1	Derivation of aquatic life criteria for four phthalate esters and their
2	ecological risk assessment in Liao River
3	Xin Zheng <sup>1</sup> , Zhenguang Yan <sup>*1</sup> , Peiyuan Liu <sup>2</sup> , Hong Li <sup>3</sup> , Junli Zhou <sup>1</sup> , Yizhe Wang <sup>1</sup> ,
4	Juntao Fan <sup>1</sup> , Zhengtao Liu <sup>1</sup>
5	
6	1. State Key Laboratory of Environmental Criteria and Risk Assessment, Chinese
7	Research Academy of Environmental Sciences, Beijing 100012, P. R. China
8	2. School of Life Sciences, Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300072, China
9	3. Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, LA1 4YQ, UK
10	*Corresponding author: Zhenguang Yan, E-mail: zgyan@craes.org.cn,
11	Tel.: +86-10-84915174
12	Fax: +86-10-84915173
13	
14	Abstract:
15	As a critical family of endocrine disruptors, phthalate esters (PAEs) attracted
16	considerable attentions due to increasingly detected worldwide. Aquatic life criteria
17	(ALC) for PAEs are crucial for their accurate ecological risk assessment (ERA) and
18	have seldom been derived before. Given this concern, the purpose of the present study
19	is to optimize the ALCs of four priority PAEs to estimate their ecological risks in
20	Liao River. Reproductive endpoint was found to be more sensitive than other
21	endpoints. Thus, reproduction related toxicity data were screened to derive ALCs
22	applying species sensitivity distribution (SSD) method. ALCs of DEHP, DBP, BBP

23	and DEP were calculated to be 0.04, 0.62, 4.71 and 41.9 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> , which indicated
24	decreased toxicity in sequence. Then, the derived ALCs of the four PAEs were
25	applied to estimate their ecological risks in Liao River. A total of 27 sampling sites
26	were selected to detect and analyze the exposure concentrations of PAEs. ERA using
27	the hazard quotient (HQ) method was conducted. The results demonstrated that DEHP
28	exhibited higher risks at 92.6% of sampling sites, and risks posed by DBP were
29	moderate at 63.0% sampling sites. However, risks posed by BBP were low at 70.4%
30	of sampling sites, and there were no risks posed by DEP at 96.3% of sampling sites.
31	The results of probabilistic ecological risk assessment (PERA) indicated that
32	probabilities of exceeding effects thresholds on 5% of species were 60.41%, 0%,
33	0.12%, 14.28% for DEHP, DEP, BBP and DBP, respectively. The work provides
34	useful information to protect aquatic species in Liao River.
35	
36	Keywords: Phthalate ester, Reproductive toxicity, Aquatic life criteria, Endocrine
37	disruptor chemical, Ecological risk assessment
38	
39	1. Introduction
40	Phthalate esters (PAEs) are used primarily as plasticizers to impart flexibility to
41	polyvinylchloride plastics. They are intensively applied in a variety of products such
42	as food packaging, cosmetics, various kinds of toys and medical equipment(Weir et

43 al., 2014). As PAEs can be easily released into the environment from plastic products,

44 they are ubiquitously detected in various environmental media including water (He et

45	al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2018); Zhang et al., 2018), soil and sediment (Kang et al.,
46	2016; Tan et al., 2016), and air (Deutschle et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2017). PAEs can
47	enter water ecosystems through the discharge of domestic and industrial wastewater,
48	surface runoff input from agricultural and urban areas, and atmospheric wet and dry
49	deposition (Sha et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2008; Chai et al., 2010). PAEs are hardly
50	degraded and the environment fate and bioaccumulation were investigated (Staples et
51	al., 1997; Wofford et al., 1981; Yang et al., 2013). Recently, PAEs raised concerns as
52	suspected endocrine disruptor chemicals (EDCs). They were shown to be
53	carcinogenic, which mimic estrogenic activities in animals and can induce adverse
54	effects in the development of the male reproductive system (Cheung et al., 2007).
55	Also, PAEs posed great threat to aquatic organism (Adams and Gorsuch, 1995;
56	Staples et al., 2000; Qu et al., 2015). Many countries have now limited the usage of
57	PAEs and relevant policies and laws have been established (EC 2003, 2005; EPA,
58	2014; PRC-NS 2002). Six PAEs including dimethyl phthalate (DMP), diethyl
59	phthalate (DEP), di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP), butylbenzyl phthalate (BBP), di(2-
60	ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), and di-n-octyl phthalate (DnOP) were listed in the 126
61	priority pollutants filed by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (EPA,
62	2014). DBP, DnOP, DEHP and BBP were four PAEs in the list of priority pollutants
63	of the European Communities (EC, 1994; EC, 1995; EC, 1997), China also has listed
64	DMP, DBP and dimethyl octyl phthalic (DOP) as environmental priority pollutants
65	(PRC-NS, 2002).

ALC are defined as the maximum water pollutant concentrations that do not pose short-term or long-term adverse or hazardous effects on aquatic life, which are based on scientific experiments and extrapolations (Wu et al., 2010). SSD is an important extrapolation approach to derive ALC based on all available toxicological data of the pollutant and extrapolate the concentration corresponding to the target percentage (Wheeler et al., 2002). Thresholds of protection for aquatic organisms is usually set up as 5% of species (HC<sub>5</sub>).

73 China has launched national-level systematic ALC studies based on the regional 74 characteristics and established comprehensive ALC research frameworks. ALCs of a large number of priority pollutants, including ammonia nitrogen (Wang et al., 2016), 75 76 heavy metals (Wu et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017) and organic 77 pollutants(Yan et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013) have been derived. Most of these 78 researches adopted the lethal endpoint of three phyla and eight families to generate 79 SSD of the pollutants. EDCs are considered to alter hormone levels leading to 80 reproductive effects in aquatic organisms at environmental concentration continuously 81 (Tisler et al., 2016). Previous toxicological studies have demonstrated reproduction 82 was identified as the most sensitive endpoint for EDCs (Caldwell et al., 2008; Jin et 83 al., 2014). Reproductive toxicity includes fecundity, rate of fertilization, hatchability, 84 gonadosomatic index sustained for multiple generations, and the synthesis of 85 vitellogenin (VTG) in fish (Martino-Andrade and Chahoud, 2010). Since the lethal 86 endpoint lacks consideration of the adverse effects from development and function of 87 aquatic organisms at non-lethal concentrations, ALC based on lethal effect was

88	recognized to be unable to provide adequate protection from such adverse effects.
89	PAEs as typical EDCs displayed low-acute toxicity in general, and the reproductive
90	system is particularly susceptible long-term low-dose integrated exposure (Martino-
91	Andrade and Chahoud, 2010). However, comparing with the extensive studies on
92	derivation of ALCs for heavy metals and organic pollutants, there were limited
93	researches available for ALCs of EDCs, especially based on the sublethal effects of
94	reproduction.
95	Ecological risk assessment (ERA) is a process that evaluates the likelihood of adverse
96	ecological effects occurring as a result of exposure to stressors (US EPA, 1998),
97	which can provide useful information for risk managers and decision makers. The
98	most rudimentary approach to assessing the potential risk is the calculation of a
99	hazard quotient (HQ), which compare the measured exposure concentration with ALC
100	determined from SSD. HQ is point estimate and is generally considered to be overly
101	conservative, and hence more useful for preliminary ecological risk assessment (Liu
102	et al., 2016) . Probabilistic ecological risk assessment (PERA) is another method of
103	ERA and based on a continuum of potential exposures and effects probability
104	distributions to qualify and quantify ecological risks (Solomon and Giesy, 2000).
105	Risk expresses as a joint probability curve (JPC) in PERA describes that the
106	probability of a particular set of exposure conditions occurring relative to the number
107	of taxa that would be affected. However, the ecological risk assessment of PAEs
108	adopting various methods in water basin were seldom reported before.

