1 Small Ubiquitin-like Modifier protein, SUMO regulates Jasmonic acid signalling by suppressing the activity of the Jasmonic acid receptor, CORONATINE 2 **INSENSITIVE 1, COI1.** 3 Anjil Kumar Srivastava¹, Beatriz Orosa¹, Prashant Singh², Ian Cummins¹, Charlotte 4 Walsh¹, Cunjin Zhang¹, Murray Grant³, Michael R Roberts², Ganesh Srinivasan⁴, 5 Elaine Fitches^{1*}, Ari Sadanandom^{1*} 6 7 8 Affiliations 9 ¹School of Biological and Biomedical Sciences, University of Durham, Durham, DH1 3LE, United Kingdom 10 11 ²Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, United 12 Kingdom ³Geoffrey Pope Building, Biosciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, 13 University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4QD, UK 14 15 ⁴Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, 14 Science Drive, Singapore 117543. 16 17 ^{*}Corresponding Authors 18 19 Elaine Fitches and Ari Sadanandom 20 Department of Biosciences, 21 University of Durham, Durham, 22 DH1 3LE, United Kingdom 23 Phone: +441913341263 24 Fax: +441913342000 Email: E.C.Fitches@durham.ac.uk; ari.sadanandom@durham.ac.uk 25 26 27

28 ABSTRACT

29 The sessile nature of plants dictates that they respond rapidly to sudden 30 environmental cues often prior to changes in hormone levels that coordinate these 31 responses. How this is achieved is not fully understood. The integrative role of the 32 phytohormone Jasmonic Acid (JA) is reliant upon the plant's ability to control the 33 levels of JASMONATE ZIM (JAZ) domain containing signalling repressor proteins. Here, we demonstrate that regardless of intrinsic JA levels, SUMO conjugated JAZ 34 35 proteins inhibit the JA receptor COI1, from mediating non-SUMOylated JAZ degradation. SUMO deconjugating proteases, OTS1 and OTS2 regulate JAZ protein 36 37 SUMOylation and stability. *ots1 ots2* double mutants accumulate both SUMOylated 38 and non-SUMOylated JAZ repressor proteins but show no change in endogenous JA 39 levels compared to wildtype plants. SUMO1 conjugated JAZ proteins bind to COI1 independently of the JA mimic coronatine. SUMO inhibits JAZ binding to COI1. We 40 identify the SUMO interacting motif (SIM) in COI1 and demonstrate that this is vital to 41 SUMO dependant COI1 inhibition. Necrotroph infection of Arabidopsis promotes 42 43 SUMO protease degradation and this increases JAZ SUMOvlation and abundance, 44 which in turn inhibits JA signalling. This study reveals a mechanism for rapidly 45 regulating JA responses allowing plants to adapt to environmental cues.

46 **INTRODUCTION**

47 The sessile nature of plants dictates that growth must be integrated with changes in the natural environment. Modulation of hormone signalling pathways plays a key role 48 49 in this process. JA regulates a wide spectrum of plant growth, developmental and defence responses to pathogen attack. In this context JA is a major coordinator of 50 51 both constitutive developmental processes and in defence responses activated upon 52 pathogen invasion. Conjugation of JA to the amino acid L-isoleucine produces the 53 bioactive signal (3R,7S)-jasmonoyl-L-isoleucine (JA-IIe) (Fonseca et al., 2009). JA-54 Ile is structurally and functionally imitated by the phytotoxin Coronatine produced by 55 the bacterial pathogen, *Pseudomonas syringae* (Feys et al., 1994). An important step in the elucidation of the jasmonate-signalling pathway was made with the 56 discovery of the JA receptor COI1 that encodes an F-box protein acting as part of a 57 Skip-Cullin-F-box E3 ubiquitin ligase complex, targeting proteins for proteasomal 58 59 degradation (Xie et al., 1998). The JASMONATE ZIM DOMAIN (JAZ) family of 60 transcriptional repressors are the target substrates that associate with COI1 in a hormone-dependent manner (Chini et al., 2007; Thines et al., 2007; Katsir et al., 61

2008; Fonseca et al., 2009). JAZ repressors directly interact with and govern the 62 activity of transcription factors that include the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) proteins 63 64 MYC2, MYC3, and MYC4 that act redundantly to regulate a plethora of JA-mediated responses (Fernandez-Calvo et al., 2011; Pauwels and Goossens, 2011). In the 65 absence of a JA-Ile signal, JAZ proteins actively repress JA responsive transcription 66 factors. In response to environmental cues that up-regulate JA signalling, the 67 68 hormone binds to COI1 and stimulates specific binding to JAZ proteins. This leads to 69 poly-ubiquitination and subsequent proteasomal degradation of JAZ proteins. JAZ 70 degradation relieves repression of JA responsive transcriptional regulation leading to physiological changes. The integrative role of JA is heavily reliant on the plant's 71 72 ability to control JAZ protein levels; to date this has been demonstrated to be 73 controlled through modulating levels of JA-IIe. However, the static nature of plants 74 dictates that they must respond rapidly to changing environments and often prior to 75 changes in *de novo* JA levels. How this is achieved in plants is largely unknown.

76

77 Several other ubiquitin-like proteins have been described in plants, including SUMO 78 that can act to stabilize proteins to which it is conjugated (Conti et al., 2008). 79 Synthesized as an inactive precursor, SUMO proteins are processed to their mature 80 form by SUMO proteases that cleave the C-terminal tail from the precursor. This 81 exposes a di-Glycine motif where target attachment occurs in a series of enzymatic reactions very similar to ubiquitination, that includes activation, conjugation and 82 83 ligation (Jentsch and Pyrowolakis, 2000; Kerscher et al., 2006; Capili and Lima, 84 2007). To regulate the effects of SUMO-conjugated proteins, SUMOylation can also 85 be reversed by SUMO specific proteases, which release SUMO from their substrates 86 (Hay, 2001). SUMO proteases are crucial as they function in both maturation and de-87 conjugation. These two activities share a common catalytic mechanism, although the 88 substrates differ in so much as maturation involves hydrolysis of an amino-linked 89 peptide bond and de-conjugation catalyzes the hydrolysis of lysine-glycine isopeptide bonds (Reverter and Lima, 2009). So far only a few bona fide SUMO 90 91 proteases have been characterized in Arabidopsis and rice (Reeves et al., 2002; 92 Conti et al., 2008; Conti et al., 2014; Srivastava et al., 2016; Srivastava et al., 2017). 93 Previously, we identified two SUMO proteases, Overly Tolerant to Salt 1 (OTS1) and 94 OTS2 that are localized in the nucleus and act redundantly to regulate salt stress 95 responses in Arabidopsis (Conti et al., 2008). OTS1/OTS2 regulate the abundance

of SUMO conjugates in a salt stress dependant manner and overexpressing OTS1
alone reduces salt induced SUMO conjugate accumulation and can rescue the *ots1 ots2* double mutant sensitivity to high salinity (Conti et al., 2008).

99 Once covalently conjugated, SUMO affects protein-protein interactions, subcellular localization and stability of target proteins (Hay, 2001; Verger et al., 2003). 100 101 Furthermore, SUMO may facilitate new protein-protein interactions through SUMO-102 interacting motifs (SIMs) and compete with other post-translational modifications 103 such as ubiquitination and acetylation (Kerscher et al., 2006; Hickey et al., 2012). 104 Previously, we demonstrated that the sequestration of the GA receptor GID1 by 105 SUMO-conjugated DELLAs leads to an accumulation of non-SUMOylated DELLAs 106 by blocking their ubiquitination thereby enabling beneficial growth restraint during 107 stress (Conti et al., 2014). Here, we demonstrate a role for SUMOylation in 108 stabilising JAZ proteins by inhibiting COI1 from mediating JAZ repressor 109 degradation. The SUMO protease OTS1 regulates JAZ protein stability. ots1 ots2 110 double mutants accumulate both SUMOylated and non-SUMOylated JAZ repressor 111 proteins but show no change in endogenous JA levels as compared to wildtype 112 plants. SUMO1 conjugated JAZ proteins bind to COI1 independently of the JA mimic 113 coronatine. SUMO1 inhibits JAZ binding to COI1. Botrytis cinerea infection of 114 Arabidopsis promotes OTS1 SUMO protease degradation and consequently 115 increases JAZ SUMOylation and abundance, inhibiting JA signalling. Our data 116 reveals a new SUMO dependent attenuation mechanism for JA signalling in plants.

117 **RESULTS**

118 OTS SUMO proteases are susceptible to the fungal pathogen *Botrytis cinerea* 119 and the arthropod herbivore spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae*

120 Previously, we demonstrated that the ots1 ots2 double mutant displayed enhanced 121 resistance to virulent *P. syringae pv* tomato (*Pst*) and accumulated higher levels of 122 Salicylic Acid (SA) compared to wildtype (WT) plants (Bailey et al., 2016). 123 Furthermore, ots1 ots2 mutants exhibited up-regulated expression of the SA biosynthesis gene *ICS1* and enhanced SA responsive *PR1* expression as compared 124 125 to WT. SA stimulates OTS1/2 degradation and promotes accumulation of SUMO1/2 126 conjugates. These results indicate that OTS1 and -2 acts in a feedback loop in SA 127 signalling and *de novo* OTS1/2 synthesis works antagonistically to SA-promoted degradation, thereby adjusting the abundance of the OTS1/2 to moderate SAsignalling.

130 The SA and JA signalling pathways often act antagonistically (Glazebrook, 2005; 131 Kazan and Manners, 2008; Koornneef and Pieterse, 2008; Pieterse, 2012). Although 132 there are exceptions, generally it can be stated that pathogens with a predominantly 133 biotrophic lifestyle are more sensitive to SA induced defenses, whereas JA activates 134 defense against necrotrophic pathogens and herbivorous insects (Glazebrook, 2005; 135 Howe and Jander, 2008). Since, ots1 ots2 mutants were more resistant to Pst due to 136 increased SA levels, we wanted to ascertain if they were more susceptible to a 137 necrotrophic fungal pathogen *B. cinerea*, that causes grey mold disease (Mengiste, 138 2012) and an arthropod herbivore (red spider mite; *Tetranychus urticae*) where JA is 139 known to play a key role.

140 We compared the susceptibility of wild-type and ots1 ots2 double mutant and OTS1 overexpressing (OTS1-OE) (Bailey et al., 2016) plants to B. cinerea. Initially, we 141 142 scored the size of the necrotic lesions on detached leaves to assess the resistance 143 of WT and ots1 ots2 mutants to B. cinerea after inoculating plants with drops of 144 fungal spore suspension onto the upper epidermis of rosette leaves. As shown in 145 Figure 1A-B, disease lesions on detached leaves from the ots1 ots2 plants were 146 significantly larger than that of WT, confirming that these SUMO proteases are 147 required for resistance against *B. cinerea*. The previously established OTS1-OE line was also included in this analysis and showed no significant difference when 148 149 compared to wild type. The severity of symptoms seen in ots1 ots2 double mutants 150 was also reflected in the increased fungal biomass as indicated by quantitative-RT 151 PCR (gRT-PCR) data which showed that ots1 ots2 mutants had about 3-fold more 152 fungal DNA as compared to WT or OTS1-OE lines (Figure 1C). Spider mite fecundity 153 assays indicated that the female mites laid significantly more eggs on ots1 ots2 154 mutant plants compared to the WT and OTS1-OE transgenic lines (Figure 1D) 155 suggesting reduced resistance to insect herbivory in ots1 ots2 mutants. The lack of 156 any observable phenotypic differences in disease development in the OTS1-OE lines 157 maybe be attributed to the fact that, the levels of OTS1 expression in these lines may not be significant enough to yield a tangible difference in defence phenotypes 158 159 compared to WT plants but they were able to complement the salt stress sensitivity 160 phenotype in ots1 ots2 mutants (Conti et al., 2008; Bailey et al., 2016).

161

162 **OTS SUMO proteases regulate JA responses**

JA is well known to inhibit root growth and this growth inhibitory effect has been 163 164 exploited in many genetic screens for plants with altered JA sensitivity. To determine 165 the effect of JA on ots1 ots2 root growth, we grew seeds of WT and mutant plants in 166 the presence of 10 µM JA and monitored root growth. Exogenous JA treatment 167 caused significant root growth retardation in WT plants; however, this effect was 168 reduced in ots1 ots2 plants (Figure 2A-B). ots1 ots2 mutants were at least 30% more 169 resistant to JA mediated root growth inhibition as compared to WT implying that ots1 170 ots2 mutants are less sensitive to JA. This observation was substantiated by qRT-171 PCR data that demonstrated suppression of expression of downstream target genes 172 of JA mediated defence in ots1 ots2 mutant background (Figure 2C-F). Taken 173 together our data demonstrates that ots1 ots2 mutants have hampered JA sensitivity 174 and/or signalling. Hence, the ots1 ots2 mutant reveals a novel link between 175 SUMOylation and JA signalling.

176

177 JAZ proteins are hyperSUMOylated and stabilised in ots1 ots2 mutants

178 The 13 members within the JAZ repressor protein family collectively act by 179 interacting with and regulating various transcription factors to influence diverse JA responses. This provides an overall canonical mechanism for JA signalling 180 181 repression. However, individual JAZ repressors affect specific aspects of JA signalling (Kazan and Manners, 2008). JAZ6 and 5 are known to be active in JA 182 183 mediated defence while JAZ1 and 2 are more important for root growth (Grunewald 184 et al., 2009; Ingle et al., 2015). Since the ots1 ots2 mutants display reduced 185 sensitivity to JA in both defence and root growth we wanted to ascertain the impact 186 of the ots1 ots2 mutations on JAZ1 and JAZ6 protein abundance as proof of concept 187 of the increased repression of the canonical mechanism for JA signalling in the ots1 188 ots2 mutants. Immunoblot experiments with anti-GFP antibodies revealed that 35S 189 promoter driven GFP-tagged JAZ1 (35S::JAZ1:GFP) and JAZ6 (35S::JAZ6:GFP) 190 proteins were more abundant in the ots1 ots2 mutant plants compared to wildtype 191 (Figure 3A and Supplemental Figure 1). There was no significant difference in the 192 transcript levels of both transgenes in either genetic backgrounds (Supplemental 193 Figure 2). OTS1 and OTS2 are SUMO proteases capable of cleaving SUMO from 194 target proteins and therefore we wanted to ascertain whether SUMOylation of JAZ 195 proteins could provide a mechanism for stabilising JAZ proteins in the ots1 ots2

196 background. We immunopurified the Arabidopsis JAZ6:GFP protein using GFP 197 antibody-coated beads. Immunoblotting of GFP immunoprecipitates with Arabidopsis 198 SUMO1-specific antibodies indicated that JAZ6:GFP was conjugated to SUMO1 199 (Figure 3A, upper panel). We also observed a similar pattern of SUMOylation for 200 JAZ1:GFP (Supplemental Figure 1). This evidence indicated that the stability of JAZs 201 as well as the SUMOylation of JAZ proteins are enhanced in the ots1 ots2 202 background. The increased abundance of JAZ6:GFP and JAZ1:GFP levels were not 203 due to changes in JA levels as hormone measurements indicated that there was no 204 significant difference in JA-Ile levels between ots1 ots2 mutants and WT (Figure 3B). 205 This suggests a direct link between JAZ SUMOylation and its stability, a mechanism 206 consistent with increased repression of the JA responses observed in these mutant 207 plants. Intriguingly the lack of any significant change in JA levels in the ots1 ots2 208 mutant plants indicate that this repression mechanism operates independently of 209 intrinsic JA levels.

