

Building and Restructuring Social Media Platforms for Non-Binary Users: Pilot Survey of Non-Binary Social Media Users

MOLLY O'REILLY-KIME, Lancaster University, UK

The past ten years has seen a positive trend in people openly identifying outside of the gender binary, as knowledge of Non-Binary identities becomes more widespread and accepted. In an increasingly online world, there is pressure on social media platforms to accommodate these diverse gender identities. In online spaces driven by self-expression, Non-Binary users feel frustrated and forgotten by the lack of diversity in the options they are presented with. There is also an absence of standardisation across platforms: it seems every social media site has its own idea of how to tackle the problem. In this work in progress, I present the findings of a pilot study carried out in March 2022, wherein I asked Non-Binary social media users about their experiences across various social media platforms. The results of this study create the foundation of a larger user study that will explore these questions in more depth, and inform proposed guidelines and standardisation for social media platforms in accommodating diverse gender options.

CCS Concepts: • **Human-centered computing** → **Human computer interaction (HCI)**.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: non-binary, social media, pronouns, gender

ACM Reference Format:

Molly O'Reilly-Kime. 2023. Building and Restructuring Social Media Platforms for Non-Binary Users: Pilot Survey of Non-Binary Social Media Users. In *European Conference in Cognitive Ergonomics (ECCE '23), September 19–22, 2023, Swansea, United Kingdom*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3605655.3617071>

1 METHODOLOGY

The survey was carried out over a 3-week period in March 2022 and was primarily shared via word of mouth and via the social media platforms Discord and Facebook. The survey garnered a total of 49 total respondents, with 36 completing the survey in full. The survey consisted of 5 questions: **1) Which social media sites do you regularly use?; 2) On sites where you have the option, how often do you disclose details about your gender identity in your bio (eg pronouns)?; 3) (In reference to Q2- optional) Why/Why not?; 4) Does the decision to disclose information about your gender identity vary depending on which site you are using (eg, you disclose your pronouns on Twitter, but not Facebook)?; 5) If a social media site asks you to describe your gender identity upon sign-up (even if you have the option not to make this information public), do the options provided affect your decision to continue to use the site?** The number of questions was intentionally small, consisting primarily of questions that could be answered quantitatively, with some space for detailed answers. The survey was short and simple in order to encourage participation and trial questions that could be refined in a further, more comprehensive study. The survey also included some optional demographic questions which weren't intended to be part of the survey, but yielded some interesting responses. They were: Age, gender, occupation, subject/area of work.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

© 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

Manuscript submitted to ACM

2 OVERVIEW & ANALYSIS

I surveyed 49 Non-Binary social media users (aged between 18-46) to gather information about their experiences using social media. Participants were recruited via snowball sampling, university groups, and safe spaces on social media platforms such as Discord and Facebook. Of the 49 participants, 36 completed the full questionnaire, but I discuss partial answers where they are of importance or particular interest. Ethics approval was granted by the Lancaster University Undergraduate Ethics Review Board, and all participants gave informed consent. Participants were located across the UK, with some international respondents, and covered a variety of professional fields including STEM, art, and academia. Additionally, although not intended as a part of the analysis, the Demographic questions became their own source of insight.

2.1 Demographic questions

- Age:** The respondents' ages ranged from 18 to 46, with the majority of respondents aged between 19-22. This was expected as the survey was shared primarily within student groups who were contacted via group chats and social media, although the reach of the survey meant that the experiences of older Non-Binary people have also come to light. This is significant, as many critics claim that Non-Binary identities are a fad amongst young people; a "phase" that we will eventually grow out of [2]. Responses to the survey indicate otherwise.
- Gender:** Respondents identified themselves in myriad ways. Many of the responses to this question were unique, although there were some commonalities: for example, several respondents using "Non-Binary" as an adjective in the way they described their gender; and some expressing demi genders (Demiboy/Demigirl) - an identity that can be explained as alignment with a gender, but not complete identification with it. Twenty-one respondents used the term "Non-Binary" when describing their gender: either as a noun (identifying as Non-Binary) or as an adjective (e.g. "Non-Binary Woman"). Five respondents listed more than one description of their gender identity (e.g. "bigender/transfeminine"). One respondent simply gave their pronouns, and another individual described their gender identity as Dude (as well as Demiboy/Male). With Non-Binary identities being diverse by nature, people will often experiment with or use different labels, including unconventional ones. There were a few other responses to the survey that fell in line with this style of label (one being Genderfae), but "Dude" stood out as an example of a Non-Binary person explicitly rejecting the rigidity of a gender binary. Finally, one respondent described their gender as Butch. This respondent did not go on to complete the survey, however, this response is still significant as Butch Lesbians have a rich history and influence on gender non-conformity and identity [1].
- Occupation/Area of Work:** Nearly half of respondents (20) were students, which was expected, as the spaces that the survey was shared in would have been university spaces. However, given the reach of the survey, respondents reported a wide range of fields and occupations: including environmental science, tattoo artistry, education and teaching, and computer science. These demographics did not generate any data of interest but are included here for consistency.

2.2 Survey Questions & Analysis

Which Social Media sites do you regularly use?