109	Liao River is located in northeast China and belongs to one of the seven main
110	watersheds of China with a water area of approximately 1345 km <sup>2</sup> , and is also the
111	critical drinking water source for the residents of the catchment. The rapid economic
112	expansion, along with human activities, has caused enormous environmental
113	pressures on Liao River so that it has suffered from severe contaminations of different
114	pollutants including EDCs. To our knowledge, there has been no systematic
115	investigation of PAEs concentration in Liao River to identifying their potential risk
116	levels to aquatic ecosystem.
117	This objective of this study was to optimize the ALCs derivation of PAEs applying
118	untraditional endpoints. The concentrations of PAEs in Liao River were monitored. In
119	addition, based on the ALCs and field monitored data of four typical phthalates, their
120	ecological risks in Liao River were comprehensively evaluated with two methods.
121	
122	2. Materials and methods
123	2.1 Collection of data
124	Toxicity data of four PAEs were collected from ECOTOX database
125	(http://cfpub.epa.gov/ecotox), CNKI database, and published literatures. Traditional
126	effects such as lethal endpoints were excluded and only reproductive related
127	endpoints were screened. The reproduction data mainly consisted the effects of
128	fecundity, rate of fertilization, hatchability, expression of VTG, gonad somatic index,
129	gonadal histology and multiple generation effects to aquatic organisms. The principles

130 below were followed. Strictly, NOEC values were limited to calculate the ALC. As

there were not enough data of reproduction endpoints for new pollutants, maximum
acceptable toxicant concentration (MATC) or lowest observed effect concentration
(LOEC) or EC<sub>10</sub> values were adopted when NOEC is not available. For each
chemical, in order to minimize the uncertainty and maximize protective values of the
resulting HC<sub>5</sub>, flow-through were preference to static/renewal for exposure style and
measured chemical analysis were preference to unmeasured chemical analysis.
Geometric mean value were calculated when multiple toxicity values were available

138 per species.

## 139 **2.2 Construction of SSD**

The log-logistic distribution was generally a good-fitting model for species sensitivity
distribution (SSDs) (Versteeg et al., 1999). In this study, the log-logistic distribution
was adopted to construct SSDs and derive the ALCs of four PAEs (Wheeler et al.,

- 143 2002), The equation is as follows:
- 144

 $y = \frac{1}{1 + \exp((\frac{p_1 - x}{p_2}))}$ (1)

where y is the cumulative probability of species, defined as "the order of the data point" divided by one plus the total number of data points; x is the log-transformed

147 NOEC  $/EC_{10}$  / MATC/ LOEC; p1 is a parameter representing the location (or

148 intercept); and p2 is a parameter representing the slope of the curve.

## 149 **2.3 Field monitoring in the Liao River**

150 A total number of 27 representative sampling sites were selected to investigate the

- 151 variation of concentrations for PAEs in the Liao River (Fig. 2). The sampling sites
- 152 covered main stream and tributaries along the upstream, middle, downstream of the

153	Liao River, and industrial cities adjacent to the river. In July 2014, one liter of water
154	samples were collected from every sampling site and stored in brown glass bottles.
155	All samples were refrigerated at 4°C before extraction and analysis. The samples were
156	filtered through 0.45 m glass-fiber membrane filter and then passed through activating
157	solid phase extraction cartridges at a flow rate of 10 mL·min <sup>-1</sup> . The cartridges were
158	then eluted with a 5 mL ethyl acetate followed by a 5 mL methylene chloride and 3
159	mL ethyl acetate/methylene chloride (1:1 v/v) (USEPA, 1995; MWRPRC, 2007). The
160	eluates were dried using anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated to 1 mL by the
161	rotary evaporator and gentle stream of nitrogen. The extracts were stored at 4°C
162	before GC/MS analysis. The sample extracts were analyzed by an Aglient Gas
163	Chromatograph/Mass Selective Detector (GC/MSD) system (Aglient7890-5975C)
164	with an autosampler under full scanning mode. A mixed standard solution of the four
165	target pollutants was used. The pollutants were separated using a DB-5 silica fused
166	capillary column (length: 30 m, id: 250 $\mu m,$ Am film thickness: 0.25 $\mu m)$ with a 1.0
167	$\mu$ L injection volume at split radio 10:1. The oven temperature was programmed from
168	45°C to 300°C at 8°C·min <sup>-1</sup> , and then kept for 5 min. The ion source and Quadrupole
169	temperatures were 230°C and 150°C, respectively. The recovery tests of pollutants
170	were performed using an external standard. Before the detection of each sample, the
171	solvent blank was analyzed. The limits of detection for DEHP, BBP, DEP and DBP
172	were 0.13, 0.14, 0.12 and 0.13 $\mu$ g/L, respectively. The recoveries of DEHP, BBP,
173	DEP and DBP were 93.5%, 88.4%, 102%, 91.2%. The exposure concentrations were
174	summarized in the Table 2.

1752.4 Preliminary ecological risk assessment for four PAEs 176 The exposure concentrations of four PAEs in Liao River were determined measured, 177and the ERA for these PAEs in Liao River were conducted by the HQ method 178 (Lemly, 1996). HQ is the ratio of measured exposure concentration divided by a 179 statistically derived effect concentration. Deterministic HQ was calculated by the Eq. 180 (2): 181 HQ = EEC/ALC(2) 182 Where EEC is the environmental exposure concentration. 183 The hypothesis of this method is that potential hazard is likely to occur at any moment 184 if EEC of a pollutant is higher than its ALC. Otherwise the least possible hazard is 185 anticipated. The mathematical explanations of this method were listed below (Lemly, 186 1996):

187 HQ $\leq$ 0.1, no risk exists;

- 188 HQ =  $0.1 \sim 1.0$ , risk is low;
- 189 HQ =  $1.1 \sim 10$ , risk is moderate;
- 190 HQ  $\geq$  10, risk is high.

