TOWARDS CRITICAL INTERSECTIONS OF AGEING, HOUSING AND WELL-BEING

MELISSA FERNÁNDEZ ARRIGOITIA, KAREN WEST AND SHEILA PEACE

MELISSA FERNÁNDEZ ARRIGOITIA IS A LECTURER IN URBAN FUTURES AT LANCASTER UNIVERSITY'S SOCIOLOGY DEPARMENT. HER RESEARCH FOCUSES ON THE PRODUCTION AND DESTRUCTION OF ALTERNATIVE AND SOCIAL HOMES IN TIMES OF MULTIPLE CRISES, WITH PARTICULAR INTEREST ON ACTIVIST AND COHOUSING INITIATIVES. M.FernandezA@lancaster.ac.uk

KAREN WEST IS A PROFESSOR OF SOCIAL POLICY AND SOCIAL GERONTOLOGY AT THE UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL AND A SENIOR RESEARCH FELLOW OF THE NATIONAL INSTITUE FOR HEALTH RESEACH SCHOOL FOR SOCIAL CARE RESEARCH. HER RESEARCH LOOKS AT ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTS OF LATER LIFE LIVING, CARE AND SUPPORT AND CRITICAL UNDERSTANDINGS OF POLICY IN RELATION TO AGEING AND THE OLDER PERSON. karen.west@bristol.ac.uk

SHEILA PEACE IS EMERITUS PROFESSOR OF SOCIAL GERONTOLOGY AT THE OPEN UNIVERSITY. SHE HAS A LONG HISTORY OF RESEARCH IN ENVIRONMENTAL GERONTOLOGY CONCERNING HOUSING, CARE AND COMMUNITY INTEGRATION. sheila.peace@open.ac.uk

TRANSFORMATIONS OF AGEING AND MEANINGS OF THE HOMES

This Special Issue on *Home Futures* critically interjects into the 'where and when' of dwelling during the process of ageing. It is grounded on an understanding of home as a complex topic: a social, physical and emotional environment replete with meaning that can be supportive of personal identity, senses of security and future during the ageing process, while also being filled with unsettling and alienating potential during times of change or uncertainty (Blunt and Varley 2004; Milligan 2009; Peace 2015; Rowles and Chowdhury 2005). Demographic change, which has included a significant decline in mortality among older age groups, disrupts conventional ideas of ageing in place in one's own home. Some older people may be living for several decades after retirement, and, depending on their health and the availability of support, may have to recreate 'home' within supportive housing and institutional environments of care (Cutchin 2013; Golant 2015; Oswald and Wahl 2013).

Demographic change has also greatly disrupted conventional understandings of 'the older person'. The idea of the bifurcation of later life into a third age and a

fourth age is now a common place of the social gerontological literature (Laslett 1989). Those in the third age are more commonly couples - long term or separated, divorced, and remarried - as well as singles, many of whom may deny the ageing process (ONS 2013; 2017; Rees Jones and Hyde 2005). While the oldest old are currently living well into their eighties, nineties and, increasingly, hundreds, many may do so in ill health, leading to a loss of personal agency and increasing vulnerability at the end of life (Lloyd 2015; Victor 2010; see also Visser, this issue). Indeed, this sense of loss of agency and increasing vulnerability among the older old is not merely a problem for those of the fourth age and the environments of care in which the frail elderly often live (See Higgs in this Issue; Gilleard and Higgs 2010; Grenier et al.: 2017; Higgs and Gilleard 2015). It is itself a 'dreaded social imaginary' (Gilleard and Higgs, 2010; Higgs and Gilleard, 2015; 2016) which casts its shadow over the cultural field of the third age 'baby boomers' (Gilleard and Higgs, 2000; 2013), even as they remain active, possibly still working, and fully enmeshed in consumer society. A key element of this social imaginary of the fourth age is the fear of dementia (impacting one in six people over the age of eighty years [Alzheimer's Society 2019]), which also has objective implications for the arrangement and configuration of homes for those who face cognitive impairment (Grenier et al.: 2017).

