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ABSTRACT

Radio galaxies are among the most massive galaxies in the high redshift universe and are known to often lie in protocluster environments. We have studied the fields of seven $z = 2.2$ radio galaxies with HAWK-I narrow-band and broad-band imaging in order to map out their environment using Hα emitters (HAEs). The results are compared to the blank field HAE survey HiZELS. All of the radio galaxy fields are overdense in HAEs relative to a typical HiZELS field of the same area and four of the seven are richer than all except one of 65 essentially random HiZELS subfields of the same size. The star formation rates of the massive HAEs are lower than those necessary to have formed their stellar population in the preceding Gyr - indicating that these galaxies are likely to have formed the bulk of their stars at higher redshifts, and are starting to quench.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Overdensities of galaxies that are expected to be the progenitors of local massive galaxy clusters have been found around high redshift radio galaxies (e.g. Venemans et al. 2004; Overzier et al. 2006; Hatch et al. 2011; Kuiper et al. 2011) and quasars (e.g. Venemans et al. 2007; Kim et al. 2008; Utsumi et al. 2010; Husband et al. 2013). These protoclusters are generally discovered via their star-forming population; in part because it is easier to get confirming spectroscopy of actively star-forming galaxies that contain emission lines, unlike passive galaxies, and in part because studies of low and intermediate redshift clusters indicate that the majority of stars in cluster galaxies formed at $z > 2$ (e.g. Ellis et al. 1997; Tran et al. 2007). This rapid growth in clusters at high redshift contrasts to that in low redshift clusters where star formation is suppressed relative to the field. The redshift range over which their galaxy population becomes red and dead can be determined using a large sample of protoclusters selected through a range of techniques in order to minimize selection biases.

An efficient way of finding protoclusters at $z > 2$ appears to be through targeted searches around radio galaxies and quasars. The growth of galaxies is likely linked to the growth of their central black holes, and consequently AGN are expected to reside in protoclusters (Smail et al. 2003; Lehmer et al. 2004; Digby-North et al. 2010; Matsuda et al. 2011). This and the fact that powerful radio galaxies are generally among the most massive galaxies at any epoch (De Breuck et al. 2002; Seymour et al. 2007) makes them ideal objects for targeted protocluster searches. There is already a significant body of work exploring radio galaxy environments through Hα emission such as that by Hatch et al. (2011) using HAWK-I and ISAAC on the VLT and the Ma-halo ('Mapping HAlpha and Lines of Oxygen with Subaru') project with Subaru (Kodama et al. 2013; Shimakawa et al. 2014) among others (e.g. Cooke et al. 2014). These Hα studies have often targeted known protoclusters discovered by other means (such as overdensities of red galaxies or BzKs) and may well be subject to publication bias where only the most overdense regions are followed up or published, giving little clue to the fraction of radio galaxies that reside in star-forming overdensities.

Powerful radio sources themselves significantly influence the evolution of galaxies within their host dark matter halo. Radio jets are known to stop gas cooling through the kinetic mode of feedback on galactic scales (McNamara & Nulsen 2007; Cattaneo et al. 2007) and powerful radio galaxies at
high redshift, whose jets can extend over 100s of kpc, may also affect intra-group gas (Fabian 2012). Outflows from z ∼ 2 radio galaxies may be observational evidence for radio jets interacting with the early intra-group or intra-cluster medium (Nesvadba et al. 2006, 2008). Such AGN feedback is essential in simulations to reproduce the observed anti-hierarchical growth and local galaxy luminosity function (e.g. Bower et al. 2006). AGN feedback on extragalactic scales may increase the entropy and pressure of the gas in the local environment of massive galaxies cutting off the supply of cold gas, which would otherwise accrete on to the galaxies fuelling star formation, and resulting in relatively quiescent member galaxies relatively early on (Hatch et al. 2014).

In this work we have explored the ~12 co-moving Mpc scale environment of seven z = 2.2 radio galaxies with VLT/HAWK-I using Hα emitters (HAEs) selected through narrow-band imaging in order to study galaxy clustering around such objects. The seven radio galaxies were selected purely on their spectroscopic redshift (falling within the range of the HAWK-I narrow-band filters) and availability from Chile on the dates of observations. They all have radio luminosities greater than 1 × 10^{26} W Hz^{-1} at 4.7-4.85 GHz observed. Selecting Hα emitters results in a relatively clean sample of galaxies within a narrow redshift range (∆z = 0.05) as Hα is less affected by dust extinction (or metallicity) compared to other strong lines (Kovama et al. 2013b). We use the same method as the HiZELS survey (Sobral et al. 2013) to select HAEs in order to have a field galaxy comparison sample.

