

1 Predicting risk of serious bacterial infections in febrile children in the Emergency  
2 Department.

3 Adam D Irwin, PhD MRCPCH<sup>1</sup>, Alison Grant, BSc<sup>2</sup>, Rhian Williams, BSc<sup>2</sup>, Ruwanthi  
4 Kolamunnage-Dona, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Richard J Drew, MD FRCPATH<sup>4,5</sup>, Stephane Paulus, MD  
5 FRCPCH<sup>6</sup>, Graham Jeffers<sup>7</sup>, Kim Williams<sup>2</sup>, Rachel Breen, PhD<sup>8</sup>, Jennifer Preston<sup>7</sup>, Duncan  
6 Appelbe, PhD<sup>8</sup>, Christine Chesters<sup>9</sup>, Paul Newland, MPhil<sup>9</sup>, Omnia Marzouk, MD FRCPCH<sup>2</sup>,  
7 Paul S McNamara, PhD FRCPCH<sup>7</sup>, Peter J Diggle, PhD<sup>1,10</sup>, Enitan D Carrol, MD FRCPCH<sup>1</sup>

8

9 Affiliations:

10 <sup>1</sup>Institute of Infection and Global Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

11 <sup>2</sup>Emergency Department, Alder Hey Children's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool,  
12 UK

13 <sup>3</sup>Department of Biostatistics, Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool,  
14 Liverpool, UK

15 <sup>4</sup>Department of Microbiology, Rotunda Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

16 <sup>5</sup>Department of Microbiology, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Dublin, Ireland

17 <sup>6</sup>Department of Infectious Disease, Alder Hey Children's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust,  
18 Liverpool, UK

19 <sup>7</sup>Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

20 <sup>8</sup>Clinical Trials Research Centre, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

21 <sup>9</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Alder Hey Children's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust,  
22 Liverpool, UK

23 <sup>10</sup>Medical School, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

24

25 **Corresponding author:**

26 Dr Adam Irwin

27 Institute of Infection and Global Health

28 University of Liverpool

29 Ronald Ross Building

30 8 West Derby Street

31 Liverpool L69 7BE

32 [adam.irwin@nhs.net](mailto:adam.irwin@nhs.net)

33 +44 7859 063222

34

35 **Table of Contents Summary:**

36 Multinomial regression is used to model risk of serious bacterial infection in febrile children  
37 in the Emergency Department

38 **Short title:**

39 Risk prediction in febrile children in ED

40

41 **Financial Disclosure:**

42 The authors have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose

43

44 **Funding**

45 This work was supported by the National Institute for Health Research, Research for  
46 Innovation, Speculation and Creativity Programme [grant number RC-PG-0309-10053 to  
47 EDC], and the Alder Hey Charity funding [grant number 8037 to ADI and EDC]. The  
48 funding bodies had no role in the design or undertaking of the study.

49  
50 This article presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health  
51 Research (NIHR) Research for Innovation Speculation and Creativity (RISC) Programme.  
52 The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the  
53 NIHR or the Department of Health.

54

55 **Potential conflicts of Interest:**

56 The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article to disclose

57

58 **Transparency declaration**

59 All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at  
60 [www.icmje.org/coi\\_disclosure.pdf](http://www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf) and declare: no support from any organisation for the  
61 submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest  
62 in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that  
63 could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

64 Professor Carrol affirms that this manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account  
65 of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that  
66 any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained.

67

68 **What's known on this subject:**

69 Failure to identify serious infections in children results in adverse outcomes whilst a failure to  
70 rule-out serious infections results in unnecessary antibiotic use and hospital admission.  
71 Multivariable clinical risk prediction models appear to discriminate well between serious and  
72 self-limiting infections.

73 **What this study adds:**

74 In a study of 1101 children of all ages, risk prediction models discriminated well between  
75 pneumonia, other serious bacterial infections and none. A published model performed well on  
76 external validation and model extension with Procalcitonin and Resistin improved  
77 discrimination.

78

79

80

81

82 **Author contributions**

83 Dr Irwin oversaw the running of the study, collected the data, determined outcome diagnoses,  
84 performed laboratory assays, and statistical analysis, wrote the first draft of the manuscript,  
85 and revised and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

86 Ms Grant and Ms R Williams supervised collection of data, contributed to writing the  
87 manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

88 Dr Kolamunnage-Dona oversaw the running of the study, performed statistical analysis,  
89 contributed to writing the manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

90 Dr Drew contributed to study design, writing the manuscript, and approved the final  
91 manuscript as submitted.

92 Dr Paulus determined outcome diagnoses, oversaw the running of the study, contributed to  
93 writing the manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

94 Mr Jeffers and Ms Chesters performed laboratory assays, acquired and interpreted data,  
95 contributed to writing the manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

96 Ms K Williams and Dr Marzouk designed and oversaw the study, collected the data,  
97 contributed to writing the manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

98 Dr Breen and Ms Preston oversaw the running of the study, contributed to writing the  
99 manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

100 Dr Appelbe supported study design and data acquisition, contributed to writing the  
101 manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

102 Dr Newland and Professor McNamara designed the study, contributed to writing the  
103 manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

104 Professor Diggle performed statistical analysis, contributed to writing the manuscript,  
105 reviewed and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

106 Professor Carrol designed and oversaw the running of the study, determined outcome  
107 diagnoses, contributed to writing the manuscript, reviewed and approved the final manuscript  
108 as submitted.

109 All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all  
110 aspects of the work.

111 **ABSTRACT**

112 **Background**

113 Improving the diagnosis of serious bacterial infections (SBI) in the children's Emergency  
114 Department (ED) is a clinical priority. Early recognition reduces morbidity and mortality,  
115 while supporting clinicians to rule out SBI may limit unnecessary admissions and antibiotic  
116 use.

117 **Methods**

118 A prospective diagnostic accuracy study of clinical and biomarker variables for the diagnosis  
119 of SBI (pneumonia or 'other SBI') in febrile children <16 years. A diagnostic model was  
120 derived using multinomial logistic regression, and internally validated. External validation of  
121 a published model was undertaken followed by model updating and extension by the  
122 inclusion of Procalcitonin and Resistin.

123 **Results**

124 1101 children were studied, of whom 264 had SBI. A diagnostic model discriminated well  
125 between pneumonia and no SBI (*c* statistic 0.84, 95%CI 0.78 to 0.90) and between other SBIs  
126 and no SBI (0.77, 95% CI 0.71 to 0.83) on internal validation. A published multivariable  
127 model discriminated well on external validation. Model updating yielded good calibration  
128 with good performance at both high risk (Positive Likelihood Ratios 6.46 and 5.13 for  
129 pneumonia and other SBI respectively) and low risk (Negative Likelihood Ratios 0.16 and  
130 0.13) thresholds. Extending the model with the addition of Procalcitonin and Resistin yielded  
131 improvements in discrimination.