To determine the site of SUMO conjugation on JAZ6 we exploited the bacterial 210 211 SUMO conjugation system (Okada et al., 2009) to purify higher order SUMO1-JAZ6 212 conjugates and subjected them to mass spectrometry analysis (Supplemental Figure 213 3). Trypsin cleavage of SUMO conjugated peptides leaves a 4-specific amino acid 214 (QTGG) footprint when the mass spectrometry adapted SUMO1 (Miller et al., 2010) 215 is used to conjugate to target proteins. The peptide carrying this unique mass 216 footprint can be manually identified from fragmented ion mass spectra of a target 217 protein. Using this method, we successfully identified lysine 221 in JAZ6 as a 218 SUMO1 attachment site (Figure 3C). To test the hypothesis that SUMOylation on 219 JAZ6K221 was responsible for the increased stability of JAZ6 we produced 220 transgenic plants ectopically expressing via the 35S promoter, mutagenized versions 221 of JAZ6 lacking the relevant SUMO attachment site (lysine to arginine mutation at position 221, K to R) (35S::JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP) in the WT and ots1 ots2 backgrounds. 222 223 Anti-GFP immunoblot analysis revealed that JAZ6 levels in the ots1 ots2 genetic 224 background reverted to those levels seen in WT background (Figure 3D), even 225 though there was no significant difference in transcript levels of JAZ6 in either 226 backgrounds (Supplemental Figure 4). We also observed a drastic reduction in the SUMOylation of JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP (Figure 3D, upper panel). These observations, 227 together with the finding that JAZ6 repressor accumulates in ots1 ots2 background 228 229 indicate that SUMOylation of JAZ repressors modulates JA signalling.

230

SUMOylation of JAZ6 modulates the stability of JAZ repressor after JA treatment

We investigated the interaction of mutated JAZ6 (JAZ6^{K221}R) with COI1 using co-233 immunoprecipitation with anti-GFP beads of JAZ6:GFP and JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP with myc 234 235 tagged COI1 (myc-COI1) in the Nicotiana benthamiana transient assay system. 236 Immunoblotting with anti-GFP and anti-myc antibodies allowed us to ascertain that 237 the SUMO site mutated variant of JAZ6 actively interacted with myc-COI1 in a 238 coronatine (JA mimic) dependant manner and thus is still functional (Figure 4A). JAZ6 is degraded in the presence of JA (Chini et al., 2007) therefore, we wanted to 239 exploit this assay to determine the stability kinetics of JAZ6 and JAZ6^{K221R} in the 240 presence of JA. In a JAZ degradation time-course experiment we treated JAZ6:GFP 241 and JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP seedlings with JA for varying periods of time and, as indicated 242 in Figure 4B, JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP was more rapidly degraded and was undetectable after 243 244 15 minutes as compared to JAZ6:GFP under the same conditions. JA treatment also 245 promotes the accumulation of OTS1 protein (30 % compared to control mock 246 treatment as quantified by imageJ against RubisCO), indicating that de-SUMOylation 247 of JAZ6 protein is enhanced within 30 minutes of JA treatment (Figure 4C). The increase in HA:OTS1 protein levels could be due to the down regulation of a 248 249 potential ubiquitin E3 ligase that targets OTS1 for ubiquitin dependent proteasomal 250 degradation. We have previously shown that OTS1 is degraded by salt and ABA 251 treatment in a proteasome dependent manner (Conti et al., 2008, Srivastava et al., 252 2017). Therefore, it is likely that JA treatment triggers the down regulation of a yet 253 undiscovered E3 ligase.

254 Collectively, our data indicates that SUMOylation at K221 in JAZ6 is critical for its 255 stability. The accumulation of OTS1 protein after JA treatment, further supports the 256 role of this SUMO protease as a regulator of JAZ-SUMOylation

257

258 SUMOylation of JAZ6 is enhanced during Botrytis infection

259 Our data indicate a novel link between SUMOylation and JAZ protein stability 260 through the *ots1 ots2* SUMO proteases. We therefore hypothesized that JAZ protein 261 SUMOylation and deSUMOylation may be a naturally occurring mechanism by which 262 pathogens attenuate JA signaling in plants. To test this hypothesis, we challenged 263 35S::JAZ6:GFP transgenic plants with the virulent bacteria *Pst* and the fungal 264 pathogen *B. cinerea* to investigate the status of JAZ6 SUMOylation. As shown in Supplemental Figure 5, JAZ6:GFP degradation begins to occur within 2 hours post 265 266 bacterial inoculation with a concomitant decrease in JAZ6:GFP SUMO conjugation. 267 In contrast, B. cinerea infection leads to the accumulation of higher levels of 268 JAZ6:GFP after 24 hours and a striking increase in SUMOvlated JAZ6:GFP levels (Figure 5A). This coincided with the degradation of OTS1 protein during *B. cinerea* 269 270 infection (Figure 5B). However, JA treatment reduces SUMOylation of JAZ6:GFP 271 with the concomitant reduction of total JAZ6:GFP protein to a similar level to that 272 observed following *Pst* inoculation (Figure 5C). Co-immunoprecipitation experiments 273 using Agrobacterium mediated transient assays in N. benthamiana demonstrated 274 that the OTS1 SUMO protease formed a protein complex with JAZ6:GFP (Figure 5D) 275 indicating that OTS1 SUMO protease deSUMOylates JAZ repressors in the absence 276 of pathogen infection. Taken together our data indicate that necrotrophic pathogen 277 attack leads to the degradation of the OTS1 SUMO protease that otherwise targets JAZ proteins for deSUMOylation. This leads to the accumulation of SUMOylated JAZ 278 279 proteins resulting in the attenuation of JA mediated defence pathway. Since the JA pathway is vital for defence against B. cinerea we postulate that targeted 280 281 degradation of OTS1 resulting in JAZ6 protein accumulation is part of *B. cinerea's* 282 virulence strategy.

283

284 SUMO inhibits JA- receptor COI1 binding to JAZ6 protein

285 We have established that JAZ6:GFP protein is SUMOylated during B. cinerea 286 infection and this leads to enhanced JAZ6:GFP stability. We also demonstrated that 287 OTS SUMO proteases play a direct role in JAZ6:GFP protein stability by deSUMOylating JAZ6:GFP. We next investigated whether the SUMOylated 288 289 JAZ6:GFP protein could interfere with the function of the JA receptor, COI1 F-box 290 protein. Inspection of the Arabidopsis COI1 protein sequence revealed a conserved 291 putative SUMO interaction motif (SIM) at its C-terminus (position 550-558 in Arabidopsis COI1; Figure 6A-B) which is also conserved in Brassica napus COI1. 292 293 SIM motifs on proteins are specific consensus sequences that bind to SUMO and 294 therefore mediate distinct protein-protein interactions (Minty et al., 2000). Depending 295 on the ability to interact with the SIM containing proteins, SUMOylated proteins may influence cell functions (Hecker et al., 2006). These facts led us to hypothesize that 296 297 SUMOvation of JAZ6 protein and the SIM in COI1 might have a significant role on

298 COI1-JAZ interaction that results in modulating JA signalling pathway. Furthermore, 299 a structural model of COI1 (Sheard et al., 2010) developed using PyMOL Graphics 300 software based on the resolved structures of COI1 and JAZ suggested that free 301 SUMO and SUMOylated JAZ proteins can occupy the same interaction face as non-302 SUMOvlated JAZ for COI1 binding. This suggests that there may be competition 303 between SUMOylated and non-SUMOylated JAZ proteins for COI1 binding through 304 the SIM motif (Figure 6A). To investigate the potential role of a SIM in COI1-JAZ6 305 interaction, we first used Glutathione S-transferase (GST) pull down assays to examine possible interactions between COI1 and SUMO1 proteins. In the first in-306 307 vitro binding experiment, COI1 was expressed in Escherichia coli (E. coli) as a GST 308 fusion (GST:COI1) and immobilized on glutathione beads. SUMO1 (His:SUMO1) 309 was expressed in *E. coli* and purified using nickel beads. Possible interaction 310 between GST:COI1 and His:SUMO1 was examined by incubating the His-tagged SUMO1 protein with beads immobilized with GST:COI1 or GST-only. After extensive 311 washing of unbound molecules, the bound SUMO1 was detected by immunoblotting 312 313 using a monoclonal anti-His antibody. As shown in Figure 6C, His:SUMO1 was 314 retained on the GST:COI1 beads but not on the GST control beads indicating that 315 COI1 indeed possesses a *bona fide* SIM motif. To further validate the significance of COI1-SIM for SUMO1 binding, we mutated the core SIM amino acid residue, Valine 316 317 at position 553 of COI1 to Alanine through site directed mutagenesis (GST:COI1^{V553A}) to potentially eliminate SUMO binding to COI1. GST:COI1^{V553A}, 318 showed markedly decreased interaction with SUMO1 in comparison with its 319 320 corresponding WT COI1 demonstrating the critical nature of the SIM motif in COI1 321 for SUMO1 binding (Figure 6D).

322

323 SUMOylated JAZ6 inhibits non-SUMOylated JAZ and COI1 interaction

Coronatine (COR) is a major high affinity analogue of JA-Ile (Katsir et al., 2008; 324 325 Fonseca et al., 2009; Sheard et al., 2010) produced by pathogens to overcome SAinduced resistance (Brooks et al., 2004; 2005). Coronatine can mimic JA-Ile to 326 327 relieve transcriptional repression of JA-responsive genes by promoting the interaction of the COI1 F-box protein with the JAZ transcriptional repressors. To test 328 329 whether SUMO1 affected the interaction between COI1 and JAZ6 we performed in vitro GST pull-down assays between GST:COI1 and His:JAZ6 with increasing 330 331 amounts of recombinant His:SUMO1. This experiment demonstrated that COR

332 dependent interaction of GST-COI1 with His: JAZ6 can be inhibited by His: SUMO1 (Figure 7A). However, the inhibitory effect of His:SUMO1 was significantly less 333 efficient when GST-tagged COI1^{V553A} SIM mutant was used instead of the WT COI1 334 335 with His:JAZ6 (Figure 7B). We also examined the possible in vivo interaction of 336 SUMOylated JAZ6 and COI1 in planta via co-immunoprecipitation assays. 337 SUMOvlated JAZ6:GFP interacts with myc-COI1 in planta independently of 338 coronatine (Figure 7C) suggesting that this mechanism operates regardless of 339 endogenous JA levels.

- The enhanced interaction between GST tagged SIM mutant of COI1 (COI1^{V553A}) and 340 His:JAZ6, even in the presence of His:SUMO1, raises the possibility that JAZ 341 proteins may be degraded more rapidly in the presence of COI1^{V553A} SIM mutant. 342 We therefore tested HA:COI1 and HA:COI1^{V553A} mediated degradation of JAZ6:GFP 343 in N. benthamiana transient assays. Results showed that plants expressing 344 HA:COI1^{V553A} degrade JAZ6:GFP more rapidly than plants expressing wildtype 345 HA:COI1 in the presence of coronatine (Figure 7D and Supplemental Figure 6). This 346 data demonstrates that HA:COI1^{V553} is not only active as a JA receptor but, since it 347 is not under the repression of SUMO1, HA:COI1^{V553A} is more potent in mediating 348 JAZ6:GFP degradation. These observations provide a mechanism for SUMOylated 349 JAZ to disrupt the interaction of COI1 with non SUMOylated JAZ allowing the 350 351 accumulation of the repressor. Since SUMOylated JAZ6:GFP interacted with myc-COI1 even in the absence of the JA mimic coronatine it is highly likely that this COI1 352 353 inhibition by SUMO1 is JA independent.
- 354

355 COI1 SIM mutant suppresses JA insensitivity of SUMO protease (*ots1 ots2*) 356 mutants

COI1 forms a functional E3 ubiquitin ligase SCF^{COI1} and acts as an essential 357 358 component of JA perception machinery by stimulating the degradation of JAZ 359 proteins (Chini et al. 2007; Pauwels et al. 2011). Results from Figure 7 indicate that SUMO inhibits COI binding to JAZ proteins via the SIM motif therefore we postulated 360 that distrupting the SIM motif in COI1 should lead to increased JA signalling by 361 promoting faster degradation of JAZ repressors as seen in the N. benthamiana 362 transient assays (Figure 7D). To test the significance of the SIM motif of COI1 in JA 363 signalling, we generated Arabidopsis transgenics overexpressing (under the 35S 364 promoter) wild type COI1 (35S::COI1:GFP) or the SIM variant COI1 V553A 365

(35S::COI1^{V553A}:GFP) in the ots1 ots2 double mutant background where there are 366 higher levels of both SUMOylated JAZ and non-SUMOylated JAZs. We anticipated 367 that by overexpressing the SIM disrupted COI1^{V553A}:GFP we should overcome JA 368 insensitivity mediated by increased JAZ levels in the ots1 ots2 double mutant. As 369 370 controls we also expressed wildtype COI1 (35S::COI1:GFP) in the wildtype Col-0 371 background. Both in *B. cinerea* infection assays (Figure 8A-C) and in root growth 372 inhibition assays (Figure 9A-C) we observed that the transgenics expressing 373 COI1^{V553A}:GFP (in ots1 ots2 background) were more sensitive to JA than the 374 corresponding WT COI1:GFP expressing plants (Supplemental Figure 7). The 375 comparable protein levels of the respective transgenes (Figure 9C) demonstrated 376 that SUMOvlated JAZ proteins suppress JA signalling by inhibiting COI1 from 377 targeting non-SUMOylated JAZ proteins for ubiquitin dependent degradation.

378 **DISCUSSION**

Given the importance of jasmonates as endogenous developmental regulators in plants, and as primary responders against pathogen attack, improving our understanding of their mechanisms of recognition and signalling has far-reaching importance for plant biology. This study reveals a new control feature of the JA pathway with demonstrable implications for developmental processes and adaptive responses in plants.

