Generalized chronotaxic systems: Time-dependent oscillatory dynamics stable under continuous perturbation
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Chronotaxic systems represent deterministic nonautonomous oscillatory systems which are capable of resisting continuous external perturbations while having a complex time-dependent dynamics. Until their recent introduction in Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 024101 (2013) chronotaxic systems had often been treated as stochastic, inappropriately, and the deterministic component had been ignored. While the previous work addressed the case of the decoupled amplitude and phase dynamics, in this paper we develop a generalized theory of chronotaxic systems where such decoupling is not required. The theory presented is based on the concept of a time-dependent point attractor or a driven steady state and on the contraction theory of dynamical systems. This simplifies the analysis of chronotaxic systems and makes possible the identification of chronotaxic systems with time-varying parameters. All types of chronotaxic dynamics are classified and their properties are discussed using the nonautonomous Poincaré oscillator as an example. We demonstrate that these types differ in their transient dynamics towards a driven steady state and according to their response to external perturbations. Various possible realizations of chronotaxic systems are discussed, including systems with temporal chronotaxicity and interacting chronotaxic systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Complex dynamics, observed in real physical systems, is often modeled as stochastic or chaotic, or high-dimensional autonomous. Such a description is inappropriate when these systems are open (which is almost always the case), i.e., when they depend on time explicitly or when they are exposed to continuous perturbation originating from the external environment which cannot be considered as a part of a system. In such cases a system should be described and modeled as nonautonomous. Nonautonomous dynamics is common in nature. The necessity to understand such a dynamics stimulated developments in the theory of nonautonomous dynamical systems [1,2] and the closely related theory of random dynamical systems [3,4], as well as various inverse approach methods [5–10]. Thus, nonautonomous dynamics was studied in the life sciences [11], in neuroscience [12,13], in cardiovascular [14] and cardiorespiratory systems [15], in cells [16], in climate [17–19], and in solid state physics [20]. Moreover, the description of a system as nonautonomous is the only description which is capable of explaining the stability of time-dependent dynamics: such stability does not allow the time-variable dynamics to be changed easily by external continuous perturbation. We will show that such stability allows the nonautonomous dynamics to look stochastic-like and very complex, which may cause their misidentification as stochastic or chaotic. However, the underlying deterministic dynamics of such systems is kept stable. Also, such properties allow the dynamics to be decomposable into deterministic underlying dynamics and dynamics due to external perturbations. Special attention has been drawn to time-dependent oscillatory dynamics in living systems [21,22] which can self-adjust and self-organize [13], and their time-dependent oscillatory dynamics can resist continuous external perturbations. Describing oscillations by their amplitude and phase, observations in living [14,16] and in solid state [20] systems show features of stability not only in their time-dependent amplitude dynamics, but also in their phase dynamics. Such stability in a phase dynamics cannot be explained by conventional autonomous models, such as limit-cycle models of self-sustained oscillations [23–25]; a limit-cycle model provides stability only to the amplitude but not to the phase. In a limit cycle, the phase can easily be shifted by external perturbations, and such a shift does not decay. Such a typical dynamics is shown in Fig. 1(a), where the amplitude and phase of the oscillations correspond to polar coordinates, and a limit cycle is a circle.

Assuming a decoupling of the time-dependent amplitude and phase dynamics, stability in the dynamics of each was described by the chronotaxic system model recently introduced in Refs. [26,27]. Chronotaxic systems represent a subclass of nonautonomous oscillatory systems. Their main property is a stability in phase and amplitude, which leads to a decay of perturbations and, consequently, initial conditions become unimportant. Such a property emerges because of a time-dependent point attractor or a driven steady state in both the phase and amplitude dynamics. This results in a chronotaxic limit cycle, and its typical dynamics is shown in Fig. 1(b); the phase and amplitude independently approach their time-dependent point attractors.

In this paper we extend the theory of chronotaxic systems: we develop a mathematical definition of high-dimensional chronotaxic systems where the amplitude and phase dynamics do not need to be separated, in contrast to previous work [26,27]. One example of such chronotaxic dynamics
of unidirectionally coupled equations

\[ \dot{p} = f(p), \quad \dot{x} = g(x, p). \]

Here \( p \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( x \in \mathbb{R}^m \), \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \), \( g : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m \). The system (1) may also be called a master-slave configuration [32] or a drive and response system [33]. Within the theory of nonautonomous dynamical systems \([1,34–36]\) the system (1) can be viewed as a skew-product flow or as a process. The solution \( x(t, t_0, x_0) \) of Eqs. (1), which can be viewed as nonautonomous in the sense that \( \dot{x} = g(x, p(t)) \), depends on the actual time \( t \) as well as on the initial conditions \((t_0, x_0)\). For all \((t, t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^m\) the solutions satisfy the initial conditions, \( x(t_0, t_0, x_0) = x_0 \), and the cocycle property, \( x(t_2, t_0, x_0) = x(t_2, t_1, x(t_1, t_0, x_0)) \).

