Silence as an element of care: a meta-ethnographic review of professional caregivers’ experience in clinical and pastoral settings

Abstract

Background: In interactions between professional caregivers, patients and family members at the end of life, silence often becomes more prevalent. Silence is acknowledged as integral to interpersonal communication and compassionate care but is also noted as a complex and ambiguous phenomenon. This review seeks interdisciplinary experience to deepen understanding of qualities of silence as an element of care.

Aim: To search for published papers which describe professional caregivers’ experience of silence as an element of care, in palliative and other clinical, spiritual and pastoral care settings and to synthesise their findings.

Design: Meta-ethnography: employing a systematic search strategy and lines-of-argument synthesis.

Data sources: PsycINFO and seven other cross-disciplinary databases, supplemented by hand-search, review of reference lists and citation tracking. No date range was
imposed. Inclusion criteria focused on reported experience of silence in professional caregiving. Selected papers (n=18) were appraised; none were rejected on grounds of quality.

**Results:** International, interdisciplinary research and opinion endorses the value of silence in clinical care. As a multi-functional element of interpersonal relationships, silence operates in partnership with speech to support therapeutic communication. As a caregiving practice, silence is perceived as particularly relevant in spiritual and existential dimensions of care when words may fail.

**Conclusions:** Experience of silence as an element of care was found in palliative and spiritual care, psychotherapy and counselling supporting existing recognition of the value of silence as a skill and practice. Because silence can present challenges for caregivers, greater understanding may offer benefits for clinical practice.
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What is already known about the topic?

- Silence is used as an interpersonal communication tool and is an integral element of compassionate care.
- Silence may be used to further therapeutic aims and therapeutic relationships.
- As a spiritual practice, silence support personal wellbeing.

What this paper adds

- This paper provides a novel synthesis of professional caregivers’ experience of silence in interdisciplinary settings.
- Findings suggest that silence has the potential to support therapeutic communication especially in spiritual and existential domains of care.
- The line of argument adds an interpretation of silence that is relevant to palliative settings.

Implications for research, education and practice

- This review supports the case for further research into silence as an element of palliative spiritual care
- Findings highlight the need for training in the use of silence, and opportunities to practice silence, for professional caregivers.
The study concludes that greater understanding of silence, as an element of care, may support professional caregiving practice in palliative care.
**Introduction**

Towards the end of life, silence seems to take increasing prominence in interactions between professional caregivers and patients and their family members. In westernised health and palliative care settings, silence is recommended to clinicians as an inter-personal communication tool\(^1,2,3\) and an integral element of compassionate care\(^4,5,6\). An article by Back et al.\(^6\), included in this review, describes experience in the United States of America; it identifies compassionate silence, derived from contemplative practice, as a typology of silence in patient-clinician encounters. Silence is recognised as a complex phenomenon, more than simply an absence of speech\(^7\) but also more ambiguous than speech.\(^8\) Back et al.\(^6\) note that “while there are silences that feel awkward, indifferent or even hostile, there are also silence that feel comforting, affirming and safe” (p. 1113).

The value of silence is recognised in many world religions\(^9\) and there is a growing body of evidence for silent meditative practices such as mindfulness\(^10\) for personal spiritual wellbeing but no empirical research has been found that explores silence as an element of end-of-life spiritual care. Greater understanding of the phenomenon, from the perspective of professional caregivers, has the potential to benefit practice.
For the purpose of this review, ‘silence as an element of care’ is defined as silence which occurs, or is used, in interactions between professional caregivers, including health professionals, social workers and chaplains, and their patients or clients with the intention of supporting the wellbeing of that person. This serves to distinguish it from unhelpful silences such as the conspiracy of silence, noted by Twycross\textsuperscript{11} as preventing people from sharing their fears and anxieties.