385 The SUMO-SIM interaction is emerging as a key theme in molecular signalling in a wide range of organisms (Geiss-Friedlander and Melchior, 2007). This study 386 387 describes how the SUMO-SIM 'molecular glue' paradigm operates within plants to 388 block ubiquitination of target proteins (sequestering COI1 needed for ubiquitinating 389 JAZ repressors). Through this study, we unravel a mechanism for attenuating JA 390 signalling through SUMOylation of the JAZ repressor proteins. Three clear lines of 391 evidence support this conclusion. Firstly, hormone analysis indicates that there is no 392 significant change in JA levels in the ots1 ots2 double mutants although these 393 mutants accumulate JAZ1 and 6 repressor proteins as well as their SUMOylated 394 Secondly, in Figure 7D we provide data on JAZ6 degradation kinetics forms. 395 demonstrating that, at the same level of JA, the COI1 SIM mutant (that is no longer under SUMO mediated repression) is more efficient in causing the degradation of 396 397 JAZ6:GFP as compared to WT. Thirdly, Figure 7C shows that only SUMOylated JAZ6:GFP, but not JAZ, is able to interact with myc-COI1 in the absence of the JA 398 399 mimic (coronatine). This study identifies a mechanism that can operate

400 independently of JA to suppress COI1 activity. This provides direct evidence that 401 intrinsic hormonal levels in planta do not affect SUMOylated JAZ from inhibiting 402 COI1 and degrading non-SUMOylated JAZ and therefore attenuating JA signalling. 403 This mechanism may allow plants to develop a rapid adaptive response prior to 404 changes in JA levels. Attenuation of JA signalling has been reported to occur by an 405 increase in JAZ repressor gene expression (Chico et al., 2008) and by the 406 degradation of Je-Ile (Aubert et al., 2015; Smirnova et al., 2017). Here, we provide a 407 new post-translational mechanism for repressing JA signalling that operates within 408 hours of *Botrytis* infection that does not require changes in JA levels. This process 409 affords a new layer of regulation in hormone signalling, allowing plants to rapidly 410 apply "brakes" on JA responses without the need for changes in hormone levels.

411

412 The JA mimic coronatine is an important component of the armoury of 413 phytopathogenic *Pseudomonas* used to infect and cause disease in Arabidopsis. 414 Mutants deficient in JA signalling were found to be more resistant to virulent *Pst* as in 415 ots1 ots2 double mutants that also have elevated SA levels (Bailey et al., 2016; 416 Zabala et al., 2016). Coronatine mediated activation of JA signalling contributes to 417 disease development and Arabidopsis challenged with coronatine deficient *Pst* also 418 have enhanced levels of SA (Geng et al., 2012, Laurie-Berry et al., 2006). A JA 419 signalling repression mechanism that operates independently of coronatine will allow 420 plants to counter *Pst* infection and evidence that *de novo* JA levels accumulated very 421 late in Pst infected Arabidopsis argues for the existence of such a mechanism 422 (Zabala et al., 2016). Here, we postulate that plants have exploited the SUMO 423 system to attenuate JA signalling to enhance defence against biotrophic and hemi-424 biotrophic phytopathogens.

425 In our model (Figure 10) we suggest that virulent *Pst* infection promotes 426 deSUMOylation of JAZ repressors which promotes COI1-JAZ interaction to activate 427 JA signalling. Activation of JA signalling pathways results in the suppression of SA 428 signalling. In contrary, during necrotrophic infection such as *B. cinerea*, OTS SUMO 429 protease is degraded and this upregulates SUMOylation of JAZ6:GFP protein possibly by the change in equilibrium in favour of SUMO E2s which have been 430 431 known to SUMOylate targets directly and/or SIZ1 SUMO E3 which have been 432 implicated in pathogen responses in Arabidopsis (Lee et al., 2007). The consequent

re-SUMOyation of JAZ proteins would inhibit JAZ6-COI1 interaction preventing JAZ6
degradation thus repressing JA signalling.

Interestingly, the SUMO site in JAZ6 is located in the C-terminal JAS motif that has
been shown to interact with, not only COI1, but also a range of transcription factors
(Melotto et al., 2008; Staswick, 2008; Yan et al., 2009) whose activity is repressed by
JAZ proteins. The impact of SUMOylation on JAZ repressor interaction with cognate
transcription factors is not known and requires further investigation.

DELLA growth regulators restrain plant growth, whereas gibberellic acid (GA) 440 promotes growth by targeting DELLAs for destruction. Different studies have 441 442 demonstrated that DELLA restraint is a crucial mechanism for plants to modulate growth according to environmental cues (Achard et al., 2008; de Lucas et al., 2008; 443 444 Achard and Genschik, 2009). We previously demonstrated that a proportion of DELLAs are conjugated to the SUMO protein and the extent of conjugation 445 increases during stress, similar to JAZ1 and JAZ6. We identified a SUMO interacting 446 447 motif (SIM) in the GA receptor GID1 and demonstrated that SUMO-conjugated 448 DELLA binds to this motif in a GA-independent manner (Conti et al., 2008). The 449 consequent sequestration of GID1 by SUMO-conjugated DELLAs leads to an 450 accumulation of non-SUMOylated DELLAs resulting in beneficial growth restraint 451 during stress. For example, DELLAs sequester light responsive and phytochrome 452 interacting transcription factors such as PIF3 and PIF4 and inhibit hypocotyl elongation in the light (de Lucas et al., 2008; Feng et al., 2008). In this context, the 453 454 JAZ proteins appear to play an analogous role in inhibiting transcription factor 455 activity. Primary root growth of ots1 ots2 mutants are less hindered by exogenous JA 456 treatment and this is likely to be due to the suppression of the inhibitory effect of 457 MYC2 on root development due to the accumulation of JAZ proteins in the ots1 ots2 458 mutants.

459 In all of these cases, the common central thread is the relative abundance of 460 DELLAs and JAZ repressors, which is modulated by changes in GA and JA levels, respectively. We have demonstrated that dwarfism can be reversed independently of 461 462 GA levels by modifying the SUMOylation status of DELLAs and that this mechanism 463 is particularly important for plant growth under stress (Conti et al., 2014). Recent evidence indicates that DELLA and JAZ proteins directly interact to mediate cross 464 465 talk between GA and JA. The discovery that both DELLA and JAZ proteins are SUMOvlated leads to the possibility that SUMO may provide a new facet to this 466

467 cross talk. Thus, this study provides an important insight into the integrative role of468 hormones in controlling plant growth and defence.

469

470 **METHODS**

471 Plant Material and growth conditions

472 All Arabidopsis lines used in this study are in Col-0 (WT) background. Seeds were 473 plated on 0.5 x Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium, and 0.8 % agar (w/v) on vertical 474 plates, stratified for 2 days at 4 °C then transferred to growth chambers at 21 °C 475 under long day (16 h light/8 h dark) cycle. The *ots1 ots2* double mutants were used 476 as described previously (Bailey et al., 2016).

477 Agrobacterium mediated transformation of Arabidopsis for the generation of478 transgenic plants

479 The constructs were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV1301 and transferred into Arabidopsis using the floral dip method. Agrobacterium cells 480 containing the appropriate construct were collected by centrifugation and re-481 482 suspended using 5 % (w/v) sucrose solution until the OD_{600} of the cell suspension 483 was 0.8. Silwet L-77, as a strong surfactant, was added to the sucrose solution to 484 obtain a final concentration of 0.05 % (v/v). Developing Arabidopsis inflorescences were dipped into Agrobacterium cell suspensions for about 15 seconds and 485 486 transformed plants were subsequently grown in darkness horizontally for 16-24 h. The seeds of treated plants were harvested after Agrobacterium-mediated 487 488 transformation and seedlings of transformants were obtained by glufosinate 489 screening. Transgenic lines expressing JAZ6-GFP and COI1-GFP and their mutant 490 variants in the ots1 ots2 double mutant and wildtype Col-0 were generated by floral 491 dips of the respective constructs in Agrobacterium as described above. Two 492 independent lines containing single insertions in T3 generation homozygous 493 transgenic plants with comparable level of transcripts (supplemental figures 2 and 4) 494 were used for further experiments.

495 Analysis of disease and herbivore resistance

496 *Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato* DC3000 (*Pst*) was grown on King's B medium 497 plates with appropriate antibiotics and incubated for 2 days at 28 °C. *Pst* infection 498 was performed as previously described (Bailey et al., 2016). Briefly, bacterial cells 499 were collected by centrifugation (2500 g) and re-suspended in 10 mM MgCl₂. 500 Pressure infiltration of *Pst* (cfu 1x 10⁶; OD_{600 nm} = 0.002) was carried out using a

501 needleless syringe. Whole leaves were harvested at the indicated time point after 502 bacterial challenge, frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and used for western blotting. Three independent experiments were performed for the protein 503 504 accumulation analysis. Each replicate consisted of rosette leaves of at least three 505 plants grown in individual pots. Collection of *B. cinerea* spores and plant inoculation 506 was performed as described previously (Bailey et al., 2016). In short, *B. cinerea* was 507 sub-cultured on sterile petri dishes with potato dextrose agar medium 2 weeks prior to use of the spores. Subcultures were incubated in the dark at 25 °C. Spores were 508 509 harvested in water, inoculums were filtered to remove hyphae and then resuspended in potato dextrose broth to a concentration of 10⁵ spores/mL. Leaf 7 from 510 511 each of the plants was detached and placed on a bed of 0.8 % (w/v) agar in three 512 plates. Half of the leaves were inoculated with 5 µl droplets of *B. cinerea* inoculum 513 and the other half were mock inoculated with 5 µl of sterile potato dextrose broth. 514 Each plate contains 24 infected and 24 uninfected randomly arranged leaves. Trays were covered with lids and kept under the same conditions as for plant growth, 515 516 except that the relative humidity was raised to 90%. Lesion perimeters were determined from photographs taken 48 and 72 h post inoculation using image 517 518 analysis software ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/). Mean lesion perimeters of 20 519 leaves from 20 plants of different genotypes were compared. Cultures of red spider 520 mite (Tetranychus urticae) were maintained on French bean plants. Adult female 521 mites were collected from stock plants and released onto leaves (5 mites per plant) 522 of Arabidopsis plants grown in controlled environments under standard conditions. 523 After 5 days, eggs were counted using a binocular microscope.

524 Site Directed Mutagenesis

525 Wildtype sequences of JAZ6 and COI1 were amplified by PCR from Arabidopsis and 526 cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO (Invitrogen). Mutated versions of JAZ6 and COI1 were 527 generated by site-directed mutagenesis using the pENTR/D-TOPO clones as 528 template. Oligonucleotide primers used to introduce the mutations are listed in Table 529 S1. The introduction of mutations was confirmed by sequencing, performed both 530 before and after introduction of the mutated JAZ6 and COI1 coding sequences into pEarlyGate103/201/203 destination vectors using LR Clonase (Invitrogen). The 531 532 pEarlyGate 103/201/203 vector drives expression with the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter with GFP, HA and myc tags. The GFP tagged constructs were 533

534 introduced into Columbia-0 (WT) and *ots1 ots2* double mutant background plants via

535 Agrobacterium-mediated transformation.

536 Generation of JAZ expression constructs and transgenic lines

537 To generate the 35S::JAZ6 construct, the JAZ6 cDNA was cloned into the pENTR/D-538 TOPO (Invitrogen) vector and recombining the plasmid pENTR/D-TOPO with the 539 binary vector pEarlygate-103 vectors to generate overexpression constructs. The JAZ6^{K221R} allele was generated according to the quick-change Site-Directed 540 Mutagenesis Kit with mutagenic oligos (JAZ6^{K221R} FP/RP). The resulting plasmid 541 was recombined with the pEarlygate 103 vector to obtain the 35S::JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP 542 fusion. The COI1 ORF was amplified by PCR from whole cDNAs from seedlings with 543 544 COI1 specific oligos and cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO to yield entry clone. The 545 35S::COI1:GFP construct was generated by recombining the plasmid entry vector with the binary vector pEarlygate 101 vector. The COI1^{V553A} allele was generated 546 547 according to the quick-change Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit with mutagenic oligos (COI1^{V553A}FP/RP). For GST pull down assays, fusion constructs GST:COI1, 548 549 His:JAZ6 and His:SUMO1: were generated by recombining entry vector plasmids 550 with destination vectors pDEST15 (GST tag) and pDEST17 (His tag) vectors. 551 Transgenic plants were generated and analysed as described above.

552 **Total RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR**

553 Twelve-day-old wildtype (WT), ots1 ots2 plant leaves were frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground to a fine powder in pestle and mortar. RNA was extracted using the 554 Spectrum[™] Plant Total RNA kit (Sigma-Aldrich) following the manufacturer's 555 recommendations. RNA was quantified by measuring absorbance at wavelengths of 556 260 and 280 nm using a NanoDrop[™] 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). 557 RNA was DNase treated with Promega DNase I and cDNA synthesis conducted 558 559 using Invitrogen SuperScript-II Reverse Transcriptase following manufacturer's 560 guidelines. Seedlings were exposed to mock (MS) or 50 µM MeJA before being 561 harvested and RNA extracted. One microgram of total RNA was used for cDNA synthesis and gRT-PCR analysis was performed. 562

The qRT-PCR assay was conducted as described previously (Conti et al. 2008), using SYBR green master mix (Applied Biosystem) and used for qPCR with a Rotor-Gene-Q (Qiagen). Amplification was followed by a melt curve analysis. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was used for relative quantification (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). To detect

transcript levels, oligos for specific genes were used (Supplemental table 1).Oligonucleotides amplifying Actin were used for normalization.

569 Quantification of JA-Ile from Arabidopsis tissues

570 JA-IIe was quantified essentially as previously described (Forcat et al., 2008) with 571 slight modification. Twelve-day-old seedlings grown on 0.5x MS plates were 572 harvested into liquid nitrogen. Samples were ground using a mortar and pestle, and 573 10 mg powdered tissue aliquots were weighed into microcentrifuge tubes and 574 extracted with 400 μ l of 10% (v/v) methanol containing 1 % (v/v) acetic acid to which internal standards (10 ng of JA) had been added. Following removal of the 575 576 supernatant, the pellet was re-extracted (400 µl of 10 % methanol; 1 % acetic acid). 577 Following a 30 minute incubation on ice, the extract was centrifuged and the 578 supernatants pooled. Samples were then analysed by mass spectrometry using a 579 Sciex Q TRAP 6500 hybrid triple-quadrupole analyser linked to Shimadzu Nexera 580 UHPLC system. Samples were separated on a Phenomenex Luna Omega Polar column (1.6 µm 100 x 2.1 mm) using mobile phases of 0.1% (v/v) formic acid (A) and 581 0.1 % formic acid in methanol (B) at a flow rate of 200 µL.min⁻¹, starting at 5 % B, 582 held for 2 minutes, with a linear gradient to 95 % B at 9 minutes, held for 2.9 583 584 minutes, with a total run time of 12.2 minutes. The column was equilibrated at 5% B 585 for 5 minutes between runs.

586 **Bioinformatical analysis of protein structures**

587 The structural model of the COI1 SIM site interaction was developed using PyMOL 588 software. The co-ordinates for each structure were downloaded from the PDB (files 589 3OGK and 1A5R) and the binding sites of SUMO1 and COI1 were mapped onto the 590 protein.