132 **Conclusions**

133 Diagnostic models discriminated well between pneumonia, other SBIs and no SBI in febrile  
134 children in the ED. Improvements in classification of non-events have the potential to reduce  
135 unnecessary hospital admission, and improve antibiotic prescribing. The benefits of this  
136 improved risk prediction should be further evaluated in robust impact studies.

137 **INTRODUCTION**

138 Acute febrile illness is among the most common of all presentations to the children's  
139 Emergency Department (ED).<sup>1</sup> In this context, the probability of serious bacterial infection  
140 (SBI) is estimated to be 7% - predominantly lower respiratory or urinary tract infection.<sup>2</sup>

141 The prompt recognition of SBI is fundamental to effective management. Children with  
142 meningococcal disease are frequently missed at initial presentation,<sup>3</sup> and delayed recognition  
143 increases mortality.<sup>4, 5</sup> Though rates of invasive infection have declined with the introduction  
144 of conjugate vaccines,<sup>6-8</sup> SBI remains an important contributor to childhood morbidity and  
145 mortality.<sup>9</sup>

146 In the UK, as rates of invasive infections have declined, the number of children admitted to  
147 hospital has increased.<sup>10</sup> The greatest increase is in young children with uncomplicated  
148 admissions for acute infections.<sup>11</sup> Supporting clinicians to rule out SBI may reduce  
149 unnecessary hospital admissions in children.<sup>12</sup>

150 A number of studies have reported the diagnostic accuracy of clinical<sup>13</sup> and laboratory<sup>14</sup>  
151 variables in febrile children. More recently, risk prediction models combining clinical  
152 variables have been evaluated,<sup>2, 15</sup> and in one the addition of CRP improved diagnostic  
153 accuracy.<sup>16</sup> We ourselves have previously reported the combined performance of  
154 Procalcitonin, Resistin and Neutrophil Gelatinase-associated Lipocalin (NGAL) in Malawian  
155 children.<sup>17</sup>

156 Diagnostic accuracy studies in febrile children have so far failed to impact clinical practice.  
157 Restrictive inclusion criteria, such as age, temperature, or clinical syndrome<sup>18</sup> have limited  
158 their external validity and few have progressed to validation in external populations. We  
159 therefore set out to derive and internally validate a multivariable risk prediction model, and to

160 externally validate a previously published model<sup>16</sup> for the diagnosis of SBI in febrile children  
161 of all ages.

162

## 163 **METHODS**

164 A prospective diagnostic accuracy study of clinical and biomarker variables for the diagnosis  
165 of SBI in children presenting to the Alder Hey Children's Hospital ED. This is the busiest  
166 children's ED in the UK, managing 60000 attendances each year. Recruitment was  
167 undertaken between November 2010 and April 2012. The study is reported in line with the  
168 Standards for Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy (STARD) and Transparent Reporting of a  
169 multivariable prediction model for Individual Prognosis Or Diagnosis (TRIPOD)  
170 guidelines.<sup>19, 20</sup>

### 171 **Participants**

172 Children less than 16 years of age with fever ( $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) or history of fever were eligible if they  
173 required blood tests as part of clinical management. Children with primary immunodeficiency  
174 were excluded. Using prior estimates of sensitivity and specificity of 65% and 90%  
175 respectively, and a rate of SBI of 15%, a sample size of 2300 was proposed. For skin and soft  
176 tissue infections, the reference standard for SBI was that children were deemed by the clinical  
177 team to require intravenous antibiotics. As the outcome diagnosis was solely based upon a  
178 clinical decision, and as this was true of all such cases, these children (n=82) were excluded  
179 (Figure 1).

### 180 **Patient involvement**

181 The GenerationR Young Person's Advisory Group ([www.generationr.org.uk](http://www.generationr.org.uk)), initiated by the  
182 National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) helped design patient information leaflets for

183 young people and families. In the course of the study the group explored improvements in  
184 the recognition of serious infection, and the discussed diagnostic tests using various samples  
185 (such as saliva or blood). This involvement has informed the design of subsequent studies.

## 186 **Data**

187 Relevant clinical and biomarker variables were identified from the literature, including two  
188 large systematic reviews.<sup>13, 14</sup> Clinical data were entered onto a proforma at the time of the  
189 clinical assessment. Where possible, this was done by the attending clinician. When the  
190 proforma was incomplete, missing clinical information was retrieved from the clinical notes,  
191 where explicitly referenced. Paper proformas were collected by the study team daily. All  
192 proformas were cross checked against the clinical notes which were electronically scanned  
193 and stored. Missing or ambiguous data were recorded as missing. Data collection and entry  
194 into the database was blinded to final outcomes.

## 195 **Samples**

196 Tests performed in study subjects are recorded in supplementary Table 1. All samples were  
197 processed in Clinical Pathology Accredited laboratories. Blood (0.5 to 1ml) inoculated into  
198 culture bottles was monitored using the BacT/ALERT 3D system. Positive cultures were  
199 processed in line with UK standards for Microbiology investigations developed by Public  
200 Health England.<sup>21</sup> Specific *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Neisseria meningitidis* PCR assays  
201 were performed at the Meningococcal Reference Unit in Manchester.<sup>22, 23</sup> Urine and CSF  
202 underwent microscopy and culture on agar gel plates, and were processed in line with UK  
203 standards. Multiplex PCR was performed on respiratory (RSV, Influenza A and B,  
204 Parainfluenza 1-3, Adenovirus, Rhinovirus and Human Metapneumovirus) and CSF (HSV 1  
205 and 2, Varicella Zoster and Enterovirus) samples at the regional laboratory in Manchester.  
206 From April 2011, respiratory PCRs were performed using the FilmArray respiratory viral

207 panel (Biomerieux) and additionally identified Parainfluenza 4, Rhino/Enterovirus and  
208 Coronavirus 1-4.

209 Blood (0.5-1ml) was collected into Lithium Heparin and plasma stored in Sarstedt microtubes  
210 at -80°C within 1 hour. Prior to analysis samples were thawed, vortex mixed and centrifuged  
211 to remove bubbles and particulate matter. Procalcitonin analysis was undertaken on the  
212 B.R.A.H.M.S. Kryptor according to manufacturer's instructions. Quality control samples  
213 were analysed with each run. NGAL and Resistin were analysed using validated commercial  
214 ELISA.

### 215 **Reference tests**

216 In common with other published studies, outcome diagnoses were determined by a composite  
217 reference standard incorporating clinical, microbiological and radiological features  
218 (supplementary Table 2).<sup>14, 15, 24, 25</sup> Using these pre-defined criteria, a paediatric research  
219 fellow and a paediatric infectious disease consultant independently attributed outcome  
220 diagnosis. In the case of disagreement, a second paediatric infectious disease consultant  
221 determined final outcome. Children who failed to meet the pre-defined criteria for SBI were  
222 considered to have 'No SBI'. Subjects were followed up to 28 days to reduce  
223 misclassification.

