# Journal of Race, Ethnicity, and Politics

# Proudly for Brooke: Race-Conscious Campaigning in 1960s Massachusetts --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	JREP-D-16-00087R1		
Full Title:	Proudly for Brooke: Race-Conscious Campaigning in 1960s Massachusetts		
Article Type:	Research Article		
Corresponding Author:	Richard Johnson Oxford University Oxford, UNITED KINGDOM		
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:			
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Oxford University		
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:			
First Author:	Richard Johnson		
First Author Secondary Information:			
Order of Authors:	Richard Johnson		
Order of Authors Secondary Information:			
Abstract:	Scholars have credited the victory of Edward Brooke, America's first popularly elected black United States senator, to a 'deracialised' or 'colour-blind' election strategy in which both the candidate and the electorate ignored racial matters. This article revises this prevailing historical explanation of Brooke's election. Drawing from the historical-ideational paradigm of Desmond King and Rogers Smith, this paper argues that Brooke was much more of a 'race-conscious' candidate than is generally remembered. Primary documents from the 1966 campaign reveal that Brooke spoke openly against racial inequality, arguing in favour of racially targeted policies and calling for stronger racial equality legislation. In addition, this paper argues that Brooke's appeals were not targeted primarily to the state's small black population but to liberal whites. Far from ignoring race, internal campaign documents and interviews with campaign staff reveal that Brooke's campaign strategists sought to appeal to white desires to 'do the right thing' by electing an African American. Internal polling documents from the Brooke campaign and newspaper commentaries further demonstrate that a proportion of the white electorate cited Brooke's race as the reason for supporting his candidacy. This paper suggests that Brooke's race as the reason for supporting his candidacy. This paper suggests that Brooke's race as the reason for supporting his candidacy. This paper suggests that Brooke's and Voting Rights Act but before the urban riots of the 'long hot summer of 1967', the King assassination riots, and anti-busing riots in Boston. The first half of Brooke's 1966 campaign slogan 'Proudly for Brooke: A Creative Republican' signals the race-conscious dynamics of his candidacy.		

# **Proudly for Brooke:**

# Race-Conscious Campaigning in 1960s Massachusetts

Richard Johnson (Nuffield College, University of Oxford)
richard.johnson@nuffield.ox.ac.uk

# Abstract<sup>1</sup>

Scholars have credited the victory of Edward Brooke, America's first popularly elected black United States senator, to a 'deracialised' or 'colour-blind' election strategy in which both the candidate and the electorate ignored racial matters. This article revises this prevailing historical explanation of Brooke's election. Drawing from the historical-ideational paradigm of Desmond King and Rogers Smith, this paper argues that Brooke was much more of a 'race-conscious' candidate than is generally remembered. Primary documents from the 1966 campaign reveal that Brooke spoke openly against racial inequality, arguing in favour of racially targeted policies and calling for stronger racial equality legislation. In addition, this paper argues that Brooke's appeals were not targeted primarily to the state's small black population but to liberal whites. Far from ignoring race, internal campaign documents and interviews with campaign staff reveal that Brooke's campaign strategists sought to appeal to white desires to 'do the right thing' by electing an African American candidate. Internal polling documents from the Brooke campaign and newspaper commentaries further demonstrate that a proportion of the white electorate cited Brooke's race as the reason for supporting his candidacy. This paper suggests that Brooke's election was extremely well timed – coming soon after the passage of the Civil Rights Act and Voting Rights Act but before the urban riots of the 'long hot summer of 1967', the King assassination riots, and anti-busing riots in Boston. The first half of Brooke's 1966 campaign slogan 'Proudly for Brooke: A Creative Republican' signals the race-conscious dynamics of his candidacy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The author wishes to thank the late Senator Edward Brooke, William Cowin, Carmen Durso, Stephen Herbits, J Alan MacKay, Joe McMahon, and Sally Saltonstall Willis for agreeing to be interviewed. Marie Lanser Beck, Stephen Herbits, and Desmond King are thanked for providing comments on earlier drafts of the paper. Appreciation is given to Senator Brooke and Mrs Anne Brooke for granting permission to examine the Brooke Archive at the Library of Congress. The author also thanks Al Gammal and Joe Gammal for sharing their memories and mementoes from the campaign.

# **Proudly for Brooke:**

# Race-Conscious Campaigning in 1960s Massachusetts

#### Abstract<sup>1</sup>

Scholars have credited the victory of Edward Brooke, America's first popularly elected black United States senator, to a 'deracialised' or 'colour-blind' election strategy in which both the candidate and the electorate ignored racial matters. This article revises this prevailing historical explanation of Brooke's election. Drawing from the historical-ideational paradigm of Desmond King and Rogers Smith, this paper argues that Brooke was much more of a 'race-conscious' candidate than is generally remembered. Primary documents from the 1966 campaign reveal that Brooke spoke openly against racial inequality, argued in favour of racially targeted policies, and called for stronger racial equality legislation. In addition, this paper argues that Brooke's appeals were not targeted primarily to the state's small black population but to liberal whites. Far from ignoring race, internal campaign documents and interviews with campaign staff reveal that Brooke's campaign strategists sought to appeal to white desires to 'do the right thing' by electing an African American candidate. Internal polling documents from the Brooke campaign and newspaper commentaries further demonstrate that a proportion of the white electorate cited Brooke's race as the reason for supporting his candidacy. This paper suggests that Brooke's election was extremely well timed – coming soon after the passage of the Civil Rights Act and Voting Rights Act but before the urban riots of the 'long hot summer of 1967', the King assassination riots, and anti-busing riots in Boston. The first half of Brooke's 1966 campaign slogan 'Proudly for Brooke: A Creative Republican' signals the race-conscious dynamics of his candidacy.

memories and mementoes from the campaign.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The author wishes to thank the late Senator Edward Brooke, William Cowin, Carmen Durso, Stephen Herbits, J Alan MacKay, Joe McMahon, and Sally Saltonstall Willis for agreeing to be interviewed. Marie Lanser Beck, Stephen Herbits, and Desmond King are thanked for providing comments on earlier drafts of the paper. Appreciation is given to Senator Brooke and Mrs Anne Brooke for granting permission to examine the Brooke Archive at the Library of Congress. The author also thanks Al Gammal and Joe Gammal for sharing their

# Introduction

The election of Edward W Brooke to the United States Senate in 1966 was the first time that an African American had been popularly elected to the country's most powerful legislative chamber.<sup>2</sup> Unsurprisingly, discussion of the election has focused on its racial dimensions, and scholars have long credited Brooke's victory in Massachusetts to a 'deracialised' election strategy in which both the candidate and the electorate ignored racial matters.<sup>3</sup> This article revises this prevailing historical explanation of Brooke's election, arguing that scholars have crucially misinterpreted the way race featured in the campaign. Drawing from a wealth of primary sources, this paper argues that Brooke was much more of a 'race-conscious' candidate than is generally remembered.

Applying Desmond King and Rogers Smith's paradigm of racial policy alliances to the electoral arena, this paper proposes that Brooke more accurately should be described as a 'race-conscious' candidate. First, Brooke's strong sense of his own racial identity and his understanding of race in American history align much more closely with the 'race-conscious' coalition than that of the 'colourblind' alliance. Second, Brooke's policy agenda was not 'colour-blind' or 'deracialised' but contained a set of race-conscious policies designed to tackle racism beyond de jure racial discrimination. Third, Brooke's appeal to white voters subtly but unmistakably acknowledged the aspirations of wanting to 'make history' and 'do the right thing' by electing a qualified African American candidate. Relatedly, as interviews with key campaign staff indicate, Brooke's racial symbolism was an important factor in drawing liberal whites to work and volunteer for his campaign. Finally, Brooke was uniquely successful as a black Republican candidate in the post-Civil Rights Act era in being able to command an overwhelming majority of the black vote. This paper shows that Brooke's support among blacks was exceptionally high for a Republican candidate, even in Massachusetts in the 1960s. The support suggests a strong linkage between Brooke and the African American community. The first half of Brooke's 1966 campaign slogan 'Proudly for Brooke: A Creative Republican' signals the raceconscious dimension of his candidacy.

The article will proceed as follows. The first section sets out the theoretical framework. The second section analyses Brooke's racial identity and his political development. The third section turns to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The first black senators, Hiram Revels and Blanche Bruce, were appointed by the Mississippi state legislature during Reconstruction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Judson Jeffries, 'US Senator Edward Brooke and Governor L Douglas Wilder Tell Political Scientists How Blacks Can Win High-Profile Statewide Office', *PS: Political Science and Politics* 32:2 (Sept 1999), 583-87; Raphael Sonenshein, 'Can Black Candidates Win Statewide Elections?', *Political Science Quarterly* 105:2 (Summer 1999), 219-241; Dennis Nordin, *From Edward Brooke to Barack Obama: African American Political Success, 1966-2008* (Columbia, MO, 2012); Jason Sokol, *All Eyes Are Upon Us: Race and Politics from Boston to Brooklyn* (New York, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Desmond King & Rogers Smith, 'Racial Orders in American Political Development', *American Political Science Review* 99:1 (Feb 2005), 75-92; Desmond King & Rogers Smith, *Still a House Divided* (Princeton, 2011); Desmond King & Rogers Smith, 'Without Regard to Race: Critical ideational development in modern American politics', *Journal of Politics* 76:4 (Oct 2014), 958-971.

Brooke's strategy in the 1966 US Senate campaign. Finally, the fourth section explores why such an appeal was thought to be effective, given the widespread advice given to black candidates in the fifty years since Brooke's election that deracialised appeals are necessary for political success in majority-white jurisdictions.