A ΛCDM cosmology with H_0 = 69.6 km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}, Ω_M = 0.286 and Ω_Λ = 0.714 (Bennett et al. 2014) is used throughout and all the magnitudes quoted are in the AB system (Oke & Gunn 1983).

2 DATA

2.1 Imaging and Data Reduction

The seven radio galaxy fields were imaged with HAWK-I (High Acuity Wide field K-band Imager) on the VLT in Oct/Nov 2012 and Jan/Feb/Mar 2013 with the J filter, short K (Ks) filter and a narrow-band filter centered on the wavelength of Hα from the radio galaxy (NB2090, H2 or Brγ). HAWK-I has a field of view of 7.5 by 7.5 arcmin^2 or 12.2 by 12.2 co-moving Mpc^2 at these redshifts (z = 2.23). The average exposure time was 0.62, 0.71 and 3.7 hours in J, Ks and the narrow-band (NB) reaching 2σ depths of 22.9, 23.0 and 22.4 on average respectively (see Table 1).

The radio galaxies were selected in an unbiased way from a narrow redshift range between 2.198 < z < 2.294 to match the available NB filters. They lie over a range of RAs convenient for scheduling. Only the environment of MRC0200+015 has been studied before - it was found to be overdense in HAEs by van der Werf et al. (2000) and Matsuda et al. (2011) but our new observations are ~1 magnitude deeper.

The data was reduced by first subtracting a dark frame from the images and then flat fielding with an averaged, normalised twilight flat field. The images were then normalised from the images and then flat fielding with an averaged, normalised twilight flat field. The images were then normalised from the images and then flat fielding with an averaged, normalised twilight flat field. The images were then normalised from the images and then flat fielding with an averaged, normalised twilight flat field. The images were then normalised from the images and then flat fielding with an averaged, normalised twilight flat field. The images were then normalised from the images and then flat fielding with an averaged, normalised twilight flat field. The images were then normalised from the images and then flat fielding with an averaged, normalised twilight flat field.

The remaining sky residuals. Finally, the images were combined with offsets, cosmic ray rejection (using sigma clipping) and a bad pixel mask in order to deal with the chip gaps. The images were calibrated using unsaturated and cleanly extracted 2MASS objects in the fields. The magnitudes of the objects were extracted in 2 arcsec diameter apertures using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996).

2.2 Hα Emitter Selection

We selected HAEs from a Ks-NB vs. Ks colour magnitude diagram (see for example the colour magnitude diagram for MRC0200+015 in Fig. 1 in a similar manner to Sobral et al. 2013). Specifically in this work HAEs are defined as galaxies with a Ks-NB colour greater than 3Σ (where Σ is the combined average error on the NB and Ks band magnitudes at the NB magnitude), a NB magnitude brighter than the 2σ NB limiting magnitude, and a rest-frame narrow band equivalent width greater than 25 Å. All of the HAEs were individually checked to confirm that their sizes and morphologies were consistent with z ~ 2 galaxies rather than stars or artefacts. Fig. 2 shows some of the selected HAEs.

2.3 Hα Star Formation Rates and Equivalent Widths

The star formation rates (SFRs) and equivalent widths (EWs) of the HAEs were calculated from the NB and Ks magnitudes, via the continuum flux density per Angstrom, \( f_{Kc} \), and Hα flux, \( f_{Hα} \), using the following equations (see for example Cooke et al. 2014):

\[
 f_{Kc} = \frac{w_K 10^{(-m_K - 48.6)/2.5} - w_{NB} 10^{(-m_{NB} - 48.6)/2.5}}{w_K - w_{NB}} \\
 f_{Hα} = w_{NB} 10^{(-m_{NB} - 48.6)/2.5} - f_{Kc} \\
 EW = \frac{f_{Hα}}{f_{Kc}(1 + z)}
\]

