of increase could be viewed with caution. In total, 61 of our 84 sites occur in the Northern 427 Hemisphere, especially North America and Europe, where increases in precipitation and biomass are known to be especially pronounced^{43,44}. Furthermore, there is evidence of 429 a threshold of ~500 mm per year in precipitation below which sensitivity to climatic 430 variability intensifies⁴⁴. Although most of our sites are arid (PET>MAP), their annual precipitation averages 790 mm (SE = 43) with only 25% falling below 500 mm. We 432 tested the potential impacts of these factors on the subset of sites that are arid or have MAP levels < 500 mm, and still observed net increases in biomass (arid sites: +12.2% [SE 2.6%]; MAP < 500 mm: +15.6% [SE 5.7%]). Nonetheless, we might still anticipate that mean site-level increases in biomass would be lower if more of our sites occurred in areas where declining precipitation is especially pronounced.

 Biomass variability is not unexpected in grasslands, given its regulation by combinations of factors whose relative importance can change by locale including supply rates of limiting nutrients, grazing pressure, and whether warming increases or suppresses plant growth depending on precipitation³¹⁻³⁴. Indeed, localized responses in biomass depending on whether warming sites are getting wetter or drier also occurs in annual crops⁶, which is unsurprising given that large percentages of the world's croplands were once grassland^{11,57}. Additionally, previous work on global-scale shifts in

 NDVI-derived estimates of biomass, including in grasslands, has demonstrated both increases and decreases since the 1980s without a consistently clear mechanistic 446 understanding given the challenges of testing fine-scale processes^{1,2,14,58}. Here, we consolidate the disparate findings of previous work by showing that localized differences emerge because the dominant regulatory processes appear to vary widely. These findings are evident even though our results likely underestimate the full extent of shifting grassland biomass with global change since the 1980s. For example, we do not account for increased biomass caused by the invasion of trees and high-statured shrubs into grassland – an increasingly prevalent phenomenon^{9,59}. We also did not account for 453 shifts in root biomass, with root: shoot ratios at 2:1 or more in grasslands⁶⁰. Finally, there may be an influence of rising atmospheric $CO₂$ on biomass, although global trends in $C_2 - a \sim 20\%$ increase since the 1980s – tend to be expressed similarly by region⁶¹.

 Our analyses integrated remotely sensed and field measured levels of annual peak grassland biomass, demonstrating a strong positive relationship despite a ten-fold difference in species richness among sites and a hundred-fold difference in standing biomass. This indicates that NDVI can capture overall trends in aboveground standing biomass at our sites. Nonetheless, there was widening predictive error as NDVI exceeded 0.6 (Fig. 4). NDVI has been previously shown to accurately predict aboveground plant biomass in uncultivated grasslands⁶². Indeed, we found similar trends of an overall mean biomass increase among our 84 sites for both NDVI and plot- level biomass (Fig. S10). However, NDVI can be prone to estimation bias with increasing spatial scale, across years, at higher latitudes, and with increasing canopy 466 density and structure^{8,55,63} – factors that can characterize some of our data. A fundamental practical question for using NDVI is whether the ability to predict biomass can be improved with widely available ancillary data such as latitude and climate, or

 whether improvement requires detailed field calibration that may be impossible¹⁶. Our field data gave us a unique opportunity to test drivers of unexplained variation including fine-scale biotic factors (see Methods), revealing two primary sources of bias: high latitude and low elevation. Adding these widely available data to our models improved the predictive accuracy of NDVI for ground measured biomass by 21% (Table S4). Estimation bias at high latitude for NDVI is especially common, relating to methodological and biophysical factors including the potential underestimation of non-**budies photosynthetically active vegetation (which we sampled)⁹. Although our fit between** NDVI and aboveground biomass remained strong at higher latitudes, our work supports 478 the need for caution when extrapolating trends in phenological change including the timing and magnitude of peak biomass. The same caution appears to apply to extrapolating connections among remotely sensed estimates of biomass and species richness^{16,64}, as we also detected a smaller but significant estimation bias between NDVI and field biomass as the numbers of species site⁻¹ increased (Table S4). Our work describes a substantial divergence of peak biomass by location within many grasslands globally. These results were obtained from non-cultivated permanent- cover grassland, a habitat type under long-standing and intensifying conversion 486 pressure^{55,65}. Despite grassland losses exceeding 99% in some regions⁶⁶, uncultivated areas still cover ~25% of Earth's terrestrial surface, store ~25% of its carbon, and 488 support thousands of obligate flora and fauna⁶⁷. Many of the global-scale ecological benefits of uncultivated grassland center on the annual production of biomass, including litter inputs that contribute to soil C cycling, providing forage for much of the world's remaining megafauna and half of all domesticated livestock, and regulating fire cycling given that grasslands account for most of Earth's annual burning⁶⁷. Our documentation of shifts in the direction and magnitude of biomass in our grasslands suggests that there