### 224 **Statistical methods**

225 Analysis was undertaken in R, version 3.0.1.<sup>26</sup> Missing data were handled by ten-fold  
226 multiple imputation using fully conditional specification implemented by the MICE  
227 package.<sup>27</sup> In this method, missing values are replaced by values drawn from a conditional  
228 distribution specific to each individual predictor variable and defined by its own imputation



229 model. Data were assumed to be ‘missing at random’. The proportion of missing data relating  
230 to each clinical variable is recorded in supplementary table 3.

### 231 **Model derivation, validation and updating**

232 The dataset was randomised into a split sample “derivation”, and “validation” set. Univariate  
233 analysis of clinical and biomarker variables was undertaken using logistic regression, for the  
234 outcome of SBI. Explanatory variables were examined for evidence of collinearity. Scatter  
235 plots and generalised additive model (GAM) plots,<sup>28</sup> fitted using the gam() function in the  
236 mgcv package, were examined for evidence of non-linearity on the log-odds scale. Piecewise  
237 and polynomial transformations were undertaken where appropriate. Plausible interaction  
238 terms were explored, including interactions between age, heart rate and respiratory rate. A  
239 multivariable model was derived using a forwards stepwise method. Improvements in model  
240 fit were tested by means of a likelihood ratio test ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) and variables associated with a  
241 significant improvement were retained. Having identified a parsimonious model for SBI,  
242 these variables were then included in a multinomial regression model for the categorical  
243 outcomes “pneumonia”, “other SBI”, and “no SBI”.

244 External validation of the model published by Nijman *et al*<sup>16</sup> was undertaken using the  
245 published coefficients. A comparison of study participants is given in supplementary table 4.  
246 The model was updated by re-fitting variables and estimating the individual co-efficients,  
247 then extended by the inclusion of Procalcitonin and Resistin. This strategy preserved the  
248 original model structure and avoided deriving an entirely new model. The biomarkers were  
249 chosen having observed their value in our earlier model derivation. Additional clinical  
250 variables were not investigated as they appeared less predictive in our model derivation, and  
251 plausible clinical variables were adequately represented by the published model.

### 252 **Model evaluation**

253 Performance characteristics of the fitted models at various risk thresholds were estimated  
254 using the epiR package.<sup>29</sup> Discrimination was measured using the concordance (*c*) statistic,  
255 and illustrated by Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves using the pROC  
256 package.<sup>30</sup> The *c* statistic estimates the probability that a randomly selected subject with the  
257 outcome of interest has a higher predicted probability than a randomly selected subject  
258 without. Comparison of the *c* statistic was undertaken using the DeLong method.<sup>31</sup> For the  
259 multinomial regression model, the *c* statistic estimated discrimination between pairs of  
260 patients –a patient with pneumonia and a patient with no SBI, or patient with “other SBI” and  
261 a patient with no SBI. Confidence intervals (95%) were estimated by a bootstrapping process  
262 using 2000 bootstrap replicates. Calibration of the models (how closely risk predictions fit  
263 observed cases) was illustrated using multinomial calibration plots.<sup>32</sup>

264 In the absence of established methods to report classification in multinomial risk prediction  
265 models, we compared crude classification (that is, the most likely diagnosis predicted by the  
266 multinomial models) in the updated and extended models. To investigate potential clinical  
267 utility, we estimated the ability of the models to ‘rule-out’ SBI (predictions for both  
268 categories of SBI <5%), or to ‘rule-in’ SBI (prediction of either category >20%). These  
269 thresholds represent approximately half and double the observed event rate in the study  
270 population.

271

## 272 **Ethics**

273 Approval for the study was granted by the Greater Manchester West Research Ethics  
274 Committee (10/H1014/53), and by the Alder Hey Children’s Hospital R&D department.

275

276 **RESULTS**

277 Between 1<sup>st</sup> November 2010 and 3<sup>rd</sup> April 2012, 7949 children presented to the Alder Hey  
278 Children's ED with fever. Of these, 1872 were eligible for inclusion, and 1101 recruited to  
279 the study (Figure 1). Median age was 2.4 years (IQR 0.9-5.7 years), and 55% were boys.  
280 Approximately one third of children had significant comorbidities (Table 1). 264 children  
281 (24.0%) were diagnosed with SBI (supplementary figure 1).

282 The probability of pneumonia and other SBIs increased linearly with heart rate, respiratory  
283 rate and temperature. Consistent with other studies, increased work of breathing (odds ratio  
284 10.4, 95% confidence interval 6.69 to 16.2), hypoxia (9.29, 95%CI 5.35 to 16.1), and other  
285 respiratory variables were significantly associated with pneumonia. These features reduced  
286 the probability of other SBIs. Neck stiffness, a bulging fontanelle, irritability and dysuria  
287 were associated with other SBIs. Prolonged capillary refill time was associated with other  
288 SBIs (1.43, 95%CI 1.05 to 1.97) but not pneumonia while the presence of a rash reduced the  
289 probability of both pneumonia and other SBIs. Univariate odds ratios are presented in  
290 supplementary figure 2. CRP, Procalcitonin, NGAL and Resistin were all associated with SBI  
291 (supplementary table 5).

292 **Model derivation and internal validation**

293 The derived model included the variables "Respiratory rate", and "Normal Air Entry"  
294 alongside CRP, PCT, and Resistin (supplementary table 6). Fitting CRP as a piecewise term  
295 improved the model fit. The model discriminated well on internal validation (*c* statistic 0.84,  
296 95%CI 0.78 to 0.90 for pneumonia, and 0.77, 95%CI 0.71 to 0.83 for other SBIs). Calibration  
297 plots suggested that the model overestimated the risk of pneumonia (Figure 2).

298

## 299 External validation and updating of Nijman model

300 The published model of Nijman *et al* was validated in the complete dataset (n=1101). Using  
301 the published coefficients, the model discriminated well between pneumonia and no SBI,  
302 though less well between other SBIs and no SBI (*c* statistic 0.85 and 0.76 respectively,  
303 supplementary figure 3). Model calibration was poor though calibration plots indicated that  
304 predicted risks and observed outcomes were highly correlated (Figure 3).

305 Observing the correlation between predicted probabilities and observed outcomes in the  
306 poorly calibrated model, we updated the model by re-estimating the individual co-efficients.  
307 No attempt was made to adjust the functional form of predictor variables. The re-fitted model  
308 discriminated well (*c* statistic 0.88 and 0.82 for pneumonia and other SBIs respectively), and  
309 was well calibrated (Figure 4). The model was then extended by the inclusion of PCT and  
310 Resistin. This improved discrimination of the pneumonia (*c* statistic increased from 0.88 to  
311 0.90,  $p=0.03$ ), and other SBI models (from 0.82 to 0.84,  $p=0.03$ ) and calibration remained  
312 good (supplementary figure 4).