## 191 **2.5 Probabilistic ecological risk assessment (PERA) of PAEs to aquatic**

- 192 organisms in surface water of Liao River.
- 193 PERAs were performed by use of MATLAB2017 software. JPCs were used to
- 194 describe the estimated risks of PAEs in Liao River. The probability of exceedance
- 195 estimates are derived from both effect and exposure distributions. When the exposure
- 196 data were plotted on the same axes as the effects data, the extent of overlap between

197 the curves indicated the probability of exceeding an exposure concentration associated 198 with a particular probability of effects of PAEs. For JPCs of PAEs in Liao River, the 199 x-axis of the JPC represented the intensity of toxicity effects, and the y-axis stand for 200 exceeded probability (Solomon et al., 1996, 2000). Each point on the curves 201 represented both the probability that chosen proportion of species would be affected 202 and the frequency with which that magnitude of the effect would be exceeded. The 203 closer the JPCs were to the axes, the less the probability of adverse effects.

204

208

209

211

#### 205 3. Results and discussion

#### 206 3.1 Derivation of the ALCs for four PAEs based on the reproductive endpoint

207 In the process of data screening according to the principle (Section 2.1), values of

reproductive effect were found to be far lower than those of survival effect often by

orders of magnitude (data were not shown). In this study, only toxicity data based on

210 reproductive effect were gathered and a number of 11, 6, 7 and 11 data were collected

for DEHP, BBP, DEP and DBP, respectively. The details of collected reproductive

212 toxicity data were listed in Table 1. The 35toxicity data in all for four PAEs were

213 from a total of 24 species including fishes, invertebrates, and alga. A wide variation

214 was found in the NOEC/EC<sub>50</sub>/EC<sub>10</sub> values for DEHP with values ranged from 1 to

215960  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup> and a mean value of 196.5  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup>. Concentrations for BBP ranged from 60

to 1000  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup> with a mean value of 347.4  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup>. Concentrations for DEP ranged 216

from 427.2 to 21000  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup> with a mean value of 6699.6 $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup>. Concentrations for 217

DBP ranged from 5 to 30200  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup> with a mean value of 3678.4  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup>. Due to the 218

219	paucity of the available reproductive toxicity data, non-native species were included.
220	Recent study indicated that there were no statistically significant ( $p > 0.05$ )
221	differences in criteria and SSD values abstained between aquatic species endemic to
222	China and non-native species (Jin et al., 2015). The most sensitive species to DEHP
223	and DEP were two fishes Oryzias latipe and Danio rerio, individually. Interestingly,
224	the most sensitive species were two aquatic plants for BBP and DBP,
225	Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata and Lemna minor. The result was in accordance with
226	the previous study, in which aquatic plants showed greater sensitivities to BBP and
227	DBP than others (Yan et al., 2015). All the reproductive toxicity data of aquatic
228	species were used to generate SSD curves and the derived $HC_5$ values for the four
229	PAEs were shown in Fig.1. SSDs of DEHP, DBP, BBP and DEP were shifted from
230	left to the right, suggesting that DEHP exhibited the maximum toxicity. Although
231	there were some overlaps of SSDs for DBP and BBP, DBP was more toxic according
232	to the lower part of the SSD curve. ALCs of the four PAEs were calculated through
233	dividing $HC_5$ by an assessment factor (AF) of 2. The derived ALCs were
234	demonstrated in Table 2. The HC5 value of DEHP was.0.08 $\mu g \cdot L^{\text{-1}}$ and ALC was 0.04
235	$\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ , which was far below the Chinese national standard of 8 $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ (PRC-NS,
236	2002). The ALC of DBP was 0.62 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> , which was also lower than Chinese
237	national standard of 3 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> (PRC-NS, 2002). DEP was less toxic than the others and
238	its ALC was 41.9 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> , which was also lower than Chinese national standard of 300
239	$\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> (PRC-NS, 2006). ALC of BBP was not compared due to lack of its standard. In
240	the whole, ALC derivations of DEHP, DBP and DEP in present study were essential

since there were some aquatic organisms under protection according to Chinesecurrent standards.

244	USEPA recommended short-term and long-term thresholds of 940 $\mu g \cdot L^{-1} and$ 3
245	$\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ for PAEs (USEPA, 1980) and the criteria of individual PAEs such as DEHP,
246	DBP, and DEP were not developed. Obviously, unified criteria for PAEs were not
247	entirely suitable for individual PAE due to their different toxicities. However, Chinese
248	environmental quality standards for surface water dictated the WQSs of DEHP and
249	DBP were 8 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> and 3 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> , respectively (PRC-NS, 2002). China also provided
250	DEP content less than 300 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> in standards for drinking water quality (PRC-NS,
251	2006). However, Chinese current standards of DEHP, DBP and DEP were only about
252	four hundreds, five, and eight times compared with their ALCs in our study. Among
253	the four PAEs concerned in this study, DEHP have been studied before and its $\mathrm{HC}_5$
254	was reported to be 0.68 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> (Liu et al., 2016), which was 8 folds higher than the
255	derived $HC_5$ in this study. There were several possible reasons for the discrepancy
256	between the two HC5 values, including selected species, various endpoints for data
257	analysis and adopted statistical models. Firstly, data of aquatic plant was used in the
258	present study while only data on fish and invertebrate were included in the previous
259	study (Liu et al., 2016). Aquatic plant was considered to be an essential part of the
260	aquatic ecosystem, and species used for ALC generation should come from various
261	phyla and families. Then the ecological risks of PAEs can be evaluated
262	comprehensively. Secondly, the previous research adopted toxicity data of one

263 seawater organism to construct the SSD of DEHP, while only the data of freshwater 264 organisms were used in the present study. Furthermore, fitting parameters varied due 265 to different statistical models. As no statistic model always provides the best fit, log-266 logistic distribution was selected to draw the fitting curve in this study, while lognormal was used in the former study. 267 268 Estrogens are sex hormones with a receptor-mediated mode of action (MOA) at 269 lower concentrations. With the researches going on, more investigations indicated that 270 PAEs mimic estrogenic biological activity (Martino and Chahoud, 2010). PAEs were 271 considered to impede the normal reproductive function via an estrogen receptor-272 mediated MOA (Takeuchi et al., 2005). The MOAs of PAEs and non-EDC pollutants 273 such as heavy metals are different, so that approaches of ALC derivation are 274 obviously distinct. A variety of methods have been proposed for deriving of ALCs (USEPA, 1980; CCME, 1999; RIVM, 2001). As limited toxicity data are available for 275276 a pollutant, AF can be used to derive ALC (CCME, 1999). For example, if only acute 277 data are available, the lowest acute toxicity value applying an AF of 10-1000 is 278 allowed by EU guideline (EC, 2003). Since the reproductive toxicity was more 279 sensitive among the chronic data, HC<sub>5</sub> was only divided by 2 to reduce the uncertainty 280 of ALCs in present study. However, It was possible to cause potential reproductive 281 effect at exposure concentrations much lower than ALC (Lyche et al., 2009), because 282 the concentration of PAEs may be sufficient to induce receptor-mediated effects. This 283 possibility explains why ALC extrapolating acute data using an AF may be not 284 adequately protective of reproductive effects. PAEs were able to induce production of