591 **Recombinant protein and GST Pull down assay**

592 Recombinant protein expression and production in *E. coli* were as previously 593 described (Srivastava et al., 2015) with slight modifications. COI1 (GST:COI1), 594 SUMO1 (His:SUMO1) and JAZ6 (His:JAZ6) were expressed in BL21 (DE3) cells. GST-COI1 protein was over-expressed and purified from *E. coli* using Glutathione 595 sepharose 4B beads (GE, USA). His:SUMO1 and His:JAZ6 protein was 596 overexpressed and purified from *E. coli* (BL21) cells using Ni-NTA Agarose beads 597 598 (Qiagen). For *in vitro* binding experiments, GST and GST-COI1 (2.0 µg) protein was bound to a GST column by incubating with *in-vitro* pull-down buffer for 2h at 4 °C. 599 600 Excess unbound protein was washed off and His:SUMO1 proteins were added in

equimolar ratio and incubated in 500 µl *in vitro* pull-down (IVPD) buffer (50 mM TrisCI (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 0.2 % [v/v] glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP 40 [v/v], 1mM
PMSF and 1x protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma) at 4 °C. The GST beads were
collected by brief centrifugation and (input was collected separately) washed three
times with 1 ml of IVPD buffer. Pellets were re-suspended in 1x SDS loading buffer,
boiled for 5 minutes and analysed by SDS-PAGE for protein binding. Both input (2%)
and pull-down samples were probed with anti-GST and anti-His antibodies.

608 Reconstitution of SUMOylation in *E. coli*

609 In order to perform SUMOylation reactions in E. coli, we transformed the His: JAZ6 plasmid in two different strains containing SUMO conjugation machinery with 610 SUMO1 modified to expose the C-terminal Gly-Gly (GG) sequence and as a 611 612 negative control, SUMO1 with the C-terminal Gly-Gly mutated to Ala-Ala (AA) 613 (Okada et al., 2009). For SUMOylation reactions, proteins were purified from freshly transformed E. coli using 1ml His-Trap nickel affinity columns (GE Healthcare) and 614 probed with anti-MBP and anti-AtSUMO1 antibodies to investigate the SUMOylation 615 616 of JAZ6 in vitro.

617 Mass Spectrometry Analysis

The reaction was performed in a single cell system and the protein purified using His-Trap columns and samples were loaded with $4 \times SDS$ loading buffer. Five individual reactions were combined and separated by 10% acrylamide SDS-PAGE gel. Gels were stained for total proteins with coomassie brilliant blue and subsequently de-stained with 10 % acetic acid; 40 % methanol; 50 % water and washed with double distilled water (ddH₂O). Protein bands were sliced for MS analysis.

625 *In vivo* protein degradation assays

626 Protein degradation assays were performed as described previously with slight 627 modifications (Bueso et al., 2014). For in vivo protein degradation experiments, A. 628 tumefaciens cultures containing constructs that express JAZ6:GFP, HA:COI1/ HA:COI1^{V553A} or HA:SUMO1 and the silencing suppressor p19 were co-infiltrated at 629 630 different ratios in tobacco leaves. Three days after infiltration, samples were collected, ground in liquid nitrogen and immediately placed on ice in lysis buffer (50 631 632 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40, 10 mM MgCl₂, protease inhibitor 633 tablets) for protein extraction. Homogenates were cleared by centrifugation at 13 000

634 rpm at 4 °C for 15 minutes, and supernatants were used for protein immunoblot635 analysis.

636 **Protein extraction and Western blot analysis**

637 Frozen plant tissue was ground to a fine powder with a chilled pestle and mortar. 638 Protein extraction buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.5, 4% SDS (w/v), 2% βmercaptoethanol (v/v), 10 mM EDTA) and protease inhibitor tablet was added at a 639 640 ratio of 1:1 w/vol. The mixture was centrifuged at 12 000 g at 4 °C for 10 min. The 641 protein concentration was determined using a Direct Detect TM Infra-red 642 Spectrometer (EMD Millipore) and samples were equalized with the addition of 643 extraction buffer. Protein loading dye (4x) was added and the samples were 644 separated on SDS-PAGE gels. Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride 645 (PVDF) membranes and blocked with 5 % (w/v) semi-skimmed milk powder at room 646 temperature and probed with the respective antibodies. Secondary horseradish 647 peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated antibodies were applied before developing the blots 648 with X-ray film using an automated developer.

649 Statistical Analysis

All statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 6 software. One-way or Two-way ANOVAs were performed at a significance level of P<0.05 or P<0.01 or P<0.001. All root phenotype experiments had at least an N of 25-30 seedlings in each biological replication. Data are representing an average of three individual biological replicates.

- 655 Supplemental Data
- 656 **Supplemental Figure 1** JAZ1 protein accumulation and SUMOylation.

657 **Supplemental Figure 2** Relative transcript levels of JAZ1/JAZ6 in different 658 transgenic plants.

- 659 **Supplemental Figure 3** Reconstituted *in-vitro* SUMOylation assay of JAZ6:MBP 660 fusion protein.
- 661 **Supplemental Figure 4** Relative transcript levels of JAZ6 in different transgenic 662 plants.
- 663 Supplemental Figure 5 *Pst* DC 3000 infection negatively regulates JAZ6:GFP
 664 SUMOylation and accumulation.
- 665 **Supplemental Figure 6** COI1^{V553A}:GFP SIM mutant plants show significantly 666 increased resistance to *B. cinerea* infection and spider mite infestation in the *ots1* 667 *ots2* genetic background.

668 **Supplemental Table 1** List of DNA oligonucletides used in this study.

669 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 670 AKS and AS designed the research and analysed the data. AKS performed most of
- the experiments assisted by BO, CW, PS, GS and CZ. AKS, MG, MRR, EF and AS
- 672 wrote the paper. All Authors read and commented on the manuscript. AS and AKS
- 673 were supported by the BBSRC and ERC for research funding.

674 **References**

- 675 **Achard, P., and Genschik, P.** (2009). Releasing the brakes of plant growth: how GAs shutdown DELLA proteins. J Exp Bot **60**, 1085-1092.
- Achard, P., Gong, F., Cheminant, S., Alioua, M., Hedden, P., and Genschik, P. (2008).
 The cold-inducible CBF1 factor-dependent signaling pathway modulates the accumulation of the growth-repressing DELLA proteins via its effect on gibberellin metabolism. Plant Cell 20, 2117-2129.
- Aubert, Y., Widemann, E., Miesch, L., Pinot, F., and Heitz, T. (2015). CYP94-mediated
 jasmonoyl-isoleucine hormone oxidation shapes jasmonate profiles and attenuates
 defence responses to Botrytis cinerea infection. J Exp Bot 66, 3879-3892.
- Bailey, M., Srivastava, A., Conti, L., Nelis, S., Zhang, C., Florance, H., Love, A., Milner,
 J., Napier, R., Grant, M., and Sadanandom, A. (2016). Stability of small ubiquitinlike modifier (SUMO) proteases OVERLY TOLERANT TO SALT1 and -2 modulates
 salicylic acid signalling and SUMO1/2 conjugation in Arabidopsis thaliana. J Exp Bot
 67, 353-363.
- Brooks, D.M., Bender, C.L., and Kunkel, B.N. (2005). The Pseudomonas syringae
 phytotoxin coronatine promotes virulence by overcoming salicylic acid-dependent
 defences in Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol Plant Pathol 6, 629-639.
- Brooks, D.M., Hernández-Guzmán, G., Kloek, A.P., Alarcón-Chaidez, F., Sreedharan,
 A., Rangaswamy, V., Peñaloza-Vázquez, A., Bender, C.L. and Kunkel, B.N.
 (2004). Identification and characterization of a well-defined series of coronatine
 biosynthetic mutants of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000. Mol. Plant
 Microbe Interact. 17, 162–174.
- Bueso, E., Rodriguez, L., Lorenzo-Orts, L., Gonzalez-Guzman, M., Sayas, E., Munoz Bertomeu, J., Ibanez, C., Serrano, R., and Rodriguez, P.L. (2014). The single subunit RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase RSL1 targets PYL4 and PYR1 ABA receptors
 in plasma membrane to modulate abscisic acid signaling. Plant J 80, 1057-1071.
- Capili, A.D., and Lima, C.D. (2007). Structure and analysis of a complex between SUMO
 and Ubc9 illustrates features of a conserved E2-Ubl interaction. J Mol Biol 369, 608 618.
- Chico, J.M., Chini, A., Fonseca, S., and Solano, R. (2008). JAZ repressors set the rhythm
 in jasmonate signaling. Curr Opin Plant Biol 11, 486-494.
- Chini, A., Fonseca, S., Fernandez, G., Adie, B., Chico, J.M., Lorenzo, O., Garcia Casado, G., Lopez-Vidriero, I., Lozano, F.M., Ponce, M.R., Micol, J.L., and
 Solano, R. (2007). The JAZ family of repressors is the missing link in jasmonate
 signalling. Nature 448, 666-671.
- Conti, L., Price, G., O'Donnell, E., Schwessinger, B., Dominy, P., and Sadanandom, A.
 (2008). Small ubiquitin-like modifier proteases OVERLY TOLERANT TO SALT1 and
 -2 regulate salt stress responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 20, 2894-2908.
- Conti, L., Nelis, S., Zhang, C., Woodcock, A., Swarup, R., Galbiati, M., Tonelli, C.,
 Napier, R., Hedden, P., Bennett, M., and Sadanandom, A. (2014). Small Ubiquitin like Modifier protein SUMO enables plants to control growth independently of the
 phytohormone gibberellin. Dev Cell 28, 102-110.

- de Lucas, M., Daviere, J.M., Rodriguez-Falcon, M., Pontin, M., Iglesias-Pedraz, J.M.,
 Lorrain, S., Fankhauser, C., Blazquez, M.A., Titarenko, E., and Prat, S. (2008). A
 molecular framework for light and gibberellin control of cell elongation. Nature 451,
 480-484.
- Feng, S., Martinez, C., Gusmaroli, G., Wang, Y., Zhou, J., Wang, F., Chen, L., Yu, L.,
 Iglesias-Pedraz, J.M., Kircher, S., Schafer, E., Fu, X., Fan, L.M., and Deng, X.W.
 (2008). Coordinated regulation of Arabidopsis thaliana development by light and
 gibberellins. Nature 451, 475-479.
- Fernandez-Calvo, P., Chini, A., Fernandez-Barbero, G., Chico, J.M., Gimenez-Ibanez,
 S., Geerinck, J., Eeckhout, D., Schweizer, F., Godoy, M., Franco-Zorrilla, J.M.,
 Pauwels, L., Witters, E., Puga, M.I., Paz-Ares, J., Goossens, A., Reymond, P.,
 De Jaeger, G., and Solano, R. (2011). The Arabidopsis bHLH transcription factors
 MYC3 and MYC4 are targets of JAZ repressors and act additively with MYC2 in the
 activation of jasmonate responses. Plant Cell 23, 701-715.
- Feys, B., Benedetti, C.E., Penfold, C.N., and Turner, J.G. (1994). Arabidopsis Mutants
 Selected for Resistance to the Phytotoxin Coronatine Are Male Sterile, Insensitive to
 Methyl Jasmonate, and Resistant to a Bacterial Pathogen. Plant Cell 6, 751-759.
- **Fonseca, S., Chico, J.M., and Solano, R.** (2009). The jasmonate pathway: the ligand, the receptor and the core signalling module. Curr Opin Plant Biol **12**, 539-547.
- Forcat, S., Bennett, M.H., Mansfield, J.W., and Grant, M.R. (2008). A rapid and robust
 method for simultaneously measuring changes in the phytohormones ABA, JA and
 SA in plants following biotic and abiotic stress. Plant Methods 4, 16.
- Geiss-Friedlander, R., and Melchior, F. (2007). Concepts in sumoylation: a decade on.
 Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 8, 947-956.
- Geng, X., Cheng, J., Gangadharan, A., and Mackey, D. (2012). The coronatine toxin of
 Pseudomonas syringae is a multifunctional suppressor of Arabidopsis defense. Plant
 Cell 24, 4763-4774.
- 744 **Glazebrook, J.** (2005). Contrasting mechanisms of defense against biotrophic and 745 necrotrophic pathogens. Annu Rev Phytopathol **43**, 205-227.
- Grunewald, W., Vanholme, B., Pauwels, L., Plovie, E., Inze, D., Gheysen, G., and
 Goossens, A. (2009). Expression of the Arabidopsis jasmonate signalling repressor
 JAZ1/TIFY10A is stimulated by auxin. EMBO Rep 10, 923-928.
- 749 Hay, R.T. (2001). Protein modification by SUMO. Trends Biochem Sci 26, 332-333.
- Hecker, C.M., Rabiller, M., Haglund, K., Bayer, P., and Dikic, I. (2006). Specification of
 SUMO1- and SUMO2-interacting motifs. J Biol Chem 281, 16117-16127.
- Hickey, C.M., Wilson, N.R., and Hochstrasser, M. (2012). Function and regulation of
 SUMO proteases. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 13, 755-766.
- Howe, G.A., and Jander, G. (2008). Plant immunity to insect herbivores. Annu Rev Plant
 Biol 59, 41-66.
- Ingle, R.A., Stoker, C., Stone, W., Adams, N., Smith, R., Grant, M., Carre, I., Roden,
 L.C., and Denby, K.J. (2015). Jasmonate signalling drives time-of-day differences in susceptibility of Arabidopsis to the fungal pathogen Botrytis cinerea. Plant J 84, 937-948.
- Jentsch, S., and Pyrowolakis, G. (2000). Ubiquitin and its kin: how close are the family
 ties? Trends Cell Biol 10, 335-342.
- Katsir, L., Chung, H.S., Koo, A.J., and Howe, G.A. (2008). Jasmonate signaling: a
 conserved mechanism of hormone sensing. Curr Opin Plant Biol 11, 428-435.
- Kazan, K., and Manners, J.M. (2008). Jasmonate signaling: toward an integrated view.
 Plant Physiol 146, 1459-1468.
- Kerscher, O., Felberbaum, R., and Hochstrasser, M. (2006). Modification of proteins by ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like proteins. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 22, 159-180.
- Koornneef, A., and Pieterse, C.M. (2008). Cross talk in defense signaling. Plant Physiol
 146, 839-844.
- Laurie-Berry, N., Joardar, V., Street, I.H., and Kunkel, B.N. (2006). The Arabidopsis
 thaliana JASMONATE INSENSITIVE 1 gene is required for suppression of salicylic