This article makes extensive use of primary materials drawn from several archives: Senator Brooke's official papers held in the Library of Congress and his personal papers at Boston University, as well as the papers of his Democratic opponent Governor Endicott Peabody, which are held at the John F Kennedy Presidential Library. From these repositories, I focused attention on the content of internal campaign memoranda, correspondences, internal polling reports, and newspaper clippings. The article also draws from two interviews which I conducted with Senator Brooke in the final year of his life -- one by phone in February 2014 and one at his home in May 2014. I also interviewed key members of the Brooke campaign staff as well as Brooke's opponent for the Republican nomination. Where anonymisation is necessary, interviewees are coded with 'EB' followed by a unique number, for example 'EB3'. In addition, several first-hand accounts of the 1966 election, including Brooke's own autobiography, Elinor Hartshorn's PhD thesis, and an analysis published by Brooke's pollsters, have proved to be invaluable sources of primary material.<sup>5</sup>

#### I - Race-Conscious vs Colour-Blind Politics

The first section of this paper offers a reassessment of the theoretical framing of Edward Brooke's racial campaign strategy, long thought to be an example of a 'deracialised' campaign strategy. I argue that scholars have wrongly understood the racial dimensions of Brooke's candidacy due to inadequate theorisation of the possible campaign strategies available to black candidates in majority-white jurisdictions. This paper argues that the conditions of Brooke's candidacy (timing within the development of the civil rights movement, racially non-confrontational competition, candidate biography, and the racial attitudes of his electorate) gave Brooke licence to speak to white voters with much more straightforward race-conscious language and content than commentators have generally believed is possible for a black candidate in a majority-white election.

Most scholarship on black electoral politics has been limited to two models of black candidacy: the 'racialised' campaign model, which is said to be typical of majority-black constituencies and the 'deracialised' campaign model in majority-white constituencies. Huey Perry described these two

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Edward Brooke, *Bridging the Divide: My Life*, (New Brunswick, NJ, 2007); Elinor Hartshorn, *The Quiet Campaigner: Edward W Brooke in Massachusetts* (Ph.D diss., University of Massachusetts, 1973); John Becker & Eugene Heaton, 'The Election of Senator Edward W Brooke', *Public Opinion Quarterly* 31: 3 (1967), 346-358.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For studies which employ this binary, see Thomas Pettigrew & Denise Alston, *Tom Bradley's Campaign for Governor*, (Washington, 1988); Sonenshein, 'Can Black Candidates Win Statewide Elections?'; Carol Sigelman et al, 'Black Candidates, White Voters: Understanding Racial Bias in Political Perceptions', *American Journal* 

models as the 'twin pillars' of black electoral politics. The majority-black racialised campaign is associated with black 'militancy', 8 black-specific policy issues, 9 and a 'confrontational' political style. 10 In contrast, the key features of the deracialised model include the offer of a 'race-neutral' policy programme, 11 the adoption of a 'non-threatening' political style, 12 and the avoidance of racial discourse in mobilising either black or white voters. 13

The deracialised model's central hypothesis is that white voters will not support a black candidate who overtly identifies with his or her black racial identity. If black candidates can avoid associations with their black racial identity, then they can minimise the salience of race in the campaign and attract white support on a non-racial basis. According to this view, the only way in which black politicians win election in majority-white jurisdictions is by purging any racial content from their campaigns.

In the 1960s, the population of Massachusetts was 2.2% African American. <sup>14</sup> Therefore, according to the racialised/deracialised campaign paradigm, one would expect Brooke to deploy a 'deracialised' campaign strategy in order to appeal to the 97.8% of Massachusetts voters who were not African American. Indeed, Brooke's success has widely been credited to running a 'deracialised' campaign. 15 Typical of this conclusion, Jason Sokol has presented Brooke's election as an exceptional and fleeting moment in US history where voters existed in 'an alternate reality - a place where race was no political object'. 16

of Political Science 39:1 (February 1995), 243-265; David, Canon, Matthew Shousen, & Patrick Sellers, 'The Supply Side of Congressional Redistricting: Race and Strategic Politicians', Journal of Politics 58:3 (1996), 846-862; Jeffries, 'US Senator Edward Brooke and Governor L Douglas Wilder Tell Political Scientists How Blacks Can Win High-Profile Statewide Office'; Robert Smith 'Beyond the Boundaries' in G Persons (ed) Beyond the Boundaries: A New Structure of Ambition in African American Politics (New Brunswick, NJ, 2009): Andra Gillespie, 'Meet the New Class: Theorizing young black leadership in a post-racial era' in Whose Black Politics? Cases in Post-Racial Black Leadership (New York, 2010); Nordin, From Edward Brooke to Barack Obama. For characteristic applications of the 'racialised' model, see Paul Carton, Mobilizing the Black Community (Washington, 1984); Carol Swain, Black Faces, Black Interests (Cambridge, MA, 1995), Ch 3; Katherine Tate, Black Faces in the Mirror (Cambridge, MA, 2003); Richard Fenno, Home Style (Boston, 1978), Ch 3. For characteristic applications of the 'deracialised' model, see Sonenshein 'Can Black Candidates Win Statewide Elections?'; Charles Prysby, 'The 1990 US Senate Election in North Carolina' in H Perry (ed) Race, Politics, and Governance in the United States (Gainesville, FL, 1996); Andra Gillespie, The New Black Politician (New York, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Huev Perry, 'The value of deracialization as an analytical construct in American politics' in *Race, Politics, and* Governance in the United States, xii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Stephan Thernstrom & Abigail Thernstrom, *American in Black and White* (New York, 1997), 483.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Carol Swain, *Black Faces, Black Interests* (Cambridge, MA, 1995), 79.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Gillespie, Whose Black Politics? (New York, 2010), 204.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> William Julius Wilson, 'Race Neutral Politics and the Democratic Coalition', *The American Prospect* 1 (1990), 74-81.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Joseph McCormick & Charles Jones, 'The Conceptualization of Deracialization' in G Persons (ed) *Dilemmas* of Black Politics: Issues of Leadership and Strategy (New York, 1993). <sup>13</sup> Ibid., 76.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Brooke remains the only black senator to have been elected from a state whose proportion of black residents is lower than the national average.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Nordin, From Edward Brooke to Barack Obama, 114; Sokol, All Eyes Are Upon Us, 114.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Sokol, *All Eyes Are Upon Us*, 103.

Similarly, Judson Jeffries has argued that Brooke was successful because he minimised the role of race in his campaign, distanced himself from the civil rights movement, and focused exclusively on issues that 'transcend' race. 17 A closer inspection of the campaign, however, reveals that in his public speeches and policy documents Brooke freely acknowledged the symbolic significance of his candidacy, supported the Johnson civil rights agenda openly, and even criticised the administration for not going far enough in tackling enduring racial inequalities. On the other hand, Brooke's racial campaign strategy resolutely rejected racial exclusivity, black nationalism, and forms of 'black power' associated with other contemporary black leaders like Adam Clayton Powell, Amiri Baraka, and Stokely Carmichael.

Therefore, I argue that neither the 'racialised' nor the 'deracialised' model accurately captures the racial campaign strategy adopted by Edward Brooke. Instead, I suggest that scholars turn to the historical-ideational paradigm of King and Smith, who contend that American politics is divided by racial policy alliances. 18 They define these as 'durable coalitions of political actors, activist groups, and governing institutions united by their stances on the central racial policy issues in the eras of American politics their conflicts help define'. 19 King and Smith's typology is valuable because it reorients focus to politicians' philosophical commitments rather than predominantly on their presentational differences.

'Colour-blind' advocates are willing to remove legal barriers to discrimination but oppose racially targeted policies and tend to discount the enduring role of race in shaping life outcomes.<sup>20</sup> Indeed, colour-blind advocates consider any race-based public policy to be inherently discriminatory and racist, even if such policies are targeted at assisting historically marginalised racial minorities. In contrast, the race-conscious policy coalition holds that centuries of slavery and racial apartheid in American have had an enduring social and political legacy, even in an era of formal, legal equality. They argue policies should be made with the explicit concern for reducing America's severe racial inequalities because the mere removal of de jure racial discrimination is insufficient to addressing the effects of centuries of racism in America. Advocates within the race-conscious coalition are critical of colour-blind philosophy which they believe 'disguises (sometimes deliberately) or normalizes (sometimes unwittingly) relationships of power and subordination'. <sup>21</sup>

Turning to electoral politics, if an African American candidate were to follow a 'colour-blind' campaign strategy, we would expect the candidate to avoid discussion of lingering structural racial

<sup>17</sup> Jeffries, 'US Senator Edward Brooke and Governor L Douglas Wilder Tell Political Scientists How Blacks Can Win High-Profile Statewide Office'.

King & Smith, 'Without regard to race'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Smith, King, & Klinkner, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> King & Smith, 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Guinier & Torres, 2002, 42.

inequalities and to purge racially targeted legislation from his or her policy agenda.<sup>22</sup> In contrast, if an African American candidate pursued a 'race-conscious' strategy, we would expect him or her to speak about race and racial inequalities openly as well as adopt positions which would be aimed at advancing the material conditions of African Americans.

Sokol argues that Brooke waged 'a color-blind campaign', but this runs contrary not only to Brooke's willingness to speak about racial inequality but his personal view that African Americans faced unique, enduring disadvantages as a result of historic racial injustice. In his book *The Challenge of Change*, which was published in the spring of 1966, Brooke argued that removing racist laws was insufficient. He wrote, 'Even with all the freedom in the world, the great majority of Negroes would be woefully ill-equipped to make a reasonably equal life for themselves in America's competitive society'. 23 In the next two sections, I will demonstrate that neither Brooke's personal understanding of racial inequality nor his political rhetoric conform to a 'colour-blind' approach. Edward Brooke was firmly a race-conscious politician.

# II – Edward Brooke's Race-Conscious Political Development

Before interrogating the racial dimensions of Edward Brooke's campaign for the US Senate, it is important to establish Brooke's biographical narrative and to situate his racial identity in its historical context. Attempts to present Brooke as a 'deracialised' candidate have wrongly deracinated Brooke from his strong personal rootedness in the black community, which this paper argues was a key component of his political identity.

