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ABSTRACT

Vertical profiles of the dissolved and particulate (>0.45 \(\mu\)m) concentrations of Fe, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Pb, Al and Ba were determined on two occasions (14 and 22 August 1996) during summer stratification in a seasonally anoxic lake (Esthwaite Water, UK). The results were combined with contemporaneous in-situ measurements of water-column remobilization of the metals from settling particles at the base of the suboxic zone and other ancillary measurements. The combined data were interpreted with the aid of an equilibrium speciation model (WHAM6), incorporating metal-humic interactions and a surface-complexation description of binding to Fe and Mn oxides. The behavior of all the metals was related in different ways to the position of the \(O_2-H_2S\) interface and to Fe and Mn redox cycling. In the region of the \(O_2-H_2S\) interface the behavior of Co and to a lesser degree Ni was dominated by Mn redox cycling. Ba behavior was dominated by the biogenic precipitation and dissolution of barite and to a lesser degree by Mn redox cycling. The behavior of Al was linked to both Mn and Fe redox cycling, although the extent of binding to the oxides and to humic substances was poised with respect to pH. Unlike the other metals, the profiles of Pb and Cu showed little variation above the dissolved sulfide maximum, but modeling indicated that binding of Pb was significant to both Mn and Fe oxides. The featureless nature of the Cu profiles in the upper part of the water column was linked to its overriding association with dissolved humic substances. Below the dissolved sulfide maximum, Co, Ni, Ba, Cu, Pb and Mn were all affected by sulfide precipitation, probably through a common association with FeS. In the case of Co, Ni, Cu and Pb, inverse relationships between the measured dissolved and particulate concentrations were attributed to the coexistence of both filterable and nonfilterable FeS particles and associated mass balance effects. The observed behavior of the metals in relation to the role played by Fe and Mn oxides was generally consistent with WHAM6 predictions. The model predictions highlighted the fact that trace metal speciation in general, and binding to Mn and Fe oxides in particular, can be highly sensitive to the variations in solution conditions found in freshwater systems.
1. INTRODUCTION

High quality data sets of trace-metal distributions exist for a range of seasonally (Balistrieri et al. 1992; Hamilton-Taylor et al. 1996; Achterberg et al. 1997) and perennially (Balistrieri et al. 1994; Viollier et al. 1995) anoxic lakes, as well as for various anoxic marine basins (Jacobs and Emerson 1982; Kremling 1983; Jacobs et al. 1985). The overall picture of trace metal behavior in anoxic systems, however, can appear confused for a variety of reasons. The same metal can show different characteristics between systems. For example recent studies of Pb have reported a variety of distinctive concentration profiles, involving increased dissolved concentrations in suboxic or anoxic waters as a result of either Fe or Mn redox cycling (Benoit and Hemond 1990; Balistrieri et al. 1994; Balistrieri et al. 1995; Canfield et al. 1995; Viollier et al. 1995; Taillefert et al. 2000). In many cases these differences are likely to be real, reflecting variations in factors such as the availability of competing scavenging phases (e.g. Fe and Mn oxides, sulfides and organic matter) and the chemical composition of basin waters. With other metals the data appear contradictory. In the case of Cu an association with Fe and Mn oxides has been proposed on the basis of laboratory binding experiments and particle flux measurements (Baccini and Joller 1981; Sigg et al. 1995; Xue et al. 1997), whereas dissolved Cu profiles show few features other than lower concentrations below the oxic-anoxic boundary, due to sulfide precipitation, across the full range of anoxic-basin types (Baccini and Joller 1981; Jacobs and Emerson 1982; Kremling 1983; Jacobs et al. 1985; Balistrieri et al. 1992; Balistrieri et al. 1994; Xue et al. 1997). Another issue is an inability to separate the individual effects of redox cycling associated with Fe and Mn oxides, due to the overlapping nature of their distributions and the compressed depth scales of processes around the O₂-H₂S interface. For example significant increases in dissolved Ni with depth were observed around the interface in two meromictic lakes, but the relative roles of Fe and Mn redox cycling could not be distinguished (Balistrieri et al. 1994; Viollier et al. 1995). While it is becoming
increasingly clear that Co behavior is generally dominated by an association with Mn oxides across the full range of anoxic-basin types (Kremling 1983; Jacobs et al. 1985; Balistrieri et al. 1992; Balistrieri et al. 1994; Lienemann et al. 1997; Taillefert et al. 2002), previously in Esthwaite Water, the location of the present study, the effects of Fe and Mn redox cycling on Co could not be distinguished on the basis of profile characteristics alone (Achterberg et al. 1997).

The biogeochemical cycling of Ba in the open oceans has generated a great deal of interest in recent years, linked particularly to elucidating the nature of the processes resulting in the precipitation and dissolution of barite (Stroobants et al. 1991; Bertram and Cowen 1997). High concentrations of dissolved Ba in anoxic marine waters have been interpreted in terms of these same open-ocean processes (Kenison Falkner et al. 1993). The few existing freshwater studies indicate more complex Ba distributions that are poorly understood. The distribution of Ba has been linked to the redox cycles of either Fe (Viollier et al. 1995; Viollier et al. 1997) or Mn (Sugiyama et al. 1992; Sugiyama and Hori 1994) in different lakes, while in Esthwaite Water the observed Ba behavior during anoxia has been separately attributed to biogenic barite precipitation (Finlay et al. 1983) and the redox cycling of either Fe (Sholkovitz and Copland 1982) or Mn (Hamilton-Taylor et al. 1999).

The above examples demonstrate that the evidence linking trace-metal distributions to specific processes, particularly those involving Fe and Mn oxides, is often equivocal and basin-specific. It is also apparent that concentration profiles alone are generally not adequate to elucidate fully the complex processes controlling the cycling of trace metals in anoxic basins. The general aim of the present study is to provide new detailed insights into the biogeochemical cycling of Ba, Ni, Cu, Pb, Co and Al in a seasonally anoxic lake, particularly in relation to Fe and Mn redox cycling, through a unique multi-method approach. Water-column profiles of dissolved and particulate metal concentrations are combined with direct in-situ measurements of water column remobilization from settling particles, and the subsequent interpretation is supported by the
use of an equilibrium speciation model that includes different binding phases. The previously published remobilization measurements (Hamilton-Taylor et al. 1999) were made with a novel DGT-sediment trap device, developed during the course of the present study. The speciation model (WHAM6) (Lofts and Tipping 2001) combines an inorganic speciation code with a discrete-site, electrostatic sub-model of metal-humic interactions and a surface complexation description of the equilibrium adsorption of metals by various oxides. The model was run using its default database, calibrated on the basis of a large number of published binding experiments with isolated humic substances and preformed synthetic oxides. This approach therefore provides an effective test of the hypothesis that an equilibrium model, based on laboratory experiments and simple additive effects of the main binding phases, can provide an approximate description of in situ behavior.

Esthwaite Water (EW) is a biologically productive and seasonally anoxic UK lake that has been extensively studied in all aspects of limnology (Heaney et al. 1986). Previous EW studies include work on Fe, Mn and trace metals (Davison et al. 1980; Davison et al. 1982; Sholkovitz and Copland 1982; Hamilton-Taylor and Morris 1985; Hamilton-Taylor et al. 1996; Achterberg et al. 1997) that forms the basis of several reviews of redox cycling in lakes (Sholkovitz 1985; Davison 1993; Hamilton-Taylor and Davison 1995). The lake can therefore be regarded as a model system with well-defined limnological characteristics.

2. METHODS

2.1 Study Area, Field Measurements and Sampling

EW is situated in the English Lake District (54°22’N, 2°59’W). The lake has a surface area of 1.0 km², mean and maximum depths of 6.4 m and 15.5 m, a volume of 6.4 x 10⁶ m³, and a
typical hydraulic residence time of ~13 weeks. The sampling program corresponded to a period when summer stratification and seasonal anoxia were at their maximum stage of development.