 will be far-ranging implications for the planet moving forward, which will be experienced differently by location.

Methods

Study area and experimental design

 Our study sites span a latitudinal gradient from Patagonia (-52 \degree) to the high Arctic of Norway (+78 $^{\circ}$) and range in elevation from 0 m to 4241 m, with wide differences in potential evapotranspiration (0.79 – 6.49 mm day⁻¹), atmospheric N deposition (66.9 to 2162.1 mg N m⁻² year⁻¹), and long-term (1970-2020) mean annual precipitation (MAP: 192 to 2224 mm) and mean annual temperature (MAT: -7.5 to 27.2°C) (Fig. S11, Table S1). There is wide variation in the total number of species per site ("species richness"), ranging from 13 to 176 species, summed together for all non-treated control plots based on five years of sampling in case there were cryptic taxa not visible in some years. Percent exotic flora ranges from 0 to 100% of all species per site (Table S1) with multiple species occurring at sites within both their ancestral and non-native ranges⁶⁸. There were 421 non-native plant taxa in total, but with twenty of the 84 sites having no exotic species (all sites in Europe, Asia, and Africa). Levels of grazer offtake at the 46 510 sites with exclosures range from none to ~85% of total annual plot-level biomass^{53,54}. We classify "grasslands" as low-statured non-forested plant communities, including treed savanna, prairie, planted permanent-cover pasture, montane meadows, and arctic-alpine tundra. Our sites cover a wide range of "Whittaker biomes" defined by the ratio of MAP to MAT (Fig S1). They also have some degree of geographic clustering, with an average minimum distance globally of 379.3 km (range: 1 to 3,087 km). This means that several sites have identical estimates of N-deposition given the 517 spatial resolution of those data $(2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ})$. Our ratio of arid-to-mesic grasslands (71%:29% - Table S1) matches the global ratio³⁰ but the continental distribution of our

519 sites is not proportional to the global range of grasslands. Almost half of our sites are from North America even though its continental coverage of grassland is only 13% globally. Australia and South America have similar ratios between number of our sites versus their global coverage (14:13%; 10:9% respectively), while Asia and Africa are under-represented (Fig. S1). For our invaded sites, there could be a concern that our data misrepresent invasion impacts on NDVI change because of the gap between the start of the remote sensing data (mid-1980s) and the field sampling (2007). Although we cannot definitively eliminate this possibility (e.g., an uninvaded site becoming heavily invaded after 1986), the odds are low as all sites occur in regions of the world with long legacies of invasion dating back a century or more⁶⁸. Our study began with 127 sites but was ultimately reduced to 84 based on screening for extreme outliers, poor image resolution especially due to cloud cover, and confounding site factors such as tree cover (see below).

 Our analyses combined NDVI calculated from Landsat images starting no earlier than 1986, climate data including PET^{35} , modelled N deposition data³⁶, and field data collected from 84 grassland sites from the Nutrient Network (NutNet), a globally distributed experiment²⁹. All NDVI satellite data were extracted from unmodified areas adjacent to plots associated with NutNet (Fig. S12). All NutNet plot data were collected by local site scientists, starting in 2007 or later depending on when the site joined the network. Field sampling occurred within thirty permanently marked 5 x 5 m plots laid out in a grid (Fig S12). We used data from the year prior to the application of any experimental treatment (i.e., "Year 0" pre-treatment data, when all plots were unmodified) and from subsequent years (Years 1, 2, etc.) in the subset of plots that were untreated (i.e., all data in this study only come from unmodified control plots). Plot data were collected annually at peak biomass and included total aboveground biomass,