Respondents were asked to check off social media sites they used from a list consisting of: Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, Tumblr, Discord, Snapchat, Reddit, and "Other", which provided a text box for participants to write an

105 answer. Sites listed under “Other” were TikTok (4), LinkedIn (1), YouTube (2), and School Social Sites (unspecified) (1).
106 The data shows a slight skew towards Discord, which may be due to the way that the survey was shared: however, as
107 respondents were allowed to select multiple options, and the survey was shared across at least three different sites
108 (Discord, Facebook, and Twitter), I do not believe this introduced any significant bias in subsequent data. The top three
109 sites used by survey respondents were Discord, Instagram, and Twitter. It is notable that Facebook, despite having the
110 largest overall userbase of all the sites listed (2.9bn users ¹), doesn’t make the top three: in responses to later questions,
111 multiple respondents talk about potential negative reactions to their gender identity from family, which may be the
112 reason that Facebook is underrepresented in these numbers. It should be noted that the wording of this question does
113 not ask users which sites they have accounts on – only which sites they use regularly. This is to gauge activity, as the
114 existence of a users’ account does not necessarily mean they engage with the site.
115

116
117 ***On sites where you have the option, how often do you disclose details about your gender identity?***

118 Responses show that the vast majority (94.4%) of respondents will choose to disclose details about their gender
119 identity at least some of the time, with over a third willing to do so all the time. This indicates a need for users to be able
120 to express their gender accurately on social media; additionally, they should be able to decide how much information
121 they are able to display and disclose. When asked *Why/Why not?* sentiments across all choices were comprehensive and
122 often impassioned: frequent topics included safety, the need for privacy, the right to be openly Non-Binary, and personal
123 relationships. Many respondents cited family as a reason not to disclose information: the desire to avoid uncomfortable
124 conversations that could potentially affect their life outside of the internet, or to have to justify one’s own existence.
125 For example: “(it depends) ... whether or not I trust the people, whether or not disclosing might have a negative impact
126 on life outside of social media” ; “I am concerned about being outed to people who may know me or being harassed
127 on the site”; “I put my pronouns on accounts that my family doesn’t know about or follow me on as I’m not out to
128 them”. In contrast, many respondents expressed a desire to be open about their identity, and to normalise Non-Binary
129 identity: “Because I spent too long hiding who I was and have no desire to waste any more energy on it. And because
130 I am lucky enough to have a good support network, and increased visibility can improve acceptance and help those
131 who do not have the same access to safe spaces feel seen”; “because I’m proud to be non-binary and so people know
132 what pronouns to use for me” ; “It’s good for sites to normalize pronouns in bios”. Practicality also played a role in the
133 decision to display or not display Non-Binary pronouns, with respondents stating: “Depends on the environment I’m
134 looking to create. I tend to avoid disclosing my gender when I’m on a platform where forming a personal relationship
135 isn’t the objective”; “I limit information about myself on some social media where I prefer a more anonymous identity
136 such as discord or reddit”; “I’m more open when anonymous - I want the option to stay closeted in eg: a new job”;
137 “I am out to people both off and online, so that is not a concern and i want to be sure everyone knows what to use”;
138 “None of their business”. The following question *Does the decision to disclose information about your gender identity vary
139 depending on which site you are using (eg, you disclose your pronouns on Twitter, but not Facebook)?* generated responses
140 inline with the answers to the first two questions so are not discussed here.
141

142
143
144 ***If a social media site asks you to describe your gender identity upon sign-up (even if you have the option not
145 to make this information public), do the options provided affect your decision to continue to use the site?***

146 I anticipated this question to skew more heavily towards “No”, as sentiments expressed in the interviews I conducted
147 at around the same time– as well as anecdotally – suggested that Non-Binary users tend to take a more passive approach
148 to selecting specific gender options when required. I am steadfast in being able to express my gender accurately, and
149

150
151
152
153
154
155 ¹Statista. Facebook users by country 2021 www.statista.com/statistics/268136/top-15-countries-based-on-number-of-facebook-users/

157 will usually turn away from anything that gives me no option to do so – this decision is influenced by the fact that I
158 am openly Non-Binary and have no desire to be closeted, hence my expectation that this attitude would not be the
159 norm. To the contrary, respondents were split almost exactly (47.2% Yes; 52.8% No). As there is nothing mandatory
160 about social media use: unlike the cases for web design sign-up investigated in Katta Spiel's paper "*Why are they all*
161 *obsessed with Gender?*"—(Non) binary Navigations through Technological Infrastructures [3], which concerned formal
162 data collection in government and academic contexts, among others (Spiel, 2021) – Non-Binary users have no obligation
163 to use a site that will not grant them the freedom to express their gender identity, which may be an explanation for the
164 division of the results.
165
166

167 It should be noted that users were not asked to elaborate on their answers, so this could have generated further
168 details of interest and will be investigated in more detail in subsequent research.
169

170 2.3 Conclusion

171
172 The data presents a desire for choice and control within the Non-Binary user group, with decisions around gender
173 presentation online influenced by factors that vary across social media platforms and their demographics. There is no
174 straightforward solution to social media design that encompasses Non-Binary gender expression, given the differing
175 goals of each platform. I endeavour to develop guidelines for integrating Non-Binary gender expression into platform
176 design, which will be based on further research for which this pilot study provides a solid foundation.
177

178 Going forward, research should consider the safety of the Non-Binary user group, in addition to the practical
179 implementation of UX features for diverse gender expression.
180

181 REFERENCES

- 182
183 [1] Katherine R Hiestand and Heidi M Levitt. 2005. Butch identity development: The formation of an authentic gender. *Feminism & Psychology* 15, 1
184 (2005), 61–85.
185 [2] Kelly C Johnson, Allen J LeBlanc, Julianna Deardorff, and Walter O Bockting. 2020. Invalidation experiences among non-binary adolescents. *The*
186 *Journal of Sex Research* 57, 2 (2020), 222–233.
187 [3] Katta Spiel. 2021. " Why are they all obsessed with Gender?"—(Non) binary Navigations through Technological Infrastructures. In *Designing*
188 *Interactive Systems Conference 2021*. 478–494.
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208