The water-column profiling was undertaken on August 14 and 22 1996 at the deepest point in EW. Temperature and dissolved oxygen were measured \textit{in situ} using a Clandon YSI Model 57 oxygen meter. For trace metals, 125-ml high density polyethylene (HDPE) sample bottles and all filtration and analytical equipment were pre-washed for 48 h in 10% Aristar HNO$_3$, rinsed in MilliQ (MQ) water, soaked for 24 hours in MQ water and rinsed for a second time in MQ water. Concentrated Aristar HNO$_3$ was added to each sample bottle in the laboratory, prior to sampling, sufficient to make the final sample pH \( \lesssim 2 \). All sampling equipment was transported to and from the field in polyethylene bags. Water samples were collected one at a time using a peristaltic pump and PVC tubing, and filtered on-line through 0.45 µm HAWP Millipore membrane filters held in Nuclepore filtration units. The complete sampling procedure took \( \sim 15 \) minutes per sample. The pump and tubing were flushed with 15 L of MQ water in the laboratory prior to fieldwork, and the filtration units were preloaded. At the start of each sampling day, an on-board field blank for trace metals was obtained by passing a 10 L MQ-water sample through the entire sampling procedure. The filters were subsequently retained in the filtration units and returned to the laboratory in polyethylene bags for particulate metal analysis.

Additional particulate-matter samples were collected and preserved under inert conditions on August 22, 1996, for redox-sensitive analysis in the laboratory. The sampling method involved pre-flushing a Nuclepore filtration unit, fitted with a Millipore polypropylene filter (0.6-µm pore size), with N$_2$-gas immediately before use. After filtration of \( \sim 200 \) ml of lake water, the connecting tubes to the filtration unit were sealed and the whole assembly immersed in liquid N$_2$.

Dissolved sulfide samples were collected on August 28 1996 using the same sampling-filtration methodology as for metals. The filtered water was passed directly into a 60-ml glass bottle, sealed with a Teflon-coated silicone rubber septum and aluminum cap. The dissolved
sulfide was fixed upon collection, as ZnS, by the addition of 4 ml of deoxygenated zinc acetate solution to the sampling bottle immediately prior to sample collection. Sulfide was determined colorimetrically in the laboratory, using an ethylene blue method (Davison and Lishman 1983).

2.2 Analytical Methods

The membranes used to filter the lake water samples on August 22 were digested in 0.002 M HNO₃ for 24 hours in order to obtain a direct measurement of labile particulate metal concentrations. The acid eluents were subsequently filtered through 0.45 µm HAWP Millipore membrane filters held in Nuclepore filtration units to remove undigested particles. The relative efficiencies of 0.002 M and concentrated HNO₃ (24-h leaches) for extracting Fe and Mn were recently compared in particulate samples from the oxic and anoxic zones of Priest Pot, a small, highly eutrophic lake, adjacent to EW (K. Warnken, pers. comm.). The comparison showed that the 0.002 M acid extracted ~70-80% of the conc. acid-leachable Mn in both oxic and anoxic samples. In the case of Fe, the dilute acid extracted a smaller fraction (20-25%) but the amounts leached by the two acids were highly correlated.

Following storage at 4°C for a maximum period of 5 weeks, the filtered acid eluents from the membrane digestes and the filtered water samples were analyzed for Fe and Mn by electrothermal AAS (Perkin Elmer 4100ZL) and for Ba, Co, Al, Ni, Cu and Pb by ICP-MS (Varian Ultramass). Fifteen subsamples of MQ were analyzed to ascertain analytical detection limits of the metals (Table 1). All sample concentrations were above the detection limits and the field blanks.

Within 24-h of collection, the particulate samples that had been collected and stored under inert conditions for redox-sensitive analysis were transferred anoxically to the vacuum chamber of the Oxford Proton Microprobe (Grime et al. 1991) for analysis by MeV proton x-ray emission (PIXE) spectroscopy and proton- induced Rutherford backscattering spectroscopy (RBS). The 1-µm proton beam was rastered to obtain intensity maps, reflecting elemental masses per unit area.
(i.e. mol cm$^{-2}$), from which elemental ratios and Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated. Other samples were transferred to a sealed tube in an H$_2$/N$_2$-filled glove box for analysis by electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy, using a Bruker EMX instrument.

2.3 Chemical Speciation Modeling

WHAM6 (Lofts and Tipping 2001) combines the most recent versions of a series of published equilibrium speciation models written by Tipping and co-workers. WHAM6 is based on the earlier models, WHAM (Tipping 1994) and SCAMP (Lofts and Tipping 1998). WHAM6 includes an inorganic speciation code, a discrete-site electrostatic model of cation-humic interactions (Humic Ion-Binding Model VI) (Tipping 1998), and a surface complexation model of the equilibrium adsorption of cations by Mn, Fe(III), Si and Al oxides (Lofts and Tipping 1998). The model was used to predict the concentrations and hence fractions of each metal associated with colloidal or “dissolved” humic substances, Fe and Mn oxides, and particulate humic substances aggregated with the oxides (see below).

Representative conditions were used as input parameters rather than the detailed conditions at each depth and time. This approach allowed us to examine the effect of varying certain key parameters one at a time. The input parameters are given in Table 2. The major ion composition (Na, K, Ca, Mg, Cl and SO$_4$) was based on the long-term average concentrations in EW (Carrick and Sutcliffe 1982; Sutcliffe 1982) and the pH and alkalinity values on the detailed studies of Heaney et al. (1986) and Maberley (1996). EW is a circum-neutral lake with a background alkalinity of ~300 $\mu$equiv L$^{-1}$. During the summer the epilimnetic pH typically fluctuates between 8 and 9 due to a combination of high productivity, poor buffering, and the effects of weather conditions on mixing and stratification. Inorganic C is input to WHAM6 in the form of the partial pressure of CO$_2$. We therefore used partial pressures of CO$_2$ that produced an appropriate alkalinity at each pH value. The partial pressures ($P_{CO_2}$) are shown in Table 2, along with the
alkalinities subsequently computed. The corresponding CO₂ saturation values range from ~500% in winter to ~5% at pH 9 in summer and are similar to those reported by Maberly (1996).

The concentration of dissolved humic substances in EW is typically within the range 1-2 mg L⁻¹ (Tipping and Woof 1983). Dissolved humic substances in surface freshwaters are predominantly fulvic acid (Malcolm 1985), so the model simulations were run at 1 and 2 mg L⁻¹ fulvic acid for each set of pH and alkalinity conditions. Only the 2 mg L⁻¹ results are reported in detail because the computed differences were generally small. Two concentrations of Fe oxide, equivalent to 0.7 and 30 µM particulate Fe, were used for the model simulations under summer metalimnetic conditions, based on our own observations and those of Sholkovitz and Copland (1982) and Davison et al. (1980) (see section 3.1). A single representative concentration (equivalent to 0.5 µM particulate Mn) was used for Mn oxide (see section 3.1). Fe-oxide particles in EW contain ~100 mg g⁻¹ of humic substances, having the same UV-visible absorption characteristics as the dissolved humics (Tipping et al. 1981). The Mn oxide formed in EW, in contrast, contains only ~10 mg g⁻¹ humic substances (Tipping et al. 1984). The WHAM6 simulations assumed these humic contents, as fulvic acid, in the Fe and Mn oxides (Table 2).