- acid-dependent defenses during infection by Pseudomonas syringae. Mol Plant
 Microbe In **19**, 789-800.
- Lee, J., Nam, J., Park, H.C., Na, G., Miura, K., Jin, J.B., Yoo, C.Y., Baek, D., Kim, D.H.,
 Jeong, J.C., Kim, D., Lee, S.Y., Salt, D.E., Mengiste, T., Gong, Q., Ma, S.,
 Bohnert, H.J., Kwak, S.S., Bressan, R.A., Hasegawa, P.M., and Yun, D.J. (2007).
 Salicylic acid-mediated innate immunity in Arabidopsis is regulated by SIZ1 SUMO
 E3 ligase. Plant J 49, 79-90.
- Livak, K.J. and Schmittgen, T.D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2^{-ΔΔCt} method. Methods. 25, 402–408.
- Melotto, M., Mecey, C., Niu, Y., Chung, H.S., Katsir, L., Yao, J., Zeng, W., Thines, B.,
 Staswick, P., Browse, J., Howe, G.A. and He S.Y. (2008). A critical role of two
 positively charged amino acids in the JAS motif of Arabidopsis JAZ proteins in
 mediating coronatine- and jasmonyl isoleucine-dependant interactions with the COI1
 F-box protein. Plant J 55, 979-988.
- 786 Mengiste, T. (2012). Plant immunity to necrotrophs. Annu Rev Phytopathol 50, 267-294.
- Miller, M.J., Barrett-Wilt, G.A., Hua, Z., and Vierstra, R.D. (2010). Proteomic analyses
 identify a diverse array of nuclear processes affected by small ubiquitin-like modifier
 conjugation in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107, 16512-16517.
- Minty, A., Dumont, X., Kaghad, M., and Caput, D. (2000). Covalent modification of
 p73alpha by SUMO-1. Two-hybrid screening with p73 identifies novel SUMO-1 interacting proteins and a SUMO-1 interaction motif. J Biol Chem 275, 36316-36323.
- Okada, S., Nagabuchi, M., Takamura, Y., Nakagawa, T., Shinmyozu, K., Nakayama, J.,
 and Tanaka, K. (2009). Reconstitution of Arabidopsis thaliana SUMO pathways in E.
 coli: functional evaluation of SUMO machinery proteins and mapping of SUMOylation
 sites by mass spectrometry. Plant Cell Physiol 50, 1049-1061.
- Pauwels, L., and Goossens, A. (2011). The JAZ proteins: a crucial interface in the
 jasmonate signaling cascade. Plant Cell 23, 3089-3100.
- 799 **Pieterse, C.M.** (2012). Prime time for transgenerational defense. Plant Physiol **158**, 545.
- Reeves, P.H., Murtas, G., Dash, S., and Coupland, G. (2002). early in short days 4, a
 mutation in Arabidopsis that causes early flowering and reduces the mRNA
 abundance of the floral repressor FLC. Development 129, 5349-5361.
- Reverter, D., and Lima, C.D. (2009). Preparation of SUMO proteases and kinetic analysis
 using endogenous substrates. Methods Mol Biol 497, 225-239.
- Sheard, L.B., Tan, X., Mao, H., Withers, J., Ben-Nissan, G., Hinds, T.R., Kobayashi, Y.,
 Hsu, F.F., Sharon, M., Browse, J., He, S.Y., Rizo, J., Howe, G.A., and Zheng, N.
 (2010). Jasmonate perception by inositol-phosphate-potentiated COI1-JAZ coreceptor. Nature 468, 400-405.
- Smirnova, E., Marquis, V., Poirier, L., Aubert, Y., Zumsteg, J., Menard, R.,
 Miesch, L., and Heitz, T. (2017). Jasmonic Acid Oxidase 2 Hydroxylates
 Jasmonic Acid and Represses Basal Defense and Resistance Responses
 against Botrytis cinerea Infection. Mol Plant 10, 1159-1173.
- Srivastava, A.K., Zhang, C., Caine, R.S., Gray, J., and Sadanandom, A. (2017).
 Rice SUMO protease Overly Tolerant to Salt 1 targets the transcription factor,
 OsbZIP23 to promote drought tolerance in rice. Plant J 92, 1031-1043.
- Srivastava, A.K., Zhang, C., Caine, R.S., Gray, J., and Sadanandom, A. (2017).
 Rice SUMO protease Overly Tolerant to Salt 1 targets the transcription factor,
 OsbZIP23 to promote drought tolerance in rice. Plant J 92, 1031-1043.
- Srivastava, A.K., Zhang, C., Yates, G., Bailey, M., Brown, A., and Sadanandom, A.
 (2016). SUMO Is a Critical Regulator of Salt Stress Responses in Rice. Plant Physiol
 170, 2378-2391.
- 822 Staswick P.E. (2008). JAZing up jasmonate signaling. Trends Plant Sci 13, 66-71.

- Thines, B., Katsir, L., Melotto, M., Niu, Y., Mandaokar, A., Liu, G., Nomura, K., He, S.Y.,
 Howe, G.A., and Browse, J. (2007). JAZ repressor proteins are targets of the
 SCF(COI1) complex during jasmonate signalling. Nature 448, 661-665.
- Verger, A., Perdomo, J., and Crossley, M. (2003). Modification with SUMO. A role in transcriptional regulation. EMBO Rep 4, 137-142.
- Xie, D.X., Feys, B.F., James, S., Nieto-Rostro, M., and Turner, J.G. (1998). COI1: an
 Arabidopsis gene required for jasmonate-regulated defense and fertility. Science
 280, 1091-1094.
- Yan, Y., Stolz, S., Chetelat, A., Reymond, P., Pagni, M., Debugnon, L. and Farmer E.E.
 (2007). A downstream mediator in the growth repression limb of the jasmonate
 pathway. Plant Cell 19, 2470-2483.
- Zabala, M.D., Zhai, B., Jayaraman, S., Eleftheriadou, G., Winsbury, R., Yang, R.,
 Truman, W., Tang, S.J., Smirnoff, N., and Grant, M. (2016). Novel JAZ co operativity and unexpected JA dynamics underpin Arabidopsis defence responses to
 Pseudomonas syringae infection. New Phytol 209, 1120-1134.

838

840 **Figure legends**

Figure 1. OTS SUMO proteases regulate JA mediated defence responses

(A) White light images of representative WT, *ots1 ots2* and OTS1-OE leaves
showing cell death lesions at 72 hours post inoculation with *B. cinerea*. Scale bars
represent 1 cm.

(B) Analysis of leaf lesion diameter of WT, *ots1 ots2* and OTS1-OE at 72 hours postinoculation (hpi) with *B.cinerea*. Histograms represent the mean lesion diameter \pm SD of at least 50 lesion sites from 10 plants for each genotype. Error bars represent standard deviation (SD) from five biological replicates per experiment based on three independent experiments. Asterisks denote statistical significance of the differences between WT and *ots1 ots2* calculated using student t-test (***P < 0.001).

851 (**C**) Quantification of fungal growth by quantitative real-time PCR of *B. cinerea*. 852 *Cutinase gene*-specific primers using genomic DNA at 72 hpi. Histograms represent 853 the mean \pm SD from three biological replicates. Asterisks denote statistical 854 significance of the differences between WT and *ots1 ots2* calculated using students 855 t-test (***P \leq 0.001).

856 (**D**) *Tetranychus urticae* egg counts on Arabidopsis plants 5 days post infestation 857 with adult female mites. The average number of eggs produced per female on each 858 genotype shown, along with standard errors. Asterisks denote statistical significance 859 of the differences between WT and *ots1 ots2* calculated using student t-test (*** P \leq 860 0.001).

Figure 2. OTS SUMO proteases regulate JA mediated growth responses

(A) Images of Arabidopsis seedlings of different genotypes on MS agar plates with and without JA indicating that loss of function SUMO protease mutant *ots1 ots2* shows decreased sensitivity to exogenous JA. WT and *ots1 ots2* mutants were grown on MS medium without or with 10 μ M JA for 8 days. Scale bar represents 1 cm.

- (B) Quantification of root growth under exogenous JA treatment against that without JA treatment (designated as 100 %). Values are mean \pm SD of at least 20 plants of each genotype. Error bars represent SD from three biological replicates. Asterisks denote statistical significance of the differences between WT and *ots1 ots2* calculated using student t-test (*P \leq 0.05).
- (C-F) Relative transcript levels of JA responsive genes *PDF1.2, ERF1, ZAT10* and *LOX2* were measured in WT and *ots1 ots2* mutant with and without JA treatment.

Twelve-day old seedlings were treated with 50 μ M JA for 6 hours and seedlings without JA treatment were used as a mock control. Values are means <u>+</u> SD of three biological replicates. At least 50 seedlings were combined into one replicate.

Figure 3. Arabidopsis JAZ6 protein is SUMOylated

(A) Immunoprecipitations (IP: aGFP) from total proteins derived from 4 week old 878 879 plant leaves of wildtype (WT) or 35S::JAZ6:GFP (WT background) or 880 35S::JAZ6:GFP (ots1 ots2 background). Immunoprecipitated proteins were 881 immunoblotted (IB) and probed with anti-GFP (α GFP) or anti-AtSUMO1/2 antibodies. 882 S1-JAZ6:GFP indicates SUMOylated JAZ6:GFP proteins. Molecular weights are 883 indicated on the left in kiloDaltons (kD). Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels 884 was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation. WT (non-885 transgenic) plants served as a negative control.

(B) Estimation of JA-Ile concentrations through mass spectrometry analysis from twelve-day old seedlings of WT and *ots1 ots2* mutant. Data presented are mean from three biological replicates. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the means and no significant difference was observed between the genotypes after Student-ttest analysis.

(C) Relevant section of mass spectra obtained from JAZ6-SUMO1 conjugated
peptide fragmentation experiments. The peak representing JAZ6 peptide sequence
carrying a SUMO1 signature peptide fragment QTGG on residue K221 is indicated
on the amino acid sequence.

(D) Immunoblots indicating reduced SUMOylation and protein abundance of 895 35S::JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP in WT or 35S::JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP in the *ots1 ots2* backgrounds 896 compare to the 35S::JAZ6:GFP in WT and ots1 ots2 background. Proteins were 897 immunoblotted (IB) and probed with anti-GFP (αGFP) or anti-AtSUMO1/2 (αSUMO1) 898 899 antibodies. S1-JAZ6:GFP indicates SUMOvlated JAZ6:GFP proteins. Molecular 900 weights are indicated on the left in kiloDaltons (kD). Ponceau staining indicating 901 Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the 902 immunoprecipitation (IP: α GFP). WT (non-transgenic) plants served as a negative 903 control.

Figure 4. JAZ6 sumo site mutation affects the stability of JAZ6 protein but does notaffect its interaction with COI1

906 **(A)** Co-immunoprecipitation of myc:COI1 with GFP only, JAZ6:GFP and 907 JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP was performed *in planta* using *N. benthamiana* transient assays to

investigate the interaction of JAZ6:GFP and JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP with myc:COI1 protein. Immunoprecipitates (IP: α GFP) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblots were probed with α GFP to detect JAZ6:GFP and JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP and GFP alone and with α myc to detect myc:COI1 proteins. Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation (IP: α GFP).

913 **(B)** JA mediated degradation of JAZ6:GFP and JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP proteins. Immunoblot 914 probed with anti-GFP antibodies showing protein levels of 35S::JAZ6:GFP and 915 35S::JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP in respective seedlings treated with and without (mock 916 treatment) JA (100 μ M). Seedling samples were collected at the indicated time 917 points. Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels was employed to determine 918 protein loading for the immunoprecipitation (IP:αGFP).

919 (C) Immunoblots probed with αHA (IB: αHA) indicating the accumulation of HA:OTS1
920 protein in 12 day old seedlings expressing 35S promoter driven *HA-OTS1* transgene.
921 Seedlings were treated with and without (mock) JA. Protein samples from seedlings
922 were collected at the indicated time points. Ponceau red stained Rubisco protein was
923 used to indicate total protein levels.

924 **Figure 5.** SUMOylation of JAZ6 is enhanced during *Botrytis* infection

925 (A) Immunoblots indicating significantly increased SUMOylation and protein abundance of GFP tagged JAZ6 from 4-week-old (35S:JAZ6:GFP transgenics in WT 926 927 background) plants infected with *B. cinerea*. Samples were collected at different time points post infection and mock treated samples were used for immunoprecipitation 928 929 with anti-GFP antibodies (IP: αGFP). Immunoblots (IB) were probed with GFP 930 (IB:αGFP) or AtSUMO1/2 antibodies (IB:αSUMO1). Ponceau staining indicating 931 Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the 932 immunoprecipitation (IP: α GFP).

(B) Immunoblots probed with anti-HA antibodies showing HA-OTS1 levels in
35S::OTS1-HA transgenic Arabidopsis lines infected with *B. cinerea*. Four-week old
35S::OTS1-HA transgenic Arabidopsis leaves were pressure infiltrated with *B. cinerea* and mock treated with Magnesium chloride solution. Protein extracts were
harvested from leaf samples collected at different time points after infection.
Ponceau red stained Rubisco protein was used to indicate total protein levels.

(C) Immunoblots indicating greatly reduced SUMOylation and protein abundance of
 GFP tagged JAZ6 from 15 day-old seedlings (35S:JAZ6:GFP transgenics in WT
 background) treated with 100 µM JA for 30 minutes. Protein samples were collected

for immunoprecipitation with anti-GFP antibodies (IP: α GFP) at 0 and 30 minutes after treatment. Immunoblots (IB) were probed with GFP (α GFP) or AtSUMO1/2 antibodies (α SUMO1). Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation (IP: α GFP).

946 (D) Co-immunoprecipitation of HA-OTS1 with JAZ6:GFP in planta. Agrobacterium 947 cultures containing 35S::HA-OTS1 were mixed with Agrobacterium cultures 948 containing either 35S::GFP or 35S::JAZ6:GFP and transiently expressed in N. 949 benthamiana. Total protein was extracted for immunoprecipitation with anti-GFP 950 beads. Immunoprecipitates were analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-HA and anti-951 GFP antibodies to detect for the presence of OTS1-HA or JAZ6:GFP, respectively. 952 Ponceau red stained Rubisco protein was used to indicate total protein levels used in 953 the immunoprecipitation in the time points

- 954 **Figure 6.** SUMO inhibits JA- receptor COI1 binding to JAZ proteins
- 955 (A) Side view of the COI1 JA receptor (beige) allows the identification of the location 956 of the flexible loop forming the COI1 SIM motif (blue) residing at the interface 957 between COI1 and the JAZ degron binding site (green). The binding of SUMO1 958 (pink) via its β -sheet (red), at this position can mask the COI1 domain that binds JAZ 959 proteins. The binding of COI1 to SUMOylated JAZ through its SIM is therefore 960 predicted to be able to disrupt binding of the non-SUMOylated JAZ to COI1.
- 961 (B) Cross species alignment of COI1 SIM from Arabidopsis and Brassica. *At*COI1;
 962 *Arabidopsis thaliana* COI1; *Br*COI1; *Brassica rapa* COI1 Residues are colored
 963 according to properties: red, hydrophobic; blue, acidic; magenta, basic; green,
 964 hydrophilic.
- 965 (C) GST pull down assays between recombinant His:SUMO1 with recombinant966 GST:COI1 or GST only indicate that GST:COI1 binds to SUMO1.
- 967 (D) GST pull down assays between recombinant His:SUMO1 with recombinant
 968 GST:COI1, SIM site mutated GST:COI1^{V553A}; or GST only. The data indicates that
 969 Valine at position 553 is critical for SUMO1 binding.
- 970 **Figure 7.** SUMOylated JAZ6 negatively regulates COI1-JAZ6 interaction

971 **(A)** GST pull down assays indicate that interaction between His:JAZ6 and GST:COI1

972 is weakened by the addition of increasing amounts of His:SUMO1 protein. His:JAZ6 973 protein mixed with different amounts of His:SUMO1 and pulled down with either 974 GST:COI1 or GST alone in the presence or absence of coronatine (10 μ M). The

eluates were then probed with anti-His tag (αHis) or anti-GST (αGST) antibodies to
detect His:JAZ6 or GST tagged proteins, respectively.

