Surface charge and growth of sulphate and carbonate green rust in aqueous media
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Abstract

We report the first determination of the point of zero charge of sulphated and carbonated green rust particles. Green rust has been recognised as a prevalent mineral in environments such as hydromorphic soils, groundwaters and anoxic Fe(II)-rich water bodies, and the evolution of its net surface charge with pH has direct implications for the uptake of contaminants, metals and nutrients in such settings. We find that the surface of both sulphated and carbonated green rust is positively charged at pH < 8.3, whereas it is negatively charged at pH > 8.3. Thus, alkaline settings will promote enhanced adsorption of metallic cations. However, the behaviour of ionic species surrounding green rust is more complicated than that predicted by simple pH-dependent adsorption, as our experiments suggest that green rust likely grows via dissolution–reprecipitation during Ostwald-ripening. This implies that adsorbed species are potentially subject to repetitive steps of release into solution, re-adsorption and co-precipitation during particle growth. The growth rate of green rust particles is highest within the first 50 min of aging, and appears to decrease towards an asymptote after 200 min, suggesting that particle growth controls on the uptake of dissolved species will be most important during the early steps of green rust growth. Our findings thus contribute to a better understanding of the controls that green rust may exert on dissolved ions in a variety of anoxic environments.

1. INTRODUCTION

Most iron oxide and oxyhydroxide minerals are highly reactive in the environment (e.g. Poulton et al., 2004) and play a major role in toxic metal and contaminant remediation (e.g. Waychunas et al., 2005). Amongst these minerals, green rusts have recently been the focus of increasing attention, partly because of the presence of ferrous iron in the structure, which promotes their reducing capability. For example, chlorinated solvents (Erbs et al., 1998; Lee and Batchelor, 2002), nitrate (Hansen and Koch, 1998; Hansen et al., 2001; Choi and Batchelor, 2008) and heavy metals and non-metals such as AsV (Randall et al., 2001; Jönsson and Sherman, 2008), SeVI (Myneni et al., 1997) and CrIII (Loyaux-Lawniczak et al., 1999; Williams and Scherer, 2001; Bond and Fendorf, 2003; Legrand et al., 2004) can all be reduced by various forms of green rust. Therefore, green rust may potentially exert a key control on the mobility of ions, the redox cycling of metals, and the degradation of organic and inorganic pollutants in the environment.

The structure and composition of green rust has been widely documented (e.g. Refait et al., 1998; Génin et al., 2001; Ruby et al., 2003; Simon et al., 2003), and consists of brucite-like mixed Fe(II)/Fe(III) tri-octahedral hydroxide layers which alternate with negatively charged interlayers containing planar (CO2−3, Cl−) or tetrahedral (SO42−) anions. The occurrence of these different anions in the structure defines two distinct minerals, green rust 1 (containing CO32− or Cl−) and green rust 2, (containing SO42−). Simon et al. (2003) showed that sulphated green rust 2 (herein referred as GRSO4) is arranged in a hexagonal geometry, differing with the rhombohedral type 1 green rust
(herein referred as GRCO$_3$), although both display positively charged [Fe$^\text{II}$Fe$^\text{III}$(OH)$_{12}$]$^{3+}$ hydroxide layers.

In the environment, the formation of green rust is not uncommon and the mineral has been found in association with microbial communities and various mineral assemblages. Since its first identification as a corrosion product of steel water pipes (Stampfl, 1969), authigenic occurrences of green rust have been reported in soils (e.g. Trollard et al., 1997; Abdelmoula et al., 1998), groundwaters (Christiansen et al., 2009) and an anoxic Fe-rich lacustrine water column (Zegeye et al., 2012). Because green rusts are mixed Fe(II)–Fe(III) species, the roles of abiotic and biotic redox reactions in their formation has been investigated. Shewanella putrefaciens cultures can use Fe(III) mineral species as electron acceptors to form green rusts (e.g. Ona-Nguema et al., 2011; Zegeye et al., 2007a). Green rusts have also been observed during Fe(II) oxidation by nitrate reducing bacteria (Pantke et al., 2011) and during an oxygenic photosynthetic phosphorylation (Kappler and Newman, 2004), while GRSO$_4$ can be used as a sulphate source and electron acceptor during bacterial sulphate reduction (Zegeye et al., 2007b). Green rust can also form abiotically by partial oxidation of Fe(OH)$_2$ (e.g. Schwertmann and Fechter, 1994; Refait et al., 1997, 1998; Randall et al., 2001) or steel (e.g. Stampfl, 1969; Świętlik et al., 2012), by partial reduction of ferrihydrite or lepidocrocite (Hansen, 1989), by reaction of Fe(II)$_{aq}$ with ferrihydrite, goethite or hematite (Usman et al., in press), and by co-precipitation of Fe(II)$_{aq}$ and Fe(III)$_{aq}$ under anoxic conditions (e.g. Géhin et al., 2002; Ruby et al., 2003; Bocher et al., 2004; Ruby et al., 2006a,b; Ahmed et al., 2010; this study).

Despite the increasing knowledge of biotic and abiotic green rust formation mechanisms, and of the evident interactions between green rust and metals or contaminants, little is known about the surface chemistry and evolution of green rust particles in aqueous media. This is due to the high reactivity towards oxidation by molecular oxygen, and due to green rust metastability, which makes it difficult to experimentally assess the properties of green rust. In this contribution, we report experimental insight into fundamental aspects of the surface properties of green rust particles. In particular, we demonstrate the mechanism of green rust particle growth in solution, and provide the first determination of the particle surface charge as a function of pH.