 clipped to ground level from two 10 cm x 100 cm strips within a larger 5 m x 5 m plot, with the clipping locations differing each year²⁹. Clipped biomass was sorted to live, non-vascular, and litter, and then dried to a constant mass at 60° C and weighed. Differentiating biomass into live vascular, live non-vascular, and litter is one of several important novelties of our study, given the NDVI estimations of standing plant biomass can sometimes be insensitive to, or biased by, the latter two factors. Indeed, 53% of all Nutnet grasslands globally have been found to support non-vascular plant growth, with standing biomass as high as 635 g m⁻² (Virtanen et al. submitted).

 Our 84 sites captured a wide variation in the relative abundance of major functional groups, including graminoids (e.g., grasses and sedges), forbs, and, to a lesser degree and mostly in the Arctic, low-statured woody plants, lichens, and bryophytes. There was a wide range in the percentage of non-native flora per site. Many sites in Europe, Africa, and Asia had few or no non-natives, while some grasslands in North America, South America, and Australia were >90% exotic taxa⁶⁸. Species composition data were used to calculate two measures of community-level trait variation, functional diversity (FD) and community weighted mean (CWM) 37 . FD, an estimate of trait variability, relates to degrees of trait converge or divergence within plots based on Rao's index of quadratic entropy. CWM (also referred to as Fl^{37}), an estimate of trait averages, is based on the community weighted mean for each trait in each plot. Trait data were compiled from TRY (public version), AusTraits (version1.1.0), BIEN (version 1.2.5), and NutNet (leaf traits), for six continuous traits (height, leaf surface area (LAI), specific leaf area (SLA – the ratio of leaf area to leaf mass), leaf N, leaf P, leaf C), that have relatively high coverage for species recorded in NutNet (93, 95, 87, 91, 86, 75, 81% for each trait, respectively). For species that do not have trait values, we used the mean values from their genus (see Table S3)

 derived from the number of days from emergence to senescence each year (Fig. S13). Elsewhere, NDVI has been used to detect trends in phenology and related biomass responses^{9,16}, including in grasslands where it has been shown to strongly align with live biomass⁶². Our work supports this significant relationship, albeit with increasing variation beyond NDVI values of 0.6 (Fig. 4). We conducted a series of analyses to identify sources of error for NDVI including tests for influences by outliers, the influences of regional-scale factors relating to latitude and elevation, and local-scale factors including non-vascular flora (described below – Table S4). We removed two sites that failed uniformity tests when conducting linear fits between annual peak NDVI and time, based on comparisons with a Theil-Sen median regressor and trend-filtering using a Mann-Kendall Trend Test – both were sparsely vegetated sites of the Icelandic tundra and arid grassland of central North America.

 Location of the circular "NDVI plots" was done visually using Google Earth images. We located a central point in an area adjacent to the NutNet experiment, given that the Nutnet plots are readily detectable from the images (Fig. S12). This adjacent area was selected to contain vegetation identical to the vegetation within the experiment, in consultation with each Nutnet site PI. It was positioned at least 50 m from the closet NutNet plot to avoid the influence of any experimental treatments associated with the NutNet manipulations, while leveraging the site-specific vegetation and soil information derived from the experiment. There is always potential risk of positioning error using Google Earth – a global analysis of high-resolution Google Earth imagery has estimated an overall horizontal geo-registration accuracy of 39.7 m RMSE with an accuracy of 24 m RMSE in some countries⁶⁹ and subsequent studies demonstrate improved horizontal accuracy of 10.5 m RMSE 70 . We explicitly tested RSME error for eight of our sites, contrasting plot-level coordinate data generated using a hand-held

 GPS at each of 30 plots per site versus coordinate data for those same 30 locations generated from Google Earth engine (Fig. S12, Table S5). Our calculated RSME error was always <5 m (Table S5).