It has recently been suggested that Al and Fe(III) can compete significantly with trace metals for binding by humic substances over a wide range of pH (4-9) (Tipping et al. 2002). Dissolved Al was measured as part of the present study, while activities of Fe³⁺ (a₃Fe⁺) were estimated assuming equilibrium with Fe oxide, following the approach of Tipping et al. (2002). Two values of the solubility product (i.e. a₃Fe⁺/a_H⁺³ = 10²⁻⁵ and 10⁴⁻⁰) were used, corresponding to a range of possible Fe oxides. The choice of solubility product had a negligible effect on the predicted speciation, and therefore the reported data were based on the value (10⁴⁻⁰) that is more appropriate to freshly precipitated Fe oxide (Tipping et al. 2002). The resulting Fe³⁺ activities, calculated for the various pH values, are shown in Table 2. The total concentrations of Co, Ni, Ba, Pb and Cu were each fixed at a single concentration, typical of the measured concentrations of
dissolved + labile particulate metal in the upper part of the water column, where the highest Fe and Mn-oxide concentrations were found. The concentration of Al was fixed at its typical dissolved concentration in the upper-water column, as labile particulate Al was not measured. The simulations were run with all trace metals present together.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1. General Water Column Conditions and Fe and Mn Distributions

The temperature and dissolved oxygen profiles (Fig. 1) show that summer stratification and seasonal hypolimnetic anoxia were well-established, although the detailed mixing conditions varied significantly between the two sampling dates. On August 14 some temperature stratification occurred throughout the upper part of the lake, whereas on August 22 there was a distinct well-mixed layer through the upper 4 m of the water column. These differences were also reflected in the dissolved oxygen profiles.

The observed vertical distributions of dissolved Fe and Mn (Fig. 2) were similar to those reported previously in EW (Davison et al. 1980; Davison et al. 1982; Hamilton-Taylor and Morris 1985; Hamilton-Taylor et al. 1996; Achterberg et al. 1997). The increase in dissolved Mn (i.e. Mn(II)) concentration at a shallower depth than that of dissolved Fe (i.e. Fe(II)) is due to the higher standard potential of the Mn redox reaction and the slower oxidation kinetics of Mn(II) (Davison 1993). The contrasting profile shapes for dissolved Fe (downward increasing) and Mn (approximately constant at depth) have been attributed to Mn being mainly supplied by reductive dissolution of its oxide within the water column, whereas dissolved Fe is mainly supplied from the sediments (Davison et al. 1980; Davison et al. 1982).

Labile particulate Fe and Mn both showed two maxima within the water column (Fig. 2). The depths of the upper peaks indicate that these maxima comprise mainly oxides, with the
shallower position of the Mn peak (5 m, compared to 7 m for Fe) again being consistent with the
differences in the standard potentials and oxidation kinetics of the two metals. The ESR spectrum
of the inertly preserved particulate sample from 7 m confirmed the dominance of Fe(III). The
minimum in particulate Fe at 10 m indicates that remobilization of the oxide was probably
occurring in the anoxic water column at the time of sampling, as with Mn oxide. The magnitudes
of the Fe- and Mn-oxide maxima of 0.7 µM Fe and 0.5 µM Mn (Fig. 2) can be compared with
previously measured values for EW. Sholkovitz and Copland (1982) reported mid-water maxima
of Fe and Mn oxides in August-early September of 5-20 µM Fe and 0.35-1.3 µM Mn. Davison et
al. (1980) reported a mid-water Fe oxide concentration of 36 µM Fe in August 1977. These
comparisons indicate that our own concentrations, obtained by digestion in 0.002 M HNO₃, provide
a representative measure of the total Mn oxide concentrations, but may underestimate the total Fe
oxide concentrations due to incomplete dissolution. This conclusion is consistent with the
comparative study of leaching-agent effectiveness described in section 2.2. In the context of the
WHAM6 simulations it should be noted that the peaks of both Fe and Mn oxides occurred within
the metalimnion (see Figs. 1 and 2), where the pH is ~7 (see Section 2.3).

The intensely black color of the material comprising the lower (13 m) particulate-Fe peak
(Fig. 2) suggests that it was substantially due to Fe sulfide. This interpretation is supported by the
ESR and PIXE-RBS data. The ESR spectrum of the 13-m sample showed a much smaller intensity
for Fe(III) than the 7-m sample, although the particulate Fe concentration was substantially greater
at 13 m. The PIXE-RBS analysis of the 13-m particulate sample showed that Fe and S were the
most abundant of the measured elements, apart from O, and that Fe correlated more closely with S
than any other element. The correlation coefficient (r) between Fe and S was 0.90, while that
between Fe and O was 0.12. The average molar ratio of Fe:S was 1:1.3, suggesting a stoichiometry
close to that of the monosulfide. These characteristics contrasted with those of the 7-m sample, in
which S was much less abundant and Fe and S showed no correlation (r = -0.03).
The dissolved sulfide profile was typical of EW during late-summer anoxia in showing a characteristic maximum (Fig. 1) (Davison and Heaney 1978; Smith et al. 1996). It has been demonstrated previously that equilibrium with respect to FeS precipitation exists below the dissolved sulfide maximum, with the downward decreasing dissolved sulfide concentration being linked directly to removal by FeS precipitation (Davison and Heaney 1978; Smith et al. 1996). Examination of Figs. 1 and 2 shows that the magnitude of the peak of labile particulate Fe (~4.5 µM) at 13 m was similar to that of the decrease in dissolved sulfide below 10 m. This approximately 1:1 inverse relationship is in line with the stoichiometry of FeS and suggests that the trends in the two profiles below 10 m were affected by local mass balance between the dissolved and labile particulate phases. A similar mass balance was not observed for dissolved Fe because of its excess concentration. The maximum of particulate Mn at 13 m coincides with that of Fe (Fig. 2), suggesting a direct link with FeS precipitation. The nature of this relationship and similar relationships for the trace metals are explored further in section 4.2.

3.2. Trace Metal Distributions

As a basis for interpretation, Pearson correlation coefficients \( r \) and simple linear regressions were determined on various subsets of the data for the August-22 profiles. The dissolved concentrations between depths of 6 and 10 m and the particulate concentrations between 3 and 10 m were used to elucidate the roles of Fe and Mn oxide precipitation-dissolution (see \( r \) values in Table 3). The direct in-situ measurements of water column remobilization were made at the base of the suboxic zone (at 7 m) in the period between the two sampling days in 1996 (14-22 August) and under similar redox conditions (at 4 m) between 12-14 August 1997 (Hamilton-Taylor et al. 1999). The measured remobilization of trace metals was previously shown to be associated with Mn oxide dissolution, and possibly release from unknown phases, but not to any significant extent with Fe oxide dissolution (Hamilton-Taylor et al. 1999). The remobilization data are
presented in terms of metal:Mn ratios as a means of circumventing any problems associated with minor losses of dissolved metals from the DGT-trap devices by diffusion (Hamilton-Taylor et al. 1999). Comparisons are then made in Table 4 between these ratios and the gradients (\(\Delta\text{metal}/\Delta\text{Mn}\)) obtained from the regression analysis.

The concentrations of dissolved Co and Ni increased with depth through the upper part of the anoxic zone, reaching distinct maxima at 10-12 m (Fig. 3). Above the maxima, their dissolved concentrations correlated well with both dissolved Mn and Fe (Table 3). Highly consistent Co:Mn molar ratios (0.26-0.29 x 10^{-3}) were obtained from the remobilization data and the regression analysis of the dissolved concentrations (Table 4), suggesting that Co behavior above 10 m was dominated by Mn cycling. Regression analysis of the dissolved concentrations between depths of 5.5 and 10 m in August 1991, taken from Achterberg et al. (1997), gives a similar Co:Mn ratio (0.32 x 10^{-3}), indicating its consistency over a number of years. Significant remobilization of Ni was also observed but, in contrast to Co, the various measures of the Ni:Mn ratio were highly variable (Table 4). The labile particulate Ni profile (Fig. 3) differed from that of Co in showing a distinct maximum in the oxic part of the water column at 4 m, 1 m above the Mn-oxide peak (Fig. 2).