2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

2.1. Reagents

Experiments were conducted under CO$_2$-free, oxygen-free conditions (<1 ppmv O$_2$) in a re-circulating anoxic cabinet (Coy Laboratory Products Inc.). A 98% N$_2$ + 2% H$_2$ atmosphere was maintained throughout by automated injection of the gas mixture, while minute amounts of oxygen were scavenged by palladium catalysts. All reagents and acids were of analytical grade and solutions were prepared under oxygen-free conditions using 18.2 MΩ cm deionised water sparged with O$_2$-free grade N$_2$ for 20–40 min (Butler et al., 1994). Rigorous exclusion of oxygen is essential because Fe(II)$_{aq}$ and green rusts are prone to oxidation. Solutions were equilibrated with the inert atmosphere and the pH was stable at the beginning of each experiment. Mixed Fe(II)–Fe(III) solutions were made by dissolution of Fe(IV)SO$_4$7H$_2$O and Fe(III) (SO$_4$)$_3$; 5H$_2$O at a ratio of $x$ = 0.33 (where $x$ = $n_{\text{Fe(III)}}/n_{\text{Fe(II)}}$) as suggested by Ruby et al. (2003) in order to solely form the stoichiometric Fe$_4$Fe$_2$(OH)$_{12}$SO$_4$·mH$_2$O and Fe$_6$Fe$_2$(OH)$_{12}$CO$_3$·mH$_2$O species. Na$_2$CO$_3$ was used as the CO$_3^{2-}$ bearing reagent.

2.2. Precipitation and ageing of GRSO$_4$ and GRCO$_3$

A first set of experiments consisted of the progressive formation of GRSO$_4$ and GRCO$_3$ following the protocol described by Ruby et al. (2003). Fe(II) and Fe(III) ions were co-precipitated in a borosilicate reaction vessel by dropwise addition of a 0.1 M NaOH solution to a 0.05 M Fe solution (100 mL) at a rate of 0.625 mL min$^{-1}$ to form GRSO$_4$(See Supplementary Information 1 for further discussion regarding the potential effect of dissolved Si on GR formation). Similarly, GRCO$_3$ was formed by dropwise addition of a 0.1 M NaOH + 0.2 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ mixture (Bocher et al., 2004) or a 0.2 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ solution to the 0.05 M Fe solution at a rate of 0.625 mL min$^{-1}$. Throughout the base addition, pH was monitored, aliquots of the reaction were sampled, and the solids were vacuum filtered pending XRD and TEM analyses in order to check for potential changes in mineralogy.

A second set of experiments consisted of ageing GRSO$_4$ and GRCO$_3$ in situ after rapid precipitation. 5 mL of NaOH or Na$_2$CO$_3$ was injected into 45 mL of Fe(II)/Fe(III) solution (0.05 M, $x$ = 0.33, OH:Fe = 2:1) in serum bottles in order to quantitatively remove Fe from solution as GRSO$_4$ or GRCO$_3$. The solids were collected by vacuum filtration after ageing on various timescales, from 5 min to ~69 h (in the case of GRSO$_4$), and end-member minerals were characterised by XRD.

2.3. Solubility

Our aim here was not to specifically investigate the solubility of green rust, which would require a completely different study. Instead, our aim was to determine the balance between adsorbed and desorbed protons at the surface of GRSO$_4$ and GRCO$_3$ as a function of pH, and therefore the point of zero charge (PZC) for each mineral in aqueous solutions. However, during proton titrations, a portion of the green rust may dissolve, depending on the pH. This mineral dissolution consumes protons and thus it is important that this consumption is not included in our determination of “sorbed protons” during the PZC measurement. Therefore, it is critical to estimate the concentration of H$^+$ consumed during such dissolution.

The solubility equations for “anhydrous” GRCO$_3$ and GRSO$_4$ can be expressed as follows (Eqs. (1) and (2)):

\[
\text{Fe}_6(\text{OH})_{12}\text{CO}_3 + 13\text{H}^+ = 4\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HCO}_3^- + 12\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{Fe}_6(\text{OH})_{12}\text{SO}_4 + 12\text{H}^+ = 4\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + 12\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]
The associated solubility constants have been documented by Rickard and Luther (2007) to be, log $K = 39.1$ and log $K = 3.9$, respectively, based on the potentiometric determination of the free energy of formation (Drissi et al., 1995; Refait et al., 1999). In fact, poorly soluble ferric iron may precipitate as secondary solid phases such as lepidocrocite or goethite, and the overall reactions will reflect an incongruent solubility where solids coexist, as described by Eqs. (3) and (4) for the formation of ferric oxyhydroxide (FeOOH):

$$\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3 + 7\text{H}^+ = 4\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{FeOOH} + \text{HCO}_3^- + 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

(3)

$$\text{Fe}_3(\text{OH})_2\text{SO}_4 + 6\text{H}^+ = 4\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{FeOOH} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

(4)

Depending on which reaction governs green rust solubility during the titrations, total [Fe(aq)$_7^+$] in the supernatant will vary, whereby 1 mol of green rust is equivalent to 6 mol of Fe(aq)$_7^+$ for Eqs. (1) and (2). For Eqs. (3) and (4), however, 1 mol of green rust is equivalent to 4 mol of dissolved Fe(aq)$_7^+$ and 2 mol of FeOOH. Therefore, we measured total [Fe(aq)$_7^+$] in the supernatant throughout the experiment. Aliquots of the solution were sampled during proton titrations (ranging from pH 9 to 7.5), filtered through a 0.45 μm membrane Millipore$^\text{TM}$ filter, and filtrates were acidified with a few drops of concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ for subsequent spectrophotometric [Fe(aq)$_7^+$] determination. When smaller sized filters were used, particles tended to clog off the filter and we preferred using 0.45 μm membranes as both filter sizes gave identical results. We suspect that it is the propensity of GR particles to flocculate that produces individual flocks which are large enough to be trapped on a 0.45 μm membrane filter.