Figure 1. The Ks–narrow-band (NB) vs. NB colour magnitude diagram for the MRC0200+015 radio galaxy field. The red square indicates the radio galaxy and the dashed lines show the 2σ limits on the imaging. Also shown is the equivalent width limit and the line of three times the average observational error. The HAEs that were selected after visual inspection are highlighted by diamonds.
where \( w_K \) and \( w_{NB} \) are the effective widths of the \( Ks \) and NB filters, \( m_K \) and \( m_{NB} \) are the \( Ks \) and NB AB magnitudes of the HAEs, \( f_{H\alpha} \) is the flux of \( H\alpha \) in erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), \( d \) is the co-moving radial distance in centimeters and \( z \) is the redshift of the HAEs, which is assumed to be the same as the radio galaxy. Equation 4 assumes that all of the photoionization is by young stars and not active galactic nuclei (AGN). If AGN are present then the estimates of SFR will be too high. However previous follow-up of HAEs with X-ray observations and rest-frame optical spectroscopy, in both clustered and non-clustered fields, indicates only a low (<10 per cent) fraction of HAEs contain AGN (see Sobral et al. 2013b, Koyama et al. 2013b, Stott et al. 2013, Hatch et al. 2014). Hence, we do not expect this to significantly affect our results.

### 2.4 HAE Masses

The masses of the HAEs were estimated from the observed \( Ks \)-band magnitudes, using a mass-to-light ratio with an additional \( J-Ks \) colour term to take into account different star formation histories, following the method of Koyama et al. (2013b). Specifically:

\[
\log(M_*/10^{11} M_\odot) = -0.4(J-Ks) + \Delta \log M \tag{5}
\]

where

\[
\Delta \log M = 0.14 - 0.9 \exp[-1.23(J-Ks)] \tag{6}
\]

and \( M_\odot \) is the stellar mass, \( J \) and \( Ks \) are AB magnitudes, and assuming a Salpeter IMF. We then convert these to the equivalent Chabrier masses for consistency with equation (4). Koyama et al. (2013b) note that this “one-colour method” agrees well with a full SED fitting method (with ~0.3 dex scatter) over a wide range of luminosities (over nearly 3 magnitudes).

Again if a HAE contains an optically bright AGN then the estimate of its mass will be too high, but we do not expect a large AGN fraction (see previous and next section) and so this should not significantly affect our results.

### 2.5 Contamination

The final sample of HAEs could be contaminated by emission line galaxies such as [OIII] emitters at \( z \sim 3 \) or Pa series emitters at lower redshifts. The higher redshift interlopers are likely very rare (for example only 1 of 55 HAEs satisfied a \( z \sim 3 \) LBG selection in Geach et al. 2008 and broad-band selections such as the BzK selection [Daddi et al. 2004] can remove the lower redshift interlopers. In previous studies the majority (> 90 per cent) of HAEs were found to lie within the BzK selection (Sobral et al. 2013, Koyama et al. 2013b). Due to this and the paucity of deep ancillary multi-wavelength data in these fields we do not apply additional broad-band selections (such as BzK) here as Sobral et al.

---

**Table 1.** A summary of the HAWK-I imaging. The radio luminosities are from observations at 4.7 or 4.85 GHz. The NB filters used in this work were NB2090 (\( \lambda_{mean} = 20954 \) Å; covering H\( \alpha \) between \( z = 2.178 - 2.207 \)), H2 (\( \lambda_{mean} = 21248 \) Å; covering H\( \alpha \) between \( z = 2.215 - 2.260 \)) and \( Br\gamma \) (\( \lambda_{mean} = 21643 \) Å; covering H\( \alpha \) between \( z = 2.275 - 2.321 \)). The K filter used was the central wavelength = 21323 Å, FWHM = 3150 Å.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>Dec.</th>
<th>Redshift</th>
<th>( L_{4.85,\text{Hz}} ) /10(^{26}) W Hz(^{-1})</th>
<th>NB filter</th>
<th>NB Exposure /h (2r AB)</th>
<th>K Exposure /h (2r AB)</th>
<th>NB Seeing /arcsec</th>
<th>K Seeing /arcsec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRC 0200+015</td>
<td>02:02:42.9</td>
<td>+01:49:10</td>
<td>2.229</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>3.33 (22.5)</td>
<td>0.66 (22.9)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVSS J015640</td>
<td>01:56:40.4</td>
<td>-33:25:33</td>
<td>2.198</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>NB2090</td>
<td>3.33 (22.2)</td>
<td>0.66 (23.4)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMN J0340-0507</td>
<td>03:40:44.9</td>
<td>-65:07:07</td>
<td>2.289</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>Br(\gamma)</td>
<td>4.70 (22.4)</td>
<td>0.66 (22.8)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVSS J045226</td>
<td>04:52:26.6</td>
<td>-17:37:53</td>
<td>2.256</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>4.00 (22.5)</td>
<td>0.66 (22.9)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVSS J094748</td>
<td>09:47:48.4</td>
<td>-20:48:36</td>
<td>2.294</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Br(\gamma)</td>
<td>3.33 (22.4)</td>
<td>0.66 (22.6)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVSS J100253</td>
<td>10:02:53.1</td>
<td>+01:34:56</td>
<td>2.248</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>3.33 (22.7)</td>
<td>0.66 (23.0)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRC 1113-178</td>
<td>11:16:14.5</td>
<td>-18:06:22</td>
<td>2.239</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>3.62 (22.2)</td>
<td>0.66 (23.3)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2.** The narrow-band (NB), \( Ks \), \( J \) and three colour combined images of some of the \( H\alpha \) emitters selected (all taken from the NVSS J094748 radio galaxy field). The images are 10 by 10 arcsec across and the numbers on the right hand side are the NB AB magnitudes and the \( Ks-J \) colours of the HAEs.
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has shown it to be unnecessary. As the probability for any one HAE detection to be a contaminant is small (≤ 10 per cent), the probability for a group of contaminant galaxies to align with the radio galaxy is very small, and hence we believe contaminants do not significantly affect this work.