Following Ref. [26], the defining property of a chronotaxic system is the stability of its time-dependent dynamics in face of external perturbations. It is realized by a single time-dependent steady state or point attractor which performs an oscillatory motion. Following the theory of nonautonomous dynamical systems \([1]\), a time-dependent steady state or point attractor is defined as a point \( x^4(t) \) in a state space, and it satisfies the following conditions of forward and pullback attractions,

\[ \lim_{t \to -\infty} |x(t, t_0, x_0) - x^4(t)| = 0, \]

\[ \lim_{t_0 \to -\infty} |x(t, t_0, x_0) - x^4(t)| = 0, \]

and a condition of invariance

\[ x(t, t_0, x^4(t_0)) = x^4(t). \]

Thus, the attraction of a system’s state towards \( x^4(t) \) means that the initial conditions are forgotten. According to Eq. (4), the time-dependent steady state \( x^4(t) \) should be a solution of the system.

It is important to stress that, in chronotaxic systems, a time-dependent steady state \( x^4(t) \) attracts other states of the system at all times. This means that in the unperturbed chronotaxic system the infinitesimal deviations from a steady state can only decrease in time. This condition was defined in systems with separable dynamics \([26,27]\) by linear stability analysis of a point attractor. However, this is not sufficient in the case of two and higher dimensional chronotaxic systems with coupled amplitude and phase. This can be demonstrated by the simple linear system \( \dot{x} = -4x + 4.75y; \quad \dot{y} = -0.2y \), whose phase portrait is shown in Fig. 2(a). Its point attractor (fixed point \((x, y) = (0, 0)\)) is stable, as the eigenvalues are both negative, \(-0.2\) and \(-4\). Nevertheless, when the system is in the gray area in Fig. 2(a), its distance to the point attractor increases temporarily. Thus, strictly speaking, such a system does not resist perturbations, as some deviations due to perturbations can temporarily increase. This does not happen, however, in the example shown in Fig. 2(b), which corresponds to \( \dot{x} = -4x + 3.125y; \quad \dot{y} = -1.5y \). The eigenvalues here are \(-1.5\) and \(-4\). Thus, the negativity of characteristic exponents is not in itself enough to identify a system where any perturbation will continuously decay.
In order to take this into account, we use a contraction analysis instead of a linear stability analysis. Using a small virtual displacement $\delta x^A(t)$ from the point attractor $x^A(t)$, $\delta x^A(t) = x(t) - x^A(t)$, one can write

$$\frac{d}{dt}\delta x^A(t) = \frac{\partial g(x^A,t)}{\partial x} \delta x^A(t).$$

The square of the distance $|\delta x^A|^2 = (\delta x^A)^T \delta x^A$ should decay in time, thus

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\delta x^A|^2 = 2(\delta x^A)^T J(x^A,t) \delta x < 0.$$  

Here

$$J(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial g/\partial x + \partial g/\partial x^T).$$

Denoting the maximum eigenvalue of the Jacobian $J$ by $\lambda_{\text{max}}(x^A,t)$, the stability of $x^A(t)$ at all times is constituted by the requirement that $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ is uniformly strictly negative, i.e.,

$$\exists \beta > 0, \forall t \geq 0, \lambda_{\text{max}}(x^A(t),t) \leq -\beta < 0.$$  

This is the condition of contraction (similar to the requirement of having negative exponents in a linear stability analysis as in Ref. [27]). As a result, any infinitesimal deviation from the point attractor will decay exponentially. The conditions (8) and (2)–(4) determine chronotaxic systems.

The definition of chronotaxic systems is based on the explicit notion of a point attractor and is difficult to use when data (numerically generated or experimental) are available. All of the conditions presented require prior knowledge of a point attractor. To avoid this inconvenience one needs to analyze stability in a stronger sense. Such an approach to stability is provided by contraction theory [28,29] and the theory of incremental stability [37,38]. These theories study dynamical systems where the distance between any two trajectories decays in time. Thus instead of a stable point it is important to introduce a region of contraction, which is defined as follows [28,29,39]. The region $C$ is called a contraction region for a system $\dot{x} = g(x,t)$ if

$$\forall x \in C \exists \beta > 0, \forall t : \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial g(x,t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g(x,t)^T}{\partial x} \right] \leq -\beta I < 0.$$  

In contracting [28,29] and incrementally stable [37,38] systems, where a contraction region occupies the whole space, there is a unique time-dependent point attractor. This follows from conditions (2)–(4) and (8).