313 The performance characteristics of the updated and extended models are summarised in Table  
314 2. At a low-risk threshold of 5%, the extended pneumonia model had a sensitivity of 92%  
315 (95%CI 85 to 96%) and negative likelihood ratio (NLR) of 0.12 (0.06 to 0.23). For other  
316 SBIs, model sensitivity was 92% (86 to 95%), and NLR 0.21 (0.12 to 0.35). At a high-risk  
317 threshold (>20%), specificity was 89% (95%CI 87 to 91%) for pneumonia, with a positive  
318 likelihood ratio (PLR) of 6.69 (5.30 to 8.44), and 86% (83 to 88%), PLR of 4.96 (4.07 to  
319 6.03) for other SBIs.

320

321 Classification (determined by likeliest outcome category) was similar between the updated  
322 and extended models (893/1101 v 917/1101, 2.2% improvement, 95%CI -1.1 to 5.4%,  
323 supplementary Table 7). Using the extended model, SBI was correctly ‘ruled out’ in 31  
324 additional children (3.7%, 95%CI -1.0 to 8.4%) and there were five fewer potentially missed  
325 SBI diagnoses (14/264 v 19/264, 1.8% reduction, 95%CI -2.6 to 6.4%, Table 3).

326

## 327 **DISCUSSION**

### 328 **Main findings**

329 In this large, prospective study of febrile children of all ages presenting to the ED,  
330 multinomial risk prediction models discriminated well between pneumonia, other SBIs and  
331 none. A newly derived model performed well on internal validation, and identified  
332 Procalcitonin and Resistin along with CRP as biomarkers of potential value. A published  
333 model performed well on external validation and the addition of PCT and Resistin improved  
334 discrimination. At a low-risk threshold (<5%), a NLR of 0.12 (pneumonia) or 0.21 (other  
335 SBIs) may help to rule out SBI, whilst at a high-risk threshold (>20%) PLRs of 6.69 and 4.96  
336 may expedite treatment.

337

### 338 **Strengths:**

339 We present data on multiple biomarkers of SBI in more than 1000 children. We have  
340 evaluated children irrespective of age, past medical history or clinical syndrome, and  
341 obtained comparable discrimination to other studies with more restrictive inclusion criteria.  
342 In common with other recent data,<sup>2, 16</sup> we have demonstrated the value of combining clinical  
343 and biomarker variables.

344 This is the first broad external validation of the published multivariable model by Nijman *et*  
345 *al.* The model discriminated well, but was poorly calibrated. Specifically, there was a  
346 problem of calibration in the large – the model predicted too few cases in our population.  
347 Correlation between model predictions and observed cases suggested the overall structure of  
348 the model was appropriate to our dataset however and our approach of re-estimating the  
349 model coefficients resulted in a well-calibrated model.

350

351 Limitations:

352 This is a single centre study, and whilst we have performed internal validation of our derived  
353 model, external validity would require demonstration in an alternative setting. We have  
354 grouped ‘other SBI’ into a single outcome category. It would be preferable to model  
355 outcomes such as septicaemia and meningitis separately, but the infrequency of these  
356 outcomes makes this challenging. A pragmatic response is to advocate further diagnostic  
357 testing (including urgent urine or CSF microscopy) in children considered at high risk of  
358 ‘other SBIs’.

359 Diagnostic studies with imperfect reference standards require a pragmatic approach to  
360 determine outcomes. An established approach to this is to use pre-defined composite  
361 reference standards as we have done. The universal application of respiratory viral assays  
362 may have yielded additional evidence upon which to base classification but such testing was  
363 undertaken at the discretion of the clinical team, and not applied systematically. Our use of a  
364 radiological diagnosis of ‘pneumonia’, despite its limitations, is common in this setting.<sup>15, 33</sup>

365 We included a category of ‘probable SBI’ to account for the lack of sensitivity of  
366 conventional diagnostic testing in children. This category accounted for only a small number

367 of cases (8), and was defined in advance. By establishing clear criteria for each outcome  
368 diagnosis, we have sought to minimise verification bias.

369 We studied children already considered at risk of SBI, in whom the clinical team had initiated  
370 further investigation. This unmeasured risk evaluation limits the external validity of our  
371 findings. The proportion of SBI (24%) is significantly higher than that observed in all febrile  
372 children in the ED and we agree with previous authors who have stressed the importance of  
373 diagnostics research in low-risk populations (such as all children attending the ED, or  
374 primary care).<sup>18</sup> Almost 80% of our sample were admitted to hospital and received  
375 antibiotics, including 60% of those who did not have SBI. Decision-making based on a low-  
376 risk threshold of 5% may reduce admissions and antibiotic use but does not (by definition)  
377 eliminate risk. Clinicians would need to combine risk evaluation with appropriate safety-  
378 netting.

### 379 **Comparison with published studies**

380 Our finding that clinical variables such as hypoxia, abnormal respiratory findings, irritability  
381 and dehydration increase the probability of SBI is consistent with similar studies.<sup>2, 13, 16</sup> We  
382 failed to demonstrate the value of more subjective assessments, such as ‘ill appearance’, and  
383 ‘parental concern’, though for each there was a significant problem of missing data.

### 384 **Next steps:**

385 Our results support a growing body of research to suggest that risk prediction models  
386 improve the identification of SBI in the children’s ED. Such models have yet to translate into  
387 improved clinical decision-making. Two recent impact studies challenge the assumption that  
388 accurate risk prediction will necessarily improve decision-making. In the first, the use of the  
389 ‘Lab Score’ - a decision rule combining CRP, PCT and urinalysis - failed to reduce antibiotic

390 prescriptions in children in the ED.<sup>34</sup> A second evaluated the use of the Nijman risk  
391 prediction model to guide decisions, and no impact on antibiotic prescribing, or hospital  
392 admission was observed<sup>35</sup>.

393 Future impact studies need to evaluate the behaviours associated with decision-making. This  
394 has been of considerable importance in evaluating interventions to rationalise antibiotic  
395 prescribing<sup>36</sup>. In order to translate estimates of risk into safe clinical decisions and improve  
396 the management of children in the ED, it will be necessary to involve clinicians and families .  
397 The risk thresholds we have proposed are not yet established in the context of SBI in the  
398 children's ED, and more work is necessary to determine whether they, and the clinical  
399 decisions they guide, are appropriate.

400

## 401 **CONCLUSION**

402 A diagnostic model combining clinical and biomarker variables discriminated well between  
403 pneumonia, other SBIs and no SBI in febrile children of all ages in the ED. External  
404 validation of a previously derived risk model yielded encouraging diagnostic accuracy and  
405 was improved by the addition of PCT and Resistin. Future work should establish the value of  
406 decision rules based upon risk prediction models in robust impact studies. Such studies must  
407 address the complex behaviours associated with clinical decisions in order to yield clinical  
408 benefit.



