

Figure A.1: Data-driven modeling of non-homogeneous Poisson process; MBIC penalty. $\tt DB-SCAN$ outliers are drawn in black.

Supplementary material

Appendix A. PELT with MBIC and AIC penalty

This appendix offers a sensitivity analysis of the PELT algorithm with respect to the result of Algorithm 1. Figure A.1 shows the change-points identified by PELT and the resulting clustering via DBSCAN when PELT uses the default Modified Bayesian Information Criterion (MBIC) penalty. In this case, the change-points returned by PELT are so well-concentrated that the clustering step is barely needed. Accordingly, the number of outliers returned by DBSCAN (shown in black) is extremely limited. Identified clusters are limited in number and typically located early in the morning or late in the evening. Thus, the Poisson intensities returned by Algorithm 1 have a very straightforward interpretation as day and night regimes.

Figure A.2 shows the change-points identified by PELT and the resulting clustering via DBSCAN when PELT uses the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) penalty. In this setting, PELT is much more sensible and detects regime changes in correspondence of maxima and minima of the average demand. The resulting description of the arrival stream in terms of a Poisson process is hence richer and



Figure A.2: Data-driven modeling of non-homogeneous Poisson process; AIC penalty. $\tt DBSCAN$ outliers are drawn in black.

follows more closely the average demand. However, the increased sensibility in the change point detection comes at the price of a *noisy* distribution of changepoints in the (t, λ) plane, which might be difficult to reconstruct via DBSCAN (see LGW).

Appendix B. Continuous wavelet transform of demand time series

Figure B.3 shows a continuous wavelet transform of the demand from the week August 01–07, 2016. The x-axis shows the time and the y-axis shows the scale parameter of the Ricker wavelet. The color bar shows the value of the coefficients of the transform. The plot highlights the presence of a low-frequency component with daily periodicity and high-frequency demand peaks with sufficient regularity, the strength and frequency of which vary across airports.

Appendix C. Correlations from regulated flight plan and PSRA model

Figure C.4 shows the Pearson's correlation $\rho_{t_i,t_{i+1}}$ between the simulated demand variation in the intervals $[t_i, t_{i+1})$ and $[t_{i+1}, t_{i+2})$. The simulated demand variation is obtained by subtracting the demand according to the regulated flight plan t^r from the demand simulated from Model (3).

Comparing Figures 6 and C.4, we observe that the Pre-Scheduled Random Arrivals (PSRA) model (3) captures this characteristic of the inbound flow very well.









Appendix D. Intensity function of the data-driven Poisson process

This appendix details the intensity function of the Poisson process obtained in Section 3.3.1. The intensity function is a periodic right-continuous stepfunction, which takes on value $\hat{\lambda}$ between two consecutive values of \hat{t} . The values of \hat{t} and $\hat{\lambda}$ are reported for each airport by Table D.1 below. The table shows how the model correctly captures the typical hourly landing rates in the moments of highest demand, when we expect the airport to operate close to its maximum capacity. For LHR, $\lambda = 0.64$ aircraft/min corresponds to 38.4 aircraft/hour, which is close to the maximum declared capacity of 45; for FRA, $\lambda = 0.90$ aircraft/min corresponds to 54 aircraft/hour, which is close to the maximum declared capacity of 60; finally, for AMS, $\lambda = 1.13$ aircraft/min corresponds to 67.8 aircraft/hour, which is very close to the the maximum declared capacity of 68 (capacity data from https://ext.eurocontrol.int/airport_corner_ public/).

Table D.1: Non-homogeneous Poisson process derived by PELT and DBSCAN algorithms. The table reports the centroids of the clusters identified by DBSCAN and shown in Figure 7. Times are local.

airport	time	λ
FRA	$04{:}32~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.2657~\mathrm{aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	06:41 UTC+02	$0.7325~\mathrm{aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	$08{:}55~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.4991~{\rm aircraft/min}$
	$10{:}33 \hspace{0.1cm}\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.8550~{ m aircraft/min}$
	12:14 UTC+02	$0.4530 \mathrm{~aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	14:31 UTC+02	$0.8757 \mathrm{~aircraft/min}$
	16:31 UTC + 02	$0.4270 \ \mathrm{aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	$18{:}29~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.9034~{\rm aircraft}/{\rm min}$
	$22{:}04~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.1182 \ aircraft/min$
LGW	$02{:}40~\mathrm{UTC}{+}01$	$0.0671~{\rm aircraft}/{\rm min}$
	$06{:}54~\mathrm{UTC}{+}01$	$0.2858 \ \mathrm{aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	$10{:}10~\mathrm{UTC}{+}01$	$0.4195~{\rm aircraft/min}$
	$23{:}26~\mathrm{UTC}{+}01$	$0.2328 \ \mathrm{aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
LHR	$00{:}25~\mathrm{UTC}{+}01$	$0.0000 \mathrm{~aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	$04{:}56~\mathrm{UTC}{+}01$	$0.1409 \mathrm{~aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	07:16 UTC+01	$0.6410 \mathrm{~aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	$22{:}24~\mathrm{UTC}{+}01$	$0.1424 \ \mathrm{aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
AMS	$02{:}47~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.0537~\mathrm{aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	$06{:}30~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	0.4222 aircraft/min
	$07{:}25~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.9062 \ aircraft/min$
	$08{:}53 \hspace{0.1 cm}\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.4416 \; \mathrm{aircraft} / \mathrm{min}$
	10:28 UTC + 02	$0.9006 \ aircraft/min$
Continued on next page		