In chronotaxic systems the contraction region can be finite and can move in phase space. However, the fulfillment of the conditions (2)–(4) and (8) imposes certain restrictions. Thus, inside the contraction regions there should be a finite area $A' \subset C$ consisting of solutions of the system which never leave this finite area $A'$; see Fig. 3. This requirement leads to the existence of a point attractor, which satisfies the conditions (2)–(4) inside the area $A' \subset C$. As it is a contraction region, distances between trajectories inside this region can only decrease. Consequently, such a system will resist continuous external perturbation, and it will keep its time-dependent dynamics stable.

The definition of chronotaxic systems can therefore be reformulated without prior knowledge of a point attractor. A chronotaxic system is an oscillatory nonautonomous dynamical system which has a contraction region $C$ determined by Eq. (9) which contains a finite nonzero area $A' \subset C$ such that states of a system inside $A'$ do not leave $A'$, i.e., $\forall t_0 < t$, $\forall x_0 \in A'(t_0)$. $x(t, t_0, x_0) \in A'(t)$. As discussed below, this definition is useful for the identification of chronotaxic systems from observations or from corresponding dynamical equations. It will be demonstrated and discussed in the next section.

Considering the relationship to known classes of dynamical systems, one may note that chronotaxic systems represent a subclass of asymptotically stable [33,40] and converging [38,41] systems. From the definition of chronotaxic systems it follows that chronotaxic systems are asymptotically stable [i.e., $\forall x_{01}, x_{02} : \lim_{t \to \infty} ||x(t, t_0, x_{01}) - x(t, t_0, x_{02})|| = 0$]. However, the requirement to have a point attractor at all times (before the limit $t \to \infty$ is achieved) is not necessarily fulfilled in asymptotically stable systems. Additional conditions should also be satisfied, which is the existence of a pullback attractor (3), its invariance (4), and contraction (8). Thus,
general asymptotically stable systems can temporarily become divergent, i.e., the contraction region and point attractor can disappear. Chronotaxic systems, therefore, are a subclass of asymptotically stable systems. Converging systems are defined as asymptotically stable systems with bound solutions [38,41]. Therefore chronotaxic systems with bound solutions are also a subclass of converging systems. For the same reasons chronotaxic systems belong to a subclass of nonautonomous uniformly hyperbolic systems [42,43] also known as systems with solutions exhibiting exponential dichotomy [1,11,44]. Such systems may have trajectories which exhibit saddle-like behavior (i.e., they have expanding and contracting directions for the derivative) or trajectories which may be either attractive or repulsive [1,11,44,45]. In contrast, the trajectory of a time-dependent steady state in a chronotaxic system is attractive only, as explained above. However, the distance between an attracting uniformly hyperbolic trajectory and its infinitesimally close neighborhood trajectory can temporarily increase [43], similarly to temporarily diverging dynamics in Fig. 1(a) in the gray area. Therefore, chronotaxic systems form a subclass of systems with attracting uniformly hyperbolic trajectories. Examples of such systems are phase-locked loops studied in engineering [46] and mathematics [43]. But we would like to stress that, because of possible temporal divergency of trajectories, a time-dependent point attractor does not exist there at all times, in contrast to chronotaxic systems.

Contracting [28,29] and incrementally stable [37,38] systems are most similar to chronotaxic systems as they satisfy contraction condition in a stronger sense discussed above; i.e., the distance between any two states of the system can only decrease in time. However, in contrast to contracting or incrementally stable systems, chronotaxic systems can have noncontracting regions in phase space, and these regions can move. Therefore, the distance between some points can increase temporarily. As a result, chronotaxic systems can generate a complex dynamics when perturbed.

III. NONAUTONOMOUS POINCARÉ OSCILLATOR AS A CHRONOTAXIC SYSTEM

Properties and different types of chronotaxic dynamics can be demonstrated using a simple but general example of an oscillatory self-sustained system. Consider a Poincaré oscillator that is unidirectionally coupled with a coupling strength \( \varepsilon_s(t) \) to a moving point with Cartesian coordinates \( x_p = r_p \cos \alpha_p(t) \) and \( y_p = r_p \sin \alpha_p(t) \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} & = \varepsilon_s(r_p - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2})x - \omega_0^2y - \varepsilon_s(t)[x - r_p \cos \alpha_p(t)], \\
\dot{y} & = \varepsilon_s(r_p - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2})y + \omega_0^2x - \varepsilon_s(t)[y - r_p \sin \alpha_p(t)].
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