Around the world these socio-demographic and health related transformations are accompanied by unprecedented social, economic and political pressures that, in turn, impact homes and the care that takes place within them (See Gopinath et al. and Kallitsis et al. in this Issue). In this context, residential spaces are increasingly public objects of policy attention and interventions. For many years now in the UK (Means 1997) and throughout much of the industrialised world (Plath 2009) encouraging people to remain in their own homes has been the centerpiece of social care policy that promotes independence. This is grounded on a vision of home as mitigator of the isolation and loneliness associated with significant physical and mental health risks in older age. That home is the best place in which to age is also overlaid with pervasive cultural imaginaries. On the one hand, the beatific narrative of family as 'container for emotion and care' (Biggs 2018); and, on the other, the

horrific imaginary of the care home as dreaded last resort and key feature of the fourth age as social construct (Higgs and Gilleard 2015). When asked where they wish to live, older people will tend to say 'within their own homes, for as long as possible' (Peace et al.: 2011) recognising issues of attachment that supports self-identity, as well as reflecting fears of institutionalized living (Bartlam 2013; O'Bryant 1983).

Even so, within the context of ever declining levels of state support for older people in their own homes (Humphries 2016; AgeUK 2017), traditionally understood ways of doing home (and family and care) are evolving. Home adaptation is begininng to be discussed (Adams and Hodges 2018). And, in direct contradiction to the 'staying at home' tendencies highlighted here, downsizing policies intending to free-up normatively understood 'family' homes and to release individual capital for older people's health and care needs are encouraged. This is leading to a range of experiences related to the intersections of futures, homes and ageing that impact on wellbeing - with wellbeing understood here as a subjective sense of health, care and meaning in later life (Barac and Park 2009; Best and Porteus 2012; Gregory et al.: 2017; Liddle et al.: 2013; Yates 2016).

For some, what has been called 'option recognition' – a complex identification of the balance between personal needs and housing environment as one ages (Peace et al.: 2011) – may lead to a change of dwelling, including various forms of housing with care (Evans 2009; Best and Porteus 2017; Park and Porteus 2018). Studies from the USA have found that 'residential normalcy', or a new meaning of home, may be re-discovered in these novel living spaces (Golant 2015). Nevertheless, despite these purported options, the on-going shortage of new build housing alternatives and increasing housing unaffordability in the UK does not facilitate choice for those with varied financial resources, even though some local authorities are addressing the issue (Boughton 2018; Hammond et al.: 2018; Local Government Association 2017).

AGEING OTHERWISE IN ALTERNATIVE HOME FUTURES

Demographic and societal changes, as well as crises contexts, are shifting the ways in which home-making, familial relationships and age are practiced and felt. These are core changes to our individual and collective futures that demand transformations in how we think about home innovations in later life. Here, however, lies the ever present danger that such purported transformations end up reifying the rigid social norms and traditional practices that ageing bodies and relationships in older age actually challenge.

Collaborative housing in older age, one notable example of which — the Older Women's Co-housing Network - we have been privileged to study, points the way to forms of living and ageing otherwise that have the potential to challenge traditional maxims of 'staying at home' (Fernández Arrigoitia 2017; Fernández Arrigoitia and Scanlon 2018; 2015; Fernández Arrigoitia and West forthcoming). Other such citizen-led innovations in social and material design are emerging and challenging mainstream ways of living alone in one's home (Jarvis 2014). They provide alternatives to traditional housing development practices and the normative family assumptions upon which these are based (Brenton 2013; Labit 2015; Glass and Vander Plaats 2013). While still niche, senior co-housing is increasingly grabbing the attention and imagination of citizens who recognise a need for change. Such modes of mutually supportive living may enhance individual and collective well-being, generating new meanings to 'ageing in place'. They can point the way to smoother transitions between the third and fourth age in later life that may involve partnership between older residents, children, family, friends and formal carers to support degrees of end of life care in cohousing although this has seldom been tested (see Peace forthcoming). They may also generate new understandings and ways of being in the third age, which eschew societal expectations of ageless ageing (Fernández Arrigoitia and West, forthcoming).