The profiles of dissolved Ba and Al in August 1996 showed similar characteristics to those of Co and Ni through the upper part of the water column, but at depth generally exhibited a continuing downward increase instead of a maximum (Fig. 4). Between 6 and 10 m dissolved Ba and Al exhibited good correlations with both dissolved Fe and Mn (Table 3), but below 10 m correlated positively only with Fe (Table 5). Comparable dissolved Ba profiles in EW have previously been reported by Sholkovitz and Copland (1982) and McGrath et al. (1989). Labile particulate Ba exhibited a distinct maximum in the oxic part of the water column that coincided with the Mn-oxide peak at 5 m (Fig. 4 and Table 3). Substantial suboxic remobilization of Ba was measured by the trap device and the associated Ba:Mn ratio ~0.64 x 10^{-3} was similar in August.
1996 and 1997 (Table 4). On the basis of the trap data, Hamilton-Taylor et al. (1999) argued that Mn oxide, but not Fe oxide, was an important and consistent source of the remobilized Ba. This conclusion is supported by microanalysis of individual oxide particles from EW that showed measurable Ba in Mn oxide, but not in Fe oxide (Tipping et al. 1981; Tipping et al. 1984). However, a comparison of the Ba:Mn ratios, derived from the DGT-trap measurements and from the regression analysis, shows a clear sequence (Table 4): DGT-trap < dissolved metal regression < labile particulate metal regression. This variability, combined with the lack of correlation between dissolved Mn and Ba below 10 m, indicates that processes additional to Mn redox cycling also play an important role in the observed behavior of Ba.

As with Ba a substantial amount of suboxic remobilization of Al was measured by the trap device and the associated Al:Mn ratio was 3-times higher in 1997. The trap-derived Al:Mn values encompass the value derived from regressing the dissolved concentrations between 6 and 10 m (Table 4), suggesting that Mn cycling was capable of accounting for much of the accumulated dissolved Al over this depth interval. However, because all of the Mn oxide is generally remobilized in the water column of EW during mid-summer, with no significant diffusive input from the sediments (see Fig. 2 and section 3.1), the Mn redox cycle cannot explain the steadily increasing downward concentration of dissolved Al below 10 m (cf. Figs. 2 and 4). The overall shape of the profile therefore suggests that the behavior of Al may also be linked to the Fe redox cycle.

The profiles of dissolved Pb and Cu were relatively featureless, except for a single high-Pb concentration at mid-depth and a poorly-defined decrease in dissolved Cu from ~10 nM in surface waters to <5 nM in deep waters (Fig. 5). The lowest dissolved Cu concentrations occurred in the region of the Fe-sulfide maximum between 11 and 14 m. The dissolved Cu profiles were similar to those observed in August and September 1991 by Achterberg et al. (1997). Labile particulate Pb and Cu both showed small maxima at 4 m that coincided with that of Ni. The maxima were
possibly linked to their position at the base of the well-mixed layer as a result of some sort of sedimentation control (Kleeberg and Schubert 2000). No measurable Pb or Cu remobilization was observed in the DGT-trap device in 1996 and they were not determined in 1997 (Smith 1998). Thus there was no observational evidence that Pb and Cu were linked to the redox cycles of either Fe or Mn above a depth of 10 m.

The labile particulate concentrations of Mn, Co, Ni, Ba, Pb and Cu were all highly correlated with that of Fe between 10 and 15 m on August 22 (Table 5), indicating that the six metals were also associated with sulfide precipitation. The dissolved-concentration profiles of sulfide, Co, Ni, Pb and Cu also covaried between 10 and 15 m on August 22 (Figs. 1, 3 and 5) and showed inverse relationships with their corresponding particulate concentrations. The inverse relationship was best developed for Co and Ni. The gradients of their dissolved-versus-particulate regression lines between 11 and 15 m were −0.8 and −1.1, respectively, suggesting good mass (strictly concentration) balances between the measured particulate and dissolved fractions. There was a similar mass balance between dissolved sulfide and particulate Fe (see section 4.2), and approximate mass balances for Cu and Pb. These mass balances could only be observed because the dissolved and particulate concentrations were similar. In the case of Fe, Mn and Ba, such effects were either absent or not apparent because of the predominance of the dissolved concentrations.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Model Predictions

In general agreement with observations, WHAM6 predicts that dissolved trace-metal concentrations predominate over those associated with Fe and Mn oxides for all metals except Pb (Table 6). The dissolved fraction in the context of the modeling results refers to the sum of the
true solution and colloidal fulvic acid fractions, where the amount in true solution comprises the free metal ion plus inorganic complexes. Most of the Co is predicted to be in true solution with Mn oxide accounting for 5-19% of the total Co. The predicted values of the Co:Mn ratio (0.2-0.7 x 10^{-3}), resulting from Co adsorption to Mn oxide, encompass the observed range of values (0.26-0.32 x 10^{-3}). WHAM6 predicts that Fe oxide-bound Co increases to 3% of the total at the higher Fe oxide concentration (30 µM Fe), but it remains subordinate to the Mn-oxide fraction. The predicted Co:Fe ratio (2 x 10^{-6}) of the Fe oxide in this scenario is two orders of magnitude less than the corresponding Co:Mn ratio, highlighting the relatively low affinity of Co for Fe oxide. The good agreement between the model predictions and field observations, obtained over several years, provides strong evidence in support of Mn oxide dissolution being the predominant source of dissolved Co in EW under reducing conditions.

Most of the Ni is predicted to be in true solution, although as much as 10% of the total Ni is complexed by colloidal fulvic acid (Table 6). At the measured concentration of Fe oxide (0.7 µM Fe), Ni adsorbed to Fe and Mn oxides is predicted to be present at low levels (≤1% of the total Ni) (Table 6). A trend is apparent in the predicted oxide fractions of Ni at 0.7 µM Fe in that at pH 7, typical of waters in the vicinity of the oxide maxima, Mn oxide is more important than Fe oxide, whereas at pH 9, typical of the well-mixed surface waters in summer, Fe oxide is more important. Since the zero point of charge of amorphous Fe oxide and goethite is around pH 8 (Stumm and Morgan 1996), the effect of electrostatics on binding is probably the main cause of this predicted pH trend. At the upper limit of possible Fe oxide concentrations (30 µM Fe), the Fe oxide fraction may become more important than Mn oxide even at pH 7 (Table 6). The predicted Ni:Mn ratio, resulting from adsorption to Mn oxide, is 0.1 x 10^{-3} at the likely pH (7) at the Mn oxide peak, but decreases to 0.02 x 10^{-3} at pH 9. Therefore, despite the low absolute level of predicted Ni binding to Mn oxide, it is enough to account for the remobilization of Ni, measured by the DGT-trap device in 1996, and is close to the Ni:Mn ratio derived from regressing the dissolved concentration
data (Table 4). On the other hand, the predicted Ni:Mn values fall well below the values derived from regressing the labile particulate data and from the observed trap remobilization in 1997 (Table 4). The corresponding predicted Ni:Fe values, resulting from Ni adsorption to Fe oxide, vary between $1 \times 10^{-5}$ at pH 7 and $7 \times 10^{-5}$ at pH 9. These values are too small for the measured particulate Fe-oxide concentrations (max. 0.7 µM Fe) to make a significant contribution to the 1-nM particulate Ni peak at 4 m or to the measured DGT-trap remobilization. The combined field and modeling results thus indicate that the redox cycling of Mn, but not Fe, has an observable effect on the vertical concentration profile of dissolved Ni and its remobilization in the vicinity of the oxic-anoxic boundary. At the same time, the varying Ni:Mn values indicate that its behavior is more complex than that of Co and is probably affected by additional processes. The EW observations provide some of the clearest evidence of a link between Mn redox cycling and Ni in anoxic basins, although a Ni-Mn link was also suggested by the dissolved-concentration profiles in another seasonally anoxic lake (Balistrieri et al. 1992).

