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ABSTRACT

We present the analysis of the fundamental plane (FP) for a sample of 19 massive red-sequence galaxies (M_* > 4 x 10^{10} M_{\odot}) in 3 known overdensities at 1.39 < z < 1.61 from the Kmos Cluster Survey, a guaranteed time program with spectroscopy from the K-band Multi-Object Spectrograph (Kmos) at the VLT and imaging from the Hubble Space Telescope. As expected, we find that the FP zero-point in B band evolves with redshift, from the value 0.443 of Coma to −0.10 ± 0.09, −0.19 ± 0.05, −0.29 ± 0.12 for our clusters at z = 1.39, z = 1.46, and z = 1.61, respectively. For the most massive galaxies (log M_*/M_{\odot} > 11) in our sample, we translate the FP zero-point evolution into a mass-to-light ratio M/L evolution finding ∆ log M/L_B = −(0.46 ± 0.10)z, ∆ log M/L_B = −(0.52 ± 0.07)z, to ∆ log M/L_B = −(0.55 ± 0.10)z, respectively. We assess the potential contribution of the galaxies structural and stellar velocity dispersion evolution to the evolution of the FP zero-point and find it to be ~6-35% of the FP zero-point evolution. The rate of M/L evolution is consistent with galaxies evolving passively. By using single stellar population models, we find an average age of 2.33^{+0.86}_{-0.51} Gyr for the log M_*/M_{\odot} > 11 galaxies in our massive and virialized cluster at z = 1.39, 1.59^{+1.40}_{-0.62} Gyr in a massive but not virialized cluster at z = 1.46, and 1.20^{+1.03}_{-0.47} Gyr in a protocluster at z = 1.61. After accounting for the difference in the age of the Universe between redshifts, the ages of the galaxies in the three overdensities are consistent with the errors, with possibly a weak suggestion that galaxies in the most evolved structure are older.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the local universe early-type galaxies lie along a tight relation, the "fundamental plane" (FP, e.g., [Djorgovski & Davis 1987, Dressler et al. 1987, Cappellari et al. 1996, Renzini 2006, Cappellari et al. 2006]), connecting their surface brightness within the effective radius (r_e), effective radius R_e, and velocity dispersion within the effective radius σ_e. The FP is tilted with respect to the virial prediction; the tilt is related to the change of the mass-to-light (M/L) ratio with galaxy luminosity, due to a contribution of variations of the galaxies stellar populations, dark matter fractions and non-homology (e.g., Bender et al. 1992, Renzini & Ciotti 1993, Jörgensen et al. 1996, Renzini 2006, Cappellari et al. 2006, 2013a, Scott et al. 2015, Cappellari 2016). While there is still debate on whether the coefficients of the FP remain constant up to z ~ 1 (see [Holden et al. 2010, Saglia et al. 2010a, and Jörgensen & Chiboucas 2013], there is a clear consensus about the variation of its zero-point with redshift. The zero-point can vary as a result of evolving M/L (Faber et al. 1987) caused by the change in galaxy luminosity due to the younger stellar population at high-z (e.g., [van Dokkum & Franx 1996, Bender et al. 1995, Kelson et al. 2000, Gebhardt et al. 2003, Wuyts et al. 2004, Holden et al. 2005, Jörgensen et al. 2006, van Dokkum & van der Marel 2001, Holden et al. 2010, Toft et al. 2012, Jörgensen et al. 2014, Bezanson et al. 2013]; some contribution is also expected from the galaxies structural evolution with redshift (e.g., Saglia et al. 2010a, 2016).

Several papers have shown that intermediate and high-redshift passive galaxies have smaller sizes (e.g., [Trujillo et al. 2007, Newman et al. 2012, Houghton et al. 2012, van der Wel et al. 2014, Britton et al. 2014, Chan et al. 2016]) and higher stellar velocity dispersions (e.g., Cappellari et al. 2009, Cenarro & Trujillo 2009, van Dokkum et al. 2009, van de Sande et al. 2013, Belli et al. 2014a, Belli et al. 2014b; Belli et al. 2015) compared to their local counterparts of the same mass or fixed cumulative number density (e.g., [Brammer et al. 2011, Papovich et al. 2011, Patel et al. 2013, van Dokkum et al. 2013, Muzzin et al. 2013]).

Several authors also suggested that environmental effects may accelerate the size evolution in clusters compared to the field at z > 1.4, finding that galaxies in clusters are larger compared to the field galaxies at the same redshift (e.g., [De-laye et al. 2014, Lani et al. 2013, Strazzullo et al. 2013, Chan et al in sub., see also Saracco et al. 2014 and Newman et al. 2014 for different results]). In the local universe there are instead negligible differences between the mean galaxy sizes in different environments (e.g., Cappellari et al. 2013, Huertas-Company et al. 2013).

The rate of the M/L evolution is described by
\[ \Delta \log M/L \propto z, \] as seen from both samples of massive cluster (e.g., van Dokkum & Franx 1996; Jorgensen et al. 2006; Barr et al. 2006; Holden et al. 2010; Saglia et al. 2010a) and field galaxies (e.g., van der Wel et al. 2005; Treu et al. 2005; Saglia et al. 2010a; van de Sande et al. 2014). By fitting passively-evolving simple stellar population models, the \( M/L \) evolution can be translated into a formation redshift corresponding to the epoch of the last major star-formation episode (e.g., Tinsley & Gunn 1976). This technique assumes a uniform population across all the galaxies, that the single stellar population model approximation holds, and that high-redshift clusters evolve into a reference low-redshift cluster without any additional star formation, merging or quenching of star formation.

Following this method, some authors found that the stellar populations in galaxies in clusters at \( z \leq 1 \) are older than in field galaxies (e.g., Gebhardt et al. 2003; di Serego Alighieri et al. 2006, van Dokkum & van der Marel 2007; Saglia et al. 2010a), suggesting an accelerated evolution of massive galaxies in dense environments. An age difference between galaxies in clusters and field could be expected, considering that clusters are formed in the highest density regions of the Universe, which collapse first. On the contrary, other authors (e.g., Treu et al. 2005; van der Wel et al. 2005; Renzin 2006) found that the stellar mass, rather than environment, is the best predictor of galaxy ages, with massive galaxies being older. Further work on the star-formation history of massive galaxies from the \( \alpha/Fe \) abundance in the local universe suggested that the star formation timescales are short and that more massive galaxies are older compared to those at lower mass, with age differences between clusters and field galaxies in some case (e.g., Thomas et al. 2005) and similar ages in other cases (e.g., Thomas et al. 2010). The latter is in agreement with the lack of significant difference in \( M/L \) as measured from detailed dynamical models (Cappellari et al. 2006; 2013a).

The advent of new IR spectrographs at the VLT and Keck recently enabled the observation of rest-frame optical spectra for an increasingly larger number of passive galaxies in the field at \( z > 1.3 \) (e.g., van de Sande et al. 2011; van de Sande et al. 2013; Mendel et al. 2015; Mendel et al. in prep. at VLT; and Belli et al. 2015; 2017 at Keck), some of which were also used to constrain the galaxies’ formation redshift using the FP (e.g., van de Sande et al. 2014). At \( z > 1.3 \) differences in the kinematics and formation ages of passive galaxies in different environments are currently almost unexplored. At these redshifts, we expect to have more constraints on age differences than in the local universe, where a 2 Gyr difference in a population of \( \sim 8-10 \) Gyr would be challenging to constrain.

In this paper we investigate the evolution of the FP of massive and passive galaxies in dense environments at redshift \( 1.39 < z < 1.61 \) as a part of the KMOS Cluster Survey (KCS, Davies et al. 2015; Davies, Bender et al. in prep.). KCS is a guaranteed time observation (GTO) program mapping the red sequence of cluster galaxies at \( 1.39 < z < 1.8 \) with the K-band Multi-Object Spectrograph (KMOS). Sharples et al. 2012; Sharples et al. 2014) at the ESO Very Large Telescope. The multiplexing and near-infrared capabilities of KMOS allow us to simultaneously observe \( \geq 20 \) galaxies per overdensity, and map the rest-frame optical absorption features commonly studied in the local Universe (e.g. Bender 1990; Bender et al. 1994) using the KMOS YJ band with a resolution of \( R \sim 3500 \). The combination of our KMOS data with the available Hubble Space Telescope (HST) imaging allows us to trace the evolution of the FP of quiescent galaxies in dense environments at \( z \geq 1.39 \) with one of the largest samples to date.

The paper is organized as follows. The KCS survey, the cluster and galaxy sample, HST imaging and KMOS spectroscopic data are presented in Section 2. Measurements of velocity dispersions and structural parameters are described in Section 3. The local and intermediate-redshift samples used as reference are described in Section 4. The results are presented in Section 5 and discussed in Section 6. The paper concludes with Section 7. Additional information on the derivation of the kinematics, the selection functions for our sample, and the effect of different stellar population models and metallicity assumptions in our analysis are provided in Appendices A, B, and C.

Throughout the paper we assume a standard cosmology with \( H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}, \Omega_m = 0.3, \) and \( \Omega_L = 0.7 \). For this cosmology, \( 1^\prime \) corresponds to 8.43 kpc, 8.45 kpc, and 8.47 kpc, at the mean redshift of our overdensities (XMMU J2235.3-2557 at \( z = 1.39 \), XMUMCS J2215.9-1738 at \( z = 1.46 \), and Cl 0332-2742 at \( z = 1.61 \)), respectively. All magnitudes are in the AB photometric system (Oke & Gunn 1983). Throughout the paper we will use the term “ overdensity” and “cluster” interchangeably (but we will highlight when the distinction is astrophysically meaningful).

2. Sample and Data

2.1. The KMOS Cluster Survey

KCS is a 30-night KMOS GTO program performing deep absorption-line spectroscopy in four main overdensities at \( 1.39 < z < 1.8 \) and one lower-priority overdensity at \( z = 1.04 \) to bridge our high-redshift observations with the local sample. The sample includes RCS 234526-3632.6 at \( 1.04 \) (Meyers et al. 2012, hereafter RCS2345), XMMU J2235.3-2557 at \( z = 1.39 \) (Mullis et al. 2005; Rosati et al. 2009; hereafter XMUMCS J2235), XMMXCS J2215.9-1738 at \( z = 1.46 \) (Stanford et al. 2006; Hilton et al. 2007, 2009, 2010; hereafter XMMXCS J2215), Cl 0332-2742 at \( z = 1.61 \) (Castellano et al. 2007; Kurk et al. 2009; hereafter Cl0332), and JKCS 041 at \( z = 1.8 \) (Newman et al. 2014; Andreon et al. 2014). We observed \( \geq 20 \) galaxies in the field of each overdensity with the aim of studying the evolution of kinematics and stellar populations in dense environments at high redshift.

The overdensities were selected to have a significant amount of archival data, spanning from multi-band HST photometry to deep ground-based imaging, and a large number of spectroscopically-confirmed members to maximize the galaxy selection efficiency, concentrating on objects with lower contamination from strong sky emission or telluric absorption.

The KMOS patrol field of \( 1.2 \times 1.2 \) diameter covers the extent of the core of our overdensities on the sky (\( \sim 3 \) Mpc at the redshift of our overdensities). The integral-field unit (IFU) dimensions, \( 23.8 \times 23.8 \) (\( \sim 24 \times 24 \) kpc at the redshift of our overdensities), are generally larger than the size of passive galaxies at \( z \sim 1.5 \) in most of the cases, allowing us to recover their total flux within an IFU. Each IFU has \( 0.12 \times 0.12 \) spatial pixels.