 In each circular plot per site, we extracted reflectance data from within a circular buffer within 30 m around this point (Fig. S12). This was done using images from top-of- atmosphere (TOA) reflectance collections in Google Earth Engine. The images were taken between 1982 and 2020, although the specific date ranges and sampling frequency varies at each site. All of our analyses using remote sensed data that start no earlier than 1986 as site data prior to this was found to be too sporadic (Fig. S13). In all cases, the spatial resolution of each image is 30 m. We used all available images from both Tier 1 and Tier 2 quality, which were aggregated and processed using Google Earth Engine. Tier 2 data were essential for obtaining images taken during the snow- covered "trough" portion of the year. The horizontal geo-registration accuracy of Tier 1 632 scenes is ≤ 12 -meter root mean square error (RMSE) whereas the Tier 2 accuracy is > 12 m RMSE^{71} . Landsat Collection 1 was used, although Collection 2 is the only collection currently available for download but both collections have the same published geo-registration accuracies⁷¹. For each image, we calculated NDVI using the corresponding near-infra red and red bands 4 and 3 for Landsat 4, 5, and 6, and bands 5 and 4 for Landsat 8. We also extracted information about image quality (BQA band) containing statistics from the image data and post-processing information⁶⁹. BQA values were used to filter images to exclude any pixels that had a high likelihood of including clouds or cloud shadows. Using manual inspection, we included only pixels associated with clear, cloud-free imagery (Landsat 4, 5, and 7 BQA = 672, 680, 676, 680, 684; Landsat 8 BQA = 2720) or imagery over snow (Landsat 4, 5, and 7 BQA = 1696, 1700,

 1704, 1708; Landsat 8 BQA = 3744). We discarded images where resolution was uncertain (e.g., clouds versus snow - <0.5% of all images).

 We screened our initial pool of sites, reducing the final number of sites to 84 (Table S1). Exclusion of sites typically occurred for sites lacking (i) Nutnet field data for at least three years (ii) extreme outliers for one or data factors, whose origins could not be determined, or (iii) those for which only a minimal amount NDVI phenological data could be extracted. Reasons for the latter include poor satellite coverage by location (especially problematic for Landsat data from the early 1980s), persistent cloud cover (preventing fitting a trend), or for sites that rarely or never crossed an identifiable "greened-up" threshold in multiple years. In cases where established Nutnet plots could not be located on Google Earth (e.g., Fig S12) or any other issues inhibiting image processing (e.g., canopy cover by trees), we reached out to site PIs for guidance.

 Once the time series of NDVI values was obtained for each site, we extracted key phenological and growing season information by post-processing the data in R version 3.6.1 (Fig. S13). First, we smoothed the data to reduce error by fitting cubic splines to the NDVI data at each site, and then determined the average date where NDVI was the lowest across all years ("trough date"). Then we created site-specific "growing season windows" consisting of 545 days (365 + 2*90). This window started 90 days before the trough date in each year, and then 365 days following the trough date plus an extra 90-day buffer at the end. We processed all dates initially as radians, which allowed flexibility in defining growing seasons particularly for sites with Mediterranean climates (e.g., California, western Australia) or located in the southern hemisphere where the period of vegetation activity spans multiple calendar years (e.g., green-up 666 can be November of year x, senescence in April of year $x + 1$.

 $- \overline{\text{MinumNDVI}}\right) + \overline{\text{MinumNDVI}}$

 where the average maximum and minimum NDVI values were calculated across all years at each site. This green threshold allowed for flexibility to include sites with different minimum NDVI values (e.g., sites which become snow-covered and sites which do not) as well as different maximum NDVI values. In using a threshold, we were also able to ensure that a green-up or senescence date was biologically comparable across all years (indicating the same NDVI).