3 RESULTS & DISCUSSION

3.1 Radio Galaxy Environments

The positions of the HAEs in the two richest and the poorest radio galaxy fields are shown in Fig. 9. The number of HAEs found in each field is detailed in Table 2. As the image depth varies between fields, the number of HAEs in each field using an identical selection is also shown in the table having applied the selection function of the shallowest field, NVSS J094748, and corrected the NB magnitudes to those expected if the same narrow-band filter was used as the NVSS J094748 field.

In order to understand the significance of any clustering in the radio galaxy fields, we can compare the number of HAEs in each field to those derived from the much larger area HiZELS observations carried out with UKIRT (Sobral et al. 2013). HiZELS imaged 2.3 deg² of COSMOS and UDS to a similar depth as the radio galaxy fields and selected HAEs at the same redshift with a similar criteria and UDS to a similar depth as the radio galaxy fields and (Sobral et al. 2013). HiZELS imaged 2.3 deg² of COSMOS and UDS to a similar depth as the radio galaxy fields and selected HAEs at the same redshift with a similar criteria and the same equivalent width limit as this work. However, HiZELS uses a smaller width narrow-band filter and hence probes only ∼0.7 times the volume per unit area in comparison to the observations of all radio galaxy fields except that of NVSS J015640. The difference in filter widths also results in a different relationship between $K_s - NB$ colour and line equivalent width. In all of the following we scale the HiZELS-derived numbers to the volume and equivalent-width sensitivity of our data.

We explore the strength of clustering by a simple counts in cells analysis, each cell being the size of a HAWK-I field. We determine the number of line emitters that would meet our NVSS J094748 selection criteria having taken into account the different width NB filters used in the two sets of observations. We place the cells onto the HiZELS data in two ways. Firstly, we simply divide the HiZELS surveys into 88 equal-area, non-overlapping squares or cells. As this does not take into account any intrinsic clustering in the z = 2.2 galaxy distribution, we secondly amend the positioning of each cell so that it is centred on a HAE, (to mimic the effect of the HAWK-I fields being centred on known z = 2.2 galaxies) while ensuring the cells still do not overlap. This necessarily reduces the number of cells to 65 as the spatial distribution of HAEs does not allow for an efficient abutting of cells as simply splitting the entire survey area uniformly. In reality, the difference in the statistics derived from the two approaches is very similar with the mean number of sources per cell meeting our selection criteria increased by only ∼ 30 per cent when they are centred on HAEs. We use the statistics derived from the second approach in the following analysis.

The distribution of the number of HAEs per HAWK-I field derived from HiZELS is shown in Fig. 10. The volume density of HAEs derived from the HiZELS data translates to a mean surface density of 3.3 per HAWK-I survey field. As summarised in Table 3, the radio galaxy fields are on average three times denser than the HiZELS survey fields and one field (MRC1113-178) in particular contains nearly five times the number of HAEs than the mean HiZELS value. The highest density field out of the 65 in the HiZELS distribution (the cell with 17 HAEs) is contributed by a single structure in one of the two HiZELS fields. This structure has been discussed by Geach et al. (2012) and is likely to turn into a significant cluster at $z = 0$. While it does not contain any radio source of comparable luminosity to those studied here, it does contain a quasar at the same redshift.