977 **(B)** GST pull down assays performed as above but with GST:COI1 replaced by the 978 COI1 SIM mutant GST:COI1^{V553} indicate that mutation of Valine to Alanine rescues 979 the interaction between GST:COI1^{V553A} and His:JAZ6 even in the presence of 980 His:SUMO1 protein. The eluates were probed with anti-His tag (α His) or anti-GST 981 (α GST) antibodies to detect His-JAZ6 or GST tagged proteins, respectively.

982 (C) Co-immunoprecipitation of JAZ6:GFP with myc:COI1 in planta indicates that SUMOylated JAZ6:GFP binds to myc:COI1 even in the absence of JA mimic 983 coronatine. Agrobacterium culture containing 35S::JAZ6:GFP was mixed with 984 985 Agrobacterium cultures containing both 35S::myc:COI1 and 35S::HA:SUMO1 and 986 transiently expressed in N. benthamiana. Total protein was extracted for 987 immunoprecipitation with anti-myc antibodies (IP; αmyc) to pull down myc-COI1 and 988 the immunoprecipitates were probed with anti-SUMO, anti-myc (IB: αmyc) and anti-GFP(IB: aGFP) antibodies to detect for the presence of SUMOylated and Non-989 990 SUMOylated JAZ6:GFP and myc:COI1. Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation (IP:αGFP). 991

992 (D) In vivo degradation of JAZ6 was observed in co-infiltration experiments with increasing amounts of HA:COI1 or HA:COI1^{V553A} in presence of 50 µM coronatine. 993 994 The ratio of the relative concentration of agrobacteria used in the different co-995 infiltrations is indicated by numbers (top). Cell extracts were analysed by immunoblot analysis with anti-GFP and anti-HA antibodies. Immunoblot analysis indicated that 996 JAZ6:GFP was more unstable in plants transiently expressing HA:COI1^{V553A} when 997 compared to plants expressing HA:COI1. Ponceau red stained Rubisco protein was 998 999 used as a loading control.

1000 Figure 8. COI1 SIM mutant suppresses *Botrytis* susceptibility

1001 **(A)** White light images of representative leaves from 4 week old transgenic plants 1002 expressing 35S::COI1:GFP in the wildtype (WT), *ots1 ots2* and 35S::COI1^{V553A}:GFP 1003 in the *ots1 ots2* background at 72 hours post infection after inoculation with mock 1004 (left panel) or *B. cinerea* spores (right panel).

1005 (**B**) Quantification of lesion sizes on rosette leaves at 72 hours post infection with *B.* 1006 *cinerea* spores. Values represent the means \pm SD of three biological replicates of 4 1007 week old transgenic plants. The letters indicate averages that are statistically 1008 significantly different from each other (*P < 0.05, **P< 0.01).

1009 **(C)** Quantification of fungal growth by real-time PCR on *Botrytis* genomic DNA with 1010 *B. cinerea cutinase gene* specific primers at 72 hours post infection. Histograms 1011 represent the means +_SD of three biological replicates of 4 week old transgenic 1012 plants. The letters indicate averages that are statistically significantly different (P < 1013 0.05) from each other. n = 15 to 20, four week old plants in each replicate.

1014 **Figure 9.** COI1 SIM mutant restores JA sensitivity in *ots1 ots2* mutant background

1015 (A) Image of representative 10-day-old seedlings grown in MS and MS + JA (10 μ M) 1016 and the effect of JA on root length of different transgenic plants. Scale bar 1017 represents 1 cm.

1018 (**B**) Mean root length of 10-day-old seedlings in the presence of 10 μ M JA relative to 1019 the controls. Values represent the means <u>+</u>SD of three biological replicates. The 1020 letters indicate significant differences between WT and the transgenic lines of COI1 1021 (WT), COI1 (*ots1 ots2*) and COI1^{V553A} (*ots1 ots2*) in presence of JA. n = 35 to 40 1022 seedlings each replicate.

- 1023 (**C**) Immunoblots probed with α GFP indicating COI1:GFP and COI1^{V553A}:GFP protein 1024 levels in WT and *ots1 ots2* background.
- 1025

1026 **Figure 10.** A model for JA signaling repression by SUMOylated JAZ proteins.

During biotroph infection, such as by *Pst*, bacterial coronatine promotes JA signalling by activating the 26S proteasome mediated degradation of JAZ repressors by the JA receptor CORONATINE INSENSITIVE1 (COI1). Part of this process involves JA mediated accumulation of the SUMO de-conjugating protease, OTS1 that rapidly deSUMOylates JAZ repressors and facilitates COI1 access to JAZ for degradation. JAZ repressor turnover activates JA-responsive gene expression through the transcriptional regulators such as MYC2/MYC3/MYC4.

On the other hand infection by necrotrophs such as the fungal pathogen, *Botrytis cinerea* stimulates, degradation of the SUMO deconjugating protease OTS1. This leads to the accumulation of SUMOylated JAZ proteins (this does not preclude increased SUMO conjugating via hitherto unknown mechanisms) that inhibit COI1 mediated degradation of non-SUMOylated JAZ repressors, consequently, suppressing JA signalling.

1040

1041 Supplemental Figure 1. JAZ1 protein accumulation and SUMOylation (Supports1042 Figure 3).

1043 Immunoblots probed with Immunoprecipitations (IP: aGFP) of total proteins derived 1044 from 4 week old plant leaves of wildtype (WT) or 35S::JAZ1:GFP (WT background) 1045 or 35S:: JAZ1: GFP (ots1 ots2 background). Proteins were immunoblotted (IB) and probed with anti-GFP (aGFP) or anti-AtSUMO1/2 antibodies (aSUMO1). S1-1046 1047 JAZ1:GFP indicates SUMOylated JAZ1:GFP proteins. Molecular weights are indicated on the left in kiloDaltons (kD). Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels 1048 1049 was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation. WT (non-1050 transgenic) plants served as a negative control. Right panel indicates the full blot 1051 probed with α GFP (lower) and α SUMO1 (Upper).

Supplemental Figure 2. Relative transcript levels of *JAZ1 and 6* in the differenttransgenic plants (Supports Figure 3).

Quantitative real time PCR was used to analyse JAZ1 mRNA from twelve day old seedlings of transgenic plants expressing 35S::JAZ1:GFP in WT and *ots1 ots2* genetic background and **(b)** JAZ6 gene expression in 35S::JAZ6:GFP in WT and *ots1 ots2* genetic backgrounds. Actin was used as the internal control. Histograms represent the mean <u>+</u> SD from three independent biological replicates.

1059 Supplemental Figure 3. Reconstituted *in-vitro* SUMOylation assay of JAZ6:MBP1060 fusion protein (Supports Figure 3).

Immunoblots probed with either anti-MBP and anti-AtSUMO1/2 antibodies show
definitive SUMOylation of JAZ6:MBP. SUMO1-GG is the wildtype SUMO1 while
SUMO1-AA is a mutant form of SUMO1 that cannot be conjugated to target proteins.
Immunoblots were probed with anti-MBP (IB: αMBP) and anti-AtSUMO1 (IB:

1065 aSUMO1) antibodies to detect JAZ6:MBP or SUMO1-JAZ6:MBP

Supplemental Figure 4. Relative transcript levels of JAZ6 in different transgenicplants. (Supports Figure 3)

- 1068Quantitative real time PCR was used to analyze JAZ6
K221R:GFP mRNA from twelve-
day old seedlings of transgenic plants expressing 35S::JAZ6
K221R:GFP in WT and
0ts1 ots2 genetic backgrounds. Actin was used as the internal control. Data are
mean \pm SD of three biological replicates.
- Supplemental Figure 5. *Pst* DC3000 infection negatively regulates JAZ6:GFP
 SUMOylation and accumulation (Supports Figure 5).

Immunoblots indicating SUMOylation and protein accumulation of JAZ6:GFP from four-week-old transgenic 35S::JAZ6:GFP plants pressure infiltrated with virulent *Pst*. Samples were collected for immunoprecipitation with anti-GFP antibodies (IP: α GFP) at the indicated time points. Immunoblots (IB) were probed with GFP (α GFP) or AtSUMO1/2 antibodies (α SUMO1). Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation (IP: α GFP).

Supplemental Figure 6. JAZ6:GFP is more unstable in plants transiently expressing
 the SIM mutant COI1^{V553A} (Support Figure 7).

- The DNA constructs HA:COI1, HA:COI1^{V553A} and GFP:JAZ6 were transiently coexpressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves in the presence of coronatine. Total protein extracted at different time points after coronatine treatment were analysed by immunoblot analysis with anti-GFP and anti-HA antibodies. Immunoblot analysis indicated that JAZ6:GFP was more unstable in plants transiently expressing HA:COI1^{V553A} when compared to plants expressing HA:COI1. Ponceau red stained Rubisco protein was used as a loading control.
- Supplemental Figure 7. COI1^{V553A}:GFP SIM mutant plants show significantly
 increased resistance to *B. cinerea* infection and spider mite infestation in the *ots1 ots2* genetic background (Supports Figure 9).
- 1093 **(a)** White light Images of representative leaves from 4 week old transgenic plants 1094 expressing 35S::COI1:GFP and $35S::COI1^{V553A}:GFP$ in wildtype background at 72 1095 hours post-infection with *B. cinerea* spores.
- (b) Quantification of lesion sizes on rosette leaves at 72 hours post-infection with *B.cinerea* spores.
- 1098(c) Egg counts from adult female mite infestation for 5 days on Arabidopsis plants.1099Data shows mean \pm SD of eggs laid on seven independent plants from each1100genotype. Asterisks denote statistical significance of the differences between COI11101(WT), COI1 (ots1 ots2) and COI1^{V553A} (ots1 ots2) using Two-way-ANOVA (*P < 0.05,</td>1102***P< 0.001).</td>
- 1103 Supplementary Table 1. List of DNA oligonucleotides used in the study.
- 1104 Table of DNA primers employed for generating DNA constructs and real-time PCR.
- 1105
- 1106



Figure 1. OTS SUMO proteases regulate JA mediated defence responses

(A) White light images of representative WT, *ots1 ots2* and OTS1-OE leaves showing cell death lesions at 72 hours post inoculation with *B. cinerea*. Scale bars represent 1 cm.

(B) Analysis of leaf lesion diameter of WT, *ots1 ots2* and OTS1-OE at 72 hours post-inoculation (hpi) with *B. cinerea*. Histograms represent the mean lesion diameter \pm SD of at least 50 lesion sites from 10 plants for each genotype. Error bars represent standard deviation (SD) from five biological replicates per experiment based on three independent experiments. Asterisks denote statistical significance of the differences between WT and *ots1 ots2* calculated using student t-test (***P < 0.001).

(C) Quantification of fungal growth by quantitative real-time PCR of *B.cinerea*. Cutinase gene-specific primers using genomic DNA at 72 hpi. Histograms represent the mean \pm SD from three biological replicates. Asterisks denote statistical significance of the differences between WT and *ots1 ots2* calculated using students t-test (***P < 0.001).

(D) Egg counts from adult female mite infestation for 5 days on Arabidopsis plants. The average number of eggs produced per female on each genotype shown, along with standard errors. Asterisks denote statistical significance of the differences between WT and *ots1 ots2* calculated using student t-test (*** P < 0.001).



Figure 2. OTS SUMO proteases regulate JA mediated growth responses

(A) Images of Arabidopsis seedlings of different genotypes on MS agar plates with and without JA indicating that loss of function SUMO protease mutant *ots1 ots2* shows decreased sensitivity to exogenous JA. WT and ots1 ots2 mutants were grown on MS medium without or with 10µM JA for 8 days. Scale bar represents 1 cm.

(B) Quantification of root growth under exogenous JA treatment against that without JA treatment (designated as 100%). Values are mean <u>+</u> SD of at least 20 plants of each genotype. Error bars represent SD from three biological replicates. Asterisks denote statistical significance of the differences between WT and *ots1 ots2* calculated using student t-test (*P < 0.05).

(C-F) Relative transcript levels of JA responsive genes PDF1.2, ERF1, ZAT10 and LOX2 were measured in WT and *ots1 ots2* mutant with and without JA treatment. Twelve-day old seedlings were treated with 50µM JA for 6 hours and seedlings without JA treatment were used as a mock control. Values are means <u>+</u> SD of three biological replicates. At least 50 seedlings were combined into one replicate.





(A) Immunoprecipitations (IP: α GFP) from total proteins derived from 4 week old plant leaves of wildtype (WT) or 35S::JAZ6:GFP (WT background) or 35S::JAZ6:GFP (*ots1 ots2* background). Immunoprecipitated proteins were immunoblotted (IB) and probed with anti-GFP (α GFP) or anti-AtSUMO1/2 antibodies. S1-JAZ6:GFP indicates SUMOylated JAZ6:GFP proteins. Molecular weights are indicated on the left in kiloDaltons (kD). Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation. WT (non-transgenic) plants served as a negative control.

(B) Estimation of JA-IIe concentrations through mass spectrometry analysis from twelve-day old seedlings of WT and *ots1 ots2* mutant. Data presented are mean from three biological replicates. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the means and no significant difference was observed between the genotypes after Student-t-test analysis.

(C) Relevant section of mass spectra obtained from JAZ6-SUMO1 conjugated peptide fragmentation experiments. The peak representing JAZ6 peptide sequence carrying a SUMO1 signature peptide fragment QTGG on residue K221 is indicated on the amino acid sequence.

(D) Immunoblots indicating reduced SUMOylation and protein abundance of 35S::-JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP in WT or 35S::JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP in the *ots1 ots2* backgrounds compare to the 35S::JAZ6:GFP in WT and *ots1 ots2* background. Proteins were immunoblotted (IB) and probed with anti-GFP (α GFP) or anti-AtSUMO1/2 (α SUMO1) antibodies. S1-JAZ6:GFP indicates SUMOylated JAZ6:GFP proteins. Molecular weights are indicated on the left in kiloDaltons (kD). Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation (IP: α GFP). WT (non-transgenic) plants served as a negative control.