Here \( \omega_0 \) is a natural frequency, and \( \varepsilon_s \) is a parameter. When dealing with such a nonautonomous oscillatory system, it is important to find when the system is chronotaxic, i.e., to find the corresponding restrictions on the time-dependent coupling strength \( \varepsilon_s(t) \) and the frequency \( \omega_p(t) \),

\[
\omega_p(t) \equiv \frac{d\alpha_p(t)}{dt}.
\]

(11)

The analytic derivation of the chronotaxicity conditions for such systems is difficult and in most cases is impossible. Here we show how the definition of chronotaxic systems presented in this paper allows us to find a region in parameter space where the system (10) is chronotaxic. Moreover, it becomes possible to find restrictions on the time-dependent functions \( \varepsilon_s(t) \) and \( \omega_p(t) \) such that the system is chronotaxic. For this, first the application of contraction theory to a simple case without a coupling \( \varepsilon_s(t) \) is demonstrated.

A. The case without coupling

When the coupling is absent (\( \varepsilon_s = 0 \)) the system (10) becomes an autonomous isochronous limit-cycle oscillator, described in polar coordinates \((r, \psi)\) as

\[
\dot{r} = \varepsilon_s(r_p - r), \quad \dot{\psi} = \omega_0.
\]

(12)

Using (10) with \( \varepsilon_s = 0 \) one can find eigenvalues \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) of the Jacobian \( J \) (7), which characterize contraction. These eigenvalues depend only on radius \( r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \) and are shown in Fig. 4(a). The phase portrait of such a system is shown in Fig. 4(b) in the laboratory coordinate system, and in Fig. 4(c) in a reference frame rotating with an angular velocity \( \omega_0 \). In the white area in Fig. 4(a)–4(d) \( (\lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 > 0) \) the distance between any two points can only increase in time. In the light blue (light gray) area a radial component between any two points will decrease in time due to the negative slope of \( \dot{r} \) shown in Fig. 4(d). Despite the existence of a contraction region \( C \) [shown as a blue (gray) area in Fig. (4)], this system does not have a point attractor. This is because there does not exist an area \( A' \subset C \) such that states of the system do not leave it. Instead, points will eventually approach a limit cycle, which does not belong to a contraction region due to the zero eigenvalue \( \lambda_1 = 0 \) on a limit cycle.

B. The case with coupling: Existence and types of chronotaxicity

For the case \( \varepsilon_s \neq 0 \) in Eq. (10), we first find a chronotaxic region in parameter space assuming positive and constant parameters \( r_p, \varepsilon_s, \) and \( \omega_p \). It is convenient to rewrite Eq. (10)
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**FIG. 5.** (Color online) (a)–(e) Phase portraits of the system (13) with different types of chronotaxic dynamics in the rotating reference frame. The color scheme is the same as in Fig. 4. The green (gray) point is an unstable node, the blue (black) point is a saddle point, the big black point is a point attractor. Closed black line in (a)–(c) is $\Gamma$ which is the nonautonomous analog of a limit cycle. (f) Regions of different chronotaxic dynamics: light gray area with dots at $\varepsilon_A = 0.5$ and 1.2 is where a point attractor and $\Gamma$ exist; gray area with the dot at $\varepsilon_A = 1.7$ is where only a point attractor and a region of divergency exist; gray area with a small grid on top with the dot at $\varepsilon_A = 7.2$ is where only a point attractor exists. The system is not chronotaxic in the white area containing the dot at $\varepsilon_A = 0.3$. The condition of contraction is not fulfilled in the narrow black regions attached to the light gray area with dots at $\varepsilon_A = 0.5$ and 1.2; however, the system still has a point attractor and $\Gamma$ there. The condition of contraction is also not fulfilled in the dark gray regions attached to the gray area with the dot at $\varepsilon_A = 1.7$, although the system has a point attractor in those regions.

in polar coordinates $(r, \psi)$ in a reference frame that rotates with the angular velocity $\omega_p$:

$$\dot{r} = -\varepsilon_c (r - r_p) - \varepsilon_A (t) [r - r_p(t) \cos \psi]$$

$$\dot{\psi} = \omega_0 - \omega_p(t) - \varepsilon_A (t) \frac{r_p(t)}{r} \sin \psi.$$  