During the ESO periods P92-P97, KCS targeted a total of 106 galaxies from our main cluster sample at \( 1.39 < z < 1.8 \), including \( 20-40 \) galaxies in each structure. Of those, 67 galaxies were red-sequence selected, and were observed with exposure times of \( \sim 15-20 \) hours on source and seeing \( < 1'' \). This represents one of the largest samples of passive
formation redshift (massive) of the red sequence (e.g., Lidman et al. 2008) indicated that a dynamically relaxed state (Rosati et al. 2009). Analysis of centrally-peaked X-ray surface brightness profile, suggesting was discovered by Mullis et al. (2005). This cluster has a mass of $\sim 10^{15} M_\odot$ at an evolved mass assembly stage. Its central regions (within a radius of $\sim 200$ kpc) show no evidence of star formation (e.g., Strazzullo et al. 2010; Bauer et al. 2011), and, generally, all massive X-ray groups show some level of star formation with substantial emission at $24 \mu m$ (e.g. Hilton et al. 2010) and in sub-millimeter bands (e.g., Ma et al. 2015; Stach et al. 2017), and there are also a significant number of AGNs (Hayashi et al. 2011). Moreover, the lack of CO emission in the very center of the overdensity also provided some constraints on the possible quenching mechanism that galaxies in the cluster experienced (e.g., Hayashi et al. 2017).

Cl0332 was first identified as an overdensity using photometric redshifts (Castellano et al. 2007) and confirmed by the Galaxy Mass Assembly ultra-deep Spectroscopic Survey (GMASS, Kurk et al. 2013), which targeted photometric redshift-selected galaxies ($z_{\text{phot}} > 1.4$) in the Great Observatories Origins Survey (GOODS) Southern field (Giavalisco et al. 2004). The mass of this overdensity ranges from $M_{200} = 6.4^{+3.4}_{-5.5} \times 10^{14} h^{-1} M_\odot$ as measured from the cluster velocity dispersion, assuming the structure is virialized (Kurk et al. 2009) to $M_{200} = 1.2 \times 10^{14} h^{-1} M_\odot$ as measured summing the mass of the X-ray groups in the field (e.g., Finoguenov et al. 2015). The members show a bimodal distribution in velocity, suggesting that the structure is mostly formed by two main groups with no clear evidence of spatial separation (e.g., Kurk et al. 2009). There is no observable X-ray emission throughout the full structure (e.g., Kurk et al. 2009; Finoguenov et al. 2015), and the low S/N does not permit a proper separation from the foreground sources; most of the X-ray emission comes from the most massive group in the system discussed in Tanaka et al. (2013). These findings suggest that Cl0332 is likely a cluster in formation. Nevertheless, Cl0332 shows a clear red sequence in the color-magnitude diagram. The analysis of the stellar population from stacked spectra shows that galaxies have a relatively young age, low specific star formation rate and significant dust extinction (Cimatti et al. 2008; Kurk et al. 2009).

Figure 1 shows the cluster masses as a function of redshift for the KCS sample described in this paper (XMM2235, XMM2215, Cl0332). For reference, we also show the two additional overdensities that are part of the full KCS sample, JKC S041 and RCS2345, and that will be presented in forthcoming papers.

As a local reference we use the Coma cluster (see also Section 4). Following the prescriptions of Hu & Kravtsov (2003), we rescale the mass within the virial radius of Coma...
from Lokas & Mamon (2003) to the mass within the radius $R_{200}$ — inside which the average mass density is 200 times the critical density of the universe — finding $M_{200} = (1.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{15}h^{-1}\mathrm{M}_\odot$. For this calculation we used a halo concentration parameter as described by Bullock et al. (2001).

Our intermediate redshift reference is a subsample of the EDisCS clusters and groups (thereafter called “EDisCS-cl”) used in the fundamental plane study of Saglia et al. (2010a), for which HST imaging is available (see also Section 4). Their $M_{200}$ was calculated from their tabulated cluster stellar velocity dispersion (see Table 4 of Saglia et al. 2010a) following the prescription of Carlberg et al. (1997).

For comparison, we show public catalogs of clusters from other wide-angle cluster survey including ROSAT (Piffaretti et al. 2004), Planck (Planck Collaboration et al. 2016), and SPT (Bleem et al. 2015), whose masses $M_{200}$ were converted to $M_{500}$ following the prescriptions of Hu & Kravtsov (2003) with a halo concentration parameter of 5.

We overplot models for the growth of cluster mass with time, based on the Concentration-Mass relation and Mass Accretion History (COMAH; Correa et al. 2015a,b) code, which uses an analytic model to generate halo mass accretion rates for a variety of redshifts and cluster masses.

According to those predictions, the two most massive overdensities in KCS, XMM2235 and JKCS 041, will evolve into clusters with a $M_{200}$ larger than that measured for our local comparison cluster Coma. Moreover, only two clusters from the “EDisCS-cl” sample are in a mass range similar to the most massive overdensities in KCS.

### 2.3. Target selection

#### 2.3.1. Imaging

Archival imaging from the HST Advanced Camera for Survey (ACS) and the Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) are available for our sample (e.g., Chan et al. 2016, Chan et al., sub.) as well as photometric data from the ground.

For XMM2235 ACS data are available from program GTO-10698 and GO-10496, whereas WFC3 data from program GO/DD-12051; for XMM2215 ACS data come the program GO-10496. All the HST data were processed as described by Chan et al. (2016) and Chan et al. sub. using ASTRODRIZZLE (Gonzaga 2012). For this paper we used the ACS/2F850lp and WFC3 F125w, F160w bands for the two clusters; see Chan et al. (2016) and Chan et al. sub. for more details on the available bands.

For XMM2215 we also used a J-band image from the Multi-Object InfraRed Camera and Spectrograph (MOIRCS) at Subaru Telescope (Hilton et al. 2009) with a seeing FWHM $\sim 0.6''$.

The HST (or MOIRCS) imaging of XMM2235 and XMM2215 have a small field of view, which could bias our absolute astrometric solutions. Therefore, we applied to our WFC3 and MOIRCS images the same astrometry of the $K$s-band HAWK-I images available for the two clusters (Lidman et al. 2008, Lidman et al. 2013) and C. Lidman, private communication$^2$, which have a larger field of view.

Source catalogs were produced using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996), with the reddest image, or that with the higher resolution used for detection. We flagged stars with a star-galaxy classification $\text{class} \geq 0.9$. We measured both $\text{MAG}_{\text{AUTO}}$ and aperture magnitudes within an aperture of diameter $1''$, which were corrected for Galactic reddening in the direction of the cluster using the values given by the the NASA Extragalactic Database extinction law calculator$^3$, which is based on the maps by Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011). The apertures are larger than the PSF of our images and we expect the aperture size not to have an impact in the derived magnitudes. In the final catalog of XMM2215, we included only galaxies with photometric redshift in the range $1.27 < z < 1.65$ from Hilton et al. (2009) or with available spectroscopic redshift from the same paper. The galaxy IDs in Table 1 come from our $H_{F160w}$ catalog for XMM2235, and from the $2F850lp$ catalog for XMM2215 (see also Chan et al., sub).

For Cl0332 we used public ACS and WFC3 mosaics in the $I_{F814w}, J_{F125w}$ bands, respectively, from the Cosmic Assembly Near-IR Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey (CANDELS; Grogin et al. 2011). We also use the 3DHST survey (Skelton et al. 2014) as well as the public catalog in the GOODS-S field (Guo et al. 2013, Skelton et al. 2014; Momcheva et al. 2016). The magnitudes provided in these catalogs are total PSF-matched magnitudes. We used those when we needed total magnitudes, whereas to derive aperture magnitudes we follow Equation 3 of Skelton et al. (2014) to rescale the provided total magnitudes to the original $0.7''$ aperture magnitudes and their errors. The use of different apertures between Skelton et al. (2014) and Chan et al. (2016) does not affect our selection because effective radii of most galaxies in our sample are smaller than the aperture size. Magnitudes in Skelton et al. (2014) are extinction corrected using the maps by Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011). We selected potential cluster members in the GOODS-S field as those within $\pm3000\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$ of the systemic redshift using the best spectroscopic, grism or photometric estimation from 3DHST$^4$ $(z_{\best},$ Momcheva et al. 2016) and within a region covering the KMOS field of view (i.e. $7.2\ arcmin$ diameter). This includes both the upper part of the Kriek et al. (2009) structure and the Yanaka et al. (2013) group. In Chan et al. sub. we derive our own SExtractor catalog of the WFC3/H$\_F160w$ CANDELS images; the IDs we provide in Table 1 come from that catalog.

#### 2.3.2. Color-magnitude diagrams

In Fig. 2 we show the resulting color-magnitude diagrams (CMD) of the three overdensities. The red-sequence relations are fitted from the CMDs (solid lines), and their scatter is measured by marginalizing over the magnitude to obtain the distribution of galaxies. The dashed lines in the CMDs correspond to the $2\sigma$ scatter derived from a Gaussian fit of this distribution. In Table 1 we give the colors and magnitudes used in the CMDs only for the galaxies for which we measure stellar velocity dispersion (see Section 3.3); hereafter we will refer to this as the dispersion sample.

Passive galaxies for the KMOS observations were selected to be within $2\sigma$ from the fitted red sequence of each cluster, to lie within both the ACS and WFC3 fields of view (when available at the time of the KMOS observations, see Chan et al. sub. for the new WFC3 data collected after the KMOS observations), and to be bright. We prioritized bright objects with public spectroscopic redshifts when available in the red

---

$^2$ Based on data products from observations made with ESO Telescopes at the La Silla Paranal Observatory under program ID 060.A-9284(H).

$^3$ http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/help/extinction_law_calc.html

$^4$ With some exceptions for objects with uncertain spectroscopic redshifts within our field of view
sequence of the CMDs, then objects in the red sequence with no redshift information, and finally included lower-priority fillers, either from faint red sequence objects or from the blue cloud to target emission lines. The latter will be described in a forthcoming paper (Stott et al, in prep).

In the following, we apply a magnitude limit of $J_{F140W} < 22.5$ to identify bright objects in our analysis, below which only fillers were included in our allocations. The actual value of the magnitude limit used in each of our samples in Fig. 2 was derived applying a color term to this threshold in $J_{F140W}$ band to match the band used in the CMD; the color terms were obtained from Maraston (2005) simple stellar population models with an age > 1 Gyr. Based on the simulations described in Chan et al. (2016), Chan et al. sub., within our magnitude limit we detect 93% of the objects in XMM2235, 98% in XMM2215, and 99% in Cl0332, respectively.

With a target selection based only on the red sequence, we would expect a number of interlopers, in particular at faint magnitudes. The number of possible foreground or background interlopers can be estimated by comparing the number of objects in the red sequence of our overdensities with the number of objects we find constructing a color-magnitude diagram with field data in the same bands of our overdensities. We used photometric catalogs from the CANDELS/3DHST deep fields (Skelton et al. 2014; Momcheva et al. 2016), excluding the GOODS-S field because it is where one of our overdensities reside. We compared, per bin of magnitude, the number of objects in the red sequence of our overdensities to the number of objects of the red sequence found constructing a CMD with the same band and data from the CANDELS/3DHST deep fields. The estimated number of interlopers were then rescaled by the ratio between the area of the CMD and the area covered by CANDELS/3DHST in the bands of our CMDs. Our estimates are conservative because we assume that there are no overdensities in the CANDELS/3DHST deep fields.

Based on this comparison, we do not expect to find interlopers in the bright part of the red sequence ($H_{F160W} < 20.5$) for XMM2235; moreover, at these bright magnitudes our selection includes galaxies with prior spectroscopic redshifts from the literature. At fainter magnitudes ($21.7 < H_{F160W} < 22.3$), where we also lack spectroscopic redshifts, the number of interlopers increases up to 50%. For XMM2215 we expect the number of interlopers to vary from 10% at bright magnitudes ($J_{MOIRCS} < 21.9$) to ~30% in the faintest magnitude bin we targeted ($22.2 < J_{MOIRCS} < 22.5$). At the faintest magnitude, the actual effect of the contamination by interlopers was significantly minimized by the the additional information about the photometric or spectroscopic redshift of the galaxies. For Cl0332 contamination can be more serious, more than 80% of the brighter galaxies ($J_{F125W} < 21.68$) could be interlopers. This effect is particularly enhanced for this cluster given the lower number of objects in the red sequence. The availability of prior information about the galaxy redshifts significantly improved our target selection.

In Chan et al. sub. we use new data collected after the KOS observations, and constructed two-color diagrams ($UV,R$ and $UV,J$ rest-frame colors) to show that some of the faint objects in XMM2235 and XMM2215 could potentially either be very dusty star-forming objects entering in the red sequence or indeed not at the redshift of the cluster.