# **Edward Brooke's Racial Biography**

Born in 1919, Brooke was raised in a middle-class, black neighbourhood in Washington, DC, a city which was racially segregated in the provision of many goods and services, including housing, education, parks and recreation facilities, civic organisations, and entertainment venues.<sup>24</sup> Although Brooke described his happy and comfortable upbringing as being in a middle-class 'cocoon', scholars would be wrong to suggest that Brooke's upbringing was 'colour blind', as Elinor Hartshorn implies.<sup>25</sup> Brooke acknowledged that he had 'known racial prejudice' and that as a child, 'My parents taught me that racial prejudice is a sin'.<sup>26</sup> Brooke's biographer John Henry Cutler correctly identifies the tensions between Brooke's class proximity to 'mainstream' American society and his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Empirical differences in racial outcomes in housing, education, employment, health, and so forth are difficult to deny, but a colour-blind candidate would regard these differences as coincidental to race and racial attitudes rather than explained in part by them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Brooke, *The Challenge of Change*, 152.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Kenesaw Landis, *Segregation in Washington*, National Committee on Segregation in the Nation's Capital (Chicago, 1948).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Hartshorn, *The Quiet Campaigner*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Brooke, *Bridging the Divide*, pp. 2, 9.

simultaneous racial segregation from it. For example, the Brookes were denied entry to Washington theatres, but the family could occasionally venture up to New York City to enjoy this middle-class luxury. Brooke's father was a bureaucrat in the Veterans Administration, which had been segregated under the presidency of Woodrow Wilson.<sup>27</sup> While able to provide his family with a comfortable living, Brooke explained in an interview with this author that his father 'was a frustrated man' whom he believed was denied promotion due to racism.<sup>28</sup>

Until the age of twenty-six, Brooke socialised almost entirely in exclusively black contexts and admitted to 'rarely dealing with whites'.<sup>29</sup> In addition to living in a segregated neighbourhood, Brooke's entire education was spent at all-black institutions, including his undergraduate education at Howard University, where Brooke made connections with students who would later become leading figures in the black community, including Walter Washington the first elected mayor of the District of Columbia.<sup>30</sup> Brooke joined Alpha Phi Alpha, the oldest black fraternity in the country, which he credits with being a source of early political training.<sup>31</sup> He served as chapter president, became a national officer, and in later life contributed over \$100,000 to the organisation.<sup>32</sup> Brooke remarked in an interview with this author that he provided a recommendation for Martin Luther King to join the fraternity while King was a divinity student at Boston University.<sup>33</sup> A letter sent by Brooke to King three days before King's murder is addressed 'Dear Martin', suggesting that the men were on a first-name basis.<sup>34</sup>

Graduating from Howard in June 1941, Brooke soon found himself called up for military service in the US Army following the bombing of Pearl Harbor.<sup>35</sup> Brooke's experience in the army, serving in the all-black 366<sup>th</sup> combat infantry, made stark the injustices of the 'separate but equal' policy which governed the United States' segregated military. Brooke's biographer says that his time in the army 'opened Brooke's eyes' to the sharpness of racial injustice which had been dulled by his comfortable upbringing among other middle-class blacks.<sup>36</sup> Brooke writes in his autobiography that he was frustrated to see whites receive far better facilities and treatments than blacks.<sup>37</sup> He told this author, 'I faced segregation and discrimination upfront, in a blatant way, really for the first time'.<sup>38</sup> While

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Kathleen Wolgemuth, 'Woodrow Wilson and Federal Segregation', *Journal of Negro History* 44:2 (Apr 1959), 158-173.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Interview with Edward Brooke, 3 May 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Brooke, *Bridging the Divide*, 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Brooke, *Bridging the Divide*, 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Brooke, *Bridging the Divide*, 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Based on cuttings from the Brooke Archive at the Howard Gotlieb Archival Research Center, Boston University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Brooke interview, May 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Letter from Edward Brooke to Martin Luther King, 1 April 1968 (The King Center Archive).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Brooke, *Bridging the Divide*, 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Cutler, Ed Brooke, 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Brooke, 2007, Ch 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Interview with Brooke, May 2014.

serving in Italy, Brooke believed that white commanders would sometimes unnecessarily put the black soldiers in especially dangerous and deadly circumstances.<sup>39</sup>

It was not until after World War II that Brooke first spent time in a majority-white environment. Brooke opted to study at Boston University School of Law's accelerated law programme, which was funded by the GI Bill. In Brooke's class of 300 students, seven were black. Brooke recalled, 'it was still the first time I had come face to face with integration. I mean, everything else I had done in my lifetime had been segregated'. Although Boston University was majority-white, Brooke lived in the majority-black Roxbury, known as 'Boston's Harlem'. Brooke explained to this author, 'I don't remember ever having opportunities to live elsewhere. I lived in the black community even when I came back from the war'.

Brooke made his entry into politics after he settled in Boston. It was in Roxbury, at the urging of local community and religious leaders, that Brooke ran for the Massachusetts House of Representatives, losing both times. After spending the 1950s as a volunteer activist for the state Republican Party, in 1960 Brooke was nominated to be the Republican candidate for Secretary of the Commonwealth, a constitutional executive position whose main responsibility is the conduct of elections. Brooke was the first black candidate in the country to be nominated by a major party for statewide office since Reconstruction. Brooke lost to Democrat Kevin White, who would later become mayor of Boston, but he exceeded expectations, winning over one million votes. Brooke believes that this particular election was critical to his later political success.<sup>43</sup>

Two years later, Brooke was nominated to be Massachusetts attorney general. His victory in November 1962 marked the first time an African American had won statewide office in any state since Reconstruction. In 1964, Brooke was handily re-elected, receiving more votes than any other Republican in Massachusetts history to that point. Although in the presidential race Lyndon Johnson won the state with 76.2% of the vote, opinion polls found that 64% of Johnson supporters had a positive opinion of Brooke.<sup>44</sup>

By the mid-1960s, Brooke was a well-established politician who was regarded highly by blacks and whites alike. As attorney general, he was responsible for prosecuting high-profile cases of political corruption and gained wider national attention for his role in coordinating the police response to the infamous 'Boston Strangler' murders. Although his early life had been spent almost exclusively

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Brooke, *Bridging the Divide*, 26-29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Interview with Edward Brooke, 5 February 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Cutler, Ed Brooke, ix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Interview with Brooke, February 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Interview with Brooke, May 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> 'Massachusetts Voters Appraise Candidates and Issues', Opinion Research Corporation, October 1964 (Brooke Archive, Library of Congress).

within the black community, Brooke had managed to break into majority-white state politics in an unprecedented way. While Brooke had not limited himself to exclusively black politics, he remained proud of his black racial identity and cognisant of the need to represent the issues and aspirations of that community.<sup>45</sup>

# **Racial Identity**

As his biography manifests, it is perhaps unsurprising that Brooke had a strong sense of racial identity. Racial identity refers to a person's sense of 'linked fate' with people of a similar racial or ethnic background. 'Linked fate' is measured by the degree to which, in this context, Brooke believed that his own interests in particular were tied to those of other African Americans in general. <sup>46</sup> Brooke himself expressed the view that blacks share a common bond when it comes to matters of racial equality. It is 'something they are born with, that they have to live with', he explained. <sup>47</sup> Brooke's life exhibits signs of sharing this solidaristic bond with the African American community; although, he never operated to the *exclusion* of cooperation with whites.

Brooke's personal racial identity was tied to a wider race-conscious analysis of American history. Brooke told his biographer John Henry Cutler, 'The American Negro didn't come over on the Mayflower... but he was right behind it – in chains. And he rowed the boat to get here'. 48 Given that Brooke's grandfather, with whom he had been close, had been born a slave and Brooke felt that his own father had been denied job promotions because of racial prejudice, he was able to place his own family's racial history within the wider story of American race relations. 49 Brooke was conscious of the enduring effect that generations of race-based slavery and segregation had yielded on the condition of black people in America. In a policy statement released in August 1966, Brooke argued, 'Racial discrimination has struck at the heart of the American dream for over two hundred years'. 50 For Brooke, racism was an historic sin which continued to affect all black Americans.

It is important to underscore that Brooke was one of the last of a generation of black leaders who personally knew someone who had been born into slavery. Brooke's namesake, his paternal grandfather, was believed to have been born a slave on a plantation near Fredericksburg, Virginia.<sup>51</sup> In an interview with this author, Brooke recalled his grandfather fondly, 'I was very close to him. He was an interesting man. Dark colour and wide eyes. Straight black hair. So you could see the Indian [heritage], which was quite beautiful. He was very jovial and whatnot. He himself I think was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> "Of course I'm a Negro and I have a great deal of pride in my race," says Atty Gen Brooke', *Boston Globe*, June 28, 1965.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Michael Dawson, *Behind the Mule* (Princeton, 1994), 77.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Brooke, quoted in Wright, 'The Challenge of Change', 95.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Edward Brooke, quoted in Cutler, *Ed Brooke*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Brooke interview, May 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Edward Brooke, 'A Statement on Negroes and the Open Society', August 2, 1966.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Brooke, *Bridging the Divide*, 5.

educated by the slave masters. They must have taken to him and seen that he had potential and he was bright'.<sup>52</sup> While the Brookes had progressed from slavery to the black middle class within a generation, Brooke did not perpetuate the notion that the American Dream was available to blacks in the same way it was to whites.

Similarly, Brooke was one of the last black politicians who was a Republican in part because of a familial loyalty to the GOP as the party of emancipation. Brooke's father, who had migrated to Washington from Virginia, was a loyal Republican, 'as almost all Negroes were then [in the early twentieth century]; they rallied around the party of Lincoln and Emancipation'. It wasn't until the 1932 election that African Americans' historically stalwart support for the Republicans began to fade. At that election, the black journalist Robert Vann predicted there would be 'millions of Negroes turning the picture of Lincoln to the wall'. But because residents of the District of Columbia had no voting rights, no representation in Congress, and no elected municipal government, Brooke confesses that his family paid little attention to politics and remained loyal to the Republicans, even during the New Deal. As late as the 1956 presidential election, Republicans won as much as one third of the black vote. For the Brookes, support for the Republicans remained tied to their racial history as liberated African Americans.