285	the female-specific, egg-yolk precursor VTG in livers of males and decreased
286	fecundity and fertility (Martino and Chahoud, 2010; Maradonna et al., 2013).
287	Correspondingly, traditional measurement endpoints in ecotoxicology survival,
288	development, and growth were also inappropriate for ALC derivation of EDCs.
289	Instead, nonlethal biomarkers were considered better endpoints in the risk assessment
290	of EDCs (Caldwell et al., 2008; Jin, et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016). In fact, the
291	endpoints adopted in our study mainly included morphological effect, reproductive
292	effect, population effect, growth effect, hormone effect. Aquatic organisms exhibited
293	greater sensitivity when reproduction related effects were used as the measurement
294	endpoint. Therefore, the ALCs derived based on reproductive toxicity in our study
295	may better protect aquatic organisms from exposure to PAEs.
296	3.2 Preliminary ecological risk assessment of the four PAEs in Liao River
296 297	<b>3.2 Preliminary ecological risk assessment of the four PAEs in Liao River</b> The measured concentrations of the four PAEs for 27 sampling sites in the Liao River
296 297 298	<b>3.2 Preliminary ecological risk assessment of the four PAEs in Liao River</b> The measured concentrations of the four PAEs for 27 sampling sites in the Liao River were provided in Table 3. Concentrations for DEHP varied in different sites and
296 297 298 299	3.2 Preliminary ecological risk assessment of the four PAEs in Liao River The measured concentrations of the four PAEs for 27 sampling sites in the Liao River were provided in Table 3. Concentrations for DEHP varied in different sites and ranged from 0.54 to 37.33 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except two sites undetected. Concentrations for
296 297 298 299 300	3.2 Preliminary ecological risk assessment of the four PAEs in Liao River The measured concentrations of the four PAEs for 27 sampling sites in the Liao River were provided in Table 3. Concentrations for DEHP varied in different sites and ranged from 0.54 to 37.33 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except two sites undetected. Concentrations for DBP varied in different sites and ranges from 1.43 to 16.58 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> . However,
296 297 298 299 300 301	3.2 Preliminary ecological risk assessment of the four PAEs in Liao River The measured concentrations of the four PAEs for 27 sampling sites in the Liao River were provided in Table 3. Concentrations for DEHP varied in different sites and ranged from 0.54 to 37.33 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except two sites undetected. Concentrations for DBP varied in different sites and ranges from 1.43 to 16.58 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> . However, concentrations for BBP ranged from 0.15 to 6.55 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except five sites undetected.
<ol> <li>296</li> <li>297</li> <li>298</li> <li>299</li> <li>300</li> <li>301</li> <li>302</li> </ol>	3.2 Preliminary ecological risk assessment of the four PAEs in Liao River The measured concentrations of the four PAEs for 27 sampling sites in the Liao River were provided in Table 3. Concentrations for DEHP varied in different sites and ranged from 0.54 to 37.33 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except two sites undetected. Concentrations for DBP varied in different sites and ranges from 1.43 to 16.58 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> . However, concentrations for BBP ranged from 0.15 to 6.55 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except five sites undetected. Concentrations for DEP varied in different sites and ranged from 0.34 to 1.75 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup>
<ol> <li>296</li> <li>297</li> <li>298</li> <li>299</li> <li>300</li> <li>301</li> <li>302</li> <li>303</li> </ol>	3.2 Preliminary ecological risk assessment of the four PAEs in Liao River The measured concentrations of the four PAEs for 27 sampling sites in the Liao River were provided in Table 3. Concentrations for DEHP varied in different sites and ranged from 0.54 to 37.33 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except two sites undetected. Concentrations for DBP varied in different sites and ranges from 1.43 to 16.58 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> . However, concentrations for BBP ranged from 0.15 to 6.55 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except five sites undetected. Concentrations for DEP varied in different sites and ranged from 0.34 to 1.75 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except one site undetected. On the whole, the exposure concentrations of DEHP and
<ol> <li>296</li> <li>297</li> <li>298</li> <li>299</li> <li>300</li> <li>301</li> <li>302</li> <li>303</li> <li>304</li> </ol>	<b>3.2 Preliminary ecological risk assessment of the four PAEs in Liao River</b> The measured concentrations of the four PAEs for 27 sampling sites in the Liao River were provided in Table 3. Concentrations for DEHP varied in different sites and ranged from 0.54 to 37.33 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except two sites undetected. Concentrations for DBP varied in different sites and ranges from 1.43 to 16.58 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> . However, concentrations for BBP ranged from 0.15 to 6.55 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except five sites undetected. Concentrations for DEP varied in different sites and ranged from 0.34 to 1.75 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except one site undetected. On the whole, the exposure concentrations of DEHP and DBP were relatively higher, which were similar to the results of the nationwide
<ol> <li>296</li> <li>297</li> <li>298</li> <li>299</li> <li>300</li> <li>301</li> <li>302</li> <li>303</li> <li>304</li> <li>305</li> </ol>	<b>3.2 Preliminary ecological risk assessment of the four PAEs in Liao River</b> The measured concentrations of the four PAEs for 27 sampling sites in the Liao River were provided in Table 3. Concentrations for DEHP varied in different sites and ranged from 0.54 to 37.33 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except two sites undetected. Concentrations for DBP varied in different sites and ranges from 1.43 to 16.58 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> . However, concentrations for BBP ranged from 0.15 to 6.55 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except five sites undetected. Concentrations for DEP varied in different sites and ranged from 0.34 to 1.75 $\mu$ g·L <sup>-1</sup> except one site undetected. On the whole, the exposure concentrations of DEHP and DBP were relatively higher, which were similar to the results of the nationwide survey in China during 2009-2012 (Liu et al., 2014). In fact, exposure concentration

307	urbanization and industrialization. For example, sampling sites near provincial capital
308	Shenyang city had higher concentrations of DEHP, DBP, and BBP. The HQs of the
309	four PAEs in different sites of the Liao River were assessed by comparing exposure
310	concentrations to their ALCs. The information of HQs in different sites were
311	presented in Table 3.
312	Among the 27 sampling sites in the Liao River, PAEs were not detected in 8 sites and
313	it posed no risk at these sites. The HQ indices of DEP were all below 0.1, representing
314	that DEP posed no risks in all sampling sites. BBP had moderate risks at 2 sampling
315	sites located in the estuary of the Liao River and low risks in other sites. DBP posed
316	high risks in 10 sampling sites and moderate risk in 17 sampling sites. DEHP posed
317	high risks in 25 sites. The HQs of DEHP in most sites were greater than 10, which
318	indicated that DEHP might pose nonnegligible harmful effects on aquatic organisms
319	in Liao River. Table 2 and Table 3 indicated the exposure concentrations of BBP and
320	DEP were relatively lower and their ALC values were higher than DEHP and DBP.
321	Compared with Chinese environmental quality standards (8 $\mu$ g. L <sup>-1</sup> ), DEHP
322	concentrations for only 37.04% of the sampling sites exceeded. DBP concentrations
323	measured at 16 sites, about 59.26% of all the sampling sites, exceeded 3 $\mu$ g • L <sup>-1</sup> .
324	Thus, the HQ values adopting Chinese current standards instead of their derived
325	ALCs in this study illustrated that DEHP and DBP in Liao River were at relatively
326	lower risk levels. In summary, the ecological risk of PAEs at some regions was
327	underestimated if adopting Chinese current standards.