2.4. Potentiometric determination of the PZC

PZC determination of mineral suspensions is usually derived from electrophoretic studies or potentiometric titrations. Wolthers et al. (2005) compared the PZC of iron sulphides obtained by proton titrations (Widler and Seward, 2002; Wolthers et al., 2005) to the isoelectric point obtained using electrophoresis (e.g. Bebié et al., 1998) and concluded that electrophoretic studies may result inoxidation of reduced mineral species. This suggests that the surface of oxygen sensitive minerals may be “refreshed” by proton dissolution during anoxic potentiometric titrations, and thus needs to be considered with respect to green rust.

The principal difficulty in using potentiometric titrations for green rust is its rapid conversion to other mineral phases, because OH$^-$ addition leads to the formation of magnetite and H$^+$ dissolution may form ferrihydrite or goethite (see below for the mechanisms of green rust formation). It is thus essential to (i) perform the titration within the narrowest pH range possible; (ii) account for protolytic compounds in the solution; and (iii) account for green rust solubility and H$^+$ consumption during mineral dissolution. We constrained the pH domain of the PZC using the experimental approach described by Ahmed and Maksimov (1969) and Tewari and McLean (1972). A weighed amount of solid was added to a nitrate electrolyte (0.006, 0.01, 0.04, 0.1, 0.5 or 0.7 M KNO$_3$) of known pH, and any subsequent pH change was tracked over 6 min (as suggested by Tewari and McLean, 1972). NaCl was avoided as it may have promoted the formation of chlorinated green rust. The rates of nitrate reduction by GRSO$_4$ and GRCO$_3$ are slower than those observed using chlorinated and fluorinated green rusts (e.g. Hansen et al., 2001; Choi et al., 2012), and there was no evidence for ammonium production during our experiment (See Supplementary Information 2 for further discussion regarding the potential nitrate reduction in our experiment). The procedure was repeated using starting electrolytes of various pH. An apparent pH$_{PZC}$ value is obtained when pH remains constant after mineral addition.

Although this approach can point towards the PZC value, it does not take into account any matrix or dissolution-consuming H$^+$ effects. Therefore, two titrations were performed in 0.01, 0.04, 0.1, 0.5 or 0.7 M KNO$_3$ with an initial $\text{H}^+$ consumption during the pH 9–7.5 titration, as the ionic background may change when pH decreases. Hence, solubility data were used to correct for these effects. For each measured pH$_i$, the charge balance at the mineral surface, $Q$ (in mol g$^{-1}$), was calculated using Eq. (5):

$$Q = \frac{C_A - C_B - (C_{\text{blank}} - C_{\text{blank}} - [\text{H}^+] + [\text{OH}^-] - [\text{H}^+]_{i})}{m},$$

where $C_A$ and $C_B$ are the concentrations of acid and base added to the reaction or to the blank, $[\text{H}^+]$ and $[\text{OH}^-]$ are calculated from the measured pH using the Debye–Hückel activity coefficients, $[\text{H}^+]_{i}$ is the concentration of protons consumed as GR solubility increases (as explained in Section 2.3) and $m$ is the mass of the mineral.

We performed back-titrations from pH 7.5 to 9 to check for reversibility. This showed that depending on the experimental duration, some titration and back-titration curves were poorly matched, suggesting a significant degree of green rust dissolution and precipitation of new Fe phases when the pH increased during the back-titration. This was confirmed by XRD analysis of the solids at the end of the titration, which showed a magnetite component in the XRD spectra. Kapetas et al. (2011) point out the adverse effect of allowing a long equilibration time between the next acid/base addition accounted specifically for bacteriological systems, it is possible that similar effects occur during the titration of metastable phases such as green rust.
We therefore developed a new titration technique in order to minimise the titration duration and to account for all matrix effects in the blank. Here, the titration was performed from pH 9 to 7.8 using increments of 0.2 pH units. GRSO$_4$ and GRCO$_3$ were precipitated in duplicates, and after pH equilibration one replicate was filtered and the supernatant kept for the blank titration. The proton balance was calculated by the difference in acid or base addition to bring the sample and the blank to the desired pH using Eq. (6):

$$Q = \frac{C_{A} - C_{B} - (C_{A_{\text{blank}}} - C_{B_{\text{blank}}} - [\text{H}^{+}] + [\text{OH}^{-}])}{m}$$ (6)

Using this method, the blank solution accounted for solubility effects and dissolution-consumed H$^{+}$ at any pH, since the supernatant was titrated after equilibration between green rust and the solution. We used this time consuming method as a validity check for the titration curves obtained from the previous method.

2.5. Analyses

Total dissolved Fe was measured by UV–Vis spectrophotometry. Solid phases were analysed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) for mineral characterisation, crystallinity and particle average size determination, and by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) for crystallinity and particle size verification.