 In all cases of fitting cubic splines, we forced a minimum NDVI value of 0 (if measured NDVI was < 0, we re-assigned the value to 0), as our smoothing and post- processing was dependent on consistency during the growing season troughs when data were typically sparse. During the growing season troughs, band quality information often indicated that pixels were cloud covered when visual analysis of images revealed snow cover (both cloud and snow cover containing water). We excluded pixels indicating cloud cover in this analysis. To overcome sparse data points during these

 periods in Tier 1 datasets we additionally used Tier 2 data. Given that data from both tiers were required and the radiometric calibration was top-of-atmosphere reflectance, alternative variations of NDVI that adjust for noise due to soil brightness and 695 atmospheric light scattering, such as the enhanced vegetation index $(EVI)^{72,73}$, were not employed - indices with numerical constants can be inconsistent due to atmospheric noise⁶⁹. Furthermore, snow cover decreases NDVI values but increases EVI values⁷⁰ and such sensitivity would confound the phenological analysis.

 We approximated the relationship between NDVI and plot level aboveground biomass using sites with at least 3 years of harvested biomass and corresponding annual peak NDVI data from the same site (Figs. 4, S10). A log-transformed model provided the best fit between NDVI and average plot biomass site⁻¹ year⁻¹, which we used to translate NDVI to an average biomass across all sites from 1986 to 2020.

Climate and N-deposition trends

 Monthly long-term temperature (MAT) and precipitation (MAP) averages per site were obtained from the WorldClim database, from 1970-2020. MAT and MAP served to describe baseline levels of temperature and precipitation among sites. To determine annual deviations in temperature and precipitation from baseline MAP and MAT, we calculated a monthly time series for temperature and precipitation during the study period (1986-2020), with data obtained at the site level using the Climatic Research Unit (CRU) time-series (TS) version 4.03 data³⁵. These annual deviations were calculated as slopes of change over time. Estimates of potential evapotranspiration (PET) were also obtained from the CRU time series³⁵, which calculates PET using mean, maximum, and minimum monthly temperatures, vapor pressure, and cloud cover (1970-2020).

 Given predictions of increased interannual seasonal variability in climate, with the potential to affect phenology and peak biomass, we calculated slopes of 36-year trends

717 in temperature and precipitation seasonality at each site. We targeted these calculations for two phenophases: (i) green-up based on a two-month window around the date of typical site-specific green-up date (the typical month of green-up, plus one month before), and (ii) maximum NDVI as a five-month window around the date of typical site- specific maximum NDVI (the typical month of NDVI max, plus two months before and after). These seasonal calculations allowed us to test more detailed climatic drivers of phenological change, which may not be detected by overall annual trends including the possibility of warmer springs, warmer and drier summers, and even seasonal cooling as is sometimes observed (e.g., Fig. S8)⁴⁸.

 Atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition was estimated for each of the sites using the **GEOS-Chem Chemical Transport Model³⁶. The model estimates wet and dry deposition** of inorganic N using models of atmospheric chemistry together with meteorological data and emissions data. Outputs are at a $2^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ resolution averaged across the years 2014, 2015, and 2016. Although the N deposition model uses metrological data, there were weak and non-significant correlations between precipitation and estimates of N 732 deposition (r^2 = 0.009).

Analysis

 Our primary analysis tested drivers of change in maximum NDVI over the period starting from 1986 to 2020, based on site-specific slopes of biomass change over time (Fig. 1). We used a multi-model selection approach to evaluate the relative importance of variables associated with our four interacting hypotheses: (i) changes in climate including temperature, precipitation, and PET, (ii) changes in phenology including the timing of emergence, the timing of senescence, and growing season length, (iii) levels of atmospheric N deposition, and (iv) biotic factors of species richness, grazing intensity, traits, and exotic (non-native) species. We simplified our analytical models by