409

410 **Acknowledgments**

411 We thank all children and their parents for agreeing to participate, and we thank the  
412 significant number of ED and paediatric clinical and nursing staff working in the Alder Hey  
413 Children's ED for their substantial contribution to the study. In particular we thank the  
414 children of the Medicine's for Children Research Network Young Person's Advisory Group.  
415 We thank Professor Matthew Peak and Ms Dot Lambert for logistical support from the Alder  
416 Hey Research Department. We thank Ms Elaine Hanmer, Ms Laura Medway, and Ms  
417 Gemma Boydell for informatics support, and Mrs Sarah Olsen for administrative support in  
418 the running of the study.

419 The University of Liverpool acknowledges the support of the National Institute for Health  
420 Research, through the Comprehensive Clinical Research Network

421

- 422 1. Sands R, Shanmugavadivel D, Stephenson T, Wood D. Medical problems presenting to  
423 paediatric emergency departments: 10 years on. *Emerg Med J.* 2012;29(5):379-382.
- 424 2. Craig JC, Williams GJ, Jones M, Codarini M, Macaskill P, Hayen A, et al. The accuracy of  
425 clinical symptoms and signs for the diagnosis of serious bacterial infection in young febrile  
426 children: prospective cohort study of 15 781 febrile illnesses. *BMJ.* 2010;340:c1594.
- 427 3. Thompson MJ, Ninis N, Perera R, Mayon-White R, Phillips C, Bailey L, et al. Clinical  
428 recognition of meningococcal disease in children and adolescents. *Lancet.*  
429 2006;367(9508):397-403.
- 430 4. Launay E, Gras-Le Guen C, Martinot A, Assathiany R, Blanchais T, Mourdi N, et al. Suboptimal  
431 care in the initial management of children who died from severe bacterial infection: a  
432 population-based confidential inquiry. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2010;11(4):469-474.
- 433 5. Inwald DP, Tasker RC, Peters MJ, Nadel S, Paediatric Intensive Care Society Study G.  
434 Emergency management of children with severe sepsis in the United Kingdom: the results of  
435 the Paediatric Intensive Care Society sepsis audit. *Arch Dis Child.* 2009;94(5):348-353.
- 436 6. Koshy E, Murray J, Bottle A, Sharland M, Saxena S. Impact of the seven-valent pneumococcal  
437 conjugate vaccination (PCV7) programme on childhood hospital admissions for bacterial  
438 pneumonia and empyema in England: national time-trends study, 1997-2008. *Thorax.*  
439 2010;65(9):770-774.
- 440 7. Whitney CG, Farley MM, Hadler J, Harrison LH, Bennett NM, Lynfield R, et al. Decline in  
441 invasive pneumococcal disease after the introduction of protein-polysaccharide conjugate  
442 vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348(18):1737-1746.
- 443 8. Balmer P, Borrow R, Miller E. Impact of meningococcal C conjugate vaccine in the UK. *J Med  
444 Microbiol.* 2002;51(9):717-722.
- 445 9. Ladhani S, Pebody RG, Ramsay ME, Lamagni TL, Johnson AP, Sharland M. Continuing impact  
446 of infectious diseases on childhood deaths in England and Wales, 2003-2005. *Pediatr Infect  
447 Dis J.* 2010;29(4):310-313.
- 448 10. Saxena S, Bottle A, Gilbert R, Sharland M. Increasing short-stay unplanned hospital  
449 admissions among children in England; time trends analysis '97-'06. *PLoS One.*  
450 2009;4(10):e7484.
- 451 11. Gill PJ, Goldacre MJ, Mant D, Heneghan C, Thomson A, Seagroatt V, et al. Increase in  
452 emergency admissions to hospital for children aged under 15 in England, 1999-2010:  
453 national database analysis. *Arch Dis Child.* 2013;98(5):328-334.
- 454 12. Irwin AD, Wickenden J, Le Doare K, Ladhani S, Sharland M. Supporting decisions to increase  
455 the safe discharge of children with febrile illness from the emergency department: a  
456 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Dis Child.* 2016;101(3):259-266.
- 457 13. Van den Bruel A, Haj-Hassan T, Thompson M, Buntinx F, Mant D, European Research  
458 Network on Recognising Serious Infection i. Diagnostic value of clinical features at  
459 presentation to identify serious infection in children in developed countries: a systematic  
460 review. *Lancet.* 2010;375(9717):834-845.
- 461 14. Van den Bruel A, Thompson MJ, Haj-Hassan T, Stevens R, Moll H, Lakhanpaul M, et al.  
462 Diagnostic value of laboratory tests in identifying serious infections in febrile children:  
463 systematic review. *BMJ.* 2011;342:d3082.
- 464 15. Brent AJ, Lakhanpaul M, Thompson M, Collier J, Ray S, Ninis N, et al. Risk score to stratify  
465 children with suspected serious bacterial infection: observational cohort study. *Arch Dis  
466 Child.* 2011;96(4):361-367.
- 467 16. Nijman RG, Vergouwe Y, Thompson M, van Veen M, van Meurs AH, van der Lei J, et al.  
468 Clinical prediction model to aid emergency doctors managing febrile children at risk of  
469 serious bacterial infections: diagnostic study. *BMJ.* 2013;346:f1706.
- 470 17. Irwin AD, Marriage F, Mankhambo LA, Group IS, Jeffers G, Kolamunnage-Dona R, et al. Novel  
471 biomarker combination improves the diagnosis of serious bacterial infections in Malawian  
472 children. *BMC Med Genomics.* 2012.