A point attractor corresponds to a stationary stable node in such a reference frame; i.e., it satisfies the condition of stationarity: $\dot{r} = 0$, $\dot{\psi} = 0$. The fulfillment of this requirement and the contraction property can be checked numerically. As a demonstration we choose $\omega_0 - \omega_p = 0.5$, $\varepsilon_c = 7$, $r_p = 1$, and $\varepsilon_A$ runs from zero to higher positive values. At small values of $\varepsilon_A$, a limit cycle, usually denoted as $\Gamma_0$, transforms into a closed line $\Gamma$ in the rotating reference frame shown as the black closed line in Fig. 5(a)–5(c). This line $\Gamma$ attracts all neighboring trajectories but, in contrast to an autonomous limit cycle, this line is not a closed isolated trajectory because it moves in the laboratory coordinate system. Some part of $\Gamma$ lies inside a contraction region, and another part lies outside the contraction region. At the stronger coupling strength $\varepsilon_A > \varepsilon_c \approx 0.467$ a saddle-node bifurcation occurs, and two fixed points [black and big black dots in Fig. 5(b)] appear on the “limit cycle”-like object $\Gamma$. When the stable node from this pair lies within the contraction region, and the system becomes chronotaxic; such a case is shown in Fig. 5(b).

At the stronger coupling strength $\varepsilon_A$ a saddle point approaches an unstable node [Fig. 5(c)] until the other saddle-node bifurcation occurs at $\varepsilon_A = \varepsilon_c2 \approx 1.214$, and these two points disappear together with $\Gamma$ [Fig. 5(d)]. At the same time the noncontraction region, i.e., an area where trajectories diverge from each other, becomes smaller. Only at a sufficiently strong coupling strength $\varepsilon_A > \varepsilon_c3 = 7$ does the whole phase space become a contraction region as shown in Fig. 4(e).

Analysis of the system at other values of $\omega_0 - \omega_p$ is illustrated in Fig. 5(f). The colored regions (apart from narrow black and narrow dark gray areas) correspond to chronotaxic systems. The presence of $\Gamma$ and the presence of a noncontraction region distinguish three different types of chronotaxic dynamics: type I, chronotaxic dynamics with a “limit cycle”-like structure $\Gamma$ and a noncontraction region, such a dynamics being illustrated by Figs. 5(b)–5(c); type II, chronotaxic dynamics when a noncontraction region is present but $\Gamma$ does not exist, as shown in Fig. 5(d); and type III, chronotaxic dynamics where the contraction region occupies the whole space, such a dynamics being shown in Fig. 5(e). There are also two regions in Fig. 5(f) (two narrow black and two small dark gray regions), where the condition of contraction is not fulfilled, but the point attractor exists. If transients to a point attractor are fast and negligible during observations, then the dynamics of a system in such areas can be considered as approximately chronotaxic.

Now we show how the definition of chronotaxic systems presented in this paper allows us to determine whether a system is chronotaxic when the parameters $\varepsilon_A$, $\omega_0$, and $\omega_p$ are time-dependent functions $\varepsilon_A(t)$, $\omega_0(t)$, and $\omega_p(t)$. First, consider the case when the value of $\omega_0 - \omega_p$ is fixed and only $\varepsilon_A$ changes. Knowing the dynamics of the contraction region demonstrated above, one then concludes that a point attractor is always inside a contraction region for any arbitrary changes in $\varepsilon_A(t)$ inside a region of chronotaxicity. Moreover, all transients of a system between positions of a point attractor also lie inside a contraction region. Therefore, any small vicinity of a point attractor such that it lies within a contraction region at all times can be considered as an area $A^\prime \subset C$. The existence of such an area proves that the system is chronotaxic when $\varepsilon_A(t)$ arbitrarily changes inside a region of chronotaxicity.

If only the frequency mismatch $\omega_0(t) - \omega_p(t)$ changes and $\varepsilon_A$ is constant, then the contraction region does not change because the eigenvalues of the Jacobian (7) do not depend on $\omega_0(t) - \omega_p(t)$. During any changes of $\omega_0(t) - \omega_p(t)$ within the chronotaxic region, a point attractor cannot leave the contraction region. One can see this by considering the following: when the value of frequency mismatch enters the region of chronotaxicity, then a point attractor appears inside the contraction region, and when the value of frequency mismatch
decreases, a point attractor goes only deeper inside the contraction region. Therefore an area $A' \subset C$ exists at all times, and the system remains chronotaxic when the value of $\omega_0(t) - \omega_p(t)$ changes arbitrarily within the chronotaxic region.

Consequently, arbitrary changes of $\omega_0(t) - \omega_p(t)$ and $\varepsilon_A(t)$ within the chronotaxic region shown in Fig. 5(f) do not destroy the chronotaxicity of the system (10) and (13). In general, one can identify a chronotaxic system from its dynamical equations. For this one should construct such an area $A'$ which lies all the time completely within a contraction region, and the dynamical states of the system in phase space should be able only to enter this area and not to leave it.