(A) Co-immunoprecipitation of myc:COI1 with GFP only, JAZ6:GFP and JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP was performed in planta using N. benthamiana transient assays to investigate the interaction of JAZ6:GFP and JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP with myc:COI1 protein. Immunoprecipitates (IP: α GFP) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblots were probed with α GFP to detect JAZ6:GFP and JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP and GFP alone and with α myc to detect myc:COI1 proteins. Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation (IP: α GFP).

(B) JA mediated degradation of JAZ6:GFP and JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP proteins. Immunoblot probed with anti-GFP antibodies showing protein levels of 35S::JAZ6:GFP and 35S::JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP in respective seedlings treated with and without (mock treatment) JA (100 μ M). Seedling samples were collected at the indicated time points. Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation (IP:αGFP).

(C) Immunoblots probed with α HA (IB: α HA) indicating the accumulation of HA:OTS1 protein in 12 day old seedlings expressing 35S promoter driven HA-OTS1 transgene. Seedlings were treated with and without (mock) JA. Protein samples from seedlings were collected at the indicated time points. Ponceau red stained Rubisco protein was used to indicate total protein levels.



Figure 5. SUMOylation of JAZ6 is enhanced during Botrytis infection

(A) Immunoblots indicating significantly increased SUMOylation and protein abundance of GFP tagged JAZ6 from 4-week-old (35S:-JAZ6:GFP transgenics in WT background) plants infected with *B. cinerea*. Samples were collected at different time points post infection and mock treated samples were used for immunoprecipitation with anti-GFP antibodies (IP: α GFP). Immunoblots (IB) were probed with GFP (IB: α GFP) or *At*SUMO1/2 antibodies (IB: α SUMO1). Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation (IP: α GFP).

(B) Immunoblots probed with anti-HA antibodies showing HA-OTS1 levels in 35S::OTS1-HA transgenic Arabidopsis lines infected with *B. cinerea*. Four-week old 35S::OTS1-HA transgenic Arabidopsis leaves were pressure infiltrated with B. cinerea and mock treated with Magnesium chloride solution. Protein extracts were harvested from leaf samples collected at different time points after infection. Ponceau red stained Rubisco protein was used to indicate total protein levels.

(C) Immunoblots indicating greatly reduced SUMOylation and protein abundance of GFP tagged JAZ6 from 15 day-old seedlings (35S:-JAZ6:GFP transgenics in WT background) treated with 100 μ M JA for 30 minutes. Protein samples were collected for immunoprecipitation with anti-GFP antibodies (IP: α GFP) at 0 and 30 minutes after treatment. Immunoblots (IB) were probed with GFP (α GFP) or *At*SUMO1/2 antibodies (α SUMO1). Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation (IP: α GFP).

(D) Co-immunoprecipitation of HA-OTS1 with JAZ6:GFP in planta. Agrobacterium cultures containing 35S::HA-OTS1 was mixed with *Agrobacterium* cultures containing either 35S::GFP or 35S::JAZ6:GFP and transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana*. Total protein was extracted for immunoprecipitation with anti-GFP beads. Immunoprecipitates were analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-HA and anti-GFP antibody to detect for the presence of OTS1-HA or JAZ6:GFP respectively. Ponceau red stained Rubisco protein was used to indicate total protein levels used in the immunoprecipitation in the time points



Figure 6. SUMO inhibits JA- receptor COI1 binding to JAZ proteins

(A) Side view of the COI1 JA receptor (beige) allows the identification of the location of the flexible loop forming the COI1 SIM motif (blue) residing at the interface between COI1 and the JAZ degron binding site (green). The binding of SUMO1 (pink) via its β -sheet (red), at this position can mask the COI1 domain that binds JAZ proteins. The binding of COI1 to SUMOylated JAZ through its SIM is therefore predicted to be able to disrupt binding of the non-SUMOylated JAZ to COI1.

(B) Cross species alignment of COI1 SIM from Arabidopsis and Brassica. *At*COI1; *Arabidopsis thaliana* COI1; *Br*COI1; *Brassica rapa* COI1 Residues are colored according to properties: red, hydrophobic; blue, acidic; magenta, basic; green, hydrophilic.

(C) GST pull down assays between recombinant His:SUMO1 with recombinant GST:COI1 or GST only indicate that GST:COI1 binds to SUMO1.

(D) GST pull down assays between recombinant His:SUMO1 with recombinant GST:COI1, SIM site mutated GST:COI1V553A; or GST only. The data indicates that Valine at position 553 is critical for SUMO1 binding.



Figure 7. SUMOylated JAZ6 negatively regulates COI1-JAZ6 interaction

(A) GST pull down assays indicate that interaction between His:JAZ6 and GST:COI1 is weakened by the addition of increasing amounts of His:SUMO1 protein. His:JAZ6 protein mixed with different amounts of His:SUMO1 and pulled down with either GST:COI1 or GST alone in the presence or absence of coronatine (10 μ M). The eluates were then probed with anti-His tag (α His) antibody or anti-GST (α GST) antibodies to detect His:JAZ6 or GST tagged proteins respectively.

(B) GST pull down assays performed as above but with GST:COI1 replaced by the COI1 SIM mutant GST:COI1^{V553A} indicate that mutation of Valine to Alanine rescues the interaction between GST:COI1^{V553A} and His:JAZ6 even in presence of His:SUMO1 protein. The eluates were probed with anti-His tag (αHis) antibody or anti-GST (αGST) antibodies to detect His-JAZ6 or GST tagged proteins respectively.

(C) Co-immunoprecipitation of JAZ6:GFP with myc:COI1 in planta indicates that SUMOylated JAZ6:GFP binds to myc:COI1 even in the absence of JA mimic coronatine. Agrobacterium culture containing 35S::JAZ6:GFP was mixed with *Agrobacterium* cultures containing both 35S::myc:COI1 and 35S::HA:SUMO1 and transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana*. Total protein was extracted for immunoprecipitation with anti-myc antibodies (IP; amyc) to pull down myc-COI1 and the immunoprecipitates were probed with anti-SUMO, anti-myc (IB: amyc) and anti-GFP(IB: αGFP) antibodies to detect for the presence of SUMOylated and Non-SUMOylated JAZ6:GFP and myc:-COI1. Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation (IP:αGFP).

(D) In vivo degradation of JAZ6 was observed in co-infiltration experiments with increasing amounts of HA:COI1 or HA:COI1^{V553A} in presence of 50µM coronatine. The ratio of the relative concentration of agrobacteria used in the different co-infiltrations is indicated by numbers (top). Cell extracts were analysed by immunoblot analysis with anti-GFP and anti-HA antibodies. Immunoblot analysis indicated that JAZ6:GFP was more unstable in plants transiently expressing HA:COI1^{V553A} when compared to plants expressing HA:COI1. Ponceau red stained Rubisco protein was used as a loading control.



Figure 8. COI1 SIM mutant suppresses Botrytis susceptibility

(A) White light images of representative leaves from 4 week old transgenic plants expressing 35S::COI1:GFP in the wildtype (WT), *ots1 ots2* and 35S::COI1:GFP in the *ots1 ots2* background at 72 hours post infection after inoculation with mock (left panel) or *B. cinerea* spores (right panel). (B) Quantification of lesion sizes on rosette leaves at 72 hours post infection with *B. cinerea* spores. Values represent the means + SD of three biological replicates of 4 weeks old transgenic plants. The letters indicate averages that are statistically significantly different from each other (*P < 0.05, **P< 0.01). (C) Quantification of fungal growth by real-time PCR on *Botrytis* genomic DNA with B. cinerea cutinase specific primers at 72 hours post infection. Histograms represent the means + SD of three biological replicates of 4 weeks old transgenic plants. The letters indicate of 4 weeks old transgenic plants are statistically significantly different from each other (*P < 0.05, **P< 0.01). (C) Quantification of fungal growth by real-time PCR on *Botrytis* genomic DNA with B. cinerea cutinase specific primers at 72 hours post infection. Histograms represent the means + SD of three biological replicates of 4 weeks old transgenic plants. The letters indicate averages that are statistically significantly different (P < 0.05) from each other. n = 15 to 20, four weeks old plant in each replicate.



Figure 9. COI1 SIM mutant restores JA sensitivity in ots1 ots2 mutant background

(A) Image of representative 10-day-old seedlings grown in MS and MS + JA (10 μM) and the effect of JA on root length of different transgenic plants. Scale bar represents 1 cm.

(B) Mean root length of 10-day-old seedlings in the presence of 10 µM JA relative to the controls. Values represent the means ±SD of three biological replicates. The letters indicate significant difference between WT and the transgenic lines of COI1 (WT), COI1 (*ots1 ots2*) and COI1^{V353A} (*ots1 ots2*) in presence of JA. n = 35 to 40 seedlings each replicate.

(C) Immunoblots probed with aGFP indicating COI1:GFP and COI1^{V553A}:GFP protein levels in WT and *ots1 ots2* background.



Figure 10. A model for JA signaling repression by SUMOylated JAZ proteins.

During hemibiotroph infection, such as by *Pst*, bacterial coronatine promotes JA signaling by activating the 26S proteasome mediated degradation of JAZ repressors by the JA receptor CORONATINE INSENSITIVE1 (COI1). Part of this process involves JA mediated accumulation of the SUMO deconjugating protease, OTS1 that rapidly deSUMOylates JAZ repressors and facilitates COI1 access to JAZ for degradation. JAZ repressor turnover activates JA-responsive gene expression through the transcriptional regulators such as MYC2/MYC3/-MYC4. On the other hand infection by necrotrophs such as the fungal pathogen, Botrytis cinerea stimulates, degradation of the SUMO deconjugating protease OTS1. This leads to the accumulation of SUMOylated JAZ proteins (this does not preclude increased SUMO conjugating via hitherto unknown mechanisms) that inhibit COI1 mediated degradation of non-SU-MOylated JAZ repressors. Consequently, suppressing JA signaling.



Supplemental Figure 1. JAZ1 protein accumulation and SUMOylation (Supports Figure 3).

Immunoblots probed with Immunoprecipitations (IP: α GFP) of total proteins derived from 4 week old plant leaves of wildtype (WT) or 35S::JAZ1:GFP (WT background) or 35S::JAZ1:GFP (*ots1 ots2* background). Proteins were immunoblotted (IB) and probed with anti-GFP (α GFP) or anti-AtSUMO1/2 antibodies (α SUMO1). S1-JAZ1:GFP indicates SUMOylated JAZ1:GFP proteins. Molecular weights are indicated on the left in kiloDaltons (kD). Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation. WT (non-transgenic) plants served as a negative control. Right panel indicates the full blot probed with α GFP (lower) and α SUMO1 (Upper).





Supplemental Figure 2. Relative transcript levels of JAZ1 and 6 in the different transgenic plants (Supports Figure 3). **(A)** Quantitative real time PCR was used to analyse JAZ1 mRNA from twelve day old seedlings of transgenic plants expressing 35S::JAZ1:GFP in WT and *ots1 ots2* genetic background and **(B)** JAZ6 gene expression in 35S::JAZ6:GFP in WT and *ots1 ots2* genetic backgrounds. Actin was used as the internal control. Histograms represent the mean <u>+</u> SD from three independent biological replicates.



Supplemental Figure 3. Reconstituted in-vitro SUMOylation assay of JAZ6:MBP fusion protein (Supports Figure 3). Immunoblots probed with either anti-MBP and anti-AtSU-MO1/2 antibodies show definitive SUMOylation of JAZ6:MBP. SUMO1-GG is the wildtype SUMO1 while SUMO1-AA is a mutant form of SUMO1 that cannot be conjugated to target proteins. Immunoblots were probed with anti-MBP (IB: α MBP) and anti-AtSUMO1 (IB: α SUMO1) antibodies to detect JAZ6:MBP or SUMO1-JAZ6:MBP





Quantitative real time PCR was used to analyze JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP mRNA from twelve-day old seedlings of transgenic plants expressing 35S::-JAZ6^{K221R}:GFP in WT and *ots1 ots2* genetic backgrounds. Actin was used as the internal control. Data are mean + SD of three biological replicates.





Immunoblots indicating SUMOylation and protein accumulation of JAZ6:GFP from four-week-old transgenic 35S::JAZ6:GFP plants pressure infiltrated with virulent *Pst.* Samples were collected for immunoprecipitation with anti-GFP antibodies (IP: α GFP) at the indicated time points. Immunoblots (IB) were probed with GFP (α GFP) or *At*SUMO1/2 antibodies (α SUMO1). Ponceau staining indicating Rubisco levels was employed to determine protein loading for the immunoprecipitation (IP: α GFP).



Supplemental Figure 6. JAZ6:GFP is more unstable in plants transiently expressing the SIM mutant COI1V553A (Support Figure 7).

The DNA constructs HA:COI1, HA:COI1^{V553A} and GFP:JAZ6 were transiently co-expressed in N. benthamiana were analysed by immunoblot analysis with anti-GFP and anti-HA antibodies. Immunoblot analysis indicated that leaves in the presence of coronatine. Total protein extracted at different time points after coronatine treatment JAZ6.GFP was more unstable in plants transiently expressing HA.COI1^{v553A} when compared to plants expressing HA:COI1. Ponceau red stained Rubisco protein was used as a loading control.



Supplemental Figure 7 (Supports Figure 9). COI1V553A:GFP SIM mutant plants show significantly increased resistance to *B. cinerea* infection and spider mite infestation in the *ots1 ots2* genetic background.

(a) White light Images of representative leaves from 4 week old transgenic plants expressing 35S::-COI1:GFP and 35S::COI1^{V553A}:GFP in wildtype background at 72 hours post-infection with *B. cinerea* spores.

(b) Quantification of lesion sizes on rosette leaves at 72 hours post-infection with *B. cinerea* spores.

(c) Egg counts from adult female mite infestation for 5 days on Arabidopsis plants. Data shows mean \pm SD of eggs laid on seven independent plants from each genotype. Asterisks denote statistical significance of the differences between COI1 (WT), COI1 (*ots1 ots2*) and COI1^{V553A} (*ots1 ots2*) using Two-way-ANOVA (*P < 0.05, ***P< 0.001).

Table S1. List of DNA oligonucleotides used in the study.

Name	Forward	Reverse
JAZ6 FL	CACCATGTCAACGGGACAAGCGCC	AAGCTTGAGTTCAAGGTTTTTGG
JAZ1 FL	CACCATGTCGAGTTCTATGGAATG	TATTTCAGCTGCTAAACCG
COI1 FL	CACCATGGAGGATCCTGATATCAAG	TATTGGCTCCTTCAGGAC
JAZ6K221R	TCTTCCTCCCAGGCCAGAGATGG	CCATCTCTGGCCTGGGGAGGAAGA
COI1V553A	CCCGGAAGCGAATCAAC	GTTGATTCGCTTCCGGG
JAZ6 RT	GAACTCGCCGGAAAATTCGA	TGCTACTTTTGCCGGTTCAC
JAZ1 RT	AGCTTCACTTCACCGGTTCT	TGAAGACGCTTTGGCTGGA
COI1 RT	GAGATGGAGCATCCGGCTCA	TGGCTCCTTCAGGACTCTAACA
PDF1.2 RT	CACCCTTATCTTCGCTGCTCTT	TACACTTGTGTGCTGGGAAGAC
ERF1 RT	TTCCCCTTCAACGAGAACGA	GTTTGTTGCGTGGACTGCT
LOX2 RT	AATGAGCCTGTTATCAATGC	CATACTTAACAACACCAGCTCC
ZAT 10 RT	ACA TCC CTC CGA TCC CTG AA	ACC GGA AAG TCA AAC CGA GG
Actin RT	CTGGAATGGTGAAGGCTGGT	GTGCCTAGGACGACCAA
BOT_CUT_A RT	GATGTGACGGTCATCTTTGCCC	AGATTTGAGAGCGGCGAGG

Table of DNA primers employed for generating DNA constructs and real-time PCR.