2.5.1. Spectrophotometry

Filtrates were acidified with a few drops of concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ and treated with potassium permanganate in order to quantitatively oxidise Fe(II)$_{\text{aq}}$ into Fe(III)$_{\text{aq}}$. Total [Fe$_{\text{aq}}$] (i.e. Fe(III)$_{\text{aq}}$) was determined spectrophotometrically using the thiocyanate method at 480 nm (e.g. Vogel, 1951) on a Genesis 6 spectrophotometer (Thermo Spectronic).

2.5.2. XRD analysis

Directly after filtration, aliquots of the solid phase were coated with a drop of glycerol to prevent oxidation (e.g. Hansen, 1989; Williams and Scherer, 2001; Bocher et al., 2004) and loaded onto a glass sample holder. Fig. 1 illustrates typical XRD scans obtained for GR$_{\text{SO}}$$_4$ (Fig. 1A) and GR$_{\text{CO}}$$_3$ (Fig. 1B). The analysis of a green rust sample was repeated after 8 and 24 h and displayed the same XRD spectrum, inferring that the glycerol coating efficiently prevented oxidation. Samples were analysed on a PANalytical X’Pert Pro MPD diffractometer using Cu–K$_{\alpha}$ radiation (characteristic wavelength, $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) generated at an accelerating voltage of 40 kV and a current of 40 mA in a 5–45° 2θ range, with a 0.0334° 2θ step size. As suggested by Randall et al. (2001), the green rust patterns used for data interpretation where those described by Vins et al. (1987).

We used the Scherrer equation (Eq. (7)) on the first diffraction peak to determine the average crystallite size:

$$L = \frac{K \lambda}{B \cos \theta}$$ (7)

where $L$ is the average crystallite dimension perpendicular to the reflecting planes (Warren, 1990), $k$ is 0.91 (Brindley, 1980), $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the X-rays (0.154 nm for Cu K$_{\alpha}$), and $B$ is the full width of the peak at half maximum height (FWHM). Although the Scherrer equation has been used in nanomaterial studies to determine the size of the crystal domain (e.g. Huang et al., 2003; Wolthers et al., 2003; Jeong et al., 2008; Guilbaud et al., 2010), our aim here was to track any difference in $L$ over time. It is not known whether the use of glycerol may have a deteriorating effect on crystallinity and the FWHM. Nevertheless, we did not attempt to provide absolute crystal size but rather aimed to track variations over time. Precision on $L$ is given by the reproducibility between replicates and is ±1.4 nm ($1\sigma$).

2.5.3. TEM analysis

Aliquots of the solid phase were re-suspended in ethanol, and a drop of the solution was loaded and dried on a copper grid. Ethanol was used in order to ensure rapid evaporation and to minimise the air exposure time during the drying process. TEM analysis was performed on a Philips CM100 electron microscope operated at 100 kV.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Formation of GR$_{\text{SO}}$$_4$

We performed the precipitation experiments as described and reviewed by Ruby et al. (2003, 2006a,b) in order to guarantee that we could reproduce the same mechanism of green rust formation, and also obtain the same products. Fig. 2 plots $R$ as a function of pH for the sulphated species and shows very good agreement between our experiments and those of previous studies. Ruby et al. (2003, 2006a,b) proposed a mass balance diagram where observed mineral assemblages are plotted as a function of $x$ and $R$ (with $R = n_{\text{NaOH}}/n_{\text{Fe(aq)T}}$), and showed that pure green rust forms for $x = 0.33$ and $R = 2$. They showed that for $x = 0.33$, the first mineral phase to form during base addition is a ferric hydroxysulphate salt (i.e. a ferric oxyhydroxide where some of the OH$^{-}$ ions are substituted by SO$_2$$^{2-}$) and when $R = 1$, the solid fraction of the system is only comprised of FeOOH. OH$^{-}$ substitution by SO$_2$$^{2-}$ in the surface layer of the ferric oxyhydroxide is then followed by cluster separation from the ferric oxyhydroxide substrate to react with Fe$^{3+}$(OH)$_{2}$ and form GR$_{\text{SO}}$$_4$ when $R = 2$. Green rust conversion to magnetite and Fe(OH)$_{3}$ occurs when $R > 2$. The whole mechanism is reflected in the titration curves by the two pH plateaus, and is confirmed by the TEM images that show the transition from [FeOOH + GR$_{\text{SO}}$$_4$] to [GR$_{\text{SO}}$$_4$ + Fe$_3$O$_4$ (magnetite) + possibly Fe(OH)$_{3}$] assemblages.

3.2. Formation of GR$_{\text{CO}}$$_3$

We constructed a similar titration graph for GR$_{\text{CO}}$$_3$ as for GR$_{\text{SO}}$$_4$ (Fig. 3A), and compared the results obtained using the 0.1 M NaOH + 0.2 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ or the 0.2 M Na$_2$CO$_3$-only titrant solutions. Here, the solid assemblage is only comprised of green rust for $R = 4$ (as opposed to $R = 2$ for GR$_{\text{SO}}$$_4$, Fig. 2), since Na$_2$CO$_3$ + H$_2$O = 2NaOH + H$_2$CO$_3$ (and therefore $R_{\text{Na2CO3}} = 2R_{\text{NaOH}}$). Unsurprisingly, the 0.1 M NaOH + 0.2 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ titrant
brings the system towards higher alkalinity than for 0.2 M Na₂CO₃ alone, and when GRCO₃ is formed, there is a difference of more than 2 pH units between the two experiments (Fig. 3 A). We found the higher pH system much less stable than when using Na₂CO₃ only, as green rust rapidly transformed into magnetite, and we thus preferred to use the Na₂CO₃-only solution for subsequent experiments.