# **3.3 Probabilistic ecological risk assessment of the four PAEs in Liao River**

329	JPCs constructed using exceedance probability function and SSD could better
330	describe the overall PAEs risks than HQ method. The x-axis of the JPC represented
331	the intensity of toxicity effects, and the y-axis standed for exceeded probability. Risks
332	in Liao River by PERA analysis were shown for each PAE (Fig.3). However, DEHP
333	posed higher potential ecological risk than others, followed by BBP, The JPCs of
334	DEP and DBP were closer to the axes, indicating the less probability of adverse
335	effects. Therefore, the results of the JPC analysis indicated that probabilities of
336	exceeding the NOEC for 5% of the species were 60.41%, 0%, 0.12%, 14.28% for
337	DEHP, DEP, BBP and DBP, respectively. Overall, DEHP exhibited higher risks
338	adopting both HQ and PERA methods. In fact, HQ method is point estimate approach,
339	which could not provide detailed information on probability or magnitude of
340	ecological risks and cannot be used to establish a level of risk (Solomon et al., 1996,
341	2000). Thus, HQ is useful as a screening tool that can help to focus risk assessment. In
342	the present study, the results of HQ approach showed that DEHP exhibited higher
343	risks at 92.6% of sampling sites, and risks posed by DBP were moderate at $63.0$ %
344	sampling sites. Thus, predicted large HQs indicated that potential risks for the whole
345	area could not be excluded. However, the higher tier approaches allowed the
346	estimation of the proportional risk of measured PAEs concentrations to fresh
347	organisms in Liao River. This will help the risk manager to make the decisions
348	according to the degree of overlap between the exposure and effects function that is
349	acceptable and the level of certainty required in a particular situation. The
350	probabilities of exceeding the NOEC for 5% of the species were 60.41% for DEHP.

351	Correspondingly, the appropriate measures were applicated to achieve the required
352	degree of certainty that the desired level of protection would be achieved.
353	3.4 Uncertainty analysis
354	Uncertainty in ERA adopting both HQ method and probabilistic risk method is
355	inevitable. The uncertainty came from endpoint chosen as available reproductive
356	toxicity data are far less than the acute data. The minimum toxicity data for
357	developing SSD were considered four (Traas and Bruijn, 2001), five (Hose and Brink,
358	2004), six (Maltby et al., 2005), eight (Wheeler et al., 2002) or more than ten (EC,
359	2011). Thus, limited toxicity data used to generate SSD model met the minimum
360	acquirement. Also, the toxicity data for non-native species to derive ALC brought the
361	uncertainty due to the paucity of toxicity data applicable for native species. Limited
362	information on temporal and spatial variation in PAEs exposure concentrations
363	especially in Liao River also introduced uncertainty. Further work should be
364	conducted to get more PAEs exposure data in a wide range of temporal and spatial
365	scales. Thus, more accurate ecological risk assessment of risks will be conducted.
366	4. Conclusions
367	In the present study, reproductive endpoint was found to be most sensitive and
368	adopted to derive ALCs of four PAEs. Reproduction toxicity data were screened to

- 369 construct SSD to calculate ALC. ALCs of DEHP, DBP, BBP and DEP were
- 370 calculated to be 0.04, 0.62, 4.71 and 41.9  $\mu$ g.L<sup>-1</sup>, which indicated decreased toxicity in
- 371 sequence. Therefore, their ALCs were far less compared with long-term ALCs from
- 372 USEPA and Chinese current WQS. The exposure concentrations of PAEs of 27

373	sampling sites in the Liao River were measured and ERA were conducted with two
374	methods. According to the derived ALCs of the four PAEs, risk assessments by HQ
375	approach showed that DEHP exhibited higher risks at 92.6% of sampling sites, and
376	risks posed by DBP were moderate at 63.0 % sampling sites. However, risks posed by
377	BBP were low at 74.1% of sampling sites, and there were no risks posed by DEP at
378	all sampling sites. Furthermore, the results of PERA in Liao River showed that
379	probabilities of exceeding effects thresholds on 5% of species were 60.41%, 0%,
380	0.12%, 14.28% for DEHP, DEP, BBP and DBP, respectively. These findings
381	demonstrated that PAEs level in the area of the basin may have done harm to aquatic
382	ecosystem structure and function in Liao River.
202	

### 384 Acknowledgement

385 This research was financially supported by National Major S&T Program for Water

386 Pollution Control and Treatment (2017ZX07301002-01).

387

## 388 **Reference**

- Adams, W.J., Gorsuch, J.W., 1995. A summary of the acute toxicity of 14 phthalate
- 390 esters to representative aquatic organisms. Environ. Toxicol. Chem.14, 1569-1574.
- 391 Caldwell, D.J., Mastrocco, F., Hutchinson, T.H., Lange, R., Heijerick, D., Janssen, C.,
- 392 Anderson, P.D., Sumpter, J.P., 2008. Derivation of an aquatic predicted no-effect
- 393 concentration for the synthetic hormone, 17 alpha-ethinyl estradiol. Environ. Sci.
- 394 Technol. 42, 7046-7054.

- 395 CCME, 1999. A protocol for the derivation of water quality guidelines for the
- 396 protection of aquatic life.Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines. Canadian
- 397 Council of Ministers of the Environment, Ottawa.
- 398 Chai X.L., Ji, R., Wu, J., Tong, H.H., Zhao, Y.C., 2010. Abiotic association of PAEs
- 399 with humic substances and its influence on the fate of PAEs in landfill leachate.
- 400 Chemosphere 78(11) 1362-1367.
- 401 Cheung, J.K., Lam, R.K., Shi, M.Y., Gu, J.D., 2007. Environmental fate of endocrine-
- 402 disrupting dimethyl phthalate esters (DMPE) under sulfate-reducing condition. Sci.
- 403 Total Environ. 381, 126-133.
- 404 Deutschle, T., Reiter, R., Butte, W., Heinzow, B., Keck, T., Riechelmann, H., 2008. A
- 405 controlled challenge study on di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) in house dust and
- 406 the immune response in human nasal mucosa of allergic subjects. Environ. Health
- 407 Perspect. 116, 1487-1493.
- 408 European Commission, 1994. Concerning the first list of priority substances as
- 409 foreseen under Council Regulation (EEC) No 793/93. Official J. Eur. Communities L
- 410 131, 3e4.
- 411 European Commission, 1995. Concerning the seacond list of priority substances as
- 412 foreseen under Council Regulation (EEC) No 793/93. Official J. Eur. Communities L
- 413 231, 18e19.
- 414 European Commission, 1997. Concerning the third list of priority substances as
- 415 foreseen under Council Regulation (EEC) No 793/93. Official J. Eur. Communities L
- 416 025, 13e14.