The objective of the review was to search for published papers which describe professional caregivers’ experience of silence as an element of care, in palliative and other clinical, spiritual and pastoral care settings and to synthesise their findings with the aim of gaining a deeper understanding of the phenomenon. Clinical care is understood as face-to-face medical or nursing care for patients or clients, spiritual care is a domain of healthcare which responds to the needs of the human spirit.\textsuperscript{12} Rumbold, researching palliative care in Australia, explains that whilst spiritual care can be a dimension of any discipline, pastoral care “is a person centred approach to care that complements the care offered by others while paying particular attention to spiritual care”.\textsuperscript{13} The review question asked: How do people in professional caregiving roles describe their experience of silence, as an element of care, in interactions with patients or clients?
Method

A meta-ethnographic approach was adopted, following Noblit and Hare, to produce an interpretation of existing understanding of silence as an element of care. Considered the pre-eminent approach in qualitative synthesis, meta-ethnography offers an interpretive method for synthesising disparate data from individual case studies. This suited the heterogeneous, cross-disciplinary and self-reflective nature of the material in this review, drawn from different psychological disciplines, palliative care, nursing and pastoral care and presented as reported research, personal reflections and clinical cases that are neither suited to direct comparison nor report significant disagreement.

Where disparate papers report findings from their own perspective, Noblit and Hare propose a lines-of-argument synthesis. It is a two-step process which first compares themes and concepts across studies, clustering similar findings and noting differences and then, draws together the main arguments of each paper to frame a new line-of-argument. The aim is ‘to discover a “whole” among a set of parts’ (p.63).

Information sources and search strategy

A systematic search process was undertaken to retrieve relevant work across disciplines that include an interpersonal approach to care. The search was undertaken
in March 2015. The search strategy used in PsycINFO is shown in Table 1. It was adapted for seven other cross-disciplinary databases: Academic Search Complete, AMED, CINAHL, Index to Theses, International Bibliography of the Social Sciences, Medline and ProQuest Digital Dissertations. No date range was applied; some databases applied a default range.

Table 1. Search strategy for PsycINFO database (Search date: 15th March 2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main search areas</th>
<th>Search terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silence</td>
<td>silence [free text] OR silence [MeSH term] AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indexes of the following discipline-specific journals were searched by hand for additional material relating to spiritual and pastoral care: Journal of Health Care Chaplaincy (US), Journal of Health Care Chaplaincy (UK), Scottish Journal of Healthcare Chaplaincy, Journal of Religion and Health, Journal for the Study of Spirituality,
Practical Theology. The search was supplemented by citation-tracking and review of reference lists of included articles.

**Study selection**

Records which address the experience of silence as an element of care in professional caregiving interactions were retained according to inclusion and exclusion criteria shown in Table 2. After initial screening, retained records in each service were downloaded to an Excel file for deduplication. Eligible articles were assessed by title and abstract; where they met the inclusion criteria, full text was reviewed. This process was undertaken by the first author. It was discussed with co-authors at each stage to ensure that selection decisions were appropriate and consistent.
Table 2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. English language texts</td>
<td>Book reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Empirical research and articles published in peer reviewed journals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Focus on silence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Silence in professional caregiving interactions with patient, client or</td>
<td>Group therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>family member</td>
<td>Non-caregiving disciplines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inter-professional communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-professional caregiving e.g. family or informal carers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Focus on silence as an element of care including clinical consultation</td>
<td>Silence that is not caregiving:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or therapy</td>
<td>patient/ client silence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>taboo/ stigma, that which is ‘not discussed’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘conspiracy of silence’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>use of silence as power or control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Silence as a part of individual spiritual practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Reports primary experience of silence from the perspective of the professional caregiver</td>
<td>Does not include primary experience of silence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data collection process

In a manual data extraction process, a 14 point data extraction sheet was devised to capture information about the article or study including focus, conceptualisation of silence, main findings and a descriptive summary. This informed the final selection of articles for inclusion.
Quality

Reports of empirical research were appraised for quality and risk of bias using a critical appraisal tool, developed by Hawker et al.,\textsuperscript{16} for reviews of diverse studies and heterogeneous data. Studies were scored, between 10 and 40 points, on each of nine criteria resulting in a maximum possible score of 360 points. Other articles were assessed using an adaptation of the tool. Selected articles, and especially two low scoring articles, were discussed with the co-authors. No papers were excluded on grounds of quality because they all contributed primary experience of the phenomenon to the synthesis.