Socially-driven innovations to complex contexts of insecurity, isolation and loneliness in older age may come to provide an antidote to the common repertoire of mainstream solutions that have, so far, done little to bring the kind of answers necessary to appropriately sustain home life, and all its caring infrastrucutres, in

later life. The changing nature of home is a life course issue with financial and social resource implications that are public and well as private (see Jupp et al.: 2019) and needs to be recognised by all so that older people are able to value the positives of homeliness without undue concern over institutionalisation. However, given the social and cultural capital necessary to form these intentional communities within existing temporal, socio-spatial and financial constraints across much of the Western world, they may also generate new forms of exclusion, even in spite of avowed intentions to be inclusive (Sanguinetti 2015; Ruiu 2014). Recent emerging research on community and collaborative forms of housing is considering how best-practices that have enabled affordability, accessibility and social and environmental sustainability can be brought to bear in UK policy circles and practices (Heath et al.: 2018; LaFond and Tsvetkova 2017; Mullins and Moore 2018). The mixed-tenure OWCH example is also promising in this regard (Fernández Arrigoitia and West, forthcoming). Further research on these emerging alternatives will no doubt address these questions, but such hope for the future should not blind us to the ways in which the more here-and-now, everyday meanings of home are made, and will need to be re-made, in the context of demographic change and the social imaginaries it ushers in.

ADDRESSING HOME FUTURES

This Special Issue seeks to address the changing meaning of home in the context of demographic change through the framework of 'home futures' – a phrase that is not just about where we will live but with what social imaginaries, arrangements and supports. *Home* encompasses material, social and emotional configurations, while *Futures* indicates the range of immediate and long-term temporalities to which the home, and its inhabitants, orient themselves. The distinct future-oriented developments that homes are being subjected to span a range of spatial scales and cultural practices (see Pilkey et al.: 2017; Scicluna 2017 for LGBTQ experiences). The critical theoretical and empirical questions these raise are beginning to be explored.

Theoretically, within the field of environmental gerontology, person-

environment congruence has been examined through the relationship between belonging and agency (Oswald and Wahl 2005); while multi-disciplinary research concerning the development of dementia-friendly settings present a context for theoretical examination (Orpwood et al.: 2018). For those staying in their own home, living alone or with a partner, additional support is likely to come from adult children or through home care. But the relationship between all parties to informal and formal home care is still under-researched (see Twigg 2000; Humphries et al.: 2016). Once again, the route to home care is very dependent on financial resources. Home carers may come into the home at various points of the day and can also offer live-in care and night care. Issues of time and space, here, are coterminous.

The ability to receive or provide care in older age is also increasingly tied to the alarming growth in housing insecurity, itself a result of inequality, austerity, deregulation and privatization (UNHRC 2019). Some critical sociological and geographical studies of dwelling are looking beyond the more typical political economy framework of housing to focus instead on its everyday use value and lived dimensions (Lancione 2019). This approach includes looking at homes through the spatial and temporal lenses of 'making' and 'unmaking' (Baxter and Brickell 2014), and stretches to practices of older people in transnational migration contexts (Walsh and Näre 2016; Sampaio, King and Walsh 2018). In the UK, the undoing of homes in older age have been especially linked to crushing austerity policies which are known to disproportionately affect vulnerable groups like pensioners (Alston 2018; Human Rights Watch 2019; Jupp et al.: 2019; Lloyd 2018; Lloyd et al.: 2017). This precarious reality, where responsibilities of social care in older age are being devolved from the State to predominantly female citizens, has generated what some have called a 'crisis of humanity' (Skeggs 2017; Jupp et al.: 2019) - with neglect designed into the system of health and care of older people. The evident and growing inattention to the infrastructures of care necessary for supporting life at older age calls for a renewed focus on non-paternalist forms of social and housing care that speak directly to older people's needs—whatever their home environment may be.

Spanning a range of disiplinary backgrounds and empirical contexts, the articles that follow (versions of which were first presented in two organised sessions in the 2017 RGS-IBG Conference in London) underscore the impacts of the privatisation of care and the reduction of public financial support on homes and their dwellers. In their approaches to how socio-material home environments intersect with the variegated experience of ageing, they share a concern for the way in which care by self, others or institutions takes place; i.e., about how care happens 'at home' or 'with the home' in older age. Gopinath, Peace and Holland, for example, consider how caring for a partner with dementia at their long-term home impacts the meaning of intimate domestic space and relations. How partnerships are experienced in relationship to ageing and the home has barely been explored, and their review of the literature reveals that alternative social, material and spatial arrangements have the potential to satisfy individual and collective household interests over time.