- 417 European Commission, 2003. The Rules Governing Cosmetic Products in the
- 418 European Union, Directive 2003/15/EC. vol. 1 cosmetics legislation.
- 419 European Commission, 2005. Restrictions on the marketing and use of certain
- 420 dangerous substances and preparations (phthalates in toys and childcare articles)
- 421 Directive 2005/84/ EC. Off. J. Eur. Union L344, 40–43.
- 422 European Commission, 2011. Technical Guidance For Deriving Environmental
- 423 Quality Standards, Guidance Document No. 27, Common Implementation Strategy
- 424 for the Water Framework Directive 2000/60/EC, Technical Report-2011-055
- He, W., Qin, N., Kong, X., Liu, W., He, Q., Ouyang, H., Yang, C., Jiang, Y., Wang,
- 426 Q., Yang, B., Xu, F., 2013. Spatio-temporal distributions and the ecological and
- 427 health risks of phthalate esters (PAEs) in the surface water of a large, shallow Chinese
- 428 lake. Sci. Total Environ. 461-462, 672-680.
- 429 Hose, G.C., Van Den Brink, P.J. 2004. Confirming the species-sensitivity distribution
- 430 concept for endosulfan using laboratory, mesocosm, and field data. Arch. Environ.
- 431 Contamin. Toxicol. 47,
- 432 **511-520**.
- 433 Jin, X., Wang, Y., Jin, W., Rao, K., Giesy, J.P., Hollert, H., Richardson, K.L., Wang,
- 434 Z., 2014. Ecological risk of nonylphenol in China surface waters based on
- 435 reproductive fitness. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 1256-1262.
- 436 Jin, X., Wang, Z., Wang, Y., Lv, Y., Rao, K., Jin, W., Giesy, J.P., Leung, K.M., 2015.
- 437 Do water quality criteria based on nonnative species provide appropriate protection
- 438 for native species? Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 34, 1793-1798.

- 439 Kang, L., Wang, Q.M., He, Q.S., He, W., Liu, W.X., Kong, X.Z., Yang, B., Yang, C.,
- 440 Jiang, Y.J., Xu, F.L., 2016. Current status and historical variations of phthalate ester
- 441 (PAE) contamination in the sediments from a large Chinese lake (Lake Chaohu).
- 442 Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int 23, 10393-10405.
- Lemly, A.D., 1996. Evaluation of the hazard quotient method for risk assessment of
- 444 selenium. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 35 (2), 156–162.
- 445 Liu, N., Wang, Y., Yang, Q., Lv, Y., Jin, X., Giesy, J.P., Johnson, A.C., 2016.
- 446 Probabilistic assessment of risks of diethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP) in surface waters
- 447 of China on reproduction of fish. Environ. Pollut. 213, 482-488.
- Liu, X., Shi, J., Bo, T., Zhang, H., Wu, Wei., Chen, Q., Zhan, X., 2014. Occurrence of
- 449 phthalic acid esters in source waters: a nationwide survey in China during the period
- 450 of 2009-2012. Environ. Pollut. 184,262-270.
- 451 Lyche, J.L., Gutleb, A.C., Bergman, A, Eriksen, G. S., Murk, A. J., Ropstad, E.,
- 452 Saunders, M., Skaare, J. U. 2009. Reproductive and developmental toxicity of
- 453 phthalates. J Toxicol. Environ. Heal. Part B, 12(4),225-249.
- 454 Maltby, L., Blake, N., Brock, T.C.M., Brink, P.J.V.D. 2005. Insecticide species
- 455 sensitivity distributions: Importance of test species selection and relevance to aquatic
- 456 ecosystems. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 24, 379-388.
- 457 Maradonna, F., Evangelisti, M., Gioacchini, G., Migliarini, B., Olivotto, I.,
- 458 Carnevali, O., 2013. Assay of vtg, ERs and PPARs as endpoint for the rapid in vitro,
- 459 screening of the harmful effect of Di-(2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate (DEHP) and phthalic
- acid (PA) in zebrafish primary hepatocyte cultures. Toxicology in Vitro, 27(1), 84-91.

- 461 Martino-Andrade, A.J., Chahoud, I., 2010. Reproductive toxicity of phthalate esters.
- 462 Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 54, 148-157.
- 463 MWRPRC, 2007. Determination of Semivolatile Organic Compounds in Water by
- 464 Solid Phase Extraction-gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS). Beijing,
- 465 China, Ministry of Water Resources of the People's Republic of China(SL392-2007).
- 466 PRC-NS, 2002. Environmental quality standard for surface Water. Ministry of
- 467 environmental protection of the People's Republic of China and general
- 468 administration of quality supervision, inspection and quarantine of the People's
- 469 Republic of China. GB 3838e2002.
- 470 PRC-NS, 2006. Standard for drinking water quality. Ministry of Health of the
- 471 People's Republic of China and Standardization Administration of the People's
- 472 Republic of China. GB 5749e2006.
- 473 Qu, R., Feng, M., Sun, P., & Wang, Z., 2015. A comparative study on antioxidant
- 474 status combined with integrated biomarker response in Carassius auratus fish exposed
- to nine phthalates. Environ. toxicol. 30(10), 1125-1134.
- 476 RIVM ,2001. Guidance document on deriving environmental risk limits. National
- 477 Institute of Public Health and The Environment, Bilthoven
- 478 Sha, Y., Xia, X., Yang, Z., Huang, G.H., 2007. Distribution of PAEs in the middle
- and lower reaches of the Yellow River, China. Environ. Monit. Assess. 124, 277-287.
- 480 Solomon, K.R., Baker, D.B., Richards, R.P., Dixon, K.R., Klaine, S.J., La Point,
- 481 T.W., Kendall, R.J., Weisskopf, C.P., Giddings, J.M., Giesy, J.P., 1996. Ecological