More than 99% of the dissolved Ba is predicted to be in true solution in all simulations (Table 6). Adsorption of Ba to Mn oxide is predicted to be more important than to Fe oxide, although particulate fulvic acid, associated mainly with the Fe oxide, becomes the main particulate fraction of Ba at the upper limit of possible Fe oxide concentrations (30 µM Fe) (Table 6). The predicted Ba:Mn ratio, due to Ba adsorption to Mn oxide, is more or less constant in all model simulations at $\sim 0.02 \times 10^{-3}$. This value is more than 30-times less than the lowest of the values obtained from the field data (Table 4). Tipping et al. (1984) also reported that the levels of Ba in Mn oxide particles in EW were high relative to those expected from adsorption to a pre-formed phase. They interpreted this as indicating incorporation into the oxide during formation. Another possible explanation is an inappropriate binding constant in WHAM6 for Ba uptake by Mn oxide. The default constant was derived from a linear-free energy relationship, due to the lack of published experimental data for Ba binding to Mn oxide (Lofts and Tipping 1998). Sugiyama et
al. (1992) also noted exceptionally strong binding of Ba to Mn oxides in Lake Biwa, and associated binding experiments yielded a distribution coefficient for Ba that was an order of magnitude or more greater than that of other alkaline earth elements. The corresponding Ba:Fe values, predicted by WHAM6 as a result of Ba adsorption to Fe oxide, vary between $3 \times 10^{-6}$ at pH 7 and $6 \times 10^{-6}$ at pH 9. These low values suggest that Fe redox cycling makes no significant contribution to the observed water-column behavior of Ba.

WHAM6 predicts that the distribution of Al between true solution and colloidal fulvic acid is very sensitive to pH in EW (Table 6). At pH 7 Al is associated mainly with fulvic acid, whereas at pH 8-9 it is predominantly in true solution due to the increasing importance of Al(OH)$_4^-$ . The model also predicts that the fractions of Al adsorbed by Mn and Fe oxides decrease sharply with increasing pH, again due to Al(OH)$_4^-$, while the relative importance of Fe rather than Mn oxides increases (Table 6). Generally, the particulate fulvic-acid fraction associated with each oxide is predicted to contain more than the oxides themselves. The exception to this generalization is that at the pH (7) of the oxide maxima in the metalimnion, more than 80% of the Al bound by Mn oxide is predicted to be associated with the pure oxide. The predicted overall Al:Mn ratio of this oxide at pH 7 is $2 \times 10^{-3}$ and $0.5 \times 10^{-3}$ at 1 and 2 mg L$^{-1}$ colloidal fulvic acid, respectively. These values are of a similar magnitude to the values derived from the DGT-trap data and from regression analysis of the dissolved Al and Mn concentrations between 6 and 10 m (Table 4). In contrast, the predicted Al:Mn values at higher pH (e.g. $5 \times 10^{-7}$ at pH 9, 2 mg L$^{-1}$ colloidal fulvic acid) are orders of magnitude lower than the values shown in Table 4. It follows that adsorption of Al to Mn oxides is sufficient to account for the levels of Al remobilization observed around the oxic-anoxic interface as a result of Mn redox cycling. The highly pH-dependent nature of Al adsorption to Mn oxide probably contributes to the variable Al:Mn ratio obtained from the field measurements (Table 4), especially given the extent of pH variation with time and depth during the summer period. The reverse argument may explain why the measured Co:Mn ratios were so
constant (Table 4). The predicted Co:Mn ratio due to adsorption by Mn oxide varies by a factor of only ~3 between pH 7 and 9, so is much less sensitive to the known pH variations. As the degree of pH dependency of Ni binding to Mn oxide is predicted to be intermediate between that of Co and Al, it may contribute to the observed Ni:Mn variability (Table 4).

The predicted Al:Fe values at the pH (7) of the Fe oxide peak, associated with adsorption, are $2 \times 10^{-3}$ and $1 \times 10^{-3}$ at 1 and 2 mg L$^{-1}$ fulvic acid, respectively. These values agree with the Al:Fe ratio ($2.1 \times 10^{-3}$), derived from regressing the dissolved Al and Fe data below 10 m on August 22. At higher pH the predicted Al:Fe values are much lower (e.g. $\sim 10^{-4}$ at pH 8 and $10^{-6}$ at pH 9). The model predictions therefore support the field data in indicating that the observed behavior of Al in EW is linked to the redox cycling of both Mn and Fe, although in the case of Fe it probably occurs mainly through an association with the organic fraction of the oxide. An association between Fe oxide and Al in lake sediments was previously reported by Fortin et al. (1993).

WHAM6 predicts that the dissolved-Pb fraction increases between pH 7 and 9 from 16% to 79% of total Pb at the measured Fe-oxide concentration and 2 mg L$^{-1}$ fulvic acid (Table 6). These predictions are reasonably consistent with the observations in that a substantial proportion of Pb (~25%) was in the labile particulate fraction. The dissolved Pb is predicted to be dominantly complexed by colloidal humic substances with <1 % in true solution. The simulations also indicate that both oxides are likely to contain substantial amounts of Pb, with Mn oxide being relatively more important at pH 7 and Fe oxide at higher pH. At the upper limit of possible Fe oxide concentrations (30 µM Fe), the Fe oxide fraction is predicted to dominate even at pH 7 (Table 6). The particulate fulvic fractions of the oxides are relatively unimportant in all cases. The model simulations highlight a feature that differentiates Pb from the other trace metals. In the latter case, the metal:Mn and metal:Fe ratios of the respective oxides are largely independent of the oxide concentrations, e.g. the change from low (0.7 µM Fe) to high (30 µM Fe) Fe-oxide
concentration has a negligible effect on the ratios. This is because the trace metals are predominantly in solution, so that changes in the particulate metal fractions at varying oxide concentrations have a negligible effect on the dissolved concentrations. In the case of Pb, significant competition effects are predicted because of the high affinity of both oxides for the metal. Other natural solids are likely to have similar competition effects due to the high particle affinity of Pb generally. This affinity probably accounts for the absence of any measurable Pb remobilization in the DGT-trap device and the limited increase in dissolved Pb below the oxic-anoxic boundary. Thus when Mn oxide and its associated Pb were remobilized in the traps, Pb was probably re-adsorbed by the Fe oxide and other natural particles, rather than being taken up by the DGT device to any measurable extent. A similar Pb re-adsorption effect was observed following the selective removal of Mn oxide in a sequential chemical extraction of a natural mixture of Fe and Mn oxides (Tipping et al. 1985).

The model predicts that Cu is mainly in solution as the fulvic complex, irrespective of pH and fulvic concentration (Table 6). Most of the remaining Cu is associated with the particulate fulvic material, more than 90% of which is associated with the Fe oxide. In terms of the pure oxides, more Cu is bound by Fe rather than Mn oxide. At the likely pH (7) of the Mn oxide peak, the predicted Cu:Mn ratio due to adsorption by Mn oxide and its associated particulate fulvic acid is $\sim 1 \times 10^{-5}$. This ratio is equivalent to 0.005 nM particulate Cu being associated with the Mn oxide peak at 5 m and to 0.5 nM dissolved Cu being associated with the increase in dissolved Mn between 6 and 10 m. These concentrations are negligible compared with the observed concentrations of particulate and dissolved Cu (Fig. 5). Furthermore the predicted ratio is about an order of magnitude less than could be detected by the DGT-trap device (Hamilton-Taylor et al. 1999). A similar situation exists with respect to the Fe profiles, although the increased dissolved Fe below 10 m would be associated with an increase in dissolved Cu of $\sim 5$ nM, based on a predicted Cu:Fe ratio of $\sim 5 \times 10^{-5}$. Such an increase was probably masked by the effects of sulfide
precipitation. The model predictions are therefore consistent with the field observations in suggesting that Mn redox cycling is unimportant for Cu in EW, while Fe redox cycling has no observable effects on Cu profiles other than those due to sulfide precipitation. The absence of any observable Cu cycling in the sub-oxic region of EW, and probably other anoxic basins, is due in large part to the overriding effect of complexation by humic substances.