2.4. KMOS observations

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2.** CMDs showing our parent sample (gray filled circles), the galaxies observed by KCS (red filled circles), and the galaxies for which we could derive stellar velocity dispersions (blue open squares); our dispersion samples, see Section 3. Black continuous lines are the best-fit red sequences and black dashed lines show their 2σ scatter. Vertical dashed lines show the magnitude cut used in our completeness analysis (see Appendix B). $J_{F140W} < 22.5$, rescaled to the band used in the CMD. Vertical dotted lines show the magnitude bins used to evaluate the selection functions for our sample (see Appendix B), with numbers of objects per bin – within the red sequence and our magnitude cut – shown at the bottom (N$_{Bin}$), as well as objects observed with KMOS (N$_{Kmos}$) and for which we derived stellar velocity dispersion (N$_{σ}$). Histograms show the distribution of colors and magnitudes for the three samples.
### Table 1

Properties of the galaxies in XMM235, XMM2215, and Cl0332.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>RA (J2000)</th>
<th>DEC (J2000)</th>
<th>Redshift (z – H)</th>
<th>H mag</th>
<th>log(I_E)/log(I_F)pc²</th>
<th>M⋆/M⊙</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>σ_e</th>
<th>log M_4/M⊙</th>
<th>log M_4/M⊙</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>352</td>
<td>338.437852</td>
<td>-25.962432</td>
<td>1.3747</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>20.49</td>
<td>3.68 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.94</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.02</td>
<td>223.51 ± 52.92</td>
<td>11.23 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294</td>
<td>338.448883</td>
<td>-25.970532</td>
<td>1.7931</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>21.53</td>
<td>3.35 ± 0.19</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.02</td>
<td>308.46 ± 71.72</td>
<td>10.43 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407</td>
<td>338.386285</td>
<td>-25.966471</td>
<td>1.3648</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>20.46</td>
<td>3.27 ± 0.30</td>
<td>3.94 ± 1.56</td>
<td>6.73 ± 1.05</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.03</td>
<td>206.73 ± 50.36</td>
<td>11.24 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>338.445182</td>
<td>-25.946205</td>
<td>1.3902</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>21.52</td>
<td>3.57 ± 0.28</td>
<td>2.45 ± 0.79</td>
<td>5.13 ± 0.75</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.04</td>
<td>180.87 ± 50.21</td>
<td>10.82 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>338.282052</td>
<td>-25.942636</td>
<td>1.3019</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>21.11</td>
<td>3.18 ± 0.28</td>
<td>3.45 ± 1.11</td>
<td>4.17 ± 0.64</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.04</td>
<td>183.14 ± 50.34</td>
<td>11.04 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>576</td>
<td>338.414566</td>
<td>-25.949133</td>
<td>1.9071</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>3.93 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.13</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.01</td>
<td>376.71 ± 42.49</td>
<td>11.01 ± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>338.386878</td>
<td>-25.966101</td>
<td>1.3049</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>20.56</td>
<td>2.55 ± 0.50</td>
<td>13.51 ± 0.84</td>
<td>3.66 ± 1.22</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.10</td>
<td>366.59 ± 43.63</td>
<td>11.82 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>433</td>
<td>338.220979</td>
<td>-25.966279</td>
<td>1.3501</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>21.41</td>
<td>3.07 ± 0.43</td>
<td>3.41 ± 1.70</td>
<td>5.61 ± 1.08</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.05</td>
<td>232.28 ± 46.06</td>
<td>10.95 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Notes. — Galaxy IDs for XMM235 and Cl0332 come from our Hubble 60k catalog, whereas for XMM2215 from the 2X140k catalog. Galaxies in clusters are listed in increasing redshift order matching that of Figure 1. For XMM235 the H-band corresponds to Hubble 60k, whereas for the (z – H) colors it colors to (z–B)−F160W. For Cl0332, J band corresponds to J=125, and (I – J) colors to (I=850−J=2250). See CMDs in Figure 4. Magnitudes and colors are extinction corrected. The surface brightness within the effective radius log(I_E)/log(I_F)pc² is in rest-frame B-band. R_e is the circularized effective radius, n the Sersic index, q the axis ratio, and σ_e the effective surface brightness within R_e. Only galaxies for which we measured stellar velocity dispersion, the “dispersion sample”, are listed here. We remind the reader that with our cosmology, 1 magnitudes correspond to 8.43 kpc, 8.45 kpc, and 8.47 kpc, at the mean redshift of our overdensities (z = 1.39, z = 1.46, and z = 1.61), respectively.

Observations were prepared with the KMOS Arm Allocator (KARMA, Wegner & Mushchiel 2008) allocating 19-20 arms to objects and 1–3 arms to faint stars, which were used to monitor both the point-spread function (PSF) and the photometric conditions during the observations; when more than one star was allocated, we used arms corresponding to different KMOS detectors. The total number of galaxies in the red sequence of the CMDs within the limits described in Section 1.3.2 that were selected for KMOS observations of XMM235, XMM2215, and Cl0332 is 56.

Observations of the cluster galaxies were obtained between 30 Oct-16 Nov 2013, 6-19 July 2014, 19-21 October 2014, 17-19 September 2015, 10-12 October 2015, and 7-10 September 2016 using the KMOS YJ band filter covering the wavelength interval 1 – 3.6μm. Data for XMM2215 and Cl0332 were taken with a standard object-sky-object nodding pattern in which each on-source frame has an adjacent sky count followed for 100% of the time on-source, but in half of the objects compared to the two other clusters. With two of those allocations we obtained the same number of objects we observed in the other two clusters. Our single exposure times range from 300 s for XMM2215 and Cl0332 to 450 s for XMM235. Each exposure was dithered by 0.1 – 0.6′ to improve bad pixel rejection from the final extracted spectra. The median integration time per target is ~18 hours on-source for our first mask in XMM235 and ~10 hours on-source for the second mask, ~19 hours on-source for objects from XMM2215, and ~16 hours on-source for objects from Cl0332. We further apply additional quality cuts (i.e., seeing FWHM < 1″), such that the final exposure varies on a galaxy by galaxy basis. The actual exposure time for the first mask of XMM235 ranges between 14 and 21 hours on source, between 5 and 16 hours on source in the second mask, between 18-20 hours for XMM2215, and between 6 and 17 hours for Cl0332.

Telluric stars, of spectral type O or A0, were observed as standard calibrations.

2.5. KMOS data reduction

Data reduction was performed using a combination of the Software Package for Astronomical Reductions with KMOS pipeline tools (SPARKS, Davies et al. 2013) and custom Python scripts (Mendel et al. 2015, Mendel et al, in prep). SPARKS tools were used for bad pixel mask creation, flat fielding and wavelength calibration. Science frames were corrected for a channel-dependent bias drift using reference pixels on the perimeter of each detector prior to reconstructing the data cubes. The illumination correction was performed by using the observed sky-line fluxes on a frame-by-frame basis. Sky subtraction was performed in two steps. We first performed a simple A-B subtraction, then applied a second-order correction for the residuals by stacking the spectra per detector. This second-order correction effectively accounts for both the variability of OH lines flux and system flexure.

We performed a 1D optimal extraction (e.g., Horne 1986) for the targeted galaxies and used the HST images in a band close to our KMOS observations to describe how the galaxy flux is distributed within the IFU (see Mendel et al. 2015, Mendel et al, in prep).

In summary, the center of the galaxy in each KMOS frame within an acquisition was aligned to the HST postage stamp position. The shifts were derived from the dither pattern applied in the observations and some additional centering accounting for the KMOS positioning inaccuracies (~0.2′). HST...
images were convolved to match the PSF measured from reference stars in each exposure. We used the segmentation maps derived for the source catalogs to mask neighboring objects and help optimize the extraction. The spectra were extracted by combining the galaxy flux in the KMOS IFU within the half-light radius of the galaxy, following a weighting scheme and rejection criteria. As an intermediate step, a telluric correction using both telluric stars and model atmosphere through the code MOLECFIT (Kausch et al. 2014) was applied, as well as a flux calibration.

We constructed 100 bootstrap realizations of the final 1D spectrum by randomly selecting (with replacement) from the input frames; those were used to estimate the uncertainties on the extracted spectra.

3. GALAXY PROPERTIES

3.1. Structural parameters

Structural parameters are derived in Chan et al. (2016) and Chan et al. (in prep). We use an adapted version of GALAPAGOS (Barden et al. 2012), which includes the two-dimensional light profile modeling from GALFIT v.3.0.5 (Peng et al. 2010). We perform two-dimensional S´ersic fits, accounting simultaneously for neighboring objects and deriving the PSF from bright stars in the field. We construct catalogs of structural parameters including semi-major axis effective radii, a\text{e}, S´ersic index n, and magnitude in different ACS and WFC3 bands (see Chan et al. 2016 and Chan et al. sub.). For each overdensity we select parameters derived in the observed band closer to the rest-frame B-band of the local Coma FP (e.g., Jørgensen et al. 2006). For XMM2215 there is no available band exactly corresponding to the rest-frame B-band, therefore we resort to using the ACS \( z_{850lp} \) effective radii and apply a wavelength-dependent correction to the bluer effective radii, following the prescriptions described in Section 5.1 of Chan et al. (2016).

For XMM2235, simulations show that our method produces measurements of the semi-major axis a\text{e} with a systematic bias of -0.4% and a 1σ dispersion less than 31% for objects with \( Y_{F105w} \) surface brightness brighter than 22.75 mag arcsec\(^{-2} \). Similarly, for XMM2215, we recover a\text{e} with a systematic bias of 1% and a 1σ dispersion less than 49% for objects with \( z_{850lp} \) surface brightness brighter than 23.25 mag arcsec\(^{-2} \). For Cl0332, we recover a\text{e} with a systematic bias of 1% and a 1σ dispersion less than 5% for objects with \( J_{125w} \) surface brightness brighter than 20.75 mag arcsec\(^{-2} \) (see Chan et al. 2016 and Chan et al., sub.).

Effective radii are circularized following \( R_e = \sqrt{ab} \), where a and b are the semi-major and minor axis, respectively. For our sample median errors on \( R_e \) range from 0.79, 1.01, 0.22 kpc, corresponding to 31%, 37%, and 11% for XMM2235, XMM2215, Cl0332, respectively.

Appendix A of Chan et al., sub. shows that our structural parameters for the galaxies in Cl0332 are consistent with publicly available measurements by van der Wel et al. (2014) in the GOODS-S deep field (median difference of -0.029 dex, and 1σ dispersion of 0.046 in \( \log R_e \)).

We derive absolute magnitudes in the rest-frame B-band Johnson following Equation 2 of Hogg et al. (2002), which includes the apparent magnitude of our galaxies in the observed band, the distance modulus at the redshift of each galaxy, and the K-corrections from observed to rest-frame band (e.g., Oke & Sandage 1968; Hogg et al. 2002). The K-corrections account for the factor \((1 + z)\) related to the flux change with redshift.

Given the limited amount of available photometric bands for our sample, we resorted to calculating K-corrections for Maraston (2005) simple stellar population models (SSPs) with solar metallicity and spanning the color range of our red-sequence galaxies. Following Equation 2 of Hogg et al. (2002) the K-correction from one observed band to the rest-frame B-band can be obtained knowing the absolute magnitudes in B band of the SSPs, their apparent magnitude in the observed band and the distance modulus, as a function of redshift and SSP observed band. We obtain the above-mentioned quantities for Maraston (2005) SSPs using the code EzGal of Mancone & Gonzalez (2012). We fit quadratic functions between the model’s K-corrections and their colors and derive the appropriate K-correction for our galaxies; the scatter of the relation was added in quadrature to the uncertainties of the magnitudes. Median values of the K-correction from \( Y_{F105w} \) to B band for XMM2235 are -0.96 mag, from \( z_{850lp} \) to B band 0.06 mag for XMM2215, and from \( J_{125w} \) to B band -1.36 mag for Cl0332. We find similar K-corrections if we adopt Bruzual & Charlot (2003) SSPs with solar metallicity.

We derive the mean surface brightness within \( R_e, (\mu_e) \) by dividing the total luminosity in the rest-frame B-band by \( 2\pi R_e^2 \) (with \( R_e \) in pc), assuming a B-band solar magnitude in AB of \( M_B = 5.36 \) from Table 1 of Blanton & Roweis (2007).