This is not to say that a man of Brooke's intellect was a Republican simply because his father was. Joshua Farrington is right to say that commentators should avoid reducing mid-twentieth century black Republicans to 'elderly partisans clutching to hallowed memories of Abraham Lincoln'. Focus on presidential voting can be misleading because it obscures substantial variation in state-level Republican politics. It is important to understand that in the 1950s and 1960s the Massachusetts Republican Party was led by moderates and liberals, such as Christian Herter, Leverett Saltonstall, Henry Cabot Lodge, Joseph Martin, and Elliot Richardson. Brooke, ideologically, shared much in common with them. He explained to this author, 'I just felt they better represented what I felt, not just on civil rights but on a myriad of foreign and domestic policies'. The state's Democratic Party was associated with white ethnic machine politics and patronage, issues which Brooke vigorously targeted first as chair of the Boston Finance Commission and then as attorney general. He added, 'I was more comfortable with them [Massachusetts Republicans] more so than the corrupt Democrats'. Given this backdrop, Leah Wright Rigueur exaggerates when she describes Brooke as an 'enigma' to his party. Within the context of northeastern moderate to liberal Republicanism, Brooke's partisan

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Brooke interview, May 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Brooke, Bridging the Divide, 6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Robert Vann, quoted in A Buni, *Robert L Vann of the Pittsburgh Courier: Politics and Black Journalism*, Pittsburgh, 1974, 194.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Townes, 'The Friendship of Black Citizens and Other Americans', 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Farrington, 2016, 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Interview with Brooke, February 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Rigueur, 2015, 95.

affiliation fit comfortably with his policy commitments. Brooke, however, distinguished between the Massachusetts Republican Party and the national Republican Party. He explained to this author, 'I was never comfortable with the national Republican Party -- nor were they really ever comfortable with me. I was a loner in the Senate, a loner in my own party, and to some degree in my own race'. <sup>59</sup>

Jeffries claims that Brooke was successful because he did not wear 'his blackness on his sleeve'. 60 It is not precisely clear what Jeffries means by this. If by it he means that Brooke eschewed traditional sources and manifestations of black politics, Jeffries is incorrect. Although Brooke did not confine himself to black identity politics, he did not withdraw from it either. Brooke's first run for public office was prompted by the urging of African American civic, political, and religious leaders in Roxbury. Furthermore, Brooke maintained close links with historically black organisations through his membership of the black fraternity Alpha Phi Alpha, the Urban League whose executive director Whitney Young Brooke emulated, and the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), for which he served as director of the Boston branch in the 1950s. As an elected official, Brooke was conscious of his symbolic status as the nation's only black senator. Brooke explained, 'I was viewed by millions of black Americans, in every state, as "their" senator'. He recalled that as he was being sworn in as senator in January 1967, he thought to himself, 'Would I let my race down and prove the bigots right?'. Brooke's personal understanding of American racial history and his own place in it sits much more comfortably in the race-conscious alliance than with the colour-blind coalition.

# III – The 1966 Massachusetts Senate Campaign

In this section, I turn to the 1966 US Senate election in Massachusetts. I argue that Brooke's public comments on race are indications of a race-conscious rather than colour-blind policy agenda. Brooke not only publicly condemned both *de jure* and *de facto* racial inequality but also presented racially targeted policy solutions. Additionally, primary documents from within the Brooke campaign as well as that of his Democratic opponent Endicott Peabody reveal that both sides believed there was a political advantage in appealing to racially liberal whites on theme of 'doing the right thing' by voting for an African American. Internal polling for the Brooke campaign and contemporary newspaper commentaries provide some evidence of this electorate.

<sup>59</sup> Interview with Brooke, February 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Jeffries, 'US Senator Edward Brooke and Governor L Douglas Wilder Tell Political Scientists How Blacks Can Win High-Profile Statewide Office', 585.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Cutler, Ed Brooke, ix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Brooke, Bridging the Divide, 153.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Ibid., 146.

#### **The Context**

In September 1965, Edward Brooke announced that he would not run for re-election as Massachusetts attorney general. According to Elinor Hartshorn, Brooke planned to stand for the Senate if three-term incumbent Senator Leverett Saltonstall stepped down. Brooke's announcement in September put pressure on Saltonstall, who was seventy-three, to announce his retirement. An October 1965 report in the *Boston Globe* recognised that Brooke's announcement was 'clearly a squeeze on Saltonstall'. On December 1965, Saltonstall announced that he would not seek re-election. The following day, Brooke announced he would seek the Republican Party's nomination for the US Senate.

Although initially it seemed that Brooke might face a primary challenge from Republican Governor John Volpe, over the next few weeks the liberal-to-moderate party establishment consolidated around Brooke. Conservatives, who were not widespread within the Massachusetts Republican Party, stood protest candidate J Alan MacKay, vice president of the pro-Goldwater group Young Americans for Freedom, against Brooke in the state Republican convention in June 1966. Brooke overwhelmingly defeated MacKay, with 1,485 delegates' votes against 215 votes for MacKay.

In the general election, Brooke's Democratic opponent was former governor Endicott 'Chub' Peabody. Peabody had received the endorsement of the Democratic Party convention in June 1966 and defeated Boston mayor John Collins in the September Democratic primary. Peabody was a liberal, Harvard-educated lawyer who descended from an old Massachusetts family. He was a direct descendent of John Endicott, one of the founders of the Massachusetts Bay Colony. Both his father and grandfather had been Episcopal bishops.<sup>66</sup>

On civil rights, the Peabody family had a strong record of racial tolerance. His mother Mary was a civil rights activist who had been arrested during a sit-in in a segregated Florida restaurant in 1964. When the governor was told of his mother's arrest, he responded with admiration for her 'courage, sincerity, and determination'.<sup>67</sup> On implicitly racialised matters, such as crime, Peabody's positions were equally or more liberal than those of Brooke. For instance, Peabody was opposed to the death penalty, and according to Raphael Sonenshein, Peabody's record on 'law and order' matters was viewed as more permissive than that of Brooke.<sup>68</sup> This is not to say that Brooke was a hard-line 'law and order' Republican. Most of Brooke's most famous prosecutions were targeted towards white-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Hartshorn, The Quiet Campaigner, 118.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Boston Globe, September 26, 1965, 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Irvin Molotosky, 'Endicott Peabody, 77, dies; governor of Massachusetts in 60s', *New York Times*, December 4, 1997.

<sup>67</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Sonenshein, 'Can Black Candidates Win Statewide Elections?', 230.

collar criminals, and in the early 1970s Brooke described the term 'law and order' as 'a codeword for, basically, racism'.<sup>69</sup>

Peabody was a viable challenger, but he had considerable weaknesses. He had only served one term as governor, which in those days lasted for only two years, before being unceremoniously dumped by his party for Francis X Bellotti, his own lieutenant governor. Peabody also had a (probably unfair) reputation for being dim-witted. A joke from the period told to this author by multiple interviewees went as follows: What three places in Massachusetts are named after the governor? Endicott, Peabody, and Marblehead. This may help to explain why Peabody's unfavourable ratings ranged between 24-29% throughout the twelve months leading to the election, whereas Brooke's unfavourable ratings never exceeded seven percent. Pon election day, Brooke swept the state, winning 341 of Massachusetts's 351 towns and 60.7% of the vote. He outperformed both John and Ted Kennedy in the share of the vote which they had received in their first bids for the Senate (51.1% and 55.4% respectively).

# **Race-Conscious Policy Agenda**

Among the key features of the 'deracialised' strategy are a focus on 'racially transcendent' issues and the offer of a 'race neutral' policy programme.<sup>73</sup> It has been argued by some commentators that Brooke was largely quiet on the matter of civil rights. Jeffries, for example, claims that Brooke 'distanced' himself from the civil rights movement.<sup>74</sup> Sokol asserts that Brooke 'tried to sidestep racial issues' in the campaign.<sup>75</sup> Rick Perlstein suggests, implausibly, that Brooke won due to his support from conservative racial backlash voters.<sup>76</sup>

These assessments are far from accurate. As one of Brooke's campaign team rebuffs, 'Everybody knew he was for civil rights. There was no question'. As Massachusetts attorney general, Brooke had made a name for himself in the Republican Party by calling on the party to articulate stronger support for civil rights. At the 1964 Republican National Convention in San Francisco, Brooke gave a speech urging the party to endorse a more robust civil rights plank in its platform. He spoke of his pride of being a Republican, a party 'founded on the principals of freedom, equality, and justice for all men'. He warned that 'a weak and vague plank on this important issue could well serve to encourage

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Brooke, quoted in Cutler (1972: 312).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Letter from Lois Henderson Bayliss to Barbara Peabody, 9 August 1966, Endicott Peabody Archive at the John F Kennedy Presidential Library.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Interviews with EB3, EB4, EB5 by Richard Johnson.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Becker & Heaton, 'The Election of Senator Edward W Brooke'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> McCormick & Jones, 'The Conceptualization of Deracialization', 76; Wilson, 'Race Neutral Policies and the Democratic Coalition'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Jeffries, 'US Senator Edward Brooke and Governor L Douglas Wilder Tell Political Scientists How Blacks Can Win High-Profile Statewide Office', 585.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Sokol, *All Eyes Are Upon Us*, 130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Perlstein, 2008, 165.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> EB1 interview.

disobedience to law which could result in racial violence'. He called for stronger language to ensure that the party seized 'the opportunity of uniting people of all races to foster love and respect for every individual'.<sup>78</sup>

Brooke's disappointment with the party's failure to strengthen its support for civil rights combined with nominee Barry Goldwater's opposition to the Civil Rights Act led Brooke to disavow Goldwater in that year's presidential election, publicly snubbing Goldwater when he came to Boston for a rally at Fenway Park. In his memoirs, Brooke reflected that a 'tragic result' of Goldwaterism 'was to deny opportunity to black Americans at a time when the majority of Americans wanted progress and social justice'.<sup>79</sup> In Massachusetts, Goldwater won a dismal 23.4% of the vote while Brooke was re-elected as attorney general with 67.2% of the vote. Goldwater only carried the Deep South and his home state of Arizona. Brooke lamented that the Republicans' success in the South was 'an exception which is more cause for alarm than satisfaction'.<sup>80</sup> He argued that the strategy of winning Southerners 'motivated by anti-civil rights (or anti-Negro) sentiment...reflected shamefully on the party's moral stature'.<sup>81</sup> At its worst, he accused the '64 Republican presidential campaign of making 'appeals to naked racism'.<sup>82</sup>

In his senatorial campaign, far from side stepping the issue of race, Brooke spoke about race in his public speeches, wrote a chapter about race in his 1966 book *The Challenge of Change*, and issued a lengthy policy document on race, 'Negroes and the Open Society', in August 1966. Brooke diagnosed racial inequality as America's 'most urgent and dramatic domestic problem'.<sup>83</sup> He wrote, 'Racial inequality permeates every aspect of our national life' and argued that America's 20 million non-whites were treated as second-class citizens.<sup>84</sup>

During the campaign, Brooke stood firmly with the racial liberals in the Republican Party in supporting the Johnson civil rights agenda. He praised the 1964 Civil Rights Act and the 1965 Voting Rights Act as 'by far the most valuable' legislative achievements in the history of the civil rights movement. He also stated that he would have voted for the failed 1966 civil rights bill, which a majority of Republican senators opposed. According to a civil rights lawyer quoted in a contemporary newspaper article, Brooke 'hasn't fudged on a single [civil rights] issue, not one'. The support of the civil rights lawyer quoted in a contemporary newspaper article, Brooke 'hasn't fudged on a single [civil rights] issue, not one'.

7:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Edward Brooke, 'Remarks by Massachusetts Attorney General Edward W Brooke on Amendment to the Civil Rights Plank', Republican National Convention 1964 (Brooke Archive, Library of Congress).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Brooke, *Bridging the Divide*, 107-108.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Brooke, *The Challenge of Change*, 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Ibid., 13.

<sup>82</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> Brooke, *The Challenge of Change*, 148.

<sup>84</sup> Ibid., pp. 158, 148.

<sup>85</sup> Brooke, *The Challenge of Change*, 152.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Doug McAdam & Karina Kloos, *Deeply Divided: Racial Politics and Social Movements in Post-War* America, (Oxford, 2014), 103.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> Perry, 'Running Brooke: He May Become a Senate Leader'.

an interview with this author, Brooke remained adamant that he was a strong supporter of civil rights legislation as both a candidate and an elected official: 'I think my record so far as civil rights legislation is concerned is almost unparalleled'. \*\* Adding credence to this claim, NAACP Washington Bureau Director Clarence Mitchell told *Ebony* in 1967, 'Brooke is more informed and knowledgeable on civil rights than any other first term senator I have met. He is concerned and interested, and I am proud of him'. \*\*

Not only does the high salience of race in Brooke's campaign rhetoric suggest a race-conscious candidacy but also Brooke supported policies which clearly distinguish him from the 'colour-blind' coalition. While the colour-blind policy alliance accepts the need to remove racially discriminatory legal barriers, Brooke argued that ending racial discrimination in the law was insufficient. During the 1966 campaign Brooke, condemned the *de facto* segregation that existed in the North nearly as strongly as he condemned *de jure* segregation in the South. Brooke argued that segregation in the North has 'risen primarily from community custom and indifference'. In a policy paper distributed during the senatorial race, Brooke argued, 'Discrimination is a <u>system</u> that will yield only to a coordinated, comprehensive, strategic attack'. <sup>90</sup>

Brooke maintained that targeted racial policies were required to reduce racial inequality in housing, employment, and education. Many of these policies, including his support for forced busing, the use of federal loans to accelerate housing integration, and the removal of public money from facilities which had failed to desegregate, placed Brooke in the minority of public opinion, even in Massachusetts. His positions can hardly be viewed as 'playing safe' to appeal to white voters, given that they were at odds with the majority of the Massachusetts electorate. For example, Brooke's internal polls found that 60% of Massachusetts voters disagreed with his position on school desegregation. He was achieved the requirement of the policies of the

Scholars have almost uniformly downplayed Brooke's race-consciousness in order to present him as a 'colour-blind' or 'deracialised' candidate and, in doing so, have overlooked a more significant aspect of his political success: the ability of a race-conscious black candidate to win in a majority-white electoral context.

# **Race-Conscious Appeals to African Americans**

Political scientist who have studied 'deracialised' black candidates' sometimes report diminished support among African American voters as a consequence, particularly when blacks have the option

89 Clarence Mitchell, quoted in 'I'm a Soul Brother: Senator Edward Brooke', *Ebony*, Apr 1967.

<sup>88</sup> Brooke interview, May 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> Brooke, 'A Statement on Negroes and the Open Society', emphasis in original.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Brooke, 'A Statement on Negroes and the Open Society'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Opinion Research Corporation, September 1966 poll (Brooke Archive, Library of Congress).

of an alternative racially liberal candidate to support. Black Republicans especially have found it difficult to secure black support. In 1966, Brooke's Democratic opponent easily fit the criteria of an attractive racially liberal option for black voters. As governor, Peabody had been a driving force behind Massachusetts Racial Imbalance Law, which would lead to forced busing to integrate the state, and his family was known for being active in the civil rights movement.

Yet, Brooke consistently retained the support of African Americans. Brooke writes that when he ran for the Massachusetts House of Representatives in 1952, he was viewed by the blacks of Roxbury as 'one of their own'. Hartshorn's analysis of Brooke's two attempts to be elected to the Massachusetts legislature in the 1950s suggest he won strong backing from African Americans but was ultimately unsuccessful due to his failure to win support from ethnic white voters. <sup>97</sup>

African American support for Brooke continued when he ran for statewide office in the 1960s. William Cowin, who worked for Brooke in the 1960s, recalls an interaction which took place during the 1962 Republican primary for attorney general between his mother and their black housekeeper from Roxbury:

My mother learned she was a Republican and said, "Are you going to vote in the primary?" This was the Brooke-Richardson primary. "Oh yes, Mrs Cowin, I always vote." "And, Estelle, I want you to vote for Mr Richardson." [laughs] And Estelle said, "Oh Mrs Cowin, I can't vote for Mr Richardson. I have to vote for Mr Brooke. Mr Brooke worships at my church; Mr Brooke lives in the community." It was amazing that this uneducated woman hit all the notes without ever saying, "I have to vote for him because he's a Negro". 98

By the time of his Senate campaign, Carmen Durso, Brooke's field director, explains that Brooke 'was a hero to young black people', who saw him as a positive role model. Hartshorn, concurrently, explains that in the senatorial election 'the Blacks claimed Brooke as one of their own and supported him'. 100

These recollections are supported by oecological evidence that large numbers of African American voters crossed party lines to vote for Brooke. In 1966, Brooke won 82.4% of the vote in Boston's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> See, for example, Andra Gillespie & Emma Tolbert, 'Racial Authenticity and Redistricting' in A Gillepsie, ed., *Whose Black Politics? Cases in post-racial black leadership* (New York, 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> Tyson King-Meadows, 'Running on Race and Against Convention: Michael Steele, Kweisi Mfume, and Maryland's 2006 Senate Contest' in *Beyond the Boundaries: New Structure of African American Politics*.

<sup>95</sup> Sokol, All Eyes Are Upon Us, 117.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> Hartshorn. The Quiet Campaigner, 54.

<sup>97</sup> Hartshorn, The Quiet Campaigner, Ch 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> William Cowin interview by Richard Johnson, September 24, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> Durso interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> Hartshorn, *The Quiet Campaigner*, 207.

twenty-seven majority-black precincts, which far exceeded the support which these areas gave to any other Republican on the ballot. That year, Republican gubernatorial nominee John Volpe, who was on the same ballot and secured a larger margin of victory than Brooke statewide, won only 39% of the vote in the black precincts. Nationally, Republican congressional candidates in 1966 received only 17% of the African American vote. 102

Support from African Americans at the magnitude which Brooke achieved has rarely been repeated by other black Republican candidates. When Republican Michael Steele ran for the United States Senate in Maryland in 2006, in spite of his campaign's intensive black voter outreach effort, he was able to capture the support of only one quarter of the state's large African American population in spite of facing a white opponent. Tim Scott, who in 2014 became the first black Republican elected to the US Senate since Brooke, won only 10% of the black vote in South Carolina.

# **Race-Conscious Appeals to Whites**

While African Americans were a loyal constituency, they were numerically insubstantial, making up just over 2% of the state population. A strategy which appealed only to African Americans was ill-suited to win in such a statewide context. Instead, I argue that Brooke's race-conscious rhetoric and policy agenda was mainly targeted to the state's liberal *white* population.

Jason Sokol has argued that the Massachusetts 'electorate would prove itself to be color-blind' by electing Edward Brooke. Yet, the notion that voters were 'blind' to Brooke's race is not supported by the evidence. In contrast with the view that white voters 'overlooked' race, survey data and interviews suggest that liberal whites were motivated to vote 'proudly' for an African American candidate. In an interview with this author, Brooke's field director Carmen Durso explains that the campaign believed that Brooke's support for civil rights policies would prove popular with many Massachusetts whites. In the Internal strategy documents from the Peabody campaign reveal that the Democrats recognised that the Republicans had much to gain from the 'dazzling' Brooke, who as a 'Negro Republican Senator from Massachusetts would be a stunning asset for the Republicans'. The Republicans acknowledged this point among themselves. Even Barry Goldwater, whom Brooke

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> Ibid., 205.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> Clarence Townes, 'The Friendship of Black Citizens and Other Americans', Minorities Division, Republican National Committee, 1969, 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> CNN Exit Poll, Maryland, November 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> NBC News Exit Poll, South Carolina, November 2014.