 removing factors that were tightly correlated, using principal coordinate analysis (e.g., Figs. S2, S3). For example, we found that overall changes in temperature and precipitation (the 36-year temporal trends based on slope) were tightly correlated with seasonal changes in spring and summer temperature and precipitation – we thus used the former in our models. Similarly, sites differed widely in changes to emergence and senescence over time, but we excluded both for our main models as their effects were significantly captured by trends in growing season length – sites that started earlier often had longer growing seasons, as did sites that senesced later (although only 39% of sites had both earlier emergence and later senescence – see Main Text). Accordingly, we built a maximal linear model in R^{74} that assessed the response of site-level biomass slopes (change in NDVI from as early as 1986 to 2020, depending on the site – Fig. 1) to all independent effects and pairwise interactions of a subset of factors: latitude, elevation, N deposition, species richness, growing season length, aridity, percent exotic species, overall annual temperature slope (warming since 1986), and overall annual precipitation slope (changes in precipitation since 1986). We included grazing in a separate model for these factors, for the 46 sites that had exclosures (Table. S2). All of these variables included in the final maximal model had low collinearity, as indicated by assessment of variance inflation factors⁷⁵. These factors also met assumptions of normality (or were log transformed to aid this – e.g., N deposition), and were standardized to a mean of zero and standard deviation of 0.5 using the 'arm' package⁷⁶, as required for model comparison⁷⁷. Further we adjusted our regression models using the inverse of the standard error of the slope from each site, so as to down-weight parameters estimated with larger error (e.g., Seabloom et al.⁷⁸). We evaluated the fit of subsets of this model using the MuMIn package⁷⁴ and conducted a model averaging procedure for all candidate models within two AIC of the best model.

 We present the full averages of parameter estimates from our final model (e.g., Tables 768 **1, S2**)⁷⁵⁻⁷⁷.

 Data and materials availability: Data and coding associated with this study are freely available from the Environmental Data Initiative.

 Acknowledgments: We thank each of the researchers who have contributed data and ideas to the Nutrient Network (http://www.nutnet.org), Grants to A.S.M., E.E., C.B. and O.C. came from the University of Guelph's Canada First Research Excellence Fund project 'Food from Thought'. Thank you to Shanice Rodrigues for technical support on data extraction from Google Earth, and Dr. Anne Bjorkman for manuscript comments. Field work was funded at the site-scale by individual researchers. Coordination and data management in the Nutrient Network have been supported by funding to E.T.B. and E.W.S. from the National Science Foundation Research Coordination Network (NSF- DEB-1042132) and Long-Term Ecological Research (NSF-DEB-1234162 to Cedar Creek LTER) programs, and the Institute on the Environment (DG-0001-13). We also thank the Minnesota Supercomputer Institute for hosting project data and the Institute on the Environment for hosting Network meetings.

.

Funding:

Canada First Research Excellence Fund – University of Guelph ("Food From Thought")

- Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (MacDougall)
- National Science Foundation Research Coordination Network (NSF-DEB-1042132)
- Long Term Ecological Research (NSF-DEB-1234162 to Cedar Creek LTER)

Author contributions:

816

817 **Figure Captions**

 Fig. 1. Temporal change in mean peak NDVI*. Fitted trend lines for 84 grasslands, with the red dashed line indicating no temporal change. The open circles are the peak NDVI measures for each grassland over time (n=2,856). In total, 56% of sites have significant positive increases while 5% have significant declines, resulting in a four-fold difference in mean peak NDVI change. Given the wide spread of points and the risk of outlier bias, our fitted trends derive from a linear model using a Theil-Sen median regressor. Plotted trendlines were obtained from this model and filtered based on p-values from a Mann-Kendall Trend Test (see Methods).*

826

827 **Fig. 2. Relationship between changes in major explanatory factors and maximum**

828 **NDVI**. (A) The figure emphasizes the significant impact of changes in growing season –

829 shortening (left) or lengthening (right) - on shifts in grassland biomass declines (bottom)

830 or increases (top) estimated with remote-sensed NDVI ($F_{1,83}$ = 31.8; p < 0.0001)). There

 Fig. 3. Global maps showing variation among sites in (A) shifting average annual temperature, (B) average annual precipitation, (C) growing season length for 1986-2020, and (D) levels of atmospheric N deposition modelled for 2014-2016. *Data for temperature and precipitation came from CRU35 . The largest temperature increases are mostly in the northern hemisphere – southern hemisphere sites have higher mean annual temperatures, but lower levels of warming since the 1980s. Growing season length were derived by site and over time based on differences between remotely sensed spectral greening and spectral browning each year. Atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition was estimated using the GEOS-Chem Chemical Transport Model³⁶, which estimates wet and dry deposition of inorganic N using models of atmospheric chemistry together with meteorological data and emissions data – these N data have a 2° × 2.5° resolution.*