- 473 18. Thompson M, Van den Bruel A, Verbakel J, Lakhanpaul M, Haj-Hassan T, Stevens R, et al.  
474 Systematic review and validation of prediction rules for identifying children with serious  
475 infections in emergency departments and urgent-access primary care. *Health Technol*  
476 *Assess.* 2012;16(15):1-100.
- 477 19. Bossuyt PM, Reitsma JB, Bruns DE, Gatsonis CA, Glasziou PP, Irwig LM, et al. Towards  
478 complete and accurate reporting of studies of diagnostic accuracy: The STARD Initiative. *Ann*  
479 *Intern Med.* 2003;138(1):40-44.
- 480 20. Collins GS, Reitsma JB, Altman DG, Moons KG. Transparent reporting of a multivariable  
481 prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD): the TRIPOD statement. *BMJ.*  
482 2015;350:g7594.
- 483 21. Investigation of Blood Cultures (for Organisms other than *Mycobacterium* species). UK  
484 Standards for Microbiology Investigations. Public Health England; 2014.
- 485 22. Corless CE, Guiver M, Borrow R, Edwards-Jones V, Fox AJ, Kaczmarski EB. Simultaneous  
486 detection of *Neisseria meningitidis*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*  
487 in suspected cases of meningitis and septicemia using real-time PCR. *J Clin Microbiol.*  
488 2001;39(4):1553-1558.
- 489 23. Meningococcal Reference U, Gray SJ, Trotter CL, Ramsay ME, Guiver M, Fox AJ, et al.  
490 Epidemiology of meningococcal disease in England and Wales 1993/94 to 2003/04:  
491 contribution and experiences of the Meningococcal Reference Unit. *J Med Microbiol.*  
492 2006;55(Pt 7):887-896.
- 493 24. Rutjes AW, Reitsma JB, Coomarasamy A, Khan KS, Bossuyt PM. Evaluation of diagnostic tests  
494 when there is no gold standard. A review of methods. *Health Technol Assess.* 2007;11(50):iii,  
495 ix-51.
- 496 25. Galetto-Lacour A, Zamora SA, Andreola B, Bressan S, Lacroix L, Da Dalt L, et al. Validation of a  
497 laboratory risk index score for the identification of severe bacterial infection in children with  
498 fever without source. *Arch Dis Child.* 2010;95(12):968-973.
- 499 26. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for  
500 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2013.
- 501 27. van Buuren S. Multiple imputation of discrete and continuous data by fully conditional  
502 specification. *Stat Methods Med Res.* 2007;16(3):219-242.
- 503 28. Hastie T, Tibshirani R. Generalized additive models for medical research. *Stat Methods Med*  
504 *Res.* 1995;4(3):187-196.
- 505 29. M S. epiR: Tools for the analysis of Epidemiological Data. R package version 0.9-62 ed2015.
- 506 30. Robin X, Turck N, Hainard A, Tiberti N, Lisacek F, Sanchez JC, et al. pROC: an open-source  
507 package for R and S+ to analyze and compare ROC curves. *BMC Bioinformatics.* 2011;12:77.
- 508 31. DeLong ER, DeLong DM, Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more  
509 correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. *Biometrics.*  
510 1988;44(3):837-845.
- 511 32. Van Hoorde K, Vergouwe Y, Timmerman D, Van Huffel S, Steyerberg EW, Van Calster B.  
512 Assessing calibration of multinomial risk prediction models. *Stat Med.* 2014;33(15):2585-  
513 2596.
- 514 33. Nijman RG, Zwinkels RL, van Veen M, Steyerberg EW, van der Lei J, Moll HA, et al. Can  
515 urgency classification of the Manchester triage system predict serious bacterial infections in  
516 febrile children? *Arch Dis Child.* 2011;96(8):715-722.
- 517 34. Lacroix L, Manzano S, Vandertuin L, Hugon F, Galetto-Lacour A, Gervaix A. Impact of the lab-  
518 score on antibiotic prescription rate in children with fever without source: a randomized  
519 controlled trial. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(12):e115061.
- 520 35. de Vos-Kerkhof E, Nijman RG, Vergouwe Y, Polinder S, Steyerberg EW, van der Lei J, et al.  
521 Impact of a clinical decision model for febrile children at risk for serious bacterial infections  
522 at the emergency department: a randomized controlled trial. *PLoS One.*  
523 2015;10(5):e0127620.

524 36. Little P, Stuart B, Francis N, Douglas E, Tonkin-Crine S, Anthierens S, et al. Effects of internet-  
525 based training on antibiotic prescribing rates for acute respiratory-tract infections: a  
526 multinational, cluster, randomised, factorial, controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2013;382(9899):1175-  
527 1182.

528

	Overall n=1101		Pneumonia n=108		Other SBI n=156		No SBI n=837	
<b>Demographics</b>	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR
Age	2.39	0.88-5.73	3.51†	1.60-6.29	2.28	0.43-7.54	2.21	0.92-5.35
	Proportion	95%CI	Proportion	95%CI	Median	IQR	Proportion	95%CI
Male sex	0.55	0.52-0.58	0.48	0.39-0.57	0.59	0.51-0.66	0.56	0.52-0.59
PMH	0.31	0.28-0.34	0.47†	0.38-0.57	0.26	0.19-0.33	0.30	0.27-0.33
<b>Clinical variables</b>	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR
Temperature	37.8	37.0-38.6	37.9*	37.1-38.9	38.0*	37.2-38.8	37.7	36.9-38.6
Heart Rate	140	121-166	147*	132-170	148*	122-175	139	120-163
Respiratory Rate	30	24-38	38†	28-48	30	24-38	28	24-36
<b>Biomarkers</b>	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR
CRP / mg/l	19.6	5.8-54.0	49.0†	21.1-119	68.3†	28.9-137	14.3	4.0-36.5
WCC / x10 <sup>9</sup> /l	11.5	7.9-15.8	11.8*	8.4-18.5	15.0†	10.9-20.5	10.8	7.7-14.7
Neutrophils / x10 <sup>9</sup> /l	6.9	3.8-10.8	8.0†	4.8-13.4	10.0†	5.9-14.8	6.2	3.4-9.7
NGAL / ng/l	77.1	52.5-121	92.1†	65.9-162	120†	74.4-170	69.7	49.5-103
PCT / µg/l	0.23	0.10-0.83	0.49†	0.12-2.85	1.10†	0.15-5.85	0.18	0.09-0.53
Resistin / ng/l	40.3	21.1-73.4	67.3†	31.4-107	60.6†	29.7-113	35.7	19.8-64.3
<b>Outcomes</b>	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR
Length of stay/ days	2	0-3	3†	2-6	4.5†	2-7	1	0-2
	n (%)	95%CI	n (%)	95%CI	n (%)	95%CI	n (%)	95%CI
Antibiotic use	855 (78)	75-80	108† (100)	96-100	156† (100)	97-100	509 (61)	57-64
Hospital admission	844 (77)	74-79	102† (94)	88-98	148† (95)	90-98	516 (62)	58-65
PICU	19 (1.73)	1.11-2.68	5* (4.63)	2.00-10.4	10*(6.41)	3.52-11.4	4 (0.48)	0.19-1.22
Mortality	1 (0.09)	0.01-0.51	0	0-3.40	1 (0.65)	0.12-3.55	0	0-0.46

Table 1: Characteristics of study subjects. IQR – interquartile range. Statistical comparisons between Pneumonia, or Other SBI and No SBI. Continuous data were compared by means of the Kruskal Wallis test, proportions were compared by means of the Pearson’s Chi squared statistic. Rare events such as admission to PICU or death were compared by means of a Monte Carlo simulation. †p<0.001 \*p<0.05