Although the HAWK-I field of view is well-matched to the predicted effective radius of protoclusters from the Millennium Simulation ( ~ 6 co-moving Mpc; Chiang et al. 2013), some sub-clustering is expected particularly near the central massive object. Indeed, in some fields the overdensity is much larger if we consider a smaller scale. In particular, in the NVSS J094748 field the HAEs appear to cluster around the radio galaxy (see Fig. 4). In a 1 arcmin² area there are five HAEs plus the radio galaxy compared to an expectation of ~0.2 HAEs per arcmin² from the HiZELS survey - only one of the HAEs in HiZELS has more HAEs within a 1 by 1 arcmin² box centered on them when scaled to the same volume, indicating that the radio galaxy is at the centre of a dense structure that perhaps evolves into a massive galaxy by the present day.

Given these results, the typical radio galaxy field contain a clear excess of star-forming galaxies relative to the survey fields in line with the literature (e.g. Hatch et al. 2011; Kuiper et al. 2011), but with significant variations from field to field. We find 4 out of 7 (around 60 per cent) of the radio galaxy fields to be denser than 98 per cent (and all of the radio galaxy fields to be denser than 80 per cent) of similar sized regions in HiZELS at $z = 2.23$ when scaled to the same volume per unit area. This is a similar result to Venemans et al. (2007) who found that 6 out of 8 of the $z > 2$ radio galaxies in their sample were surrounded by an overdensity of Lyα emitters. However, from Fig. 10 it is clear that, on average, radio galaxies at $z = 2$ do not lie in the most extreme (> 5σ) overdensities - the existence of the Geach et al. (2012) system within the HiZELS fields demonstrates this.

Assuming that these overdensities could develop into current-day group and clusters, it is instructive to estimate the likely eventual masses of these systems. This can be done by estimating the matter overdensity they represent, which in turn is related to the galaxy overdensity measured through the galaxy bias, b (following e.g. Venemans et al. 2004). For HAEs at this redshift, selected in a similar manner to ours down to a SFR limit of 20 M⊙ yr⁻¹, the bias is measured to be around 2.4 (Geach et al. 2012). If we assume that all the matter within the volume will collapse into a cluster by the present day, then the final mass of the system is just the volume (∼ 5000 co-moving Mpc³) times the matter overdensity times the critical density of the universe. This gives $z = 0$ masses of at most several times 10¹⁴ M⊙ (see Table 2). However, these values must be taken as upper limits as it is improbable that everything within the volume probed will collapse into the eventual structure. The mass of these systems can also be estimated independently, by mapping their apparent number density onto the current-day cluster mass function. As there is at most one system of
similar or greater density to the most clustered radio galaxy field in the 2.34 deg$^2$ of HIZELS, the number density of such systems must be around or less than 1 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ Mpc$^{-3}$ implying the eventual mass of the richest of the systems studied here would be $\sim$ 5 $\times$ 10$^{14}$ M$_\odot$ using the Tinker et al. (2008) z = 0 halo mass function of clusters. Given the inevitable scatter in the mass growth of individual structures between $z \sim 2$ and today, both mass estimates are consistent and imply the systems have the potential to become systems characteristic as rich groups or moderate-mass clusters today.

There have been numerous previous studies of radio galaxy environments at $z \sim 2$ using HAEs to map out the local galaxy densities (e.g. Kurk et al. 2004; Hatch et al. 2011; Hayashi et al. 2012; Koyama et al. 2013a; Cooke et al. 2014). The estimated final masses of these systems are again generally around a few times 10$^{15}$ M$_\odot$ using the galaxy bias prescription, with the exception of the protocluster around the Spiderweb galaxy whose eventual mass is estimated to be nearly 10$^{15}$ M$_\odot$ (both using this method and other methods based on additional data such as spectroscopic velocities and X-ray observations detailed in Shimakawa et al. 2014).

### 3.2 Luminosity and Mass Functions

The H$\alpha$ luminosity function is shown in Fig. 6 along with the luminosity function of field galaxies from Sobral et al. (2012). The H$\alpha$ fluxes have been corrected for [NII] emission that is likely to fall into the narrow-band filter. This was carried out using an empirically derived relation between the ratio of [NII] to H$\alpha$ and the sum of their equivalent widths taken from Sobral et al. (2012). The median value of the [NII]/(H$\alpha$+[NII]) ratio is 0.16. In addition, Sobral et al. (2009) have demonstrated that the wavelength response of similar filters are sufficiently close to a “top-hat” profile that any difference has a minimal effect on the calculated luminosity function. Hence, we do not correct for the filter profile.