C. Response to perturbations

The presence of an attracting line $\Gamma'$ and regions where trajectories diverge from each other cause additional complexity in the dynamics, especially when external perturbations push the state of a system away from the $A'$ region. Thus, a small instantaneous deviation may temporarily cause further deviation from a point attractor. Therefore different types of chronotaxic system will have different responses to external perturbations.

To illustrate this, consider a phase portrait for the system (10) with $\varepsilon_x = 1.2$, shown in Fig. 6(a). Such a system is also shown in Fig. 5(c). Different initial coordinates of trajectories may be considered as resulting from instantaneous perturbations applied to a system at a point attractor. After being perturbed, all trajectories are attracted to the point attractor (big black dot), and to $\Gamma'(t)$. One can see in Fig. 6(a) that the perturbed states of the system return to the point attractor in rather a complex way. When a single time series of only the $x$ component is viewed, as shown in Fig. 6(b), one can see that small deviations in the position of the system cause different dynamics and create very different trajectories, e.g., the thin solid line and dash-dotted line in Fig. 6(a)–6(b). At the same time, the dotted and thin dashed lines in Fig. 6(b) appear to be very similar, but in phase space they move in opposite directions along $\Gamma$.

In order to study the response to continuous perturbation, we consider the system (10) with additive white Gaussian noises $\xi_x(t)$ and $\xi_y(t)$, which appear on the r.h.s. of corresponding equations (10) for $\dot{x}$ and $\dot{y}$, respectively,

$$
\langle \xi_j(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \xi_i(t) \xi_j(t') \rangle = \sigma^2 \delta_{ij} \delta(t - t'),
$$

where $i, j = x, y$, and $\delta_{ij}$ is a Kronecker delta. The dynamics perturbed by noise is shown in the left column of Fig. 7 as a black thin line, and the unperturbed dynamics (when noise is absent) is shown by a light blue (light gray) thick line. The wavelet transforms of the perturbed dynamics are shown in the right-hand column of Fig. 7.

Figure 7(a) shows the dynamics of a system (10), which does not have a point attractor (due to a weak coupling

![Figure 6](https://example.com/figure6.png)

**FIG. 6.** (Color online) (a) Trajectories of states indicated as red dots (gray) of the system (10) with $\varepsilon_x = 1.2$, $\omega_0 - \omega_p = 0.5$, whose phase portrait is shown in Fig. 5(c). Different trajectories are indicated by thin-solid line (blue), dash-dotted line (green), thick-dashed line (purple), thin-dashed line (black), and dotted (brown) line. The point attractor is shown as a big black dot and $\Gamma'(t)$ as a thick black closed line. (b) The dynamics of the $x$ component of the same system.

![Figure 7](https://example.com/figure7.png)

**FIG. 7.** (Color online) Response of the dynamics of (10) to additive white Gaussian noise (14). In the left-hand column: a perturbed dynamics (thin black line) and the unperturbed dynamics (thick gray line) are compared. Wavelet transforms of the perturbed dynamics are shown in the right-hand column. In all figures $f = \omega_p/(2\pi) \approx 0.08$, $\varepsilon_x = 7$, $\varepsilon_y = 1$ and (a) $\varepsilon_x = 0.3$, $\sigma = 0.1$; (b) $\varepsilon_x = 0.47$, $\sigma = 0.3$; (c) $\varepsilon_x = 0.47$, $\sigma = 0.6$; and (d) $\varepsilon_x = 0.9$, $\sigma = 1.2$. 

It follows from the fact that the configuration (1) with the \( x \) as asymptotically stable driven system was shown to lead to systems to be realized in various ways, making their practical relationship

\[ H(t) \]

domestic dynamics. Nevertheless, one of the simplest realizations of chronotaxic systems. Here different couplings compete with each other, so various combinations are possible. In particular, either \( x_1 \) or both systems, \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \), can be chronotaxic and perturbed by each other. (d) Interacting chronotaxic systems. More complex yet chronotaxic dynamics is also possible.

Following Eq. (1), a realization of a chronotaxic system \( x \) is shown schematically in Fig. 8(a). One can consider the stability of such a structure with respect to the presence of an influence of system \( x \) on system \( p \). In that case, system \( p \) enters the picture equally to system \( x \) [see Fig. 8(b)], where it is more reasonable to use \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) instead of \( p \) and \( x \). Thus, the dynamics will not resist external perturbations in the sense that, after the perturbations are switched off, the dynamics will not return to the unperturbed dynamics.