With a similar focus on the experience of family caregivers (not just partners) of people with dementia, Kallitsis, Soilemezi and Elliott offer a socio-spatial analysis of the uses of domestic space. While the role of architectural design in creating dementia-friendly spaces has long been recognized, design principles have been developed largely with institutional care environments in mind rather than the more unpredictable environments of home, or the experience of the carer (for two recent ethnographic exceptions, see: Pink et al.: 2017 and Park et al.: 2016). Kallitsis and coauthors identify compact layout, spatial flexibility and the wider neighborhood networks as three key themes that should be critically incorporated into the future design of what are currently highly constraining home environments that disable the possibility of quality care for self and other.

Beyond 'conventional' housing fabric, issues of design can also draw our attention to how care is currently being reconfigured through new and emerging arrangements of home and performances of age—a topic that Paul Higg's afterword on 'Homes in the context of the third and fourth ages' also attends to. These include, but are not limited to, evolving home care technologies (for example telecare or

telehealth) and new practices in home design, such as age- or dementia-friendly design, and the proliferation of 'smart home' technology. These have all been encouraged in policy for some time, but, for a number of reasons have not achieved the scale of diffusion hoped for, in part because of a lack of shared understanding among the various stakeholders. Their potential to fix 'the crisis' in older adult care nevertheless stalks the policy scene (see Schillmeier and Domenech 2010; Thygesen and Moser 2010), and it is important to explore what the persistent projection of this potential in policy discourse does to understandings of needs and care in relation to housing and the home, health and wellbeing in later life (Fernández Arrigoitia, West and Scanlon 2018). In the context of growing isolation in older age and austerity described before, as well as to the transformation of what old age means, what possibilities of a future home life can these dis-embodied systems enable and block? Is the future here, inevitably, an individualist one where surveillance becomes a different aspect of institutionalisation? Smart homes, for instance, are bound to utopian ideals of automatic health responses, but do little to address the lack of human interlocutors within those technocratic systems, which often act as inexact surrogate deliverers of care. In such cases, the role that place and home play in sustaining (or otherwise) meaningful relationships is poorly understood (Milligan and Mort 2011).

Moreover, the role home plays to temporal understandings of the self from the perspective of older people is also lacking. Visser's article intervenes in this latter sense by dwelling on the minutiae of one older woman's daily home-making practices and her attachment to gardening, to record how home happens as older age gets longer and prospects of death – while imminent – are not as close as once imagined (see also Milligan and Bingley 2015). Running through this entire edition is an underlying ethical question about what forms of human and non-human care should take place as the meaning of home *and* ageing evolves over time.

The collection of articles discloses the home as a diverse process and experience of meaning making over time, deeply entangled with health and well-being, that can disrupt traditional understandings of age and 'place making' in older

age. They demonstrate the need for alternative or expanded versions of meanings of home where, if home continues to be rigid in the way it is imagined, but also physically shaped and reconstituted over time, then it does little by way of expanding our social imaginary and practices. If it is expanded as a concept to embrace embodied changes, as we emphatically argue it should, it may also continue to support self-identity and more humane relations of care throughout the lifecourse.

References

Adams, S. and M. Hodges. 2018. "Adapting for ageing: Good practice and innovation in home and adaptation." *Care and Repair England*. London: Centre for Ageing Better. Available online: https://www.ageing-better.org.uk/sites/default/files/2018-10/Adapting-for-ageing-report.pdf

Alston, P. 2018. "Statement on Visit to the United Kingdom by United Nations Special Rapporteur on extreme poverty and human rights." (November 16). Available online at:

https://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Issues/Poverty/EOM GB 16Nov2018.pdf

Amin, A. 2014. "Lively infrastructure." Theory, Culture & Society 31(7/8): 137–161.

Barac, M. and J. Park. 2009. *Housing our ageing population: Panel for innovation* (HAPPI). London: Homes and Communities Agency; Communities and Local Government; Department of Health: 60. Available online at:

https://www.housinglin.org.uk/ assets/Resources/Housing/Support materials/Othe
r reports and guidance/Happi Final Report.pdf

Bartlam, B., M. Bernard, J. Liddle, T. Scharf and J, Sim . 2013. "Creating homelike places in a purpose-built retirement village in the United Kingdom." In Rowles, G.D. and M. Bernard (eds.), *Environmental Gerontology: making meaningful places in old age*, [pp. 253-280]. New York: Springer Publishing Co.

Baxter, R., and K. Brickell. 2014. "For home unmaking." *Home Cultures* 11(2): 133–143.