The difference between the GRCO₃ (Fig. 3) and the GRSO₄ (Fig. 2) formation graphs lies in the presence of a supplementary transformation for GRCO₃ as R increases. In fact, XRD analysis of the solid phase throughout the titration revealed that although Na₂CO₃ was used and not NaOH, GRSO₄ was still forming (Fig. 3B). This is due to the high proportion of sulphate (the starting Fe(II) and Fe(III) source are both iron sulphate salts) in the solution compared with CO₃²⁻ at the start of the progressive base addition. The additional plateau reflects SO₄²⁻ substitution when CO₃²⁻ becomes the dominant anion.

Using Mössbauer spectroscopy, Ruby et al. (2003, 2006a,b) showed that when RNaOH > 2 (similarly, when

---

**Fig. 1.** Typical XRD spectra of freshly precipitated and glycerol-coated GRSO₄ (Fig. 1A) and GRCO₃ (Fig. 1B). Open circles represent the scan of the same glycerol-coated sample re-analysed after 22 h outside the anoxic cabinet. The similarity of the scans highlights the efficiency of glycerol to prevent oxidation.

**Fig. 2.** Evolution of pH versus the relative amount of titrant added during the formation of sulphated green rust. Light grey circles represent the experimental data obtained starting with x = 0.33. Darker circles were obtained starting with x = 0.35. Our data are in good agreements with previous work (light grey curve). The two red crosses indicate the points where the reaction was sub-sampled and the solid products analysed by TEM. At pH 6.5, solid products consist of a mixture of green rust hexagons and lepidocrocite/goethite spikes. At pH 10.2, green rust hexagons have partially transformed to darker magnetite crystals.
R_{Na_{2}CO_{3}} > 4), green rusts rapidly convert to magnetite and Fe(OH)$_2$. The equation can be written as an acid–base reaction (Eq. (8)):

$$\text{Fe}^{II}_{3}\text{Fe}^{III}_{12}\text{OH}_{12}\text{CO}_{3} + \text{OH}^- = \text{Fe}^{III}_{3}\text{Fe}^{II}_{12}\text{O}_{12} + 3\text{Fe}^{III}\text{OH}_2 + 3\text{HCO}_3^- + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

(8)

Ferrous hydroxide is poorly crystalline in aqueous media, and we could not detect its presence in the XRD spectrum of the end products. Alternatively, the common anoxic Fe(OH)$_2$ to magnetite conversion (the so-called “Schikorr reaction”; Schikorr, 1933) may take place and the overall reaction is (Eq. (9)):

$$\text{Fe}_6(\text{OH})_1\text{CO}_3 + \text{OH}^- = 2\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{H}_2 + \text{HCO}_3^- + 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

(9)

### 3.3. Solubility

Total dissolved Fe measured during the course of the titrations performed on in situ precipitated GR shows some dependency on pH for both GRCO$_3$ (using 0.01 M KNO$_3$) and GRSO$_4$ (using 0.04 M KNO$_3$). Fig. 4 shows that although the duplicate analysis gave a poor precision (maximum ± 0.5 log Fe(aq)$_{1,1}$, log [Fe(aq)$_{1,1}$] tends to plot linearly as a function of pH, with a slope of ~ -1.8 for both mineral species. It is possible that the apparent shift between the two plots may be due to different solubility products using different electrolyte concentrations. However, the given precision does not permit us to strictly differentiate between the GRCO$_3$ and the GRSO$_4$ results. A broader examination of the plot gives us some indication on the mechanism that governs GR solubility in our experiment. Reaction constants K for Eqs. (1) and (2) can be written as (Eqs. (10) and (11)):

$$K = \frac{[\text{HCO}_3^-][\text{Fe(aq)}_{1,1}^6]}{[\text{H}^+]^{14}}$$

(10)

$$K = \frac{[\text{SO}_4^{2-}][\text{Fe(aq)}_{1,1}^6]}{[\text{H}^+]^{14}}$$

(11)

Isolating [Fe(aq)$_{1,1}$] in these equations gives a pH dependent linear equation where log[Fe(aq)$_{1,1}$] $\approx$ $\frac{1}{6}$ log $K_{\text{GRSO}_4}$ - 2 pH, and therefore the slope would be $\sim$ -2 in a log [Fe(aq)$_{1,1}$] versus pH plot. Similarly, for Eqs.3 and 4, the slope would be $\sim$ -1.5. Note that the intervention of Fe(III)$_{aq}$ complexes such as Fe(OH)$_{1,1}^{3+}$ would display even lower slopes, around $\sim$ -1.5, and the formation of stoichiometric ferrihydrite (Fe$_2$HO$_x$ 4H$_2$O) would either be pH independent or would display a slope of $\sim$ -1. Our data suggest that during the pH 9–7.5 titrations, a small fraction of FeOOH solids may contribute to the reaction, but ferrihydrite is unlikely to be present. The PZC for freshly precipitated goethite has been determined to be 9.3 ± 0.1 (Antelo et al., 2005), implying that throughout our titrations, the surface of potential FeOOH solids is positively charged, minimising any H$^+$ adsorption effect. Instead, in their model using phosphate as an adsorbed species, Antelo et al. (2005) suggest that for a pH range of 7.8–9, non-protonated phosphate complexes largely dominate the inner-sphere species. Therefore, the positively charged goethite particles sites are fully compensated by non-protonating anions and no further H$^+$ adsorption is likely to occur. One could argue that the linearity discussed above is in fact a combination of an $\sim$ -2 slope correlation line for pH > 8 and a lower slope correlation line for pH < 8. This may indicate that incongruent dissolution of green rust only takes place at lower pH, implying that green rust is the only solid phase from pH 9 to 8. The very high green rust concentration used in our experiments ($\sim$ 5 g L$^{-1}$) suggests that the green rust surface
controls \( H^+ \) adsorption, as green rust is by far the dominant mineral species. This is further supported by the fact that no other observable Fe bearing phase participates in the XRD spectra, nor was detected in TEM analyses of the titration's end-products. Note that Fig. 4 was not used to determine GR solubility, but only to define what mechanism dominates GR solubility in order to estimate the amount of \( H^+ \) consumed during GR equilibrium dissolution using Eqs. (1) and (2). Overall, this estimation resulted in only a very minor correction to Eq. (5), as \( [H^+] \) was 2 to 4 orders of magnitude smaller than \( C_A \) in Eq. (5).