Results

Thirty-nine papers were retained for full text review; of these 16 were identified as meeting the inclusion criteria. Citation tracking identified two further relevant papers thus a total of 18 studies and articles were included the meta-ethnographic synthesis. Figure 1 describes the flow of the literature search process and Table 3 summarises the final selection of studies and articles.
Identification
Records identified through database screening n=2365
Records identified through hand search n=39

Screening
Records screened, searches merged, duplicates removed n=2404
Excluded n=2073
Duplicates n=40

Title and abstract assessed for eligibility n=291
Articles excluded n=252

Eligibility
Full text articles assessed for eligibility n=39
Articles excluded n=23
focus not silence (3); not care context (1); not element of care (9); no primary experience of care (10)

Included
Eligible studies and articles n=16

Additional articles from citation search n=2
Studies and articles included in meta-ethnographic synthesis n=18

Figure 1. Flow diagram to show literature search process
Source: Modified PRISMA flow chart as described by Moher et al. (2009)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/date/country where study was conducted</th>
<th>Discipline/context</th>
<th>Methodology/design/sample</th>
<th>Quality assessment (Score out of maximum 360 points)</th>
<th>Focus of study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barber, T. 2009 UK</td>
<td>Counselling</td>
<td>Phenomenological</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>Therapists’ experience of silence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychotherapy</td>
<td>Semi-structured interviews; data-driven thematic analysis 7 newly qualified counsellors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill, C. et al. 2003 USA</td>
<td>Psychotherapy</td>
<td>Mailed survey; 5 point Likert scales 81 therapists</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>Therapists’ use of silence in therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladany, N. et al. 2004 USA</td>
<td>Psychotherapy</td>
<td>Consensual qualitative approach 12 therapists</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Therapists’ use of silence in therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tornøe, K. et al. 2014 Norway</td>
<td>Hospice nursing</td>
<td>Phenomenological hermeneutical (after Ricoeur) Narrative approach to interviews and analysis 8 nurses</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>Being with dying people; alleviating spiritual and existential suffering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Articles and commentary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/ date/ country of publication</th>
<th>Discipline/ context</th>
<th>Reported experience (numbers of reported cases or clinical vignettes)</th>
<th>Theory/philosophical perspective</th>
<th>Focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bravesmith, A. 2012 UK</td>
<td>Psychoanalysis Psychoanalytic dialogue</td>
<td>Two</td>
<td>Jungian approach</td>
<td>Speech and silence as a partnership for creation of meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunkers, S. S. 2013 USA</td>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>Attachment/ mourning theory. Freudian analysis and Christian tradition</td>
<td>Silence as bearing witness to life story</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capretto, P. 2014 USA</td>
<td>Pastoral care/hospice chaplaincy Grief and loss</td>
<td>Two</td>
<td></td>
<td>Silence as a therapeutic tool in bereavement care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denham-Vaughan, J., Edmond, V. 2010 New Zealand</td>
<td>Gestalt psychotherapy</td>
<td>Two</td>
<td>Theory of presence: Buber, Sartre</td>
<td>Hypothesis: Attending to silence is a figure-ground reversal resulting in interconnectedness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harris, A.</th>
<th>Person-centred therapy</th>
<th>One</th>
<th>Rogers</th>
<th>The power of silence in the therapeutic relationship.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Himelstein, B. et al.</td>
<td>Paediatric palliative care Consultation with patient’s mother</td>
<td>One</td>
<td></td>
<td>Practicing the art of silent presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King, K.</td>
<td>Nursing Home healthcare</td>
<td>Two</td>
<td>Communication: Saville-Troike</td>
<td>Nurses’ use of silence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moriichi, S.</td>
<td>Pastoral care Nursing home</td>
<td>Two</td>
<td>Clinical Pastoral Education (CPE) Eastern culture Christianity</td>
<td>Proposes a counter-cultural adjustment to the perception of silence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajski, P.</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Psychology Therapy</td>
<td>One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabbadini, A.</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Psychoanalysis</td>
<td>Two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savett, L.</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Medical education</td>
<td>One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmer, H.</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Psychoanalysis</td>
<td>Four</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Location and methodology

Articles are drawn from the disciplines of palliative care and nursing (n=6), pastoral care (n=2) and psychotherapy and counselling (n=10). With the exception of Barber’s MA dissertation\(^\text{19}\), articles were published in peer reviewed journals, primarily in the USA and Canada (n=12). The majority (n=16) were published after the year 2000. All selected papers describe experience in western countries; one paper\(^\text{32}\) reports the cross-cultural learning experience of a Japanese author who trained and worked as a chaplain in America.