4.2 Sulfide Precipitation

Formation of the solid phase(s) responsible for the maxima of particulate metals at 13 m could be controlled by the solubility of individual metal sulfides or an association with the major FeS phase. WHAM was modified to include the metal-sulfide stability constants quoted in Huerta-Diaz et al (1998) and used to calculate the saturation index with respect to each metal sulfide. The results were similar to those reported for other anoxic basins in showing a high degree of variation in the calculated saturation indices (Jacobs et al. 1985; Balistrieri et al. 1992; Balistrieri et al. 1992; Balistrieri et al. 1994), ranging from undersaturation with respect to Mn sulfide and αNiS to a large degree of oversaturation for Cu and Pb sulfides. This variability in the extent of saturation weakens the argument for the coincident peaks in particulate concentrations being due to formation of individual sulfides and favors instead an association between the trace metals and FeS. Davison et al. (1992) reported 4000 ppm Cu in FeS-rich particles in EW, which is equivalent to an atomic Cu:Fe ratio of 5 x 10^{-3}. The Cu:Fe ratio obtained from the particulate maxima at 13 m was also 5 x 10^{-3}. Coprecipitation and adsorption of Co, Ni and Mn by FeS has previously been demonstrated in the laboratory under conditions typical of anoxic waters (Arakaki and Morse 1993; Morse and Arakaki 1993), while Mn scavenging by FeS in Esthwaite Water was previously suggested on the basis of laboratory experiments (Hamilton-Taylor et al. 1996).

Despite the evidence presented above it is not easy to explain the well-defined solid-solution partitioning of the metals observed between 10 and 15 m, in particular the apparent mass-
balance effects, in terms of control by sulfide precipitation, coprecipitation or adsorption by FeS. The observed similarity in behavior of such a chemically diverse range of metals suggests that there is a single controlling mechanism. We hypothesize that Mn, Ba, Co, Ni, Cu and Pb were associated with FeS particles in the sub-micron to micron size range. Accordingly the nonfilterable fraction would contain colloidal as well as truly dissolved metal. Aggregation or particle growth, with resulting conversion of some fraction of the particles from a nonfilterable to a filterable size (0.45-µm pore size), could then account for the particulate maxima at around 13-m depth. The mass balance effect between “dissolved” and “particulate” phases for Co, Ni, Cu, Pb and sulfide simply reflects this transfer. The profiles of nonfilterable Mn and Ba were, in contrast, unaffected because of the high concentrations in true solution.

The apparent mass balances suggest that vertical transport of Co, Ni, Cu and Pb was relatively unimportant compared to transfer between colloidal and particulate phases. The low, implied settling rate for FeS particles is consistent with independent observations of their size. Previous PIXE-RBS analysis has shown that FeS particles in EW are not resolvable with a 1-µm proton beam (Davison et al. 1992). Mackinawite particles formed in laboratory experiments were found to be greater or less than 0.1 µm, depending on solution conditions and the associated degree of supersaturation (Arakaki and Morse 1993; Morse and Arakaki 1993). Mackinawite is a metastable Fe sulfide that readily forms from amorphous FeS (Rickard 1989; Morse and Arakaki 1993). In anoxic waters in Framvaren Fjord, twice the mass of FeS particles was collected by filtration through 0.2-µm filters, compared with that obtained using 0.4-µm filters (Jacobs et al. 1985). Clearly the commonly used pore sizes of membrane filters (0.2 to 0.45 µm) lie within the typical size range of FeS particles, so that the conversion of nonfilterable to filterable FeS observed in EW was quite probably associated with a relatively small increase in particle size. Estimation of the settling rates of the particles, using Stokes Law and assuming a density of 3 g cm⁻³, gives values in the order of 10⁻³ and 10⁻¹ m day⁻¹ for particles of 0.1 and 1-µm diameter,
respectively. These rates are consistent with approximate elemental mass balances being maintained for periods of days given the 1-m sampling intervals.

If the FeS particles and their associated trace-metal contents are distributed between colloidal and filterable fractions, there will be an effect on the distribution coefficient ($K_d$ in L kg$^{-1}$) of each metal. $K_d$ values were calculated for depths between 11 and 15 m, using Equation 1, where $M_p$ is the particulate (filterable) metal concentration (mol L$^{-1}$), $[FeS]$ is the “particulate FeS” concentration (kg L$^{-1}$) based on the measured particulate Fe, and $M_d$ is the dissolved (nonfilterable) metal concentration (mol L$^{-1}$).

$$K_d = \frac{M_p}{[FeS]} \frac{[FeS]}{M_d}$$  \textbf{Equation 1}

The calculated $K_d$ values for Co, Ni and Cu decrease with increasing $M_d$, while the values for Mn and Ba remain relatively constant (Fig. 6a). The $K_d$ values for Pb were relatively noisy and are omitted. The observed $K_d$ trend for Co, Ni and Cu reflects the mass balance effect, i.e. $M_p$ increased as $M_d$ decreased. The numerator in Equation 1 ($M_p/[FeS]$) remained relatively constant, reflecting the good correlations of particulate trace metal concentrations with that of Fe.

Values of $K_d$ were simulated while varying the proportion of metal in colloidal and particulate forms. Simplifying assumptions were that throughout the 10-15 metre zone: (1) there is a constant background concentration of truly dissolved trace metal ($M_b$); and (2) the total concentration of trace metal associated with particulate and colloidal FeS ($M_{FeS}$) is constant. It follows from these assumptions that the total trace metal concentration ($M_b + M_{FeS}$) is also constant throughout the 10-15 metre zone and this was approximately the case. The simulated concentration of particulate (filterable) metal, $sim-M_p$, simply reflects the fraction of Fe present in the particulate form (Equation 2).

$$sim-M_p = M_{FeS} \frac{Fe_p}{max-Fe_p}$$  \textbf{Equation 2}
where max-Fe_p is the maximum Fe concentration (4.5 µM) associated with particulate (filterable) FeS. Four typical values of particulate (filterable) Fe concentrations in the anoxic zone (Fe_p = 1, 1.5, 3, 4.5 µM) were chosen to provide a range of colloid to particulate ratios. The simulated concentration of dissolved (filterable) metal, sim-M_d, is the sum of the truly dissolved metal and the metal present associated with colloidal FeS (Equation 3).

\[
\text{sim-M}_d = \text{M}_b + M_{\text{FeS}} - \text{sim-M}_p
\]

Equation 3

The M_{FeS} and M_b values used in the calculations (Table 7) were based on the observed concentrations. The terms sim-M_p and sim-M_d were then combined with [FeS], derived from the four Fe_p values, to calculate K_d using Equation 1 (Fig. 6b). Comparability with the observed K_d values is good, demonstrating that the assumption of metals associated with FeS particles being present in colloidal and particulate form is consistent with the data. The observed log K_d values are plotted against log [FeS] in Fig. 6c. Although the trends are not so clear as those against M_d, there is a tendency for K_d to increase with [FeS] for most metals. This trend is the opposite of the “particle concentration effect” normally observed in aquatic systems in which colloids have an important role (Honeyman and Santschi 1988; Honeyman and Santschi 1989).

Other than the Framvaren Fjord study, cited above, field evidence for the existence of colloidal FeS is scarce. Colloidal sulfides were considered as possible explanations of some observed trace metal behavior (Benoit and Hemond 1990; Taillefert et al. 2000) but, apart from electrochemical evidence for a dissolved multi-nuclear FeS species (Davison et al. 1998), we found only one study with direct evidence of colloidal sulfides in lakes (Viollier et al. 1997). Balistrieri et al. (1994) observed maxima of particulate (>0.4 µm) Cu, Pb, Zn and Ni below the dissolved sulfide maximum in a meromictic lake that coincided with dissolved minima of the same metals, approximately on a 1:1 basis for each metal. The relationships are similar to those observed in EW that we have interpreted in terms of mass balances between colloidal and particulate sulfides.
4.3 Biogeochemical Cycling of Ba and Al

