Prologue: Language Challenges in the XXI Century Special Issue of the *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*

Megan E. Birney^{1*}, Janin Roessel^{2*}, Karolina Hansen³, & Tamara Rakić⁴
¹Applied Psychology Group, University Centre Shrewsbury
²Department of Social Psychology, University of Mannheim
³Faculty of Psychology, University of Warsaw
⁴Department of Psychology, University of Lancaster

Author Note

Megan E. Birney https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6786-6322 Janin Roessel https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4371-4682 Karolina Hansen https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1556-4058 Tamara Rakić https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8591-923X

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with regard to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. We would like to thank Howard Giles for his support and for the opportunity to bring together this Special Issue, the European Association of Social Psychology for making the meeting on Language Challenges in the XXI Century possible, to the authors for their thoughtful contributions, and to the numerous reviewers for devoting their time and expertise to the articles submitted to this Special Issue. We are particularly grateful to the effort put in by authors to meet deadlines during the COVID-19 pandemic.

* The authors contributed equally to the article and are listed in alphabetical order.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Karolina Hansen, University of Warsaw, Stawki 5/7, 00-183 Warszawa, Poland. Email: karolina.hansen@psych.uw.edu.pl Birney, Roessel, et al.

Abstract

As immigration and mobility increases, so do interactions between people from different linguistic backgrounds. Yet while linguistic diversity offers many benefits, it also comes with a number of challenges. In seven empirical articles and one commentary, this Special Issue addresses some of the most significant language challenges facing researchers in the 21st century: the power language has to form and perpetuate stereotypes, the contribution language makes to intersectional identities, and the role of language in shaping intergroup relations. By presenting work that aims to shed light on some of these issues, the goal of this Special Issue is to a) highlight language as integral to social processes and b) inspire researchers to address the challenges we face. In order to keep pace with the world's constantly evolving linguistic landscape, it is essential that we make progress towards harnessing language's power in ways that benefit 21st century globalized societies.

Keywords: communication, language, intergroup relations, identity, social cognition, stereotypes, linguistic diversity, intersectionality

1

Prologue: Language Challenges in the XXI Century

In his groundbreaking book, *The Nature of Prejudice*, Allport (1954) theorized that humans are predisposed towards categorizing, and then prejudging others based on social characteristics. He argued that one of the most effective ways in which these categorizations are both formed and transmitted is through language (see also Maass et al., 2014). Words serve to divide people into groups, therefore contributing in the most basic way to how humans perceive and treat each other. Or, in Allport's words, they "cut slices" through the human race (Allport, 1954, p. 178).

The power language has to create and shape social categorizations and prejudgements are multiple. In addition to the labeling of socially significant categories, the ways in which we describe others can affect perceptions of both the communicator and the target (Higgins et al., 1977), communicate our motivations to others (Douglas & Sutton, 2006), and can affect our memory of the target being described (Etcherhoff et al., 2005). Even more subtle linguistic variations in word choice can amplify and attenuate stereotypic impressions. For instance, describing someone as "a homosexual" (i.e., the noun) elicits more stereotype consistent attributions than describing the person as "homosexual" (i.e., the adjective; Carnaghi et al., 2008). How words are pronounced can further influence social categorizations and prejudgements. Indeed, a speaker's accent may be a stronger outgroup cue than other markers of category membership, including visual cues to race and ethnicity (Hansen et al., 2017; Kinzler et al., 2009; Rakić et al., 2011).

Perhaps the most problematic consequence of prejudgements made from biased linguistic influences is prejudice and discrimination (Beukeboom & Burgers, 2017; Formanowicz & Suitner, 2020; Gluszek & Hansen, 2013), affecting the experience of individuals as well as relationships between groups. Since Allport's work, immigration and mobility has increased ten-fold, with cultural diversity becoming the norm in many places (Apfelbaum et al., 2014; Rosenmann et al., 2016). Although the language diversity this brings offers many advantages and opportunities for enrichment, challenges arising from language-based categorizations and subsequent prejudices that can result have become increasingly pervasive. At the same time, globalization has served to exploit the power that messages have to shape people's social reality. For instance, media framing of reports in ways that perpetuate negative outgroup stereotypes can result in the vilification of the group by the general public (e.g., Bickes et al., 2014). Hence, as we enter the 21st year of the 21st century, the idea that words 'cut slices' is arguably more relevant today than ever before.