<sup>105</sup> Sokol, All Eyes Are Upon Us, 108.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> Carmen Durso interview by Richard Johnson, September 24, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> 'Endicott Peabody – A Post-Primary Assessment', internal campaign strategy document (Endicott Peabody Archive, JFK Presidential Library).

refused to support in 1964, wrote a letter to Brooke admitting, 'I believe your election to the US Senate would be good for the country and for the party'.<sup>108</sup>

More generally, Brooke campaign staff believe that in 1966 white liberals in Massachusetts were 'ready' to elect a black man.<sup>109</sup> Brooke presented his candidacy as a way for Americans to demonstrate their commitment to equality. In a press release shortly before the election, Brooke stated, 'We have a common responsibility, to ourselves and to the world, to <u>prove</u> that our way of life is more than a few words inscribed in the Declaration of Independence'.<sup>110</sup>A senior member of the Brooke team recalls that not only was Brooke a good candidate with a strong track record, but also he 'made people feel good. You could say, "Gee, I'm doing the right thing. I'm voting for a black man".<sup>111</sup>

Evidently aware of this potential source of support in the electorate, Campaign Consultants Inc, whom Brooke hired in March 1966, devised the slogan 'Proudly for Brooke: A Creative Republican', which appeared on over 20,000 bumper stickers and pins during the 1966 election. The decision to select this slogan caused some controversy within his campaign team. Brooke's close advisor William Cowin recalls that for some in the campaign, 'the message was vote proudly for [Brooke] because [he's] a black man. Do this. You can feel good. You can feel good as a white to vote for a black man'. The decision to select this slogan caused some controversy within his campaign team. Brooke's close advisor William Cowin recalls that for some in the campaign, 'the message was vote proudly for [Brooke] because [he's] a black man. Do this. You can feel good. You can feel good as a white to vote for a black man'.

However, Brooke's campaign manager Al Gammal, who was more politically conservative than Brooke, sent a memo to Brooke urging the candidate to abandon the slogan: 'I do not like the word "proudly," and certainly not in this context. It has a highly negative implication. You can be proud of someone for a number of reasons, none of which <u>qualify</u> him for the office he is seeking...If the salient implication, and it may be taken that way, is that we are proud of you because you are a Negro from Massachusetts, then I resent it and so will the people, and for that matter, so ought you".' According to his staff, at the time Brooke did not agree. Gammal repeated his dismay in an interview: 'I was violently opposed to it. Proud of what? That Ed was a Negro? The immediate thing you think of is race'. Cowin notes that while Gammal may have thought that the slogan was racist, 'the attorney general didn't see that at all... the General wanted it and we went with it'. 117

<sup>108</sup> Letter from Barry Goldwater to Edward Brooke, February 1966 (Brooke Archive, Library of Congress).
<sup>109</sup> Durso interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> 'News From Brooke', October 1966 (Brooke Archive, Library of Congress). Emphasis added.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> EB3 interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> Hartshorn, 1973, Ch 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> Cowin interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> Al Gammal to Edward Brooke, Memorandum (Brook Archive, Library of Congress).

<sup>115</sup> EB3& EB4 interviews.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> Al Gammal, quoted in Hartshorn (*The Quiet Campaigner*, 175).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> William Cowin interview by Richard Johnson, September 24, 2013.

While it is difficult to determine how many voters were attracted to vote for Brooke on the basis of race-conscious appeals, there is some – admittedly limited – polling data to suggest that race was the main motivation for some whites to vote for Brooke. Brooke's internal polling firm Opinion Research Corporation conducted interviews with voters in October 1966 asking them to state succinctly why they were supporting Brooke. The transcribed interviews have been recorded and are held in the Brooke archive in the Library of Congress. This author has coded 93 responses into 10 categories: anti-Peabody, character, leadership, name recognition, party loyalty, past performance or experience, policy, race, self-presentation, or no reason. The most common reason people cited was their approval of the job he had done as attorney general (one third of responses). Race was the fourth most common response, with 8.6% of the sample. The results of the analysis are displayed in Figure 1 followed by a selection of the responses filed in the 'race' category.

#### [Figure 1 here]

'It would be nice to show that Massachusetts people aren't against the colored if they are industrious'.118

'I think we should have a Negro representing us, and he's done a good job as attorney general'. 119

'I think he is colored and we need someone to help the colored as well as the whites, and I respect him very much'. 120

'[I l]ike what he's been doing. He's magnetic. Feather in our cap to send a Negro to Washington'. 121

'It is a step forward because of his race, and it is about time a step forward was taken. I like the way he handles himself'. 122

While the sample is frustratingly small, these qualitative responses give an insight into positive racial voting. It was an unmistakably present, although far from an exclusive, factor in Brooke's support among white voters. After I presented these figures to Stephen Herbits, who worked as a researcher for the Brooke campaign in 1966, he suggested that the positive racial appeal may have been even stronger than the chart suggests: 'I have a feeling that the strong measure of "past performance" was less the reason people supported him than the granting of permission for people to support him for

<sup>120</sup> Ibid., A5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> Opinion Research Corporation, 'The Massachusetts Public Appraises Edward W Brooke', October 1966, A1 (Brooke Archive, Library of Congress). 119 Ibid., A3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>121</sup> Ibid., B1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> Ibid., C1.

other reasons, an important one being race. The "permission" factor is critical in American politics and I believe it was in play here. Hence, while the chart is accurate, I think it understates the support for him because of race'. 123

#### White Activists

In addition to attracting votes from whites, the racially symbolic significance of Brooke's race was a major factor in attracting talented staff from around the country to work for his campaign, the overwhelming majority of whom were white. Justice Department attorney Joe McMahon came to Massachusetts specifically to work for Brooke. He explained in an interview with this author that he moved to the Bay State because 'I wanted to work for the leading Republican African American'. McMahon, who was hired by Brooke as a travelling assistant, adds, 'I was so dedicated to him, mainly because I had worked in the civil rights division of the Justice Department under Bobby Kennedy... So, my focus was entirely on the idea that we needed to develop diversity in the country, and diversity in the country meant we had to have African Americans at high levels of our political structure because otherwise we were going to continue not to have the role models and to have people not to feel that justice was also theirs'. 124

In addition to paid staff, the campaign received 'hundreds' of mainly young volunteers who wished to help Brooke, including Wellesley College student Hillary Rodham. One of Brooke's advisors summarises the motivation of the many of the volunteers: 'I think they thought, "You know gee, this is something really unusual. Here is a black man who is going to get elected to the Senate. And, he's a person of great quality, great intelligence, and can articulate. He can reach out. This is an historic opportunity." And people wanted to be part of it, and they were not rebuffed when they came to him'. 126

While acknowledging that many campaigners were attracted to Brooke partly because he was an African American, it is also important to point out that race would have been one of a number of other motivating factors. Brooke was an accomplished and successful attorney general. Furthermore, in an interview with this author Brooke highlights his service as an army officer and subsequent role as state commander of AmVets, citing them as crucial experience. In an interview with this author, Sally Saltonstall Willis, who had campaigned for Brooke since he first ran for statewide office in 1960 and was the niece of his predecessor Senator Leverett Saltonstall, agrees, 'I think [his experience] had a lot to do with it too. People credited him with doing a really good job and so...he was known. It

<sup>126</sup> EB3 interview.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> Stephen Herbits to Richard Johnson, e-mail, February 2, 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>124</sup> Joe McMahon interview by Richard Johnson, September 5, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> Stephen Herbits interview by Richard Johnson, January 10, 2014; Bernard Ryan, *Hillary Rodham Clinton: First Lady and Senator*, (New York, 2004), 12.

wasn't like he was stepping in not having any experience. I think people might have questioned that'. 127

#### IV – 1966 as a 'Race-Conscious' Moment

For some observers, it might seem curious that a race-conscious African American was able to win election to the US Senate in 1966 but no other African American was able to do so again until 1992. In the fifty years since Brooke's election, African American candidates are routinely advised to pursue a deracialised campaign strategy if they expect to win white votes. Why, then, did Brooke with a race-conscious strategy attract substantial support from white voters?

In this section, I analyse the public opinion attitudes of the residents of Massachusetts in 1966. I argue that the location of the election was crucial. While racial prejudice was present in Massachusetts, the state viewed itself as more enlightened than the rest of the country and electing Brooke was seen to be a 'feather in our cap' as one resident put it. Additionally, I contend that Brooke's election was extremely well timed, coming before the most serious urban riots and white backlash to integration in the North.

### **Massachusetts Exceptionalism**

According to campaign staff, explicit racial prejudice was rare. Brooke himself contended that he did not recall much racism on the campaign trail in 1966. 'I shook mostly white hands, looked into mostly white faces, and with very few exceptions, saw no anger in their eyes'. <sup>128</sup> It is unlikely that racial prejudice was *absent* in the election, but this author holds that negative racial voting manifested itself less strongly than the aforementioned positive racial voting.

From a *prima facie* perspective, the lack of racial hostility might seem surprising given Americans' racial attitudes during the 1960s. A Harris poll from October 1965 found that white Americans continued to have deep-seated racial prejudices. This was true even outside of the South. 54% of non-Southerners agreed with the statement 'Negroes tend to have less ambition'; 48% agreed that 'Negroes have looser morals'; and 36% agreed that 'Negroes have less native intelligence'. With the highest record of racial prejudice of all, the poll found that 82% of Americans outside of the South objected 'to a close friend or relative marrying a Negro'. In spite of these feelings against interracial marriage, Brooke's marriage to an Italian woman rarely was mentioned by his opponents or the press in a negative fashion. Peabody, who had very tolerant racial views, was not likely to raise the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> Sally Saltonstall Willis interview by Richard Johnson, September 23, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> Brooke, *Bridging the Divide*, 139.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> Washington Post, October 28, 1965.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>130</sup> An exception occurred when Brooke first ran for attorney general in 1962. His Democratic opponent Francis Kelly said in an interview with radio host Jerry Willams, 'Jerry, you know, if I were not a gentleman, I'd say that my opponent is a Negro man who has a white wife'.

matter, and it seems that even the voters rarely mentioned it. One member of Brooke's campaign staff says that because of Remigia Brooke's 'colour and features, you could think she was black'. He adds, 'She was more of an ethnic oddity than Brooke was'. <sup>131</sup>

Some in Brooke's campaign have argued that Massachusetts was exceptional even among northern states in its high level of racial tolerance. Stephen Herbits, for instance, argues that 'Massachusetts, being one of the most progressive states in the country, was very keen on the idea of electing an African American'. This sentiment was captured when Brooke was first chosen by the Republicans to stand for statewide office. The white woman who seconded his nomination told the Republican state convention, 'Massachusetts has had a great heritage in equal rights that started before the Civil War...we need to be reminded that we are still at the forefront of civil rights and must practice what we preached'. 134

Internal polls from Brooke's policy consultants Opinion Research Corporation do offer evidence to support the view that Massachusetts was exceptionally racially tolerant. In August 1966, 22% of Massachusetts voters felt that the federal government was doing 'too little' to 'help Negroes gain equal civil rights', whereas nationally that figure was only 5%. There appears to be a sense among Massachusetts dwellers that their state was more enlightened than other states. The *North Adams Transcript*, a newspaper based in the Berkshires of western Massachusetts, argued that to reject Brooke on the basis of his race 'would dump Massachusetts to the level of such benighted states as Georgia and Alabama'. <sup>135</sup>

### [Table 1 here]

A cartoon in the *Boston Herald* in October 1966 captured this sense of foreboding, warning that a defeat of the eminently qualified Brooke would send a sinister message to the rest of the country. The newspaper provocatively evoked the famous abolitionist photograph of an ex-slave, which was published in *Harper's Magazine* in 1863. Depicting Brooke with a scarred back, forming the words 'blacklash', a small creature in the corner of the cartoon warns, 'it could happen here'. For many in Massachusetts, the historical stakes could not have been higher.