Studies of Ba and Al in anoxic basins are scarce in comparison with those of Co, Ni, Pb and Cu. The combined observational and modeling results indicated that the behavior of Ba in EW was associated with the redox cycle of Mn, but not Fe, plus at least one additional process. Finlay et al. (1983) previously showed that the distribution of Ba in EW is affected by the use of barite as an intracellular gravity sensing mechanism by the ciliated protozoan, *Loxodes*. We suggest that the behavior of Ba in the present study, including the observed sequence of Ba:Mn values (DGT-trap < dissolved metal regression < labile particulate metal regression), can be explained by a combination of the Mn redox cycle and barite precipitation-dissolution associated with *Loxodes*. The relationship between the Ba:Mn ratio calculated from the DGT-trap measurements (0.64 x 10^-3) and the particle-derived ratio (13.7 x 10^-3) indicates that Mn oxide accounts for only a small fraction (5%) of the observed particulate-Ba maximum at 5 m. Finlay et al. (1983) showed that the abundance of *Loxodes* peaked immediately above the oxic-anoxic boundary in EW and also correlated with a 7-nM peak of particulate Ba. They independently estimated that 5.5 nM of this was due to *Loxodes*. This estimate can be compared with the 6.4-nM peak of labile particulate Ba seen at 5 m in Fig. 4. Given the difference in the Ba:Mn ratios derived from the DGT-trap measurements and the particle-based regression analysis, it follows that the particulate-Ba maximum at 5 m was also due mainly to the presence of *Loxodes*. Whether its coincidence with the Mn oxide peak is fortuitous or whether there is a tighter coupling of the two processes (e.g. Mn oxidizing bacteria acting as a food source for *Loxodes*) is unknown. The continuing small increase in dissolved Ba concentrations below 10 m (Fig. 4) is probably linked to the further dissolution of the microbial barite in bottom sediments. The accumulated evidence gives no support for an association of Ba with Fe cycling in EW, as originally suggested by Sholkovitz and Copland (1982) on the basis of correlations between the seasonal and depth distributions of the two metals.
In relation to the observed binding of Ba to FeS, database searching has failed to find any previous report of a Ba-sulfide association in either lake or marine anoxic basins. The association probably arises through adsorption, as with Mn (Arakaki and Morse 1993). Other group 2 metals (Ca and Mg) adsorb to mackinawite to a similar extent to Mn (Morse and Arakaki 1993), and Fig. 6 shows that the observed $K_d$ values of Ba and Mn were similar.

The profiles of dissolved Ba concentrations in EW are most like those in the Cariaco Trench and Framvaren Fjord (Kenison Falkner et al. 1993) in showing downward increasing concentrations, commencing slightly above the $O_2$-$H_2S$ interface. In Pavin Lake, a meromictic water body, the main increase in dissolved Ba occurred in the lower part of the anoxic zone in the region of the water-sediment interface (Viollier et al. 1995). The particulate Ba profile showed a maximum immediately above the $O_2$-$H_2S$ interface, that correlated better with the Fe-oxide peak than that of Mn oxide, and a bottom maximum. These maxima were interpreted as being due to scavenging by Fe(III) oxide and ferrous phosphate, respectively (Viollier et al. 1997). We suggest that biogenic barite cannot be discounted as a possible source of the mid-depth maximum, the magnitude of which (~5 nM) was the same as that at 5 m in EW.

The distribution of Al in EW is similar to that observed in Pavin Lake (Michard et al. 1994; Viollier et al. 1995) in that dissolved Al concentrations and pH are high in surface productive waters and low in the underlying region of Mn and Fe-oxide formation. The dissolved Al profile in Pavin Lake was attributed to the effects of pH on Al(OH)$_3$ solubility (Viollier et al. 1995). Saturation conditions with respect to Al(OH)$_3$ in EW were determined by using WHAM6 to calculate the ion activity product ($IAP = a_{Al^{3+}} / a_{H^+}^3$) over a range of typical conditions (Table 8). The pH values were taken from Heaney et al. (1986), fulvic acid concentrations from Tipping and Woof (1983), and the total dissolved Al concentrations from Fig. 4. The solubility product of Al(OH)$_3$ is reported to be in the range $10^8$-$10^9$ (LaZerte 1989). The waters are therefore undersaturated throughout the epilimnion and metalimnion and approach saturation only in anoxic
hypolimnetic waters. Most significantly the metalimnetic waters in the region of the Mn and Fe oxide maxima on August 22 are around an order-of-magnitude undersaturated with respect to the least soluble form of Al(OH)$_3$, so that Al(OH)$_3$ precipitation cannot be the cause of the dissolved Al minimum at 6 m (see Figs. 1, 2 and 4). The calculation of Al(OH)$_3$ saturation in the hypolimnion excluded Al-sulfide species, since no stability constants were found in either the Smith and Martell (1976) or NIST (1997) databases. Overall the data are inconsistent with control by Al(OH)$_3$ solubility and further support the role played by Fe and Mn redox cycling. Because the dissolved Al concentrations are around 5-times lower in Pavin Lake than in EW, while the pH values and DOC concentrations are broadly similar, we speculate that Fe and Mn redox cycling may also affect the behavior of Al in this meromictic lake.

### 4.4 General Discussion

The results of the WHAM6 modeling are generally consistent with the field observations made under oxic and suboxic conditions in EW. In particular, the model provides a good prediction of the observed binding of Co and Ni to Mn oxide and of Al to both Mn and Fe oxides. The excellent agreement between the observed and predicted Co:Mn ratios appears surprising given that Co binding to Mn oxide can involve substitution in structural sites and oxidation to Co(III) (Crowther et al. 1983). However, while the experimental binding data are described by surface complexation within WHAM6, the underlying binding mechanisms occurring in the experiments may in reality be more complicated. For example the data set used to calibrate Co binding in WHAM6 involved a 48-h sorption period that resulted in structural substitution of Co for Mn, in addition to surface exchange (Loganathan and Burau 1973). More generally, however, the degree to which trace metal binding to Fe and Mn oxides is predicted by an equilibrium model based on adsorption to preformed synthetic phases was unexpected, given the complex, dynamic and often microbial nature of processes in lakes. The model has proved a valuable tool in
evaluating this field data set. Given the inherent simplifications of WHAM6 and the input data used in the modeling, including the omission of other adsorbents (e.g. clay minerals and silica) and organic ligands of microbial origin (Sigg et al. 1995; Ellwood et al. 2001), further testing of the model against other field-data sets is required before it can be used more generally for predictive purposes.

The geochemical modeling highlights the fact that trace metal speciation in general, and binding to Mn and Fe oxides in particular, can be highly sensitive to the variations in solution conditions occurring through a depth-interval of just a few metres in a typical lake. It follows that the relative and absolute importance of Mn and Fe oxides as trace-metal carriers will vary greatly over the full range of freshwater conditions. Conversely, we suggest that it is no coincidence that the metal (Co) showing the most consistent behavior in both freshwaters and seawater is the metal predicted by WHAM6 to be the least sensitive to variations in solution conditions.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The dissolved and particulate concentration profiles and the water-column remobilization of Co, Ni, Ba, Al, Cu and Pb in EW during midsummer anoxia showed distinctive patterns of behavior for each metal that were related to the position of the $O_2$-$H_2S$ interface and to Fe and Mn redox cycling. In the region of the $O_2$-$H_2S$ interface, the behavior of Co and to a lesser degree Ni was dominated by Mn redox cycling. Ba behavior was dominated by the biogenic precipitation of barite, mediated by protozoa, and its subsequent dissolution, and to a lesser degree by Mn redox cycling. The behavior of Al was linked to both Mn and Fe redox cycling, but the extent of binding to Mn and Fe oxides and to humic substances was poised with respect to pH. The profiles of Pb and Cu showed comparatively little variation above the dissolved sulfide maximum, even though, in the case of Pb, the WHAM6 simulations indicated that binding to Mn and Fe oxides was
important. The featureless nature of the Pb profiles in the upper part of the water column was linked to its tendency to bind to all particle types and to dissolved humic substances, whereas that of Cu was linked to its overriding association with dissolved humic substances. Below the dissolved sulfide maximum, Co, Ni, Ba, Cu, Pb and Mn were affected by sulfide precipitation, probably through a common association with FeS. In the case of Co, Ni, Cu and Pb, inverse relationships between the measured “dissolved” and particulate concentrations were attributed to size differences in the FeS particles, i.e. nonfilterable and filterable by 0.45-µm membrane filters.
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### TABLES

Table 1. Analytical techniques and performance data for dissolved metal analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Analytical Precision&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>D.L.&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Field Blank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>GF-AAS</td>
<td>± 2%</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>GF-AAS</td>
<td>± 2%</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>&lt; D.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>ICPMS</td>
<td>± 2%</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>&lt; D.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>ICPMS</td>
<td>± 5%</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>5.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>ICPMS</td>
<td>± 0.4%</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>ICPMS</td>
<td>± 5%</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>&lt; D.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>ICPMS</td>
<td>± 5%</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>&lt; D.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>ICPMS</td>
<td>± 6%</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>&lt; D.L.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Overall mean value of \(100(\sigma/\bar{x})\), where \(\sigma/\bar{x}\) is based on routine triplicate subsampling and analysis.