Parsed Citations

Achard, P., and Genschik, P. (2009). Releasing the brakes of plant growth: how GAs shutdown DELLA proteins. J Exp Bot 60, 1085-1092. Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u>

Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Achard, P., Gong, F., Cheminant, S., Alioua, M., Hedden, P., and Genschik, P. (2008). The cold-inducible CBF1 factor-dependent signaling pathway modulates the accumulation of the growth-repressing DELLA proteins via its effect on gibberellin metabolism. Plant Cell 20, 2117-2129.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Aubert, Y., Widemann, E., Miesch, L., Pinot, F., and Heitz, T. (2015). CYP94-mediated jasmonoyl-isoleucine hormone oxidation shapes jasmonate profiles and attenuates defence responses to Botrytis cinerea infection. J Exp Bot 66, 3879-3892.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Bailey, M., Srivastava, A., Conti, L., Nelis, S., Zhang, C., Florance, H., Love, A., Milner, J., Napier, R., Grant, M., and Sadanandom, A (2016). Stability of small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) proteases OVERLY TOLERANT TO SALT1 and -2 modulates salicylic acid signalling and SUMO1/2 conjugation in Arabidopsis thaliana. J Exp Bot 67, 353-363.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Brooks, D.M., Bender, C.L., and Kunkel, B.N. (2005). The Pseudomonas syringae phytotoxin coronatine promotes virulence by overcoming salicylic acid-dependent defences in Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol Plant Pathol 6, 629-639.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Brooks, D.M., Hernández-Guzmán, G., Kloek, A.P., Alarcón-Chaidez, F., Sreedharan, A., Rangaswamy, V., Peñaloza-Vázquez, A, Bender, C.L. and Kunkel, B.N. (2004). Identification and characterization of a well-defined series of coronatine biosynthetic mutants of Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 17, 162–174.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Bueso, E., Rodriguez, L., Lorenzo-Orts, L., Gonzalez-Guzman, M., Sayas, E., Munoz-Bertomeu, J., Ibanez, C., Serrano, R., and Rodriguez, P.L. (2014). The single-subunit RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase RSL1 targets PYL4 and PYR1 ABA receptors in plasma membrane to modulate abscisic acid signaling. Plant J 80, 1057-1071.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Capili, AD., and Lima, C.D. (2007). Structure and analysis of a complex between SUMO and Ubc9 illustrates features of a conserved E2-Ubl interaction. J Mol Biol 369, 608-618.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Chico, J.M., Chini, A., Fonseca, S., and Solano, R. (2008). JAZ repressors set the rhythm in jasmonate signaling. Curr Opin Plant Biol 11, 486-494.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Chini, A, Fonseca, S., Fernandez, G., Adie, B., Chico, J.M., Lorenzo, O., Garcia-Casado, G., Lopez-Vidriero, I., Lozano, F.M., Ponce, M.R., Micol, J.L., and Solano, R. (2007). The JAZ family of repressors is the missing link in jasmonate signalling. Nature 448, 666-671. Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u>

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Conti, L., Price, G., O'Donnell, E., Schwessinger, B., Dominy, P., and Sadanandom, A. (2008). Small ubiquitin-like modifier proteases OVERLY TOLERANT TO SALT1 and -2 regulate salt stress responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 20, 2894-2908.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Conti, L., Nelis, S., Zhang, C., Woodcock, A, Swarup, R., Galbiati, M., Tonelli, C., Napier, R., Hedden, P., Bennett, M., and Sadanandom, A (2014). Small Ubiquitin-like Modifier protein SUMO enables plants to control growth independently of the phytohormone gibberellin. Dev Cell 28, 102-110.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

de Lucas, M., Daviere, J.M., Rodriguez-Falcon, M., Pontin, M., Iglesias-Pedraz, J.M., Lorrain, S., Fankhauser, C., Blazquez, M.A, Titarenko, E., and Prat, S. (2008). A molecular framework for light and gibberellin control of cell elongation. Nature 451, 480-484.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Feng, S., Martinez, C., Gusmaroli, G., Wang, Y., Zhou, J., Wang, F., Chen, L., Yu, L., Iglesias-Pedraz, J.M., Kircher, S., Schafer, E., Fu, X., Fan, L.M., and Deng, X.W. (2008). Coordinated regulation of Arabidopsis thaliana development by light and gibberellins. Nature 451,

475-479.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Fernandez-Calvo, P., Chini, A, Fernandez-Barbero, G., Chico, J.M., Gimenez-Ibanez, S., Geerinck, J., Eeckhout, D., Schweizer, F., Godoy, M., Franco-Zorrilla, J.M., Pauwels, L., Witters, E., Puga, M.I., Paz-Ares, J., Goossens, A, Reymond, P., De Jaeger, G., and Solano, R. (2011). The Arabidopsis bHLH transcription factors MYC3 and MYC4 are targets of JAZ repressors and act additively with MYC2 in the activation of jasmonate responses. Plant Cell 23, 701-715.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Feys, B., Benedetti, C.E., Penfold, C.N., and Turner, J.G. (1994). Arabidopsis Mutants Selected for Resistance to the Phytotoxin Coronatine Are Male Sterile, Insensitive to Methyl Jasmonate, and Resistant to a Bacterial Pathogen. Plant Cell 6, 751-759.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Fonseca, S., Chico, J.M., and Solano, R. (2009). The jasmonate pathway: the ligand, the receptor and the core signalling module. Curr Opin Plant Biol 12, 539-547.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Forcat, S., Bennett, M.H., Mansfield, J.W., and Grant, M.R. (2008). A rapid and robust method for simultaneously measuring changes in the phytohormones ABA, JA and SA in plants following biotic and abiotic stress. Plant Methods 4, 16.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Geiss-Friedlander, R., and Melchior, F. (2007). Concepts in sumoylation: a decade on. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 8, 947-956.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Geng, X., Cheng, J., Gangadharan, A., and Mackey, D. (2012). The coronatine toxin of Pseudomonas syringae is a multifunctional suppressor of Arabidopsis defense. Plant Cell 24, 4763-4774.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Glazebrook, J. (2005). Contrasting mechanisms of defense against biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens. Annu Rev Phytopathol 43, 205-227.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Grunewald, W., Vanholme, B., Pauwels, L., Plovie, E., Inze, D., Gheysen, G., and Goossens, A (2009). Expression of the Arabidopsis jasmonate signalling repressor JAZ1/TIFY10A is stimulated by auxin. EMBO Rep 10, 923-928.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Hay, R.T. (2001). Protein modification by SUMO. Trends Biochem Sci 26, 332-333.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Hecker, C.M., Rabiller, M., Haglund, K., Bayer, P., and Dikic, I. (2006). Specification of SUMO1- and SUMO2-interacting motifs. J Biol Chem 281, 16117-16127.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Hickey, C.M., Wilson, N.R., and Hochstrasser, M. (2012). Function and regulation of SUMO proteases. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 13, 755-766. Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u>

Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Howe, G.A., and Jander, G. (2008). Plant immunity to insect herbivores. Annu Rev Plant Biol 59, 41-66.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Ingle, R.A., Stoker, C., Stone, W., Adams, N., Smith, R., Grant, M., Carre, I., Roden, L.C., and Denby, K.J. (2015). Jasmonate signalling drives time-of-day differences in susceptibility of Arabidopsis to the fungal pathogen Botrytis cinerea. Plant J 84, 937-948. Pubmed: Author and Title

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Jentsch, S., and Pyrowolakis, G. (2000). Ubiquitin and its kin: how close are the family ties? Trends Cell Biol 10, 335-342.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Katsir, L., Chung, H.S., Koo, AJ., and Howe, G.A (2008). Jasmonate signaling: a conserved mechanism of hormone sensing. Curr Opin Plant Biol 11, 428-435.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u> Kazan, K., and Manners, J.M. (2008). Jasmonate signaling: toward an integrated view. Plant Physiol 146, 1459-1468.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Kerscher, O., Felberbaum, R., and Hochstrasser, M. (2006). Modification of proteins by ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like proteins. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 22, 159-180.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Koornneef, A, and Pieterse, C.M. (2008). Cross talk in defense signaling. Plant Physiol 146, 839-844.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Laurie-Berry, N., Joardar, V., Street, I.H., and Kunkel, B.N. (2006). The Arabidopsis thaliana JASMONATE INSENSITIVE 1 gene is required for suppression of salicylic acid-dependent defenses during infection by Pseudomonas syringae. Mol Plant Microbe In 19, 789-800.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Lee, J., Nam, J., Park, H.C., Na, G., Miura, K., Jin, J.B., Yoo, C.Y., Baek, D., Kim, D.H., Jeong, J.C., Kim, D., Lee, S.Y., Salt, D.E., Mengiste, T., Gong, Q., Ma, S., Bohnert, H.J., Kwak, S.S., Bressan, R.A., Hasegawa, P.M., and Yun, D.J. (2007). Salicylic acid-mediated innate immunity in Arabidopsis is regulated by SIZ1 SUMO E3 ligase. Plant J 49, 79-90.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Livak, K.J. and Schmittgen, T.D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2-\Delta\Delta$ Ct method. Methods. 25, 402–408.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Melotto, M., Mecey, C., Niu, Y., Chung, H.S., Katsir, L., Yao, J., Zeng, W., Thines, B., Staswick, P., Browse, J., Howe, G.A and He S.Y. (2008). A critical role of two positively charged amino acids in the JAS motif of Arabidopsis JAZ proteins in mediating coronatine- and jasmonyl isoleucine-dependant interactions with the COI1 F-box protein. Plant J 55, 979-988.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Mengiste, T. (2012). Plant immunity to necrotrophs. Annu Rev Phytopathol 50, 267-294.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Miller, M.J., Barrett-Wilt, G.A., Hua, Z., and Vierstra, R.D. (2010). Proteomic analyses identify a diverse array of nuclear processes affected by small ubiquitin-like modifier conjugation in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107, 16512-16517.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Minty, A, Dumont, X., Kaghad, M., and Caput, D. (2000). Covalent modification of p73alpha by SUMO-1. Two-hybrid screening with p73 identifies novel SUMO-1-interacting proteins and a SUMO-1 interaction motif. J Biol Chem 275, 36316-36323.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Okada, S., Nagabuchi, M., Takamura, Y., Nakagawa, T., Shinmyozu, K., Nakayama, J., and Tanaka, K. (2009). Reconstitution of Arabidopsis thaliana SUMO pathways in E. coli: functional evaluation of SUMO machinery proteins and mapping of SUMOylation sites by mass spectrometry. Plant Cell Physiol 50, 1049-1061.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pauwels, L., and Goossens, A (2011). The JAZ proteins: a crucial interface in the jasmonate signaling cascade. Plant Cell 23, 3089-3100. Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u>

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pieterse, C.M. (2012). Prime time for transgenerational defense. Plant Physiol 158, 545.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Reeves, P.H., Murtas, G., Dash, S., and Coupland, G. (2002). early in short days 4, a mutation in Arabidopsis that causes early flowering and reduces the mRNA abundance of the floral repressor FLC. Development 129, 5349-5361.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Reverter, D., and Lima, C.D. (2009). Preparation of SUMO proteases and kinetic analysis using endogenous substrates. Methods Mol Biol 497, 225-239.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u> Sheard, L.B., Tan, X., Mao, H., Withers, J., Ben-Nissan, G., Hinds, T.R., Kobayashi, Y., Hsu, F.F., Sharon, M., Browse, J., He, S.Y., Rizo, J., Howe, G.A, and Zheng, N. (2010). Jasmonate perception by inositol-phosphate-potentiated COI1-JAZ co-receptor. Nature 468, 400-405.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Smirnova, E., Marquis, V., Poirier, L., Aubert, Y., Zumsteg, J., Menard, R., Miesch, L., and Heitz, T. (2017). Jasmonic Acid Oxidase 2 Hydroxylates Jasmonic Acid and Represses Basal Defense and Resistance Responses against Botrytis cinerea Infection. Mol Plant 10, 1159-1173.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Srivastava, AK., Zhang, C., Caine, R.S., Gray, J., and Sadanandom, A (2017). Rice SUMO protease Overly Tolerant to Salt 1 targets the transcription factor, OsbZIP23 to promote drought tolerance in rice. Plant J 92, 1031-1043.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Srivastava, AK., Zhang, C., Caine, R.S., Gray, J., and Sadanandom, A (2017). Rice SUMO protease Overly Tolerant to Salt 1 targets the transcription factor, OsbZIP23 to promote drought tolerance in rice. Plant J 92, 1031-1043.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Srivastava, AK., Zhang, C., Yates, G., Bailey, M., Brown, A, and Sadanandom, A (2016). SUMO Is a Critical Regulator of Salt Stress Responses in Rice. Plant Physiol 170, 2378-2391.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Staswick P.E. (2008). JAZing up jasmonate signaling. Trends Plant Sci 13, 66-71.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Thines, B., Katsir, L., Melotto, M., Niu, Y., Mandaokar, A, Liu, G., Nomura, K., He, S.Y., Howe, G.A, and Browse, J. (2007). JAZ repressor proteins are targets of the SCF(COI1) complex during jasmonate signalling. Nature 448, 661-665.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Verger, A, Perdomo, J., and Crossley, M. (2003). Modification with SUMO. A role in transcriptional regulation. EMBO Rep 4, 137-142.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Xie, D.X., Feys, B.F., James, S., Nieto-Rostro, M., and Turner, J.G. (1998). COI1: an Arabidopsis gene required for jasmonate-regulated defense and fertility. Science 280, 1091-1094.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Yan, Y., Stolz, S., Chetelat, A, Reymond, P., Pagni, M., Debugnon, L. and Farmer E.E. (2007). A downstream mediator in the growth repression limb of the jasmonate pathway. Plant Cell 19, 2470-2483.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Zabala, M.D., Zhai, B., Jayaraman, S., Eleftheriadou, G., Winsbury, R., Yang, R., Truman, W., Tang, S.J., Smirnoff, N., and Grant, M. (2016). Novel JAZ co-operativity and unexpected JA dynamics underpin Arabidopsis defence responses to Pseudomonas syringae infection. New Phytol 209, 1120-1134.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>