Best,R. and J. Porteous. 2012. *Housing our ageing population: Plan for implementation. HAPPI 2*. All Party Parliamentary Group on Housing and Care for Older People. Available online at:

https://www.housinglin.org.uk/ assets/Resources/Housing/Support materials/Othe
r reports and guidance/Housing our Ageing Population Plan for Implementatio
n.pdf

Best, R. and J. Porteus. 2017. *Housing our Ageing Population: Positive Ideas. HAPPI 3: Making Retirement Living a Positive Choice*. All Party Parliamentary Group on

Housing and Care for Older People. Available online at:

http://www.housingcare.org/downloads/kbase/3463.pdf

Biggs, S. 2018. *Negotiating Ageing. Cultural Adpatation to the Prospect of a Long Life.* London and New York: Routledge.

Blunt, A and A. Varley. 2004. "Geographies of Home." Cultural Geographies 11: 3-6.

Boughton, J. 2018. *Municipal Dreams: The Rise and Fall of Council Housing*. London: Verso.

Brenton, M. 2013. *Senior cohousing communities - an alternative approach for the UK?* (JRF Programme Paper: A Better Life). London: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.

Cutchin, M.P. 2013. "The complex process of becoming at-home in assisted living." In Rowles, G.D. and M. Bernard (eds.), *Environmental Gerontology: making meaningful places in old age*, [pp. 105-124]. New York: Springer Publishing Co.

Evans, S. 2009. Community and Ageing: Maintaining quality of life in housing with

care settings. Bristol: The Policy Press.

Fernández Arrigoitia, M. 2017 'We won't be living in the shadow of men': ageing otherwise in senior women's co-housing. European Network of Housing Researchers (ENHR) Conference, Tirana, 6 September.

Fernández Arrigoitia, M. and K. Scanlon, K. 2018. "Of Flux or Finality? On the process and dynamics of a cohousing group-in-formation." In Benson, M. and I. Hamiduddin, I. (eds.), *Self-Build Homes: social discourse, experiences and directions*. London: UCL Press.

Fernández Arrigoitia, M. and K. Scanlon. 2015. "Co-designing senior co-housing: the collaborative process of Featherstone Lodge." *Urban Design* 136: 31-32.

Fernández Arrigoitia, M. and K. West. n.d. "Interdependence, commitment, learning and love. The case of the UK's first older women's co-housing community." *Ageing and Society.* Forthcoming.

Fernández Arrigoitia, M., K. West and K. Scanlon. 2018. "Well-being and age in co-housing life: Thinking with and beyond design." Viewpoint 89, Housing Learning and Improvement Network.

Gilleard, C. and P. Higgs. 2000. *Cultures of Ageing: Self, Citizen and Body.* London: Prentice Hall.

Gilleard, C. and P. Higgs. 2010. "Aging without agency: Theorizing the fourth age." *Aging & Mental Health:* 121-128.

Gilleard, C. and P. Higgs. 2013. *Ageing, Corporeality and Embodiment*. London: Anthem Press.

Glass, A.P. and R. Vander Plaats. 2013. "A conceptual model for aging better together intentionally." *Journal of Aging Studies* 27: 428-442.

Golant, S. 2015. *Aging in the Right Place*. Baltimore, Maryland: Health Professions Press, Inc.

Grenier, A., L. Lloyd and C. Phiilpson. 2017. "Precarity in Later Life: rethinking dementia as a 'frailed' old age." *Sociology of Health & Illness* 39(2): 318-330.

Gregory, J., A. Lymer, S. Espenlaub, A. Khurshed and A. Mohamed. 2017. *Homes and wellbeing: Breaking down the stereotypes*. VIVID, in association with Universities of Birmingham and Manchester. Available online at:

https://www.housinglin.org.uk/ assets/Resources/Housing/OtherOrganisation/VIVI D-homes-and-wellbeing-report.pdf

Hammond, M., S. White and S. Walsh. 2018. *Rightsizing: Reframing the housing offer for older people*. In collaboration with Greater Manchester City Authority, Greater Manchester Ageing Hub, London: Centre for Ageing Better. Available online at: https://www.ageing-better.org.uk/sites/default/files/2019-02/rightsizing-manchester-school-architects.pdf

Heath, S., K. Davies, G. Edwards and R. Scicluna. 2018. *Shared Housing, Shared Lives*. Routledge.

Higgs, P. and C. Gilleard. 2015. *Rethinking Old Age. Theorising the Fourth Age.* London: Palgrave.