Although the collection of papers presented in this Special Issue can only highlight the importance of language in shaping the world we live in, our hope is that they will inspire future research into the unique challenges that linguistic diversity presents. We should be clear here that the aim of this issue is not to paint the world's changing linguistic landscape¹ in a negative light. In fact, there is much to gain from contact with those who speak differently (e.g., Hansen et al., 2014). Instead, we hope to shine the spotlight on language as being at the heart of social processes (e.g., Fiedler, 2007; Semin, 2000) and as a vital factor for understanding our social world. In this way, the work presented here should serve as a starting point for researchers for coming together and tackling these modern day languagebased challenges.

Origin and Overview of the Special Issue

This Special Issue was borne out of a small conference facilitated by the European Association for Social Psychology to bring together researchers with interdisciplinary perspectives to address the unique challenges that linguistic diversity presents. The papers

¹ Whereas we use this term quite literally here, the concept of *linguistic landscape* (Landry & Bourhis, 1997) referring to the salience and visibility of languages in the public or language-related policies has sparked much research of its own (e.g., Gorter, 2006) and is incorporated in language attitude models (e.g., Gluszek & Dovidio, 2010).

presented here are a sample from this meeting and span a range of topics, from languagebased categorizations to the importance of language in shaping interpersonal and intergroup relations. Over this collection, data has been collected from five different countries (Croatia, Germany, Israel, Poland, and the United Kingdom), and include perspectives from minority and majority groups. In what follows, we present an overview of the articles in this issue, dividing them into three themes that represent some of the most pressing language challenges we face.

The Power of Language to Form and Perpetuate Stereotypes

Language is critical for shaping people's social realities. With the global rise of political tensions, polarized ideologies (see Litan et al., 2019), and hateful rhetoric by politicians and on social media, the power language has to normalize hate against certain groups has been given considerable and well-deserved attention (e.g., Soral et al., 2017). Most recently, anectodal evidence has linked the labelling of Coronavirus/COVID-19 as 'Chinese flu' to increased racism against people of Asian decent and the term 'foreign flu' to increased racism against people of Asian decent and the term 'foreign flu' to increased racism against people of again and groups is often so subtle that it can be nearly impossible to recognize (Barreto & Ellemers, 2015; Maass et al., 2014). For instance, research has demonstrated that something as simple as the order of target comparisons (e.g., Law students compared to Economic students vs. Economic students compared to Law students) can have implications for which groups are perceived to be normative and therefore hold power and status (Bruckmüller & Abele, 2010).

The current issue includes two articles that aim to gain insight into the power language has to shape ideas about groups. In experimental work, Burgers and Beukeboom (2020) show that when describing behaviors, subtle labeling (generic vs. specific) and choice of words (affirmative statements vs. negations about the same content) have independent Birney, Roessel, et al.

effects on stereotyping and essentialism. These effects were observed using unknown and fictitious groups, demonstrating that language can contribute to the formation of stereotypes through multiple routes. Once stereotypes are formed, language can then serve to maintain and perpetuate them. In work by Bruckmüller and Braun (2020), the tendency to frame messages about gender inequality on women (rather than on men) results in a focus on changing women (rather than changing men) and as a result, can act as a barrier for addressing wider systematic change. Accordingly, the authors suggest ways to present these social inequalities more constructively.

When drawing attention to the ways that language can shape perceptions, there is a danger of evoking a sense of helplessness. Afterall, the subtleties in which language can perpetuate problematic notions are difficult, if not impossible, to curb. Hence, our aim is to raise awareness about the implications that framing can have on perpetuating social inequalities. Through a deeper understanding of this link, it may be possible to take advantage of language's power in a way that benefits society, for instance by promoting diversity and positive communication (Muñiz-Velázquez & Pulido, 2019; Pitts, 2019; Socha & Pitts, 2012).