Numerous studies have indicated that HAEs are dust extinguished by around A$_{H\alpha}$ = 1.0 magnitude and that the amount of dust extinction does not significantly change with luminosity (e.g. Garn et al. 2010; Sobral et al. 2012), although there is some evidence that the amount of dust correction may slightly depend on mass (e.g. Shimakawa et al. 2014, see below). We follow Sobral et al. (2013) and apply one magnitude of dust extinction to all of our HAEs. This will increase the SFRs inferred for the objects using the re-

---

**Table 2.** A summary of the number of galaxies and relative overdensity detected in each field. Brackets denote the raw number of galaxies measured in the fields, NVSS J0315640 and HIZELS, that have been corrected for the narrower filter widths. $^2$The selection of the shallowest field, NVSS J094748, is applied to all fields so a direct comparison can be made. $^3$Note that the overdensity is calculated to be the number of galaxies in excess of the background i.e. $\rho_g = (\rho_{g,bkg})/\rho_{h,bkg}$ where $\rho_g$ is the number density of galaxies in the protocluster fields and $\rho_{h,bkg}$ is the surface density of background galaxies calculated from the HIZELS survey using the same HAE selection as the NVSS J094748 field (Rigby et al. 2014). The upper limit to the expected eventual mass of a system at $z = 0$ are calculated using the matter overdensity method discussed in the text. The errors on these masses are calculated taking account of the statistical uncertainty on the number of excess HAEs measured in each field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>No. of HAes</th>
<th>No. of HAes to same limit</th>
<th>No. of Bright HAes ($L_{H\alpha} &gt; 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Overdensity$^2$, $\rho_g$</th>
<th>Mass at $z = 0$ $/10^{14}$ M$_\odot$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRC 0200+015</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.2 $\pm$ 1.1</td>
<td>12 $\pm$ 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVSS J015640</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.8 (5)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>1.4 $\pm$ 0.9</td>
<td>3.2 $\pm$ 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMN J0340-6507</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.4 $\pm$ 0.9</td>
<td>5.4 $\pm$ 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVSS J045226</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.6 $\pm$ 1.1</td>
<td>8.4 $\pm$ 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVSS J094748</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.9 $\pm$ 1.1</td>
<td>6.3 $\pm$ 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVSS J100253</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8 $\pm$ 0.7</td>
<td>2.7 $\pm$ 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRC 1113-178</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.9 $\pm$ 1.2</td>
<td>12 $\pm$ 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio Galaxy Mean</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HiZELS</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>3.3 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4)</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.** The distribution of H$\alpha$ emitters that meet the NVSS J094748 selection criteria in the two richest (left and middle) and the poorest (right) of the radio galaxy fields. The size and colour of the point indicates the equivalent width of the HAE. The small crosses indicate HAEs that do not meet the NVSS J094748 selection criteria. At this redshift 0.01 degrees corresponds to 0.97 co-moving Mpc.
The number of HAEs meeting our selection criteria around non-overlapping HAWK-I sized pointings centered on HAEs in the HiZELS fields (COSMOS+UDS). The number of HAEs around the radio galaxies are shown by grey-filled bins whose frequency is set to an arbitrary level.

Figure 5. The 1 by 1 arcmin$^2$ narrow-band image of the centre of the NVSS J094748 field (the online journal shows the three-colour, JKsNB, image). The radio galaxy is marked by a square and the redder HAEs that meet our selection criteria are circled.

The lowest luminosity bins are affected by incompleteness and we correct for this using the prescription of Sobral et al. (2013).

The Hα luminosity function shows an excess of HAEs in the radio galaxy fields compared to HiZELS. This is not due to the radio galaxies themselves, which are excluded from the luminosity function to avoid biasing the results as the rarity of radio galaxies means they are not likely to contribute significantly to the HiZELS results.

The dust correction used may subtly change the shape of the luminosity function. Consequently, if a mass dependent dust correction (as suggested in Shimakawa et al. 2015) is applied to the data instead of a uniform dust correction for all objects the luminosity function will flatten, increasing the number of HAEs with high Hα luminosities. However, this will not affect the excess of bright objects seen around radio galaxies compared to the field, unless there is a different dust-stellar mass relation in these dense regions compared to the field.