All the parameters for the galaxies that are part of our dispersion sample are summarized in Table 1.

3.2. Stellar masses

We use the stellar masses \( M_\star \) from Chan et al. (2016) and Chan et al. sub. These masses are derived using an empirical \( M_\star / L \) color relation (e.g., Bell & de Jong 2001; Bell et al. 2003) calibrated on the multi-band photometric catalogs of the NEWFIRM Medium-Band Survey (NMBS, Whitaker et al. 2011) based on Bruzual & Charlot (2003) templates, an exponentially declining star-formation history and a Chabrier (Chabrier 2003) initial mass function (IMF). We correct from aperture to total \( M_\star \) using the best-fit S´ersic luminosity. Typical uncertainties range from ~ 0.05 — 0.13 dex, and include photometric uncertainties in color and luminosity, as well as the scatter in the derived \( M_\star / L \) color relation, but do not include systematics like different IMF. Stellar masses for the dispersion sample are listed in Table 1. The stellar masses we use are consistent with catalogs available in the literature (see Chan et al. 2016 and Chan et al. sub.). For XMM2235 we find a median difference \( \Delta \log M_\star = \log M_\star \text{literature} - \log M_\star \text{out} = 0.03 \) dex and 1σ scatter of 0.09 dex with respect to \( \log M_\star \) measurements by Delaey et al. (2014), and for XMM2215 \( \Delta \log M_\star = -0.08 \) dex and 1σ scatter of 0.14 dex from the \( \log M_\star \) measure in the same paper. For Cl0332 we find \( \Delta \log M_\star = -0.05 \) and 1σ scatter of 0.08 dex compared to \( M_\star \) derived by Momcheva et al. (2016) and \( \Delta \log M_\star = -0.05 \) and 1σ scatter of 0.06 dex compared to \( M_\star \) derived by Santini et al. (2015).

3.3. Stellar velocity dispersions

We measure stellar velocity dispersions \( \sigma \) with the Penalized PiXel-Fitting method (pPXF) of Cappellari & Emsellem (2004), Cappellari (2017), by using as templates the Maraston & Strömbäck (2011) stellar population models (SSPs) based on the ELODIE v3.1 stellar library (Prugniel & Soubiran 2001; Prugniel et al. 2007). The ELODIE-based templates
In black we show continuum-normalized, inverse-variance smoothed galaxy spectra with a window of 7 pixels, ordered by redshift and shifted to rest-frame wavelengths. Kinematics fits are in red. In gray are the regions with strong telluric features or OH residuals that were excluded from the fit. The most prominent spectral features are labeled at the top of the figure and indicated by the dotted vertical lines. For each object we indicate IDs and redshifts from Table 1 and show the HST postage stamps (either WFC3/I$_{125w}$ or ACS/Z$_{850lp}$) of each galaxy of $\theta''$ side; the KMOS IFU is drawn in green.
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cover the wavelength range between 3900 – 6800 Å, which matches the rest-frame wavelength of our KMOS data, and have higher resolution (FWHM of 0.55 Å) compared to the median rest-frame KMOS FWHM (~ 1.5 Å). We did not use the more commonly used Maraston & Str"omb"ack (2011) SSPs based on the MILES library (Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2006 with resolution 2.54 Å as derived in Beifiori et al. 2011) to allow us to derive stellar velocity dispersion also in less massive objects. We tested that our results are independent of the template library we used.

The KMOS spectra have a wavelength-dependent line spread function as measured from the sky lines. Therefore, they are smoothed with a variable kernel to match the maximum FWHM in each IFU before fitting the kinematics. The use or not of this smoothing does not change our measurements. Consistently, Maraston & Str"omb"ack (2011) ELODIE-based templates are broadened to match the new KMOS resolution. Before the pPXF fit, the KMOS spectra are cross-correlated with a 1 Gyr old Maraston & Str"omb"ack (2011) template to get an initial estimate for the redshift of the galaxy.

We use additive polynomials of low order, generally 2–3, to account for uncertainties in the sky subtraction, which is one of the dominant factors of systematics in our data reduction, as well as any residual template mismatch. We test that the fit does not change by using polynomials of different orders (2–5): the large scale variations included by the additive polynomial have a minimum impact on the scales of our line widths. The use of multiplicative polynomials of low order, together or instead of additive polynomials give results consistent within the errors. During the fit, we also exclude regions with strong telluric and OH line features.

 Stellar velocity dispersions are measured using the typical rest-frame optical features, such as Ca H&K, G-band, Balmer lines, and Mg (Bender 1990; Bender et al. 1994), depending on the cluster redshift; see e.g. Figure 3 for some examples of typical absorption lines. We measure $\sigma$ for 19 objects that constitute the dispersion sample; our fits are shown in Figure 3 and the derived parameters are summarized in Table 1. From our original sample, we mostly retained objects with measured stellar velocity dispersion at the cluster redshift, with $S/N > 5$, values of $\sigma_e < 500$ km s$^{-1}$, and where the absorption line features were clearly visible after a visual inspection of the fit.

 We estimate uncertainties on $\sigma$ using the 100 bootstrap realizations of the extracted spectra and recomputing the stellar velocity dispersion for each one of those. The errors on $\sigma_e$ are the standard deviation of the distribution of the measurements of the bootstrap realizations. Typical errors are of the order of $\sim 11 – 40\%$ for galaxies with a typical $S/N \sim 5 – 12$ per angstrom.

 We perform tests to explore the robustness of our measurements by analyzing different wavelength ranges of the spectrum. This provides an assessment of the systematic effects arising from the derivation of stellar velocity dispersions from different absorption lines at different redshift. We repeated the fitting by considering only the "blue" and the "red" regions of our spectra and their bootstrap realizations (see Appendix A for details). For the two overdensities XMM2235 and XMM2215 where this test could be performed, the systematic offsets $\Delta \log \sigma_e$ between the full spectrum fit and the two sub-regions are usually < 10%, and smaller than typical.
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uncertainties on $\sigma_e$.

The KMOS 1D spectra are extracted within one $R_e$. Because we are background dominated the optimal extraction effectively makes our stellar velocity dispersions luminosity weighted within $R_e$, similarly to the measurements provided in the local universe. For this reason no aperture correction is needed, and we will therefore use the notation $\sigma_e$ for $\sigma$ throughout the paper.

### 3.3.1. Dynamical masses

Dynamical masses $M_{\text{dyn}}$ are derived following the prescriptions of Cappellari et al. (2006) by combining size and stellar velocity dispersion measurements as $M_{\text{dyn}} = M_{\text{dyn}}(n)R_e\sigma_e^2/G$, and assuming a Sérsic index dependent virial factor $\beta(n)$ (see Beifiori et al. 2014 for a similar approach). Typical uncertainties range from $\sim 0.10 - 0.40$ dex. The effect of change of the dark matter fraction cannot be accounted in this simple mass estimator. Moreover, another source for uncertainties is the unresolved rotation, which is not accounted for by our method. In fact, several works have shown that at both intermediate and high redshift, rotational support has a increasingly large contribution (van der Marel & van Dokkum 2007) at $z \sim 0.5$, and Newman et al. 2015; Hill et al. 2016; Belli et al. 2017; Mendel et al. in prep at $z > 1.4$). Our sample, in particular, XMM2235 and XMM2215, includes mostly objects with Sérsic index $> 2.5$ where the impact of rotation is expected to be small based on the findings of Belli et al. (2017). Their Figure 7 shows that there is no trend in the ratio between stellar-to-dynamical mass as a function of the axis ratio, whereas for disk galaxies with Sérsic index $< 2.5$ there is a clear trend matching the expectations for an increasing $V/\sigma$ at high redshift. For Cl0332 half of the objects could potentially be affected having in general lower Sérsic index compared to XMM2235 and XMM2215 (see Figure 4).

### 3.4. Distribution of galaxy properties and selection effects

Our sample does not appear to be systematically biased towards bluer galaxies as found in previous kinematics samples at $z > 1.4$ (e.g., van de Sande et al. 2014) for XMM2235 and Cl0332; however some bias could be present for XMM2215 (see Figure 2).

The unfilled histograms in Figure 4 show the distribution of circularized effective radii, effective surface brightness, a combination of size and surface brightness, Sérsic indices, magnitudes, stellar masses, and surface mass density of the red-sequence objects of the three clusters, whereas the filled histograms show the distribution of our dispersion sample. Surface brightness and radii have similar distributions as the full sample in particular for XMM2235. However, we mostly have successful $\sigma_e$ measurements in the brightest and more massive galaxies.

A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test shows that the probability that the dispersion sample and the full red-sequence sample are drawn from the same parent distributions in magnitude and stellar mass is $\sim 1$ and 13% for XMM2235, $\sim 24$ and 55% for XMM2215 and 1 and 3% for Cl0332, respectively. We are mostly unable to measure the stellar velocity dispersion in faint objects.

We also perform a k-sample Anderson-Darling test (Scholz & Stephens 1987) on the same parameters of Figure 4 to assess whether the tail of the cumulative distributions can affect our results. We find that the null hypothesis that the dispersion sample and the full red-sequence samples are drawn from the same distribution in magnitude and stellar mass can be rejected at 1% and 5%, respectively for XMM2235, $\sim 20$% for XMM2215, and 2% and 5% for Cl0332. For other parameters such as $R_e$, $\log(I) = (L_{\odot}/pc^{-2})$, and Sérsic index the null hypothesis cannot be rejected for XMM2235, and XMM2215. For Cl0332 the distribution of $R_e$ is not compatible with the null hypothesis with a p-value of 20%.

We therefore conclude that our observations are not repre-

\[^{5}\text{We note that for XMM2215 the null hypothesis cannot be rejected also considering the distribution of } M_{\text{s}}\]
sensitve of the whole red-sequence sample in terms of stellar mass, or magnitude for the three overdensities in our sample: for this reason in Section 5.2 we make a cut to a common stellar mass limit.

We assess the selection effects and the success rate for the three clusters by deriving selection probabilities \( P_s \) for each galaxy with a method similar to that used by Saglia et al. (2010a) (see Appendix B for details). In summary, \( P_s \) are calculated accounting for the completeness in the measured stellar velocity dispersion, rescaled by the ratio of spectroscopically confirmed members over the targets we observed with KMOS. The inverse of \( P_s \) are used as weights in the fits performed in Section 5.2 to assess whether the use of our dispersion sample could bias our results.

4. LOCAL AND INTERMEDIATE REDSHIFT COMPARISON SAMPLES

4.1. The Coma cluster

As a local comparison sample we use the Coma cluster with a mass \( M_{200} = (1.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{15} h^{-1} M_{\odot} \) (rescaled mass from Lokas & Mamon 2003; see also Figure 1 and Section 2.2), which is usually adopted as a reference cluster for FP and scaling relations studies (see e.g., Jørgensen et al. 2006, Thomas et al. 2007, Houghton et al. 2013, Cappellari 2013).

The photometry used to derive the local FP relation by Jørgensen et al. (2006) is not public, therefore we adopt the structural parameters and magnitudes from Table A2 of Holden et al. (2010). The photometric parameters come from the surface brightness analysis to the SDSS g-band images by Holden et al. (2007), who transformed them from SDSS bands to the rest-frame B-band. The photometry of Holden et al. (2007) is consistent with that used in the initial work on the Coma FP by Jørgensen et al. (1996) (see description in Section 2.2.1 of Holden et al. 2007 and Appendix A2 of Holden et al. 2010) for the objects in common, but expanded to a larger number of objects with stellar velocity dispersion from Jørgensen et al. (1995) and Jørgensen (1999) (which in total are 116 galaxies), making up a sample of 80 galaxies with both stellar velocity dispersion and structural parameters. In Holden et al. (2010) effective radii are given in the g band; we assume those to be comparable to rest-frame B-band radii throughout the paper.