Table D.1 – continued from previous page

airport	time	λ
	11:30 UTC+02	0.5334 aircraft/min
	12:38 UTC+02	$1.0207 \; \mathrm{aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	13:35 UTC+02	$0.4785~{ m aircraft/min}$
	15:00 UTC+02	$1.0653~{ m aircraft/min}$
	16:23 UTC+02	$0.5189 \mathrm{\ aircraft/min}$
	18:26 UTC+02	$1.1255~\mathrm{aircraft/min}$
	$19{:}52~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.4881 \ aircraft/min$
	$22{:}26~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.1942 \ aircraft/min$
MAD	$01{:}00~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.0386 \ \mathrm{aircraft/min}$
	$04{:}30 \text{ UTC}{+}02$	$0.1240 \ aircraft/min$
	$06{:}12~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.0293 \mathrm{~aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	07:14 UTC+02	$0.3511 \mathrm{~aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	09:19 UTC+02	$0.5870 \mathrm{~aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	$11:18 \text{ UTC}{+}02$	$0.3470 \mathrm{~aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	$13{:}02~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.7084 \ aircraft/min$
	$15{:}14~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.3574~{\rm aircraft}/{\rm min}$
	$17{:}56~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.5905~{\rm aircraft}/{\rm min}$
	$20{:}01~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.6972 \ \mathrm{aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	$22{:}33 \hspace{0.1cm}\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.3309 \mathrm{\ aircraft/min}$
	23:35 UTC+02	$0.1493 ext{ aircraft/min}$
CGD	01:24 UTC + 02	$0.0530 \operatorname{aircraft/min}$
	05:18 UTC + 02	0.1852 aircraft/min
	07:08 UTC + 02	0.5127 aircraft/min
	08:06 UTC+02	1.0003 aircraft/min
	09:11 UTC+02	0.4170 aircraft/min
	10:32 UTC+02	0.8515 aircraft/min
	12:11 UTC+02	0.3950 aircraft/min
	13:06 UTC+02	0.6116 aircraft/min
	$15:29 \cup 1C+02$	0.0009 aircraft/min
	$19:09 \cup 1C+02$	1.0227 aircraft/min
	$20:01 \ U \ I \ C + 02$	0.4032 alrcraft/min
	22:10 UTC + 02 22:21 UTC + 02	0.5120 all craft / min
	$25:21 \text{ UTC} \pm 02$ 01.97 UTC ± 02	0.0051 aircraft/min
AIH	01.27 U1C + 03 06.45 UTC + 02	0.0440 all chart / min
	$00.45 \text{ UTC} \pm 03$ $07.16 \text{ UTC} \pm 03$	0.4392 ancraft/min 0.2315 aircraft/min
	$12.03 \text{ UTC} \pm 03$	$0.2013 \operatorname{ancraft}/\operatorname{min}$
	$21.03 \text{ UTC} \pm 03$	0.10020 aircraft/min
FCO	03.29 UTC ± 02	0.1019 aircraft/min
100	06.47 UTC + 02	0.5395 aircraft/min
	09:24 UTC + 02	0.3155 aircraft/min
		ntinued on next nace
Continuea on next page		

Table D.1 – continued from previous page

airport	time	λ
	$11{:}49~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.6698~{\rm aircraft}/{\rm min}$
	12:30 UTC+02	$0.8899~\mathrm{aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	14:48 UTC+02	$0.3965~\mathrm{aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	$18{:}58~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.7465~\mathrm{aircraft}/\mathrm{min}$
	$19:31 \text{ UTC}{+}02$	$1.0422 \ aircraft/min$
	$21{:}37~\mathrm{UTC}{+}02$	$0.2130~{\rm aircraft/min}$