Updated Nijman model: Pneumonia												
	Sensitivity	95% CI	Specificity	95% CI	PPV	95% CI	NPV	95% CI	PLR	95% CI	NLR	95% CI
2.5%	0.93	(0.86 - 0.97)	0.51	(0.47 - 0.55)	0.20	(0.16 - 0.24)	0.98	(0.96 - 0.99)	1.91	(1.75 - 2.08)	0.14	(0.07 - 0.28)
5%	0.89	(0.81 - 0.94)	0.70	(0.67 - 0.73)	0.28	(0.23 - 0.33)	0.98	(0.97 - 0.99)	2.98	(2.63 - 3.37)	0.16	(0.09 - 0.27)
10%	0.81	(0.79 - 0.88)	0.82	(0.79 - 0.84)	0.36	(0.30 - 0.43)	0.97	(0.95 - 0.98)	4.41	(3.72 - 5.23)	0.24	(0.16 - 0.35)
20%	0.69	(0.60 - 0.78)	0.89	(0.87 - 0.91)	0.45	(0.38 - 0.53)	0.96	(0.94 - 0.97)	6.46	(5.12 - 8.14)	0.34	(0.26 - 0.46)
30%	0.60	(0.92 - 0.96)	0.94	(0.92 - 0.96)	0.58	(0.48 - 0.67)	0.95	(0.93 - 0.96)	10.5	(7.66 - 14.4)	0.42	(0.33 - 0.53)
Other SBI												
2.5%	0.99	(0.96 - 1.0)	0.09	(0.07 - 0.11)	0.17	(0.15 - 0.19)	0.99	(0.93 - 1.00)	1.09	(1.06 - 1.12)	0.07	(0.01 - 0.50)
5%	0.97	(0.93 - 0.99)	0.24	(0.21 - 0.27)	0.19	(0.17 - 0.22)	0.98	(0.95 - 0.99)	1.28	(1.22 - 1.34)	0.13	(0.06 - 0.31)
10%	0.83	(0.77 - 0.89)	0.58	(0.55 - 0.62)	0.27	(0.23 - 0.31)	0.95	(0.93 - 0.97)	1.99	(1.79 - 2.21)	0.29	(0.20 - 0.41)
20%	0.56	(0.48 - 0.64)	0.89	(0.87 - 0.91)	0.49	(0.41 - 0.56)	0.92	(0.90 - 0.93)	5.13	(4.04 - 6.50)	0.49	(0.41 - 0.59)
30%	0.40	(0.32 - 0.48)	0.95	(0.94 - 0.97)	0.61	(0.51 - 0.70)	0.89	(0.87 - 0.91)	8.31	(5.80 - 11.9)	0.63	(0.56 - 0.72)
Extended Nijman model (including PCT and Resistin): Pneumonia												
2.5%	0.94	(0.87 - 0.97)	0.52	(0.49 - 0.56)	0.20	(0.17 - 0.24)	0.98	(0.97 - 0.99)	1.96	(1.79 - 2.13)	0.12	(0.06 - 0.25)
5%	0.92	(0.85 - 0.96)	0.69	(0.66 - 0.72)	0.28	(0.23 - 0.33)	0.98	(0.97 - 0.99)	2.96	(2.64 - 3.33)	0.12	(0.06 - 0.23)
10%	0.85	(0.77 - 0.91)	0.82	(0.79 - 0.84)	0.38	(0.31 - 0.44)	0.98	(0.96 - 0.99)	4.66	(3.96 - 5.49)	0.18	(0.12 - 0.29)
20%	0.70	(0.61 - 0.79)	0.89	(0.87 - 0.91)	0.46	(0.39 - 0.54)	0.96	(0.94 - 0.97)	6.69	(5.30 - 8.44)	0.33	(0.25 - 0.44)
30%	0.62	(0.52 - 0.71)	0.94	(0.92 - 0.95)	0.56	(0.47 - 0.65)	0.95	(0.93 - 0.96)	9.99	(7.38 - 13.5)	0.4	(0.32 - 0.52)
Other SBI												
2.5%	0.97	(0.94 - 0.99)	0.18	(0.15 - 0.20)	0.18	(0.16 - 0.21)	0.97	(0.93 - 0.99)	1.18	(1.14 - 1.23)	0.15	(0.05 - 0.39)
5%	0.92	(0.86 - 0.95)	0.40	(0.37 - 0.44)	0.22	(0.19 - 0.26)	0.96	(0.94 - 0.98)	1.54	(1.43 - 1.65)	0.21	(0.12 - 0.35)
10%	0.85	(0.79 - 0.90)	0.61	(0.58 - 0.65)	0.29	(0.25 - 0.34)	0.96	(0.94 - 0.97)	2.21	(1.98 - 2.46)	0.24	(0.16 - 0.35)
20%	0.70	(0.62 - 0.77)	0.86	(0.83 - 0.88)	0.48	(0.41 - 0.55)	0.94	(0.92 - 0.95)	4.96	(4.07 - 6.03)	0.35	(0.28 - 0.45)
30%	0.53	(0.45 - 0.61)	0.94	(0.92 - 0.95)	0.61	(0.52 - 0.69)	0.91	(0.89 - 0.93)	8.40	(6.23 - 11.3)	0.50	(0.42 - 0.59)

Table 2: Performance characteristics of the updated (top) and the extended Nijman models (bottom) including the biomarkers Procalcitonin and Resistin (bottom) PPV: positive predictive value, NPV: negative predictive value, PLR: positive likelihood ratio, NLR: negative likelihood ratio.

Outcome category	Updated				Extended				n
	Rule-out	Inter-mediate	Rule-in		Rule-out	Inter-mediate	Rule-in		
			Pneu	Other			Pneu	Other	
No SBI	269	355	76	137	300	352	74	111	837
Pneumonia	6	19	70	13	5	16	71	16	108
Other SBI	13	29	7	107	9	33	7	107	156
Total	288	403	153	257	314	401	152	234	1101

Table 3: Outcomes according to risk classification for the updated and extended models. SBI was considered ‘ruled-out’ if the predicted probabilities of both pneumonia (“Pneu”) and other SBI (“Other”) were <5%, while SBI was considered ‘ruled-in’ if the probability of either outcome was >20%. All other subjects were considered to be at intermediate risk.

Figure legends:

Figure 1: Flow diagram of the study. PID: Primary immunodeficiency. ED: Emergency Department. SBI: Serious Bacterial Infection. Excluded children with a 'clinical reference standard' are explained in the text.

Figure 2: Parametric nominal calibration plot of predicted risks and observed outcomes in the validation set.

Figure 3: Parametric nominal calibration plot of the original Nijman model on external validation.

Figure 4: Parametric nominal calibration plot of the Nijman model with co-efficients re-fitted to the validation dataset.