Four papers report empirical research. Of these, three are located in psychotherapy;\(^\text{19,20,21}\) the studies by Hill et al.\(^\text{20}\) and Ladany et al.,\(^\text{21}\) conducted in the USA, are closely linked and explore why therapists’ use silence. Barber’s research,\(^\text{19}\) in the UK, also draws on the work of Ladany et al.,\(^\text{21}\) taking a phenomenological and thematic approach to analyse therapists’ experience of silence within the therapeutic setting. In the field of palliative care, Tornøe et al.,\(^\text{22}\) adopted a phenomenological approach to explore Norwegian hospice nurses’ experience of consoling presence; silence emerges as a result, not the focus, of the research.

The prevailingly qualitative methodologies reflect the nature of inquiry into experience. Aside from the survey, mailed to 81 therapists,\(^\text{20}\) samples were small,
(range: 7 - 12) consistent with a qualitative research design. Methods are clearly reported; low quality appraisal scores for all four papers were in the category of ‘ethics and bias’\textsuperscript{16}. All report primary experience of participants which is the focus of this review. The other 14 articles provide data for synthesis in the form of reflection on personal experience.

**Theory and philosophical underpinning**

No predominant theory emerges but each article draws on scholarship from its own discipline and more generally from the fields of psychology, communication and spiritual traditions. The breadth of influence illustrates the heterogeneity of the selected material.

**Data synthesis and interpretation**

Silence is found to be a multi-faceted\textsuperscript{20} and multi-functional phenomenon.\textsuperscript{23} It affords a spectrum of intention and perception, includes extremes of experience and does not lend itself to any definitive interpretation, prescription or significance.\textsuperscript{21,23} As human communication, silence occurs within a context\textsuperscript{23} and a relationship.\textsuperscript{19,22}

Used well, silence can lead to therapeutically rich moments.\textsuperscript{20,24,25} Positive experiences of silence are described as comfortable, affirming and safe\textsuperscript{6,26} but silence may also be
received as awkward, embarrassing, frustrating or frightening. Bunkers, a Professor of Nursing in South Dakota, describes silence as ‘a double edged-sword’ (p.7), a powerful force for connection or rejection; Barber, reporting the experience of UK psychotherapists, finds that, either way, it can ‘touch the deepest emotion’ (p.54). The quality of silence, sought in this review, is described in these contexts as therapeutic silence. 

Silence and anxiety Sabbadini highlights a connection between silence and anxiety. This is borne out in research findings; when anxious, some therapists use more silence, some less. Anxiety may lead to too many words and too little silence, but too much silence is also been identified as a source of anxiety in both therapy and healthcare. Bravesmith describes her aim for an ‘optimal pause’ (p.26); King suggests a well-timed silence of ideal length.

The studies and articles fall into three areas of focus: the relationship of silence and speech, the use of silence and the practice of silence. These are drawn from the main arguments interpreted from each paper, summarised in Table 4.
Table 4. Summary of main arguments of selected papers in three areas of focus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Focus one: Relationship of silence and speech</strong></th>
<th><strong>Focus two: Use of silence</strong></th>
<th><strong>Focus three: Practice of silence</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bravesmith: 28 Silence aids speech to integrity</td>
<td>Barber: 19 A useful phenomenon which becomes more comfortable with experience</td>
<td>Back et al.: 6 Compassionate silence enables a kind of communication that fosters healing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capretto: 30 Silence is the acceptance of the limits of empathetic language and differentiation of psychic differences offering theological wholeness</td>
<td>Hill et al.: 20 Therapists use silence to enhance the therapeutic relationship</td>
<td>Bunkers: 29 Silence as bearing witness to life story</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denham Vaughan and Edmond: 27 Attending to silence is a figure-ground reversal resulting in interconnectedness</td>
<td>King: 24 Silence is often uncomfortable but when used purposefully can aid effective communication</td>
<td>Hill: 19 Silence, like meditation, is a letting go of ego</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris: 31 The dominant discourse in person centred therapy may distract therapists from non-verbal interventions and silence</td>
<td>Ladany et al.: 21 Silence is multifunctional and has multiple conceptions. It is used for different interests</td>
<td>Himelstein et al.: 25 Silent presence is an empowering but difficult skill to master</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabbadini: 23 Silence is complementary to speech, ‘a container of words’ (p.229)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Moriichii: 32 A counter cultural adjustment in the perception of silence is needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rajski: 33 Silence is the royal way to discovering God. Finding the divine particle in self and client changes therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Savett: 34 Silence is necessary for listening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tornøe et al.: 22 Silence with another has a powerful consoling effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wilmer: 35 Listening in to the silence of the other fosters deep communication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Focus one. The relationship of silence and speech