The HAE mass function is shown in Fig. 7. This is again compared to the field as determined from HiZELS (smooth black line; Sobral et al. 2013), which uses SED fitting to determine the mass of the HAEs. We see an excess of galaxies compared to HiZELS, as expected, that follows a similar shape to the HiZELS mass function at high mass but again, the lowest mass bins are affected by incompleteness. In order to correct the mass function without assuming the distribution of HAEs in mass or luminosity-EW space from HiZELS, we use Eq. 5 to estimate the mass of the HAEs as a function of Ks-band magnitude assuming a constant correction factor (Eq. 6) of -0.123 (i.e. assuming J − K = 1 - approximately the average colour of the HAEs). The fraction of sources recovered from the reduced Ks images at each magnitude was estimated by injecting circular, Gaussian-profiled sources into the images, running SExtractor and measuring the number recovered. From this the fraction of HAEs likely to have been missed per mass-bin was estimated and the measured number density increased by the inverse of this fraction. These corrections were significant for the

In order to calculate the errors on the luminosity function, we performed a Monte Carlo simulation whereby each HAE candidate was simulated a thousand times with the Ks band and NB magnitudes taken from a Gaussian distribution centered on the observed magnitudes with a width equal to the error on the photometry. Assuming Poisson statistics, the error on a particular luminosity bin is the square root of the mean number of simulated HAEs falling within that bin (see below; this follows the method of Sobral et al. 2012). The lowest luminosity bins are affected by incompleteness
three lowest mass bins, ranging from 0.15 dex for the third lowest to 0.9 dex for the lowest. Having applied this correction, the shape of the mass function in the radio galaxy is consistent within the uncertainties with that of HAEs in the general field. We note that previously Koyama et al. (2013a) and Cooke et al. (2014) found excesses of line emitters in two radio galaxy fields appeared to be confined to the most massive galaxies.

Thus, this work along with other studies with similar findings (Steidel et al. 2003; Hatch et al. 2011; Koyama et al. 2013b; Cooke et al. 2014) demonstrate that protocluster fields, such as those around radio galaxies, contain an excess of massive star-forming galaxies with comparatively high star formation rates over those selected in the same manner from the same volume (at the same redshift) in the field.

3.3 HAE Properties

The previous two sections have shown that the volume density of star-forming galaxies is higher in the immediate environment of radio galaxies than in the field. Once completeness corrections have been applied, the shape of both the Hα luminosity and stellar mass functions for the line emitters derived in this work are consistent with those of the field.

Fig. 8 shows the distribution of observed star formation rates derived from Hα for the radio galaxy fields and for the HiZELS survey field when the same NVSS J094748 selection is applied to both fields and the HiZELS values degraded to the same uncertainties for a given flux/SFR as that of the radio galaxy field data. The mean SFR is higher in the radio galaxy fields (68 ± 15 versus 42 ± 3 M⊙yr−1 for HiZELS). A KS test on the two star formation rate distributions cannot reject at any level of significance that they are drawn from the same population, unsurprising given the similarity in shape of the two Hα luminosity functions once incompleteness has been corrected for.

Despite the similarities in the shapes of the HAE line luminosity and stellar mass functions for the radio galaxy fields and HiZELS once corrected for incompleteness, we find more low equivalent width HAEs in the radio galaxy fields than in HiZELS (see Fig. 9) (the median EW for the radio galaxy and survey fields when an identical selection is applied is 163±13 Å and 120±40 respectively). The differences can in part be explained by the effect of incompleteness on the lower mass HAEs - low mass, low equivalent width HAEs are not detected and/or selected in both our observations, and to a lesser extent in HiZELS, as they lie below the curved selection line in colour-magnitude space (see Fig. 1). However, the lack of high mass and high equivalent width HAEs in the radio galaxy fields and illustrated in the same figure is real - we see only one HAE with a NB magnitude brighter than 20 and K − NB > 1 excluding the radio galaxies. If the high mass objects had the same range of EW as for the lower mass objects, they would have been selected.

The rest-frame EW measures the specific star formation rate (sSFR; the SFR per unit stellar mass) of the galaxies. For the objects meeting our selection, the mean sSFR for the massive (M > 10^{10} M⊙) HAEs is ∼ 1 × 10^{-9} yr^{-1}; around the lower edge of the so-called main sequence of star formation at this redshift (Elbaz et al. 2011; Karim et al. 2011; Rodighiero et al. 2014) and less at higher mass (∼ 7 × 10^{-10} yr^{-1} at M > 10^{10.5} M⊙ and ∼ 4 × 10^{-10} yr^{-1} at M > 10^{11} M⊙). Elbaz et al. (2011) derive a means sSFR of ∼ 2.5 × 10^{-9} for the main sequence of star forming galaxies at z = 2.25. In other words, the sSFR in these relatively strongly star-forming galaxies, appears somewhat suppressed (by around 0.3-0.8 dex) relative to the main sequence of star formation at this redshift. A similar result was found in Hatch et al. (2011) in two z ∼ 2 radio galaxy fields and in Cooke et al. (2014) in a z = 2.5 radio galaxy field, although Cooke et al. (2014) note that this difference goes away when both samples are cut to stellar masses greater than 10^{10} M⊙. If so, the relatively low sSFR seen in these
massive galaxies could simply be related to mass (through downsizing where more massive galaxies tend to form their stars earlier and quicker than less massive galaxies) and not dependent on environment.