### 3.4. Surface charge

The preliminary experiments following the method described by Ahmed and Maksimov (1969) and Tewari and McLean (1972) showed that no pH shift was observed after green rust addition to various electrolytes when the starting pH was 8.3 ± 0.2 and 8.5 ± 0.2 for GRSO\(_4\) and GRCO\(_3\), respectively. Since the difference between both species comes essentially from the anions in the interlayers, it is not surprising that the preliminary estimate of the PZC is, within error, essentially the same for both minerals. As explained above, however, this method only permitted us to narrow down the pH range of potentiometric titrations because it does not take into account any green rust dissolution or matrix effects.

Fig. 5 shows the surface charge for GRSO\(_4\) and GRCO\(_3\) calculated for different ionic strengths using the “traditional” titration curves (Eq. (5)) and the method we developed (Eq. (6)), using a stability criterion of 0.05 pH/min, since reducing it to 0.001 pH/min provoked significant hysteresis as pointed out by Kapetas et al. (2011). Note that we plotted the curves for which the back-titrations were matching, and where no phases other than green rust were detected in the recovered solid products at the end of the titration. We obtained a common intersection point using the different methods, implying that the data are of good quality and reproducible, and that PZC values are 8.3 ± 0.1 and 8.35 ± 0.05 for GRSO\(_4\) and GRCO\(_3\), respec-
tively. Variations in curve shapes using different electrolyte concentrations may be due to different extents of nitrate reduction, but although the surface site chemistry may be affected, the common intersection point for all curves suggests that nitrate reduction was not significant enough to affect the PZC.

3.5. Particle growth and ageing

TEM imaging revealed that the average diameter of freshly precipitated GRCO$_3$ and GRSO$_4$ hexagons was $\sim 54 \pm 13$ nm ($n = 27$) when freshly precipitated. This is similar to the lower range of abiotic green rust particle sizes observed in previous studies, where particles vary from $\sim 50$ to $\sim 200$ nm (Ruby et al., 2003; Bocher et al., 2004; Ruby et al., 2006). Interestingly, applying the Scherrer equation to the first diffraction peak gave much smaller diameters for the minimum crystallite size ($L$, Table 1), of around $\sim 20$ nm (6–40 nm). This could be the result of shape effects whereby the spherical shape parameter ($k$) in the Scherrer equation may be inappropriate for hexagonal particles. Alternatively, due to the very platy morphology of green rust and using {001} as the measured reflection peak, $L$ could reflect the size of the shortest dimension of the particles rather than the average hexagon diameter, as in their high resolution TEM imaging. Bocher et al. (2004) showed that for $\sim 90$ nm hexagons, the particle thickness is $\sim 15$ nm (i.e. very similar to that observed in our experiments). Note that the effects on glycerol on green rust crystallinity are not known, and it is envisaged that discrepancies between observed (TEM derived) and calculated (Scherrer derived) sizes result from these potential effects. Regardless of this, our aim here was to inspect the evolution of green rust particles through time.

XRD scans of aged material shows an increase in peak intensity with time, as showed with GRCO$_3$ in Fig. 6A. Narrowing of peaks implies an increase in the mineral crystallinity and size of the domains. Table 1 documents the evolution of the full width of the peak at half maximum (FWHM) and calculated $L$ with time. Both green rust types seem to display slight size differences, but both grow at comparable rates. $L$ increases rapidly within the first 50 min, whereas after 200 min, it seems to reach the asymptotic value of $\sim 30$ and $\sim 40$ nm for GRCO$_3$ and GRSO$_4$, respectively. Fig. 6B shows that after 8 h of ageing, the diffraction peaks for green rust decrease in intensity and broaden, and diffraction peaks for magnetite appear and increase in intensity with time. After 69 h, green rust almost completely disappears from the diffractogram in favour of magnetite (See Supplementary Information 3 for further supporting data regarding GR-magnetite transformations).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Surface chemistry of green rust particles