However, in the configuration shown in Fig. 8(b), the dynamics of one of the subsystems, e.g., \( x_2 \), may look chronotaxic temporarily; however, it will not be chronotaxic rigorously. This case occurs if the influence from \( x_2 \) to \( x_1 \) creates only weak perturbations to the dynamics of \( x_1 \), which in turn creates only weak perturbations to the dynamics of the \( A' \) region in the phase space of \( x_2 \). In such a case the time interval when \( x_2 \) looks chronotaxic should be smaller than the characteristic time of changes in \( A' \) due to the influence of \( x_2 \) on \( x_1 \). Such a realization is expected to be especially common in real systems, where the unidirectional coupling cannot be realized. In such a situation the system may be approximated as chronotaxic during short time intervals with its structure shown in Fig. 8(a). This allows a time-scale separation in complex systems, and the identification of drive and response systems at certain time scales.

The chronotaxic system can be in contact with other systems. The direct influence on the drive system is not considered here, because the resulting dynamics can then be considered as a new drive system. In the configuration shown in Fig. 8(c) various options are possible. If the influence from \( x_2 \) is weaker than the influence from \( p \), then the system \( x_1 \) remains chronotaxic under external perturbation from \( x_2 \). The system \( x_2 \) can be chronotaxic too. It is possible if the influence of \( x_2 \) on \( x_1 \) can be suppressed by a stronger drive system \( p \).

Figure 8(d) shows a sketch of the two interacting chronotaxic systems. Here different couplings compete with each other, so various combinations are possible. In particular, both the \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) systems can remain chronotaxic; however, their interaction can make the whole dynamics look very complex. If the systems sometimes push each other out from their \( A' \) regions they still may be considered as chronotaxic provided that they spend most of their time in the \( A' \) region.
In such a case when only one of these chronotaxic systems is observed, it is possible to extract its underlying deterministic dynamics, which could approximate an unperturbed dynamics. It is therefore also possible to extract information about the influence, i.e., the dynamics of another chronotaxic system in this configuration.

V. POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS

In this section we would like to point towards the very broad applications of chronotaxic systems. The theory of chronotaxic systems, presented in this paper and in Refs. [26,27], together with corresponding inverse approach methods [31], make it possible to identify and extract the underlying deterministic dynamics provided by a time-dependent point attractor when perturbations are not too strong. This reduces the initial complexity of the dynamics, and it helps one to understand the structure and function of the observed system and its interaction with the external environment. Thus, for example, when one of systems $x$, $x_1$, or $x_2$ in Fig. 8 is observed and if it appears to be chronotaxic, then one can extract the dynamics of a time-dependent point attractor and attempt to identify a possible driver of the observed system.

In order to illustrate the general idea of the applications of chronotaxic systems, let us consider the example discussed in Refs. [26,31]. The example consists of a cardiorespiratory system where the breathing rate of a healthy young subject was paced at a given time-dependent frequency, while the cardiac function was recorded using an electrocardiogram (ECG). The heart rate variability (HRV), obtained from the ECG, looks complex; see Ref. [26,31]. However, applying the theory of chronotaxic systems to a single time series of HRV, it is possible to identify features of chronotaxicity [31]. It suggests that the dynamics is characterized by a time-dependent point attractor. The complex dynamics observed in HRV was therefore identified in Refs. [26,31] as having a strong deterministic component corresponding to the dynamics of a point attractor.

An important question arises: is it possible to identify a driver of a behavior such as HRV in Refs. [26,31]? The phase dynamics of HRV was analyzed on the assumption of decoupled amplitude and phase dynamics. It appeared to be very similar to the phase dynamics of respiration with a time-dependent frequency, as paced in the experiment. This suggested that the respiration is a driver of HRV in that experiment [31]. This is an example of the identification of interactions between complex biological systems. Thus, using only a single time series, the theory of chronotaxic systems makes it possible to identify whether the system is driven and if it has a time-dependent point attractor. Access to the time series of other subsystems, like respiration in the case of Ref. [31], allows one to identify the driving system by comparing the extracted dynamics of a time-dependent point attractor with the available time series. Moreover, even if other observations are not available, the characteristics of the time-dependent point attractor are useful in characterizing a state of a system. For example, it was shown that the influence of respiration on heart activity decreases with age [15]. It is therefore expected that the stability properties of the point attractor in HRV will decrease with age. Thus, in general, the identification and study of the properties of a time-dependent point attractor in a biological signal may also be used to characterize the state of a system, i.e., its function and structure.