All papers discuss silence in the context of conversation. Authors suggest that, understood and used skilfully, silence presents not as absence of speech,\textsuperscript{28,30} but as an active presence; silence is described by Sabbadini, a psychoanalyst practicing in the UK, as ‘a container of words’.\textsuperscript{23} Another UK psychoanalyst, Bravesmith,\textsuperscript{28} invokes Jungian theory to conceptualise the partnership between silence and speech as a union of opposites offering the potential for new and holistic meaning to arise.

In relation to speech, silences are described as pause, a way of listening and attending, and a way of communicating that is beyond words; these are discussed below. Lastly, and relevant to the experiences of anxiety noted above, the question of responsibility for silence in professional-patient interactions is addressed.

Silence as pause is noted in the research of Ladany et al.\textsuperscript{21} as a quality of relationship and presence. In both therapy and nursing care it is recognised that silent pauses allow the conversation to slow down conveying respect\textsuperscript{18} and reverence.\textsuperscript{29} Savett,\textsuperscript{34} a physician and teacher of medical students in the USA, explains: “if silence is interrupted too soon, one may fracture the narrative and miss important information” (p.170). Bravesmith\textsuperscript{28} suggests that sometimes a story is still in the process of creation; this may need time, space and a listener. Similarly, Savett\textsuperscript{34} and Bunkers,\textsuperscript{29} writing to
inform the practice of nurses, introduce a concept of waiting for the story to be told to completion.

Savett\textsuperscript{34} states that ‘to listen one has to be silent’ (p.169). In therapy, Bravesmith\textsuperscript{28} describes a productive silence which allows patients time, through semi-communicative chatter, to gain personal insight and to build the trust necessary for a significant disclosure to be articulated. Bunkers\textsuperscript{29} comments that a silent listener bears witness to life-story and offers space ‘for the narrative to unfold’ (p.9).

Attending is described as listening, not only to words but also to silences.\textsuperscript{19,23,35} Jungian analyst, Wilmer\textsuperscript{35} from the UK, suggests that deepest communication takes place when analyst and analysand are engaged in the psychoanalytic process of ‘listening in’ to the silence of the other. Gestalt theory describes this as a figure ground reversal, whereby silence, normally the ground of conversation, becomes the figure or focus.\textsuperscript{27}

Denham-Vaughan and Edmond\textsuperscript{28}, exploring the value of silence within their shared interest of Gestalt therapy in the UK and New Zealand respectively, assert that ‘the deepest level of connection may only be possible in total stillness and silence’ (p.16). Their suggestion that words may only serve as interruption is echoed in other papers \textsuperscript{27,28,30} and illustrated by Capretto,\textsuperscript{30} a hospital chaplain, in the USA, working with bereavement. In his example of supporting a woman by the bedside of her dying
mother, he notes that it was when he ‘stopped talking and let the moment be’ (p.354), that she was released to find a way forward. Capretto claims that therapeutic silence accomplishes something that cannot be fully actualised in speech; this includes both respect and non-abandonment. Tornøe et al.\textsuperscript{22} report that palliative care nurses in Norway recognise a similar quality of silence in care of the dying; they conclude that there comes a time when it is too late for words, when words lose all meaning.

Acknowledging the ambiguity of silence, Back et al.\textsuperscript{6} emphasise that the effects of silence in the clinical encounter are largely the responsibility of the clinician. This is also recognised in therapy\textsuperscript{19,23,31} and nursing.\textsuperscript{24,34} Authors note that where the intention of the caregiver is for the wellbeing of the patient,\textsuperscript{20} every silence presents a decision; wise decisions not to speak are described as intentional, deliberate,\textsuperscript{34} or purposeful.\textsuperscript{24}