Dynamical masses are computed from the \( \sigma_e \), \( R_e \) and Sérsic n given in Table A2 of Holden et al. (2010), using the approach of Section 3.3.1 as for our KCS sample. The Coma cluster was targeted by the SDSS photometry, therefore we use stellar masses from the SDSS catalog of Maraston et al. (2013). Maraston et al. (2013) stellar masses were derived from the SED fitting of the five SDSS bands and were based on Maraston et al. (2009) stellar population models and a Kroupa (2001) IMF. We homogenize \( M_\star \) to a common Chabrier IMF and account for the difference in the stellar population models used adopting the offsets provided in Table B3 of Pforr et al. (2012), which were derived for mock passive galaxies for different population models (see detailed description of the method in Beifiori et al. 2014). We test that similar results are obtained if we use other \( M_\star \) catalogs of SDSS galaxies (e.g., Mendel et al. 2014). The rescaling of the stellar masses to the best-fit Sérsic luminosity has a minimal effect on the mass estimate we use. The Coma sample is our local reference throughout the paper, therefore we do not apply the mass cut we have in our KMOS sample at \( \log M_\star/M_\odot > 10.5 \) to minimize bias on the scaling relations we derive.

We compare our Coma catalog with that of Cappellari (2013), which includes effective radii in K-band and dynamical masses for a K-band selected sample of 161 galaxies within a magnitude limit of \( M_K < -21.5 \). This sample includes both early-type galaxies and spirals (\( \sim 10\% \)). There are 90 objects in common between the two samples, 66 of which have measured sizes from Holden et al. (2010). We find consistent distributions of sizes and masses between the two samples. For the objects in common, we find that sizes are mostly consistent (\( \Delta \log R_e = \log R_e,\text{Holden} - \log R_e,\text{Cappellari} \sim -0.03 \) dex and scatter 0.16 dex), whereas stellar and dynamical masses are offset in respect to the masses of Cappellari (2013) (\( \Delta \log M = \log M_\star,\text{Cappellari} - \log M_\star,\text{Holden} \) of \( <0.14 \) dex and \( \sim -0.16\) dex, respectively, where we included a factor \( \sim 0.08\) in our measured stellar masses due to the different aperture used deriving stellar and dynamical masses (see Appendix A of Beifiori et al. 2014). Those differences will not affect our conclusion.

The full Coma sample includes galaxies with a range of properties: some of them may not descend from our high-redshift galaxies (e.g., “progenitor bias” van Dokkum & Franx 2001, Valentinuzzi et al. 2010, Saglia et al. 2010a, Poggianti et al. 2013, Carollo et al. 2013, Beifiori et al. 2014). Several studies have found a relation between the size and the age of passive galaxies at intermediate and high redshift (e.g., Valentinuzzi et al. 2010, Poggianti et al. 2013, Shetty & Cappellari 2015, Belli et al. 2015) but see also Pagioli et al. (2016 for different opinions), suggesting that the selection of old galaxies could minimize the descendant/progenitor mismatch. Following this idea, we select Coma galaxies whose ages are older than the difference between the look-back times of Coma and our highest redshift KCS overdensity (e.g., \( \sim 9 \) Gyr), following a procedure similar to that of Beifiori et al. (2014), Chan et al. (2016). Ages for Coma galaxies are derived from the line indices measurements of Jørgensen (1999) (their Table 4 contains measurements for 70 galaxies) using Maraston (2005) stellar population models, and following the method described by Saglia et al. (2010b). The resulting sample with an age \( >9 \) Gyr consists of six galaxies.

4.2. The EDisCS-cluster sample

The intermediate redshift comparison sample comes from the cluster galaxies at median redshift \( z \sim 0.7 \) used for the FP work of the EDisCS survey (Saglia et al. 2010a, see also Figure 1 and Section 2.2). The full EDisCS cluster sample includes 26 clusters or groups with redshift between 0.4 < \( z < 0.9 \) and velocity dispersion between 166 to 1080 km s\(^{-1}\) (Milvang-Jensen et al. 2008, Saglia et al. 2010a). In this paper we consider a subsample of 10 clusters out of the 14 with available HST photometry, for which both Sérsic fits and stellar masses were derived (see Figure 1). Catalogs include structural parameters from Simard et al. (2009), stellar velocity dispersions from Saglia et al. (2010a) and updated \( M_\star \), from Rudnick et al. (sub) derived using the iSEDfit software (Moustakas et al. 2013), Bruzual & Charlot (2003) models and a Chabrier IMF; stellar masses were also rescaled for the missing flux using the best-fit Sérsic luminosity similarly to our KCS sample. Dynamical masses are derived as in

\[ \text{We note that the offset provided in Table B3 of Pforr et al. (2012) are for galaxies at } z = 0.5. \text{ At the redshift of Coma this represents an upper limit on the offset we need to apply.} \]
Section 3.3.1 We select galaxies with \(M_\star/M_\odot > 10.5\), to be consistent with the stellar mass limit of our KMOS sample. For some of the tests in Section 5.2 we applied an additional mass cut \(M_\star/M_\odot > 11\) to match the mass limit of our highest redshift overdensity. Also in this case, we select a subsample of galaxies with an age \(> 3\) Gyr, to minimize progenitor bias. Ages for EDisCS galaxies were collected from Sánchez-Blázquez et al. (2009). The resulting sample consists of 56 galaxies, which we will identify as the EDisCS-cluster sample (“EDisCS-cl”), throughout the paper.

5. RESULTS

5.1. The Fundamental Plane of KCS galaxies

We consider the edge-on projection of the fundamental plane as:

\[
\log R_e = a \log \sigma + b \log(I_e) + c_z
\]

where \(R_e\) is in kpc, \(\sigma\) in \(\text{km s}^{-1}\), \(\log(I_e)\) is in \(L_\odot\text{pc}^{-2}\), \(c_z\) is the redshift-dependent zero-point of the relation, and \(a = 1.30 \pm 0.08\) and \(b = -0.82 \pm 0.03\) come from the local \(B\)-band FP of Jørgensen et al. (2006).

Due to the limited number of objects in our sample, we assume the local slopes are still valid at high redshift. We note that some variations of the coefficients were reported by previous work at \(z \sim 1\) (e.g., Treu et al. 2005; van der Wel et al. 2005; Renzini 2006; Saglia et al. 2010a; Jørgensen & Ciboucous 2013).

We determine the zero-point of our KCS galaxies performing a least-square fit using the \texttt{MPFITEXY} routine (Williams et al. 2010), by accounting for the errors in both coordinates, no intrinsic scatter, and assuming the slopes fixed to the local value. The \texttt{MPFITEXY} routine depends on the \texttt{MPFIT} package (Markwardt 2009). Errors are obtained with a Jackknife method.

The left panel of Fig. 5 shows the edge-on projection of the FP for our KCS sample compared to the EDisCS sample (Saglia et al. 2010a) and the Coma relation of Jørgensen et al. (2006). The zero-point evolves with redshift from the value 0.443 of Coma in \(B\) band (Jørgensen et al. 2006) at \(z = 0.023\) to 0.22 \pm 0.02 at the median \(z \sim 0.7\) of EDisCS-cl to \(-0.10 \pm 0.09, -0.19 \pm 0.05, -0.29 \pm 0.12\) for XMM2235 at \(z = 1.39\), XMM2215 at \(z = 1.46\), and Cl0332 at \(z = 1.61\), respectively. We note that the zero-points are derived including the full sample of galaxies from the three KCS clusters. The rms scatter of the relations ranges from 0.08 for Coma to \(\sim 0.15 \pm 0.05\) for EDisCS-cl, to \(\sim 0.09 \pm 0.02\) for XMM2235, \(~ 0.09 \pm 0.02\) for XMM2215, and \(~ 0.16 \pm 0.07\) for Cl0332.

We test that the photometry we use for the Coma galaxies allows us to recover the zero-point of Coma by Jørgensen et al. (2006) within the errors of about \(\sim 0.02\). We also test whether the use of Coma galaxies with older ages affect our results. We fix the coefficients \(a\) and \(b\) of the fundamental plane of Jørgensen et al. (2006) and derive the zero-point \(c_z\) using subsamples selected with increasingly larger age limit, up to our progenitor bias limit of \(> 9\) Gyr. Our test shows that the zero-point varies at most by 0.02, suggesting that the use of the full Coma sample does not bias our results; for this reason we can assume the same zero-point derived by Jørgensen et al. (2006) throughout the paper.

5.2. The \(M/L\) evolution with \(z\)

Under the assumption of homology (i.e., that the coefficients \(a\) and \(b\) are constant with redshift, see Section 5.1), the zero-point of the FP traces the mean galaxy \(\Delta \log M/L\). Therefore, we can convert the zero-point change into an evolution of the \(\Delta \log M/L\) ratio with redshift such that:

\[
\Delta \log(M/L)_z = \log(M/L)_z - \log(M/L)_{\text{Coma}}
\]
\[
\Delta \log M/L_B = \eta \log (1+z), \quad \text{KCS, } M_\star/M_\odot > 11
\]

\[
\Delta \log M/L_B = \eta \log (1+z), \quad \text{EDisCS-cl+EDisCS-cl, } M_\star/M_\odot > 11 \& M_{200}
\]

\[
\Delta \log M/L_B = \eta \log (1+z), \quad \text{all KCS}
\]

\[
\Delta \log M/L_B = \eta \log (1+z), \quad \text{KCS, } M_\star/M_\odot > 11 \& P_s
\]

\[
\Delta \log M/L_B = \eta \log (1+z), \quad \text{KCS}
\]

where \(c_z = \log R_e - (a \log \sigma_e + b \log (I_e))\) based on Eq. 1 and \(c_{\text{Coma}}\) is the Coma zero-point of Jørgensen et al. (2006). The error on the Coma zero-point is not provided by Jørgensen et al. (2006), therefore we obtained it from our Coma sample with a Jackknife method as described in Section 5.1.

The right panel of Fig. 5 shows the evolution of the \(\Delta \log M/L\) as a function of redshift. The overdensities in our sample have different properties and masses (see Section 4.2), therefore we could expect different mean ages for our galaxies. For this reason, we separately fit our KCS galaxies, using Coma as a reference value. The use of the Coma cluster to define the zero-point is arbitrary being a normalization term, and will not affect our analysis. Our intermediate-redshift EDisCS-cl sample has significantly smaller error bars compared to our KCS clusters. In Table 2 we show that this drives the fit towards the slopes preferred by the EDisCS-cl sample. For this reason we resort giving the slopes derived fitting only Coma and KCS galaxies.

To make a fair comparison between different overdensities we consider the \(\Delta \log M/L\) evolution for a sample with the same mass distribution in the three overdensities. We apply a mass cut of \(M_\star/M_\odot > 11\) to the sample of XMM2235 and XMM2215 to match the minimum \(M_\star\) for the objects in Cl0332. This mass limit is also known to provide an unbiased measure of the \(M_\star/L\) evolution as discussed in van der Marel & van Dokkum (2007) and van Dokkum & van der Marel (2007). We obtain \(\Delta \log M/L_B = (-0.46 \pm 0.10)z\), \(\Delta \log M/L_{1/2} = (-0.52 \pm 0.07)z\), and \(\Delta \log M/L_{1/3} = (-0.55 \pm 0.10)z\), for XMM2235, XMM2215, and Cl0332, respectively. Error bars were estimated with a Jackknife method. In XMM2235 and XMM2215 we were able to derive stellar velocity dispersions also in galaxies with \(10.5 < \log M_\star/M_\odot < 11\). If we include those in our analysis we obtain slightly steeper \(\Delta \log M/L_B = (-0.54 \pm 0.13)z\), thought consistent within the errors, for XMM2235 and consistent \(\Delta \log M/L_{1/2} = (-0.52 \pm 0.04)z\) for XMM2215.

The \(\Delta \log M/L\) evolution for the massive galaxies of XMM2215 and Cl0332 is consistent with the results by Saglia et al. (2010a) and van Dokkum & van der Marel (2007), but slightly steeper than van Dokkum & Stanford (2003), Wuyts et al. (2004), Holden et al. (2005). The \(\Delta \log M/L\) evolution for the massive galaxies of XMM2235 is smaller. van de Sande et al. (2014) found similar small \(\Delta \log M/L\) evolution, studying a sample of field galaxies at \(z \sim 1.5\) and applying a correction for the fact that galaxies in their sample are bluer than a representative parent sample of quiescent galaxies from the 3DHST survey (Brammer et al. 2012) and Skelton et al. (2014). As shown in Figure 2 our sample does not appear to be biased towards blue objects in XMM2235 and Cl0332, for XMM2215 we note that the majority of the objects are in the bluer part of the red sequence.