- 482 risk assessment of atrazine in North American surface waters. Environ. Toxicol.
- 483 Chem. 15, 31e76.
- 484 Solomon, K., Giesy, J., Jones, P., 2000. Probabilistic risk assessment of
- 485 agrochemicals in the environment. Crop Prot. 19, 649e655.
- 486 Staples, C. A., Peterson, D. R., Parkerton, T. F., Adams, W. J., 1997. The
- 487 environmental fate of phthalate esters: a literature review. Chemosphere 35(4), 667488 749.
- 489 Staples, C. A., Parkerton, T. F., Peterson D. R., 2000. A risk assessment of selected
- 490 phthalate esters in North American and Western European surface waters.
- 491 Chemosphere 40(8), 885.
- 492 Takeuchi, S., Iida, M., Kobayashi, S., Jin, K., Matsuda, T., Kojima, H., 2005.
- 493 Differential effects of phthalate esters on transcriptional activities via human estrogen
- 494 receptors alpha and beta, and androgen receptor. Toxicology 210, 223-233.
- 495 Tan, W., Zhang, Y., He, X., Xi, B., Gao, R., Mao, X., Huang, C., Zhang, H., Li, D.,
- 496 Liang, Q., Cui, D., Alshawabkeh, A.N., 2016. Distribution patterns of phthalic acid
- 497 esters in soil particle-size fractions determine biouptake in soil-cereal crop systems.
- 498 Sci. Rep. 6, 31987.
- 499 Tisler, T., Krel, A., Gerzelj, U., Erjavec, B., Dolenc, M. S., Pintar, A., 2016. Hazard
- 500 identification and risk characterization of bisphenols a, f and af to aquatic organisms.
- 501 Environ. Pollut. 212, 472-479.
- 502 Traas, T.P., Bruijn, J.H.M.D., 2001. Guidance document on deriving environmental
- 503 risk limits. RIVM report 601501012/2001

- 504 US EPA.1980.Ambient water quality criteria for phthalate esters. Washington DC:
- 505 Office of Water, Regulation and Standards.Criteria and Standards Division.
- 506 US EPA, 1995. Determination of Organic Compounds in Drinking Water by Liquid-
- 507 Solid Extraction and Capillary Column Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry.
- 508 Method 525.2, U.S. EPA, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- 509 US EPA, 1998. Guidelines for ecological risk assessment. Ecological Risk Assessment
- 510 Step 2. U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- 511 US EPA, 2014. Priority Pollutants.
- 512 <a href="http://water.epa.gov/scitech/methods/cwa/pollutants.cfm">http://water.epa.gov/scitech/methods/cwa/pollutants.cfm</a> (accessed 19.02.14).
- 513 Versteeg, D.J., Belanger, S.E., Carr, G.J., 1999. Understanding single species and
- model ecosystem sensitivity: A data based comparison. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 18,
  1329–1346.
- 516 Wang, L., Zhang, W., Tao, W., Wang, L., Shi, X., Lu, X., 2017. Investigating into
- 517 composition, distribution, sources and health risk of phthalic acid esters in street dust
- 518 of Xi'an City, Northwest China. Environ. Geochem. Health 39, 865-877.
- 519 Wang, P., Wang, S.L., Fan, C.Q., 2008. Atmospheric distribution of particulate- and
- 520 gas-phase phthalic esters (PAEs) in a Metropolitan City, Nanjing, East China.
- 521 Chemosphere 72, 1567-1572.
- 522 Wang, X.N., Liu, Z.T., Yan, Z.G., Zhang, C., Wang, W.L., Zhou, J.L., Pei, S.W.,
- 523 2013. Development of aquatic life criteria for triclosan and comparison of the
- sensitivity between native and non-native species. J. Hazard Mater. 260, 1017-1022.

- 525 Wang, Y.Z., Yan, Z.G., Xin, Z., Zhao, Y., Zhang, Y. H., Yang, N. Y. 2016.
- 526 Preliminary aquatic life criteria development and ecological risk assessment of
- ammonia in seven major river basins in China. Marine. Sci. Bull. 29 (1), 77-83
- 528 Weir, S.M., Wooten, K.J., Smith, P.N., Salice, C.J., 2014. Phthalate ester leachates in
- 529 aquatic mesocosms: implications for ecotoxicity studies of endocrine disrupting
- 530 compounds. Chemosphere 103, 44-50.
- 531 Wheeler, J.R., Grist, E.P., Leung, K.M., Morritt, D., Crane, M., 2002. Species
- 532 sensitivity distributions: data and model choice. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 45, 192-202.
- 533 Wofford, H. W., Wilsey, C. D., Neff, G. S., Giam, C. S., Neff, J. M., 1981.
- 534 Bioaccumulation and metabolism of phthalate esters by oysters, brown shrimp, and
- 535 sheepshead minnows. Ecotox. Environ. Safe. 5(2), 202-210.
- 536 Wu, F., Meng, W., Zhao, X., Li, H., Zhang, R., Cao, Y., 2010. China embarking on
- 537 development of its own national water quality criteria system. Environ. Sci. Technol.
- 538 **44(21)**, **7992-3**.
- 539 Wu, J.Y., Yan, Z.G., Liu, Z.T., Liu, J.D., Liang, F., Wang, X.N., Wang, W.L., 2015.
- 540 Development of water quality criteria for phenanthrene and comparison of the
- 541 sensitivity between native and non-native species. Environ. Pollut. 196, 141-146.
- 542 Yan, Z., Wang, W., Zhou, J., Yi, X., Zhang, J., Wang, X., Liu, Z., 2015. Screening of
- 543 high phytotoxicity priority pollutants and their ecological risk assessment in China's
- 544 surface waters. Chemosphere 128, 28-35.

- 545 Yan, Z.G., Zhang, Z.S., Wang, H., Liang, F., Li, J., Liu, H.L., Sun, C., Liang, L.J.,
- 546 Liu, Z.T., 2012. Development of aquatic life criteria for nitrobenzene in China.
- 547 Environ. Pollut. 162, 86-90.
- 548 Yang, F., Wang, M., Wang, Z., 2013. Sorption behavior of 17 phthalic acid esters on
- 549 three soils: effects of pH and dissolved organic matter, sorption coefficient
- measurement and QSPR study. Chemosphere 93(1), 82-89.
- 551 Zhang, Y., Zang, W., Qin, L., Zheng, L., Cao, Y., Yan, Z., Yi, X., Zeng, H., Liu, Z.,
- 552 2017. Water quality criteria for copper based on the BLM approach in the freshwater
- 553 in China. PLoS One 12, e0170105.
- Zhang, Z. M., Zhang, H. H., Zou, Y. W., Yang, G. P., 2018. Distribution and
- 555 ecotoxicological state of phthalate esters in the sea-surface microlayer, seawater and
- sediment of the Bohai Sea and the Yellow Sea. Environ. Pollut. 240, 235-247.
- 557 Zheng, L., Liu, Z., Yan, Z., Yi, X., Zhang, J., Zhang, Y., Zheng, X., Zhu, Y., 2017.
- 558 Deriving water quality criteria for trivalent and pentavalent arsenic. Sci. Total
- 559 Environ. 587, 68-74.