Higgs, P. and C. Gilleard. 2016. *Personhood, Identity and Care in Advanced Old Age.*Bristol: Policy Press.

Human Rights Watch. 2019. *Unmet Needs: Improper Social Care Assessments for Older People in England*. Human Rights Watch and Samuel Centre for Social

Connectedness. Available online at:

https://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/uk0119_web3.pdf

Humphries, R. R. Thorby, H. Holder and P. Hall. 2016. *Social Care for Older People*, London: The Kings Fund.

Jarvis, H. 2014. "Transforming the Sexist City: Non-Sexist Communities of Practice." Analize: Journal of Gender and Feminist Studies 3(17): 7-27.

Jupp, E., S. Bowlby, J. Franklin and S. Marie Hall. 2019. *The new politics of home: housing, gender and care in times of crisis*. Bristol: Policy Press Shorts Research.

Labit, A. 2015 "Self-managed co-housing in the context of an ageing population in Europe." *Urban Research & Practice* 8(1): 32–45.

LaFond, M. and L. Tsvetkova. 2017. *Cohousing Inclusive: Self-organised, Community-led Housing for All.* Jovis.

Lancione, M. 2019. "Radical housing: on the politics of dwelling as difference." *International Journal of Housing Policy*. DOI: 10.1080/19491247.2019.1611121

Lloyd,L. 2015. "The Fourth Age." In Twigg, J. and W. Martin (eds.), *Routledge Handbook of Cultural Gerontology*, [pp 261-268]. London: Routledge.

Lloyd, L. 2018. "Ageing, vulnerability and care: a view from, social gerontology." In Clough, B. and J. Herring (eds.), *Ageing, Gender and Family Law*, [pp 49-60]. Oxon: Routledge.

Lloyd, L. A. Grenier and C. Phillipson. 2017. "Precarity in late life: Rethinking dementia as a 'frailed' old age'." *Sociology of Health and Illness* 39(2): 318-330.

Local Government Association. 2017. *Housing our ageing population: Learning from councils meeting the housing need of our ageing population*. London: Local

Government Association. Available online:

https://www.local.gov.uk/sites/default/files/documents/5.17%20-%20Housing%20our%20ageing%20population 07 0.pdf

Means, R. 1997. "Home, independence and community care: Time for a new vision?" *Policy and Politics* 25 (4): 409-419.

Milligan, C. 2009. *There's no place like home: Place and care in an ageing society*. Abingdon,Oxon: Ashgate Publishing.

Milligan, C. and M. Mort. 2011. "Telecare and older people: who cares where?" *Social Science & Medicine* 72 (3): 347-354.

Milligan, C. and A. Bingley. 2015. "Gardens and gardening in later life." In Twigg, J. and Martin, W. (eds.), *Routledge Handbook of Cultural Gerontology*, [pp: 321-328]. Routledge.

Mullins, D. and T. Moore. 2018. "Self-organised and civil society participation in housing provision." *International Journal of Housing Policy* 18(1): 1-14.

O'Bryant, S. 1983. "The subjective value of 'home' to older homewoners." *Journal of Housing for the Elderly*, 1 (1): 29-43.

Office for National Statistics (ONS). 2013. What does the 2011 census tell us about older people? [Online] Available at

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriage s/ageing/articles/whatdoesthe2011censustellusaboutolderpeople/2013-09-06 (Accessed June 10, 2018).

Office of National Statistics (2017) Office for National Statistics (ONS). 2017. "Marriage and divorce on the rise at 65 and over". [Online] Available at https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriage

<u>s/marriagecohabitationandcivilpartnerships/articles/marriageanddivorceontheriseat</u> <u>65andover/2017-07-18</u> (Accessed June 2019).

Orpwood,R., J. Chadd, D. Howcroft, A. Sixsmith, J. Torrington, G. Gibson and G. Chalfont. 2017.) "User-led Design of Technology to Improve Quality of Life for People with Dementia." In Langdon,P., J. Clarkson and P. Robinson (eds.), *Designing Inclusive Futures*, [pp 185-195]. New York: Springer Link.

Oswald,F. and H-W. Wahl. 2005. "Dimensions of The Meaning of Home in Later Life" In Rowles, G.D. and H. Chaudhury (eds.), *Home and Identity in late life*, [pp 21-45]. New York: Springer Publishing Company.