Language as Integral to Intersectionality and Combined Identities

As one of the most essential dimensions of identity (Taylor et al., 1973) its linkage to multiple social groups means that language is by nature intersectional (see Levon, 2015). In addition to revealing clues to various social dimensions (e.g., gender, age, or social status; Giles & Marlow, 2011), language interacts with visual cues associated with these dimensions to influence impressions (Freeman & Ambady, 2011; see also Formanowicz & Suitner, 2020). As globalization continues to increase, so too does the presence of intersectionality, a development which poses significant challenges for researchers (Block & Corona, 2016; McCormick-Huhn et al., 2019). In this issue, we present two papers that consider how

perceptions of targets are influenced by the intersect between language and other identity cues.

In research conducted in Germany, Rakić and colleagues (2020) investigated the effects of the intersectionality between ethnicity (cued by the presence or absence of an Arabic accent) and religiosity (cued by the presence or the absence of a headscarf) on categorization as a basic foundation of information processing. Whereas a matching task showed that memory of individual targets in a group setting was better when linguistic and visual cues were consistent, category subtyping emerged for targets with only one cue indicating a foreign identity (either an Arabic accent *or* a headscarf). In these less prototypical instances, participants confused targets and appeared almost blind to their individuality. Hence, in a world where combined identity cues are becoming more prevalent, people may tend to overlook the distinctiveness of others. Accordingly, the authors highlight the role language plays when intersectional identities are processed and the challenges this presents for changing stereotypes.

How intersectional identities translate into evaluations by British standard speakers was addressed by Birney and colleagues (2020). They found that, although weak accents have been shown to be preferred over strong accents (Nesdale & Rooney, 1996), reactions to accent strength depended on the perceived status of the speaker's nationality. For instance, when a speaker's nationality was low in status, British participants made more positive attributions in the interpersonal domain (e.g., judging the speaker as warm), but this also carried over to more negative attributions in the intergroup domain (e.g., reporting more feelings of threat from immigrants in general) when exposed to an accent that was strong rather than weak. Based on this, the authors suggest that researchers consider accents as intersectional and perceptions based on accents as both interpersonal and intergroup (on this latter point, see also Dragojevic & Giles, 2014).

6

In sum, these articles call for researchers to shift their focus away from investigating accents in isolation towards a perspective that accounts for the increased intermix of people's identities across real and perceived borders. While the idea that other factors influence language perception is not new (see Giles & Marlow, 2011; Giles & Rakić, 2014), research in this area is still relatively underdeveloped. Many questions still remain: Which identities intersect when people are categorized? How do we increase the visibility of individuality? How do dynamics between communicators' multiple backgrounds influence communication and social perceptions of the self, others, and groups? These are just some of the challenges facing researchers in the 21st century.

The Role of Language in Shaping Intergroup Relations

With so many people of different language backgrounds living and/or working together in many parts of the world, it is tempting to characterize their interactions as evidence of a so-called linguistic melting pot. Although it is true that speakers have developed ways to communicate with one another (for instance by developing English as the lingua-franca; see Murata, 2016), this metaphor may give the impression that intercultural communication is seamless. However, given the importance of language for both personal and group identities (e.g., Giles & Johnson, 1987; Ting-Toomey & Dorjee, 2014), it seems inevitable that differences in language varieties, language identities, and the changing linguistic landsape will play a role in shaping the relationship between groups. Across three papers in this issue, the role language plays in navigating, dividing, and healing intergroup relations is considered.

In work by Klar and colleagues (2020) in Israel, Palestinian minority members' evaluations of ingroup members for engaging in code-mixing (Arabic mixed with words in Hebrew or with words in English) was investigated. Palestinian participants evaluated codemixers more negatively compared to speakers using pure Arabic. Apparently, mixing the ingroup language with elements from majority languages evoked feelings of threat and signalled the possibility that ingroup members might be deviating from group norms. Against this backdrop, the authors consider reactions to code-mixing as a social barometer for intergroup relations.

How threat shapes the relationship between groups is the focus of Jelić and colleagues' (2020) research which focused on multi-ethnic communities in Croatia. Ethnonationalism, which glorifies and views the ingroup as superior, emerged as a catalyst for perceptions of outgroup threat. Intriguingly though, ingroup identification (when controlled for ethnonationalism) was linked to less threat and discrimination against outgroups. The authors conclude with recommendations to counter ethnonationalism while also fostering a healthy attachment to one's ethnic group. Finally, Skrodzka and colleagues (2020) explore the role of using the ingroup language to deal with historical trauma among the Lemko minority in Poland. These findings imply that using the minority ingroup language can help groups cope with trauma-related thoughts and symptoms, for instance by allowing group members to express their experiences and by offering a path for cultural continuity.