Focus two. The use of silence

All papers refer to use of silence. Ladany et al\textsuperscript{21} find a range of client focused reasons why therapists use silence in therapy; some convey a quality of themselves, such as understanding, empathy, respect, others are supportive in quality, including holding, facilitating reflection or giving the client permission to be themselves. A third category attends to the therapeutic space, honouring what has been said and providing the
conditions that facilitate therapeutic work. They conclude that ‘no specific recommendations can be made in terms of when to use silence’ (p.7) amplified by Hill et al.,20 ‘Clinically, it does not make sense that more or less silence would necessarily be good; rather it makes sense that silence could have many different impacts depending on timing and client need’ (p.514). It is generally agreed that a strong therapeutic alliance is a pre-requisite for the use of silence.20,21,23

There is acknowledgment that use of silence requires training and practice but Hill et al.20 and Ladany et al.21 find that there is little formal training in the use of silence in psychotherapeutic practice. Therapists attribute growing confidence largely to their own clinical and supervision experience.19 Hill et al.20 conclude, ‘It could be that graduate programmes are not doing enough to teach therapists how to use silence or it could be that silence is an advanced skill that can only be learned through clinical experience’ (p.521). In pastoral care, Moriichi32 and Capretto30 note that, training focuses more on what to say. Across disciplines it is agreed that developing confidence and competence to use silence effectively takes practice.6,24,32,34,35 In addition several papers support the interpretation of Barber19 that: ‘It is not enough for the therapist simply to ‘use’ silence with a client. There appears to be a need for it to be experienced by both’ (p.53 original italics).
This is demonstrated in paediatric palliative care practice in the USA by Himelstein et al.\textsuperscript{25} who describe the demanding experience of staying present in silence with the anguish of a mother to allow her time to engage with the reality of her child’s dying. Denham Vaughan and Edmond\textsuperscript{27} note that to be healing and restorative, silence demands authentic presence and a willingness to remain open to what emerges.

**Focus three. The practice of silence**

Thirteen papers refer to silence as a practice: this may be the explicit introduction of a meditative process during therapy,\textsuperscript{26,33} or the more implicit use of mindfulness techniques;\textsuperscript{6} it may be a recommendation to adopt a personal spiritual practice\textsuperscript{24,34} or simply to still oneself sufficiently to be fully present.\textsuperscript{29} The distinction between use and practice is described by Back et al\textsuperscript{6} as ‘the quality of mind the clinician contributes to the encounter’ (p. 1113); compassionate silence grounded in contemplative practice ‘requires active intentional mental processes – it is the opposite of passive, receptive activity’ (p. 1114).

Similarly Denham-Vaughan and Edmond\textsuperscript{27} describe silent presence as being fully in the here-and-now, being present to embodied self and Tornøe et al.\textsuperscript{22} describe the deeply personal and relational practice of being there for the other person in the encounter. Barber\textsuperscript{19} notes that shared silence leads to deeper connection between the individuals...
involved; others refer to a connection with something more that depending on personal spirituality, may be the presence of God,\textsuperscript{32} the recognition of a divine spark\textsuperscript{33} or, for Denham-Vaughan and Edmond,\textsuperscript{27} a ‘profound inter-connectedness with all that is’ (p.5).

Chaplain, Capretto\textsuperscript{30} suggests that silence has the potential to provide a transitional medium; caregiver and cared-for meet as human beings in a liminal space. In nursing, Tornøe et al.\textsuperscript{23} and Savett\textsuperscript{34} suggest that the tool is not silence but the caregiver him or herself, used to nurture a mutual sense of understanding and care.

Several papers describe being with another in silence as an act of non-abandonment,\textsuperscript{30,32,34,35} demonstrating willingness to remain in an uncomfortable place.\textsuperscript{6,25,27,30,31} Where speech or interruption might indicate rejection,\textsuperscript{31} silent presence is found to be enabling\textsuperscript{25} allowing space and time for the other person in the encounter.\textsuperscript{26,30,32} Capretto\textsuperscript{30} suggests that silence facilitates processing work that cannot be achieved in isolation.

Being silent with another who is suffering is recognised as challenging.\textsuperscript{6,22,24,25,27,30} Bravesmith noted difficulty in maintaining attention\textsuperscript{28}; Sabbadini\textsuperscript{23} highlights the temptation to interject with words. Other authors described silences as uncomfortable, or distressing as the pain of another person is shared;\textsuperscript{6,23,25,29} but also
as privileged\textsuperscript{24} and rewarding.\textsuperscript{22,24} Tornøe et al.\textsuperscript{22} find that embracing silence demanded a mental shift from ‘doing something for the patient’ to focusing on ‘being with the patient’ (p.6); this demands personal courage.