#### [Figure 2 here]

This is not to say Massachusetts voters were entirely racially permissive. While racism was largely latent, it had some outward manifestations. Notably, the Boston Red Sox was one of America's baseball teams most reluctant to take black players. Virtually just as many Massachusetts voters

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> EB4 interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>132</sup> EB2 interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>133</sup> Stephen Herbits interview by Richard Johnson, January 14, 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup> Elizabeth McSherry, quoted in Cutler, *Ed Brooke*, 55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>135</sup> 'Backlash cutting of Brooke would give state black eye', North Adams Transcript, October 18, 1966, 6.

(23%) thought that the federal government was doing 'too much' for black civil rights as those who thought it was doing too little. Furthermore, 68% of the Massachusetts public believed that a person should have the right to refuse to sell his or her house to an African American. Nonetheless, compared with 41% of the American public who felt that the federal government was doing too much to help African Americans, Massachusetts does appear to have had an above-average level of racial tolerance during this period. <sup>136</sup>

#### Safe Black?

Some commentators and members of Brooke's own team have forwarded the notion that Brooke was acceptable to white voters because he was a 'safe black'. One senior member of the campaign team posited that Brooke could win white voters over 'because he was safe. He wasn't a militant. He wasn't a bomb thrower. That's very important. He will resist that to his last breath that somehow he was a "safe black" and that helped, but it did. Vital, vital, vital'. This senior staff member is surely correct in the sense that Brooke was not associated with black power militants. A Harris poll in 1966 showed that only 2% of whites believed that Stokely Carmichael was helping the cause of civil rights. Brooke himself made comments in the campaign to distance himself from Carmichael, while not detaching himself from the broader civil rights agenda.

Related to these 'safe black' arguments are statements which focus on Brooke's phenotype. Brooke and most of his family were fair-skinned African Americans, with family lore indicating a mix of African, European, and Native American ancestry. In fact, I found that in the 1910 US Census, Brooke's father was classified as 'mulatto' rather than 'Negro'; although, it should be noted that in the 1880, 1900, 1920, and 1930 censuses, Brooke's father was classified as a 'Negro', and his 1917 draft registration card lists him as 'black'. Hartshorn argued that Brooke's light skin was a major component in facilitating his electoral success. A member of the campaign team concurs: 'The thing about Brooke was he never seemed – it's horrible to say – but he seemed quite white, you know?'. In the seemed of the campaign team concurs: 'The seemed of the seemed quite white, you know?'. In the seemed of the seemed quite white, you know?'. In the seemed of the seemed quite white, you know?'. In the seemed of the seemed quite white, you know?'. In the seemed of the seemed quite white, you know?'. In the seemed of the seemed quite white, you know?'. In the seemed of the seemed quite white, you know?'. In the seemed of the seemed quite white, you know?'. In the seemed of the seemed of the seemed quite white, you know?'. In the seemed of the seemed

Brooke, however, did not share this view. In an interview in 1999, Brooke stated, 'I seriously doubt that whites are any less prejudiced against fair-skinned blacks than they are against darker-skinned

<sup>136</sup> Becker & Heaton, 'The Election of Senator Edward W Brooke'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>137</sup> EB3 interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>138</sup> Bryant Rollins, 'Political Paradox on Beacon Hill: The Life Story of Ed Brooke', *Boston Globe*, June 27, 1967.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> 1910 US Census, Precinct 8, Washington, DC, Roll T624-153, 9b; Enumeration District: 0163, (National Archives, Washington, DC). Note that the individual records of the 1890 Census were destroyed in a fire. Only aggregate data survive. World War I Draft Card, Roll 1556838, Draft Board 08 (National Archives, Washington, DC).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> Hartshorn, The Quiet Campaigner, 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> EB2 interview.

black candidates'. <sup>142</sup> Nayda Terkildsen has found that the difference in white voters' evaluation of light-skinned and dark-skinned African American politicians is not statistically significant. <sup>143</sup> Furthermore, in Brooke's case it is fair to say that Massachusetts voters were under no illusions that Brooke was not African American. Before he won the Republican nomination in June 1966, an internal poll commissioned by Brooke revealed that nearly 80% of voters in the state were able to identify Brooke as the only African American politician out of a list of fifteen Massachusetts politicians. <sup>144</sup> If certain voters held that blacks were intellectually inferior, untrustworthy, or incapable of holding office, it is unlikely that the shading of skin colour would be enough to disabuse such people of those views.

However, it is worth considering Brooke's elite status within the black community. His light skin was a prized element within this elite, as was the fact that Brooke could trace his family's high status to slavery where his ancestors were apparently household rather than field slaves. As such, they were sometimes given more autonomy by whites than other slaves. The privileged status of these African Americans often carried into the post-bellum society. Verna Keith and Cedric Herring write that after slavery, they experienced 'an advantage over other blacks in obtaining education, higher-status occupations, and property'. In return, they were expected to show more loyalty to whites and often served as intermediaries between the white and black communities. To say that Brooke occupied an elite status does not contradict the fact that he was race-conscious, of course. Studies have shown that well-educated African Americans express as strong or, in some cases, stronger sentiments of racial linked fate than average. In the status well-educated African Americans express as strong or, in some cases, stronger sentiments of racial linked fate than average.

#### **Timing**

Finally, I contend that Brooke's election was exceptionally well-timed. It came soon after the euphoria of the passage of the Civil Rights Act and Voting Rights Act, which were both popular in Massachusetts, but before the urban riots of the 'long hot summer' of 1967 and the 1968 King assassination riots, which diminished white support for race-conscious politics.

Rick Perlstein argues that the 1966 mid-term elections were a triumph for racial 'backlash' voters, declaring it as 'a referendum on the Negro revolution' in which white Americans declared that they

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> Quoted in Jeffries ('US Senator Edward Brooke and Governor L Douglas Wilder Tell Political Scientists How Blacks Can Win High-Profile Statewide Office', 583).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> Nayda Terkilsden, 'When White Voters Evaluate Black Candidates: The processing implications of candidate skin color, prejudice, and self-monitoring', *American Journal of Political Science* 37:4 (Nov 1993), 1043

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> Becker & Heaton, 'The Election of Senator Edward W Brooke'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>145</sup> E Franklin Frazier, *Black Bourgeoisie*, New York: Simon & Schuster, 1957, 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup> Verna Keith & Cedric Herring, 'Skin Tone and Stratification in the Black Community', *American Journal of Sociology* 97:3 (Nov 1991), 760.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup> See Dawson (1994).

had had enough.<sup>148</sup> While it is true that some liberal Democratic candidates such as Pat Brown in California were defeated, Perlstein's overemphasis on Brown's candidature in particular overlooks the widespread success of liberal and moderate Republicans in that year's election. In that year, Republicans George Romney, Nelson Rockefeller, Clifford Case, Howard Baker, John Sherman Cooper, Spiro Agnew, and Winthrop Rockefeller won their gubernatorial and senatorial elections with moderate to liberal programmes on civil rights. In addition, none of the 112 House Republicans who voted for the Voting Rights Act lost their seats.

The Newark and Detroit riots of the summer of 1967 were the worst urban unrest in the United States since the New York draft riots during the Civil War. Flying over Detroit, Governor George Romney remarked, 'it looked like the city had been bombed'.<sup>149</sup> These riots as well as the 1968 riots following Martin Luther King's assassination diminished white support for the black racial equality agenda. Mark McLay writes that the 'net effect of the urban crisis was a white backlash against further black progress'.<sup>150</sup> Importantly, while many Republicans blamed the 1967 summer riots on communists and irresponsible black leaders, the new Senator Brooke did not. He responded, 'In my view, the riots…are neither communist inspired not the result of an interstate conspiracy… Hunger, bad housing, ill health, and a lack of work need no allies to create an atmosphere which breeds violence'.<sup>151</sup> These conclusions were echoed the following year in the famous Kerner Commission Report on Civil Disorders, on which Brooke sat as its only black member.

Furthermore, the conflagration in Boston over busing to integrate the city's schools had not yet erupted. Although 90% of northerners told public opinion surveys in the early 1970s that they supported school integration, their support in actual fact was substantially lower. Four-fifths of white Bostonians were opposed to Judge W Arthur Garrity's June 1974 busing order. Garrity was involved in the order's implementation for eleven years and issued 415 subsequent orders, making him the most personally involved judge in desegregation in the United States. In spite of the deep unpopularity in his adopted hometown, Brooke supported Garrity's order. After weeks of violent protest in response Garrity's integration order that year, Brooke wrote a letter to the *Boston Globe*, chastising, 'All of us should be ashamed. Boston is the cradle of liberty... But the strife in our city reveals that the fear, the hate, the suspicion that is racism, looms darkly not only in the Heart of Dixie but in the Cradle of Liberty'. The suspicion of the suspicion that is racism, looms darkly not only in the Heart of Dixie but in the Cradle of Liberty'.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>148</sup> Perlstein, 2008, 166.