These contributions attest to the intricate and multifaceted role that language plays as groups struggle to improve and cement their position in changing societies. Indeed, it is a major challenge to reduce intergroup threat in contexts where linguistic diversity is present and there is a real (or perceived) loss of language identity at stake. A focus, therefore, is for researchers to learn to harness language diversity as a means for improving intergroup relationships, rather than as a means for creating divisions.

Conclusion

As Allport (1954) noted, "word-magic [and sound-magic, as we might add!] plays an appreciable part in human thinking" (p. 187). The present collection of articles illustrates that nearly 70 years later, this continues to be true in increasingly complex ways. Even if words

Birney, Roessel, et al.

do not appear to cut slices on the surface, they are powerful in their ability to shape our perceptions of the social world. We also should not ignore the importance language plays in how identities are formed and protected, including those that are intersectional. Finally, we need to acknowledge language's role in influencing interpersonal and intergroup relations – particularly as we navigate changing linguistic landscapes in globalized societies.

Although the language challenges we face might be novel, language challenges themselves are not new; language, particularly that which is spoken, is always evolving (Crystal, 2010). Therefore, it is important to stress that the goal of this issue is not to evoke fear about these challenges but to encourage them to be met with curiosity and an open mind. Hence, we are not suggesting that we seek to eliminate challenges but rather, that we recognize them as such and that we channel our energy towards overcoming them in positive ways. Fittingly, we end this Special Issue with an epilogue by Maggie Pitts (2020), which paves the way for a constructive approach to these 21st century language challenges. This also includes an outlook on a particularly pressing language challenge that has emerged in this century, the communication surrounding the Covid-19 pandemic. Whereas space and time have precluded the integration of this specific language challenge here, we anticipate the forthcoming Special Issue devoted to this topic by Regina Jucks and Friederike Hendriks to appear in the *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*.

9

References

Allport, G. W. (1954). The nature of prejudice. Addison-Wesley.

- Apfelbaum, E. P., Phillips, K. W., & Richeson, J. A. (2014). Rethinking the baseline in diversity research. Should we be explaining the effects of homogeneity? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 9(3), 235-244. http://doi.org/10.1177/1745691614527466
- Barreto, M., & Ellemers, N. (2015). Detecting and experiencing prejudice: New answers to old questions. In J. M. Olson & M. P. Zanna (Eds.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 52, pp. 139-219). Academic Press.
- Beukeboom, C., & Burgers, C. (2017). Linguistic bias. In H. Giles & J. Harwood (Eds.), Oxford encyclopedia of intergroup communication. Oxford University Press.
- Bickes, H., Otten, T., & Weymann, L. C. (2014). The financial crisis in the German and English press: Metaphorical structures in the media coverage on Greece, Spain and Italy. *Discourse & Society*, 25(4), 424-445.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0957926514536956

- Birney, M. E., Rabinovich, A., & Morton, T. A. (2020) Where are you from? An investigation into the intersectionality of accent strength and nationality status on perceptions of non-native speakers in Britain. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 39(4), https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X20932628
- Block, D., & Corona, V. (2016). Intersectionality in language and identity research. In
 Preece, S. (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of language and identity* (pp. 533-548).
 Routledge.
- Bruckmüller, S., & Abele, A. E. (2010). Comparison focus in intergroup comparisons: Who we compare to whom influences who we see as powerful and agentic. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *36*(10), 1424-1435.
 https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167210383581