It is important to stress that the applications discussed above rely on the special properties of chronotaxic systems that make them a subclass of the other known dynamical systems discussed in Sec. II. Particularly, the ability to extract the dynamics of a time-dependent point attractor and the quality of such an extraction are very important for applications. In previous works [26,27,31], it was assumed that the amplitude and phase dynamics are decoupled, so that a chronotaxic system appears in a form of a driven steady state on a chronotaxic limit cycle. In this paper we have generalized the theory of chronotaxic systems and provided a method for better reconstruction of a time-dependent point attractor. Thus, not only phase dynamics, but also amplitude dynamics can be used. Moreover, systems which have only a driven steady state and do not have a “limit cycle”-like attracting closed lines $\Gamma(t)$ in their phase space, e.g., as in Fig. 5(d) and 5(e), can now be identified as chronotaxic systems.

For an illustration, one of the candidates for a chronotaxic system without chronotaxic limit cycle is the membrane potential of a nonexcitable cell. In the resting state it is described by the Goldman equation as a steady state [50,51], and all deviations are considered as stochastic fluctuations. However, often the intracellular or extracellular processes drive a steady state in an oscillatory manner [16,52], but they do not introduce a chronotaxic limit cycle. We expect, that the application of the theory of chronotaxic systems will help us to reveal such a deterministic dynamics. Correspondingly, it will be possible to link it to the dynamics of processes inside or outside the cell and to help to identify and study them.

The applicability of chronotaxic systems to living systems is also supported by the development of a free-energy principle in neuroscience [53] which has led to the general model of biological self-organization introduced by Friston [13,54]. This model is based on the idea of internal and external states, resembling subsystems $p$ and $x$ in the formulation of chronotaxic systems (1). One can expect that chronotaxic dynamics can arise due to the interaction between such states in this model [13,54]. Therefore, chronotaxic systems may be useful in the study of interaction and structure of the brain [53] and, more generally, in the study of self-organization [13,54]. In parallel with self-organization, the robustness properties of living systems were studied [55,56], i.e., those properties that allow organisms to exhibit and sustain their behaviours despite perturbations. Chronotaxic systems may contribute to these studies, as well as help to unravel the multilevel architecture [57] of biological systems and their regulation. Chronotaxic systems may potentially be useful in studies of resilience in natural systems (e.g., ecosystems [58] or the climate [18,59]). For example, indicators for the loss of resilience before a tipping point [60] may be related to changes in the properties of a time-dependent point attractor.

Chronotaxic systems are useful in applications not only to living systems, but also in engineering and robotics, e.g., to design oscillators with specific properties [27,61]. Also, for a long time, simple examples of chronotaxic systems, e.g., phase-locked loops [46], have seen them used in different fields: from communications and control systems...
to instrumentation and multimedia apparatus. Work closely related to chronotaxic systems is presented in Ref. [43], where phase-locked loops were considered and the theory of uniform hyperbolicity was used to identify sufficient conditions on the external forcing for the response of the dynamical system to remain within a prescribed region. The method in Ref. [43] can be used to study nonautonomous systems with linearly attracting uniformly hyperbolic trajectories. However, as we discussed above, trajectories of the general system from this class can temporarily diverge, and such a dynamics could be recognized as a result of perturbations, thus preventing the reconstruction of a time-dependent point attractor. In contrast to these systems, the absence of such temporary divergence of trajectories in chronotaxic systems allows us to reconstruct reliably the dynamics of a time-dependent point attractor.

The study of hyperbolic trajectories in oceanic flows [45,62–64] is closely related to a chronotaxic system. Using measured data sets authors have identified saddle-like trajectories and computed their stable and unstable manifolds. Currently, hyperbolic trajectories with only saddle-like behavior have been studied. If the attractive trajectories can also be identified, then the theory of chronotaxic systems potentially may be useful in studies of oceanic flows as well.

VI. SUMMARY

In this work we have developed a generalized model of chronotaxic systems which are high dimensional and cannot be split into the decoupled one-dimensional dynamical systems, in contrast to previous works [26,27]. This allows us to study not only systems with a driven steady state on a chronotaxic limit cycle which arise in systems with decoupled amplitude and phase dynamics [26,27,31], but a much wider class of systems with just a driven steady state.

Chronotaxic systems are characterized by a time-dependent point attractor which exists in the time-dependent contraction region. Such systems are capable of resisting to continuous external perturbations while having a complex time-dependent dynamics. Previously such systems with complex time-dependent dynamics were often treated as stochastic, and the deterministic component was ignored or misidentified.

The theory of chronotaxic systems, presented in this paper and in Refs. [26,27], together with corresponding inverse approach methods [31] developed to tackle chronotaxic systems, makes it possible to identify the underlying deterministic dynamics and to extract it. The resultant reduction of complexity may be useful in various applications. The properties of chronotaxic systems with complex stochastic-like dynamics can help us to understand the structure and function of such systems and their interactions with the external environment. Such applications may be useful especially in living systems. We anticipate that chronotaxic systems will find many useful applications in a wide range of research fields.
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