George Romney, quoted in Geoffrey Kabaservice, *Rule and Fear* (Oxford, 2012), 214.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>150</sup> Mark McLay, 'Order of Justice? Republicans and the Urban Crisis, 1965-1967', Paper presented at the annual conference of the American Politics Group of the Political Studies Association, University of Reading (Jan 2016), 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> Brooke, quoted in Thurber (2016: 240).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>152</sup> Formisano, 1991, 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> Edward Brooke, Letter to the Editor, *Boston Globe*, 26 December 1974.

Had such events occurred sooner, Brooke's support for racially targeted policies, including busing, would like likely have made his initial candidacy much more fraught. Indeed, Brooke lost his reelection effort subsequent to the Boston busing riots. It should be noted that even though he was a Republican, as late as 1978, Jesse Jackson and Coretta Scott King came to Massachusetts to campaign for Brooke against his Democratic opponent Paul Tsongas. Brooke's earlier, successful re-election in 1972 might be posited as being assisted by an exceptionally weak, largely anonymous opponent at a time which was sufficiently distant from the riots of the late 1960s but before the Boston busing riots of 1974-5.

#### **Conclusion**

Edward Brooke's election to the United States Senate was a major historical feat, which was not again repeated by a black Republican until nearly fifty years later.<sup>154</sup> It is evident that the racial dimensions of his campaign merit serious attention, and this article has been in part an effort to complicate assumptions that Brooke was a colour-blind candidate who ran a deracialised campaign. While Brooke did not emphasise racial issues in a manner that was hostile or exclusivist, he did not ignore race, publicly identifying racial injustice and proposing race-conscious policies to address them at both legal and societal levels. It is also evident that for some white voters, supporting a black candidate as a matter of pride was a powerful motivation. This article has also attempted to integrate the viewpoints of the candidate, the campaign team, and voters. It is clear that the dominant models of 'racialised' and 'deracialised' campaigns do not fully capture the variety of ways in which black candidates present their racial identity to majority-white electorates.

Instead, Edward Brooke should be seen as a race-conscious candidate whose rhetoric and policy agenda reflected Brooke's personal analysis of the enduring legacies of slavery and racial prejudice. The racial dimensions of Brooke's candidacy deserve continued scholarly attention – not because Brooke successfully ran a 'deracialised' campaign but because Brooke, as an African American, was able to present a race-conscious policy agenda to a white electorate and win their support.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup> The other African Americans who have been elected by popular vote to the US Senate are Carol Moseley Braun (1992), Barack Obama (2004), Cory Booker (2013), Tim Scott (2014), Kamala Harris (2016). Scott is the only Republican.

# **Bibliography**

Becker, John & Eugene Heaton. 1967. The Election of Senator Edward W Brooke. *Public Opinion Quarterly* 31: 3, 346-358.

Bowen, Michael. 2011. *The Roots of Modern Conservatism*. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press.

Brooke, Edward. 1966. *The Challenge of Change: Crisis in Our Two-Party System*. Boston: Little, Brown, & Co.

Brooke, Edward. 2007. Bridging the Divide: My Life. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Buni, Andrew. 1974. *Robert L Vann of the Pittsburgh Courier: Politics and Black Journalism*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.

Canon, David, Matthew Shousen, & Patrick Sellers. 1996. The Supply Side of Congressional Redistricting: Race and Strategic Politician. *Journal of Politics* 58:3, 846-862.

Carton, Paul. 1984. *Mobilizing the Black Community*. Washington: The Joint Center for Political and Economic Studies.

Cutler, John Henry. 1972. Ed Brooke: Biography of a Senator. Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill Company.

Dawson, Michael. 1994. Behind the Mule. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Farrington, Joshua. 2016. *Black Republicans and the Transformation of the GOP*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Fenno, Richard. 1978. Home Style: House Members in their Districts. Boston: Little Brown.

Formisano, Ronald. 1991. *Boston Against Busing: Race, Class, and Ethnicity in the 1960s and 1970s.* Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.

Gillespie, Andra & Emma Tolbert. 2010. 'Racial Authenticity and Redistricting: A comparison of Artur Davis's 2000 and 2002 Congressional campaigns' in A Gillespie (ed) *Whose Black Politics? Cases in Post-Racial Leadership*. New York: Routledge.

Gillespie, Andra. 2010. 'Meet the New Class: Theorizing young black leadership in a post-racial era' in A Gillespie (ed) *Whose Black Politics? Cases in Post-Racial Black Leadership*. New York: Routledge.

Gillespie, Andra. 2012. The New Black Politician. New York: New York University Press.

Hartshorn, Elinor. 1973. *The Quiet Campaigner: Edward W Brooke in Massachusetts*. PhD Thesis in Political Science, University of Massachusetts (Sept).

Jeffries, Judson. 1999. US Senator Edward Brooke and Governor L Douglas Wilder Tell Political Scientists How Blacks Can Win High-Profile Statewide Office. *PS: Political Science and Politics* 32:2 (Sept), 583-87.

Johnson, Kimberley. 2007. Governing the American State. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Keith, Verna & Cedric Herring. 1991. Skin Tone and Stratification in the Black Community. *American Journal of Sociology* 97:3 (Nov), 760-778.

King, Desmond & Rogers Smith. 2005. Racial Orders in American Political Development. *American Political Science Review* 99:1 (Feb), 75-92.

King, Desmond & Rogers Smith. 2011. *Still A House Divided: Race and Politics in Obama's America*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

King, Desmond & Rogers Smith. 2014. Without Regard to Race: Critical ideational development in modern American politics. *Journal of Politics* 76:4 (Oct), 958-971.

King-Meadows, Tyson. 2009. 'Running on Race and Against Convention: Michael Steele, Kweisi Mfume, and Maryland's 2006 Senate Contest' in G Persons (ed) *Beyond the Boundaries: A New Structure of Ambition in African American Politics*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers.

Landis, Kenesaw. 1948. *Segregation in Washington*. Chicago: National Committee on Segregation in the Nation's Capital.

Leach, Robert. British Political Ideologies 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. London: Macmillan.

McAdam, Doug. & Karina Kloos. 2014. *Deeply Divided: Racial Politics and Social Movements in Post-War* America. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

McCormick, Joseph & Charles Jones. 1993. 'The Conceptualization of Deracialization' in G Persons (ed) *Dilemmas of Black Politics: Issues of Leadership and Strategy*. New York: Harper Collins.

Nordin, Dennis. 2012. From Edward Brooke to Barack Obama: African American Political Success, 1966-2008. Columbia, MO: University of Missouri Press.

Perlstein, Rick. 2008. *Nixonland: The Rise of a President in the Fracturing of America*. New York: Scribner.

Perry, Huey. 1996. 'The value of deracialization as an analytical construct in American politics' in H Perry (ed) *Race, Politics, and Governance in the United States*. Gainesville, FL: University of Florida Press.

Pettigrew, Thomas & Denise Alston. 1988. *Tom Bradley's Campaign for Governor: The Dilemma of Race and Political Strategies*. Washington: Joint Center for Political Studies.

Prysby, Charles. 1996. 'The 1990 US Senate Election in North Carolina' in H Perry (ed) *Race, Politics, and Governance in the United States*. Gainesville, FL: University of Florida Press.

Ryan, Bernard. 2004. Hillary Rodham Clinton: First Lady and Senator. New York: Ferguson.

Sigelman, Carol, Lee Sigelman, Barbara Walkosz, & Michael Nitz. 1995. Black Candidates, White Voters: Understanding Racial Bias in Political Perceptions. *American Journal of Political Science* 39:1 (Feb), 243-265.

Smith, Robert. 2009. 'Beyond the Boundaries' in G Persons (ed) *Beyond the Boundaries: A New Structure of Ambition in African American Politics*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction.

Sokol, Jason. 2014. *All Eyes Are Upon Us: Race and Politics from Boston to Brooklyn.* New York: Basic Books.

Sonenshein, Raphael. 1990. Can Black Candidates Win Statewide Elections? *Political Science Quarterly* 105:2 (Summer), 219-241.

Sowell, Thomas. 1974. Black Excellence: The Case of Dunbar High School. *National Affairs* 35 (Spring), 3-31.

Swain, Carol. 1995. Black Faces, Black Interests. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Terkilsden, Nayda. 1993. When White Voters Evaluate Black Candidates: The processing implications of candidate skin color, prejudice, and self-monitoring. *American Journal of Political Science* 37:4 (Nov), 1032-1053.

Thernstrom, Stephen & Abigail Thernstrom. 1997. *America in black and white*. New York: Simon & Schuster.

Thurber, Timothy. 2013. Republicans and Race: The GOP's Frayed Relationship with African Africans. Lawrence: University Press of Kansas.

Wilson, William Julius. 1990. Race Neutral Policies and the Democratic Coalition. *The American Prospect* 1, 74-81.

Woglemuth, Kathleen. 1959. Woodrow Wilson and Federal Segregation. *Journal of Negro History* 44:2 (Apr 1959), 158-173.

Wolgemuth, Kathleen. 1959. 'Woodrow Wilson and Federal Segregation'. *Journal of Negro History* 44:2 (Apr), 158-173.

Wright, Leah. 2011. The Challenge of Change: Edward Brooke, The Republican Party, and the Struggle for Redemption. *Souls: A Critical Journal of Black Politics, Culture, and Society* 13:1 (Jan-Mar), 91-118.

Wright Rigueur, Leah. 2015. *The Loneliness of the Black Republican*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Table 1. Attitudes towards federal equal rights legislation for African Americans, 1966

In your view, is the federal government doing too much or too little to help Negroes gain equal rights? (Autumn 1966)

	About the				
	Too little	right amount	Too much	( <b>N</b> )	
Massachusetts	22%	42%	23%	976	
USA	5%	29%	41%	1093	

No opinion (13% and 25%, respectively), Source: Opinion Research Corporation

35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
Character Restory Restor

Figure 1. Reasons for supporting Brooke, October 1966

n=93 Source: Opinion Research Corporation, 'The Massachusetts Public Appraises Edward W Brooke' (Brooke Archive, Library of Congress)





Left: Edward Brooke, depicted in the Boston Herald, October 1966 Right: An ex-slave, depicted in Harper's Weekly, July 1863