(b) Analytical Detection Limit = 3\(\sigma\) of 15 MQ blanks.
Table 2. Solution conditions used to calculate equilibrium speciation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Metalimnion</th>
<th>Epilimnion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na (M)</td>
<td>2.5 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.5 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (M)</td>
<td>2.5 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.5 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca (M)</td>
<td>2.6 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.6 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg (M)</td>
<td>6.6 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>6.6 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl (M)</td>
<td>2.4 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.4 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO_4^2- (M)</td>
<td>1.2 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.2 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO_3 (M)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8, 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{CO_2} (atmos.)</td>
<td>1.65 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.4 x 10^{-4}, 2.2 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity (µequiv L^{-1})</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>400, 410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved fulvic acid (mg L^{-1})</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a_{Fe^{3+}} (M)</td>
<td>1 x 10^{-17}</td>
<td>1 x 10^{-20}, 1 x 10^{-23}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeOOH (µg L^{-1})</td>
<td>62, 2700</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO_2 (µg L^{-1})</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate fulvic acid (µg L^{-1})</td>
<td>7, 300</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Al (nM)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Co (nM)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Ni (nM)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cu (nM)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Ba (nM)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Pb (nM)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Calculated using WHAM6.
(b) Associated predominantly with Fe oxide.
Table 3. Pearson correlation coefficients between dissolved and labile particulate Mn, Fe and trace metals in the water column above 10 m depth for 22nd August 1996.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dissolved 6 - 10 m</td>
<td>Particulate 3 - 10 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.98**</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.98**</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>0.98**</td>
<td>0.96**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.97**</td>
<td>0.72*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Significant at 99% confidence level.
* Significant at 95% confidence level.

Table 4. Comparison of metal:Mn molar ratios derived from DGT-trap remobilization measurements (Hamilton-Taylor et al. 1999) with those from regression analysis of water column concentrations in the depth zone of Mn-oxide redox cycling in Esthwaite Water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>not significant</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Based on concentrations at 6-10 m depth.
(b) Based on concentrations at 3-10 m depth.
Table 5. Pearson correlation coefficients between dissolved and labile particulate Mn, Fe and trace metals in the water column below 10 m depth for 22\textsuperscript{nd} August 1996.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mn Dissolved 10 - 15 m (n = 6)</th>
<th>Mn Particulate 10 - 15 m (n = 6)</th>
<th>Fe Dissolved 10 - 15 m (n = 6)</th>
<th>Fe Particulate 10 - 15 m (n = 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.84**</td>
<td>0.94**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.92**</td>
<td>0.88**</td>
<td>-0.83**</td>
<td>0.97**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>-0.82*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.98**</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>-0.86**</td>
<td>0.87**</td>
<td>0.94**</td>
<td>0.93**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.79*</td>
<td>0.98**</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>0.98**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.74*</td>
<td>0.75*</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.84**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.98**</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.97**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Significant at 99% confidence level.
* Significant at 95% confidence level.
Table 6. The metal fractions in various forms predicted by WHAM6 at 2 mg L\(^{-1}\) fulvic acid (FA) and 44 µg L\(^{-1}\) MnO\(_2\) (0.5 µM Mn), as a function of pH and Fe oxide concentration. The sum of the fractions is unity for each metal and the total concentrations are 2 nM Co, 8 nM Ni, 90 nM Ba, 150 nM Al, 4 nM Pb and 10 nM Cu.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>[Fe oxide] (µM)</th>
<th>True Solution(^a)</th>
<th>Colloidal FA</th>
<th>Particulate FA(^b)</th>
<th>Fe oxide(^c)</th>
<th>Mn oxide(^c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.3E-04</td>
<td>8.9E-04</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.4E-04</td>
<td>4.9E-03</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.5E-05</td>
<td>7.5E-03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>5.1E-03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.6E-04</td>
<td>1.2E-03</td>
<td>8.1E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.5E-04</td>
<td>5.2E-03</td>
<td>4.8E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.4E-04</td>
<td>5.8E-03</td>
<td>1.3E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>7.4E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>7.3E-03</td>
<td>2.6E-05</td>
<td>6.6E-07</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>7.1E-03</td>
<td>2.5E-05</td>
<td>4.9E-06</td>
<td>1.1E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>6.7E-03</td>
<td>2.4E-05</td>
<td>2.0E-05</td>
<td>1.1E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>7.3E-03</td>
<td>1.1E-03</td>
<td>2.5E-05</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>3.3E-03</td>
<td>9.6E-04</td>
<td>1.4E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4.0E-04</td>
<td>5.9E-05</td>
<td>7.0E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.8E-05</td>
<td>2.6E-07</td>
<td>7.9E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>9.8E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.3E-03</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>5.7E-04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.8E-03</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>2.1E-03</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.6E-03</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>2.8E-03</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.6E-04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>4.8E-03</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>3.5E-03</td>
<td>3.5E-04</td>
<td>9.5E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1E-03</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>3.5E-03</td>
<td>6.3E-04</td>
<td>8.2E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6.0E-04</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.5E-03</td>
<td>8.0E-04</td>
<td>1.1E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.7E-03</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>7.5E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) based on free metal ion plus inorganic complexes

\(b\) based on combined humic contents of both Fe and Mn oxides

\(c\) based on contents of the pure metal oxides

Table 7. Assumed M\(_{FeS}\) and M\(_b\) values used in K\(_d\) simulations (see text for full explanation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mn/µM</th>
<th>Ba/nM</th>
<th>Co/nM</th>
<th>Cu/nM</th>
<th>Ni/nM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M(_{FeS})</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M(_b)</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8. Calculated ion activity products (IAP = $a_{Al^{3+}} / a_{H^+}^3$) for Al(OH)$_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region of water column</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>[Al$_{total}$] (nM)</th>
<th>fulvic acid (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$a_{Al^{3+}}$ (M)</th>
<th>Log$_{10}$ IAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epilimnion</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.8E-17</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilimnion</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7E-17</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilimnion</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7.4E-21</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilimnion</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0E-21</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metalimnion</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.1E-14</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolimnion</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.5E-12</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**FIGURE LEGENDS**

Fig. 1. Vertical profiles of temperature, dissolved oxygen and dissolved sulfide in Esthwaite Water during summer stratification, 1996. The sulfide profile shown for August 22 was actually obtained on August 28.

Fig. 2. Vertical profiles of dissolved and labile particulate Mn and Fe in Esthwaite Water during summer stratification, 1996. Particulate concentrations are indicated by open triangles and have a different scale.

Fig. 3. Vertical profiles of dissolved and labile particulate Co and Ni in Esthwaite Water during summer stratification, 1996. Particulate concentrations are indicated by open triangles and have a different scale.

Fig. 4. Vertical profiles of dissolved and labile particulate Ba and Al in Esthwaite Water during summer stratification, 1996. Particulate concentrations are indicated by open triangles and have a different scale.

Fig. 5. Vertical profiles of dissolved and labile particulate Pb and Cu in Esthwaite Water during summer stratification, 1996. Particulate concentrations are indicated by open triangles and for Pb have a different scale.

Fig. 6. $K_d$ values for water depths between 10 and 15 m in Esthwaite Water on August 22 1996: (a) observed $K_d$ against dissolved metal concentration, (b) simulated $K_d$ against dissolved metal concentration, and (c) observed $K_d$ against particulate (filterable) FeS concentration.