We now express the evolution of \(\log M/L\) as \(\Delta \log M/L_B = \eta \log (1+z)\), where \(\eta\) is the slope of the logarithmic dependence. This parametrization allows us to compare the amount of \(\Delta \log M/L\) evolution compared to the structural evolution with redshift in our sample (see Section 6.3).

We derive the evolution considering different combinations of the available data, which are summarized in Table 2. Case 1) shows the results for only KCS galaxies with \(\log M_\star/M_\odot > 11\); case 2) \(\log M_\star/M_\odot > 11\) galaxies part of the full sample of EDisCS-cl and KCS; case 3) \(\log M_\star/M_\odot > 11\) galaxies part of the EDisCS-cl and KCS, but with EDisCS-cl sorted based on their \(M_{200}\) to match that of the three KCS overdensities; 4) the full sample of galaxies for which we derived stellar velocity dispersion in KCS and 5) the KCS galaxies with \(\log M_\star/M_\odot > 11\) in which selection weights \(P_s\) are applied. * \(-2.18 \pm 0.37\) is the slope we obtain if we apply the selection weights derived for the full GOODS-S structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Relation</th>
<th>XMM2235</th>
<th>XMM2215</th>
<th>Cl0332</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(\Delta \log M/L_B = \eta \log (1+z)), KCS, (M_\star/M_\odot &gt; 11)</td>
<td>(-1.68 \pm 0.37)</td>
<td>(-1.91 \pm 0.25)</td>
<td>(-2.10 \pm 0.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(\Delta \log M/L_B = \eta \log (1+z), EDisCS-cl+EDisCS-cl, \log M_\star/M_\odot &gt; 11 &amp; M_{200})</td>
<td>(-1.33 \pm 0.13)</td>
<td>(-1.28 \pm 0.15)</td>
<td>(-1.32 \pm 0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(\Delta \log M/L_B = \eta \log (1+z), \text{all KCS})</td>
<td>(-1.44 \pm 0.15)</td>
<td>(-1.18 \pm 0.16)</td>
<td>(-1.32 \pm 0.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(\Delta \log M/L_B = \eta \log (1+z), \text{KCS, } M_\star/M_\odot &gt; 11 &amp; P_s)</td>
<td>(-1.98 \pm 0.46)</td>
<td>(-1.92 \pm 0.15)</td>
<td>(-2.10 \pm 0.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(\Delta \log M/L_B = \eta \log (1+z), \text{KCS})</td>
<td>(-1.65 \pm 0.41)</td>
<td>(-1.93 \pm 0.23)</td>
<td>(-2.17 \pm 0.41^*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. — Evolution of \(\Delta \log M/L_B\) for our five test cases: 1) KCS galaxies with \(\log M_\star/M_\odot > 11\), 2) \(\log M_\star/M_\odot > 11\) galaxies part of the full sample of EDisCS-cl and KCS, 3) \(\log M_\star/M_\odot > 11\) galaxies part of the EDisCS-cl and KCS, but with EDisCS-cl sorted based on their \(M_{200}\) to match that of the three KCS overdensities, 4) the full sample of galaxies for which we derived stellar velocity dispersion in KCS, and 5) the KCS galaxies with \(\log M_\star/M_\odot > 11\) in which selection weights \(P_s\) are applied. * \(-2.18 \pm 0.37\) is the slope we obtain if we apply the selection weights derived for the full GOODS-S structure.
In case 5) we assess the effect of the sample selection, and fit the $\Delta \log M/L$ evolution by applying the selection weights $P_s$ we derive in Appendix B to the same sample we used in case 1). This allows us to up-weight the objects whose selection weights are smaller by scaling down their errors on the fitting procedure. We find values of $\eta$ consistent within the errors with the case with no weights, with a weak tendency, given our error bars, to have flatter slopes for XMM2235 compared to Cl0332, which instead become steeper. For Cl0332, the use of selection weights $P_s$ calculated within the Kmos FoV and within the all GOODS-S structure give consistent results. We note that this technique we can only account for selection effects for the sample that we targeted at the cluster redshift.

6. DISCUSSION

6.1. Formation ages from the $M/L$ ratio evolution

Passive evolution models are described by a formation redshift corresponding to the epoch of the last major star formation episode, which allow us to translate the $\log M/L$ and luminosity evolution into a formation age.

In order to derive formation ages from the $\log M/L$ ratio of our KCS galaxies, we use tabulated $M/L$ of the SSP models of Maraston (2005) with a Salpeter (1955) IMF and solar metallicity. We interpolate the models with a cubic spline to obtain a equally spaced $\log M/L$ grid in log age. We use solar metallicity Maraston (2005) SSPs, which is supported by both spectroscopic and photometry results of passive galaxies at high-redshift (e.g., van Dokkum et al. 1998; Renzini et al. 2005). The $\eta$-slope of massive galaxies at the median redshift of 6.1.

Figure 6. Redshift evolution of the $\Delta \log M/L_P$. Symbols as in Figure 5. Dotted lines correspond to the predictions by Maraston (2005) SSPs for a Salpeter IMF, solar metallicity, and different formation redshifts. Each solid line (green, orange and red) shows the SSP with formation age corresponding to that we derived for each cluster. Shaded regions show the $\sigma$ error on the slope. The shaded region for XMM2215 is covered behind that of XMM2235, and Cl0332.

Cl0332. The ages of the three overdensities are consistent within the errors after accounting for the $\sim$0.5 Gyr difference in the age of the Universe between $z = 1.61$ and $z = 1.39$. There is a hint, albeit at low significance, that the ages of galaxies in Cl0332 are younger compared to ages of XMM2235 galaxies. This weak suggestion is supported by our results from stacked spectra of the same galaxies, which will be described in Houghton et al., in prep.

Cl0332 is by far the lowest density environment in our survey and shows ages of the order of field galaxies at similar redshift. If we compare the ages measured from stacked spectra of massive galaxies at the median redshift of $z = 1.75$ in the Kmos VIRIAL survey (e.g., Mendel et al. 2015) age of 1.03$^{+0.13}_{-0.08}$ Gyr we find that the two measures agree within the errors, after accounting for the 0.29 Gyr difference between the age of the Universe at $z = 1.61$ and that at the median redshift of VIRIAL (see also Section 6.3).

We have verified that our results are consistent within the errors with ages obtained from the color evolution of SSPs compared to our galaxies.

By including in the sample of XMM2235 objects with $10.5 < \log M_*/M_\odot < 11$ we derive slightly younger ages $\sim 1.63^{+0.39}_{-0.29}$ Gyr, suggesting a trend of age with $M_*$, as discussed in Section 5.2, such that lower mass galaxies have younger ages compared to more massive objects. For XMM2215 we obtain consistent ages $\sim 1.62^{+1.30}_{-0.61}$ Gyr, which is probably due to the number of bluer objects which entered our the red-sequence sample.

6.2. Effects of structural evolution on the FP zero-point evolution

6.2.1. Zero point evolution and luminosity evolution

Following Saglia et al. (2010a, 2016) we can write the evolution of the FP zero-point in a generalized form including the
Figure 7. Left panels: Size $-$ mass relation. Right panels: Stellar velocity dispersion $-$ mass relation. Upper panels: Dynamical masses are used. Lower panels: Stellar masses are used. Symbols as in Figure 5. Linear fits to the local data of Coma are shown with the dotted black lines; for comparison we overplot the $R_e - M$ and $\sigma_e - M$ relations from Equation 5 of Cappellari et al. (2013b) with the gray solid line. In red we show the zone of exclusion for local galaxies from Equation 4 of Cappellari et al. (2013b), Cappellari (2016).

terms related to the structural and stellar velocity dispersion evolution as well as the term describing the variation of the luminosity with redshift. Thus, the luminosity variation can be written as:

$$\Delta \log L_{FP, str, ev.} = \frac{2b+1}{b} \Delta \log R_e - \frac{a}{b} \Delta \log \sigma_e - \frac{1}{b} \Delta c_z \tag{3}$$

where $a$ and $b$ are the FP coefficients from Eq. 1. We parametrize each term of Eq. 3 as a function of $\log (1+z)$ such that $\Delta \log R_e = \nu \log (1+z)$, $\Delta \log \sigma_e = \mu \log (1+z)$ and $\Delta c_z = \eta' \log (1+z)$, where $\nu, \mu$ are the slopes of the size and stellar velocity dispersion evolutions with redshift, whereas $\eta'$ is related to the slope we derive from the $\Delta \log M/L$ evolution with redshift; from Eq. 2 $\eta' = \eta \times b$.

Eq. 3 can therefore be written:

$$\Delta \log L_{FP, str, ev.} = \left( \frac{2b+1}{b} \nu - \frac{a}{b} \mu - \frac{1}{b} \eta' \right) \log (1+z) \tag{4}$$

where $\chi = \frac{2b+1}{b} \nu - \frac{a}{b} \mu - \frac{1}{b} \eta'$.

We first assume that the FP evolution is only due to the $M/L$ evolution with redshift as a result of aging stellar population. This means that the two terms $\Delta \log R_e, \Delta \log \sigma_e$ in Eq. 3 are zero and $\Delta \log L_{FP} = -\frac{1}{b} \eta' \log (1+z) = -\eta \log (1+z)$. Table 3, case 1) shows the results of the fit.

We then include in the luminosity evolution the effect of varying structural properties with redshift in the evolution of the fundamental plane; this means including all terms of Eq. 4 and propagating the errors consistently.

6.2.2. Size-mass and stellar velocity dispersion-mass relations

We study how the size-mass and stellar velocity dispersion-mass relations vary with redshift for our "dispersion" sample adopting the Coma data as local reference, and by considering both stellar masses and dynamical masses (see Figure 7). For both relations we assume that the slope does not change with redshift and adopt the value we obtain from our Coma sample.
as done in previous work (e.g., Saglia et al. 2010a, Newman et al. 2012). In Chan et al. (2016) and Chan et al. sub. we present a more detailed analysis of the size-mass relation for the full red-sequence sample of the three clusters and confirm this assumption.

We note that the $R_e - M_e$ and $\sigma_e - M_e$ relations are actually part of a trend of size and stellar velocity dispersion with age and morphology (see Figure 1 of Cappellari et al. 2013b and Figures 20-23 of Cappellari 2016) and, if this was not accounted, different sample selections could be mistaken for structural evolution. For this reason we also include in Figure 7 the zone of exclusion for local galaxies from Cappellari et al. (2013b, 2016); this region corresponds to a lower limit for the existence of local passive galaxies in the diagrams. For the left panels of Figure 7 we derive the zone of exclusion using Equation 4 of Cappellari et al. (2013b), and rescale the size along the semi-major axis given by that equation, to a circularized size as used in this work — adopting the median axis ratio of our Coma sample ($\sim 0.65$). For the right plots of Figure 7 we convert the zone of exclusion using the virial relation $M = 5.0 \times \sigma_e^2 R_e / G$ following the prescriptions of Cappellari et al. (2013b, 2016). We also overplot the $R_e - M_e$ and $\sigma_e - M_e$ relations from Equation 5 of Cappellari et al. (2013b) for comparison with our sample.

We note that the $R_e - M_e$ and $\sigma_e - M_e$ we find from our sample when adopting dynamical masses (black dotted lines in the upper panels of Figure 7) are consistent with those of Cappellari et al. (2013b, 2016). In this case we also see that the sample of galaxies with the oldest ages in Coma are closer to the zone of exclusion as expected based on the results of Cappellari (2016); about half of our KCS sample is either below/above the zone of exclusion defined by the $R_e - M_e$ and $\sigma_e - M_e$ trends, respectively, as expected in the case of significant size evolution. If we adopt stellar masses we see a zero-point offset in our fitted relation compared to that of Cappellari et al. (2013b), probably due to a $\sim 0.3$ dex offset between dynamical and stellar masses in the Coma sample (see also Section 3). This offset could be due to effects of non-homology, change of the IMF or dark matter fractions; understanding this offset is beyond the scope of this paper. For this reason we test local scaling relations using both dynamical and stellar masses in our work.