As explained above, the difference between type 1 and type 2 green rusts lies in the nature of the interlayer anions and crystal geometry. Because the surface charge is determined by the Fe hydroxide layer, it is not surprising that within error, the PZC at the surface of both green rust species is the same. For pH $> 8.3$, nitrate adsorption is minimised and subsequent reduction occurs at slower rates.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRCO$_3$</th>
<th>FWHM (Å)</th>
<th>$L$ (nm)</th>
<th>GRSO$_4$</th>
<th>FWHM (Å)</th>
<th>$L$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>11.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>9.96</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>18.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>22.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>17.73</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>29.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>21.28</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>11.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123.0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>23.75</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>20.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175.0</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>27.14</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>25.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235.0</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>31.42</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>30.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>31.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>13.06</td>
<td>240.0</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>40.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>12.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>16.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122.0</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>22.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Precision on $L$ (1σ)$\pm 1.4$ nm
{001} face is comprised entirely of tri-coordinated hydroxyl sites, whereas the lateral {010} and {100} faces are comprised of a mixture of tri-, doubly- and mono-coordinated hydroxyl sites. In order to resolve the contribution of each Fe(II) and Fe(III) to hydroxyl groups, Bocher et al. (2004) showed that the brucite-like structure of the hydroxide layers can be written as \([\text{Fe}^{II}_{1/3} \text{(OH)}_{1/3}] \text{[Fe}^{III}_{1/3} \text{(OH)}_{2/3}]^{1/3}\), whereby the Pauling’s valence charge for each Fe(II) and Fe(III) species is +1/3. Bocher et al. (2004) listed the formal surface charge of the various hydroxyl sites as: \(\equiv\text{FeOH}^{-2/3}, \equiv\text{Fe}_{2}\text{OH}^{-1/3}\) and \(\equiv\text{Fe}_{3}\text{OH}^{0}\). \(\equiv\text{Fe}_{3}\text{OH}^{0}\) is the strongly acidic, tri-coordinated site that can protonate and deprotonate (Eqs. (12) and (13)), \(\equiv\text{Fe}_{2}\text{OH}^{-1/3}\) is the doubly-coordinated site that can protonate (Eq. (14)), and \(\equiv\text{FeOH}^{-2/3}\) is the mono-coordinated site that can protonate (Eq. (15)). Deprotonation reactions for the doubly- and mono-coordinated sites are unlikely to occur since the resulting deprotonated species would be unstable according to Pauling’s valence.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Fe}_{3}\text{OH}^{0} + \text{H}^{+} &= \text{Fe}_{2}\text{OH}_{2}^{2/3} \log K_{\text{mon1}} = 8.3 \quad (12) \\
\text{Fe}_{2}\text{OH}^{0} &= \text{Fe}_{3}\text{O}^{0} + \text{H}^{+} \log K_{\text{doub1}} = 8.3 \quad (13) \\
\text{Fe}_{2}\text{OH}^{-1/3} + \text{H}^{+} &= \text{Fe}_{2}\text{OH}_{2}^{2/3} \log K_{\text{doub1}} = 8.3 \quad (14) \\
\text{FeOH}^{-2/3} + \text{H}^{+} &= \text{FeOH}_{2}^{-1/3} \log K_{\text{mon1}} = 8.3 \quad (15)
\end{align*}
\]

Jönsson and Sherman (2008) proposed that the tri-coordinated sites of the GRSO₄ {001} faces are unreactive to anion adsorption since this would imply OH⁻ substitution from the strongly acidic \(\equiv\text{Fe}_{3}\text{OH}^{0}\). This assumption can also be considered valid for GRCO₃ as Bocher et al. (2004) report EDX-TEM evidence to suggest that phosphate did not adsorb to the {001} surface. Since the {001} surface comprises the majority of surface sites, this finding suggests that green rust adsorption is restricted to the lateral {010} and {100} surface sites. However, the consequences of this are different for cation adsorption, since increasing pH would promote \(\equiv\text{Fe}_{2}\text{OH}^{-1/3}\) deprotonation (Eq. (13)), leading to a significant increase in available adsorption sites.

Surface complexation was modelled in Phreeqc Interactive 2.15.0 using the generalised electric two-layer model described in Dzombak and Morel (1990). We developed the model for GRCO₃ as the crystallographic parameters are available (Williams and Scherer, 2001; Bocher et al., 2004) and are summarised in Table 2. We assumed an even repartitioning of the different sites along the lateral {010} and {100} faces, and used the Fe atom density data from Bocher et al. (2004) and the BET-measured specific surface area for GRCO₃ from Williams and Scherer (2001). For each pH step, site concentration was calculated and \(Q\) was derived from Eq. (16):

\[
Q_{\text{model}} = [\equiv\text{Fe}_{3}\text{OH}^{2}] - [\equiv\text{Fe}_{2}\text{O}^{0}] - [\equiv\text{Fe}_{2}\text{OH}^{-1/3}] \\
+ [\equiv\text{Fe}_{2}\text{OH}_{2}^{2/3}] - [\equiv\text{FeOH}^{-2/3}] \\
+ [\equiv\text{FeOH}_{2}^{-1/3}] \quad (16)
\]

The set of apparent surface reaction constants (Eqs. (12)-(15)) was modified stepwise until we obtained a best fit between the derived model and the proton titration data for a total ionic strength of 0.006 M. Fig. 7 illustrates a proposed surface complexation model which describes the net charge of GRCO₃ surface with varying pH. Note that due to the relative surface area of the {001} face, the dominant complexes are the tri-coordinated species (Fig. 7B). The model is a simplification in that it does not account for non-stoichiometries or surface heterogeneities. It also disregards
the effects of various extents of nitrate reduction on the reactive sites which may occur as suggested by the shape differences of the titration curves. However, it does link for the first time the previously established surface site characteristics of green rust, with the net surface charge of particles in aqueous media.