- Bruckmüller, S., & Braun, M. (2020). One group's advantage or another's disadvantage?
 How comparative framing shapes explanations of, and reactions to, workplace gender inequality. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology, 39*(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X20932631
- Burgers, C., & Beukeboom C. J. (2020). How language contributes to stereotype formation:
 Combined effects of label types and negation use in behavior descriptions. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, *39*(4), https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X20933320
- Carnaghi, A., Maass, A., Gresta, S., Bianchi, M., Cadinu, M., & Arcuri, L. (2008). Nomina sunt omina: On the inductive potential of nouns and adjectives in person perception. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 94(5), 839–859. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.94.5.839
- Crystal, D. (2010). *The Cambridge encyclopedia of language* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Douglas, K. M., & Sutton, R. M. (2006). When what you say about others says something about you: Language abstraction and inferences about describers' attitudes and goals. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 42(4), 500-508. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2005.06.001
- Dragojevic, M. & Giles, H. (2014). Language and interpersonal communication: Their intergroup dynamics. In C. R. Berger (Ed.), *Handbook of interpersonal communication* (pp. 29–51). De Gruyter Mouton.
- Echterhoff, G., Higgins E. T., Kopietz R., & Groll S. (2005). Audience-effects on memory: The role of shared reality. *Journal of Personality and Social Personality*, 89(3), 257-276. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.89.3.257
- Fiedler, K. (2007). Social communication. Psychology Press.

- Formanowicz, M., & Suitner, C. (2020). Sounding strange(r): Origins, consequences, and boundary conditions of sociophonetic discrimination. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 39(1), 4-21. https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X19884354
- Freeman, J. B., & Ambady, N. (2011). A dynamic interactive theory of person construal. *Psychological Review*, 118(2), 247–279. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022327
- Giles, H., & Johnson, P. (1987). Ethnolinguistic identity theory: A social psychological approach to language maintenance. *International Journal of the Sociology of Language, 68,* 69-100. https://doi.org/10.1515/ijsl.1987.68.69
- Giles, H., & Marlow, M. L. (2011). Theorizing language attitudes existing frameworks, an integrative model, and new directions. *Annals of the International Communication Association*, 35(1), 161-197. https://doi.org/10.1080/23808985.2011.11679116
- Giles, H., & Rakić, T. (2014). Language attitudes: The social determinants and consequences of language variation. In T. Holtgraves (Ed.), Oxford handbook of language and social psychology (pp. 11-26). Oxford University Press.
- Gluszek, A., & Dovidio, J. F. (2010). The way they speak: A social psychological perspective on the stigma of nonnative accents in communication. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 14(2), 214–237. https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868309359288
- Gluszek, A., & Hansen, K. (2013). Language attitudes in the Americas. In H. Giles & B.
 Watson (Eds.), *The social meanings of language, dialect, and accent: An international perspective* (pp. 26-44). Peter Lang.
- Gorter, D. (2006). *Linguistic landscape A new approach to multilingualism*. Multilingual Matters Ltd.
- Hansen, K., Rakić, T., & Steffens, M. C. (2014). When actions speak louder than words:
 Preventing discrimination of nonstandard speakers. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 33(1), 68–77. https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X13499761

- Hansen, K., Rakić, T., & Steffens, M. C. (2017). Competent and warm? How mismatching appearance and accent influence first impressions. *Experimental Psychology*, 64(1), 27-36. https://doi.org/10.1027/1618-3169/a000348
- Higgins, E. T, Rholes, W. S., & Jones, C. R. (1977). Category accessibility and impression formation. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *13*(2), 141-154. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1031(77)80007-3
- Jelić, M., Uzelac, E., & Biruški, D. C. (2020) Intergroup threat as a mediator of ethnic identification and intergroup orientations. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 39(4), https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X20932632
- Kinzler, K. D., Shutts, K., DeJesus, J., & Spelke, E. S. (2009). Accent trumps race in guiding children's social preferences. *Social Cognition*, 27(4), 623-634. https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2009.27.4.623
- Klar, Y., Mar'i, A. A., Halabi, S., Bashir, A., & Bashir, B. (2020). Reactions of Arab-Palestinians in Israel towards an ingroup member mixing Hebrew or English with Arabic. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 39(4), https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X20933657
- Levon, E. (2015). Integrating intersectionality in language, gender, and sexuality research. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 9(7), 295-308. https://doi.org/10.1111/lnc3.12147
- Landry, R., & Bourhis, R. Y. (1997). Linguistic landscape and ethnolinguistic vitality: An empirical study. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 16(1), 23-49. https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X970161002
- Litan, R., et al. 2019. Symposium: Why is populism on the rise and what do the populists want? *International Political Economy*, *33*(1), 11–35. http://www.international-economy.com/TIE_W19_PopulismSymp.pdf