To study the evolution of the scaling relations with redshift we use the approach followed by Newman et al. (2012), Cimatti et al. (2012), Delaey et al. (2014), van der Wel et al. (2014) and Chan et al. sub., where we remove the correlation between $R_e$ and $M_e$ (or $M_{\text{dyn}}$) or $\sigma_e$ and $M_e$ (or $M_{\text{dyn}}$) by dividing sizes and stellar velocity dispersions by a reference mass of $M \sim 10^{12} M_\odot$. We then trace the resulting quantities, which we call mass-normalized size $\log R_e, \text{mass-norm}$ and stellar velocity dispersion $\log \sigma_e, \text{mass-norm}$, as a function of redshift. This step is necessary when comparing samples with different mass distributions. Once the slope of the size-mass and stellar velocity dispersion-mass relation is assumed (see above), this procedure is equivalent to tracing the evolution of the zero-point of the $R_e$ and $M_e$ or $\sigma_e$ and $M_e$ relations.

We trace the variation as a function of redshift of $\Delta \log R_e, \text{mass-norm} \propto \nu \log(1 + z)$ and $\Delta \log \sigma_e, \text{mass-norm} \propto \mu \log(1 + z)$ and derive the slopes $\nu$ and $\mu$. The results for both stellar mass and dynamical-mass normalized quantities are shown in Table 4. We fit the three KCS clusters together because the variation with redshift of $R_e$ and $\sigma_e$ have similar dynamic range for the three clusters, moreover we include the full sample with $\log M_e / M_\odot > 10.5$ in the fit. We note that van der Wel et al. (2014) evolution of the median sizes in our mass bin in the field is slightly steeper than what we find in this work possibly related to differences between cluster and field sample (see also Chan et al. sub.).

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Relation</th>
<th>XMM2235</th>
<th>XMM2215</th>
<th>C01332</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\Delta \log L_{FP} = - \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + z)$</td>
<td>$1.68 \pm 0.37$</td>
<td>$1.91 \pm 0.25$</td>
<td>$2.10 \pm 0.37$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\Delta \log L_{FP, \text{cor}, \nu} = \frac{1}{2} \nu \log(1 + z)$</td>
<td>$1.01 \pm 0.41$</td>
<td>$1.56 \pm 0.48$</td>
<td>$1.25 \pm 0.31$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\Delta \log L_{FP, \text{cor}, \mu} = \tau \log(1 + z)$</td>
<td>$1.89 \pm 0.39$</td>
<td>$1.44 \pm 0.42$</td>
<td>$1.99 \pm 0.48$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. — The evolution of the galaxy luminosity as derived from the FP $L_{FP}$, luminosity evolution including both structural evolution and FP zero-point evolution as described in Equation 2, and luminosity evolution from the mass-luminosity relation $L_{FP, \text{cor}, \mu}$. To allow enough dynamic range to fit the size-mass, stellar velocity dispersion-mass and luminosity-mass relation, we adopt the full sample with $\log M_e / M_\odot > 10.5$. Results are consistent within the errors if we trace the evolution of the luminosity only for the $\log M_e / M_\odot > 11$ sample.
The net contribution of structural and stellar velocity dispersion evolution $\chi_{\text{str-ev}} = \frac{2b+1}{b} \nu - \frac{2}{b} \mu$ is $-0.67$ and $-0.12$ (a mean $\sim 35\%$ and $6\%$ of the FP zero-point evolution for our sample), when we consider relations of stellar mass or dynamical mass normalized, respectively. $\chi_{\text{str-ev}}$ is smaller than the $\nu$ in case 1) of Table 3 suggesting that most of the zero-point evolution is indeed driven by the evolution of the luminosity. As mentioned above, the structural evolution we derive with stellar mass-normalized quantities is larger, which also affects the slopes in case 2) of Table 3 where we find shallower slopes.

We test what is the effect on the derived ages by rescaling up the $\Delta \log M/L$ of each overdensity of an amount corresponding to the percentage difference between the slopes of case 1) and case 2) of Table 3. By using mass-normalized slopes of case 2) we find that the mean age of the $\log M_*/M_\odot > 11$ galaxies in XMM2235 becomes larger than the age of the universe, which is unfeasible. We therefore consider as upper limit the age of the universe, which is a factor $\sim 2$ than the age we derive without considering structural evolution. For XMM2215, we find that the mean age becomes a factor $\sim 2.4$ larger, and for Cl0332 we find as well a mean age a factor $\sim 2.4$ larger than that we obtained when we do not include structural evolution in our analysis (case 1)). The large ages we find suggest that the structural evolution we estimate by using stellar-mass normalized $R_e$ and $\sigma_e$ could be underestimated. This could be due to a stronger “progenitor bias” when selecting galaxies in $M_*$, for instance. The sample of Coma galaxies for which we could compare the $R_e$ and $\sigma_e$ distributions for the oldest population is limited (i.e., only 6 galaxies have an age $> 9$ Gyr); a larger sample of ages for Coma galaxies would be helpful to solve this issue.

By adopting dynamical mass-normalized slopes of case 2) we find larger ages — though consistent within the errors — compared to those resulting from case 1). For XMM2235 the mean age becomes $\sim 0.44$ Gyr larger, for XMM2215 $\sim 0.22$ Gyr larger and for Cl0332 $\sim 0.18$ Gyr larger than the case in which structural evolution is not accounted.

### 6.2.4. Luminosity evolution from luminosity-mass relation

As an additional test, we compare the luminosity evolution derived from fitting the luminosity-mass relation as a function of redshift. For this we assume a constant slope of the luminosity-mass relation as derived fitting the Coma sample. We follow a procedure similar to the size and stellar velocity dispersion, and derive both stellar mass and dynamical mass-normalized luminosities, adopting $M \sim 10^{11} M_\odot$ as reference mass. We note that while fitting the luminosity-mass relation we include the full sample with $\log M_*/M_\odot > 10.5$ in the fit to allow enough dynamic range. As expected, the results do not change using only galaxies with $\log M_*/M_\odot > 11$ because we normalize the luminosity. This test is described by case 3) of Table 3 where $\Delta \log L_{\text{mass}} = \tau \log (1 + z)$. Error bars are estimated with a Jackknife technique. The results would not change if we use the sample of galaxies with age $> 9$ Gyr rather than the full sample of Coma galaxies as reference. The difference of the median luminosity of the full sample and that with ages $> 9$ Gyr, is 19% for stellar-mass normalized luminosities and 1% for dynamical-mass-normalized luminosities.

The three scenarios show consistent results when adopting dynamical-mass normalized quantities, with in general steeper luminosity evolution at constant dynamical mass, confirming the limited impact of structural evolution in our sample (see also Saglia et al. 2010a, 2016). We note that the use of stellar-mass normalized quantities results in shallower slopes that (see slope for Cl0332 in case 3) of Table 3 are inconsistent with the results from the FP zero-point.

### 6.3. Cluster versus field comparison

The current findings show that massive log $M_*/M_\odot > 11$ galaxies in the three KCS overdensities have overall formation ages consistent within the errors. There is a possible weak suggestion that galaxies in the massive and virialized cluster XMM2235 are older compared to the massive galaxies in the lower-density structure Cl0332, after accounting for the difference in the age of the Universe at the redshifts of the two overdensities. Similar results are found by fitting stellar population models to the stacked KMOS spectra (e.g., Houghton et al., in prep).

Mendel et al. (2015) found an age of $1.03^{+0.13}_{-0.09}$ Gyr from the analysis of stacked spectra of a sample of passive galaxies at the median redshift of $(z) = 1.75$, part of the KMS VIRIAL field survey. The “redder” part of their sample at $(z) = 1.73$ shows ages of $1.22^{+0.56}_{-0.19}$ Gyr, whereas the “bluer” part of their sample at $(z) = 1.82$ is as young as $0.85^{+0.08}_{-0.05}$ Gyr. We compare our KCS sample with the field sample of VIRIAL, by accounting for the difference between the redshift of the two samples. 8 Galaxies in Cl0332 show an average age that is consistent with the average age of massive galaxies in the full VIRIAL sample, which is expected because Cl0332 is by far the lowest density environment in our survey. For XMM2235 we find consistent mean ages with the “redder” VIRIAL population. XMM2235 ages are also consistent with the ages of the “blue” VIRIAL population, but with lower significance. This could originate from an accelerated evolution of galaxies in the most massive overdensities compared to field galaxies as found in some FP studies at lower redshifts.

Saglia et al. (2010a) found a difference of about $\sim 1$ Gyr from the $\Delta \log M/L_B$ evolution of cluster and field galaxies from the EDisCS survey at $z \sim 0.7$, whereas Gebhardt et al. (2003) find $\sim 2$ Gyr difference for a sample of cluster and field galaxies at $z \sim 0.8$. By comparing $\Delta \log M/L_B$ of cluster and field galaxies up to $z \sim 1.27$, van Dokkum & van der Marel (2007) found an age difference of $\sim 0.4 \pm 0.2$ Gyr, which is in line with our findings. One of the possible sources of discrepancy between different studies could be the amount of progenitor bias in the field sample at $z > 1$ (e.g., Mendel et al. [2015], which could affect the cluster and field comparison (e.g., van Dokkum & van der Marel 2007). Our

---

8 We note that Mendel et al [2015] used Conroy et al. [2009] SSPs to derive their ages from stacked spectra. In Appendix B we show that if we were to use different SSPs in our FP analysis, ages would change at most of $\sim 0.15$ Gyr.
In Figure 8 we compare the weighted-mean $\Delta \log M/L_B$ evolution of the KCS sample with $\Delta \log M/L$ available in the literature for $M_*/M_\odot > 11$ galaxies at $0.24 < z < 1.27$ in clusters with a wide range of mass and virialization status (see caption of Figure 8 for details). We homogenize photometric data from different samples to a common B-band surface brightness within the effective radius, following procedures similar to Appendix A of van Dokkum & van der Marel (2001). Error bars are uncertainties on the weighted mean. We find that our clusters extend up to $z = 1.61$ the trends we see at intermediate redshift, and expand the statistic in a redshift range currently almost unexplored. There are some weak hints that the most massive clusters have older formation redshifts, though errors are large and we cannot constrain those statements. We note for instance that our results for $M_*/M_\odot > 11$ galaxies in XMM2215 have formation redshifts of the order of the galaxies in the massive cluster RDCS1252.9-29 at $z \sim 1.247$ (Holden et al. 2005). At intermediate redshift we expect the differences to be more difficult to detect, and we indeed see a range of formation redshifts.

A larger number of clusters with different mass and properties at the same redshift we studied in this paper as well as at higher redshift, will provide additional constraints to the scenario we described above.

### 7. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we present new results on the evolution of the FP of a sample of 19 passive galaxies in dense environments at $1.39 < z < 1.61$ from KCS, a GTO survey using KMOS at the VLT.

Over the past 3 years, KCS observed $\gtrsim 20$ massive ($\log M_*/M_\odot > 10.5$) passive galaxies in four main overdensities at $1.39 < z < 1.8$, with a range of masses and properties, as well as a lower-priority overdensity at $z = 1.04$ to bridge our high-redshift observations with the local sample. With KCS we systematically targeted a large sample of galaxies in the red sequence and built a new sample of stellar velocity dispersions in dense environments at $z \sim 1.39$.

In this paper we present the analysis of the KMOS data for the sample at $1.39 < z < 1.61$. KMOS data are combined
with the structural parameters derived from HST imaging for the same galaxies and we obtain the formation age through the fundamental plane.

Our main results can be summarized as follows:

- The zero-point of the B-band FP evolves with redshift, such that the highest-redshift cluster has the largest offset from Coma. By converting the zero-point evolution into an evolution of $\Delta \log M/L_B$ with redshift we find that $\log M_*/M_0 > 11$ galaxies have $\Delta \log M/L_B = (-0.46 \pm 0.10)z$ in XMM2235 to $\Delta \log M/L_B = (-0.52 \pm 0.07)z$ in XMM2215, and $\Delta \log M/L_B = (-0.55 \pm 0.10)z$ for Cl0332, respectively. The $\Delta \log M/L_B$ becomes steeper when we include less massive ($10.5 < \log M_*/M_0 < 11$) objects suggesting a trend with mass of the $\Delta \log M/L_B$.