	Derivation group (n=532)			Validation group (n=569)		
	No SBI (401)	Pneu (63)	Other SBI (68)	No SBI (436)	Pneu (45)	Other SBI (88)
FBC	391 (98)	62 (98)	68 (100)	427 (98)	45 (100)	87 (99)
Urinalysis	99 (25)	10 (16)	17 (25)	97 (22)	7 (16)	25 (28)
Blood culture	257 (64)	54 (86)	56 (82)	280 (64)	42 (93)	77 (88)
CXR	168 (42)	61 (97)	24 (35)	195 (45)	44 (98)	38 (43)

Supplementary Table 1: Number (%) of diagnostic tests performed in each group. FBC: Full blood count, CXR: Chest X-ray

Diagnosis	Criteria
<b>Pneumonia</b>	Respiratory symptoms and signs and focal consolidation on X-ray reported by a paediatric radiologist.
<b>Other SBI</b>	
Bacteraemia	Identification of a significant bacterial pathogen in blood using culture or molecular methods.
Urinary tract infection	Growth of a single bacterial urinary tract pathogen at $\geq 10^5$ colony-forming units/ml in a normally sterile urine sample in the context of clinical signs of systemic involvement.
Meningitis	Identification of a bacterial pathogen in CSF using culture or molecular methods, or clinical meningitis plus a cerebrospinal fluid polymorphonuclear leucocytosis in the absence of an alternative aetiological diagnosis.
Osteomyelitis	Clinical signs, and radiological confirmation or identification of a pathogen in the bloodstream.
Septic arthritis	Isolation of a bacterial pathogen from a joint.
Probable SBI	Prolonged admission, and administration of intravenous antibiotics beyond 72h despite negative culture results.

Supplementary Table 2: Pre-defined criteria for the diagnosis of SBI<sup>14, 15, 25</sup>

Variable	Observations	Missing	
		n	%
Neck stiffness	652	449	40.8
Normal air entry	1069	32	2.9
Chest clear	1069	32	2.9
Bulging fontanelle	246	855	77.7
Rash	1009	92	8.4
Abdominal pain	306	795	72.2
Parental concern	159	942	85.6
History of myalgia	151	950	86.3
Irritability	256	845	76.7
Abnormal ENT signs	921	180	16.3
Heart rate	1058	43	3.9
History of diarrhoea	899	202	18.3
Respiratory rate	907	194	17.6
Duration of fever (day)	1101	0	0.0
Temperature	1092	9	0.8
Prolonged Capillary Refill (>2s)	909	192	17.4
History of dysuria	270	831	75.5
Dehydration	480	621	56.4
Pallor	469	632	57.4
Comorbidity	1101	0	0.0
History of drowsiness	295	806	73.2
Prior antibiotics	1097	4	0.4
Wheeze	1074	27	2.5
Ill appearance	108	993	90.2
History of chest pain	118	983	89.3
Chest crackles	1071	30	2.7
History of cough	847	254	23.1
Hypoxia (Sats <92%)	963	138	12.5
Decreased Breath Sounds	1068	33	3.0
Increased Work of Breathing	1071	30	2.7

Supplementary Table 3: Proportion of missing data for each observed clinical variable.

Characteristics	Derivation		Validation
	Erasmus (n=1750)	Haga-Juliana (n=967)	Liverpool (n=1101)
Median age/years (IQR)	1.8 (0.9-3.7)	1.5 (0.7-3.2)	2.4 (0.9-5.7)
Male sex	0.57	0.55	0.55
Median (IQR) duration of fever (days)	n=1185	n=807	n=1052
	2 (1-3)	2 (1-3)	2 (0-3)
Median temperature/°C (IQR)	n=1699	n=967	n=1092
	39.0 (38.3-39.7)	38.8 (38.3-39.4)	37.8 (37.0-38.6)
Median heart rate (IQR)	n=914	n=473	n=1058
	140 (120-160)	156 (140-172)	140 (121-166)
Median respiratory rate (IQR)	n=819	n=183	n=907
	36 (28-48)	48 (40-60)	30 (24-38)
Oxygen saturations <94%	n=914	n=473	n=963
	41	43	82
Cap refill time >3s	n=914	n=473	n=909
	96	9	40
Increased work of breathing	n=914	n=473	n=1071
	97	108	218
Ill appearance	n=914	n=473	n=108
	520	317	64
Median CRP (IQR)	n=780	n=317	n=1072
	21 (7-54)	22 (7-56)	20 (6-54)
Outcomes			
SBI/ n (%)	222 (13)	119 (12)	264 (24)
Pneumonia	105 (6)	66 (7)	108 (10)
UTI	50 (3)	38 (4)	58 (5)
Septicaemia/meningitis	21 (1)	1 (0)	49 (4)
Other	46 (3)	14 (1)	49 (4)

Supplementary table 4: Comparison of characteristics of study participants used in the derivation of the Nijman risk prediction model, and the Liverpool validation group

Biomarkers	n	Pneumonia			Other SBI		
		OR	LCI	UCI	OR	LCI	UCI
Procalcitonin	1034	1.22	1.15	1.29	1.23	1.16	1.30
Neutrophils	1059	1.09	1.06	1.13	1.12	1.09	1.15
WCC	1059	1.05	1.02	1.08	1.08	1.06	1.11
CRP	1072	1.02	1.01	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02
Resistin	1045	1.01	1.00	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01
NGAL	1046	1.00	1.00	1.01	1.01	1.00	1.01
Blood glucose	123	0.78	0.54	1.12	1.03	0.89	1.20
Lactate	167	0.67	0.42	1.09	1.12	0.84	1.50

Supplementary Table 5: Odds ratios of biomarker variables significantly associated with pneumonia and other SBI in univariate multinomial regression analysis. OR: Odds ratio. LCI: Lower (95%) confidence interval. UCI: Upper (95%) confidence interval.

	Pneumonia				Other SBI			
	Est	OR	LCI	UCI	Est	OR	LCI	UCI
(Intercept)	-2.516	0.081	0.025	0.260	-2.779	0.062	0.016	0.239
CRP / mg/l (<30)	0.025	1.025	0.990	1.060	0.045	1.046	1.011	1.081
CRP / mg/l (>30)	0.010	1.010	1.003	1.018	0.012	1.012	1.005	1.019
Respiratory rate	0.047	1.048	1.021	1.076	0.009	1.009	0.980	1.039
PCT / µg/l	0.173	1.189	1.079	1.310	0.168	1.183	1.074	1.303
Normal air entry	-2.387	0.092	0.046	0.182	0.240	1.271	0.514	3.142
Resistin / ng/ml	0.003	1.003	0.999	1.008	0.004	1.004	1.000	1.007

Supplementary table 6: Summary output of the derived polynomial models for the diagnosis of pneumonia and other SBIs. Est: Estimate of the regression co-efficient.

Outcome diagnosis	n	Updated model			Extended model		
		No SBI	Pneumonia	Other SBI	No SBI	Pneumonia	Other SBI
No SBI	837	801	18	18	807	17	13
Pneumonia	108	61	44	3	58	45	5
Other SBI	156	106	2	48	89	2	65

Supplementary table 7: Observed and predicted outcomes as determined by the highest risk category predicted by the updated and extended multinomial models.