Given the evidence for completed red sequences in clusters at $z \sim 1.5$ (see e.g. De Propris et al. 2015) and for very early completion of star formation in the most massive cluster galaxies (e.g. Mei et al. 2006; Blakeslee et al. 2003) present-day galaxies with stellar masses comparable to those found in these overdensities appear to form their stellar populations early ($z \gtrsim 2.5$; consistent with stars in the most massive galaxies forming earlier than those in the bulk of other galaxies) and over a short period of time, typically less than $10^9$ years (Thomas et al. 2010), or a SFR of $>1$ Gyr$^{-1}$. Assuming the same timescale for the most massive HAEs studied here, a minimum average SFR to build $10^{11} M_\odot$ over this time would be at least $100 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, similar to or larger than the measured sSFR of the HAEs in the radio galaxy fields. If, as is likely, star formation varied stochastically during formation, the bulk of the stellar population will have formed during periods with significantly higher sSFRs than the values observed here. Consequently, even though these overdensities of HAEs are identified through ongoing significant star formation, many of the galaxies with masses $>10^{10} M_\odot$ are likely to be past their peak in star formation (the HAEs are observed to lie below the main sequence at this redshift), and therefore likely to be in the process of quenching on their way to becoming the passively evolving systems observed in the cores of groups and clusters at lower redshifts.

While this reduced star formation at this epoch may be a feature of the evolution of massive galaxies in general it is worth exploring whether, in the case of the galaxies in these fields, the presence of a powerful radio galaxy in their immediate environment may be affecting their ongoing star formation. If the radio galaxy is affecting its local environment through heating of surrounding gas or through direct ionization from the AGN we may expect that the properties of the surrounding HAEs to change with distance from the central radio galaxy. However, we find no trend of $K_s$ magnitude, $K_s-NB$ colour, EW or SFR with projected distance from the central radio galaxy. We also find no trend of $K_s$ magnitude, $Ks-NB$ magnitude, EW or SFR with environmental density (calculated as the number of HAEs within a 30 arcsec radius). Thus, there is no evidence in this data of the radio galaxy affecting star formation in neighbouring galaxies through proximity to that galaxy. This is unsurprising as the observed fields (and therefore the scale length of the overdensities) are much larger than the extent of the radio emission from the radio galaxies.

### 4 CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the environment of seven $z = 2.2$ radio galaxies with broad and narrow-band imaging from VLT/HAWK-I designed to select Hα emitting galaxies (HAEs) at the radio galaxy redshifts. We find that:

- All seven fields show a clear excess of HAEs relative to the expected surface density derived from field surveys. In particular, four of the seven fields are denser than 98 per cent of similar sized regions in the HiZELS survey. One field in particular is very tightly clustered, the 1 arcmin$^2$ centred on the radio galaxy NVSS J094748 contains a density of HAEs so high that it is found only once over the same scale in the entire HiZELS survey. The fields of the other radio galaxies are overdense in HAEs spread across the wider HAWK-I field. The environments of the radio galaxies have properties consistent with those expected of the progenitors of rich groups and moderate mass clusters in the current day universe. Nevertheless, more richly clustered systems can be found in the $z \sim 2$ field (e.g. Geach et al. 2012). The shapes of the Hα luminosity and HAE mass functions are indistinguishable from those of the field, the difference appears to be in their normalisation.

- The excess of HAEs in the radio galaxy fields is evident across the range of the HAE mass function probed here, including high mass galaxies - indicative of significant prior growth of these systems. The median specific star formation rate for these massive ($M > 10^{10} M_\odot$) HAEs is $\sim 10^{-9}$ yr$^{-1}$ (around the lower edge of the main sequence of star formation at this redshift) and decreases with increasing mass. Given the timescale over which these galaxies form their stellar populations is expected to be less than a Gyr, these sources or their progenitors are likely to have previously being forming stars at higher rates than those observed. Hence, these are massive galaxies undergoing (for them) moderate star formation at the observed epoch.

- There is no evidence of the star formation in individual galaxies being affected by proximity to a radio galaxy based on a study of the star forming parameters as a function of projected distance from the radio galaxy.
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