This synthesis has led to a line-of-argument to inform understanding of silence, as care, in palliative settings.

**Discussion**

**Main findings:** The interpreted line-of-argument concludes that silence supports therapeutic communication especially in spiritual and existential domains of care where words may fail. This may be particularly relevant at the end of life when speech is compromised and spiritual care is integral to care. Due to the nuanced nature of silence generalisations cannot be made about use or practice but the experience explored in this article may find resonance with a wider international audience.

The relationship of silence and speech is conceptualised as a partnership\textsuperscript{23,28} in which silence facilitates listening,\textsuperscript{34} bearing witness,\textsuperscript{29} empathy\textsuperscript{30} and consoling presence.\textsuperscript{22} These are qualities recognised as important in palliative spiritual care.\textsuperscript{36,37} In addition, silence enables deep communication beyond the limits of language; this can foster healing.\textsuperscript{6} There is an inference that, for silence to be effective as care, the quality of a caregiver’s silence should be intentional\textsuperscript{34}, a conscious disposition.
Silence is multifunctional;\textsuperscript{21,23} it is used for multiple intentions and evokes multiple perceptions. It has the potential to enhance the therapeutic relationship\textsuperscript{20} being empowering\textsuperscript{24} and transformative.\textsuperscript{25} In this study, use of silence is discussed predominantly from the psychotherapeutic perspective. Articles which report experience in palliative care focus more on a practice of silence as presence, characterised as a movement from ‘doing’ to ‘being’, in order to build a relationship where silence itself can offer care. Several authors, across disciplines, note the challenges of this in a western culture which seems to prioritise speech.

In all settings, silence as an element of care is presented as complex and demanding. Silence, as presence, is a difficult skill to master;\textsuperscript{24,25} it takes experience\textsuperscript{19,20,21,23} and practise.\textsuperscript{6,24,34,35} It involves letting go of ego\textsuperscript{26} and a shift of focus from self to other that is integral to compassionate care.\textsuperscript{6} Authors highlight the need for education and opportunities for practice. However the shared perception, in all the selected papers, is that silence is of value. Across disciplines authors use words such as useful and helpful,\textsuperscript{19,21} beneficial,\textsuperscript{6,24,29} and empowering.\textsuperscript{25} Several papers suggest that silence appears to effect transformation,\textsuperscript{30,31,33,35} fostering a connection that goes beyond the power of words\textsuperscript{24,26,30,32} and with the potential to relieve spiritual and existential suffering.\textsuperscript{22}
**Strengths and weaknesses:** The strength of this review is that it contributes a novel interpretation of silence as an element of care from an international and interdisciplinary perspective albeit only in western cultural settings. Thus, a limitation is that no experience from an eastern cultural perspective is discussed. No other such review has been found. Whilst lack of empirical evidence may be deemed a weakness it highlights the need for research. In reports of primary experience, authors demonstrate a prior interest in silence, and some write as advocates of the phenomenon.

**What this study adds:** The reviews draws together experience from cross-disciplinary sources to enhance understanding of silence as an element of care in palliative care; the findings of empirical research in psychotherapy support and build upon existing palliative care knowledge. A line of argument synthesis offers an interpretation of the material that is relevant to palliative care; it seeks, not to provide answers, but to stimulate further interpretations and discussion. The review supports the case for further research, within palliative care in order to deepen understanding of qualities of silence as an element of care. Findings highlight the need for training in the use of silence and opportunities to practice silence, for professional caregivers.
Conclusion

This review presents a synthesis of published papers which report professional caregivers’ experience of silence as an element of care in clinical settings including end-of-life care, nursing, chaplaincy, psychoanalysis, psychotherapy and counselling. The interpreted line of argument supports existing understanding in palliative care that silence is an important element of communication and compassionate care. Silence is noted as particularly relevant in the spiritual and existential dimensions of care where words may fail. Silence can prove challenging for caregivers; training and practice are advocated. A deeper understanding of the qualities of silence as an element of care may offer benefits for clinical practice and patient care.
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