Oswald, F. and Wahl H-W. (2013) Creating and sustaining homelike Places in residential environments. In Rowles, G.D. and Bernard, M. (eds) *Environmental Gerontology:* making meaningful places in old age [pp 53-78]. New York: Springer Publishing Co.

Park, A., F. Ziegler and S. Wigglesworth. 2016. *Designing with* Downsizers. University of Sheffield and DWELL. Available online at:

https://www.housinglin.org.uk/ assets/DWELL DesigningWithDownsizers.pdf

Park, J. and J. Porteus. 2018. *Age-Friendly Housing: Future Design for older people*. London: RIBA Publishing.

Peace, S. n.d. *Environmen & Ageint: Space, Place and Materiality*. Bristol: Policy Press. Forthcoming.

Pilkey, B., R.L., Scicluna, B. Campkin and B. Penner (eds.). 2017. *Sexuality and gender at home: experience, politics, transgression*. London: Bloomsbury Academic.

Pink, S., K. Leder Mackley, R. Morosanu, V. Mitchell and T. Bhamra. 2017. *Making Homes: Anthropology and Design*. London: Bloomsbury Academic.

Peace, S. 2015. "Meaning of Home and Age." In Twigg, J. and W. Martin (eds.), Routledge Handbook of Cultural Gerontology, [pp 447-455]. London: Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.

Peace, S., C. Holland and L. Kellaher. 2011. "Option Recognition in Later Life: Variations in ageing in place." *Ageing & Society* 31(5): 734-757.

Pink, S., K. Leder Mackley, R. Morosanu, V. Mitchell and T. Bhamra. 2017. *Making Homes: Anthropology and Design*. London: Bloomsbury Academic.

Plath, D. 2009. "International policy perspectives on independence in old age." Journal of Aging and Social Policy 21 (2): 209-223.

Rees Jones, I. and M. Hyde. 2008. *Ageing in a Consumer Society: From Passive to active consumption in Britain.* Bristol: The Policy Press.

Ruiu, M.L. 2014. "Differences between cohousing and gated communities: A literature review." *Sociological* Inquiry 84(2): 316-335.

Sampaio, D., R. King and K. Walsh. 2018. "Geographies of the ageing–migration nexus: An introduction." *Area* 50: 440–443.

Sanguinetti, A. 2015. "Diversifying cohousing: the retrofit model." *Journal of Architectural and Planning Research* 32(1): 68-90.

Scicluna, R.M. 2017. "The living room and sexuality: Lesbian homes as political places." In Pilkey, B., R.L., Scicluna, B. Campkin and B. Penner (eds.). 2017. *Sexuality and gender at home: experience, politics, transgression* [pp 135-148]. London: Bloomsbury Academic.

Sixsmith, J., A. Sixsmith, A.M. Fange, D. Naumann, C. Kucsera, S. Tomsone, M. Haak, S. Dahlin-Ivanoff, and R. Woolrych. 2014. "Healthy ageing and home: the

perspectives of very old people in five European countries." *Social Science and Medicine*. 106: 1-9.

Skeggs, B. 2017. "A Crisis in Humanity: What Everyone with Parents Is Likely to Face in the Future." *The Sociological Review.* Available online at:

https://www.thesociologicalreview.com/a-crisis-in-humanity-what-everyone-with-parents-is-likely-to-face-in-the-future/

Twigg, J. 2000. *Bathing – the Body and Community Care*. London: Routledge.

United Nations Human Rights Commission. 2019. "Access to justice for the right to housing. Report of the Special Rapporteur on adequate housing as a component of the right to an adequate standard of living, and on the right to nondiscrimination in this context." *UNHRC* (25 February–22 March 2019), A/HRC/40/61.

Available online at: https://documents-dds-

ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G19/007/29/PDF/G1900729.pdf?OpenElement

Victor, C. 2010. Ageing, Health and Care. Bristol: The Policy Press.

Walsh, K., and L. Nare (eds.), 2016. *Transnational migration and home in older age*. NYC: Routledge.

Yates, K. 2016. "A fresh outlook on wellbeing: delivering person-centred care across the West Midlands." *Housing LIN*, Case Study 128. Available online at: https://www.housinglin.org.uk/ assets/Resources/Housing/Practice examples/Housing LIN case studies/HLIN CaseStudy 128 Nehemiah.pdf