PAE species Observed Duration (Days) Endpoint Effect Concentration( $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ ) Exposure Type Reference Morphology 91 NR Kim et al.,2002 DEHP Oryzias latipes 1 renewal Danio rerio 21 NOEC Reproduction 2 Carnevali et al., 2012 renewal *Gobiocypris rarus* 21 NOEC Morphology 3.6 renewal Wang et al., 2013 Salvelinus fontinalis 150 Morphology 3.7 flow through NR Mayer et al., 2012 Population growth rate Hydra viridissima 7 10 Ganeshakumar et al., 2009 NOEC renewal Pimephales promelas 28 NOEC Morphology 12 Crago et al., 2012 renewal 30 100 Chironomus riparius NOEC Reproduction renewal Kim and Lee,2002 Eurytemora affinis 21 NOEC Reproduction 109 Forgetleray et al., 2005 renewal 320 Stephanodiscus hantzschii 4 EC50 Growth static Adema et al., 1981 Daphnia magna 21 NOEC Reproduction 640 renewal Adams and Heidolph, 1985 5 960 Richter, 1982 Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata EC50 Population growth rate static BBP, Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata 4 NOEC Population growth rate 60 static USEPA,1978 *Pimephales promelas* 21 NOEC Reproduction 64.6 flow through Hick, 2008 Fundulus heteroclitus 28 NOEC Reproduction 100 renewal Kaplan et al., 2013 21 NOEC 260 Gledhill et al., 1980 Daphnia magna Reproduction flow through Navicula pelliculosa 4 EC50 Population growth rate 600 Gledhill et al., 1980 static Anacystis aeruginosa 4 EC50 Population growth rate 1000 static Gledhill et al., 1980 DEP, Danio rerio 3.8 NOEC Reproduction 427.2 Xu et al., 2013 renewal Cyprinus carpio 28 NOEC Morphology 1000 Barse et al., 2007 renewal Chlamydomonas reinhardtii 3 EC10 Population growth rate 1020 Brack and Rottler, 1994 static Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata 4 NOEC Population growth rate 3650 static Adams and Gorsuch ,1995

	Daphnia magna	21	NOEC	Reproduction	3800	renewal	Kühn et al., 1989
	Anodonta cygnea	4	EC <sub>50</sub>	Population growth rate	16000	static	Adams and Gorsuch ,1995
	Scenedesmus subspicatus	4	EC50	Population growth rate	21000	static	Kuhn et al., 1989
DBP	Lemna minor	7	LOEC	Morphology	5	static	Huang et al., 2006
	Melanotaenia fluviatilis	7	NOEC	Reproduction	14	renewal	Bhatia et al., 2014
	Gasterosteus aculeatus	22	NOEC	Hormone effect	15.23	flow through	Aoki et al., 2011
	Oncorhynchus mykiss	99	NOEC	Growth	100	flow through	Rhodes et al., 2010
	Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata	4	NOEC	Population growth rate	210	static	Adams and Gorsuch ,1995
	Glandirana rugosa	21	NOEC	Morphology	278.34	renewal	Ohtani et al., 2000
	Danio rerio	95	NOEC	Population growth rate	400	renewal	Chen et al., 2015
	Scenedesmus subspicatus	3	NOEC	Population growth rate	500	static	Scholz, 1995
	Daphnia magna	21	NOEC	Reproduction	960	flow through	Rhodes et al., 2010
	Chlorella vulgaris	4	EC50	Population growth rate	7780	static	Chi et al., 2006
	Scenedesmus acutus	3	NOEC	Population growth rate	30200	static	Kuang et al., 2003

560 Note: NR stands for not report

PAEs	Ν	Mean	Adj-R <sup>2</sup>	$HC_5 (\mu g \cdot L^{-1})$	ALC ( $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ )
DEHP	11	196.5	0.951	0.08	0.04
DBP	11	3678.4	0.966	1.23	0.62
BBP	6	347.4	0.931	9.42	4.71
DEP	7	6699.6	0.939	83.7	41.9

Table 2 Parameters of SSDs for four PAEs based on reproduction endpoints

Table 3 The exposure concentrations of the four PAEs in the Liao River detected in July 2014 and their HQ values

	PAEs	DEHP		BBP		DEP		DBP	
Number	Sampling site	EEC (µg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	HQ	EEC (µg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	HQ	EEC (µg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	HQ	EEC (µg·L <sup>-1</sup> )	HQ
1	Hun he Bridge	0.900	22.5	ND	-	0.59	0.001	1.56	2.52
2	Lu jia Bridge	0.750	18.8	1.11	0.24	0.75	0.002	2.57	4.15
3	Jiang jun Bridge	24.4	609	1.21	0.26	0.61	0.001	10.1	16.2
4	Da huo fang Reservoir	0.800	20.0	4.34	0.92	0.76	0.002	2.00	3.23
5	Bei dao gou Hun he Bridge	1.44	36.0	2.18	0.46	0.75	0.002	2.01	3.24
6	Liao River estuary	12.9	322	1.27	0.27	1.15	0.003	7.19	11.6
7	Tian zhuang tai Bridge	0.750	18.8	6.54	1.39	0.65	0.002	2.11	3.40
8	Pan jin Bridge	17.9	448	2.13	0.45	0.71	0.002	7.87	12.7
9	Tian hu Bridge	23.2	581	1.12	0.24	0.45	0.001	5.98	9.65
10	He ping Bridge	24.9	622	1.21	0.26	0.56	0.002	11.3	18.2
11	Xiao bei bo Bridge	0.650	16.3	1.31	0.28	0.74	0.002	1.43	2.31
12	San cha he Bridge	0.780	19.5	ND	-	0.73	0.002	2.11	3.40

13	East Wang ben Bridge	20.1	501	ND	-	1.13	0.003	8.48	13.7
14	West Wang ben Bridge	32.8	819	0.960	0.20	1.75	0.005	16.6	26.7
15	Sheng li Bridge	37.3	933	1.05	0.22	0.74	0.002	9.98	16.1
16	Sha keng li	ND	-	0.150	0.03	ND	-	4.31	6.95
17	Zhao jia wo peng	5.39	135	ND	-	0.75	0.002	11.2	18.0
18	Bao li Bridge	18.9	472	6.55	1.39	1.55	0.004	8.45	13.6
19	Duan chuan fang zi Bridge	ND	-	1.12	0.24	0.34	0.001	5.53	8.92
20	Tong jiang kou Bridge	4.99	125	2.29	0.49	0.66	0.002	5.61	9.05
21	Gong zhu tun Bridge	0.540	13.5	1.31	0.28	0.71	0.002	4.58	7.39
22	Xin liu Bridge	0.98	24.5	ND	-	0.45	0.001	1.55	2.50
23	Yu bao tai Bridge	14.58	365	1.32	0.28	0.69	0.002	9.81	15.8
24	Hong miao zi Bridge	0.73	18.3	1.11	0.24	0.75	0.002	2.01	3.24
25	Nan za mu Bridge	1.12	28.0	2.12	0.45	0.63	0.002	1.82	2.94
26	Meng jia wo pu	7.58	190	1.15	0.24	0.42	0.001	2.74	4.42
27	Wei ning Bridge	0.75	18.8	2.21	0.47	0.54	0.001	3.25	5.24





Fig.1 The SSDs for four PAE based on reproductive effects





Fig.2 The preliminary ecological risks of four PAEs in Liao River Basin



Fig. 3. Joint probability curves for ecological risk of PAEs in Liao River.