- By using Maraston (2005) single stellar population models we derived mean formation ages for the sample with $\log M_*/M_0 > 11$ from the $\Delta \log M/L_B$ evolution with redshift. We find mean luminosity-weighted ages to be $2.35^{+0.86}_{-0.52}$ Gyr, $1.59^{+1.40}_{-0.62}$ Gyr and $1.20^{+1.03}_{-0.47}$ Gyr, for XMM2235, XMM2215, and Cl0332, respectively. If we include in the sample of XMM2235 objects with $10.5 < \log M_*/M_0 < 11$ we derive younger ages $\sim 1.63^{+0.39}_{-0.29}$ Gyr, suggesting a trend of age with $M_*$.

- For XMM2215 we obtain consistent ages $\sim 1.62^{+1.30}_{-0.61}$ Gyr.

- Our results are robust against the use of different SSPs or metallicity assumptions. Formation ages are also consistent with the expectation for the color evolution from SSPs.

- Effects of structural and stellar velocity dispersion evolution are responsible for $\sim$6-35% of the evolution we see in the FP zero-point, most of which instead comes from the $M/L$ evolution. The net impact of structural and stellar velocity dispersion evolution on the measurements of the galaxy ages is $\sim 2 - 2.4$ Gyr when we consider structural and velocity dispersion evolution normalized by the stellar mass (i.e., 35% contribution in the FP zero-point evolution). Ages vary at most $\sim 0.44$ Gyr for XMM2235 when using structural and velocity dispersion evolution normalized by the dynamical mass; for XMM2215 and Cl0332, the variation is smaller. If we fit the luminosity-mass relation we find similar evolution of the luminosity with redshift to that we find in the FP.

- The mean $M/L$ of the galaxies in the three overdensities relative to Coma are consistent with passive evolution with formation ages consistent within the errors for the three clusters. However, there is a weak suggestion that more massive and virialized cluster are formed at earlier times compared with galaxies in lower-density structure in our sample. This is consistent with our findings from the stellar population analysis of stacked KMOS spectra of the same galaxies as discussed in a companion paper (e.g., Houghton et al., in prep). We also find that massive log $M_*/M_0 > 11$ galaxies in XMM2235 have ages consistent with the "red" population of passive galaxies in field at similar redshift from the VIRIAL KMOS GTO survey. XMM2235 ages are also consistent with the ages of the "blue" VIRIAL population, but with lower significance.
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APPENDIX

A. DERIVATION OF THE KINEMATICS FROM DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE SPECTRUM

We assess the robustness of our fits by measuring the stellar velocity dispersion separately in the blue and red parts of our spectra. This is done for the clusters XMM2235 and XMM2215 where multiple diagnostic lines are available in regions free from telluric absorption or strong sky emission. The blue part of the spectrum covers mostly the G-band and H$_\alpha$, and also Ca line for XMM2215, whereas the red part includes mostly H$_\beta$ and Fe lines, and Mg for XMM2235. We note that the S/N significantly decreases in the blue part of the spectrum, due to the lower throughput of KMOS in the blue part of the YJ band, affecting the uncertainties of our measurements. Moreover, the blue part of the spectrum we fit covers a short wavelength range compared to the red part. This could potentially affect the set of templates applied by the fitting procedure. To circumvent this issue, we adopt the same combination of templates derived in the fit of the full spectrum in both the fit of the blue and red part. As discussed in Section 5.3 before performing the kinematic fit we smooth the KMOS spectrum with a variable kernel to a common FWHM to match the maximum FWHM. This should prevent us to add systematics related to the KMOS resolution in the blue and red part of the spectrum.

Figure 9 shows a comparison of the results obtained by fitting the full spectrum and the blue part (left panels), the full spectrum and the red part (central panels) and red and blue part (right panels). The actual value is determined as the mode of the distribution over the 100 bootstrap realizations and the error as the standard deviation. The actual value of the stellar velocity dispersion from our spectra is consistent within the errors with the mode of the distribution of $\sigma_e$ derived from the bootstrap realizations.

For XMM2235 we find that the kinematics derived from the blue part and from the full spectrum have a median difference $\Delta \sigma = -37.50$ km s$^{-1}$ ($\Delta \log \sigma = -0.08$) and 1$\sigma$ scatter of 70.11 km s$^{-1}$; whereas by fitting the red region of the spectrum we find an offset $\Delta \sigma = -35.55$ km s$^{-1}$ ($\Delta \log \sigma = -0.06$) and 1$\sigma$ scatter of 56.20 km s$^{-1}$ from the fit of the full spectrum. The median difference between the fit of the blue and red part of the spectrum is $\Delta \sigma = 16.41$ km s$^{-1}$ ($\Delta \log \sigma = 0.04$) with 1$\sigma$ scatter of 72.54 km s$^{-1}$. In XMM2235 the quality of some data in the “blue” part is particularly poorer resulting in a few objects with systematically higher $\sigma_e$ compared to the full sample.

For XMM2215 we find that the kinematics derived from the blue part and from the full spectrum have a median difference $\Delta \sigma = -7.99$ km s$^{-1}$ ($\Delta \log \sigma = -0.02$) and 1$\sigma$ scatter of 60.80 km s$^{-1}$; whereas by fitting the red region of the spectrum we find an offset of $\Delta \sigma = -10.53$ km s$^{-1}$ ($\Delta \log \sigma = -0.03$) and 1$\sigma$ scatter of 60.00 km s$^{-1}$ from the fit of the full spectrum. The median difference between the fit of the blue and red part of the spectrum is $\Delta \sigma = -2.54$ km s$^{-1}$ ($\Delta \log \sigma = 0.01$) with 1$\sigma$ scatter of 121.41 km s$^{-1}$.

This test aims at assessing the systematic effect we can have deriving stellar velocity dispersions from different absorption lines at different redshift. It did not result in a rejection of galaxies, which were mostly discarded at the stage of the fitting of the full spectrum through all the bootstrap realizations. Generally, the systematic offsets $\Delta \log \sigma_e$ between the full spectrum fit and the two sub-regions of the spectra are usually $<10\%$, and smaller than the typical 10–40% uncertainty we have by fitting the full spectrum. Single objects could potentially have larger offsets than the median value given above in one of the wavelength ranges, but this could be attributed to a poorer quality of the spectrum in that wavelength range.

B. SUCCESS RATE AND SELECTION FUNCTIONS

In this Section we describe the technique used to assess the selection effects for the three overdensities in our sample. We derive selection weights by assigning a selection probability to each galaxy with a method similar to that used by Saglia et al. (2010a).

We first derive the completeness in measuring stellar velocity dispersion for each of the clusters in our sample. We split the red sequence of our CMDs in equally-spaced magnitude bins (see Figure 2), and for each bin we compute the ratio of the number of red-sequence galaxies with measured stellar velocity dispersion to the total number of galaxies in the red sequence in that bin. For the three overdensities we use different combinations of magnitudes in the CMDs, therefore we derive separate weights for the three overdensities, based on the three different photometries. We assign a probability to each galaxy by linearly interpolating these selection curves. As we could expect, the stellar velocity dispersion completeness is larger at brighter magnitudes.

We then rescale this fraction by the ratio between the number of objects found to be spectroscopically confirmed members of the cluster over the number of galaxies we targeted with KMOS for each magnitude bin in the color magnitude diagram; those represent our selection weights $P_\text{s}$.

We note that for XMM2235, where we targeted 83% of the objects in the red sequence with $H_{F160w} < 22.3$ we find that half of the objects at $21.7 < H_{F160w} < 22.3$ are either background or foreground objects, confirming our expectations from the statistical analysis in Section 2.3.2. Our findings support also the results of Chan et al. sub., who reached the same conclusions using two-color diagrams.

Figure 10 shows the weights as a function of the selection...
Figure 9. Comparison between the stellar velocity dispersion measurements in the blue and red part of the spectrum for XMM2235 (upper panel) and XMM2215 (lower panel). From left to right the panels show the comparison between the fit in the full spectrum and the blue part, the full spectrum and the red part, and between blue and red part, respectively.

Figure 10. The completeness functions $P_S$ of our cluster galaxies showing how many objects in the red sequence of our clusters have measured stellar velocity dispersions, normalized by how many objects were spectroscopically found to be members of the clusters over those allocated in the red sequence. Black circles show the average quantities per bin, and red diamonds the interpolated values corresponding to the magnitudes of the galaxies in our sample. Vertical dotted lines show the magnitude bins used to evaluate the selection functions for our sample (see also Figure 2). From left to right we show the functions for XMM2235, XMM2215 and Cl0332, respectively.
magnitude, which is used for each cluster in Figure 2. The dashed lines show our limiting magnitude for the KMOS observations of the three clusters and the dotted lines show the magnitude bins as already shown in Figure 2. Black dots are the average points used to derive the curves, and the red lines and diamonds show the interpolated values at the magnitude of each galaxy. For Cl0332 we derive weights for both the subsample of galaxies within the region of the overdensity described by the field of view of our KMOS pointing (see Figure 10), as well as all the objects within ±3000 km s⁻¹ of the overdensity redshift extending over the whole GOODS-S field. In the latter case we find similar weights for the two brightest bins, and lowers weights for the third magnitude bin due to the larger number of objects in the red sequence. The completeness in the velocity dispersion measurement for Cl0332 is higher at bright magnitudes and decreases towards fainter objects. The trend is less clear for XMM2215 which we observed to a similar exposure time.

We test whether the weights correlate with galaxy properties. XMM2235 does not show strong correlations, whereas for XMM2215 and Cl0332, weights tend to be larger for more luminous and more massive objects.

C. EFFECT OF USING DIFFERENT STELLAR POPULATION MODELS AND METALLICITY ASSUMPTIONS

van Dokkum & van der Marel (2007) (their Figure 7) already showed that the evolution of the ∆ log M/L in a SSP is similar in Maraston (2005) and Bruzual & Charlot (2003) SSP in the age range between 9–10 Gyr in B band. In this Section we assess whether this is still valid in the redshift range of our overdensities.

We generate M/L in the B band as a function of redshift and formation redshift using the EzGal code of Mancone & Gonzalez (2012) for both Bruzual & Charlot (2003) and Conroy et al. (2009), Conroy & Gunn (2010) SSPs with solar metallicity. We also produce M/L for Bruzual & Charlot (2003) SSPs with super-solar metallicities of [Fe/H] ~ 0.56 and sub-solar metallicity of [Fe/H] ~ −0.33. As it is known, Bruzual & Charlot (2003) and Conroy et al. (2009), Conroy & Gunn (2010) SSPs give similar ∆ log M/L with redshift, and give a negligible difference in the fitted parameters, therefore in the following we will quote only results based on Bruzual & Charlot (2003).

We repeat the analysis described in Section 6.1 minimizing the difference between ∆ log M/L_B of the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) SSPs with different formation redshifts (or age) and the ∆ log M/L_B of our KCS sample with log M_*/M⊙ > 11. Ages differ at most of 0.15 Gyr between using Maraston (2005) and Bruzual & Charlot (2003) SSPs in the B band. As expected, this difference decreases with increasing formation redshift, when the model give almost the same result.

We find consistent formation redshifts if we trace the color evolution of galaxies with redshift using Bruzual & Charlot (2003) SSPs.

Our original assumption about the solar metallicity does not change our results either within the errors. By adopting Bruzual & Charlot (2003) SSPs with super-solar metallicities of [Fe/H] ~ 0.56 (but assuming solar metallicity at redshift 0 based on the known values of metallicity for Coma) mean ages would become at most ~ 0.6 Gyr younger than with solar metallicity, whereas in case of sub-solar metallicity of [Fe/H] ~ −0.33 (and assuming solar metallicity at redshift 0) we derive ages that are at most ~ 1 Gyr older.

In summary our results are robust against the use of different SSPs and different metallicity assumptions and we maintain the same trends we see using solar metallicity Maraston (2005) SSPs.