- Maass, A., Arcuri, L., & Suitner, C. (2014). Shaping intergroup relations through language. In
 T. M. Holtgraves (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of language and social psychology* (pp. 157–176). Oxford University Press.
- McCormick-Huhn, K., Warner, L. R., Settles, I. H., & Shields, S. A. (2019). What if psychology took intersectionality seriously? Changing how psychologists think about participants. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 43(4), 445-456. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361684319866430
- Muñiz-Velázquez, J. A. & Pulido, C. M. (2019). *The Routledge handbook of positive communication*. Routledge.
- Murata, K. (2016). ELF research–Its impact on language education in Japan and East Asia. In
 M.-L. Pitzl & O.-T. Ruth (Eds.), *English as a lingua franca: Perspectives and prospects. Contributions in honour of Barbara Seidlhofer* (pp. 77-86). De Gruyter.
- Nesdale, D., & Rooney, R. (1996). Evaluations and stereotyping of accented speakers by preadolescent children. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 15(2), 133-154. https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X960152002
- Pitts, M. J. (2019). The language and social psychology of savoring: Advancing the communication savoring model. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 38(2), 237-259. https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X18821404
- Pitts, M. J. (2020) Epilogue: The language opportunities for the XXI century. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, *39*(4), https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X20933997
- Rakić, T., Steffens, M. C., & Sazegar, A. (2020). Do people remember what is prototypical?
 The role of accent-religion intersectionality for individual and category memory. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 39(4), https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021522

- Rakić, T., Steffens, M. C., & Mummendey, A. (2011). Blinded by the accent! The minor role of looks in ethnic categorization. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *100*(1), 16-29. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021522
- Rosenmann, A., Reese, G., & Cameron, J. E. (2016). Social identities in a globalized world: challenges and opportunities for collective action. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 11(2), 202-221. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691615621272
- Semin, G. (2000). Agenda 2000: Communication: Language as an implementational device for cognition. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 30(5), 595-612. https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-0992(200009/10)30:5<595::AID-EJSP23>3.0.CO;2-A
- Skrodzka, M., Hansen, K., Olka, J., & Bilewicz, M (2020). The twofold role of a minority language in historical trauma: The case of Lemko minority in Poland. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 39(4), https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X20932629
- Socha, T., & Pitts, M. J. (Eds.) (2012). *The positive side of interpersonal communication*. Peter Lang.
- Soral, W., Bilewicz, M., & Winiewski, M. (2017). Exposure to hate speech increases prejudice through desensitization. *Aggressive Behavior*, 44(2), 136-146. https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.21737
- Taylor, D. M., Bassili, J. N., & Aboud, F. E. (1973). Dimensions of ethnic identity: An example from Quebec. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 89(2), 185-192. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.1973.9922590
- The New York Times Editorial Board (2020, March 24). Call it 'Coronavirus' Disease and prejudice have long gone hand in hand. We can do better in 2020. *The New York Times*. https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/23/opinion/china-coronavirus-racism.html

Ting-Toomey, S., & Dorjee, T. (2014). Language, identity, and culture: Multiple identitybased perspectives. In T. M. Holtgraves (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of language and social psychology* (pp. 27–45). Oxford University Press.

Author Biographies

Megan E. Birney is a senior lecturer in the Applied Psychology Group at University Centre Shrewsbury (University of Chester), UK. Her research interests include social stigma, identity processes, interpersonal and intergroup relations, communication, and obedience. Janin Roessel is a postdoctoral researcher in the Department of Social Psychology at the University of Mannheim, Germany. Her research focuses on the effects of language varieties (particularly accents and linguistic categories) on social cognition and social perceptions. Further interests lie in the areas of self-compassion, impostorism, gender, and methodology. Karolina Hansen is an assistant professor at the Psychology Faculty of the University of Warsaw. Her research interests are in the fields of social psychology, sociolinguistics, and cross-cultural psychology, and include topics such as language and accent attitudes, genderfair language, linguistic purism, and cross-cultural differences in social cognition. Tamara Rakić is a lecturer in Social Psychology at Lancaster University, UK. Her research

interests include the influence of accents on person perception, categorization, and accommodation; and different language and cross-cultural aspects of social psychology.