4.2. Formation and growth of green rust particles

The size of freshly precipitated abiotic particles depends upon experimental protocols, and in our experiments, GRCO$_3$ and GRSO$_4$ precipitated in the lower range of sizes for abiotic green rust. Interestingly, green rust crystals produced during biologically mediated reactions tend to display much larger sizes, up to tens of microns (e.g. Zegeye et al., 2005; Berthelin et al., 2006; Zegeye et al., 2010). The size of precipitated green rust is important since the rates and mechanisms of particle growth are size dependent. Two mechanisms are usually proposed to describe the rate of particle growth in aqueous media: growth by oriented aggregation and by Ostwald-ripening. Aggregation-growth, which permits a decrease in surface energy, has been demonstrated for a number of geologically relevant nanoparticulate materials, including Fe oxyhydroxides, Ti oxides, and metal sulphides (e.g. Penn and Banfield, 1998, 1999; Banfield et al., 2000; Banfield and Zhang, 2001; Penn et al., 2001; Huang et al., 2003; Zhang and Banfield, 2004; Waychunas et al., 2005; Guilbaud et al., 2010). Such a growth mechanism has wide consequences for the chemical behaviour of nanoparticles in solution, and for the retention of toxic metals as they may be trapped within the particle structure during aggregation. On the other hand, Ostwald-ripening is characterised by the dissolution of smaller particles in favour of larger ones, implying that during growth, adsorbed ions will be released into solution and re-adsorbed or co-precipitated at the surface of larger particles.

The rate equations for both mechanisms have been determined. Huang et al. (2003) developed a crystal growth model for aggregation-growth using Scherrer derived size data for ZnS nanoparticles (Eq. (17)):

$$D = \frac{D_0 (\sqrt[3]{2}kt + 1)}{(kt + 1)}$$

where $D$ is the average diameter (the subscript 0 meaning "initial"), $t$ is the time and $k$ a constant.

For the Ostwald ripening mechanism, the evolution in particle size is given by Eq. (18) (Joesten, 1991):

$$D - D_0 = k(t - t_0)^{1/n}$$

where $n$ is an integer describing the physical mechanism, usually comprised between 2 and 5. Parameters $k$ and $n$ can be extracted using the logarithm of Eq. (18) in a $ln (D - D_0)$ versus $ln (t - t_0)$ plot.

Fig. 8 compares the experimental results with the particle size prediction obtained during Ostwald-ripening (Eq. (18)), and our data suggest that both green rust species may grow via Ostwald ripening. In contrast, the aggregation-growth model (not shown) gave non supporting results, with final mineral sizes (250 min) converging asymptotically towards ~10 nm. This suggests that during

---

Fig. 7. Best fit between experimental (open circles) and model (black circles) derived charge balances for GRCO$_3$ and an ionic strength of 0.006 M (A) and associated surface complexation (B). Site concentration is in mol g$^{-1}$.

Fig. 8. Evolution of the lowest crystallite average size ($L$) calculated from Eq. (7) for both green rust species (open and black circles) through time. Error bars are shown on a 2σ level. Solid lines represent the predicted size evolution using an Ostwald ripening model. Shadows represent the error envelopes on the model, calculated using a 2σ precision.
the growth of GRCO$_3$ and GRSO$_4$, small particles dissolve back into solution and re-precipitate at the surface of larger particles, implying similar dynamics for any adsorbed species. This might result in a larger uptake of dissolved species as both adsorption and co-precipitation occur during Ostwald-ripening. Note that the highest rates of dissolved species occur within the first 50 min as shown in Fig. 8. The exact behaviour of potential adsorbed/desorbed metals or other contaminants during the very first stages of particle growth is yet to be investigated. After 200 min, however, the growth rate seems to decrease towards an asymptote, after $L$ has increased by $>3$. When converting into a volume, this constitutes a substantial proportion of the total growth (See Supplementary Information 4 for further discussion regarding use of the Scherrer equation to determine the growth mechanism).

After 8 h of ageing we noted the transformation of green rust into magnetite. This is entirely consistent with a recent study of green rust formation in an anoxic Fe-rich water column, whereby magnetite was also found forming in the water column (Zegeye et al., 2012). Whether adsorbed and/or incorporated metals can transfer to magnetite, or are released as dissolved ions in the aqueous media, is unclear, although studies of green rust and magnetite formation during bacterial reduction of hydrous ferric oxide have suggested that the ionic forms of elements such as P and Ni are not effectively removed from solution during magnetite growth (Parmar et al., 2001). The role of green rust transformation pathways on the non-reversible removal of contaminants and bioessential nutrients (e.g. Jönsson and Sherman, 2008; Zegeye et al., 2012) from solution clearly requires further detailed study.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have determined the net surface charge of sulphated and carbonated green rust by potentiometric titrations. The point of zero charge for both species is $\sim 8.3$. This implies that marine environments and alkaline settings favour negatively charged particle surfaces, enhancing cation adsorption over anion adsorption. As confirmed by previous studies, this impacts directly upon the rates and the extent of subsequent reduction of adsorbed species (e.g. Williams and Scherer, 2001; Jönsson and Sherman, 2008). This has wide implications for the removal of contaminants or nutrients from solution, since under anoxic, non-sulphidic conditions, green rust may be a prevalent Fe bearing authigenic mineral phase (Zegeye et al., 2012).

The adsorption of dissolved ions to green rust is, however, more complicated than that suggested by a simple pH-dependent ion fixation. Our data demonstrate for the first time that green rust particles grow via an Ostwald-ripening mechanism, implying that adsorbed species are likely to be subject to repetitive steps of release into solution and re-adsorption during the dissolution–reprecipitation mechanism. Conversion of metastable green rust into the more stable magnetite phase may also have further effects on the partitioning of adsorbed and dissolved ions, but these potential effects are yet to be fully explored.
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